## **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Starch‑graft‑polyacrylamide copolymer /Fe3O4 /graphene oxide nanocomposite: synthesis, characterization, and application as a low‑cost adsorbent for Ni (II) from aqueous solutions**

**Sh. H. Hegazy<sup>1</sup> · S. K. Mohamed[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6199-2099)**

Received: 15 June 2020 / Accepted: 3 September 2020 / Published online: 15 January 2021 © The Polymer Society, Taipei 2021

## **Abstract**

Starch-g-polyacrylamide copolymer and its nanocomposite with  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and graphene oxide have been successfully synthesized. The synthesized samples were characterized using FTIR, XRD, SEM, HRTEM, and VSM. The factors that afect the adsorption efficiency of samples for  $Ni(II)$  ions from aqueous solutions as  $pH$ , initial  $Ni(II)$  ions concentration, contact time and temperature have been examined. The maximum adsorption capacities achieved by the synthesized samples were 195 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 290 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for starch-g-polyacrylamide copolymer and its starch-g-polyacrylamide/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ graphene oxide nanocomposite respectively. Kinetic studies showed that the adsorption was well described by the pseudo–second-order model and the equilibrium adsorption data ftted Freundlich model. Thermodynamic studies showed that the adsorption capacity increases as temperature increase up to 313 K but higher temperatures result in dissolution of starch. Results showed that the adsorption process is spontaneous, endothermic in nature and leads to a greater entropy.

**Keywords** Adsorption · Kinetics · Thermodynamics · Starch · Composite

# **Introduction**

Industrial activities generate a huge amount of waste including toxic and carcinogenic inorganic pollutants as heavy metals and organic pollutants as dyes and pesticides. When heavy metal ions exceed defnite limits in aqueous systems, it is considered as toxic and carcinogenic materials. Despite organic wastes, heavy metals as nickel, lead, copper, iron, cobalt, molybdenum, manganese, etc. are not biodegradable and end up as accumulated pollutants in the environment [\[1](#page-12-0)]. In addition to the industrial wastewater contaminated with heavy metal ions, heavy metals naturally exist in the earth's crust and by the action of acidic rains, it may dissolute and release into rivers, streams, and groundwater. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the nickel ions concentration should not exceed 0.02 mg  $L^{-1}$  since below this limits Ni (II) ion is required for some metabolic reactions.

 $\boxtimes$  S. K. Mohamed sahar.kamal@science.helwan.edu.eg; sahar\_km2@yahoo.com

However, a higher concentration of Ni (II) ions inhibits the activity of enzymes and causes damages in the lungs, kidney and skin [\[2](#page-12-1)]. Among the various physicochemical techniques for heavy metals removal from aqueous media, adsorption is considered as a powerful technique because it is a fast, inexpensive and easy method. Many researchers studied the development of low cost biodegradable adsorbents for heavy metals as sesame straw biochar [\[3](#page-12-2)], rice bran [[4\]](#page-12-3), activated carbon [[5](#page-12-4)], Coconut leaves [[6](#page-12-5)] and sweet lime peel [\[7](#page-12-6)]. Natural polymers adsorbents are good options for heavy metals because they are abundant, nontoxic, biocompatible, biodegradable, eco-friendly and have plenty of adjustable functional groups. Chitosan [[8–](#page-12-7)[10\]](#page-12-8), alginate [[11](#page-12-9)], starch [[12–](#page-12-10)[14](#page-12-11)] and gelatin [\[15](#page-12-12)] were examined as adsorbents for heavy metals. Modifcation of natural polymers by grafting with monomers/polymers enhances the adsorption efficiency of the polymer by introducing additional functional groups to the polymeric matrix. Also, attempts to improve the adsorption efficiency of natural polymers by impregnation of nanoparticles of inorganic materials as magnetite  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$  or/and carbonaceous compounds have been extensively studied. Iron oxide is abundant, cheap, and non-toxic, has a high surface area and high efficiency for adsorption of heavy metals [\[16\]](#page-12-13). To the best of our knowledge, only few

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Ain Helwan, Cairo 11795, Egypt

researches focused on using starch or starch composites for removal of heavy metals as polyacrylonitrile grafted cassava starch hydrogel [[17](#page-12-14)], crosslinked starch [[18,](#page-12-15) [19](#page-12-16)], modifed starch/magnetite nanocomposite [\[20](#page-12-17)].

In this article, starch -g-polyacrylamide and its composite with magnetite ( $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ ) nanoparticles and graphene oxide (GO) have been synthesized. The grafting crosslinking polymerization reaction of acrylamide with starch was carried out in the presence of GO and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles. The synthesized samples were examined by FTIR, XRD, SEM, TEM and VSM. The kinetics, isotherms and thermodynamic studies of the adsorption of the synthesized samples for  $Ni$  (II) ions have been studied. The adsorption efficiency of starch-g-polyacrylamide for removal of Ni (II) ions was compared to that of starch-g- polyacrylamide copolymer/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  nanocomposite.

# **Experimental**

## **Materials**

Starch and ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) were obtained from (Alpha Chemika, India), N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA, Merck, Germany), Acrylamide (AAm) and ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O) (WIN-LAB, India), Ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) (Alfa Aesar, Germany), graphite fne powder extra pure (Loba Chemie, India). Nickel nitrate hexahydrate  $Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  (Sigma-Aldrich). All other reagents were analytical reagent grade and were used as received.

## **Synthesis**

#### **Synthesis of magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)**

Magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) was prepared by co-precipitation according to the modifed Massart method [\[21](#page-12-18)]. In this method, a mixture of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (3.03 g) and FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (1.13 g) was dissolved in distilled water (150 ml) at 60 °C under stirring. Ammonia solution was added to the obtained yellow solution slowly during stirring till the mixture's pH is 10.0. The reaction mixture was purged by  $N_2$  gas during the reaction. After 1 h of vigorous stirring, the obtained black precipitate was fltered, rinsed several times by deionized water and ethanol and then dried in an oven at 60 °C.

## **Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)**

Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared by the modified Hummers method [[22](#page-12-19)]. Briefly, graphite (5 g) was mixed with  $H_2SO_4$  98% (230 ml) then NaNO<sub>3</sub> (5 g) was added. After 30 min,  $KMnO<sub>4</sub>$  (30 g) was gently added and mixed thoroughly and later deionized water (300 ml) was added. The whole reaction was carried out in an ice bath under continuous stirring to control the rapid rise in reaction temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred till cooled down then  $H_2O_2$  (30%) was added. The mixture was centrifuged to and the obtained precipitate was washed several times with 5% HCl followed by deionized water. The obtained GO was dried at 50℃, and then crushed using a mortar.

## **Synthesis of starch‑g‑ polyacrylamide copolymer and its nanocomposite with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and GO**

Starch-g- polyacrylamide copolymer was prepared by dispersing 3 g of starch in 75 ml distilled water then gelatinizing it at 100 °C for 15 min. In a test tube, 0.3 g (CAN) was dissolved in 1 M  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  then added to the gelatinized starch. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C under nitrogen atmosphere for 15 min to allow the free radicals formation. A solution of  $AAm(3 g)$  and MBA (0.07 g) was added to the reaction mixture and allowed to react for 2 h. After that, a solution of NaOH (4.1 g dissolved in 75 ml distilled water) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 2 h at 90 °C. The obtained grafted starch was filtered, washed several times by distilled water and dried in an oven at 60 °C and this sample was labeled as gSt. To prepare starch-gpolyacrylamide/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO nanocomposite the same procedure was used where  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (0.15 g) and GO (0.15 g) were dispersed in 300 ml distilled water and this dispersion was sonicated using a tip sonicator for 1 h to get a homogeneous dispersion. This dispersion was added immediately after sonication to the starch dispersion after the initiation step. The obtained polymer nanocomposite was labeled as  $gSt/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$ .

## **Characterization**

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a FTIR spectroscopy (FT-IR-6100 Jasco, Japan), using KBr pellets in the range of 4000–400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  at room temperature with spectral resolution 4 cm−1. X-Ray diffraction analysis was carried out using X–Ray Diffractometer (X'Pert Pro, PANanalytical, Netherlands) using Cu Kα radiation ( $\lambda = 0.15406$  nm) in the 20 range of 4<sup>o</sup> to 70<sup>o</sup>. The morphology of the samples was investigated using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) (Quanta FEG 250, NL). The samples were coated with gold before FE-SEM testing. High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM) analysis was carried out on a JEOL JEM-2100 Plus (USA) Transmission

Electron Microscope. In addition, the magnetic properties of the synthesized  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ / GO were examined using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, CRMDI) at room temperature.

## **Adsorption experiments**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of

nanocomposite and (d) gSt

In each adsorption experiment, 0.1 g of the adsorbent was immersed in 50 ml of Ni (II) aqueous solution with a defnite initial concentration, temperature and initial pH using HCl 0.1 M and NaOH 0.1 M solutions. The concentration of the Ni (II) solution was measured on atomic absorption spectrometer AAnalyst 400–PerkinElmer. The adsorption percentage (%*E*) and the amount of adsorbed Ni (II) ions,  $q_e$  $(mg g^{-1})$ , were calculated as follows:

$$
\%E = \frac{(C_o - C_e)}{C_o} \times 100\tag{1}
$$

$$
q_e = \left(C_o - C_e\right) \frac{V}{m} \text{(mg g - 1)}
$$
\n<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  are the initial and equilibrium concentration of Ni(II) ions in the solution (mg  $L^{-1}$ ), respectively. *V* is the volume of the solution (L) and m is the mass of the adsorbent in grams.

# **Results and discussion**

## **Characterization**

## **XRD**

The crystallinity and other structural properties of the investigated samples were examined by XRD and presented in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The XRD pattern of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  showed characteristic peaks at 2 theta values of 30.3, 35.5, 43.2, 53.3, 57.4 and 62.7 corresponding to (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440) planes, respectively (JCPDS fle PDF no.65–3107) [\[23\]](#page-13-0). XRD pattern of GO showed a strong sharp difraction peak at 2ϴ value of 10.8 which was ascribed to (002) plan with the basal spacing of 0.818 nm [[24](#page-13-1)]. The absence of difraction peaks in the XRD pattern of gSt indicates the amorphous structure of the grafted polymer. The XRD pattern of gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  nanocomposite showed small sharp peaks around 2-theta values of 35 and 62 which revealed to  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  crystals. However, the other characteristic difraction peaks were not obvious probably due to the small content of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  in the nanocomposite which results in low intensity for these difraction peaks. In addition, the sharp characteristic peak of GO did not appear in gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite which attributed to either the complete exfoliation of GO sheets in the polymer or the partial exfoliation leaving a small amount of crystalline GO [[25](#page-13-2)].



## **FT‑IR**

FT-IR spectra of both synthesized samples are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) The characteristic peak around 3440 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to stretching vibrations of O–H and N–H bonds where the intensity of this peak was greater in the case of gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>A</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite due to the extra hydroxyl groups from GO sheets. The peaks at 2860 and 2930  $cm^{-1}$ belong to the stretching vibrations of aliphatic C–H [[26](#page-13-3)]. The peak at  $1630 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is attributed to the stretching vibration of  $C = O$  of acrylamide –CONH<sub>2</sub> group [[27\]](#page-13-4). The observed peak at 1050 for both samples belongs to C-O, however, this peak was broadened in the case of gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$ GO nanocomposite due to the presence of GO [[28\]](#page-13-5). In the case of gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  nanocomposite, The additional peaks at 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup> (aromatic C=C), 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> (asymmetric COO) and the shoulder at 1410 cm−1 (symmetric COO) confrm the incorporation of GO in the nanocomposite sample [\[29\]](#page-13-6). The peaks around 660 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the case of gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO nanocomposite belongs to stretching vibration of Fe–O bond which confirms the presence of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles in the polymeric matrix [[27\]](#page-13-4).

## **FE‑SEM**

Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows the SEM micrographs of gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO. As shown in Fig. [3a](#page-4-0) the sample surface exhibits homogenous distribution for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  aggregates (light color) where

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** FTIR spectra of gSt and gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  nanocomposite the image shows a GO sheet within the polymeric matrix that is covered homogeneously with  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  aggregates. Fig-ure [3b](#page-4-0) showed large clusters of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  aggregates on the polymeric surface. These results indicated that the magnetite is homogeneously distributed on the polymeric surface as aggregates; however, some areas on the surface are heavily covered with aggregated  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ .

## **HRTEM**

Figure [4](#page-4-1) illustrates the HRTEM image for gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite. The dark areas represent GO sheets where the dark spheres that are distributed on its surface are  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ nanoparticles while the light background represents the polymeric matrix. Images show that th+e  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles have diameters ranging from 7 to 9 nm. It was observed that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles mainly decorate the GO sheets which suggest an interaction between  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and GO through Fe–O–C bonds as mentioned previously by other authors [[30\]](#page-13-7). This was attributed to the sample preparation method at which  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was dispersed in GO suspension and the mixture was sonicated for enough time to interact with each other before addition to the polymer.

#### **VSM**

The magnetization curve of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-5-0) The saturation magnetization



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** SEM micrographs of St/



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 4** HRTEM image of gSt/ Fe3O4/ GO nanocomposite sample



was 98.56 and 0.95 emu g<sup>-1</sup> for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO respectively. In addition to the coverage of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles by the polymer, the low content of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  leads to a negligible saturation magnetization value for the nanocomposite. The saturation magnetization value is measured per g of materials then its value is proportional to the content of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  per gram of nanocomposite [\[31](#page-13-8)].

## **Adsorption studies**

## **Adsorption and pH dependence**

The pH of the adsorbate solution deeply affects the adsorption capacity because it afects the charge of the adsorbent surface and the degree of ionization of the functional groups

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 6** Efect of pH on Ni (II) adsorption on synthesized samples

on the adsorbent. To investigate the efect of initial pH of Ni (II) ions solution on the adsorption (%E) of the synthesized samples, 0.1 g of each adsorbent was immersed in 50 ml of Ni (II) ions solution (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) with initial pH 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 for 24 h at 20 °C with continuous shaking. Figure [6](#page-5-1) shows how the adsorption (%E) is changed with the initial pH of Ni (II) ions solution. As shown, both samples showed the lowest adsorption (%E) at pH 2 which was attributed to the protonation of  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  of polyacrylamide side chains those results in the repulsion of the cationic species Ni (II) ions. In addition, in strong acidic mediums,  $H^+$  ions present in excess in the solution and hence compete the Ni (II) ions. At all studied pH values, gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite showed greater adsorption (%E) than gSt. In the case of gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$ GO nanocomposite, the presence of GO sheets with plenty of OH groups provides extra hydrophilicity that increases the degree of swelling. In addition, the presence of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ restricts the H bonding which also enhances the swelling and hence the adsorption efficiency  $[32]$  $[32]$ .

## **Efect of contact time**

Figure [7](#page-6-0) shows the change of adsorption (%E) of the synthesized samples with the contact time. The maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_e)$  attained after 24 h was detected experimentally as 9.028 and 9.5 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for gSt and gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO respectively. During the frst 30 min of the adsorption reaction, the adsorption  $(\% E)$  reached 61.2, 64.5% for gSt and gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO respectively which was attributed to the availability of most of the active sites on the adsorbent surface. As the adsorption reaction precedes, the available active sites on the adsorbent decrease, so the rate of adsorption process slows down.

## **Efect of initial concentration**

To investigate the saturation capacity of the adsorbent, adsorption experiments were carried out using Ni (II) solutions of diferent initial concentrations. In each experiment, 0.1 g adsorbent was soaked in a Ni (II) solution of concentration range (20—500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), pH 5 at 20 °C for 24 h with shaking. The obtained equilibrium adsorption capacity  $(q_e)$ is plotted versus the diferent initial Ni (II) concentration and presented in Fig. [8.](#page-7-0) It is obvious that as the initial concentration of Ni (II) ions increased from 20 to 500 mg  $L^{-1}$ ,  $q_e$  increased from 9.08 to 195 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for gSt and 9.72 to 290 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO. Up to initial concentration 500 mg  $L^{-1}$ , the samples did not show saturation as increasing the initial concentration of the Ni (II) ions enhances the mass transfer from the solution to the adsorbent as a result of the concentration gradient.

## **Adsorption kinetics and mechanism**

The kinetic studies for adsorption of any pollutant from aqueous solutions on/onto solid adsorbent indicate the adsorption reaction pathway. To investigate the kinetics of the adsorption reaction, the well-known pseudo–frst-order and pseudo–second-order models were used to interpret the experimental results. Also, the intra-particle difusion model was used to analyze the experimental results to explore the adsorption mechanism.

## **Pseudo–frst‑order model**

The pseudo–frst-order model has been extensively used to study the adsorption in liquid–solid systems based on the sorption capacity of solids. The linear form of this model is expressed as:

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Efect of initial Ni (II) concentration on the adsorption capacity of samples [adsorbent dose, 0.1 g at pH 5.0 and 20 ℃ for 24 h]



$$
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - (\frac{k_1 t}{2.303})
$$
\n(3)

where  $q_t$  is the amount of pollutant adsorbed (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) at any time  $t$  and  $q_e$  is the amount of pollutant adsorbed (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) at equilibrium, and  $k_1$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the rate constant of the adsorption process. The rate constant  $k<sub>1</sub>$  is detected from the slope of the straight line obtained from plotting log  $(q_e - q_t)$ versus *t*.

#### **Pseudo–second‑order model**

The pseudo–second-order equation is expressed as:

$$
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} \tag{4}
$$

where  $q_t$  and  $q_e$  are the same as in the previous model and  $k<sub>2</sub>$  is the pseudo–second-order rate constant of the adsorption (g mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). Plotting  $t/q_t$  versus t produces a straight line with intercept = the rate constant  $(k_2)$  and slope = 1/  $q_e$ .

The parameters obtained from both models are presented in Table [1.](#page-7-1) As shown, the experimental results ft well the pseudo–second–order model where the correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$  values were close to unity. Also, the theoretical  $q_e$  values detected from the pseudo–second-order model were very close to the experimental results. On the other hand, analyzing the experimental results using the pseudo–frst–order model gives lower values for the correlation coefficient  $(R^2)$  and very low values for  $q_e$  compared to the experimental values. These results indicate that the adsorption process follows the pseudo–second-order model, where the adsorption of Ni (II) ions occurs on an energetically heterogeneous surface. The rate constant  $(k<sub>2</sub>)$ values detected from pseudo second-order model indicate that the rate of adsorption on gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO was slightly greater than that on gSt. This is attributed to the presence of GO sheets as well as  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles which enhances the adsorption.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 1** Kinetics parameters for the adsorption of Ni (II) ions (20 mg g−1), pH 5, 20° C for 24 h

Adsorbent		Pseudo–first-order			Pseudo-second-order		
	$q_e$ [experimen- tal] $(mg g^{-1})$	$q_e(mg g^{-1})$	$K_1 X 10^3 (min^{-1})$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$q_e(mg g^{-1})$	$_{2}$ (g.mg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> ) R <sup>2</sup>	
gSt	9.028	1.528	5.093	0.908	9.175	34.017	0.999
gSt/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GO	9.500	1.446	2.650	0.811	9.615	37.846	0.999

## **Adsorption mechanism**

The experimental adsorption data were examined using the intra-particle difusion model to predict the adsorption mechanism and the rate determining step. This model assumes that the intra-particle difusion process is the rate determining step and its rate can be detected using the following expression [\[33](#page-13-10)]:

$$
q_t = k_i t^{1/2} + C \tag{5}
$$

where  $k_i$  is the rate constant of intra-particle diffusion (mg)  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1/2</sup>) and *C* (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) is an indicator for the boundary layer thickness and as the boundary layer efect increases, the value of *C* increases. According to this model, if plotting  $q_t$  versus the square root of time  $(t^{1/2})$  yields a straight line passes through the origin then the intra-particle difusion is the rate determining step. If the straight line deviates from the origin, then the intra-particle difusion is not the only rate determining step. On the other hand, if the plot showed two or more overlapping lines, then two or more stages are controlling the adsorption process  $[22]$ . Figure [9](#page-8-0) shows the intra-particle difusion plot obtained from the experimental adsorption results. The plot showed three regions with three overlapping lines which indicate a three stages adsorption process with decreasing rates over the whole time range. The first region represents the fast surface adsorption stage where the Ni (II) ions difuse from the solution to the surface of the adsorbent and this step is not a rate limiting step. The second region represents the slower intra-particle difusion stage at which Ni (II) ions diffuse through the bulk of the adsorbent. The third region represents the equilibrium stage where the

rate of difusion process slows down as a result of the low Ni (II) ions concentration in the solution or/and the saturation of the adsorbent surface. These observations suggest a multistep adsorption process where the intra-particle difusion step is not the only rate determining stage but it infuences the adsorption rate [\[9](#page-12-20)].

The intra-particle diffusion rate constant  $k_i$  is determined from the slope of the line that passes through the points of the second region in the plot and is listed in Table [2](#page-8-1). As shown gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ / GO exhibited lower value for  $k_i$  indicating slower intra-particle difusion. Besides, according to obtained C values, the boundary layer thickness is greater in the case of gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO sample. This was attributed to the presence of the GO sheets and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  impregnated in the polymeric matrix which may limit the rate of the difusion process.

## **Adsorption isotherms**

The adsorption equilibrium results attained after 24 h have been analyzed using Langmuir, Freundlich and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm models. At these experiments, 0.1 g adsorbent was added to 50 ml Ni (II) ions solution with diferent initial concentrations range from

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Table 2** Intra-particle difusion parameters for Ni (II) ions adsorption

Adsorbent	Intraparticle diffusion mod		
	$k_i$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1/2</sup> )	C (mg $g^{-1}$ )	$R^2$
gSt	0.1981	5.1257	0.927
gSt/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GO	0.0735	7.5729	0.968



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Intra-particle diffusion plots for adsorption of Ni (II) ions, 20 mg  $L^{-1}$  at pH 5.0 and 20 °C for 24 h

20 to 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  at pH 5 and 20 °C with continuous shaking for 24 h.

#### **Langmuir isotherm**

This model suggests a monolayer adsorption where the active sites on the adsorbent have similar activation energy and affinity to the adsorbate. This model ignores the steric restrictions between the adjacent adsorbate species. Chemisorption process usually fts the linear mode of Langmuir isotherm model which is represented as [\[34](#page-13-11)]:

$$
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{bQ_e} + \frac{C_e}{qe}
$$
\n<sup>(6)</sup>

$$
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_e} \tag{7}
$$

where  $C_e$  and  $q_e$  are the equilibrium concentration of adsorbed species in aqueous solution (mg  $L^{-1}$ ) and on adsorbent (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) respectively.  $Q_e$  is the theoretical capacity in the case of complete monolayer coverage (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) and *b* is the Langmuir constant and it is correlated to the adsorption energy (L  $mg^{-1}$ ). The dimensionless Langmuir factor  $R<sub>L</sub>$  could be detected using Eq. [7](#page-9-0) where  $C<sub>o</sub>$  is the maximum initial concentration of Ni(II) ions (mg  $L^{-1}$ ). The numerical value for  $R<sub>L</sub>$  indicates whether the adsorption is favorable  $(R_L \nvert 1)$  or unfavorable  $(R_L \nvert 1)$ .

## **Freundlich isotherm**

The Freundlich adsorption isotherm assumes a multilayer adsorption mechanism on the adsorbent which has several active sites with diferent adsorption energies. It suggests that the adsorbent has a heterogeneous surface with nonuniform distribution of adsorption heat instead of exponential decrease during the adsorption process [\[35\]](#page-13-12). The linear form of this model is represented as [\[36](#page-13-13)]:

$$
\log q_e = \log k_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \tag{8}
$$

where  $q_e$  and  $C_e$  are similar to that in Langmuir model,  $k_f$  is the Freundlich constant is an indicator for the extent of

adsorption or adsorption capacity [mg  $g^{-1}$  (mg  $L^{-1}$ )<sup>n</sup>] and *1ln* is an empirical parameter related to the energetic heterogeneity of the adsorption sites (dimensionless). The constants  $k_f$  and  $1/n$  can be detected from the intercept and the slope of log  $(q_e)$  versus log( $C_e$ ) plot. 1/n value lies between zero and 1 and the closer the value to zero the more heterogeneous surface is [[9\]](#page-12-20).

#### **Dubinin–Radushkevich Isotherm**

Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm is usually used to investigate the type of adsorption either physical or chemical in nature through the detection of the apparent free energy of adsorption. In general, this model is suitable for the adsorption processes that occur on heterogeneous surfaces with a Gaussian energy distribution. The linearized expression of this model is written as:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\ln q_e = \ln q_{(D-R)} - \beta \varepsilon^2 \tag{9}
$$

$$
\epsilon = RT \ln(1 + \frac{1}{C_e})
$$
\n(10)

$$
E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tag{11}
$$

where  $q_e$  and  $C_e$  are similar to that in Langmuir model,  $q_{(D-R)}$  is the theoretical adsorption capacity (mg  $g^{-1}$ ), $\varepsilon$  is Polanyi potential and  $\beta$  is a constant related to the mean free energy of adsorption per one mole of the adsorbate (mol<sup>2</sup> kJ<sup>-2</sup>). R is the universal gas constant  $(0.008314 \text{ kJ K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})$  and T is the absolute temperature of adsorption experiment (K). E (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) is the mean free energy per molecule of adsorbate when it migrates from the bulk of the solution (infnity) to the adsorbent surface and its numerical value suggests the nature of adsorption process. If E value ranges from 8 and 16 kJ mol−1 then it is a chemisorption process while E value less than 8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> indicates that the adsorption is physisorption process [\[9](#page-12-20)].

The resulted parameters from ftting the experimental adsorption data with the three models are summarized in Table [3.](#page-9-1) Up to 200 mg  $l^{-1}$  of Ni (II) ions solution initial

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 3** Langmuir, Freundlich and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R) isotherms parameters

Adsorbent	Langmuir mode				Freundlich model			D-R isotherm		
	b $(L mg^{-1})$	$q_{max}$ $(mg g^{-1})$	$R^2$	$K_{\epsilon}$ $((\text{mg g}^{-1})/$ $(mg L^{-1})^n$	1/n	$R^2$	$E$ (kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	QD-R $(mg \cdot g^{-1})$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	
gSt	0.014	299.034	0.763	2.304	0.710	0.982	0.605	46.872	0.905	
gSt/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GO	0.020	417.104	0.537	3.208	0.636	0.976	>1.435	>49.393	0.906	

concentration, both Freundlich and Langmuir models ft the experimental data and exhibit comparable  $R^2$  values ( $R^2$ ) 0.96). This is attributed to the high adsorption capacity of the adsorbent and the low concentration of the adsorbate which results in both isotherm equations approach a linear form. Additional isotherm with initial concentration of 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  of Ni (II) ions is carried out to explore the bestftted model and the results well ftted Freundlich model. The values of *1/n* confrmed the heterogeneous nature of the surface where it was closer to zero in the case of gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$ GO which suggests that this sample has a more heterogeneous surface than gSt. This is attributed to the decoration of the polymeric matrix by the inorganic nanoparticles. gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO showed higher  $k_f$  value than gSt, which reflects that gSt/ $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  has a higher adsorption capacity.

Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm is restricted for a defnite range of adsorbate concentrations where it shows unrealistic behavior at high concentrations and it is unable to predict Henry's law at low pressure [[37\]](#page-13-14). Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm ftted reasonably the experimental data in concentration range 20–150 mg L<sup>-1</sup>( $R^2$ =0.905 and 0.906 for gSt and gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO, respectively). Both samples showed E value  $\textdegree$  8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> which suggests that the adsorption is physical in nature.

## **Thermodynamics studies**

For the detection of thermodynamic parameters, 0.1 g of each adsorbent was immersed in 50 ml of Ni (II) aqueous

solution of initial concentration 60 mg  $L^{-1}$  at different temperatures (293, 298, 303, 313 and 323) for 24 h. Figure [10](#page-10-0) shows that the adsorption capacity increases as temperature increase up to 313 K then dramatically decreased. These observations suggest that the adsorption process is endothermic in nature. As temperature increases, Ni(II) ions gain the required energy to difuse through the polymeric adsorbent. Besides, increasing temperature allow the mobility of the polymeric chains which eases the difusion of Ni(II) ions into the polymeric matrix. However, when the temperature exceeds 313 K, the adsorption capacity decreased which revealed to the low stability of the polymeric matrix at these temperatures. Generally, starch starts dissolution in aqueous medium at 40 °C. Modifcation of the polymer by crosslinking would enhance the stability so the synthesized did not show dissolution at 40 °C. However, it was reported that modifcation of starch by grafting may results in partial degradation of the chains into shorter fragments that leach out when soaking in solutions [[38](#page-13-15)]. These results indicate that the synthesized samples are suitable as an adsorbent for aqueous solutions only up to 40 °C. It is important to explore the thermodynamic parameters as entropy change  $(\Delta S)$ , enthalpy change  $(\Delta H)$ , and Gibbs energy change  $(\Delta G)$  for the adsorption process. These parameters are calculated from the thermodynamic distribution coefficient  $(K_d)$  as follows:



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Efect of temperature on adsorption capacity for initial Ni (II) concentration 60 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at pH 5.0 for 24 h

$$
K_d = \frac{A_q}{A_c} = \frac{y_q}{y_c} \frac{q_e}{C_e} \tag{12}
$$

where  $A_0$  and  $A_c$  are the activity of the adsorbed Ni(II) ions and the activity of Ni(II)ions in the solution at equilibrium respectively.  $Y_a$  and  $y_c$  are the activity coefficients of adsorbed Ni (II) ions and the Ni(II) ions in solution at equilibrium. In the case of very dilute solutions of Ni (II) ions, the activity coefficients approach unity so the equation can be written as:

$$
K_d = \frac{q_e}{C_e} \tag{13}
$$

Then the thermodynamic parameters were detected as follows:

$$
\Delta G = -RT \ln K_d \tag{14}
$$

$$
\ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT}
$$
\n(15)

where *T* is the absolute temperature in Kelvin and *R* is the general gas constant (8.314 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>).  $\Delta G$  is <span id="page-11-0"></span>calculated from Eq. [14](#page-11-0) while Δ*S* and Δ*H* are detected from the intercept and the slope of the straight line obtained from plotting  $\ln K_d$  versus  $1/T$  up to 313 K. The detected thermodynamic parameters were listed in Table [4.](#page-11-1) The correlation coefficient  $R^2$  values for the plot of ln  $K_d$  versus  $1/T$  was 0.986 and 0.956 for gSt and gSt/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ GO respectively so the calculated  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  values were satisfying. As shown in Table [4,](#page-11-1) the positive values of Δ*H* confrmed the endothermic nature of the adsorption process. Also, Δ*S* for both samples showed positive values indicating that the adsorption process results in more randomness, which confrmed that the adsorption of Ni(II) ions on the samples is favorable [\[22\]](#page-12-19). The negative values of Δ*G* up to 313 K suggest that the adsorption is spontaneous in nature. Also, increasing the temperature from 293 to 313 K resulted in more negative Δ*G* values for all samples which indicates that the adsorption is more spontaneous at a higher temperature. The less negative  $\Delta G$  values at 323 K are misleading because at this temperature the dissolution of starch from the polymer takes place. These results revealed that increasing the temperature promotes the adsorption process up to 313 K.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Table 4** Thermodynamic parameters for adsorption of Ni(II) ions on synthesized samples

T(K)	gSt				gSt/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GO				
	ln Kd		$\Delta G (J mol^{-1}) \quad \Delta H \times 10^3 (J mol^{-1}) \quad \Delta S (J mol^{-1} K^{-1} >) \quad \text{ln Kd} \quad \Delta G (J mol^{-1}) \quad \Delta H \times 10^3 (J mol^{-1}) \quad \Delta S (J mol^{-1} K^{-1})$						
293	1.5609	-3802.3820	1.8743	137.4929	2.5292	-6161.1384	1.8135	144.9757	
298	1.8859	-4672.4206			2.6793	-6638.2419			
303		2.1507 -5417.9302			2.8485	-7175.7935			
313		2.5292 -6581.6939			3.4914	-9085.7226			

<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Table 5** Comparison of the maximum adsorption capacity (q<sub>max</sub>) at equilibrium of prepared gSt and gSt/ Fe3O4/ GO with previous adsorbents for Ni(II) ions



#### **Comparison with previous studies**

To emphasize the efficiency of the prepared adsorbents, the obtained maximum adsorption capacities of Ni(II) ions were compared with previous studies. Table [5](#page-11-2) shows that gSt/ Fe3O4/ GO exhibited high adsorption capacity of Ni(II) ions compared with natural and synthetic polymer nanocomposites from previous studies. Accordingly, the prepared gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO nanocomposite could successfully be used for the removal of Ni(II).

# **Conclusions**

The utilization of starch as a low-cost biodegradable adsorbent for the removal of heavy metals from industrial wastewater is a promising approach. Incorporation of magnetite nanoparticles and GO nanosheets into the polymeric matrix enhances the removal efficiency of heavy metals. In this study, starch-g-polyacrylamide and its nanocomposites with  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles and GO have been successfully synthesized and used as adsorbents for Ni (II) ions. The adsorption kinetics ftted the pseudo-second-order model. The equilibrium adsorption results were well described by Freundlich model and Dubinin – Radushkevich isotherms indicating a multilayer coverage of Ni (II) ions on the adsorbent surface through a physisorption mechanism. The adsorption occurs in three steps that starts with surface adsorption followed by intra-particle difusion and fnally equilibrium. The thermodynamic analysis showed that the adsorption is endothermic, spontaneous and leads to more disorder. The synthesized samples showed removal  $(\%)$  of Ni(II) ions from aqueous solutions up to 90 and 95% on gSt and gSt/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/$  GO respectively. These results suggested that  $gSt/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GO$  is a powerful adsorbent for Ni (II) ions from aqueous solutions.

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to Prof. Soad Ashry, the professor at the national research center for the Atomic Absorption facilities. The authors also thank, Professor Mohamed Rashad, the Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute for the VSM measurements.

## **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Jain M, Kumar Garg V, Kadirvelu K (2013) Removal of Ni(II) from aqueous system by chemically modifed sunfower biomass. Desalin Water Treat 52:5681–5695
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Nirav PR, Prapti US, Nisha KS (2016) Adsorptive removal of nickel (II) ions from aqueous environment: A review. J Environ Manag 179:1–20
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. Jong-Hwan P, Yong SO, Seong-Heon K, Ju-Sik Ch, Jong-Soo H, Ronald DD, Dong-Cheol S (2016) Competitive adsorption of heavy metals onto sesame straw biochar in aqueous solutions. Chemosphere 142:77–83
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. Zafar MN, Aslam I, Nadeem R, Munir S, Rana UA, Khan SU-D (2015) Characterization of chemically modified biosorbents from rice bran for biosorption of Ni(II). J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 46:82–88
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>5. Keranen A, Leivisk T, Salakka A, Tanskanen J (2015) Removal of nickel and vanadium from ammoniacal industrial wastewater by ion exchange and adsorption on activated carbon. Desalin Water Treat 53:2645–2654
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>6. Lalsing PK (2019) Rapid removal of nickel (II) by coconut leaves powder as bioadsorbent. J Adv Chem Sci 5:632–636
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>7. Dhanashree C, Vikesh G, Virendra K (2014) Adsorptive removal of copper(II) from aqueous solution onto the waste sweet lime peels (SLP): equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics studies. J Desalin Water Treat 52:7822–7837
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>8. Wu F-C, Tseng R-L, Juang R-S (2010) A review and experimental verifcation of using chitosan and its derivatives as adsorbents for selected heavy metals. J Environ Manage 91:798–806
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>9. Ali O, Mohamed SK (2017) Adsorption of copper ions and alizarin red S from aqueous solutions onto a polymeric nanocomposite in single and binary systems. Turkish J Chem 41:967–986
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>10. Abou El-Reash YG, Abdelghany AM, Lepold K (2017) Solid phase extraction of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  from water using new thermally treated Chitosan/ Polyacrylamide thin flms; Adsorption kinetics and thermodynamics. Int J Environ Ana Chem 97:965–982
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>11. Esmat M, Farghali AA, Khedr MH, El-Sherbiny IM (2017) Alginate-Based Nanocomposites for Efficient Removal of Heavy Metal Ions. Int J Bio Macromol 102:272–283
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>12. Niu HY, Pu LM, Li J (2015) Removal of Cu (II) Ions by a novel starch-based adsorbent from aqueous solution. App Mechanics Mat 713:2815–2818
- 13. Ibrahim BM, Fakhre NA (2019) Crown ether modifcation of starch for adsorption of heavy metals from synthetic wastewater. Int J Biolog Macromolecules 123:70–80
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>14. Naushad M, Ahamad T, Sharma G, Ala'a H, Albadarin AB, Alam MM, Alothman ZA, Alshehri SM, Ghfar AA (2016) Synthesis and characterization of a new starch/SnO2 nanocomposite for efficient adsorption of toxic Hg<sup>2+</sup> metal ion. Chem Eng J 300:306-316
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>15. Hayeeye F, Yu QJ, Sattar M, Chinpa W, Sirichote O (2018) Adsorption of  $Pb^{2+}$  ions from aqueous solutions by gelatin/activated carbon composite bead form. Adsorp Sci Techn 36:355–371
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>16. Baseri H, Tizro S (2017) Treatment of nickel ions from contaminated water by magnetite based nanocomposite adsorbents: Efects of thermodynamic and kinetic parameters and modeling with Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. Pro Safety Env Pro 109:465–477
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>17. Ekebafe LO, Ogbeifun DE, Okieimen FE (2012) Removal of heavy metals from aqueous media using native cassava starch hydrogel. African J Env Sci Techn 6:275–282
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>18. Feng K, Wen G (2017) Absorbed  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in water by cross-linked starch xanthate. Int J Polym Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6470306) [org/10.1155/2017/6470306](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6470306)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>19. Sancey B, Trunfo GA, Crini G (2011) Heavy metal removal from industrial effluents by sorption on cross-linked starch: chemical study and impact on water toxicity. J Env manag 92:765–772
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>20. Abdul-Raheim ARM, El-Saeed SM, Farag RK, Abdel-Raouf ME (2016) Low cost biosorbents based on modifed starch iron oxide nanocomposites for selective removal of some heavy metals from aqueous solutions. Adv Mater Lett 7(5):402–409
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>21. Massart R (1981) Preparation of aqueous magnetic liquids in alkaline and acidic media. IEEE Trans Magn 17:1247–1248
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>22. Mohamed SK, Hegazy SH, Abdelwahab NA, Ramadan AM (2018) Coupled adsorption-photocatalytic degradation of crystal violet under sunlight using chemically synthesized grafted sodium alginate/ZnO/graphene oxide composite. Int J Bio Macrom 108:1185–1198
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>23. Hariani PL, Faizal M, Marsi R, Setiabudidaya D (2013) Synthesis and properties of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles by co-precipitation method to removal procion dye. Int J Env Sci Develop 4:336–340
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>24. Kassaee MZ, Motamedi E, Majdi M (2011) Magnetic Fe3O4 graphene oxide/polystyrene: Fabrication and characterization of a promising nanocomposite. Chem Eng J 172:540–549
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>25. Cheng X, Kumar V, Yokozeki T, Goto T, Takahashi T, Koyanagi J, Wu L, Wang R (2016) Highly conductive graphene oxide/ polyaniline hybrid polymer nanocomposites with simultaneously improved mechanical properties. Composites A 82:100–107
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>26. Wang S, Zhang C, Chang Q (2017) Synthesis of magnetic crosslinked starch-graft-poly(acrylamide)-co-sodium xanthate and its application in removing heavy metal ions. J Exp Nanosci 12:270–284
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>27. Hosseinzadeh H, Ramin S (2015) Magnetic and pH-responsive starch-g-poly(acrylic acid-coacrylamide)/ graphene oxide superabsorbent nanocomposites: one-pot synthesis, characterization and swelling behavior. Starch 68:200–212
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>28. Rattana T, Chaiyakun S, Witit-anun N, Nuntawong N, Chindaudom P, Oaew S, Kedkeaw C, Limsuwan P (2012) Preparation and characterization of graphene oxide Nanosheets. Procedia Eng 32:759–764
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>29. Hoogmoed CG, Busscher HJ, Vos P (2003) Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy studies of alginate–PLL capsules with varying compositions. J Biomed mat res 67A:172–178
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Zubir NA, Yacou C, Motuzas J, Zhang X, da Costa JCD (2014) Structural and Functional Investigation of Graphene Oxide-Fe3O4 nanocomposites for the Heterogeneous Fenton-Like Reaction. Sci Rep 4:4594–4601
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>31. Soleymani M, Akbari A, Mahdavinia GR (2018) Magnetic PVA/ laponite RD hydrogel nanocomposites for adsorption of model protein BSA. Polym Bull 76:2321–2340
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>32. Wu L, Ye Y, Liu F, Tan C, Liu H, Wang S, Wang J, Yi W, Wu W (2013) Organo-bentonite-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> poly(sodium acrylate) magnetic superabsorbent nanocomposite: Synthesis, characterization, and Thorium(IV) adsorption. Appl Clay Sci 83:405–414
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>33. Mohamed SK, Alazhary AM, Al-Zaqri N, Alsalme A, Alharthi FA, Hamdy MS (2020) Cost-efective adsorbent from arabinogalactan and pectin of cactus pear peels: Kinetics and thermodynamics studies. Int J Biolog Macromol 150:941–947
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>34. Dabrowski A (2001) Adsorption—From Theory to Practice. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 93:135–224
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>35. Adamson AW, Gast AP (1997) Physical Chemistry of Surfaces, sixth ed., Wiley– Interscience, New York
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>36. Freundlich H (1906) Ueber die adsorption in loesungen. Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie 57:385–470
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>37. Ayawei N, Ebelegi AN, Wankasi D (2017) Modelling and Interpretation of Adsorption Isotherms. J Chem. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3039817) [org/10.1155/2017/3039817](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3039817)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>38. Simi CK, Abraham TE (2007) Hydrophobic grafted and crosslinked starch nanoparticles for drug delivery. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng 30:173–180
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>39. Dawodu F, Akpomie K (2014) Simultaneous adsorption of Ni(II) and Mn(II) ions from aqueous solution unto a Nigerian kaolinite clay. J Mater Res Tech 3:129–141
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>40. Abdelrahman EA, Abdel-Salam ET, El Rayes SM, Mohamed NS (2019) Facile synthesis of graft copolymers of maltodextrin and chitosan with 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid for efficient removal of Ni(II), Fe(III), and Cd(II) ions from aqueous media. J Polym Res. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-019-1920-4>
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>41. Bartczak P, Norman M, Klapiszewski L, Karwanska N, Kawalec M, Baczynska M, Wysokowski M, Zdarta J, Ciesielczyk F, Jesionowski T (2018) Removal of nickel(II) and lead(II) ions from aqueous solution using peat as a low-cost adsorbent: A kinetic and equilibrium study. Arabian J Chem 11:1209–1222
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>42. Singh H, Rattan V (2011) Adsorption of nickel from aqueous solutions using low cost biowaste adsorbents. Water Quality Res J Canada 46:239–249
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>43. Luyen TT, Hoang VT, Thu DL, Giang LB, Lam DT (2019) Studying Ni(II) adsorption of magnetite/graphene oxide/chitosan nanocomposite. Adv polym tech.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8124351>
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>44. Samadi N, Hasanzadeh R, Rasad M (2015) Adsorption isotherms, kinetic, and desorption studies on removal of toxic metal ions from aqueous solutions by polymeric adsorbent. J Appl Polym Sci 132:41642
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>45. Ji F, Li C, Tang B, Xu J, Lu G, Liu P (2012) Preparation of cellulose acetate/zeolite composite fber and its adsorption behavior for heavy metal ions in aqueous solution. Chem Eng J 209:325–333
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>46. Cegłowskia M, Gierczyka B, Frankowskia M, Popenda L (2018) A new low-cost polymeric adsorbents with polyamine chelating groups for efficient removal of heavy metal ions from water solutions. Reac Func Polym 131:64–74
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>47. Kushwaha AK, Gupta N, Chattopadhyaya MC (2017) Dynamics of adsorption of Ni(II) Co(II) and Cu(II) from aqueous solution onto newly synthesized poly[N-(4-[4-(aminophenyl)methylphenylmethacrylamide])]. Arabian J Chem 10:S1645–S1653
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>48. He H, Feng Q (2017) Preparation and application of Ni(II) ionimprinted silica gel polymer for selective separation of Ni(II) from aqueous solution. Roy soc chem 7:15102–15111
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>49. Zhang X, Wang X (2015) Adsorption and Desorption of Nickel(II) Ions from Aqueous Solution by a Lignocellulose/Montmorillonite Nanocomposite. PLoS ONE 10:e0117077

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.