ORIGINAL PAPER

First-Principles Study of Structural, Electronic, Magnetic and Half-Metallic Properties of the Heusler Alloys $Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co, Fe, Mn)$

F. Dahmane^{1,2} · S. Benalia^{2,3} · L. Djoudi^{2,3} · A. Tadjer¹ · R. Khenata⁴ · B. Doumi¹ · H. Aourag⁵

Received: 25 April 2015 / Accepted: 11 May 2015 / Published online: 12 June 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract Using the first-principles calculations based on density functional theory within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), we investigate the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of the Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) alloys with the CuHg₂Ti-type structure. The optimized equilibrium lattice constants were found to be 6.08 Å for Ti₂CoAl, 6.07 Å for Ti₂FeAl and 6.16 Å for Ti₂MnAl. The Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) alloys are found to be half-metallic ferromagnets. The total magnetic moment of Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) is 2, 1 and 0 $\mu_{\rm B}$, respectively, which is in agreement with the Slater–Pauling rule $M_{\rm tot} = Z_{\rm tot} - 18$. The Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) have a band gap of 0.64745, 0.57795 and 0.39327 eV, respectively.

F. Dahmane fethallah05@gmail.com

- ¹ Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science Laboratory, Physics Department, University of Sidi Bel-Abbes, 22000 Sidi Bel-Abbes, Algeria
- ² Département de SM, Institue des sciences et des technologies, Centre universitaire de Tissemsilt, 3800, Tissemsilt, Algeria
- ³ Laboratoire des Matériaux Magnétiques, Faculté des Sciences, Université Djillali Liabès de Sidi Bel-Abbès, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria
- ⁴ Laboratoire de Physique Quantique et de Modélisation Mathématique (LPQ3M), Département de Technologie, Université de Mascara, 29000 Mascara, Algeria
- ⁵ Laboratoire Étude et Prédiction de Matériaux, URMER, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences, Université A. Belkaid, Tlemcen, 13000 Tlemcen, Algeria

Keywords Heusler alloy · Magnetic properties · Half metallicity · First-principles calculations

1 Introduction

In recent years, Heusler and semi-Heusler alloys have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally due to their various interesting physical properties such as halfmetallic ferromagnetism, shape memory effect, magnetocaloric effect, etc., which is promising for future technological applications [1, 2]. Nowadays, the interest in Heusler compounds grew enormously due to the discovery of materials with high Curie temperature, high spin polarization and low saturation of magnetization, which may be useful for new devices, related to the magnetic storage of information [3, 4].

Heusler compounds belong to a group of ternary intermetallics with the stoichiometric composition X_2YZ ordered in an L2₁-type structure. X and Y are transition metals and Z is usually a main group element. The L2₁ structure consists of a primitive fcc lattice with a basis of four atoms. Most of these materials order ferromagnetically which makes them interesting and promising materials for future requirements in the field of technology [5]. Rapid development of modern material science has intensified the research interest in the field of spintronics. One of the most important materials for spintronics is half-metallic materials including half-metallic ferromagnets (HMFs) and half-metallic antiferromagnets [6].

The half-metallic HMF was first proposed by de Groot et al. from the band structure calculations for the semi-Heusler NiMnSb and PtMnSb alloys [7, 8]. Half-metallic materials, in which the majority-spin band is metallic, while the minority-spin band is semiconducting with an energy gap at **Table 1** Calculated lattice constant *a* (Å), bulk modulus *B* (GPa) and first derivative B'for Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn)

Compound	a (Å)	B (GPa)	B'
Ti ₂ CoAl	6.08	144.6461	4.7252
	6.14 [8]	134.134 [8]	3.929 [8]
	6.142 [23]		
Ti ₂ FeAl	6.07	134.1383	6.0915
	6.000 [22]	160.539 [22]	4.675 [<mark>22</mark>]
	6.139 [23]		
Ti ₂ MnAl	6.16	102.4472	5.3872
	6.24 [23]		

the Fermi level, have the complete (100 %) spin polarization [8]. Up to now, HM ferromagnets have been widely found in perovskite compounds such as BaCrO₃ [9] and Sr₂FeMoO₆ [10], in Heusler alloys such as Co₂MnSi [11] and Mn₂ZnCa [12] and in metallic oxides such as CrO₂ [13] and Fe₃O₄ [14, 15].

The family of Heusler alloys incorporates more than 1000 members almost all crystallizing in a close-packed cubic structure similar to the binary semiconductors [4]. Most of them are metals exhibiting diverse magnetic phenomena.

The goal of this research work is to study, with ab initio calculation, the structural, electronic, magnetic and halfmetallic properties of the Heusler alloys Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn). The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 includes computational details and the method of calculation, Section 3 is devoted to the results and discussion and Section 4 is a summary of our conclusions.

2 Computational Method

Electronic structure calculations were performed using the self-consistent full potential linearized augmented plane wave (FPLAPW) method [16] implemented in WIEN2K code [17] within the density functional theory (DFT). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [18, 19] was used for the exchange correlation correction. In this method, the space is divided into non-overlapping muffin-tin (MT) spheres separated by an interstitial region. The maximum value of angular momentum $l_{\text{max}} = 10$ is taken for the wave function expansion

inside the atomic spheres. In order to accomplish the energy convergence, the wave function in the interstitial region is expanded with a cutoff parameter $K_{\text{max}}R_{\text{mt}} = 8$, where K_{max} is the maximum value of the reciprocal lattice vector used in the plane wave expansion and R_{mt} is the smallest atomic-sphere radius of all the atomic spheres. The magnitude of the largest vector in charge density Fourier expansion (G_{max}) was 14 (a.u.)⁻¹. A mesh of 64 special kpoints was made in the irreducible wedge of the Brillouin zone .The cutoff energy, which defines the separation of valence and core states, was chosen as -6.0 Ry. The selfconsistent calculations are considered to be converged only when the total energy of the crystal is converged to less than 10^{-4} Ry.

The total energy dependence on the cell volume is fitted to the Murnaghan equation of state [20] to determine the ground state properties.

$$E(V) = E_0(V) + \frac{BV}{B'(B'-1)} \left[B\left(1 - \frac{V_0}{V}\right) + \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)^{B'} - 1 \right]$$
(1)

where E_0 is the minimum energy at T = 0 K, B is the bulk modulus, B' is the bulk modulus derivative and V_0 is the equilibrium volume. The electronic configurations for the valence states of Ti, Co, Fe and Mn used were [Ar] $3d^24s^2$, [Ar] $3d^74s^2$, [Ar] $3d^64s^2$ and [Ar] $3d^54s^2$, respectively.

Table 2 The calculated HMband gap of Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co,	Alloy Eg (eV)					
Fe, Mn)	Ti ₂ CoAl	0.64745	0.49 [8]	0.68 [30]	0.486 [23]	
	Ti ₂ FeAl	0.57795	0.53 [23]	0.33 [23]		
	Ti ₂ MnAl'	0.39327	0.358 [23]	0.545 [31]		



3 Results and Discussion

The Heusler compounds have a stoichiometry composition of X₂YZ where X and Y are transition metals and Z is a main group element. The full-Heusler compounds crystallizing in the cubic structure have two types: AlCu₂Mn and CuHg₂Ti. The two structures consist of four interpenetrating fcc sub-lattices and four crystal sites: A (0,0,0), B (1/4, 1/4, 1/4), C (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) and D (3/4, 3/4, 3/4). In the AlCu₂Mn type, X atoms occupy the (A, C) site, while in the CuHg₂Ti-type structure, the (A, B) sites are occupied by X atoms. The full-Heusler Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) with AlCu₂Mn and CuHg₂Ti structures is shown in Fig. 1. According to Luo et al. [21], site preference of the X and Y atoms is strongly influenced by the number of their 3delectrons. Those elements with more 3d electrons prefer to occupy the A and C sites and those with fewer ones tend to occupy B sites. In the CuHg₂Ti-type full-Heusler alloy, X atoms occupy A (0, 0, 0) and B (1/4, 1/4, 1/4) sites, a Y atom occupies the C (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) site and a Z atom occupies the D (3/4, 3/4, 3/4) site. The following discussions about Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) are based on the CuHg₂Titype structure; in this structure, the X atoms entering sites A and B are denoted as X (1) and X (2), respectively. To our knowledge, there is no experimental lattice constant value to compare with our value. The calculated total energies versus volume are fitted to the empirical Murnaghan's equation of state [20] to determine the ground state properties. The equilibrium lattice constants, bulk modulus and these first derivatives are listed in Table 1. The optimized lattice constants for the Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) alloys are 6.08,

6.07 and 6.16 Å which well agree with the previous firstprinciples calculations 6.14 Å [8], 6.00 Å [22] and 6.24 Å [23].

For Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) inverse Heusler alloys, the spin-polarized band structure and atom density of states (DOS) are presented in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. It is clear from Fig. 2 that the majority-spin band for Ti₂CoAl, Ti₂FeAl and Ti₂MnAl is metallic, while the minority-spin band shows a semiconducting gap around the Fermi level. This energy gap in the minority-spin band leads to 100 % spin polarization at the Fermi level. As shown in Fig. 3, in minority-spin channel, the total density of states around the Fermi level is predominant due to the d electrons of Co, Ti(X(1)) and Ti(X(2)) transition metals. As can be seen, the presence of the majority-spin states at the Fermi level and a wide band gap in the minority-spin state confirm the HM characteristic of Ti₂CoAl and Ti₂FeAl alloys (Table 2). The minority-spin band gap is an important factor in HM materials, and the cause of the HM band gap is discussed in the following. The HM band gaps usually take place from three aspects [24]: (1) covalent band gap which exists in the half-Heusler with C_{1b} structure, (2) d-d band gap that is the origin of the HM band gap in the full-Heusler alloys with AlCu₂Mn structure and (3) charge transfer band gap [24, 25] which is usually seen in CrO₂ and double perovskites [24, 26]. The origin of the band gap in Fe₂CrSi for example has been discussed by Galanakis et al. [27], who related the band gap to the covalent hybridization between the lower d bands of the high-valent transition metal (Fe) with the higher d bands of the lower-valent transition metal (Cr). Skaftouros et al. [28] have presented interesting

Table 3 The total and partialmagnetic moments of Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co, Fe, Mn)

Compound	$M^{ m Ti1}$	M^{Ti2}	M^X	$M^{ m Al}$	M ^{interstitial}	M^{Tot}
Ti ₂ CoAl	1.14807 1.101 [23]	0.65137 0.598 [23]	-0.29248 -0.188 [23]	-0.00109 0.014 [23]	0.49423	2.00009 2.00 [8] 2.00 [23]
Ti ₂ FeAl	0.86238 0.885 [23]	0.56666 0.620 [23]	-0.83923 -0.963 [23]	0.00531 0.021 [23]	0.40475	0.99987 1.000 [23]
Ti ₂ MnAl	-1.08091 1.029 [23]	-0.97271 0.922	2.60640 -2.492 [23]	-0.01920 0.032 [23]	-0.53371	-0.00013 0.000 [23]



Fig. 2 The spin-polarized band structure of Ti_2ZAI (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) in CuHg₂Ti-type structures

arguments about possible hybridizations between d orbitals of transition metals in the case of the X₂YZ inverse Heusler compounds, e.g. Sc-based Heusler compounds. According

to their statements, same symmetry of the X (1) and the Y atoms causes their *d* orbital to hybridize together, creating five bonding d (2 ×e_g and 3 ×t_{2g}) and five non-bonding

-10 -9

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

-8

-9

-10

Dos(states/eV)

Ti,CoAl-CuHg,Ti type

5 6 7

9 10

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

-1

-2 -3 -4 -5

-6 -7

-8

-9

-10

Energy(eV)

-10 -9 -8

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

-1

-2 -3

-4 -5

-6

-7

-8

-9

-10

Dos(states/eV)

8

Total DOS

Ti 1 DOS

Ti 2 DOS

Co DOS

Al DOS

WN

-8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

10

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

0

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

-8

-9

-10

9 10

Fe DOS

Al DOS

-10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 -8 0 -10 -9 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 Energy(eV) Energy(eV) Ti, MnAl- CuHg, Ti type -4 -3 -2 -1 0 2 3 5 1 4 6 10 -10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5 7 8 10 10 9 9 Total DOS 8 8 Ti 1 DOS 7 7 Ti 2 DOS 6 6 5 Mn DOS 5 4 4 Al DOS 3 3 Dos(states/eV) 2 2 1 0 0 -1 -1 -2 -3 -2 -3 -4 -4 -5 -5 -6 -6 -7 -7 -8 -8 -9 -9 -10 -10 -1 0 1 2 -10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5 -3 -2 3 6 8 9 10 -4 4 5 7

Fig. 3 DOS of Ti_2ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) in CuHg₂Ti-type structures

 $(2 \times e_u \text{ and } 3 \times t_u)$ states. Afterward, the five X (1)–Y bonding *d* states hybridize with the *d* orbital of the X (2) atoms and create bonding and anti-bonding states again [29].

Slater and Pauling had shown in two pioneering papers that in the case of binary magnetic alloys, when we add one valence electron in the compound, this occupies spin-down states only and the total spin magnetic moment decreases by about 1 μ B [32, 33]. Interestingly, a similar behaviour can be also found in half-metallic Heusler alloys. It was shown that in the case of the semi-Heusler compounds like NiMnSb,

the total spin magnetic in the unit cell, M_t scales, as a function of the total number of valence electrons, Z_t , follows the relation $M_t = Z_t - 18$ [34] while in the case of the L2₁ full Heusler, this relation becomes $M_t = Z_t - 24$. [35]. These Slater–Pauling (SP) rules connect the electronic properties (appearance of the half-metallic behaviour) directly to the magnetic properties (total spin magnetic moments) and thus offer a powerful tool to the study of half-metallic Heusler compounds. Recent research reveals that many Ti₂-based full-Heusler alloys with the CuHg₂Ti-type structure can also belong to the family of half-metallic material, and the total magnetic moments of these alloys follow the $M_t = Z_t -$ 18 rule instead of the $M_t = Z_t - 24$ rule [8, 36]. The Ti₂CoAl alloy has 20 valence electrons per unit cell ($Z_t =$ 20); thus, the spin magnetic moment is $20 - 18 = 2 \mu_B$ for each unit cell, while the atomic magnetic moments are 1.14 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for Ti (1), 0.65137 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for Ti (2), -0.29248 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for Co, 0.00109 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for Al and 0.49423 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for the interstitial region. It can be clearly seen from Table 3 that the calculated magnetic moments are in excellent agreement with other theoretical values [23]. Hence, the formation of the band gap in the inverse Heusler alloy Ti₂CoAl is determined by two mechanisms of covalent hybridization and d-d orbital hybridization between transition metals, but the d-d hybridization plays a dominant role [37, 38]. The total magnetic moment M_{tot} of the Ti₂MnAl alloy is integer 0 μ_B and is followed by the Slater-Pauling rule $M_{\text{tot}} = Z_{\text{tot}}$ -18. The atomic magnetic moments of Ti1, Ti2, Mn and Al atoms are -1.08091, -0.97271, 2.60640 and $-0.01920 \mu_B$, respectively. The different local magnetic moments for two Ti atoms in the Ti₂MnAl alloy with the CuHg₂Ti-type structure are resulted from the different atomic environments, i.e. the Ti1 atom has four nearest Ti2 atoms and four nearest Al atoms as well as six next-nearest Mn atoms, while the Ti2 atom has four nearest Ti1 atoms and four nearest Mn atoms as well as six next-nearest Al atoms [31].

Ti₂FeAl has a total magnetic moment of 1 $\mu_{\rm B}$. As listed in Table 3, the local magnetic moments of Ti1, Ti2 and Fe are 0.86238, 0.56666 and -0.83923 $\mu_{\rm B}$, respectively, although the magnetic moment of the Al atom is small (0.00531) and can be neglectable. It is clear that the alloy has half metallicity because it has an integral total magnetic moment, $M_{\rm tot}$, which agrees with the Slater–Pauling rule.

4 Conclusion

We investigate the electronic structure and magnetism of Heusler alloys Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) using the ab initio density functional theory calculations for alloys with the CuHg2Ti-type structure. The Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) alloys are found to be half-metallic ferromagnets. The total magnetic moments of Ti₂ZAl (Z = Co, Fe, Mn) are 2, 1 and 0 μ_B , respectively, which is in agreement with the Slater–Pauling rule.

References

- Madhumita Halder, K.G., Suresh, M.D., Mukadam, S.M., Yusuf: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **374**, 75–79 (2015)
- Galanakis, I., Ležaić, M., Bihlmayer, G., Blügel, S.: Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 71, 214431 (2005)

- 3. Birsan, A.: J. Alloys. Compnd. 598, 230-235 (2014)
- Graf, T., Felser, C., Parkin, S.S.P.: Progress Sol. St. Chem. 39, 1 (2011)
- 5. Gupta, D.C., Bhat, I.H.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **374**, 209–213 (2015)
- Umamaheswari, R., Yogeswari, M., Kalpana, G.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 350, 167–173 (2014)
- 7. De Groot, R.A., Mueller, F.M., Van Engen, P.G., Buschow, K.H.J.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 2024 (1983)
- Bayar, E., Kervan, N.: Selc-uk Kervan and 2945–2948. J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 323 (2011)
- 9. Zhu, Z.H., Yan, X.H.: J. Appl. Phys. 106, 023713 (2009)
- Kobayashi, K.L., Kimura, T., Sawada, H., Terakura, K., Tokura, Y.: Nature **395**, 677 (1998)
- Nourmohammadi, A., Abolhasani, M.R.: Solid State Commun. 150, 1501 (2010)
- 12. Wang, W.Z., Wei, X.P.: Comput. Mater. Sci. 50, 2253 (2011)
- Dho, J., Ki, S., Gubkin, A.F., Park, J.M.S., Sherstobitov, E.A.: Solid State Commun. 150, 86 (2010)
- Soeya, S., Hayakawa, J., Takahashi, H., Ito, K., Yamamoto, C., Kida, A., Asano, H., Matsui, M.: Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 823 (2002)
- Huang, H.M., Luo, S.J., Yao, K.L.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 324, 2560–2564 (2012)
- Singh, D., Waves, P.: Pseudo-potentials and the LAPW method. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dortrecht, London (1994)
- Blaha, P., Schwarz, K., Madsen, G.K.H., Hvasnicka, D., Luitz, J.: WIEN2k, An augmented plane waveblocal orbitals program for calculating crystal properties. Karlheinz Schwarz. Technische Universit Wien, Austria (2001)
- 18. Perdew, J.P., Burke, K., Wang, Y. Phys. Rev. B 54, 16533 (1996)
- Perdew, J.P., Burke, S., Ernzerhof, M.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)
- 20. Murnaghan, F.D.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 30, 244 (1944)
- Luo, H.Z., Zhu, Z.Y., Liu, G.D., Xu, S.F., Wu, G.H., Liu, H.Y., Qu, J.P., Li, Y.X., Magn, J.: Magn. Mater. **320**, 421 (2008)
- Liping, M., Yongfan, S., Yu, H.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 369, 205– 210 (2014)
- Nan, Z., Yingjiu, J.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 324, 3099–3104 (2012)
- 24. Ahmadian, F.: J. Alloys. Compnd. 576, 279-284 (2013)
- Fang, C.M., De Wijs, G.A., De Groot, R.A.: J. Appl. Phys. 91, 8340 (2002)
- Liu, G.D., Dai, X.F., Liu, H.Y., Chen, J.L., Li, Y.X., Xiao, G., et al.: Phys. Rev. B 77, 014424 (2008)
- Galanakis, I., Mavropoulos, Ph., Dederichs, P.H.: J. Phys.D: Appl. Phys. 39, 765 (2006)
- Skaftouros, S., Özdogan, K., Galanakis, I.: Phys. Rev. B 87, 024420 (2013)
- 29. Ahmadian, F., Salary, A.: Intermetallics 46, 243-249 (2014)
- 30. Wei, X.-P., Deng, J.-B., Mao, G.-Y., Chu, S.-B., Hu, X.-R.: Intermetallics **29**, 86 (2012)
- Fang, Q.-L., Zhao, X.-M., Zhang, J.-M., Xu, K.-W.: Thin Solid Films 558, 241–246 (2014)
- 32. Slater, J.C.: Phys. Rev. 49, 931 (1936)
- 33. Pauling, L.: Phs. Rev. 54, 899 (1938)
- Galanakis, I., Dederichs, P.H., Papanikolaou, N.: Phys. Rev. B 66, 134428 (2002)
- Galanakis, I., Dederichs, P.H., Papanikolaou, N.: Phys. Rev. B 66, 174429 (2002)
- Fang, Q.-L., Zhang, J.-M., Xu, K.-W.: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 349, 104–108 (2014)
- Chen, Y., Wu, B., Yuan, H., Feng, Y., Chen, H.: J. Solid State Chem. 221, 311–317 (2015)
- Wei, X.P., Deng, J.B., Mao, G.Y., Chu, S.B., Hu, X.R.: Intermetallics 29, 86–91 (2012)