

Limit Theorem for Self-intersection Local Time Derivative of Multidimensional Fractional Brownian Motion

Qian Yu1 · Xianye Yu2

Received: 25 April 2023 / Revised: 16 October 2023 / Accepted: 19 October 2023 / Published online: 9 November 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

The existence condition H < 1/d for first-order derivative of self-intersection local time for $d \ge 3$ dimensional fractional Brownian motion was obtained in Yu (J Theoret Probab 34(4):1749–1774, 2021). In this paper, we establish a limit theorem under the nonexistence critical condition H = 1/d.

Keywords Self-intersection local time · Fractional Brownian motion · Limit theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) Primary 60G22; Secondary 60J55

1 Introduction

Consider a d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$, which is a d-dimensional centered Gaussian process $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \ge 0\}$ with component processes being independent copies of a 1-dimensional centered Gaussian process $B^{H,i}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,d$ and the covariance function given by

$$\mathbb{E}[B_t^{H,i}B_s^{H,i}] = \frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right].$$

Q. Yu is partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (NS2022072), National Natural Science Foundation of China (12201294) and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (BK20220865). X. Yu is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071493).

- School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211106, China
- ² School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018, China



Note that $B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a classical standard Brownian motion. Let $D = \{(r, s) : 0 < r < s < t\}$. The self-intersection local time (SLT) of fBm was first investigated in Rosen [13] and formally defined as

$$\alpha_t(y) = \int_D \delta(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds,$$

where B^H is a 2-dimensional fBm and δ is the Dirac delta function. It was further investigated in Hu [4], Hu and Nualart [6]. In particular, Hu and Nualart [6] showed its existence whenever Hd < 1. Moreover, $\alpha_t(y)$ is Hölder continuous in time of any order strictly less than 1 - H which can be derived from Xiao [15].

The derivative of self-intersection local time (DSLT) for fBm was first considered in the works by Yan et al. [16, 17], where the ideas were borrowed form Rosen [14]. The DSLT for fBm has two versions. One is extended by the Tanaka formula (see in Jung and Markowsky [9]):

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_t'(y) = -H \int_D \delta'(B_s^H - B_r^H - y)(s - r)^{2H - 1} \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}s.$$

The other is from the occupation-time formula (see Jung and Markowsky [10]):

$$\widehat{\alpha}'_t(y) = -\int_D \delta'(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds.$$

Motivated by the first-order DSLT for fBm in Jung and Markowsky [10] and the k-th-order derivative of intersection local time (ILT) for fBm in Guo et al. [3], we will consider the following k-th-order DSLT for fBm in this paper,

$$\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial_{y_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{y_d}^{k_d}} \int_D \delta(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds$$
$$= (-1)^{|k|} \int_D \delta^{(k)}(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds,$$

where $k=(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$ is a multi-index with all k_i being nonnegative integers and $|k|=k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_d,\,\delta$ is the Dirac delta function of d variables and $\delta^{(k)}(y)=\frac{\partial^k}{\partial_{y_1}^{k_1}\ldots\partial_{y_d}^{k_d}}\delta(y)$ is the k-th-order partial derivative of δ . Set

$$f_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle p, x \rangle} e^{-\varepsilon \frac{|p|^2}{2}} \mathrm{d}p,$$

where
$$\langle p, x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j x_j$$
 and $|p|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j^2$.



Since the Dirac delta function δ can be approximated by $f_{\varepsilon}(x)$, we approximate $\delta^{(k)}$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ by

$$f_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{i^{|k|}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1^{k_1} \dots p_d^{k_d} e^{i\langle p, x \rangle} e^{-\varepsilon \frac{|p|^2}{2}} \mathrm{d}p$$

and

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y) = (-1)^{|k|} \int_{D} f_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(B_{s}^{H} - B_{r}^{H} - y) dr ds, \tag{1.1}$$

respectively.

If $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$ converges to a random variable in L^p as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we denote the limit by $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ and call it the k-th DSLT of B^H .

Recently, Yu [18] studied the existence and Hölder continuity conditions of $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ and related limit theorem in critical case. We recall the existence condition for $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ in L^2 as follows.

Theorem 1.1 [18] For 0 < H < 1 and $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$ defined in (1.1), let $\# := \#\{k_i \text{ is odd}, i = 1, 2, \ldots d\}$ denotes the odd number of k_i , for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. If $H < \min\{\frac{2}{2|k|+d}, \frac{1}{|k|+d-\#}, \frac{1}{d}\}$ for $|k| = \sum_{j=1}^d k_j$, then $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(0)$ exists in L^2 .

Note that, if |k| = 1, the existence condition of $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(0)$ is H < 1/d, and Hd = 1 is the critical condition of $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ for any $d \ge 2$. When Hd = 1 for d = 2, Markowsky [11] proved the limit theorem for |k| = 1.

Theorem 1.2 [11] $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$ is defined in (1.1) with y=0. Suppose that $H=\frac{1}{2}, d=2$ and |k|=1, then as $\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$\left(\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{-1}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0)\overset{law}{\to}N\left(0,\sigma_0^2\right).$$

In this paper, we will consider the case of Hd=1 for any $d\geq 3$ and |k|=1, and prove a limit theorem for $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k_1=1,k_2=0,\ldots,k_d=0$ for the multi-index $k=(k_1,\ldots,k_d)$, and for the convenience of writing, we will abbreviate $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1,0,\ldots,0)}(0)$ as $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ in the subsequent content of this paper without causing confusion.

Theorem 1.3 $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$ is defined in (1.1) with y=0. Suppose that Hd=1 for any $d\geq 3$ and |k|=1. Then, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, we have

$$\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) \stackrel{law}{\to} N(0,\sigma^2),$$

where
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d(1-2H)^2}$$
.



When |k| = 1, under the condition H > 1/d, the behavior of $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ is also of interest. One would expect a central limit theorem to exist, but this remains unproved. Nevertheless, we venture the following conjecture

- (1) If $H = \frac{2}{d+2} > \frac{1}{d}$ and $d \ge 3$, $(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\gamma_1(H)} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ converges in distribution to a normal law for some $\gamma_1(H) < 0$;
- (2) If $H > \frac{1}{2} \ge \frac{2}{d+2}$ and $d \ge 2$, $\varepsilon^{\gamma_2(H)} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ converges in distribution to a normal law for some $\gamma_2(H) > 0$;
- (3) If $\frac{2}{d+2} < H < \frac{1}{2}$ and $d \ge 3$, $\varepsilon^{\gamma_3(H)}(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\gamma_4(H)}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ converges in distribution to a normal law for some $\gamma_3(H) > 0$ and $\gamma_4(H) < 0$.

The paper has the following structure. We state some preliminary lemmas in Sect. 2. Section 3 is to prove the main result. Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter C, with or without a subscript, denotes a generic positive finite constant and may change from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present two basic lemmas, which will be used in Sect. 3. The first lemma gives the bounds on the quantity of $\lambda\rho-\mu^2$, which could be obtained from the Appendix B in [9] or Lemma 3.1 in [4]. In fact, λ , ρ and μ represent the three quantities of the covariance matrix of the increment of fBm, and the bound estimation of $\lambda\rho-\mu^2$ is beneficial for the subsequent calculation of the convergence of multiple integrals, which will bring a lot of convenience to the proof in Sect. 3.

Lemma 2.1 Let

$$\lambda = |s - r|^{2H}, \ \rho = |s' - r'|^{2H},$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2} \Big(|s' - r|^{2H} + |s - r'|^{2H} - |s' - s|^{2H} - |r - r'|^{2H} \Big).$$

Case (i) Suppose that $D_1 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 \mid r < r' < s < s'\}$, let r' - r = a, s - r' = b, s' - s = c. Then, there exists a constant K_1 such that

$$\lambda \rho - \mu^2 \ge K_1 \left((a+b)^{2H} c^{2H} + a^{2H} (b+c)^{2H} \right)$$

and

$$2\mu = (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H}.$$

Case (ii) Suppose that $D_2 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 \mid r < r' < s' < s\}$, let r' - r = a, s' - r' = b, s - s' = c. Then, there exists a constant K_2 such that

$$\lambda \rho - \mu^2 \ge K_2 b^{2H} \left(a^{2H} + c^{2H} \right)$$

and

$$2\mu = (a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H}.$$

Case (iii) Suppose that $D_3 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 \mid r < s < r' < s'\}$, let s - r = a, r' - s = b, s' - r' = c. Then, there exists a constant K_3 such that

$$\lambda \rho - \mu^2 > K_3(ac)^{2H}$$

and

$$2\mu = (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - (a+b)^{2H} - (c+b)^{2H}.$$

The second lemma shows the Wiener chaos expansion of $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0)$ with |k|=1. Before that, we need to explain some notations. We will denote by \mathcal{H} the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of the space of step functions endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}, \mathbb{1}_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}[(B_b^{H,1} - B_a^{H,1})(B_d^{H,1} - B_c^{H,1})], \tag{2.1}$$

where $B^{H,1}$ is a 1-dimensional fBm. The mapping $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \to B_t^{H,1}$ can be extended to a linear isometry between \mathcal{H} and a Gaussian subspace $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For any integer $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes q}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\odot q}$ the q-th tensor product of \mathcal{H} , and the q-th symmetric tensor product of \mathcal{H} , respectively.

Similarly, for d-dimensional fBm $B^H = (B^{H,1}, \ldots, B^{H,d})$, we can define corresponding Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^d and tensor product spaces $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes q}$ and $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot q}$. If $h = (h^1, \ldots, h^d) \in \mathcal{H}^d$, we set $B^H(h) = \sum_{j=1}^d B^{H,j}(h^j)$. Then $h \mapsto B^H(h)$ is a linear isometry between \mathcal{H}^d and the Gaussian subspace of $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_d, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d)$ generated by B^H . The q-th Wiener chaos of $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_d, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d)$, denoted by \mathfrak{H}_q , is the closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_d, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d)$ generated by the variables

$$\Big\{\prod_{j=1}^{d} H_{q_{j}}(B^{H,j}(h^{j})) | \sum_{j=1}^{d} q_{j} = q, h^{j} \in \mathcal{H}, \|h^{j}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\Big\},$$

where H_q is the q-th Hermite polynomial, defined by

$$H_q(x) = (-1)^q e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^q}{dx^q} e^{-x^2/2}.$$



For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \ge 1$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}^d$ of the form $h = (h^1, \dots, h^d)$ with $||h^j||_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$, we can write

$$h^{\otimes q} = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_q=1}^d h^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes h^{i_q}.$$

For such h, we define the mapping

$$I_q(h^{\otimes q}) = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_q=1}^d \prod_{j=1}^d H_{q_j(i_1,\dots,i_q)}(B^{H,j}(h^j)), j = 1,\dots,d$$

where $q_j(i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ denotes the number of indices in (i_1, \ldots, i_q) equal to j. The range of I_q is contained in \mathfrak{H}_q . This mapping provides a linear isometry between $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot q}$ (equipped with the norm $\sqrt{q!} \| \cdot \|_{(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes q}}$) and \mathfrak{H}_q (equipped with the L^2 -norm). Here multiple stochastic integral I_n is the d-dimensional version see in Jaramillo and Nualart [8] (or in Flandoli and Tudor [2]).

It also holds that $I_n(f) = I_n(\widetilde{f})$, where \widetilde{f} denotes the symmetrization of f. We recall that any square integrable random variable F which is measurable with respect to the σ -algebra generated by B^H can be expanded into an orthogonal sum of multiple stochastic integrals

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n),$$

where $f_n \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot n}$ are (uniquely determined) symmetric functions and $I_0(f) = \mathbb{E}(F)$.

The proof process of Wiener chaos expansion also requires the knowledge of Malliavin derivative $\mathbb D$ with respect to fBm B^H . Denote by $C_b^\infty(\mathbb R^n)$ the space of bounded smooth functions on $\mathbb R^n$. Consider the space of random variables

$$S := \{ F = g(B^H(f_1), \dots, B^H(f_n)), g \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), f_j \in \mathcal{H}^d, j = 1, \dots, d \}.$$

The Malliavin derivative of $F \in \mathcal{S}$, denoted by $\mathbb{D}F$, is given by

$$\mathbb{D}F = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{j} g(B^{H}(f_{1}), \dots, B^{H}(f_{n})) f_{j}.$$

By iteration, we can define the *n*-th derivatives D^n for every $n \ge 2$, which is an element of $L^2(\Omega, (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes n})$. For example, we write for the smooth function f,

$$\mathbb{D}f(B_t^{H,1}, \dots, B_t^{H,d}) = \mathbb{D}f(B^H(h_1), \dots, B^H(h_d))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j f(B^H(h_1), \dots, B^H(h_d))h_j, \ h_j \in \mathcal{H}^d, j = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$



where $h_j = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_j$, j = 1, 2, ..., d and

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1).$$
 (2.2)

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{D}^{n} f(B_{t}^{H,1}, \dots, B_{t}^{H,d}) = \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{n}=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{n}} f(B_{t}^{H,1}, \dots, B_{t}^{H,d}) \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} e_{i_{j}}),$$
(2.3)

where $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}^d$, $\bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_{i_j}) \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes n}$, $i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$, j = 1, 2, ..., n.

More detailed introductions to Malliavin derivative and multiple stochastic integral can be found in Nualart [12], Hu [5] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$ be defined in (1.1), then we have the Wiener chaos expansion for $k = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$,

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}).$$

(i) If d = 2, $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}$ is the element of $(\mathcal{H}^2)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$ given by

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) = \beta_q \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-1} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{2q-1} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) dr ds,$$

where $\beta_q = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi(2q-1)!} \sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}^2, i_j \in \{1,2\}, j=1,2,\ldots,2q-1, (e_{i_j} \text{ defined in } (2.2)).$

(ii) If $d \geq 3$, $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) = \beta_{q,d} \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-d/2} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{2q-1} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) dr ds,$$

where
$$\beta_{q,d} = \frac{(-1)^q}{(2q-1)!(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q,q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!\dots(q_d)!2^q}$$
 and $\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}^d, i_j \in \{1,2,\dots,d\}, j=1,2,\dots,2q-1.$

Proof The proof adopts a method similar to Lemma 7 in Hu and Nualart [6] (or the Appendix A in Das and Markowsky [1]).

(i) For the case d = 2, by Stroock's formula,

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\langle \xi, B_s^H - B_r^H \rangle} \xi_1 e^{-\varepsilon |\xi|^2/2} d\xi dr ds$$



$$= \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),$$

where $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^2)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$ and

$$f_{n,\varepsilon} \equiv f_{n,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0 < r < s < t} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{D}^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} \partial_1 f_{\varepsilon}(B_s^H - B_r^H)] dr ds$$

with $x_i \in [0, t]$ for all j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Let $i_j \in \{1, 2\}$ for all j = 1, 2, ..., n. Then by (2.3), we can compute the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{D}^n_{x_1,\dots,x_n}\partial_1 f_{\varepsilon}(B^H_s - B^H_r)] = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbb{E}[\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_n}\partial_1 f_{\varepsilon}(B^H_s - B^H_r)] \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j),$$

where $(\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) \in \mathcal{H}^2$, $i_j \in \{1, 2\}$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, $(e_{i_j} \text{ defined in (2.2)})$ and

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}[\partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{n}} \partial_{1} f_{\varepsilon}(B_{s}^{H} - B_{r}^{H})] \\ &= \frac{i^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \xi_{1}(\xi_{i_{1}} \xi_{i_{2}} \cdots \xi_{i_{n}}) \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle \xi, B_{s}^{H} - B_{r}^{H} \rangle}] e^{-\varepsilon |\xi|^{2}/2} d\xi \\ &= \frac{i^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \xi_{1}(\xi_{i_{1}} \xi_{i_{2}} \cdots \xi_{i_{n}}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)|\xi|^{2}} d\xi \\ &= (i)^{n+1} (2\pi)^{-1} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-1 - \frac{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}[X_{1} X_{i_{1}} X_{i_{2}} \cdots X_{i_{n}}], \end{split}$$

with the independent identical distribution standard Gaussian random variables X_{i_n} and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}] = \begin{cases} \frac{(2m_1)!(2m_2)!}{(m_1)!(m_2)!2^m}, & \text{if } n = 2(m_1 + m_2) - 1, \\ & \text{the number of } i_k = 1 \text{ is } 2m_1 - 1 \\ & \text{and the number of } i_k = 2 \text{is } 2m_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for $n = 2q - 1 = 2(q_1 + q_2) - 1$ with the number of $i_k = 1$ is $2q_1 - 1$ and the number of $i_k = 2$ is $2q_2$, the summation

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{n=2(q_1+q_2)-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} = \sum_{q_1+q_2=q,q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!},$$

where $\#\{i_k = x\}$ denotes the number of $i_k = x$. This gives

$$\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}]$$



$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbbm{1}_{\{n=2(q_1+q_2)-1\}} \mathbbm{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} \mathbbm{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} \frac{(2q_1)!(2q_2)!}{(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q} \\ &= \sum_{q_1+q_2=q,q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!} \frac{(2q_1)!(2q_2)!}{(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) = \beta_q \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-1} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2q-1} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) dr ds,$$

where
$$\beta_q = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi(2q-1)!} \sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}$$
.

(ii) Similarly, we can prove the case of $d \ge 3$.

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),$$

where $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$ and

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{(\iota)^{n+1}}{n!} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-(n+1/2-d/2)} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} e_{i_j})(x_j) dr ds$$

$$\times \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}],$$

with $(\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) \in \mathcal{H}^d$, $i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$, j = 1, 2, ..., n. Note that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}] = \begin{cases} \frac{(2m_1)! \cdots (2m_d)!}{(m_1)! \cdots (m_d)! 2^m}, & \text{if } n = 2(m_1 + \cdots + m_2) - 1, \\ & \text{the number of } i_k = 1 \text{ is } 2m_1 - 1 \\ & \text{and the number of } i_k = \ell \text{ is } 2m_\ell \\ & \text{for } \ell = 2, \dots, d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for $n=2q-1=2(q_1+\cdots+q_d)-1$ with the number of $i_k=1$ is $2q_1-1$ and the number of $i_k=\ell$ is $2q_\ell$, the summation

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^{d} \mathbb{1}_{\{n=2(q_1+\dots+q_d)-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=d\}=2q_d\}}$$

$$= \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!\dots(2q_d)!}.$$



This gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^d \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}] &= \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q,q_1 \geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)! \cdots (2q_d)!} \frac{(2q_1)! \cdots (2q_d)!}{(q_1)! \cdots (q_d)! 2^q} \\ &= \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q,q_1 \geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)! \cdots (q_d)! 2^q}. \end{split}$$

Thus.

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) = \beta_{q,d} \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-d/2} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2q-1} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) dr ds,$$

where
$$\beta_{q,d} = \frac{(-1)^q}{(2q-1)!(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{q_1 + \dots + q_d = q, q_1 \ge 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!\dots(q_d)!2^q}.$$

Lemma 2.3 If Hd = 1, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have (i)

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} x^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x^H)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dx = O\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

and

(ii)

$$\int_0^1 x^{2H} (\varepsilon + x^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dx = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Proof For (i), by L'Hôspital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} x^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x^H)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{H} \varepsilon^{-1-\frac{1}{2H}} (1+\varepsilon^{-1})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{H} (\varepsilon+1)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{H},$$

where we use the condition Hd = 1 in the second equality.

For (ii), take the variable transformation $x = y\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 x^{2H} (\varepsilon + x^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2H}} y^{2H} (1 + y^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dy$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2H} (\varepsilon + 1)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1}$$



$$=\frac{1}{2H},$$

where we use L'Hôspital's rule and the condition Hd = 1 in the second equality.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is taken into account, we will consider the case of Hd=1 for any $d\geq 3$ and |k|=1. By Lemma 2.2, $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$ has the following chaos decomposition

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) = \int_D f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s,r}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) dr ds$$

with $D = \{(r, s) : 0 < r < s < t\}$, where

$$f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s,r}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}) := \beta_{q,d}(|s-r|^{2H}+\varepsilon)^{-q-d/2} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{2q-1} (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j).$$

For q = 1,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\Big] = \int_{D^2} \langle f_{1,\varepsilon,s,r}, f_{1,\varepsilon,s',r'}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} dr ds dr' ds', \tag{3.1}$$

where \mathcal{H}^d is the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of the step functions (see in Sect. 2).

For q>1, we have to describe the terms $\langle f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}}$, where $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$ is the (2q-1)-th tensor product of \mathcal{H}^d . For every $x,\ u_1,\ u_2>0$, we define

$$\mu(x, u_1, u_2) = |\mathbb{E}[B_{u_1}^{H,1}(B_{x+u_2}^{H,1} - B_x^{H,1})]|. \tag{3.2}$$

For $j = 1, 2, ..., 2q - 1, i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\},\$

$$\langle \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} e_{i_j}, \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} e_{i_j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} = \langle \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}, \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
 (3.3)

Then, we have

$$\langle f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}}$$



$$= \beta_{q,d}^{2} (|s_{1} - r_{1}|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q - d/2} (|s_{2} - r_{2}|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q - d/2}$$

$$\times \langle \mathbb{1}_{[r_{1}, s_{1}]}^{2q - 1}, \mathbb{1}_{[r_{2}, s_{2}]}^{2q - 1} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes (2q - 1)}}$$

$$=: \beta_{q,d}^{2} G_{\varepsilon, r_{2} - r_{1}}^{(q,d)} (s_{1} - r_{1}, s_{2} - r_{2}),$$
(3.4)

where

$$G_{\varepsilon,x}^{(q,d)}(u_1,u_2) = \left(\varepsilon + u_1^{2H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2} - q} \left(\varepsilon + u_2^{2H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2} - q} \mu(x,u_1,u_2)^{2q - 1}.$$

Note that equations (3.2)–(3.4) here can degenerate into the case d=1 of the equations (2.18)–(2.19) in Jaramillo and Nualart [7].

Before completing the proof of the main result, we give some useful lemmas below.

Lemma 3.1

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) \right)^2 \right] = \sigma^2,$$

where $\sigma^2 = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d(1-2H)^2}$.

Proof Let $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a jointly Gaussian vector with mean zero and covariance $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2}$, let f_A is the density of (X, Y) and $f_{1,\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\varepsilon}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-dimensional density function. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[XYf_{1,\varepsilon}(X)f_{1,\varepsilon}(Y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xyf_{1,\varepsilon}(x)f_{1,\varepsilon}(y)f_A(x,y)dxdy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-2}\varepsilon^{-1}|A|^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xye^{-\frac{1}{2}(x,y)(\varepsilon^{-1}I + A^{-1})(x,y)^T}dxdy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}|A|^{-1/2}|\widetilde{A}|^{1/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xyf_{\widetilde{A}}(x,y)dxdy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1}|\varepsilon I + A|^{-1/2}\widetilde{A}_{1,2}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^2|\varepsilon I + A|^{-\frac{3}{2}}A_{1,2},$$

where $\widetilde{A} := (\varepsilon^{-1}I + A^{-1})^{-1}$, $f_{\widetilde{A}}$ denotes the density of a Gaussian vector with mean zero and covariance $\widetilde{A} = (\widetilde{A}_{i,i})_{i,i=1,2}$.

Similarly, let $\Sigma=(\Sigma_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2}$ be the covariance matrix of $(B_s^{H,1}-B_r^{H,1},B_{s'}^{H,1}-B_{r'}^{H,1})$, and Σ^{d-1} be the covariance matrix of $(\widetilde{B}_s^H-\widetilde{B}_r^H,\widetilde{B}_s^H-\widetilde{B}_{r'}^H)$ $(\widetilde{B}^H$ denotes the (d-1)-dimensional fBm). The notations f_Σ and $f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}$ represent their density functions, respectively. It is easy to find that Σ^{d-1} is a block diagonal matrix, and that the dimension of it is $2(d-1)\times 2(d-1)$. Then,

$$(2\pi\varepsilon)^{-(d-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(d-1)}} e^{-\frac{x_2^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 + y_d^2}{2\varepsilon}} f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}(x_2, \dots, x_d, y_2, \dots, y_d) dx_2 \cdots dx_d dy_2 \cdots dy_d$$



$$= \left((2\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\varepsilon}} f_{\Sigma}(x, y) dx dy \right)^{d-1}$$

= $\left((2\pi)^{-1} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-1/2} \right)^{d-1}$.

Thus, for any Gaussian vector $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and k-th (k = (1, 0, ..., 0)) order derivative, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(X)f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(Y)] &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}(2\pi\varepsilon)^{-d}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}Y_{1}e^{-\frac{X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+X_{d}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+Y_{d}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}(2\pi\varepsilon)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}x_{1}y_{1}e^{-\frac{X_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}}f_{\Sigma}(x_{1},y_{1})\mathrm{d}x_{1}\mathrm{d}y_{1} \\ &\times (2\pi\varepsilon)^{-(d-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}(d-1)}e^{-\frac{X_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+X_{d}^{2}+y_{d}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}}f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y})d\widetilde{x}d\widetilde{y} \\ &= \varepsilon^{-2}(2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{2}|\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{3}{2}}\Sigma_{1,2} \times (2\pi)^{-(d-1)}|\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d}|\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1}\Sigma_{1,2}. \end{split}$$

where $\widetilde{x} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$, $\widetilde{y} = (y_2, \dots, y_d)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)\right|^{2}\right] = V_{1}(\varepsilon) + V_{2}(\varepsilon) + V_{3}(\varepsilon)$$

with

$$V_i(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{D_i} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} |\mu| dr ds dr' ds', \tag{3.5}$$

where D_i (i=1, 2, 3) defined in Lemma 2.1 and Σ is a covariance matrix with $\Sigma_{1,1} = \lambda$, $\Sigma_{2,2} = \rho$, $\Sigma_{1,2} = \mu$ given in Lemma 2.1.

Next, we will split the proof into three parts to consider $V_1(\varepsilon)$, $V_2(\varepsilon)$ and $V_3(\varepsilon)$, respectively.

For the $V_1(\varepsilon)$ term, changing the coordinates (r, r', s, s') by (r, a = r' - r, b = s - r', c = s' - s) and integrating the r variable, we get

$$\begin{split} V_1(\varepsilon) &\leq C \, \int_{[0,t]^4} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d} r \mathrm{d} a \mathrm{d} b \mathrm{d} c \\ &= C \, \int_{[0,t]^3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d} a \mathrm{d} b \mathrm{d} c \\ &=: \widetilde{V}_1(\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 Case (i), for some C > 0, we get

$$|\varepsilon I + \Sigma| = (\varepsilon + \Sigma_{1,1})(\varepsilon + \Sigma_{2,2}) - \Sigma_{1,2}^2 = \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon(\Sigma_{1,1} + \Sigma_{2,2}) - |\Sigma|$$



$$\geq C \Big[\varepsilon^{2} + \varepsilon ((a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H}) + a^{2H} (c+b)^{2H} + c^{2H} (a+b)^{2H} \Big]$$

$$\geq C \Big[\varepsilon^{2} + (a+b)^{H} (b+c)^{H} (\varepsilon + (ac)^{H}) \Big]$$

$$\geq C (a+b)^{H} (b+c)^{H} (\varepsilon + (ac)^{H}),$$
(3.6)

where we use the Young's inequality in the second to last inequality. Substituting (3.6) and

$$|\mu| = \frac{1}{2} \left| (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H} \right| \le \sqrt{\lambda \rho} = (a+b)^H (b+c)^H$$

into the integrand of $\widetilde{V}_1(\varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_{1}(\varepsilon) &\leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} (a+b)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} (b+c)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} \Big(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ &\leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} (a+b)^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} (a+b)^{-H} (b+c)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} \Big(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ &\leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} b^{-H-\frac{Hd}{2}} a^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} \Big(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-\frac{d}{2}-1} \int_{0}^{t \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} \int_{0}^{t} a^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} \Big(1 + (ac)^{H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c, \end{split}$$

where we make the change of variable $c=c \, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}$ in the last inequality. By L'Hôspital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widetilde{V}_{1}(\varepsilon) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{-\frac{Ct}{H}\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{1}{H}} \int_{0}^{t} a^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t^{H}a^{H}\varepsilon^{-1})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} da}{(1-\frac{1}{2H})\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\frac{Ct}{H}}{\frac{1}{2H}-1} \int_{0}^{t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} a^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t^{H}a^{H})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} da$$

$$= O\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where we have used Lemma 2.3 in the last equality.

So, we can obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_1(\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$



For the $V_2(\varepsilon)$ term, changing the coordinates (r, r', s, s') by (r, a = r' - r, b = s' - r', c = s - s') and integrating the r variable, then by (3.5), we get

$$V_2(\varepsilon) \le C \int_{[0,t]^3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c =: \widetilde{V}_2(\varepsilon).$$

By Lemma 2.1 Case (ii),

$$|\varepsilon I + \Sigma| = (\varepsilon + \Sigma_{1,1})(\varepsilon + \Sigma_{2,2}) - \Sigma_{1,2}^2 \ge \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + K_2 b^{2H} (a^{2H} + c^{2H}).$$

Then, we have

$$\widetilde{V}_2(\varepsilon) \leq C \int_{[0,t]^3} |\mu| \Big(\varepsilon ((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H} (a^{2H} + c^{2H}) \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c.$$

Next, we need to estimate this integral over the regions $\{b \leq (a \vee c)\}$ and $\{b > (a \vee c)\}$ separately, and denote these two integrals by $V_{2,1}(\varepsilon)$ and $V_{2,2}(\varepsilon)$, respectively. Note that

$$\begin{split} |\mu| &= \frac{1}{2} \Big((a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H} \Big) \\ &= Hb \int_0^1 \Big((a+bv)^{2H-1} + (c+bv)^{2H-1} \Big) \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leq b^{2H} \wedge \Big(2Hb(a \wedge c)^{2H-1} \Big) \, . \end{split}$$

If $b \le (a \lor c)$, we choose $|\mu| \le b^{2H}$. Thus,

$$\widetilde{V_{2,1}}(\varepsilon) \le C \int_{[0,t]^3} b^{2H} \Big(\varepsilon (a \vee c)^{2H} + b^{2H} (a \vee c)^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} da db dc$$

$$\le C \int_{[0,t]^3} (a \vee c)^{-1 - 2H} b^{2H} \Big(\varepsilon + b^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} da db dc$$

$$\le C \int_0^t b^{2H} \Big(\varepsilon + b^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} db$$

$$= O(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}), \ as \ \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where we have used Lemma 2.3 in the last equality and the following fact

$$\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H}(a^{2H} + c^{2H}) > \varepsilon(a \vee c)^{2H} + b^{2H}(a \vee c)^{2H}$$



If $b > (a \lor c)$, we choose $|\mu| \le 2Hb(a \land c)^{2H-1}$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\widetilde{V_{2,2}}(\varepsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{[0,t]^3} b(a \wedge c)^{2H-1} [b^{2H}(\varepsilon + (a \vee c)^{2H})]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t b^{-2H} db \int_{[0,t]^2} (a \wedge c)^{2H-1} (\varepsilon + (a \vee c)^{2H})]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}a \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \int_0^a c^{2H-1} [\varepsilon + a^{2H}]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}a \\ & = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t a^{2H} (\varepsilon + a^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a < \infty, \end{split}$$

where we have used the following fact

$$\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H}(a^{2H} + c^{2H}) \ge \varepsilon b^{2H} + b^{2H}(a \vee c)^{2H}.$$

So, by the above result, we can obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_2(\varepsilon) = 0.$$
 (3.8)

For the $V_3(\varepsilon)$ term.

$$V_3(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{D_3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-d/2 - 1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}s' \mathrm{d}r'.$$

By changing the coordinates (r, r', s, s') by (r, a = s - r, b = r' - s, c = s' - r'), then from (3.2) and Lemma 2.1 Case (iii), we can write

$$\mu(a+b,a,c) = |\mu| = \frac{1}{2} \left| (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - (b+c)^{2H} - (a+b)^{2H} \right|$$
$$= H(1-2H)ac \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (b+ax+cy)^{2H-2} dx dy.$$

and $|\varepsilon I+\Sigma|=\varepsilon^2+\varepsilon(a^{2H}+c^{2H})+(ac)^{2H}-\mu(a+b,a,c)^2$. It is not hard to see that

$$\begin{split} V_{3}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{[0,t]^{3}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,t)}(a+b+c)(t-a-b-c) |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-d/2-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^{2} \times [0,t]} \mathbb{1}_{(0,t)}(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c)) \\ &\times \frac{(t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)}{\left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})-\varepsilon^{-2}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)^{2}\right]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-2(d/2+1)} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}b, \end{split}$$



where we change the coordinates (a, b, c) by $(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}a, b, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}c)$ in the last equality. Denote

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_3(\varepsilon) &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{O_{\varepsilon,3}} \mathbbm{1}_{(0,t)} (b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c)) \\ &\times \frac{(t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)}{\left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})-\varepsilon^{-2}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)^2\right]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-2(d/2+1)} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c\mathrm{d}b, \end{split}$$

where $O_{\varepsilon,3} = \{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2 \times [(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}, t]\}.$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_3(\varepsilon). \tag{3.9}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} & \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} |V_3(\varepsilon) - \widetilde{V}_3(\varepsilon)| \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2 \times [0,(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}]} \varepsilon \mu(a,a,c) \\ & \times \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) - \mu^2(a,a,c) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-2(d/2+1)} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c\mathrm{d}b \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} (\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \\ & \times \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} (a \wedge c)^{2H} \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-3} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-2} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} (ac)^H \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-3} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-2} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} (ac)^H \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ & \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-3} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-2} \\ & = 0, \end{split}$$

where we use

$$\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a + b, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) < \mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a + 0, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) = \varepsilon\mu(a, a, c)$$

in the first inequality and use $\mu(a, a, c) \leq (a \wedge c)^{2H}$,

$$(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) - \mu^2(a,a,c) \ge \frac{3}{4}(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})$$

in the second inequality.



By the definition of $\mu(a+b,a,c)$, it is easy to find

$$\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) = H(1-2H)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}}ac\int_{[0,1]^2} (b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}av_1+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}cv_2)^{2H-2} dv_1 dv_2$$

and use the Taylor's theorem for integrand,

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) = H(1-2H)acb^{2H-2} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}ac(a+c)).$$

Similarly, the denominator of the integrand in $V_3(\varepsilon)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} & \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) - \varepsilon^{-2} \mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a + b, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)^2 \Big]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \\ & = \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} + O\Big(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{H}-2}a^2c^2[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})]^{-\frac{d}{2}-3} \Big). \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^t b^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}b = \frac{1}{1-2H},$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H} + \frac{2}{H} - d - 2} ac(a+c) \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{H} - 2 + \frac{2}{H} - d - 2} a^3 c^3 \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 3} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ &= 0. \end{split} \tag{3.10}$$

Then, by L'Hôspital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_{3}(\varepsilon)
= H(1-2H) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^{t} (t-b) b^{2H-2} db
\times \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^{2}} ac \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dadc
= H(1-2H) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \times \frac{t}{1-2H} \times \frac{t^{2-4H}}{(1-2H)^{2}}.$$
(3.11)

Together (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11), we can see

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E} \Big[\Big| \Big(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \Big)^{H-1/2} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) \Big|^2 \Big] = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d (1-2H)^2} =: \sigma^2.$$



Lemma 3.2 For $I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})$ given in (3.1), then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E} \Big[\Big| \Big(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \Big)^{H-1/2} I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon}) \Big|^2 \Big] = \sigma^2.$$

Proof From (3.1), we can find

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\Big] = \Big(V_1^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_2^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon)\Big),\tag{3.12}$$

where $V_i^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2 \int_{D_i} \langle f_{1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} dr_1 dr_2 ds_1 ds_2$ for i=1,2,3, and $\langle f_{1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d}$ was defined in (3.4). Then we have

$$0 \le V_i^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \le V_i(\varepsilon). \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.7) and (3.8), we can see

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \left(V_1^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_2^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \right) = 0.$$

Thus, we only need to consider $\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{2H-1}V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. By (3.1), (3.4) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{split} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) &= 2\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_{D_3} G_{\varepsilon,r'-r}^{(1)}(s-r,s'-r') \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}r' \mathrm{d}s' \\ &= 2\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_{[0,t]^3} \int_0^{t-(a+b+c)} \mathbbm{1}_{(0,t)}(a+b+c) (\varepsilon+a^{2H})^{-d/2-1} \\ &\quad \times (\varepsilon+c^{2H})^{-d/2-1} \mu(a+b,a,c) \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c \\ &= 2H(1-2H)\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_0^t \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} \mathbbm{1}_{(0,t)} \Big((b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big) \\ &\quad \times \Big(t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big) \\ &\quad \times \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-d/2-1} ac \Big(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1+cv_2) \Big)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v_1 \mathrm{d}v_2 \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}b. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} \left(b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} (av_1 + cv_2) \right)^{2H-2} dv_1 dv_2 = b^{2H-2} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} (a+c))$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} \left(t - b - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} (a+c) \right) \left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-d/2-1}$$



$$ac\left(b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1 + cv_2)\right)^{2H-2} dv_1 dv_2$$

$$= (t - b)b^{2H-2}ac\left[(1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H})\right]^{-d/2-1}$$

$$+ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a + c)ac\left[(1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H})\right]^{-d/2-1}\right).$$

Similar to (3.9), we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_{3}^{(1)}(\varepsilon) &= 2H(1-2H)\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^t \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} \mathbbm{1}_{(0,t)} \Big((b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big) \\ & \Big(t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big) \\ & \times \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-d/2-1} ac \Big(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1+cv_2) \Big)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v_1 \mathrm{d}v_2 \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}b. \end{split}$$

According to (3.10) and (3.11), we can find that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \\ &= 2H(1-2H)\beta_{1,d}^2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^t (t-b)b^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}b \\ &\quad \times \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} ac \Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a\mathrm{d}c \\ &= H(1-2H)\frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \times \frac{t}{1-2H} \times \frac{t^{2-4H}}{(1-2H)^2} = \sigma^2, \end{split}$$

where we use $\beta_{1,d}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}$ in the second equality.

Thus,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By Lemmas 3.1–3.2 and

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) = I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon}) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),$$



we can see

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1 / \varepsilon \right)^{H - 1/2} \sum_{q = 2}^{\infty} I_{2q - 1}(f_{2q - 1, \varepsilon}) \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Since $I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})$ is Gaussian, we have, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-1/2}I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\overset{law}{\to}N(0,\sigma^2).$$

Thus,

$$\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-1/2}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)\overset{law}{\to}N(0,\sigma^2),$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees and editors for their insightful and valuable comments.

Data Availability The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Das, K., Markowsky, G.: Existence, renormalization, and regularity properties of higher order derivatives of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 40(1), 133–157 (2022)
- Flandoli, F., Tudor, C.A.: Brownian and fractional Brownian stochastic currents via Malliavin calculus. J. Funct. Anal. 258(1), 279–306 (2010)
- Guo, J., Hu, Y., Xiao, Y.: Higher-order derivative of intersection local time for two independent fractional Brownian motions. J. Theoret. Probab. 32(3), 1190–1201 (2019)
- Hu, Y.: Self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motions-via chaos expansion. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 41(2), 233–250 (2001)
- 5. Hu, Y.: Analysis on Gaussian Spaces. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack (2017)
- Hu, Y., Nualart, D.: Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Probab. 33(3), 948–983 (2005)
- Jaramillo, A., Nualart, D.: Asymptotic properties of the derivative of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Process. Appl. 127(2), 669–700 (2017)
- Jaramillo, A., Nualart, D.: Functional limit theorem for the self-intersection local time of the fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 55(1), 480–527 (2019)
- Jung, P., Markowsky, G.: On the Tanaka formula for the derivative of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Process. Appl. 124(11), 3846–3868 (2014)
- Jung, P., Markowsky, G.: Hölder continuity and occupation-time formulas for fBm self-intersection local time and its derivative. J. Theoret. Probab. 28(1), 299–312 (2015)



- Markowsky, G.: Renormalization and convergence in law for the derivative of intersection local time in R². Stoch. Process. Appl. 118(9), 1552–1585 (2008)
- 12. Nualart, D.: The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, 2nd edn. Springer, Secaucus (2006)
- Rosen, J.: The intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion in the plane. J. Multivar. Anal. 23(1), 37–46 (1987)
- Rosen, J.: Derivatives of self-intersection local times. Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII 263–281 (2005)
- Xiao, Y.: Hölder conditions for the local times and the Hausdorff measure of the level sets of Gaussian random fields. Probab. Theory Related Fields. 109(1), 129–157 (1997)
- Yan, L., Yang, X., Lu, Y.: p-Variation of an integral functional driven by fractional Brownian motion. Statist. Probab. Lett. 78(9), 1148–1157 (2008)
- 17. Yan, L., Liu, J., Yang, X.: Integration with respect to fractional local time with Hurst index 1/2 < H < 1. Potential Anal. 30(2), 115-138 (2009)
- Yu, Q.: Higher-order derivative of self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. J. Theoret. Probab. 34(4), 1749–1774 (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

