ESTIMATION OF PROBABILITIES FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL BIRTH-DEATH PROCESSES*

A. I. Zeifman¹, A. S. Sipin², A. V. Korotysheva², T. L. Panfilova², Ya. A. Satin², G. N. Shilova², and V. Yu. Korolev³

We consider a multidimensional inhomogeneous birth-death process and obtain bounds for the probabilities of the corresponding one-dimensional processes.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Multidimensional birth-death processes are objects of a number of studies in queueing theory. Firstly, the problem of the product form solutions for such models was considered; see, for instance, [6] and references therein. Some specific examples of multidimensional birth-death processes as queueing models can be found in [4,5]. If the process is inhomogeneous and the transition intensities are of a more general form, then the problem of computation of any probabilistic characteristics of the queueing model becomes considerably more complicated. In this note we try to obtain a number of such bounds. Our approach is based on the method of investigation of inhomogeneous birth-death processes; see the detailed discussion and some preliminary results in [3,7–10]. Main results of the present paper were briefly formulated in [14].

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_1(t), \ldots, X_d(t))$ be a *d*-dimensional birth-death process such that in the interval (t, t + h) the following transitions are possible with order *h*: the birth of a particle of type *j*, the death of a particle of type *j*. Let $\lambda_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t)$ be the corresponding birth rate (of the transition from the state $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \mathbf{e}_i$ to the state $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_j$), and $\mu_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t)$ be the corresponding death intensity (of the transition from the state $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \mathbf{e}_i$ to the state $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i \mathbf{e}_i$ to the state $\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{e}_j$). Denote $p_{\mathbf{m}}(t) = \Pr(\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{m})$.

Let now the (countable) state space of the vector process under consideration be ordered in a special way, say $0, 1, \ldots$. By $p_i(t)$ denote the corresponding state probabilities, and by $\mathbf{p}(t)$ denote the corresponding column vector of state probabilities. Applying our standard approach (see details in [3,8–10]), we assume in addition, that all intensity functions are linear combinations of a finite number of locally integrable on $[0, \infty)$ nonegative functions, and, moreover, that, in new enumeration,

$$\Pr\left(X\left(t+h\right) = j/X\left(t\right) = i\right) = \begin{cases} q_{ij}\left(t\right)h + \alpha_{ij}\left(t,h\right), & j \neq i, \\ 1 - \sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik}\left(t\right)h + \alpha_{i}\left(t,h\right), & j = i, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where all $\alpha_i(t, h)$ are o(h) uniformly in *i*, i.e., $\sup_i |\alpha_i(t, h)| = o(h)$. We also assume that the boundedness condition

$$\lambda_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t) \leq L < \infty, \qquad \mu_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t) \leq M < \infty,$$
(2)

holds for any j, **m** and almost all $t \ge 0$. Then the probabilistic dynamics of the process is represented by the forward Kolmogorov system:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = A(t)\mathbf{p}(t),\tag{3}$$

238 1072-3374/16/2182-0238 (c) 2016 Springer Science+Business Media New York

¹Vologda State University, Institute of Informatics Problems, FRC CSC RAS; ISEDT, RAS, Vologda, Russia, e-mail: a_zeifman@mail.ru

² Vologda State University, Vologda, Russia

³ Lomonosov Moscow State University, Institute of Informatics Problems, FRC CSC RAS, Moscow, Russia

^{*} This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, projects No. 13–07–00223, 14–07–00041, 15–01–01698, 15–07–02341; and by Ministry of Education and Science.

Proceedings of the XXXII International Seminar on Stability Problems for Stochastic Models, Trondheim, Norway, June 16–21, 2014.

where A(t) is the corresponding infinitesimal (intensity) matrix. Throughout the paper by $\|\cdot\|$ we denote the l_1 -norm, i.e., $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sum |x_i|$, and $\|B\| = \sup_j \sum_i |b_{ij}|$ for $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$. Let Ω be the set all stochastic vectors, i.e., l_1 -vectors with nonegative coordinates and unit norm. Hence assumption (2) implies the inequality

$$\|A(t)\| \leqslant H = 2d\left(L+M\right) < \infty,\tag{4}$$

for any j, **m** and almost all $t \ge 0$. Hence the operator function A(t) from l_1 into itself is bounded for almost all $t \ge 0$ and is locally integrable on $[0; \infty)$. Therefore we can consider (3) as a differential equation in the space l_1 with a bounded operator. It is well known [1] that the Cauchy problem for differential Eq. (3) has a unique solution for an arbitrary initial condition, and $\mathbf{p}(s) \in \Omega$ implies $\mathbf{p}(t) \in \Omega$ for $t \ge s \ge 0$.

2. Bounds for birth-death process

Here we briefly consider the general approach and the corresponding bounds for an inhomogeneous one-dimensional birth-death process (BDP); see details in [3,7–9]. Let X(t), $t \ge 0$, be a BDP with birth and death rates $\lambda_n(t)$ and $\mu_n(t)$, respectively. Let $p_{ij}(s,t) = \Pr\{X(t) = j | X(s) = i\}$ for $i, j \ge 0$, $0 \le s \le t$, be the transition probability functions of the process X = X(t) and $p_i(t) = \Pr\{X(t) = i\}$ be the state probabilities. By $\mathbf{p}(t) = (p_0(t), p_1(t), \dots)^T$, $t \ge 0$, we denote the column vector of state probabilities. We suppose that the boundedness assumptions (2) hold and, hence, $||A(t)|| \le H < \infty$.

1. Weak ergodicity

We recall that a Markov chain X(t) is called weakly ergodic if $\|\mathbf{p}^*(t) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(t)\| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any initial conditions $\mathbf{p}^*(0), \mathbf{p}^{**}(0)$. Put $E_k(t) = E\{X(t) | X(0) = k\}$. Consider an increasing sequence

of positive numbers $\{d_i\}, i = 1, 2, ..., d_1 = 1$, and the corresponding triangular matrix D:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_1 & d_1 & \cdots \\ 0 & d_2 & d_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & d_3 & \cdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

Let l_{1D} be the space of sequences:

$$l_{1D} = \{ \mathbf{z} = (p_1, p_2, \cdots)^T : \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1D} \equiv \|D\mathbf{z}\| < \infty \}.$$

Put

$$d = \inf_{i \ge 1} d_i = 1, \quad W = \inf_{i \ge 1} \frac{d_i}{i}, \quad g_i = \sum_{n=1}^i d_n.$$

Consider the following expressions:

$$\alpha_{k}(t) = \lambda_{k}(t) + \mu_{k+1}(t) - \frac{d_{k+1}}{d_{k}}\lambda_{k+1}(t) - \frac{d_{k-1}}{d_{k}}\mu_{k}(t), \quad k \ge 0,$$
(6)

and

$$\alpha\left(t\right) = \inf_{k \ge 0} \alpha_k\left(t\right). \tag{7}$$

The property $\mathbf{p}(t) \in \Omega$ for any $t \ge 0$ allows us to set $p_0(t) = 1 - \sum_{i \ge 1} p_i(t)$ and obtain the following system from (3) for corresponding BDP:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = B(t)\mathbf{z}(t) + \mathbf{f}(t), \tag{8}$$

240 where $\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{t}) = (p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots)^T$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}) = (\lambda_0(t), 0, 0, \dots)^T$, $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))_{i,j=1}^\infty$ and

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} -(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \mu_1), & \text{if} \quad i = j = 1, \\ \mu_2 - \lambda_0, & \text{if} \quad i = 1, \ j = 2, \\ -\lambda_0, & \text{if} \quad i = 1, \ j > 2, \\ -(\lambda_j + \mu_j), & \text{if} \quad i = j > 1, \\ \mu_j, & \text{if} \quad i = j - 1 > 1, \\ \lambda_j, & \text{if} \quad i = j + 1 > 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(9)

This is a linear non-homogeneous differential system, the solution of which can be written as

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = V(t,0)\mathbf{z}(0) + \int_0^t V(t,\tau)\mathbf{f}(\tau) d\tau, \qquad (10)$$

where $V(t,\tau) = V(t)V^{-1}(\tau)$ is the Cauchy operator of (8). Consider Eq. (8) in the space l_{1D} . We have

Next, $\mathbf{f}(t)$ and B(t) are bounded and locally integrable on $[0,\infty)$ as a vector function and an operator function in l_{1D} , respectively. Now we have the following bound for the logarithmic norm $\gamma(B(t))$ in l_{1D} :

$$\gamma(B)_{1D} = \gamma \left(DB(t)D^{-1} \right)_1 = \sup_{i \ge 0} \left(\frac{d_{i+1}}{d_i} \lambda_{i+1}(t) + \frac{d_{i-1}}{d_i} \mu_i(t) - (\lambda_i(t) + \mu_{i+1}(t)) \right) = - \inf_{k \ge 0} \left(\alpha_k(t) \right) = -\alpha(t), \quad (12)$$

in accordance with (7). Hence

$$\|V(t,s)\|_{1D} \leqslant e^{-\int\limits_{s}^{t} \alpha(\tau) d\tau}.$$
(13)

Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{p}^{*}(t) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(t)\|_{1D} = \|\mathbf{z}^{*}(t) - \mathbf{z}^{**}(t)\|_{1D} \leqslant e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \|\mathbf{p}^{*}(s) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(s)\|_{1D},$$
(14)

for any $t \ge s \ge 0$ and any initial conditions $\mathbf{p}^*(s), \mathbf{p}^{**}(s)$. Moreover, inequality $\|\mathbf{p}^*(s) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(s)\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{z}(s)\| \leq 4\|\mathbf{p}^*(s) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(s)\|_{1D}$ implies the bound

$$\|\mathbf{p}^{*}(t) - \mathbf{p}^{**}(t)\| \leqslant 4e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \sum_{i \ge 1} g_{i} |p_{i}^{*}(s) - p_{i}^{**}(s)|.$$
(15)

Let now

$$\alpha(t) \geqslant \alpha^* > 0 \tag{16}$$

for almost all $t \ge 0$. Then we obtain the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{1D} \leq \|V(t)\|_{1D} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{1D} + \int_{0}^{t} \|V(t,\tau)\|_{1D} \|\mathbf{f}(\tau)\|_{1D} \, d\tau \leq e^{-\alpha^{*}t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{1D} + \frac{H}{2\alpha^{*}}, \tag{17}$$

because $\lambda_0(t) \leq \frac{H}{2}$ for almost all $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, all $p_i(t) \geq 0$, and therefore

$$\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{1D} = \sum_{i \ge 1} p_i(t) \sum_{k=1}^i d_k \ge \sum_{i \ge N} d_i p_i(t).$$
(18)

Hence

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} d_i p_i(t) \leqslant e^{-\alpha^* t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{1D} + \frac{H}{2\alpha^*},\tag{19}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} p_i(t) \leqslant d_N^{-1} e^{-\alpha^* t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{1D} + \frac{H}{2\alpha^* d_N},$$
(20)

for any N and any $t \ge 0$.

Theorem 1. Let a BDP with rates $\lambda_k(t)$ and $\mu_k(t)$ be given. Assume that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(t) \, dt = +\infty. \tag{21}$$

Then X(t) is weakly ergodic, and bounds (14), (15) hold for any $t \ge s \ge 0$ and any initial conditions $\mathbf{p}^*(s), \mathbf{p}^{**}(s)$. If, instead of (21) we have(16), then X(t) is exponentially weakly ergodic, and bounds (19) and (20) hold.

Corollary 1. Let, in addition, the numbers d_i grow sufficiently fast so that W > 0. Then X(t) has the limiting mean, say $\phi(t)$, and the following bound holds:

$$|\phi(t) - E_k(t)| \leq \frac{4}{W} e^{-\int_0^t \alpha(\tau) \, d\tau} \|\mathbf{p}(0) - \mathbf{e}_k\|_{1D}.$$
(22)

2. Null ergodicity

We recall that a Markov chain X(t) is called null-ergodic, if $p_k(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any initial condition $\mathbf{p}(0)$ and any k.

Consider a decreasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, ..., \delta_0 = 1$, and the corresponding diagonal matrix Δ with diagonal entries $\{\delta_k\}$. Let $l_{1\Delta}$ be the space of sequences:

$$l_{1\Delta} = \left\{ (p_0, p_1, \ldots)^T : \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1\Delta} \equiv \|\Delta \mathbf{p}\| < \infty \right\}.$$

Consider the following expressions:

$$\zeta_{k}(t) = \lambda_{k}(t) + \mu_{k}(t) - \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{\delta_{k}}\lambda_{k}(t) - \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{\delta_{k}}\mu_{k}(t), \quad k \ge 0,$$
(23)

and

$$\zeta(t) = \inf_{k \ge 0} \zeta_k(t) \,. \tag{24}$$

Then we have the following bound for the logarithmic norm $\gamma(A(t))$ in $l_{1\Delta}$:

$$\gamma(A)_{1\Delta} = \gamma \left(\Delta A(t) \Delta^{-1} \right)_1 = \sup_{i \ge 0} \left(\frac{\delta_{i+1}}{\delta_i} \lambda_i(t) + \frac{\delta_{i-1}}{\delta_i} \mu_i(t) - \left(\lambda_i(t) + \mu_i(t) \right) \right) = -\inf_{k \ge 0} \left(\zeta_k(t) \right) = -\zeta(t), \quad (25)$$

in accordance with (23). Hence

$$\|U(t,s)\|_{1\Delta} \leqslant e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \zeta(\tau) \, d\tau},\tag{26}$$

where $U(t,s) = U(t)U^{-1}(s)$ is the Cauchy operator of (3) for the corresponding BDP. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k p_k(t) = \|\mathbf{p}(t)\|_{1\Delta} \leqslant e^{-\int\limits_s^t \zeta(\tau) d\tau} \|\mathbf{p}(s)\|_{1\Delta} \leqslant e^{-\int\limits_s^t \zeta(\tau) d\tau},$$
(27)

for any $t \ge s \ge 0$ and any initial condition $\mathbf{p}(s)$.

Theorem 2. Let a BDP with rates $\lambda_k(t)$ and $\mu_k(t)$ be given. Assume that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \zeta(t) dt = +\infty.$$
(28)

Then X(t) is null-ergodic, and bound (27) holds for any $t \ge s \ge 0$ and any initial condition $\mathbf{p}(s)$. If, instead of (28) we have

$$\zeta(t) \geqslant \zeta^* > 0,\tag{29}$$

then X(t) is exponentially null-ergodic, and the bound

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} p_k(t) \leqslant \frac{\delta_k}{\delta_N} e^{-\zeta^* t},\tag{30}$$

holds for any $t \ge 0$, any initial condition X(0) = k, and any natural N.

3. Bounds for probabilities in multidimensional BDP

Consider the one-dimensional process $X_j(t)$. Then $X_j(t)$ is a (generally non-Markovian) birth and death process with birth intensities

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m}, m_j = k} \lambda_{j, \mathbf{m}}(t) p_{\mathbf{m}}(t)}{\sum_{\mathbf{m}, m_j = k} p_{\mathbf{m}}(t)}$$
(31)

and death intensities

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m}, m_j = k} \mu_{j, \mathbf{m}}(t) p_{\mathbf{m}}(t)}{\sum_{\mathbf{m}, m_j = k} p_{\mathbf{m}(t)}}.$$
(32)

For any fixed initial distribution $\mathbf{p}(0)$ and any t > 0 the probability distribution $\mathbf{p}(t)$ is unique. Hence $\lambda_k = \lambda_k (\mathbf{p}(0), t)$ and $\mu_k = \mu_k (\mathbf{p}(0), t)$ uniquely define the corresponding birth-death system (3) for the state probabilities of the process $X_j(t)$ with a given initial condition, and Theorems 1 and 2 allow one to obtain their bounds. Let for all \mathbf{m} and any $t \ge 0$

$$l_j \leqslant \lambda_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t) \leqslant L_j, \quad m_j \leqslant \mu_{j,\mathbf{m}}(t) \leqslant M_j.$$
(33)

Then $H = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d} (L_j + M_j).$

Theorem 3. Let

$$L_j < m_j, \quad \alpha_* = l_j + m_j - 2\sqrt{L_j M_j} > 0,$$
(34)

for some *j*. Then the following bound holds:

$$\Pr\left(X_{j}(t) \leq n/X_{j}(0) = k\right) \geq 1 - \beta^{1-n} \left(\frac{H}{2\alpha^{*}} + e^{-\alpha^{*}t} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta^{i}\right),$$
(35)

for any natural n, k and any $t \ge 0$, where $\beta = \sqrt{M_j/L_j} > 1$.

Proof. Put $d_n = \beta^{n-1}$, $n \ge 1$. Then

$$\lambda_k + \mu_{k+1} - \frac{d_{k+1}}{d_k} \lambda_{k+1} - \frac{d_{k-1}}{d_k} \mu_k \ge l_j + m_j - \beta L_j - M_j / \beta = \alpha_*, \tag{36}$$

hence, in accordance with (20), we have

$$\Pr\left(X_{j}(t) \leq n/X_{j}(0) = k\right) \geq 1 - \beta^{1-n} \left(\frac{H}{2\alpha^{*}} + e^{-\alpha^{*}t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{1D}\right),$$
(37)

and (35), for any n, k and any $t \ge 0$.

Theorem 4. Let

$$M_j < l_j \tag{38}$$

for some j. Then

$$\Pr\left(X_j(t) \leqslant n/X_j(0) = k\right) \leqslant \sigma^{k-n} \cdot e^{-\zeta^* t},\tag{39}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{M_j/l_j} < 1, \ \zeta^* = \left(\sqrt{l_j} - \sqrt{M_j}\right)^2$. **Proof.** Put $\delta_n = \sigma^n, \quad n \ge 0$. Then

$$\lambda_k + \mu_k - \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \lambda_k - \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \mu_k \ge \lambda_k \left(1 - \sigma\right) - \mu_k \left(1/\sigma - 1\right) \ge l_j \left(1 - \sigma\right) - M_j \left(1/\sigma - 1\right) = \zeta^*, \tag{40}$$

and we can apply Theorem 2.

Remark 1. Instead of $X_j(t)$ we can obtain the same results for the one-dimensional process Z(t) = |X(t)|, that is, the number of all particles at the moment t.

Remark 2. There are a number of situations in which a complete study is possible. Namely, if all birth and death intensities for some $X_j(t)$ depend only on j and t (or all birth and death intensities for Z(t) depend only on t) then we obtain the corresponding ordinary one-dimensional inhomogeneous BDP. Hence all results of the previous section can be applied.

Remark 3. In a weakly ergodic case our bounds for the state probabilities can be used to study the truncations and perturbation bounds for the corresponding processes; see the results for inhomogeneous BDPs obtained in [11–13].

Remark 4. All of our results can be extended for the case of so-called birth-death-transformation process, i.e., for the process $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_1(t), \ldots, X_d(t))$ such that in the interval (t, t + h) the following transitions are possible with order h: the birth of a particle of type j, the death of a particle of type j, and the transformation of a particle of type j_1 to type j_2 .

REFERENCES

 Ju. L. Daleckij and M. G. Krein, Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space, AMS, Providence (1974).

- G. Fayolle, P. King, and F. Mitrani, "The solution of certain two-dimensional Markov models," Adv. Appl. Prob., 14, 295–308 (1982).
- 3. B. Granovsky and A. Zeifman, "Nonstationary queues: estimation of the rate of convergence," *Queueing Syst.*, **46**, 363–388 (2004).
- 4. M. Jonckheere and S. Shneer, "Stability of multi-dimensional birth-and-death processes with statedependent 0-homogeneous jumps," Adv. Appl. Probab., 46, No. 1, 59–75 (2014).
- J. Keilson and L.D. Servi, "The matrix M/M/∞ system: retrial models and Markov modulated sources," Adv. Appl. Prob., 25, 453–471 (1993)
- 6. G. S. Tsitsiashvili, M. A. Osipova, N. V. Koliev, and D. Baum, "A product theorem for Markov chains with application to PF-queueing networks," Ann. Oper. Res., 113, No. 1–4, 141–154 (2002).
- A.I. Zeifman, "On the estimation of probabilities for birth and death processes," J. Appl. Probab., 32, 623–634 (1995).
- 8. A. I. Zeifman, "Upper and lower bounds on the rate of convergence for nonhomogeneous birth and death processes," *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **59**, 157–173 (1995).
- 9. A. Zeifman, S. Leorato, E. Orsingher, Ya. Satin, and G. Shilova, "Some universal limits for nonhomogeneous birth and death processes," *Queueing Syst.*, **52**, 139–151 (2006).
- A. I. Zeifman, V. E. Bening, and I. A. Sokolov, Markov Chains and Models in Continuous Time, Elex-KM, Moscow (2008).
- 11. A. Zeifman and A. Korotysheva, "Perturbation bounds for $m_t/m_t/n$ queue with catastrophes," Stoch. Mod., 28, No. 1, 49–62 (2012).
- A. I. Zeifman and V. Y. Korolev, "On perturbation bounds for continuous-time Markov chains," Stat. Probab. Let., 88, 66–72 (2014).
- A. Zeifman, Y. Satin, V. Korolev, and S. Shorgin, "On truncations for weakly ergodic inhomogeneous birth and death processes," *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 24, 503–518 (2014).
- A. Zeifman, A. Sipin, V. Korolev, and V. Bening, "Estimates of some characteristics of multidimensional birth-and-death processes," *Dokl. Math.*, 92, 695–697 (2015).