MEAN VALUES CONNECTED WITH THE DEDEKIND ZETA FUNCTION

O. M. Fomenko* UDC 511.466+517.863

For a cubic extension K_3/\mathbb{Q} , which is not normal, new results on the behavior of mean values of the Dedekind zeta function of the field K_3 in the critical strip are obtained.

Let M(m) denote the number of integral ideals of the field K_3 of norm m. For the sums

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 \quad and \quad \sum_{m \le x} M(m)^3$$

asymptotic formulas are derived. Previously, only upper bounds for these sums were known. Bibliography: 23 titles.

1

This is a preparatory section. In connection with the results presented here, see [1, 2]. Consider the group S_3 . The elements of S_3 fall into the following three conjugacy classes: C_1 : (1); C_2 : (1,2,3), (3,2,1); C_3 : (1,2), (2,3), (3.1). Hence there are three simple characters: the one-dimensional characters ψ_1 (the principal character) and ψ_2 (the other character determined by the subgroup $C_1 \cup C_2$), and the two-dimensional character ψ_3 . S_3 is the Galois group of the non-Abelian extension K_6 of degree 6 of \mathbb{Q} , where K_6 is the normal closure of a cubic field K_3 over \mathbb{Q} given by an irreducible polynomial $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ of discriminant D. The fields $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and K_3 are the intermediate extensions fixed under the subgroups A_3 and $\{(1), (1,2)\}$, respectively. The extensions K_2/\mathbb{Q} , K_6/K_2 , and K_6/K_3 are Abelian. The Dedekind zeta functions (for the definition, see Sec. 2) satisfy the relations

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{K_6}(s) &= L_{\psi_1} L_{\psi_2} L_{\psi_3}^2, \\ \zeta_{K_2}(s) &= L_{\psi_1} L_{\psi_2}, \\ \zeta_{K_3}(s) &= L_{\psi_1} L_{\psi_3}, \\ \zeta(s) &= L_{\psi_1}, \end{split}$$

where

$$L_{\psi_2} = L(s, \psi_2, K_6/\mathbb{Q}) = L(s, \chi, K_2/\mathbb{Q}),$$

$$L_{\psi_3} = L(s, \psi_3, K_6/\mathbb{Q}) = L(s, \chi', K_6/K_2).$$

Here, the second column involves the Artin L-functions, and the third column involves the L-functions with Hecke characters (more exactly, $\chi(*) = (D/*)$).

Below, we assume that

$$K_2, K_3, K_6$$
 are the fields indicated above, and $D < 0$; (*)

 $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed number.

The function L_{ψ_3} can also be interpreted in another way [3]. Let $\rho: S_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the irreducible two-dimensional representation. Then ρ gives rise to a cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let

$$L(s,\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s}.$$

In particular, if ρ is odd, i.e., D < 0, then $L(s, \pi) = L(s, F)$, where F is a holomorphic primitive cusp form of weight 1 with respect to $\Gamma_0(|D|)$,

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}.$$

As usual, $L(s, \pi)$ denotes the L-function of the representation π ; L(s, F) denotes the Hecke L-function of the form F. Thus, $L_{\psi_3} = L(s, F)$,

$$\zeta_{K_3}(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, F). \tag{1}$$

Formula (1) will be used below.

Translated from Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI, Vol. 350, 2007, pp. 187–198. Original article submitted October 16, 2007.

1072-3374/08/1503-2115 © 2008 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

 $[*]St. Petersburg \ Department \ of \ the \ \overline{S} teklov \ Mathematical \ Institute, \ St. Petersburg, \ Russia, e-mail: fomenko@pdmi.ras.ru.$

This section improves known results connected with the behavior of the Dedekind zeta function of the cubic field K_3 in the critical strip. Let K_n be an algebraic number field over \mathbb{Q} of degree n. The Dedekind zeta function of K_n is defined by the relation

$$\zeta_{K_n}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}}' (N\mathfrak{q})^{-s},$$

where the summation runs over all nonzero integral ideals in K_n . If r_1 is the number of real conjugates of K_n , $2r_2$ is the number of imaginary conjugates, and Δ is the discriminant of K_n , then the functional equation for $\zeta_{K_n}(s)$ may be written as

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s),$$

where

$$\xi(s) = \Gamma^{r_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \Gamma^{r_2}(s) B^{-s} \zeta_{K_n}(s),$$

with

$$B = 2^{r_2} \pi^{n/2} (|\Delta|)^{-1/2}.$$

The Dedekind zeta function admits analytic continuation to the entire complex plane, and it only has a simple pole at s = 1 with residue

$$\underset{s=1}{\operatorname{res}}\zeta_{K_{n}}(s) = \frac{2^{r_{1}}(2\pi)^{r_{2}}hR}{w\sqrt{|\Delta|}},$$

where h is the class number of K_n ; R is the regulator of the field, and w the number of roots of unity. Let $s = \sigma + it$. The critical strip for $\zeta_{K_n}(s)$ is the strip $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, and the critical line is the line s = 1/2 + it. As was proved by Kaufman [4, 5] and Heath-Brown [6],

$$\zeta_{K_n}\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right) \ll t^{(n/6)+\varepsilon} \quad (t \ge 1).$$
 (2)

Also the mean square

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_n}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt, \quad 0 \le \sigma \le 1,$$

was estimated (see [7, 8]). For K_3 and the critical line, the upper bound obtained in [7] takes the form

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_3} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^2 dt \ll T^{3/2} \log^3 T.$$

Let $\sigma(K_n)$ be the lower bound of the numbers σ such that

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_n} (\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

In [7, 8], it was shown that

$$\sigma(K_n) \le 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

The theorem below improves the above results in the case of K_3 .

Theorem 1. In the case of K_3 ,

(i)
$$\zeta_{K_3}\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right) \ll t^{\frac{301}{615}+\varepsilon} \quad (r \ge 1);$$

(ii)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_3} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^2 dt \ll T^{\frac{5}{4} + \varepsilon};$$

(iii)
$$\sigma(K_3) \leq \frac{5}{8}$$
.

2116

The proof of assertion (i) immediately follows from the known estimates $(t \ge 1)$

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll t^{\frac{32}{205} + \varepsilon} \quad ([9]),$$

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F\right) \ll t^{\frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon} \quad ([10]).$$

Pass to the proof of assertion (ii). First we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F\right) \right|^{4} dt \ll T^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}. \tag{3}$$

We use the following known results:

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F\right) \right|^{2} dt \sim CT \log T, \tag{4}$$

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F\right) \right|^{6} dt \ll T^{2+\varepsilon}; \tag{5}$$

see [11] and [12], respectively.

In connection with (5), we note that this estimate was originally obtained by Jutila for a holomorphic cusp form F(z) of even weight with respect to the full modular group. His proof can readily be extended to our case. By virtue of (4) and (5), we have

$$\int\limits_{1}^{T} \left| L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{4} dt \leq \left\{ \int\limits_{1}^{T} \left| L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int\limits_{1}^{T} \left| L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{6} dt \right\}^{1/2} \ll (T \log T)^{1/2} (T^{2+\varepsilon})^{1/2} \ll T^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon},$$

and estimate (3) is proved.

Recall the following classical result of Ingham [13]:

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{4} dt \sim \frac{T \log^{4} T}{2\pi^{2}}.$$

Using (3) and Ingham's asymptotics, we derive

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{2} dt = \int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{4} dt \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{1}^{T} \left| L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, F \right) \right|^{4} dt \right\}^{1/2} \ll (T \log^{4} T)^{1/2} (T^{3/2 + \varepsilon})^{1/2} \ll T^{\frac{5}{4} + \varepsilon},$$

and assertion (ii) is proved.

In order to prove assertion (iii), we use the inequalities

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta(\sigma + it) \right|^{4} dt \ll T \quad \left(\sigma > \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| L(\sigma + it, F) \right|^{4} dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon} \quad \left(\sigma \ge \frac{5}{8} \right).$$

The latter inequality is due to Ivic [14], who proved it in the case of a holomorphic cusp form F of even weight with respect to the full modular group; his proof can be extended to our case.

As above, the assertion desired is obtained by using the Cauchy inequality.

For completeness, we state one more fact (cf. [8]), which is an immediate corollary of the general Matsumoto result [15, Theorem 2].

Proposition 1. For $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \le 1$,

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_3}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt = c(\sigma)T + O\left(T^{3(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Proof. Represent $\zeta_{K_3}(s)$ in the form (see Sec. 3)

$$\zeta_{K_3}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(m)m^{-s}.$$
(6)

Consider the expansion

$$\sum_{m \le x}' M(m) = Ax + R(x),$$

where A > 0 is a constant, and, for $\frac{1}{3} < \sigma_1 < 1$,

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} \zeta_{K_3}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds.$$

Let β be the lower bound for the numbers b such that

$$\int_{X}^{2X} |R(x)|^2 dx \ll X^{1+2b+\varepsilon}.$$

By Theorem 2 in [15], for $\max \left\{ \beta, \frac{1}{2} \right\} < \sigma \le 1$,

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| \zeta_{K_3}(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt = c(\sigma)T + O\left(T^{2(1-\sigma)/(1-\beta_1)+\varepsilon}\right),$$

where $\beta_1 = \max{\{\beta, 0\}}$. As is known [16], $\beta \leq \frac{1}{3}$, and the result follows.

3

Consider representation (6), where M(m) is the number of nonzero integral ideals of the field K_3 of norm m (the same notation is preserved for an arbitrary field K_n). In the present section, new facts on the distribution of values of this function are presented. Note that the function M(m) is multiplicative.

Factorization (1) readily implies the formula

$$M(m) = \sum_{d|m} a(d),$$

where a(d) is the dth Fourier coefficient of F. In particular,

$$M(p) = 1 + a(p).$$

The values of M(p) can be computed using the results in [17]. More exactly, the following assertion is valid. 2118

Proposition 2. Consider the field K_3 . Then M(p) = 1 if (-D/p) = -1. If (-D/p) = 1, then $p = \mathfrak{pp}'$ in K_2 ; in this case, M(p) = 3 if \mathfrak{p} splits completely in K_6 , and M(p) = 0 if \mathfrak{p} remains prime in K_6 .

For any field K_n , the asymptotics

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m) = cx + O(x^{1 - 2/(n + 1)})$$

is classical (Landau).

Pass to the problem on the mean square of the function M(m), which was first considered by Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan in [7]. Further results were obtained by Chandrasekharan and Good in [8]. In [7], the following estimate was proved: for any field K_n ,

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 \ll x (\log x)^{n-1}.$$

If K_n is normal, then we have the asymptotics [8] $(l \ge 2 \text{ integer})$

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^l = xP(\log x) + O(x^{1-2n^{-l}+\varepsilon}),$$

where P is a suitable polynomial of degree $n^{l-1} - 1$.

We obtain the asymptotics for the field K_3 (which is nonnormal).

Theorem 2. For the field K_3 , we have the relation

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 = C_1 x \log x + C_2 x + O\left(x^{\frac{9}{11} + \varepsilon}\right),$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and C_2 are constants

Proof. Note that the function

$$T(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(m)^2 m^{-s}$$

can be expressed by the Euler product

$$T(s) = \prod_{p} (1 + M(p)^{2} p^{-s} + M(p^{2})^{2} p^{-2s} + M(p^{3})^{2} p^{-3s} + \cdots).$$

By comparing it with the Euler product of the product of L-functions

$$\zeta(s)L(s,F)^2L(s,F\times F)$$

and using the formula

$$M(p)^2 = 1 + 2a(p) + a(p)^2$$

we obtain $(\sigma > \frac{1}{2})$

$$T(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, F)^{2}L(s, F \times F) \cdot B(s), \tag{7}$$

where $L(s, F \times F)$ is the Rankin-Selberg convolution L-function of the form F with itself [18], and

$$B(s) = \prod_{n} (1 + A_2 p^{-2s} + A_3 p^{-3s} + \cdots).$$

Using (7), one can readily show that the function T(s) admits an analytic continuation into the half-plane $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ having as its only singularity a pole of second order at s = 1, because each of the functions $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s, F \times F)$ has a simple pole at s = 1, and $L(1, F) \neq 0$, $B(1) \neq 0$. It is known [19] that on the half-line $t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{split} &\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\ll t^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon},\\ &L\left(\frac{1}{2}+it,F\right)\ll t^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon},\\ &L\left(\frac{1}{2}+it,F\times F\right)\ll t^{\frac{11}{12}+\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by the convexity property in the strip $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, the growth estimate

$$T(s) \ll \left(|t|+1\right)^{\frac{7}{2}(1-\sigma)+4\varepsilon} \tag{8}$$

holds for $|t| \to \infty$. By using the well-known inversion formula for Dirichlet series, we obtain

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\varepsilon - iT}^{1+\varepsilon + iT} T(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right).$$

Shifting the path of integration to the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and performing necessary computations with the use of estimate (8), we derive

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 = C_1 x \log x + C_2 x + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} T^{\frac{7}{4} + \varepsilon} + x^{1+\varepsilon} / T\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed by setting $T = x^{\frac{2}{11}}$.

In the case of a normal field K_n , after the appearence of [4–6] the asymptotics due to Chandrasekharan and Good can be improved for $n \geq 4$. For simplicity, we restrict our considerations to the case l = 2.

Theorem 3. For a normal field K_n we have the relation

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^2 = x P_n(\log x) + O\left(x^{1 - \frac{3}{n^2 + 6} + \varepsilon}\right),$$

where P_n is a suitable polynomial of degree n-1.

Let, as above,

$$T(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(m)^2 n^{-s}.$$

Consider the factorization (see [7])

$$T(s) = \zeta_{K_n}^n(s)U(s),\tag{9}$$

where U(s) denotes a Dirichlet series, which is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. By virtue of (2), on the half-line $t \ge 1$,

$$\zeta_{K_n}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll t^{\frac{n^2}{6} + \varepsilon}.$$

Using (9), we show that T(s) admits an analytic continuation into the half-plane $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ having as its only singularity a pole of order n at s = 1. In the strip $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \le \sigma \le 1 + \varepsilon$, for $|t| \to \infty$, the following growth estimate is valid:

$$T(s) \ll (|t|+1)^{\frac{n^2(1-\sigma)}{3} + \frac{n^2}{2}\varepsilon}.$$
 (10)

Using the inversion formula for Dirichlet series and estimate (10), we prove Theorem 3.

In the case of the field K_3 , we can also treat the sum $\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^3$.

Theorem 4. For the field K_3 , we have the relation

$$\sum_{m \le x} M(m)^3 = x P_5(\log x) + O\left(x^{73/79 + \varepsilon}\right),$$

where P_5 is a suitable polynomial of degree 4.

We begin the proof with the notation

$$T^{(3)}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M(m)^3 m^{-s}.$$

We have

$$M(p)^{3} = 1 + 3a(p) + 3a(p)^{2} + a(p)^{3}.$$
(11)

By virtue of (11), in the half-plane $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ we have the relation

$$T^{(3)}(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, F)^{3}L(s, F \times F)^{3}R^{(3)}(s)B'(s),$$

where (cf. [20, 21])

$$R^{(3)}(s) = L(s, F)^2 L(s, \text{sym}^3 F) \cdot \prod_n K(s);$$

$$B'(s) = \prod_{p} (1 + A'_{2}p^{-2s} + A'_{3}p^{-3s} + \cdots);$$

 $L(s, \text{sym}^3 F)$ is the symmetric cube L-function of the form F (see [22, 23]), and

$$K(s) = 1 + N_2 p^{-2s} + \dots + N_6 p^{-6s}$$
.

Consequently,

$$T^{(3)}(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, F)^{5}L(s, F \times F)^{3}L(s, \text{sym}^{3}F)B''(s), \tag{12}$$

where

$$B''(s) = \prod_{n} (1 + A_2'' p^{-2s} + A_3'' p^{-3s} + \cdots).$$

Using (12), we show that $T^{(3)}(s)$ admits an analytic continuation into the half-plane $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ having as its only singularity a pole of order 5 at s = 1. Note that although in most cases the function $L(s, \text{sym}^3 F)$ is entire [23], in our case it has a simple pole at s = 1.

In the strip $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, for $|t| \to \infty$, the growth estimate

$$T^{(3)}(s) \ll (|t|+1)^{\frac{67}{6}(1-\sigma)+12\varepsilon}$$

is valid. Using the inversion formula for Dirichlet series and this estimate, we prove Theorem 4.

Translated by O. M. Fomenko.

REFERENCES

- 1. Algebraic Number Theory [Russian translation], J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich (eds.), Mir, Moscow (1969).
- 2. H. Cohn, A Classical Invitation to Algebraic Numbers and Class Fields, New York etc. (1978).
- 3. P. Deligne and J.-P. Serre, "Formes modulaires de poids 1," Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Sér. 4, 7, 507–530 (1974).
- 4. R. M. Kaufman, "A. F. Lavrik's truncated equations," Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI, 76, 124-158 (1978).
- 5. R. M. Kaufman, "Estimation of the Hecke L-functions on the critical line," Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI, 91, 40–51 (1979).
- 6. D. R. Heath-Brown, "The growth rate of the Dedekind zeta-function on the critical line," *Acta Arithm.*, **49**, 323–339 (1988).
- 7. K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, "The approximate functional equation for a class of zeta-functions," *Math. Ann.*, **152**, 30–64 (1963).
- 8. K. Chandrasekharan and A. Good, "On the number of integral ideals in Galois extensions," *Monatsh. Math.*, **95**, 99–109 (1983).
- 9. M. N. Huxley, "Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function V," *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **90**, 1–41 (2005).
- 10. C. S. Yoganandra, "Transformation formula for exponential sums involving Fourier coefficients modular forms," *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, **103**, No. 1, 1–25 (1993).
- 11. A. Good, "Approximative Funktionalgleichungen und Mittelwertsätze für Dirichletreihen, die Spitzenformen assoziiert sind," *Comment. Math. Helvet.*, **50**, 327–361 (1975).
- 12. M. Jutila, Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums, Bombay (1987).

- 13. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, New York (1986).
- 14. A. Ivić, "On zeta-functions associated with Fourier coefficients of cusp forms," in: *Proc. Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory* (Maiori, 1989), Salerno (1992), pp. 231–246.
- 15. K. Matsumoto, "Lifting and mean value theorems for automorphic *L*-functions," *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **90**, 297–320 (2005).
- 16. K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, "On the mean value of the error term of a class of arithmetical functions," *Acta Math.*, **112**, 41–67 (1964).
- 17. M. Koike, "Higher reciprocity law, modular forms of weight 1 and elliptic curves," Nagoya Math. J., 98, 109–115 (1985).
- 18. H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island (2004).
- 19. A. Sankaranarayanan, "Fundamental properties of symmetric square *L*-functions. I," *Illinois J. Math.*, **46**, 23–43 (2002).
- 20. P. B. Garrett, "Decomposition of Eisenstein series: Rankin triple product," Ann. Math., 125, 209-235 (1987).
- 21. O. M. Fomenko, "Fourier coefficients of cusp forms and automorphic L-functions," Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI, 237, 194–226 (1997).
- 22. C. J. Moreno and F. Shahidi, "The *L*-function $L_3(s,\pi_{\Delta})$ is entire," *Invent. Math.*, **79**, 247–251 (1985).
- 23. H. M. Kim and F. Shahidi, "Symmetric cube L-functions for GL_2 are entire," Ann. Math., 150, 645–662 (1999).