### ORIGINAL PAPER

# **First-Principles Study of Structural, Electronic, Magnetic and Half-Metallic Properties of the Heusler Alloys**  $Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAI$  ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn)

**F. Dahmane**<sup> $1,2$ </sup> **· S. Benalia**<sup> $2,3$ </sup> **· L. Djoudi**<sup> $2,3$ </sup> **· A.** Tadjer<sup>1</sup> **· R.** Khenata<sup> $4$ </sup> · **B.** Doumi<sup>1</sup> · **H. Aourag<sup>5</sup>**

Received: 25 April 2015 / Accepted: 11 May 2015 / Published online: 12 June 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

**Abstract** Using the first-principles calculations based on density functional theory within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), we investigate the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of the Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) alloys with the CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti-type structure. The optimized equilibrium lattice constants were found to be  $6.08 \text{ Å}$  for Ti<sub>2</sub>CoAl, 6.07 Å for Ti<sub>2</sub>FeAl and 6.16 Å for Ti<sub>2</sub>MnAl. The Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) alloys are found to be half-metallic ferromagnets. The total magnetic moment of Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) is 2, 1 and 0  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>, respectively, which is in agreement with the Slater–Pauling rule  $M_{\text{tot}} =$ *Z*tot− 18. The Ti2ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) have a band gap of 0.64745, 0.57795 and 0.39327 eV, respectively.

- F. Dahmane [fethallah05@gmail.com](mailto:fethallah05@gmail.com)

- <sup>1</sup> Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science Laboratory, Physics Department, University of Sidi Bel-Abbes, 22000 Sidi Bel-Abbes, Algeria
- $2$  Département de SM, Institue des sciences et des technologies, Centre universitaire de Tissemsilt, 3800, Tissemsilt, Algeria
- Laboratoire des Matériaux Magnétiques, Faculté des Sciences, Université Djillali Liabès de Sidi Bel-Abbès, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Quantique et de Modelisation ´ Mathématique (LPQ3M), Département de Technologie, Universite de Mascara, 29000 Mascara, Algeria ´
- $5$  Laboratoire Étude et Prédiction de Matériaux, URMER, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences, Université A. Belkaid, Tlemcen, 13000 Tlemcen, Algeria

**Keywords** Heusler alloy · Magnetic properties · Half metallicity · First-principles calculations

## **1 Introduction**

In recent years, Heusler and semi-Heusler alloys have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally due to their various interesting physical properties such as halfmetallic ferromagnetism, shape memory effect, magnetocaloric effect, etc., which is promising for future technological applications [\[1,](#page-5-0) [2\]](#page-5-1). Nowadays, the interest in Heusler compounds grew enormously due to the discovery of materials with high Curie temperature, high spin polarization and low saturation of magnetization, which may be useful for new devices, related to the magnetic storage of information [\[3,](#page-5-2) [4\]](#page-5-3).

Heusler compounds belong to a group of ternary intermetallics with the stoichiometric composition  $X_2$ YZ ordered in an  $L2_1$ -type structure. X and Y are transition metals and Z is usually a main group element. The  $L2_1$ structure consists of a primitive fcc lattice with a basis of four atoms. Most of these materials order ferromagnetically which makes them interesting and promising materials for future requirements in the field of technology [\[5\]](#page-5-4). Rapid development of modern material science has intensified the research interest in the field of spintronics. One of the most important materials for spintronics is half-metallic materials including half-metallic ferromagnets (HMFs) and half-metallic antiferromagnets [\[6\]](#page-5-5).

The half-metallic HMF was first proposed by de Groot et al. from the band structure calculations for the semi-Heusler NiMnSb and PtMnSb alloys [\[7,](#page-5-6) [8\]](#page-5-7). Half-metallic materials, in which the majority-spin band is metallic, while the minority-spin band is semiconducting with an energy gap at

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Table 1** Calculated lattice constant  $a$  ( $\AA$ ), bulk modulus  $B$ (GPa) and first derivative *B* for  $Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAI$  ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn)



the Fermi level, have the complete (100 %) spin polarization [\[8\]](#page-5-7). Up to now, HM ferromagnets have been widely found in perovskite compounds such as  $BaCrO<sub>3</sub>$  [\[9\]](#page-5-10) and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub>$ [\[10\]](#page-5-11), in Heusler alloys such as  $Co<sub>2</sub>MnSi$  [\[11\]](#page-5-12) and  $Mn<sub>2</sub>ZnCa$ [\[12\]](#page-5-13) and in metallic oxides such as  $CrO<sub>2</sub>$  [\[13\]](#page-5-14) and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [\[14,](#page-5-15) [15\]](#page-5-16).

The family of Heusler alloys incorporates more than 1000 members almost all crystallizing in a close-packed cubic structure similar to the binary semiconductors [\[4\]](#page-5-3). Most of them are metals exhibiting diverse magnetic phenomena.

The goal of this research work is to study, with ab initio calculation, the structural, electronic, magnetic and halfmetallic properties of the Heusler alloys Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn). The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: Section [2](#page-1-0) includes computational details and the method of calculation, Section [3](#page-2-0) is devoted to the results and discussion and Section [4](#page-5-17) is a summary of our conclusions.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2 Computational Method**

Electronic structure calculations were performed using the self-consistent full potential linearized augmented plane wave (FPLAPW) method [\[16\]](#page-5-18) implemented in WIEN2K code [\[17\]](#page-5-19) within the density functional theory (DFT). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [\[18,](#page-5-20) [19\]](#page-5-21) was used for the exchange correlation correction. In this method, the space is divided into non-overlapping muffin-tin (MT) spheres separated by an interstitial region. The maximum value of angular momentum  $l_{\text{max}} = 10$  is taken for the wave function expansion inside the atomic spheres. In order to accomplish the energy convergence, the wave function in the interstitial region is expanded with a cutoff parameter  $K_{\text{max}}R_{\text{mt}} = 8$ , where *K*max is the maximum value of the reciprocal lattice vector used in the plane wave expansion and  $R<sub>mt</sub>$  is the smallest atomic-sphere radius of all the atomic spheres. The magnitude of the largest vector in charge density Fourier expansion ( $G_{\text{max}}$ ) was 14 (a.u.)<sup>-1</sup>. A mesh of 64 special kpoints was made in the irreducible wedge of the Brillouin zone .The cutoff energy, which defines the separation of valence and core states, was chosen as −6.0 Ry. The selfconsistent calculations are considered to be converged only when the total energy of the crystal is converged to less than  $10^{-4}$  Ry.

The total energy dependence on the cell volume is fitted to the Murnaghan equation of state [\[20\]](#page-5-22) to determine the ground state properties.

$$
E(V) = E_0(V) + \frac{BV}{B'(B'-1)} \left[ B\left(1 - \frac{V_0}{V}\right) + \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)^{B'} - 1 \right] (1)
$$

where  $E_0$  is the minimum energy at  $T = 0$  K, *B* is the bulk modulus,  $B$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> is the bulk modulus derivative and  $V_0$  is the equilibrium volume. The electronic configurations for the valence states of Ti, Co, Fe and Mn used were  $[Ar]$  3*d*<sup>2</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>,  $[Ar]$  3*d*<sup>7</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>,  $[Ar]$  3*d*<sup>6</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup> and  $[Ar]$  3*d*<sup>5</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**a** CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti, **b** AlCu<sub>2</sub>Mn



# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**3 Results and Discussion**

The Heusler compounds have a stoichiometry composition of  $X_2$ YZ where X and Y are transition metals and Z is a main group element. The full-Heusler compounds crystallizing in the cubic structure have two types:  $AlCu<sub>2</sub>Mn$ and CuHg2Ti. The two structures consist of four interpenetrating fcc sub-lattices and four crystal sites: A (0,0,0), B (1/4,1/4,1/4), C (1/2,1/2,1/2) and D (3/4,3/4,3/4). In the AlCu<sub>2</sub>Mn type, X atoms occupy the  $(A, C)$  site, while in the CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti-type structure, the  $(A, B)$  sites are occupied by X atoms. The full-Heusler Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) with AlCu<sub>2</sub>Mn and CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti structures is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-1) According to Luo et al.  $[21]$ , site preference of the X and Y atoms is strongly influenced by the number of their 3*d* electrons. Those elements with more 3*d* electrons prefer to occupy the A and C sites and those with fewer ones tend to occupy B sites. In the CuHg2Ti-type full-Heusler alloy, X atoms occupy A  $(0, 0, 0)$  and B  $(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)$  sites, a Y atom occupies the C (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) site and a Z atom occupies the D (3/4, 3/4, 3/4) site. The following discussions about Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) are based on the CuHg<sub>2</sub>Titype structure; in this structure, the X atoms entering sites A and B are denoted as  $X(1)$  and  $X(2)$ , respectively. To our knowledge, there is no experimental lattice constant value to compare with our value. The calculated total energies versus volume are fitted to the empirical Murnaghan's equation of state [\[20\]](#page-5-22) to determine the ground state properties. The equilibrium lattice constants, bulk modulus and these first derivatives are listed in Table [1.](#page-1-1) The optimized lattice constants for the Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) alloys are 6.08, 6.07 and 6.16 Å which well agree with the previous firstprinciples calculations 6.14 Å  $[8]$  $[8]$ , 6.00 Å  $[22]$  $[22]$  and 6.24 Å [\[23\]](#page-5-8).

For Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) inverse Heusler alloys, the spin-polarized band structure and atom density of states (DOS) are presented in Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and [3,](#page-4-0) respectively. It is clear from Fig. [2](#page-3-0) that the majority-spin band for  $Ti<sub>2</sub>CoAl$ ,  $Ti<sub>2</sub>FeAl$  and  $Ti<sub>2</sub>MnAl$  is metallic, while the minority-spin band shows a semiconducting gap around the Fermi level. This energy gap in the minority-spin band leads to 100 % spin polarization at the Fermi level. As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-4-0) in minority-spin channel, the total density of states around the Fermi level is predominant due to the *d* electrons of Co, Ti $(X(1))$  and Ti $(X(2))$  transition metals. As can be seen, the presence of the majority-spin states at the Fermi level and a wide band gap in the minority-spin state confirm the HM characteristic of  $Ti<sub>2</sub>CoAl$  and  $Ti<sub>2</sub>FeAl$  alloys (Table [2\)](#page-1-2) . The minority-spin band gap is an important factor in HM materials, and the cause of the HM band gap is discussed in the following. The HM band gaps usually take place from three aspects  $[24]$ : (1) covalent band gap which exists in the half-Heusler with  $C_{1b}$  structure, (2)  $d-d$  band gap that is the origin of the HM band gap in the full-Heusler alloys with  $\text{AlCu}_2\text{Mn}$  structure and (3) charge transfer band gap  $[24, 25]$  $[24, 25]$  $[24, 25]$  which is usually seen in  $CrO<sub>2</sub>$  and double perovskites  $[24, 26]$  $[24, 26]$  $[24, 26]$ . The origin of the band gap in Fe<sub>2</sub>CrSi for example has been discussed by Galanakis et al. [\[27\]](#page-5-29), who related the band gap to the covalent hybridization between the lower *d* bands of the high-valent transition metal (Fe) with the higher *d* bands of the lower-valent transition metal (Cr). Skaftouros et al. [\[28\]](#page-5-30) have presented interesting

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Table 3** The total and partial magnetic moments of Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl  $(Z = Co, Fe, Mn)$ 



<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 2** The spin-polarized band structure of Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) in CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti-type structures

arguments about possible hybridizations between *d* orbitals of transition metals in the case of the  $X_2$ YZ inverse Heusler compounds, e.g. Sc-based Heusler compounds. According

to their statements, same symmetry of the X (1) and the Y atoms causes their *d* orbital to hybridize together, creating five bonding  $d$  (2  $\times$ e<sub>g</sub> and 3  $\times$ t<sub>2g</sub>) and five non-bonding

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 3** DOS of Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) in CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti-type structures

 $(2 \times e_u$  and  $3 \times t_u)$  states. Afterward, the five X  $(1)$ –Y bonding *d* states hybridize with the *d* orbital of the X (2) atoms and create bonding and anti-bonding states again [\[29\]](#page-5-31).

Slater and Pauling had shown in two pioneering papers that in the case of binary magnetic alloys, when we add one valence electron in the compound, this occupies spin-down states only and the total spin magnetic moment decreases by about  $1 \mu_B$  [\[32,](#page-5-32) [33\]](#page-5-33). Interestingly, a similar behaviour can be also found in half-metallic Heusler alloys. It was shown that in the case of the semi-Heusler compounds like NiMnSb,

the total spin magnetic in the unit cell,  $M_t$  scales, as a function of the total number of valence electrons,  $Z_t$ , follows the relation  $M_t = Z_t - 18$  [\[34\]](#page-5-34) while in the case of the L2<sub>1</sub> full Heusler, this relation becomes  $M_t = Z_t - 24$ . [\[35\]](#page-5-35). These Slater–Pauling (SP) rules connect the electronic properties (appearance of the half-metallic behaviour) directly to the magnetic properties (total spin magnetic moments) and thus offer a powerful tool to the study of half-metallic Heusler compounds. Recent research reveals that many Ti<sub>2</sub>-based full-Heusler alloys with the  $CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti$ -type structure can also

belong to the family of half-metallic material, and the total magnetic moments of these alloys follow the  $M_t = Z_t$ − 18 rule instead of the  $M_t = Z_t - 24$  rule [\[8,](#page-5-7) [36\]](#page-5-36). The Ti<sub>2</sub>CoAl alloy has 20 valence electrons per unit cell ( $Z_t$  = 20); thus, the spin magnetic moment is  $20 - 18 = 2 \mu_B$ for each unit cell, while the atomic magnetic moments are 1.14  $\mu$ B for Ti (1), 0.65137  $\mu$ B for Ti (2), -0.29248  $\mu$ B for Co, 0.00109  $\mu$ B for Al and 0.49423  $\mu$ B for the interstitial region. It can be clearly seen from Table [3](#page-2-2) that the calculated magnetic moments are in excellent agreement with other theoretical values [\[23\]](#page-5-8). Hence, the formation of the band gap in the inverse Heusler alloy  $Ti<sub>2</sub>CoAl$  is determined by two mechanisms of covalent hybridization and *d*–*d* orbital hybridization between transition metals, but the *d*–*d* hybridization plays a dominant role [\[37,](#page-5-37) [38\]](#page-5-38). The total magnetic moment  $M_{\text{tot}}$  of the Ti<sub>2</sub>MnAl alloy is integer 0  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub> and is followed by the Slater–Pauling rule  $M_{\text{tot}} = Z_{\text{tot}} -$ 18. The atomic magnetic moments of Ti1, Ti2, Mn and Al atoms are  $-1.08091, -0.97271, 2.60640$  and  $-0.01920$   $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>, respectively. The different local magnetic moments for two Ti atoms in the  $Ti<sub>2</sub>MnAl$  alloy with the CuHg<sub>2</sub>Ti-type structure are resulted from the different atomic environments, i.e. the Ti1 atom has four nearest Ti2 atoms and four nearest Al atoms as well as six next-nearest Mn atoms, while the Ti2 atom has four nearest Ti1 atoms and four nearest Mn atoms as well as six next-nearest Al atoms [\[31\]](#page-5-24).

Ti<sub>2</sub>FeAl has a total magnetic moment of 1  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>. As listed in Table [3,](#page-2-2) the local magnetic moments of Ti1, Ti2 and Fe are 0.86238, 0.56666 and  $-0.83923$   $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>, respectively, although the magnetic moment of the Al atom is small (0.00531) and can be neglectable. It is clear that the alloy has half metallicity because it has an integral total magnetic moment,  $M_{\text{tot}}$ , which agrees with the Slater–Pauling rule.

#### <span id="page-5-17"></span>**4 Conclusion**

We investigate the electronic structure and magnetism of Heusler alloys Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) using the ab initio density functional theory calculations for alloys with the CuHg2Ti-type structure. The Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) alloys are found to be half-metallic ferromagnets. The total magnetic moments of Ti<sub>2</sub>ZAl ( $Z = Co$ , Fe, Mn) are 2, 1 and 0  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>, respectively, which is in agreement with the Slater–Pauling rule.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>1. Madhumita Halder, K.G., Suresh, M.D., Mukadam, S.M., Yusuf: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **374**, 75–79 (2015)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. Galanakis, I., Ležaić, M., Bihlmayer, G., Blügel, S.: Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter **71**, 214431 (2005)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. Birsan, A.: J. Alloys. Compnd. **598**, 230–235 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. Graf, T., Felser, C., Parkin, S.S.P.: Progress Sol. St. Chem. **39**, 1 (2011)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Gupta, D.C., Bhat, I.H.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **374**, 209–213 (2015)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. Umamaheswari, R., Yogeswari, M., Kalpana, G.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **350**, 167–173 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>7. De Groot, R.A., Mueller, F.M., Van Engen, P.G., Buschow, K.H.J.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 2024 (1983)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>8. Bayar, E., Kervan, N.: Selc-uk Kervan and 2945–2948. J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 323 (2011)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>9. Zhu, Z.H., Yan, X.H.: J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 023713 (2009)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>10. Kobayashi, K.L., Kimura, T., Sawada, H., Terakura, K., Tokura, Y.: Nature **395**, 677 (1998)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>11. Nourmohammadi, A., Abolhasani, M.R.: Solid State Commun. **150**, 1501 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>12. Wang, W.Z., Wei, X.P.: Comput. Mater. Sci. **50**, 2253 (2011)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>13. Dho, J., Ki, S., Gubkin, A.F., Park, J.M.S., Sherstobitov, E.A.: Solid State Commun. **150**, 86 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>14. Soeya, S., Hayakawa, J., Takahashi, H., Ito, K., Yamamoto, C., Kida, A., Asano, H., Matsui, M.: Appl. Phys. Lett. **80**, 823 (2002)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>15. Huang, H.M., Luo, S.J., Yao, K.L.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **324**, 2560–2564 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>16. Singh, D., Waves, P.: Pseudo-potentials and the LAPW method. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dortrecht, London (1994)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>17. Blaha, P., Schwarz, K., Madsen, G.K.H., Hvasnicka, D., Luitz, J.: WIEN2k, An augmented plane waveþlocal orbitals program for calculating crystal properties. Karlheinz Schwarz. Technische Universit Wien, Austria (2001)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>18. Perdew, J.P., Burke, K., Wang, Y. Phys. Rev. B 54, 16533 (1996)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>19. Perdew, J.P., Burke, S., Ernzerhof, M.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>20. Murnaghan, F.D.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **30**, 244 (1944)
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>21. Luo, H.Z., Zhu, Z.Y., Liu, G.D., Xu, S.F., Wu, G.H., Liu, H.Y., Qu, J.P., Li, Y.X., Magn, J.: Magn. Mater. **320**, 421 (2008)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>22. Liping, M., Yongfan, S., Yu, H.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **369**, 205– 210 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>23. Nan, Z., Yingjiu, J.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **324**, 3099–3104 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>24. Ahmadian, F.: J. Alloys. Compnd. **576**, 279–284 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>25. Fang, C.M., De Wijs, G.A., De Groot, R.A.: J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 8340 (2002)
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>26. Liu, G.D., Dai, X.F., Liu, H.Y., Chen, J.L., Li, Y.X., Xiao, G., et al.: Phys. Rev. B **77**, 014424 (2008)
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>27. Galanakis, I., Mavropoulos, Ph., Dederichs, P.H.: J. Phys.D: Appl. Phys. **39**, 765 (2006)
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>28. Skaftouros, S., Özdogan, K., Galanakis, I.: Phys. Rev. B 87, 024420 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>29. Ahmadian, F., Salary, A.: Intermetallics **46**, 243–249 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>30. Wei, X.-P., Deng, J.-B., Mao, G.-Y., Chu, S.-B., Hu, X.-R.: Intermetallics **29**, 86 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>31. Fang, Q.-L., Zhao, X.-M., Zhang, J.-M., Xu, K.-W.: Thin Solid Films **558**, 241–246 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-32"></span>32. Slater, J.C.: Phys. Rev. **49**, 931 (1936)
- <span id="page-5-33"></span>33. Pauling, L.: Phs. Rev. **54**, 899 (1938)
- <span id="page-5-34"></span>34. Galanakis, I., Dederichs, P.H., Papanikolaou, N.: Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134428 (2002)
- <span id="page-5-35"></span>35. Galanakis, I., Dederichs, P.H., Papanikolaou, N.: Phys. Rev. B **66**, 174429 (2002)
- <span id="page-5-36"></span>36. Fang, Q.-L., Zhang, J.-M., Xu, K.-W.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **349**, 104–108 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-37"></span>37. Chen, Y., Wu, B., Yuan, H., Feng, Y., Chen, H.: J. Solid State Chem. **221**, 311–317 (2015)
- <span id="page-5-38"></span>38. Wei, X.P., Deng, J.B., Mao, G.Y., Chu, S.B., Hu, X.R.: Intermetallics **29**, 86–91 (2012)