

Properties of Solution Set of Tensor Complementarity Problem

Yisheng Song¹  · Gaohang Yu²

Received: 24 June 2015 / Accepted: 17 February 2016 / Published online: 3 March 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract In this paper, a new subclass of tensors is introduced and it is proved that this class of new tensors can be defined by the feasible region of the corresponding tensor complementarity problem. Furthermore, the boundedness of solution set of the tensor complementarity problem is equivalent to the uniqueness of solution for such a problem with zero vector. For the tensor complementarity problem with a strictly semi-positive tensor, we proved the global upper bounds of its solution set. In particular, such upper bounds are closely associated with the smallest Pareto eigenvalue of such a tensor.

Keywords Tensor complementarity · Strictly semi-positive tensor · Strictly copositive · Feasible vector

Mathematics Subject Classification 47H15 · 47H12 · 34B10 · 47A52 · 47J10 · 47H09 · 15A48 · 47H07

Communicated by Liqun Qi.

✉ Yisheng Song
songyisheng1@gmail.com; songyisheng@htu.cn

Gaohang Yu
maghyu@163.com

¹ School of Mathematics and Information Science and Henan Engineering Laboratory for Big Data Statistical Analysis and Optimal Control, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, Henan, People's Republic of China

² School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

The nonlinear complementarity problem was introduced by Cottle in his Ph.D. thesis in 1964. In the last decades, many mathematical workers have concentrated a lot of their energy and attention on this classical problem because of a multitude of interesting connections to numerous disciplines and a wide range of important applications in operational research, applied science and technology such as optimization, economic equilibrium problems, contact mechanics problems, structural mechanics problem, nonlinear obstacle problems, traffic equilibrium problems, and discrete-time optimal control. For more details, see [1–4] and references therein. Well over a thousand articles and several books have been published on this classical subject, which has developed into a well-established and fruitful discipline in the field of mathematical programming.

The linear complementarity problem is a special case of nonlinear complementarity problem. It is well known that the linear complementarity problem has wide and important applications in engineering and economics (Cottle et al. [5]; Han et al. [3]). Cottle and Dantzig [6] studied the existence of solution of the linear complementarity problem with the help of the structure of the matrix. Some relationships between the uniqueness and existence of solution of the linear complementarity problem and semi-monotonicity of the matrices were showed by Eaves [7], Karamardian [8], Pang [9, 10] and Gowda [11], respectively. Cottle [12] studied some classes of the complete matrix (a matrix is called complete iff the matrix and all its principal submatrices are the same class of matrix) and obtained that each complete matrix that the linear complementarity problem has a solution is a strictly semi-monotone matrix.

The tensor complementarity problem, as a special type of nonlinear complementarity problems, is a new topic emerged from the tensor community, inspired by the growing research on structured tensors. At the same time, the tensor complementarity problem as a natural extension of the linear complementarity problem seems to have similar properties to the problem and to have its particular and nice properties other than ones of the classical linear complementarity problem. So how to obtain the nice properties and their applications of the tensor complementarity problem will be very interesting by means of the special structure of higher-order tensor (hypermatrix).

The notion of the tensor complementarity problem is used firstly by Song and Qi [13], and they showed the existence of solution for such a problem with some classes of structured tensors. In particular, they showed that the nonnegative tensor complementarity problem has a solution if and only if all principal diagonal entries of such a tensor are positive. Che et al. [14] showed the existence of solution for the symmetric positive definite tensor complementarity problem and copositive tensors. Luo et al. [15] studied the sparsest solutions to tensor complementarity problems. Song and Qi [16] studied the solution of the semi-positive tensor complementarity problem and obtained that a symmetric tensor is (strictly) semi-positive if and only if it is (strictly) copositive.

In this paper, we study the properties of solution set of the tensor complementarity problem by means of the special structure of tensors. We first introduce a new subclass of tensors and give its two equivalent definitions by means of the tensor complementarity problem. Subsequently, it is proved that each tensor that the tensor

complementarity problem has a solution is a subclass of such tensors. Furthermore, it be proved that the solution set of the tensor complementarity problem is bounded if and only if such a problem with zero vector has unique solution. We finally present the global upper bounds for solution of the tensor complementarity problem with a strictly semi-positive tensor with the help of the smallest Pareto eigenvalue of such a tensor.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use small letters x, y, v, α, \dots for scalars, small bold letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \dots$ for vectors, capital letters A, B, \dots for matrices, bold capital letters $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \dots$ for tensors. All the tensors discussed in this paper are real. Let $I_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $\mathbb{R}^n := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in I_n\}$, $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbb{R}_-^n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}\}$, where \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers, \mathbf{x}^\top is the transpose of a vector \mathbf{x} , and $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$) means $x_i \geq 0$ ($x_i > 0$, $x_i \leq 0$) for all $i \in I_n$.

Let F be a nonlinear function from \mathbb{R}^n into itself. The nonlinear complementarity problem is to find a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, F(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^\top F(\mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

or to show that no such vector exists. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix and $F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax}$. Then such a nonlinear complementarity problem is called the linear complementarity problem, denoted by $\text{LCP}(A, \mathbf{q})$, i.e., to find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax} \geq \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax}) = 0,$$

or to show that no such vector exists.

In 2005, Qi [17] introduced the concept of positive (semi-)definite symmetric tensors. A real m th-order n -dimensional tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ is a multi-array of real entries $a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$, where $i_j \in I_n$ for $j \in I_m$. If the entries $a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ of a tensor \mathbf{A} are invariant under any permutation of their indices, then \mathbf{A} is called a **symmetric tensor**. We denote the zero tensor by \mathbf{O} . Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then \mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n with its i th component as

$$\left(\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1}\right)_i := \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}$$

for $i \in I_n$. Then \mathbf{Ax}^m is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m , defined by

$$\mathbf{Ax}^m := \mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}.$$

A tensor \mathbf{A} is called **positive semi-definite** if for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an even number m , $\mathbf{Ax}^m \geq 0$, and is called **positive definite** if for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an even number m , $\mathbf{Ax}^m > 0$. Recently, miscellaneous structured tensors are widely studied, for example Zhang et al. [18] and Ding et al. [19] for M -tensors, Song and Qi [20] for P –(P_0)tensors and B –(B_0)tensors, Qi and Song [21] for B –(B_0)tensors, Song and Qi [22] for infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors, Song and Qi [23] for E-eigenvalues of weakly symmetric nonnegative tensors and references therein.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor.

- (i) The **tensor complementarity problem**, denoted by $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$, is to find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax}^{m-1}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

or to show that no such vector exists.

- (ii) A vector \mathbf{x} is said to be **(strictly) feasible** iff \mathbf{x} satisfies the inequality (1) and (strict) inequality (2).
 (iii) The $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is said to be **(strictly) feasible** iff a (strictly) feasible vector exists.
 (iv) The set of all feasible vector of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is said to be its **feasible region**.
 (v) The $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is said to be **solvable** iff there is a feasible vector satisfying the Eq. (3).
 (vi) \mathbf{A} is called a **Q -tensor** [13] iff the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is solvable for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 (vii) \mathbf{A} is called a **R_0 -tensor** [13] iff the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$ has unique solution.
 (viii) \mathbf{A} is called a **R -tensor** [13] iff it is a R_0 -tensor and the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ has unique solution for $\mathbf{q} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top$.

Let $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Ax}^{m-1}$. Then a feasible vector \mathbf{x} of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is its solution if and only if

$$x_i w_i = x_i q_i + x_i \left(\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} \right)_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I_n. \quad (4)$$

Recently, Song and Qi [13] extended the concepts of (strictly) semi-monotone matrices to (strictly) semi-positive tensors.

Definition 2.2 Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be a real m th-order n -dimensional symmetric tensor. \mathbf{A} is said to be

- (i) **semi-positive** iff for each $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists an index $k \in I_n$ such that

$$x_k > 0 \text{ and } \left(\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} \right)_k \geq 0;$$

- (ii) **strictly semi-positive** iff for each $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists an index $k \in I_n$ such that

$$x_k > 0 \text{ and } \left(\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} \right)_k > 0;$$

(iii) a **P-tensor** (Song and Qi [20]) iff for each \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $i \in I_n$ such that

$$x_i \left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right)_i > 0;$$

(iv) a **P₀-tensor** (Song and Qi [20]) iff for every \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $i \in I_n$ such that $x_i \neq 0$ and

$$x_i \left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right)_i \geq 0;$$

(v) **copositive** (Qi [24]) if $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$;
 (vi) **strictly copositive** (Qi [24]) if $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Song and Qi [13, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4]) *Each strictly semi-positive tensor must be a R-tensor, and each R-tensor must be a Q-tensor. A semi-positive R₀-tensor is a Q-tensor.*

Song and Qi [25] introduced the concept of Pareto H-(Z)-eigenvalue and used it to portray the (strictly) copositive tensor. For the number and computation of Pareto H-(Z)-eigenvalue see Ling et al. [26] and Chen et al. [27].

Definition 2.3 Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor. A real number μ is said to be

(i) **Pareto H-eigenvalue** of \mathbf{A} iff there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m = \mu \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} - \mu \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, x_2^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1})^\top$.

(ii) **Pareto Z-eigenvalue** of \mathbf{A} iff there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m = \mu (\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x})^{\frac{m}{2}}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} - \mu (\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x})^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 2.2 (Song and Qi [25, Theorem 3.1, 3.3, Corollary 3.5]) *Let a symmetric tensor \mathbf{A} be strictly copositive. Then*

(i) \mathbf{A} has at least one Pareto H-eigenvalue $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) := \min_{\mathbf{x} \geq 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|_m=1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m$ and

$$\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \min\{\lambda : \lambda \text{ is Pareto H-eigenvalue of } \mathbf{A}\} > 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|_m = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^m)^{\frac{1}{m}}$;

(ii) \mathbf{A} has at least one Pareto Z-eigenvalue $\mu(\mathbf{A}) := \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \geq 0 \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1}} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m$ and

$$\mu(\mathbf{A}) = \min\{\mu : \mu \text{ is Pareto Z-eigenvalue of } \mathbf{A}\} > 0. \tag{8}$$

3 Solution of the TCP(A, q)

3.1 S-tensor and Feasible Solution of the TCP(A, q)

We first introduce the concept of the S-tensor, which is a natural extension of S-matrix [5].

Definition 3.1 Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor. \mathbf{A} is said to be a

- (i) **S-tensor** iff the system

$$\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} > \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$$

has a solution;

- (ii) **S₀-tensor** iff the system

$$\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

has a solution.

Proposition 3.1 Let \mathbf{A} be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor. Then \mathbf{A} is a S-tensor if and only if the system

$$\mathbf{Ax}^{m-1} > \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \tag{9}$$

has a solution.

Proof It follows from Definition 3.1 that the necessity is obvious. Now we show the sufficiency. In fact, if there exists \mathbf{y} such that

$$\mathbf{Ay}^{m-1} > \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Since \mathbf{Ay}^{m-1} is continuous on \mathbf{y} , it follows that

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{e})^{m-1} > \mathbf{0}$$

for some small enough $t > 0$, where $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{e} > \mathbf{0}$. So \mathbf{A} is an S-tensor. □

Now, by means of the solution of the TCP(A, q), we may give the following equivalent definition of S-tensor.

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathbf{A} be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor. Then \mathbf{A} is a S-tensor if and only if the TCP(A, q) is feasible for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Meanwhile, each Q-tensor must be an S-tensor.

Proof Let \mathbf{A} is a S-tensor. Then it follows from Definition 3.1 of S-tensor that there exists \mathbf{y} such that

$$\mathbf{Ay}^{m-1} > \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}.$$

So for each $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists some scalar $t > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{A}(\sqrt[m-1]{t}\mathbf{y})^{m-1} = t\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1} \geq -\mathbf{q}.$$

Clearly, $\sqrt[m-1]{t}\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}$, and so $\sqrt[m-1]{t}\mathbf{y}$ is a feasible vector of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$.

On the other hand, if the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is feasible for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we take $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{0}$. Let \mathbf{z} is a feasible solution of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0},$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}^{m-1} \geq -\mathbf{q} > \mathbf{0}.$$

So \mathbf{z} is a solution of the system (9). It follows from Proposition 3.1 that \mathbf{A} is an S -tensor. □

3.2 R_0 -Tensor and Boundedness of Solution Set of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$

Theorem 3.2 *Let \mathbf{A} be a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor. Then the following three conclusions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbf{A} is R_0 -tensor;
- (ii) For each $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and each $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, the set

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, s, t) = \{\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{q} + t\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m \leq s\}$$

is bounded;

- (iii) For each $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the solution set of the $\text{TCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is bounded.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that there exist $\mathbf{q}' \in \mathbb{R}^n, s' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t' > 0$ such that the set $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}', s', t')$ is not bounded. Let a sequence $\{\mathbf{x}^k\} \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{q}', s', t')$ be an unbounded sequence. Then the sequence $\{\frac{\mathbf{x}^k}{\|\mathbf{x}^k\|}\}$ is bounded, and so there exists $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a subsequence $\{\frac{\mathbf{x}^{k_j}}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{k_j}}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|} = \mathbf{x}' \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\| = \infty.$$

From the definition of $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}', s', t')$, it follows that

$$\frac{\mathbf{q}'}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|^{m-1}} + \mathbf{A}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{k_j}}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|}\right)^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \frac{\mathbf{x}'^\top \mathbf{q}'}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|^m} + t'\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{k_j}}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|}\right)^m \leq \frac{s'}{\|\mathbf{x}^{k_j}\|^m}, \tag{10}$$

and hence, by the continuity of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}$, let $j \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}')^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}')^m \leq \mathbf{0}.$$

Since $\mathbf{x}' \geq \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}')^m = (\mathbf{x}')^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}')^{m-1} \geq 0.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}')^m = 0$, and hence, \mathbf{x}' is a nonzero solution of the TCP(\mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{0}$). This contradicts the assumption that \mathbf{A} is R_0 -tensor.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). It follows from the definition of $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, s, t)$ that

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, 0, 1) = \{\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^\top(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}) = 0\}.$$

That is, $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, 0, 1)$ is the solution set of the TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}). So the conclusion follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose \mathbf{A} is not R_0 -tensor. Then the TCP(\mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{0}$) has a nonzero solution \mathbf{x}^* , and so $\mathbf{x}^* \in \Gamma(\mathbf{0}, 0, 1)$. Since $\mathbf{x}^* \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\tau \mathbf{x}^* \in \Gamma(\mathbf{0}, 0, 1)$ for all $\tau > 0$. Therefore, the set $\Gamma(\mathbf{0}, 0, 1)$ is not bounded. This contradicts the assumption (iii). So \mathbf{A} is R_0 -tensor. □

It is known that each semi-positive tensor is an R_0 -tensor and each P_0 -tensor is a semi-positive tensor (Song and Qi [13]). The following conclusions are obvious.

Corollary 3.1 *Let \mathbf{A} be a semi-positive tensor. Then for each $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the solution set of the TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}) is bounded.*

Corollary 3.2 *Let \mathbf{A} be a P_0 -tensor. Then for each $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the solution set of the TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}) is bounded.*

3.3 Solution of TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}) with Strictly Semi-positive Tensors

In this section, we discuss the global upper bound for solution of TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}) with strictly semi-positive and symmetric tensor \mathbf{A} . Song and Qi [16] showed the following conclusion about a symmetric tensor.

Lemma 3.1 (Song and Qi [16, Theorem 3.2,3.4]) *Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be a real m -th-order n -dimensional tensor. Then a symmetric tensor \mathbf{A} is strictly semi-positive if and only if it is strictly copositive. Moreover, the TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}) has a unique solution $\mathbf{0}$ for $\mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$ when \mathbf{A} is strictly semi-positive.*

Theorem 3.3 *Let a symmetric tensor $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be strictly semi-positive. If \mathbf{x} is a solution of the TCP(\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{q}), then*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_m^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_m}{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}, \tag{11}$$

where $\lambda(\mathbf{A})$ is defined in Lemma 2.2 (i), $\|\mathbf{x}\|_m := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^m\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_+ := (\max\{x_1, 0\}, \max\{x_2, 0\}, \dots, \max\{x_n, 0\})^\top$.

Proof It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 that

$$\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \min\{\lambda; \lambda \text{ is Pareto } H\text{-eigenvalue of } \mathbf{A}\} = \min_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \geq 0 \\ \|\mathbf{y}\|_m=1}} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^m > 0. \tag{12}$$

Since \mathbf{x} is a solution of the TCP(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q}), we have

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m - \mathbf{x}^\top(-\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{x}^\top(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} + \mathbf{q}) = 0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} + \mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ by Lemma 3.1, and the conclusion is obvious. So we may assume that \mathbf{q} is not nonnegative; then, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ (suppose not, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}$, which contradict to the fact that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} + \mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$). Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_m^m} = \mathbf{A} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_m} \right)^m \geq \lambda(\mathbf{A}) > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$0 < \|\mathbf{x}\|_m^m \lambda(\mathbf{A}) \leq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m = \mathbf{x}^\top(-\mathbf{q}) \leq \mathbf{x}^\top(-\mathbf{q})_+ \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_m \|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_m.$$

The desired conclusion follows. □

Theorem 3.4 *Let a symmetric tensor $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be strictly semi-positive. If \mathbf{x} is a solution of the TCP(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q}), then*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2}{\mu(\mathbf{A})}, \tag{13}$$

where $\mu(\mathbf{A})$ is defined in (8) in Lemma 2.2.

Proof It follows from Lemma 2.2 and 3.1 that

$$\mu(\mathbf{A}) = \min\{\mu : \mu \text{ is Pareto } Z\text{-eigenvalue of } \mathbf{A}\} = \min_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \geq 0 \\ \|\mathbf{y}\|_2=1}} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^m > 0. \tag{14}$$

Similarly, we also may assume that \mathbf{q} is not nonnegative; then, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and so

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m} = \mathbf{A} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \right)^m \geq \mu(\mathbf{A}) > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$0 < \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m \mu(\mathbf{A}) \leq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^m = \mathbf{x}^\top(-\mathbf{q}) \leq \mathbf{x}^\top(-\mathbf{q})_+ \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2.$$

The desired conclusion follows. □

We now introduce a quantity for a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathbf{A} .

$$\beta(\mathbf{A}) := \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \geq 0 \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1}} \max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i, \tag{15}$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty := \max\{|x_i|; i \in I_n\}$. It follows from the definition of strictly semi-positive tensor that $\beta(\mathbf{A}) > 0$. Then the following equation is well defined in Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.5 *Let a real m th-order n -dimensional tensor $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ be strictly semi-positive. If \mathbf{x} is a solution of the TCP(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q}), then*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_\infty}{\beta(\mathbf{A})}. \tag{16}$$

Proof Suppose that $\mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ by Lemma 3.1, and the conclusion is obvious. So we may assume that \mathbf{q} is not nonnegative, and similarly to the proof technique of Theorem 3.3, we have $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. It follows from the definition of $\beta(\mathbf{A})$ and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty^m \beta(\mathbf{A}) &\leq \max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i = \max_{i \in I_n} x_i (-\mathbf{q})_i \\ &= \max_{i \in I_n} x_i ((-\mathbf{q})_+)_i \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion follows. □

It is well known that the nonlinear complementarity problem with pseudo-monotone and continuous function F has a solution if it has a strictly feasible point x^* (i.e., $\mathbf{x}^* \geq \mathbf{0}$, $F(\mathbf{x}^*) > \mathbf{0}$) ([3, Theorem 2.3.11]). A function F from \mathbb{R}_+^n into itself is called pseudo-monotone iff for all vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\top F(\mathbf{y}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\top F(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0.$$

Now we give an example to certify the function F deduced by a strictly semi-positive tensor is not pseudo-monotone. However, the corresponding nonlinear complementarity problem has a solution by Lemma 2.1.

Example 3.1 Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 i_3}) \in T_{3,2}$ and $a_{111} = 1, a_{122} = 1, a_{211} = 1, a_{221} = -2, a_{222} = 1$ and all other $a_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, \mathbf{A} is strictly semi-positive, and so it is a Q -tensor.

Let $F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{q}$ for $\mathbf{q} = (-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})^\top$. Then F is not pseudo-monotone. In fact,

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \frac{3}{2} \\ (x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take $\mathbf{x} = (1, 0)^\top$ and $\mathbf{y} = (1, 1)^\top$. Then

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, F(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\top F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \times \frac{1}{2} - 1 \times \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) > 0.$$

However,

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\top F(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \times \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) - 1 \times \frac{1}{2} < 0,$$

and hence F is not pseudo-monotone. □

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we discussed the equivalent relationships between the feasible solution of the tensor complementarity problem and a class of tensors. It is showed that the solution set of the tensor complementarity problem is bounded if and only if such a complementarity problem with zero vector has unique solution. By means of two constants with respect to the smallest Pareto eigenvalue of tensor, we proved the global upper bounds for solution of the tensor complementarity problem with a strictly semi-positive and symmetric tensor. A new quantity is introduced for a strictly semi-positive tensor. For a strictly semi-positive tensor (not symmetric), the global upper bounds for such a tensor complementarity problem are proved by means of such a new quantity.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the editors and anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions which helped us to improve this manuscript. The first author’s work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Grant No. 11571095) and Program for Innovative Research Team (in Science and Technology) in University of Henan Province (14RTSTHN023). The second author’s work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61262026) and Programm of the Ministry of Education (NCET 13-0738), JGZX programm of Jiangxi Province (20112BCB23027), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20132BAB201026), science and technology programm of Jiangxi Education Committee (LDJH12088).

References

1. Ferris, M.C., Pang, J.S.: Engineering and economic applications of complementarity problems. *SIAM Rev.* **39**(4), 669–713 (1997)
2. Harker, P.T., Pang, J.S.: Finite-dimensional variational inequality and nonlinear complementarity problems: a survey of theory, algorithms and applications. *Math. Program.* **48**(1–3), 161–220 (1990)
3. Han, J.Y., Xiu, N.H., Qi, H.D.: *Nonlinear Complementary Theory and Algorithm*. Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Shanghai (2006). (in Chinese)
4. Facchinei, F., Pang, J.S.: *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems: vol. I*. Springer, New York (2011)

5. Cottle, R.W., Pang, J.S., Stone, R.E.: *The Linear Complementarity Problem*. Academic Press, Boston (1992)
6. Cottle, R.W., Rando, R.V.: Complementary pivot theory of mathematical programming. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1**, 103–125 (1968)
7. Eaves, B.C.: The linear complementarity problem. *Manag. Sci.* **17**, 621–634 (1971)
8. Karamardian, S.: The complementarity problem. *Math. Program.* **2**, 107–129 (1972)
9. Pang, J.S.: On Q-matrices. *Math. Program.* **17**, 243–247 (1979)
10. Pang, J.S.: A unification of two classes of Q-matrices. *Math. Program.* **20**, 348–352 (1981)
11. Seetharama Gowda, M.: On Q-matrices. *Math. Program.* **49**, 139–141 (1990)
12. Cottle, R.W.: Completely Q-matrices. *Math. Program.* **19**, 347–351 (1980)
13. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Properties of Tensor Complementarity Problem and Some Classes of Structured Tensors. [arXiv:1412.0113v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0113v1) (2014)
14. Che, M., Qi, L., Wei, Y.: Positive definite tensors to nonlinear complementarity problems. *J Optim. Theory Appl.* **168**, 475–487 (2016)
15. Luo, Z., Qi, L., Xiu, N.: The sparsest solutions to Z-tensor complementarity problems. *Optim. Lett.* doi:[10.1007/s11590-016-1013-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11590-016-1013-9). [arXiv:1505.00993](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.00993) (2015)
16. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Tensor complementarity problem and semi-positive tensors. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* doi:[10.1007/s10957-015-0800-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-015-0800-2). [arXiv:1502.02209](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02209) (2015)
17. Qi, L.: Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor. *J. Symb. Comput.* **40**, 1302–1324 (2005)
18. Zhang, L., Qi, L., Zhou, G.: M-tensors and some applications. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **35**(2), 437–452 (2014)
19. Ding, W., Qi, L., Wei, Y.: M-tensors and nonsingular M-tensors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439**, 3264–3278 (2013)
20. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Properties of some classes of structured tensors. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **165**(3), 854–873 (2015)
21. Qi, L., Song, Y.: An even order symmetric B tensor is positive definite. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **457**, 303–312 (2014)
22. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Infinite and finite dimensional Hilbert tensors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **451**, 1–14 (2014)
23. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Spectral properties of positively homogeneous operators induced by higher order tensors. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **34**(4), 1581–1595 (2013)
24. Qi, L.: Symmetric nonnegative tensors and copositive tensors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439**(1), 228–238 (2013)
25. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Eigenvalue analysis of constrained minimization problem for homogeneous polynomial. *J. Glob. Optim.* **64**(3), 563–575 (2016)
26. Ling, C., He, H., Qi, L.: On the cone eigenvalue complementarity problem for higher-order tensors. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **63**(1), 143–168 (2016)
27. Chen, Z., Yang, Q., Ye, L.: Generalized Eigenvalue Complementarity Problem for Tensors. [arXiv:1505.02494](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02494) (2015)