

# **Limit Theorem for Self-intersection Local Time Derivative o[f](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1007/s10959-023-01300-6&domain=pdf) Multidimensional Fractional Brownian Motion**

**Qian Yu1 · Xianye Yu2**

Received: 25 April 2023 / Revised: 16 October 2023 / Accepted: 19 October 2023 / Published online: 9 November 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

## **Abstract**

The existence condition  $H < 1/d$  for first-order derivative of self-intersection local time for *d* ≥ 3 dimensional fractional Brownian motion was obtained in Yu (J Theoret Probab 34(4):1749–1774, 2021). In this paper, we establish a limit theorem under the nonexistence critical condition  $H = 1/d$ .

**Keywords** Self-intersection local time · Fractional Brownian motion · Limit theorem

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020)** Primary 60G22; Secondary 60J55

# **1 Introduction**

Consider a *d*-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ , which is a *d*-dimensional centered Gaussian process  $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \geq 0\}$ 0} with component processes being independent copies of a 1-dimensional centered Gaussian process  $B^{H,i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., d$  and the covariance function given by

$$
\mathbb{E}[B_t^{H,i} B_s^{H,i}] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right].
$$

Xianye Yu xianyeyu@gmail.com

Q. Yu is partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (NS2022072), National Natural Science Foundation of China (12201294) and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (BK20220865). X. Yu is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071493).

 $\boxtimes$  Qian Yu qyumath@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211106, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018, China

Note that  $B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a classical standard Brownian motion. Let  $D = \{(r, s) : 0 < r < s <$ *t*}. The self-intersection local time (SLT) of fBm was first investigated in Rosen [\[13\]](#page-21-0) and formally defined as

$$
\alpha_t(y) = \int_D \delta(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds,
$$

where  $B^H$  is a 2-dimensional fBm and  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function. It was further investigated in Hu [\[4\]](#page-20-0), Hu and Nualart [\[6](#page-20-1)]. In particular, Hu and Nualart [\[6\]](#page-20-1) showed its existence whenever  $Hd < 1$ . Moreover,  $\alpha_t(y)$  is Hölder continuous in time of any order strictly less than  $1 - H$  which can be derived from Xiao [\[15](#page-21-1)].

The derivative of self-intersection local time (DSLT) for fBm was first considered in the works by Yan et al. [\[16,](#page-21-2) [17\]](#page-21-3), where the ideas were borrowed form Rosen [\[14](#page-21-4)]. The DSLT for fBm has two versions. One is extended by the Tanaka formula (see in Jung and Markowsky [\[9\]](#page-20-2)):

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}'_t(y) = -H \int_D \delta'(B_s^H - B_r^H - y)(s-r)^{2H-1} dr ds.
$$

The other is from the occupation-time formula (see Jung and Markowsky  $[10]$ ):

$$
\widehat{\alpha}'_t(y) = -\int_D \delta'(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds.
$$

Motivated by the first-order DSLT for fBm in Jung and Markowsky [\[10](#page-20-3)] and the *k*-th-order derivative of intersection local time (ILT) for fBm in Guo et al. [\[3\]](#page-20-4), we will consider the following *k*-th-order DSLT for fBm in this paper,

$$
\begin{aligned} \widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y) &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial_{y_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{y_d}^{k_d}} \int_D \delta(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= (-1)^{|k|} \int_D \delta^{(k)}(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}
$$

where  $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$  is a multi-index with all  $k_i$  being nonnegative integers and  $|k| = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_d$ ,  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function of *d* variables and  $\delta^{(k)}(y) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^i} - \delta(y)$  is the *k*-th-order partial derivative of  $\delta$ ∂ *k*1 *<sup>y</sup>*<sup>1</sup> ...∂*kd yd*  $\delta(y)$  is the *k*-th-order partial derivative of  $\delta$ .

Set

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi \varepsilon)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i \langle p, x \rangle} e^{-\varepsilon \frac{|p|^2}{2}} dp,
$$

where  $\langle p, x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j x_j$  and  $|p|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j^2$ .

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

Since the Dirac delta function  $\delta$  can be approximated by  $f_{\varepsilon}(x)$ , we approximate  $\delta^{(k)}$  and  $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$  by

$$
f_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{i^{|k|}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1^{k_1} \dots p_d^{k_d} e^{i \langle p, x \rangle} e^{-\varepsilon \frac{|p|^2}{2}} dp
$$

and

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y) = (-1)^{|k|} \int_D f_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(B_s^H - B_r^H - y) dr ds,
$$
\n(1.1)

respectively.

If  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$  converges to a random variable in  $L^p$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we denote the limit by  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$  and call it the *k*-th DSLT of  $B^H$ .<br>Personally *N*<sub>2</sub> [19] studied the sylutons

Recently, Yu [\[18\]](#page-21-5) studied the existence and Hölder continuity conditions of  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ <br>directed limit theorem in gritical associated the suistance condition for  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$ and related limit theorem in critical case. We recall the existence condition for  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$  in  $I^2$  as follows in  $L^2$  as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** [\[18](#page-21-5)] *For*  $0 < H < 1$  *and*  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(k)}(y)$  *defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0)*, let* # :=<br> *#lk*: *is add i* = 1.2 *d denotes the add number of k*; *for i* = 1.2 *d*  $#{k_i \text{ is odd, } i = 1, 2, \ldots d}$  *denotes the odd number of k<sub>i</sub>*, *for*  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ . *If*  $H < \min\{\frac{2}{2|k|+d}, \frac{1}{|k|+d-4}, \frac{1}{d}\}$  for  $|k| = \sum_{j=1}^d k_j$ , then  $\widehat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(0)$  exists in  $L^2$ .

Note that, if  $|k| = 1$ , the existence condition of  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(0)$  is  $H < 1/d$ , and  $Hd = 1$  is the critical condition of  $\hat{\alpha}_t^{(k)}(y)$  for any  $d \ge 2$ . When  $Hd = 1$  for  $d = 2$ , Markowsky [\[11](#page-21-6)] proved the limit theorem for  $|k| = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2**  $[11]$  $[11]$   $\widehat{\alpha}_{i,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$  *is defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) *with*  $y = 0$ *. Suppose that*  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ *, d* = 2 *and*  $|k| = 1$  *then* as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ *and*  $|k| = 1$ *, then as*  $\varepsilon \to 0$ *,* 

$$
\left(\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{-1} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) \stackrel{law}{\rightarrow} N\left(0, \sigma_0^2\right).
$$

In this paper, we will consider the case of  $Hd = 1$  for any  $d \geq 3$  and  $|k| = 1$ , and prove a limit theorem for  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(k)}(0)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 0, k_1 = 0$  for the multi-index  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  and for the  $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 0, \ldots, k_d = 0$  for the multi-index  $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$ , and for the convenience of writing, we will abbreviate  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(1,0,...,0)}(0)$  as  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(1)}(0)$  in the subsequent content of this paper without causing confusion content of this paper without causing confusion.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 1.3**  $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(k)}(y)$  *is defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) *with*  $y = 0$ *. Suppose that*  $Hd = 1$  *for any*  $d > 3$  *and*  $|k| = 1$  *Then as*  $s \to 0$ , we have  $d \geq 3$  *and*  $|k| = 1$ *. Then, as*  $\varepsilon \to 0$ *, we have* 

$$
\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) \stackrel{law}{\rightarrow} N(0,\sigma^2),
$$

 $where \sigma^2 = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d(1-2H)^2}.$ 

 $\bigcirc$  Springer

When  $|k| = 1$ , under the condition  $H > 1/d$ , the behavior of  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ <br>also of interest. One would expect a central limit theorem to exist, but this remains is also of interest. One would expect a central limit theorem to exist, but this remains unproved. Nevertheless, we venture the following conjecture

(1) If  $H = \frac{2}{d+2} > \frac{1}{d}$  and  $d \ge 3$ ,  $(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\gamma_1(H)} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$  converges in distribution to a rmal law for some  $\gamma_1(H) < 0$ . normal law for some  $\gamma_1(H) < 0$ ;

(2) If  $H > \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{2}{d+2}$  and  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\gamma_2(H)} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$  converges in distribution to a normal  $\alpha$  for some  $\gamma_2(H) > 0$ . law for some  $\gamma_2(H) > 0$ ;

(3) If  $\frac{2}{d+2} < H < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $d \ge 3$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\gamma_3(H)}(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\gamma_4(H)}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$  converges in distribution a normal law for some  $\varepsilon_n(H) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_n(H) < 0$ to a normal law for some  $\gamma_3(H) > 0$  and  $\gamma_4(H) < 0$ .

The paper has the following structure. We state some preliminary lemmas in Sect. [2.](#page-3-0) Section [3](#page-10-0) is to prove the main result. Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter *C*, with or without a subscript, denotes a generic positive finite constant and may change from line to line.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2 Preliminaries**

In this section, we present two basic lemmas, which will be used in Sect. [3.](#page-10-0) The first lemma gives the bounds on the quantity of  $\lambda \rho - \mu^2$ , which could be obtained from the Appendix B in [\[9\]](#page-20-2) or Lemma 3.1 in [\[4](#page-20-0)]. In fact,  $\lambda$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\mu$  represent the three quantities of the covariance matrix of the increment of fBm, and the bound estimation of  $\lambda \rho - \mu^2$  is beneficial for the subsequent calculation of the convergence of multiple integrals, which will bring a lot of convenience to the proof in Sect. [3.](#page-10-0)

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 2.1** *Let*

$$
\lambda = |s - r|^{2H}, \ \rho = |s' - r'|^{2H},
$$

*and*

$$
\mu = \frac{1}{2} (|s'-r|^{2H} + |s-r'|^{2H} - |s'-s|^{2H} - |r-r'|^{2H}).
$$

*Case* (*i*) *Suppose that*  $D_1 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 | r < r' < s < s'\}, let r' - r = a$ ,  $s - r' = b$ ,  $s' - s = c$ . Then, there exists a constant  $K_1$  such that

$$
\lambda \rho - \mu^2 \ge K_1 \left( (a+b)^{2H} c^{2H} + a^{2H} (b+c)^{2H} \right)
$$

*and*

$$
2\mu = (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H}.
$$

*Case (ii) Suppose that*  $D_2 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 \mid r < r' < s' < s\}$ , let  $r' - r = a$ ,  $s' - r' = b$ ,  $s - s' = c$ . Then, there exists a constant  $K_2$  such that

$$
\lambda \rho - \mu^2 \ge K_2 b^{2H} \left( a^{2H} + c^{2H} \right)
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  Springer

*and*

$$
2\mu = (a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H}.
$$

*Case (iii) Suppose that*  $D_3 = \{(r, r', s, s') \in [0, t]^4 \mid r < s < r' < s'\}$ , let  $s - r = a$ ,  $r' - s = b$ ,  $s' - r' = c$ . Then, there exists a constant  $K_3$  such that

$$
\lambda \rho - \mu^2 \ge K_3 (ac)^{2H}
$$

*and*

$$
2\mu = (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - (a+b)^{2H} - (c+b)^{2H}.
$$

The second lemma shows the Wiener chaos expansion of  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,s}^{(k)}(0)$  with  $|k| = 1$ .<br>fore that we need to explain some notations. We will denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the Hilbert space Before that, we need to explain some notations. We will denote by  $H$  the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of the space of step functions endowed with the inner product

$$
\langle \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}, \mathbb{1}_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}[(B_b^{H,1} - B_a^{H,1})(B_d^{H,1} - B_c^{H,1})],\tag{2.1}
$$

where  $B^{H,1}$  is a 1-dimensional fBm. The mapping  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \to B^{H,1}_t$  can be extended to a linear isometry between *H* and a Gaussian subspace  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . For any integer *q* ∈ N, we denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes q}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{\odot q}$  the *q*-th tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the *q*-th symmetric tensor product of *H*, respectively.

Similarly, for  $\hat{d}$ -dimensional fBm  $B^H = (B^{H,1}, \ldots, B^{H,d})$ , we can define corresponding Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^d$  and tensor product spaces  $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes q}$  and  $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot q}$ . If  $h = (h^1, \ldots, h^d) \in \mathcal{H}^d$ , we set  $B^H(h) = \sum_{j=1}^d B^{H,j}(h^j)$ . Then  $h \mapsto B^H(h)$ is a linear isometry between  $\mathcal{H}^d$  and the Gaussian subspace of  $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times$  $\Omega_d$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d$  generated by  $B^H$ . The *q*-th Wiener chaos of  $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_d, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d)$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{H}_q$ , is the closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_d, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}_d, \mathbb{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_d)$  generated by the variables

$$
\Big\{\prod_{j=1}^d H_{q_j}(B^{H,j}(h^j))|\sum_{j=1}^d q_j = q, h^j \in \mathcal{H}, \|h^j\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\Big\},\
$$

where  $H_q$  is the  $q$ -th Hermite polynomial, defined by

$$
H_q(x) = (-1)^q e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^q}{dx^q} e^{-x^2/2}.
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}, q \ge 1$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}^d$  of the form  $h = (h^1, \dots, h^d)$  with  $\|h^j\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ , we can write

$$
h^{\otimes q} = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_q=1}^d h^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{i_q}.
$$

For such *h*, we define the mapping

$$
I_q(h^{\otimes q}) = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_q=1}^d \prod_{j=1}^d H_{q_j(i_1,\dots,i_q)}(B^{H,j}(h^j)), j = 1,\dots,d
$$

where  $q_j(i_1,\ldots,i_q)$  denotes the number of indices in  $(i_1,\ldots,i_q)$  equal to *j*. The range of  $I_q$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}_q$ . This mapping provides a linear isometry between  $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot q}$ (equipped with the norm  $\sqrt{q!}\|\cdot\|_{(\mathcal{H}^d)\otimes q}$ ) and  $\mathfrak{H}_q$  (equipped with the  $L^2$ -norm). Here multiple stochastic integral *In* is the *d*-dimensional version see in Jaramillo and Nualart [\[8](#page-20-5)] (or in Flandoli and Tudor [\[2](#page-20-6)]).

It also holds that  $I_n(f) = I_n(\tilde{f})$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  denotes the symmetrization of f. We recall that any square integrable random variable *F* which is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $B^H$  can be expanded into an orthogonal sum of multiple stochastic integrals

$$
F=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}I_n(f_n),
$$

where  $f_n \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\odot n}$  are (uniquely determined) symmetric functions and  $I_0(f)$  =  $E(F)$ .

The proof process of Wiener chaos expansion also requires the knowledge of Malliavin derivative  $\mathbb D$  with respect to fBm  $B^H$ . Denote by  $C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb R^n)$  the space of bounded smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the space of random variables

$$
S := \{ F = g(B^H(f_1), \dots, B^H(f_n)), g \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), f_j \in \mathcal{H}^d, j = 1, \dots, d \}.
$$

The Malliavin derivative of  $F \in S$ , denoted by  $\mathbb{D}F$ , is given by

$$
\mathbb{D}F=\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j g(B^H(f_1),\ldots,B^H(f_n))f_j.
$$

By iteration, we can define the *n*-th derivatives  $D^n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ , which is an element of  $L^2(\Omega, (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes n})$ . For example, we write for the smooth function *f*,

$$
\mathbb{D}f(B_t^{H,1}, \dots, B_t^{H,d}) = \mathbb{D}f(B^H(h_1), \dots, B^H(h_d))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j f(B^H(h_1), \dots, B^H(h_d))h_j, \quad h_j \in \mathcal{H}^d, j = 1, 2, \dots, d,
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  Springer

where  $h_j = 1_{[0,t]}e_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, ..., d$  and

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1).
$$
 (2.2)

Similarly,

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{D}^{n} f(B_{t}^{H,1}, \dots, B_{t}^{H,d})
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{n}=1}^{d} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{n}} f(B_{t}^{H,1}, \dots, B_{t}^{H,d}) \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_{i_{j}}),
$$
\n(2.3)

where  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}^d$ ,  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}e_{i_j}) \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes n}, i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}, j =$ 1, 2,..., *n*.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>More detailed introductions to Malliavin derivative and multiple stochastic integral can be found in Nualart [\[12\]](#page-21-7), Hu [\[5\]](#page-20-7) and the references therein.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let*  $\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(y)$  *be defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0)*, then we have the Wiener chaos expansion* for  $k = (1, 0, 0)$ *for*  $k = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ *,* 

$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}).
$$

*(i) If d* = 2*, f*<sub>2*q*−1*,ε is the element of*  $(\mathcal{H}^2)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$  *given by*</sub>

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})=\beta_q\int_{0
$$

where  $\beta_q = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi(2q-1)!} \sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}^2, i_j \in$ {1, 2},  $j = 1, 2, ..., 2q - 1$ , (e<sub>ij</sub> defined in [\(2.2\)](#page-6-0)).

$$
(ii) If  $d \geq 3$ ,  $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$
$$

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})=\beta_{q,d}\int_{0
$$

where  $\beta_{q,d} = \frac{(-1)^q}{(2q-1)!(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{q_1+\cdots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!\cdots(q_d)!2^q}$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j} \in$  $\mathcal{H}^d$ ,  $i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, ..., 2q - 1$ .

*Proof* The proof adopts a method similar to Lemma 7 in Hu and Nualart [\[6](#page-20-1)] (or the Appendix A in Das and Markowsky [\[1](#page-20-8)]).

(i) For the case  $d = 2$ , by Stroock's formula,

$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\langle \xi, B_s^H - B_r^H \rangle} \xi_1 e^{-\varepsilon |\xi|^2/2} d\xi dr ds
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
=\sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),
$$

where  $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^2)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$  and

$$
f_{n,\varepsilon} \equiv f_{n,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0 < r < s < t} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{D}_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}^n \partial_1 f_{\varepsilon}(B_s^H - B_r^H)] dr ds
$$

with  $x_j \in [0, t]$  for all  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Let  $i_j \in \{1, 2\}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Then by [\(2.3\)](#page-6-1), we can compute the expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{D}_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}^n \partial_1 f_{\varepsilon} (B_s^H - B_r^H)] = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbb{E}[\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \partial_1 f_{\varepsilon} (B_s^H - B_r^H)] \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} e_{i_j})(x_j),
$$

where  $(1\mathbf{1}_{[r,s]}e_i)(x_j) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ ,  $i_j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ ,  $(e_{i_j}$  defined in [\(2.2\)](#page-6-0)) and

$$
\mathbb{E}[\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \partial_1 f_{\varepsilon} (B_s^H - B_r^H)]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{i^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_1(\xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_n}) \mathbb{E}[e^{i \langle \xi, B_s^H - B_r^H \rangle}] e^{-\varepsilon |\xi|^2/2} d\xi
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{i^{n+1}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_1(\xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_n}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)|\xi|^2} d\xi
$$
\n
$$
= (i)^{n+1} (2\pi)^{-1} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-1 - \frac{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}],
$$

with the independent identical distribution standard Gaussian random variables  $X_{i_n}$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}] = \begin{cases} \frac{(2m_1)!(2m_2)!}{(m_1)!(m_2)!2^m}, & \text{if } n = 2(m_1 + m_2) - 1, \\ \text{the number of } i_k = 1 \text{ is } 2m_1 - 1 \\ \text{and the number of } i_k = 2 \text{ is } 2m_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then, for  $n = 2q - 1 = 2(q_1 + q_2) - 1$  with the number of  $i_k = 1$  is  $2q_1 - 1$  and the number of  $i_k = 2$  is  $2q_2$ , the summation

$$
\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{n=2(q_1+q_2)-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\#\{i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} = \sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!},
$$

where  $\# \{i_k = x\}$  denotes the number of  $i_k = x$ . This gives

$$
\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^{2} \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}]
$$

$$
= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^{2} 1\!\!1_{\{n=2(q_1+q_2)-1\}} 1\!\!1_{\{\#[i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} 1\!\!1_{\{\#[i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} \frac{(2q_1)!(2q_2)!}{(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!} \frac{(2q_1)!(2q_2)!}{(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}.
$$

Thus, we have

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})=\beta_q\int_{0
$$

where  $\beta_q = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi(2q-1)!} \sum_{q_1+q_2=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!(q_2)!2^q}$ . (ii) Similarly, we can prove the case of  $d \geq 3$ .

$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),
$$

where  $f_{2q-1,\varepsilon} \in (\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$  and

$$
f_{n,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{(\iota)^{n+1}}{n!} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{0 < r < s < t} (|s-r|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-(n+1/2-d/2)} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}s
$$

$$
\times \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n=1}^d \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}],
$$

with  $(\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j) \in \mathcal{H}^d, i_j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Note that

$$
\mathbb{E}[X_1 X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_n}] = \begin{cases}\n\frac{(2m_1)! \cdots (2m_d)!}{(m_1)! \cdots (m_d)! 2^m}, & \text{if } n = 2(m_1 + \cdots + m_2) - 1, \\
\text{the number of } i_k = 1 \text{ is } 2m_1 - 1 \\
\text{and the number of } i_k = \ell \text{ is } 2m_\ell \\
\text{for } \ell = 2, \dots, d, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

Then, for  $n = 2q - 1 = 2(q_1 + \cdots + q_d) - 1$  with the number of  $i_k = 1$  is  $2q_1 - 1$ and the number of  $i_k = \ell$  is  $2q_\ell$ , the summation

$$
\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^d 1_{\{n=2(q_1+\dots+q_d)-1\}} 1_{\{\#\{i_k=1\}=2q_1-1\}} 1_{\{\#\{i_k=2\}=2q_2\}} \times \dots \times 1_{\{\#\{i_k=d\}=2q_d\}}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!\cdots(2q_d)!}.
$$

This gives

$$
\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^d \mathbb{E}[X_1X_{i_1}X_{i_2}\cdots X_{i_n}] = \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(2q_2)!\cdots(2q_d)!} \frac{(2q_1)!\cdots(2q_d)!}{(q_1)!\cdots(q_d)!2^q}
$$

$$
= \sum_{q_1+\dots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!\cdots(q_d)!2^q}.
$$

Thus,

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})=\beta_{q,d}\int_{0
$$

where 
$$
\beta_{q,d} = \frac{(-1)^q}{(2q-1)!(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{q_1+\cdots+q_d=q, q_1\geq 1} \frac{(2q-1)!(2q_1)!}{(2q_1-1)!(q_1)!\cdots(q_d)!2^q}
$$
.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 2.3** *If Hd* = 1*, as*  $\varepsilon \to 0$ *, we have (i)*

$$
\int_0^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} x^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x^H)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dx = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

*and*

*(ii)*

$$
\int_0^1 x^{2H} (\varepsilon + x^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dx = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).
$$

*Proof* For (i), by L'Hôspital's rule, we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} x^{H - \frac{1}{2}} (1 + x^H)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{H} \varepsilon^{-1 - \frac{1}{2H}} (1 + \varepsilon^{-1})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{H} (\varepsilon + 1)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{H},
$$

where we use the condition  $Hd = 1$  in the second equality.

For (ii), take the variable transformation  $x = y \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}$ ,

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 x^{2H} (\varepsilon + x^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2H}} y^{2H} (1 + y^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} dy
$$

$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2H} (\varepsilon + 1)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2H},
$$

where we use L'Hôspital's rule and the condition  $Hd = 1$  in the second equality.

 $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>**3 Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1)**

In this section, the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) is taken into account, we will consider the case of  $Hd = 1$  for any  $d \geq 3$  and  $|k| = 1$ . By Lemma [2.2,](#page-6-2)  $\hat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)$  has the following chaos decomposition chaos decomposition

$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),
$$

where

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})=\int_D f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s,r}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1})\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s
$$

with  $D = \{(r, s) : 0 < r < s < t\}$ , where

$$
f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s,r}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2q-1}):=\beta_{q,d}(|s-r|^{2H}+\varepsilon)^{-q-d/2}\bigotimes_{j=1}^{2q-1}(\mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j})(x_j).
$$

For  $q=1$ ,

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big|I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\bigg] = \int_{D^2} \langle f_{1,\varepsilon,s,r}, f_{1,\varepsilon,s',r'} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} dr ds dr' ds',\tag{3.1}
$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^d$  is the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of the step functions (see in Sect. [2\)](#page-3-0).

For  $q > 1$ , we have to describe the terms  $\langle f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}},$ where  $(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes (2q-1)}$  is the  $(2q-1)$ -th tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}^d$ . For every *x*, *u*<sub>1</sub>, *u*<sub>2</sub> > 0, we define

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\mu(x, u_1, u_2) = |\mathbb{E}[B_{u_1}^{H,1}(B_{x+u_2}^{H,1} - B_x^{H,1})]|. \tag{3.2}
$$

For  $j = 1, 2, ..., 2q - 1, i<sub>j</sub> \in \{1, 2, ..., d\},\$ 

$$
\langle \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j}, \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}e_{i_j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} = \langle \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]}, \mathbb{1}_{[r,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.
$$
\n(3.3)

Then, we have

$$
\langle f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1},\,f_{2q-1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2}\rangle_{(\mathcal{H}^d)^{\otimes(2q-1)}}
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
= \beta_{q,d}^2 (|s_1 - r_1|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-d/2} (|s_2 - r_2|^{2H} + \varepsilon)^{-q-d/2}
$$
  
×  $\langle 1_{[r_1, s_1]}^{2q-1}, 1_{[r_2, s_2]}^{2q-1} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes (2q-1)}}$   
=:  $\beta_{q,d}^2 G_{\varepsilon, r_2 - r_1}^{(q,d)} (s_1 - r_1, s_2 - r_2),$  (3.4)

where

$$
G_{\varepsilon,x}^{(q,d)}(u_1,u_2) = \left(\varepsilon + u_1^{2H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-q} \left(\varepsilon + u_2^{2H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-q} \mu(x,u_1,u_2)^{2q-1}.
$$

Note that equations  $(3.2)$ – $(3.4)$  here can degenerate into the case  $d = 1$  of the equations  $(2.18)$ – $(2.19)$  in Jaramillo and Nualart [\[7\]](#page-20-9).

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Before completing the proof of the main result, we give some useful lemmas below.

**Lemma 3.1**

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)\Big)^2\bigg] = \sigma^2,
$$

 $where \sigma^2 = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d(1-2H)^2}.$ 

*Proof* Let  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  be a jointly Gaussian vector with mean zero and covariance  $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2}$ , let  $f_A$  is the density of  $(X, Y)$  and  $f_{1,\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\varepsilon}}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be a 1-dimensional density function. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E}[XYf_{1,\varepsilon}(X)f_{1,\varepsilon}(Y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xyf_{1,\varepsilon}(x)f_{1,\varepsilon}(y)f_A(x,y) \, dx \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^{-2} \varepsilon^{-1} |A|^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x y e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x,y)(\varepsilon^{-1}I + A^{-1})(x,y)^T} \, dx \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} |A|^{-1/2} |\tilde{A}|^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xy f_{\tilde{A}}(x,y) \, dx \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^{-1} |\varepsilon I + A|^{-1/2} \tilde{A}_{1,2}
$$
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^2 |\varepsilon I + A|^{-\frac{3}{2}} A_{1,2},
$$

where  $\widetilde{A} := (\varepsilon^{-1}I + A^{-1})^{-1}$ ,  $f_{\widetilde{A}}$  denotes the density of a Gaussian vector with mean zero and covariance  $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2}$ .

Similarly, let  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2}$  be the covariance matrix of  $(B_s^{H,1} - B_r^{H,1}, B_{s'}^{H,1})$  $B_r^{H,1}$ ), and  $\Sigma^{d-1}$  be the covariance matrix of  $(\widetilde{B}_s^H - \widetilde{B}_r^H, \widetilde{B}_s^H - \widetilde{B}_r^H)$  ( $\widetilde{B}^H$  denotes the covariance from  $f_s$  and  $f_s$  are proposed their density the (*d* − 1)-dimensional fBm). The notations  $f_{\Sigma}$  and  $f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}$  represent their density functions, respectively. It is easy to find that  $\Sigma^{d-1}$  is a block diagonal matrix, and that the dimension of it is  $2(d-1) \times 2(d-1)$ . Then,

$$
(2\pi\varepsilon)^{-(d-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(d-1)}}e^{-\frac{x_2^2+y_2^2+\cdots+x_d^2+y_d^2}{2\varepsilon}}f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}(x_2,\ldots,x_d,y_2,\ldots,y_d)dx_2\cdots dx_d dy_2\cdots dy_d
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
= \left( (2\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\varepsilon}} f_{\Sigma}(x, y) dx dy \right)^{d-1}
$$
  
=  $\left( (2\pi)^{-1} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-1/2} \right)^{d-1}.$ 

Thus, for any Gaussian vector  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $k$ -th  $(k = (1, 0, \ldots, 0))$  order derivative, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(X)f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(Y)] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} (2\pi \varepsilon)^{-d} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}Y_{1}e^{-\frac{X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+X_{d}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+Y_{d}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}}\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} (2\pi \varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1}y_{1}e^{-\frac{x_{1}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}} f_{\Sigma}(x_{1}, y_{1})dx_{1}dy_{1}
$$
\n
$$
\times (2\pi \varepsilon)^{-(d-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(d-1)}} e^{-\frac{x_{2}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{d}^{2}+Y_{d}^{2}}{2\varepsilon}} f_{\Sigma^{d-1}}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) d\widetilde{x} d\widetilde{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \varepsilon^{-2} (2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{2} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Sigma_{1,2} \times (2\pi)^{-(d-1)} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^{-d} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \Sigma_{1,2},
$$

where  $\widetilde{x} = (x_2, \ldots, x_d), \widetilde{y} = (y_2, \ldots, y_d).$ Thus,

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\bigg[\left|\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)\right|^2\bigg] = V_1(\varepsilon) + V_2(\varepsilon) + V_3(\varepsilon)
$$

with

$$
V_i(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{D_i} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| dr ds dr' ds', \qquad (3.5)
$$

where  $D_i$  (i=1, 2, 3) defined in Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) and  $\Sigma$  is a covariance matrix with  $\Sigma_{1,1} = \lambda$ ,  $\Sigma_{2,2} = \rho$ ,  $\Sigma_{1,2} = \mu$  given in Lemma [2.1.](#page-3-1)

Next, we will split the proof into three parts to consider  $V_1(\varepsilon)$ ,  $V_2(\varepsilon)$  and  $V_3(\varepsilon)$ , respectively.

**For the**  $V_1(\varepsilon)$  term, changing the coordinates  $(r, r', s, s')$  by  $(r, a = r' - r, b =$  $s - r'$ ,  $c = s' - s$ ) and integrating the *r* variable, we get

$$
V_1(\varepsilon) \le C \int_{[0,t]^4} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c
$$
  
=  $C \int_{[0,t]^3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c$   
=:  $\widetilde{V}_1(\varepsilon).$ 

Applying Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) Case (i), for some  $C > 0$ , we get

$$
|\varepsilon I + \Sigma| = (\varepsilon + \Sigma_{1,1})(\varepsilon + \Sigma_{2,2}) - \Sigma_{1,2}^2 = \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon(\Sigma_{1,1} + \Sigma_{2,2}) - |\Sigma|
$$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\geq C \Big[ \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon ((a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H}) + a^{2H} (c+b)^{2H} + c^{2H} (a+b)^{2H} \Big] \n\geq C \Big[ \varepsilon^2 + (a+b)^H (b+c)^H (\varepsilon + (ac)^H) \Big] \n\geq C (a+b)^H (b+c)^H (\varepsilon + (ac)^H),
$$
\n(3.6)

where we use the Young's inequality in the second to last inequality.

Substituting  $(3.6)$  and

$$
|\mu| = \frac{1}{2} |(a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H}| \le \sqrt{\lambda \rho} = (a+b)^{H} (b+c)^{H}
$$

into the integrand of  $V_1(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$
\widetilde{V}_{1}(\varepsilon) \leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} (a+b)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} (b+c)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} \left(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} (a+b)^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} (a+b)^{-H} (b+c)^{-\frac{Hd}{2}} \left(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \int_{[0,t]^{3}} b^{-H-\frac{Hd}{2}} a^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} \left(\varepsilon + (ac)^{H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-\frac{d}{2}-1} \int_{0}^{t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} \int_{0}^{t} a^{H-\frac{Hd}{2}} \left(1 + (ac)^{H}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c,
$$

where we make the change of variable  $c = c \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}$  in the last inequality.

By L'Hôspital's rule, we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widetilde{V}_1(\varepsilon) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{-\frac{Ct}{H} \varepsilon^{-1 - \frac{1}{H}} \int_0^t a^{H - \frac{1}{2}} (1 + t^H a^H \varepsilon^{-1})^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} da}{(1 - \frac{1}{2H}) \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\frac{Ct}{H}}{\frac{1}{2H} - 1} \int_0^{t \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}} a^{H - \frac{1}{2}} (1 + t^H a^H)^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} da
$$
\n
$$
= O\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

where we have used Lemma [2.3](#page-9-0) in the last equality.

So, we can obtain

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_1(\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{3.7}
$$

**For the**  $V_2(\varepsilon)$  **term**, changing the coordinates  $(r, r', s, s')$  by  $(r, a = r' - r, b =$  $s' - r', c = s - s'$  and integrating the *r* variable, then by [\(3.5\)](#page-12-0), we get

$$
V_2(\varepsilon) \leq C \int_{[0,t]^3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c =: \widetilde{V}_2(\varepsilon).
$$

By Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) Case (ii),

$$
|\varepsilon I + \Sigma| = (\varepsilon + \Sigma_{1,1})(\varepsilon + \Sigma_{2,2}) - \Sigma_{1,2}^2 \ge \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + K_2 b^{2H} (a^{2H} + c^{2H}).
$$

Then, we have

$$
\widetilde{V}_2(\varepsilon) \le C \int_{[0,t]^3} |\mu| \Big( \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H}(a^{2H} + c^{2H}) \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \, \mathrm{d}a \, \mathrm{d}b \, \mathrm{d}c.
$$

Next, we need to estimate this integral over the regions  ${b \leq (a \vee c)}$  and  ${b >}$  $(a \vee c)$ } separately, and denote these two integrals by  $\widetilde{V_{2,1}}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\widetilde{V_{2,2}}(\varepsilon)$ , respectively. Note that

$$
|\mu| = \frac{1}{2} \Big( (a+b)^{2H} + (b+c)^{2H} - a^{2H} - c^{2H} \Big)
$$
  
=  $Hb \int_0^1 \Big( (a+bv)^{2H-1} + (c+bv)^{2H-1} \Big) dv$   
 $\leq b^{2H} \wedge \Big( 2Hb(a \wedge c)^{2H-1} \Big).$ 

If  $b \leq (a \vee c)$ , we choose  $|\mu| \leq b^{2H}$ . Thus,

$$
\widetilde{V_{2,1}}(\varepsilon) \le C \int_{[0,t]^3} b^{2H} \Big( \varepsilon (a \vee c)^{2H} + b^{2H} (a \vee c)^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \text{d}a \text{d}b \text{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\le C \int_{[0,t]^3} (a \vee c)^{-1-2H} b^{2H} \Big( \varepsilon + b^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \text{d}a \text{d}b \text{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\le C \int_0^t b^{2H} \Big( \varepsilon + b^{2H} \Big)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \text{d}b
$$
\n
$$
= O(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,
$$

where we have used Lemma [2.3](#page-9-0) in the last equality and the following fact

$$
\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H}(a^{2H} + c^{2H}) \ge \varepsilon(a \vee c)^{2H} + b^{2H}(a \vee c)^{2H}.
$$

If  $b > (a \vee c)$ , we choose  $|\mu| \leq 2Hb(a \wedge c)^{2H-1}$ . Similarly, we have

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\widetilde{V_{2,2}}(\varepsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \le \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{[0,t]^3} b(a \wedge c)^{2H-1} [b^{2H} (\varepsilon + (a \vee c)^{2H})]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} da db dc
$$
\n
$$
\le \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t b^{-2H} db \int_{[0,t]^2} (a \wedge c)^{2H-1} (\varepsilon + (a \vee c)^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dc da
$$
\n
$$
\le \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \int_0^a c^{2H-1} [\varepsilon + a^{2H}]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} dc da
$$
\n
$$
= \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t a^{2H} (\varepsilon + a^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} da < \infty,
$$

where we have used the following fact

$$
\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon((a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H}) + b^{2H}(a^{2H} + c^{2H}) \ge \varepsilon b^{2H} + b^{2H}(a \vee c)^{2H}.
$$

So, by the above result, we can obtain

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_2(\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{3.8}
$$

For the  $V_3(\varepsilon)$  term.

$$
V_3(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{D_3} |\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-d/2 - 1} |\mu| \, \mathrm{d} s \, \mathrm{d} r \, \mathrm{d} s' \, \mathrm{d} r'.
$$

By changing the coordinates  $(r, r', s, s')$  by  $(r, a = s - r, b = r' - s, c = s' - r')$ , then from  $(3.2)$  and Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) Case (iii), we can write

$$
\mu(a+b, a, c) = |\mu| = \frac{1}{2} \Big| (a+b+c)^{2H} + b^{2H} - (b+c)^{2H} - (a+b)^{2H} \Big|
$$
  
=  $H(1-2H)ac \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (b+ax+cy)^{2H-2} dx dy.$ 

and  $|\varepsilon I + \Sigma| = \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon (a^2 H + c^2 H) + (ac)^{2H} - \mu (a + b, a, c)^2$ . It is not hard to see that

$$
V_3(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,t]^3} \mathbb{1}_{(0,t)}(a+b+c)(t-a-b-c)|\varepsilon I + \Sigma|^{-d/2-1} |\mu| \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}b \mathrm{d}c
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2 \times [0,t]} \mathbb{1}_{(0,t)}(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))
$$
  

$$
\times \frac{(t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)}{\left[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})-\varepsilon^{-2}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)^2\right]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-2(d/2+1)} \mathrm{d}a \mathrm{d}c \mathrm{d}b,
$$

where we change the coordinates  $(a, b, c)$  by  $(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}a, b, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}c)$  in the last equality. Denote

$$
\widetilde{V}_{3}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{O_{\varepsilon,3}} 1_{(0,t)}(b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))
$$
\n
$$
\times \frac{(t - b - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a + b, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)}{\left[(1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) - \varepsilon^{-2}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a + b, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)^{2}\right]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}-2(d/2+1)} \text{d}a \text{d}c \text{d}b},
$$

where  $O_{\varepsilon,3} = \{ [0, t \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2 \times [(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}, t] \}.$ We conclude that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_3(\varepsilon).
$$
 (3.9)

Indeed,

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} |V_3(\varepsilon) - \widetilde{V}_3(\varepsilon)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2 \times [0, (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}]} \varepsilon \mu(a, a, c)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) - \mu^2(a, a, c) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H} - 2(d/2 + 1)} \text{d}a \text{d}c \text{d}b
$$
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} (\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} (a \wedge c)^{2H} \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} \text{d}a \text{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H} - 3} \left( \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H - 2} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} (ac)^H \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} \text{d}a \text{d}c
$$
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_{H,d,t} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H} - 3} \left( \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H - 2}
$$
\n= 0,

where we use

$$
\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) \leq \mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+0,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) = \varepsilon\mu(a,a,c)
$$

in the first inequality and use  $\mu(a, a, c) \le (a \wedge c)^{2H}$ ,

$$
(1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) - \mu^2(a, a, c) \ge \frac{3}{4}(1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H})
$$

in the second inequality.

By the definition of  $\mu(a + b, a, c)$ , it is easy to find

$$
\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c)=H(1-2H)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{H}}ac\int_{[0,1]^2}(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}av_1+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}cv_2)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2
$$

and use the Taylor's theorem for integrand,

$$
\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\mu(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a+b,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}a,\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}c) = H(1-2H)acb^{2H-2} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}ac(a+c)).
$$

Similarly, the denominator of the integrand in  $V_3(\varepsilon)$  can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned} \left[ (1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) - \varepsilon^{-2} \mu (\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} a + b, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} a, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} c)^2 \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \\ &= \left[ (1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} + O\left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{H}-2} a^2 c^2 [(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})]^{-\frac{d}{2}-3} \right). \end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^{t} b^{2H-2} db = \frac{1}{1 - 2H},
$$

and

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H} + \frac{2}{H} - d - 2} ac(a + c) \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} da dc \n+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{H} - 2 + \frac{2}{H} - d - 2} a^3 c^3 \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 3} da dc \n= 0.
$$
\n(3.10)

Then, by L'Hôspital's rule, we have

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_{3}(\varepsilon)
$$
\n
$$
= H(1 - 2H) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^{t} (t - b) b^{2H-2} db
$$
\n
$$
\times \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^{2}} ac \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} d\alpha dc
$$
\n
$$
= H(1 - 2H) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \times \frac{t}{1 - 2H} \times \frac{t^{2-4H}}{(1 - 2H)^{2}}.
$$
\n(3.11)

Together [\(3.7\)](#page-13-1), [\(3.8\)](#page-15-0), [\(3.9\)](#page-16-0) and [\(3.11\)](#page-17-0), we can see

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}\bigg[\bigg|\bigg(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon\bigg)^{H-1/2} \widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0)\bigg|^2\bigg] = \frac{2Ht^{3-4H}}{(2\pi)^d(1-2H)^2} =: \sigma^2.
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2** *For*  $I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})$  *given in* [\(3.1\)](#page-10-2)*, then* 

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\Big(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon\Big)^{H-1/2} I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\Big] = \sigma^2.
$$

*Proof* From  $(3.1)$ , we can find

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big|I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\bigg] = \Big(V_1^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_2^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon)\Big),\tag{3.12}
$$

where  $V_i^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2 \int_{D_i} \langle f_{1,\varepsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{1,\varepsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} dr_1 dr_2 ds_1 ds_2$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\langle f_{1,\epsilon,s_1,r_1}, f_{1,\epsilon,s_2,r_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d}$  was defined in [\(3.4\)](#page-11-0). Then we have

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
0 \le V_i^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \le V_i(\varepsilon). \tag{3.13}
$$

Combining  $(3.13)$  with  $(3.7)$  and  $(3.8)$ , we can see

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \left( V_1^{(1)}(\varepsilon) + V_2^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \right) = 0.
$$

Thus, we only need to consider  $(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon)^{2H-1} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . By [\(3.1\)](#page-10-2), [\(3.4\)](#page-11-0) and [\(3.12\)](#page-18-1) we have

$$
V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_{D_3} G_{\varepsilon,r'-r}^{(1)}(s-r,s'-r') dr ds dr' ds'
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_{[0,t]^3} \int_0^{t-(a+b+c)} 1\!\!\!1_{(0,t)}(a+b+c)(\varepsilon+a^{2H})^{-d/2-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times (\varepsilon+c^{2H})^{-d/2-1} \mu(a+b,a,c) ds_1 da db dc
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2H(1-2H)\beta_{1,d}^2 \int_0^t \int_{[0,t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} 1\!\!1_{(0,t)} \Big( (b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \Big( t-b-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \Big[ (1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H}) \Big]^{-d/2-1} ac \Big( b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1+cv_2) \Big)^{2H-2} dv_1 dv_2 da dc db.
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{[0,1]^2} \left(b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1 + cv_2)\right)^{2H-2} dv_1 dv_2 = b^{2H-2} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c))
$$

and

$$
\int_{[0,1]^2} \left( t - b - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}} (a+c) \right) \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-d/2 - 1}
$$

$$
ac\left(b+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_1+cv_2)\right)^{2H-2}dv_1dv_2
$$
  
=  $(t-b)b^{2H-2}ac\Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})\Big]^{-d/2-1}$   
+  $O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c)ac\Big[(1+a^{2H})(1+c^{2H})\Big]^{-d/2-1}\right).$ 

Similar to  $(3.9)$ , we get

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon),
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{V}_{3}^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2H(1 - 2H)\beta_{1,d}^{2} \int_{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^{t} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^{2}} \int_{[0,1]^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,t)} \Big( (b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \Big)
$$
\n
$$
\left( t - b - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(a+c) \right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-d/2 - 1} ac \left( b + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2H}}(av_{1} + cv_{2}) \right)^{2H - 2} dv_{1} dv_{2} da d c d b.
$$

According to  $(3.10)$  and  $(3.11)$ , we can find that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \widetilde{V}_{3}^{(1)}(\varepsilon)
$$
\n
$$
= 2H(1 - 2H)\beta_{1,d}^{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} \int_{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-1}}^{t} (t - b)b^{2H-2} db
$$
\n
$$
\times \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \right)^{2H-1} \int_{[0, t\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2H}}]^{2}} ac \left[ (1 + a^{2H})(1 + c^{2H}) \right]^{-\frac{d}{2} - 1} d\alpha dc
$$
\n
$$
= H(1 - 2H) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d}} \times \frac{t}{1 - 2H} \times \frac{t^{2 - 4H}}{(1 - 2H)^{2}} = \sigma^{2},
$$

where we use  $\beta_{1,d}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}$  in the second equality. Thus,

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon \right)^{2H-1} V_3^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2.
$$

*Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1)* By Lemmas [3.1](#page-11-1)[–3.2](#page-17-2) and

$$
\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) = I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon}) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon}),
$$



we can see

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\Big(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}} \log 1/\varepsilon\Big)^{H-1/2} \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} I_{2q-1}(f_{2q-1,\varepsilon})\Big|^2\Big] = 0.
$$

Since  $I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})$  is Gaussian, we have, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$
\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-1/2}I_1(f_{1,\varepsilon})\stackrel{law}{\to} N(0,\sigma^2).
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{H}}\log 1/\varepsilon\right)^{H-1/2}\widehat{\alpha}_{t,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(0) \stackrel{law}{\rightarrow} N(0,\sigma^2),
$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees and editors for their insightful and valuable comments.

**Data Availability** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### **References**

- <span id="page-20-8"></span>1. Das, K., Markowsky, G.: Existence, renormalization, and regularity properties of higher order derivatives of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Anal. Appl. **40**(1), 133–157  $(2022)$
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>2. Flandoli, F., Tudor, C.A.: Brownian and fractional Brownian stochastic currents via Malliavin calculus. J. Funct. Anal. **258**(1), 279–306 (2010)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>3. Guo, J., Hu, Y., Xiao, Y.: Higher-order derivative of intersection local time for two independent fractional Brownian motions. J. Theoret. Probab. **32**(3), 1190–1201 (2019)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>4. Hu, Y.: Self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motions-via chaos expansion. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41**(2), 233–250 (2001)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>5. Hu, Y.: Analysis on Gaussian Spaces. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack (2017)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>6. Hu, Y., Nualart, D.: Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Probab. **33**(3), 948–983 (2005)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>7. Jaramillo, A., Nualart, D.: Asymptotic properties of the derivative of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Process. Appl. **127**(2), 669–700 (2017)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>8. Jaramillo, A., Nualart, D.: Functional limit theorem for the self-intersection local time of the fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **55**(1), 480–527 (2019)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>9. Jung, P., Markowsky, G.: On the Tanaka formula for the derivative of self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion. Stoch. Process. Appl. **124**(11), 3846–3868 (2014)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>10. Jung, P., Markowsky, G.: Hölder continuity and occupation-time formulas for fBm self-intersection local time and its derivative. J. Theoret. Probab. **28**(1), 299–312 (2015)

- <span id="page-21-6"></span>11. Markowsky, G.: Renormalization and convergence in law for the derivative of intersection local time in *R*2. Stoch. Process. Appl. **118**(9), 1552–1585 (2008)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>12. Nualart, D.: The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, 2nd edn. Springer, Secaucus (2006)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>13. Rosen, J.: The intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion in the plane. J. Multivar. Anal. **23**(1), 37–46 (1987)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>14. Rosen, J.: Derivatives of self-intersection local times. Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII 263–281 (2005)
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>15. Xiao, Y.: Hölder conditions for the local times and the Hausdorff measure of the level sets of Gaussian random fields. Probab. Theory Related Fields. **109**(1), 129–157 (1997)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>16. Yan, L., Yang, X., Lu, Y.: *p*-Variation of an integral functional driven by fractional Brownian motion. Statist. Probab. Lett. **78**(9), 1148–1157 (2008)
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>17. Yan, L., Liu, J., Yang, X.: Integration with respect to fractional local time with Hurst index 1/2 < *H* < 1. Potential Anal. **30**(2), 115–138 (2009)
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>18. Yu, Q.: Higher-order derivative of self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. J. Theoret. Probab. **34**(4), 1749–1774 (2021)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.