# ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF DOUBLE PEROVSKITES Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu) ACCORDING TO THE DATA OF FLAPW-GGA BAND STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS

V. V. Bannikov, I. R. Shein, V. L. Kozhevnikov, and A. L. Ivanovskii

Changes in the electronic structure and magnetic characteristics of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  double perovskites were studied by the FLAPW-GGA *ab initio* band structure method in relation to the type of the cation M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu.

Keywords: double perovskites Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub>, band structure, magnetism, modeling.

### INTRODUCTION

Strontium ferrite SrFeO<sub>3</sub> has attracted considerable interest as a basic phase in developing new multifunctional materials. Among these are ceramics with conductivity of mixed ionic and electronic type, promising cathode and membrane materials, materials for oxygen sensors, so-called magnetic half-metals, materials for spin electronics (spintronics), etc. [1-9].

Doping the Fe sublattice with *d* metal atoms, including scandium [10], titanium [11], chromium [12], manganese [13], cobalt [14], copper [3], and a number of 4*d* and 5*d* metal atoms (see, e.g., [15-18]) is one of the basic techniques for modifying the properties of strontium ferrites. The concentration of M dopants in  $SrFe_{1-x}M_xO_3$  solid solutions can reach  $x \ge 0.5$  [13, 15-18], which occasionally leads to the formation of ordered  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases known as *double perovskites*.

Great attention has also been paid to multication doping; some part of the sites of the strontium and iron sublattice are simultaneously replaced with different cations (e.g.,  $La_{0.3}Sr_{0.7}Fe_{1-x}Ga_xO_{2.65+\delta}$  type phases [19]), or the iron sublattice sites are replaced with cations of two different types (e.g.,  $Sr_2Fe_{1-x}M_xReO_6$ , where M = Cr or Zn [20]), etc.

At the same time, the overwhelming majority of synthetic materials based on doped SrFeO<sub>3</sub> [1-20] have a complex variable chemical composition; moreover, many materials have structural defects and nonstoichiometry in the oxygen sublattice.

This wide set of different (and variable) factors hinders analysis of changes in the magnetic and electronic properties of the initial (SrFeO<sub>3</sub>) matrix responsible for the physical properties of materials based on it, especially in the case of multication doping of ferrite.

The aim of the present work is to study, within a **single model**, changes in the electronic structure and magnetic properties of perovskite-like  $SrFe_{1-x}M_xO_3$  phases doped with transition metals phases **depending on the type of the 3***d* **atom** (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu).

Institute of Solid State Chemistry, Ural Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, Yekaterinburg; ivanovskii@ihim.uran.ru. Translated from *Zhurnal Strukturnoi Khimii*, Vol. 49, No. 5, pp. 815-820, September-October, 2008. Original article submitted February 12, 2008.



Fig. 1. Fragment of the crystal structure of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  double perovskite.

## MODEL AND CALCULATION PROCEDURE

To study the electronic and magnetic properties of perovskite-like  $SrFe_{1-x}M_xO_3$  phases depending on the type of the 3*d* dopant we used the  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  double perovskite model (which corresponded to the formal stoichiometry of  $SrFe_{0.5}M_{0.5}O_3$  solid solutions) with an fcc cell (space group *Fm3m*), in which the component atoms occupied the following positions: M (0, 0, 0), Fe (1/2, 0, 0), Sr (1/4, 1/4, 1/4), and O (1/4, 0, 0) (Fig. 1). All metals from the 3*d* series (Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu) were considered as dopant elements (M). To determine how the properties of ferrite changed with the type of the 3*d* dopant we assumed that all  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases 1) retained their ordered cubic structure; 2) the oxygen vacancies were absent; and 3) the phases had ferromagnetic (FM) spin ordering.

The calculations were carried out by the full-potential augmented plane wave method (WIEN2k FLAPW code [21]) with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the exchange correlation potential in the PBE form [22]. The radii of the atomic *muffin-tin* (MT) spheres were 2.00 au (Sr), 2.00 au (Fe), 1.60 au (O), 1.70 au (M = Sc–Cr), and 1.84 au (M = Mn–Cu). The set of plane waves  $K_{\text{max}}$  was determined by the equation  $R_{\text{MT}} \cdot K_{\text{max}} = 7.0$ . The integration over the Brillouin zone (BZ) was carried out by the tetrahedra method using the 10×10×10 net for 47 *k* points in the irreducible part of the BZ of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub>. The convergence criterion (for the total energy) was 0.0001 Ry.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Band structure and magnetic moments of perovskite SrFeO<sub>3</sub>.** The total and partial densities of state (DOS) of the cubic SrFeO<sub>3</sub> phase are presented in Fig. 2. In agreement with previous data (see review [23]), our calculations showed that for ferromagnetic SrFeO<sub>3</sub>, the spin polarization effect was most important for the Fe3*d* bands; as a result, the energy of the  $d_{\uparrow}$  band decreased, and that of the  $d_{\downarrow}$  band increased. The magnetic moments (MMs) on iron atoms were 2.86  $\mu_B$ . The splitting of the Fe3*d* states into the spatial and spin components led to their hybridization with the oxygen 2*p* states within the range from -7 eV to  $E_F$  (Fig. 2). As a result, small induced magnetic moments (MM ~ 0.15  $\mu_B$ ) appeared on oxygen atoms. The spin splittings of the occupied states of strontium were absent (the magnetic moment of Sr ~ 0.01  $\mu_B$ ). The contributions of the Sr5*s*,5*p* states to the valence region of the spectrum were extremely small; i.e., strontium was in the cation form close to Sr<sup>2+</sup>. As a result, the chemical bond in SrFeO<sub>3</sub> was a combined covalent and ionic bond; the Sr–O bonds were ionic, and the Fe–O bonds had both the covalent (due to hybridization of the Fe3*d*–O2*p* orbitals) and ionic components (due to the partial charge transfer in the direction Fe– $\rightarrow$ O).

**Magnetic characteristics of double perovskites**  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu). Table 1 lists the calculated total ( $\mu_{tot}$  per unit cell) and local magnetic moments (LMMs) of the component atoms in the series of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  double perovskites (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu). It can be seen that the total magnetic moment of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  changed (depending on the



**Fig. 2.** Total (top) and partial spin DOS of cubic perovskite-like strontium ferrite SrFeO<sub>3</sub>. The spin densities are given for the Fe3*d* (1) and O2*p* (2) states (per atom).

**TABLE 1.** Local Magnetic Moments on Atoms (LMMs,  $\mu_B$ ) and Total Magnetic Moment ( $\mu_{tot}$ ,  $\mu_B$ /cell) forSr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> Cubic Perovskite-like Phases (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu)

Phase	LMM*				Phase	LMM*			
	М	Fe	0	μ <sub>tot</sub> **		М	Fe	0	μ <sub>tot</sub> **
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeScO <sub>6</sub>	-0.011	2.360	0.077	3.013	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeMnO <sub>6</sub>	3.108	2.656	0.107	6.998
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeTiO <sub>6</sub>	-0.040	1.661	0.050	2.017	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCoO <sub>6</sub>	2.712	2.369	0.251	7.008
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeVO <sub>6</sub>	-0.157	2.929	0.068	3.251	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeNiO <sub>6</sub>	1.527	2.400	0.237	5.716
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCrO <sub>6</sub>	1.518	3.128	0.064	5.473	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCuO <sub>6</sub>	0.223	2.352	0.189	4.007

\*The LMM of strontium is negligibly small for all phases (< 0.012  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ).

\*\*The total magnetic moment recalculated per cell.

type of the 3*d* metal M) nonmonotonically and reached the maximum value  $\mu_{tot} \sim 7.0 \ \mu_B$  for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMnO<sub>6</sub> and Sr<sub>2</sub>FeCoO<sub>6</sub>. For double perovskites involving metals from the early and late 3*d* series,  $\mu_{tot}$  was much lower, namely, ~2.0  $\mu_B$  (Sr<sub>2</sub>FeTiO<sub>6</sub>), 3.0  $\mu_B$  (Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub>), and 4.0  $\mu_B$  (Sr<sub>2</sub>FeCuO<sub>6</sub>).

The origin of the dependence of  $\mu_{tot}$  in the series of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> can be understood from changes in the LMMs of the components atoms of these perovskites. As can be seen from the data of Table 1, the LMMs of strontium in all Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> phases are negligible, while the induced LMMs of oxygen atoms change nonmonotonically and reach the maximum values for phases involving 3*d* metals from the end of the series. However, these LMMs are relatively low (up to 0.23-0.25  $\mu_B$ ) and do not significantly affect the total changes in the magnetic properties in the series of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> perovskites, which primarily depend on the LMM values of the sublattices of M and iron atoms. The LMMs of iron atoms vary from 1.66  $\mu_B$  (Sr<sub>2</sub>FeTiO<sub>6</sub>) to 3.12  $\mu_B$  (Sr<sub>2</sub>FeCrO<sub>6</sub>), while those of 3*d* metal atoms vary from 0.01  $\mu_B$  to 3.11  $\mu_B$ . For instance, for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> phases,



**Fig. 3**. Total densities of spin states for  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases, where M is Sc (1), Ti (2), V (3), Cr (4), Mn (5), Co (6), Ni (7), and Cu (8).

**TABLE 2**. Total Densities of Spin States at the Fermi Level ( $N_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(E_F)$ , in states/(eV·cell)) for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> Cubic Perovskite-like Phases (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu)

Phase	$N_{\uparrow}(E_{\rm F})$	$N_{\downarrow}(E_{\rm F})$	Type of system	Phase	$N_{\uparrow}(E_{\rm F})$	$N_{\downarrow}(E_{\rm F})$	Type of system	
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeScO <sub>6</sub>	0	0.044	Magnetic semiconductor	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeMnO <sub>6</sub>	1.024	0.137	Magnetic metal	
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeTiO <sub>6</sub>	0	4.325	Magnetic half-metal	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCoO <sub>6</sub>	0.047	4.536	The same	
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeVO <sub>6</sub>	1.480	1.836	Magnetic metal	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeNiO <sub>6</sub>	1.630	7.553	»	
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCrO <sub>6</sub>	10.621	1.203	The same	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeCuO <sub>6</sub>	1.518	3.653	»	

where M are 3*d* metals from the start of the series (Sc, Ti, and V) or Cu, the LMMs of these M atoms are small (0.01  $\mu_B$ , 0.04  $\mu_B$ , 0.16  $\mu_B$ , and 0.22  $\mu_B$ , respectively); for the first three 3*d* metals, the LMMs are orientated antiparallel to the LMMs of iron atoms. Thus, the overall magnetic properties of these four perovskites depend on the magnetic properties of the iron sublattice.

For other  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases, where M = Cr, Mn, Co, and Ni, the LMMs of these atoms (1.5-3.1  $\mu_B$ ) are close to those of iron atoms (2.4-3.1  $\mu_B$ ), and the M and Fe magnetic sublattices make comparable contributions to the formation of the total  $\mu_{tot}$  of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases. For  $Sr_2FeCrO_6$  and  $Sr_2FeNiO_6$ , LMM (M) is smaller than LMM (Fe), while for  $Sr_2FeMnO_6$ and  $Sr_2FeCoO_6$ , the LMM of the M sublattices is larger than the LMM of the iron sublattice. The spin state distributions discussed below allow us to analyze the above-mentioned changes in the magnetic characteristics in the series of double perovskites  $Sr_2FeMO_6$ .

Electronic structure of double perovskites  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  (M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu). The calculated spin densities of states of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  are given in Fig. 3; the DOS values at the Fermi level ( $N(E_F)$ ) are presented in Table 2. It should be taken into account that the whole series of double perovskites under study can be divided (with respect to the initial SrFeO<sub>3</sub> phase) into two groups, namely, 1) perovskites doped with holes ( $Sr_2FeMO_6$ , where M = Sc, Ti, ..., Mn) and 2) perovskites doped with electrons ( $Sr_2FeMO_6$ , where M = Co, Ni, and Cu). Considering then the series of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  perovskites within the rigid zone model, one can state that for the first group of phases, the valence band is emptied because of the "deficiency" of electrons (relative to the valence electron concentration (VEC) per cell of the starting perovskite SrFeO<sub>3</sub>); for the second group, where the VEC is higher than for SrFeO<sub>3</sub>, this band is additionally filled. Based on the form of the electronic spectrum of SrFeO<sub>3</sub> within this model (Fig. 1), we can expect the metal-like type of spectrum for all double perovskites.



**Fig. 4**. Partial densities of spin states for cubic perovskite-like phases  $Sr_2ScFeO_6$  (I),  $Sr_2TiFeO_6$  (II), and  $Sr_2VFeO_6$  (III). The spin densities are given for (Sc, Ti, V)3*d* (1), Fe3*d* (2), and oxygen (3).

The numerical FLAPW-GGA calculations of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> revealed a more complex picture (Fig. 3). In the spectrum of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub>, a wide forbidden gap (FG) ~1.0 eV is formed between the completely occupied and vacant states with the positive spin projection, while for the states with the negative spin projection, their density at the Fermi level is close to zero. Thus, Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub> is a gapless magnetic semiconductor. Sr<sub>2</sub>FeTiO<sub>6</sub> has an absolutely different electronic structure. For this compound, the band of the states with the positive spin projection (band *A*, Fig. 3) is completely occupied and has an FG, while for the band with the negative spin projection, its upper vacant (for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub>) edge (subband *B*, Fig. 3) starts to be filled at increased VECs. As a result, the spectrum of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeTiO<sub>6</sub> is of the metallic type for one spin subsystem (carrier density at the Fermi level is  $N_{\downarrow}(E_F) > 0$ ), but contains a forbidden gap for the opposite spin projection ( $N_{\uparrow}(E_F) = 0$ ). These materials with 100% spin polarization of the near-Fermi electrons are known as magnetic half-metals (review [9]). All other Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> perovskites have nonzero density of carriers at the Fermi level for both spin subsystems ( $N_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(E_F) > 0$ , Table 2) and are magnetic metals.

The formation of the above-mentioned three types of magnet (magnetic semiconductor, half-metal, and metal) can be traced in detail in Fig. 4, which gives the partial DOS of double perovskites involving 3*d* metals from the start of the series, Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub>, Sr<sub>2</sub>FeTiO<sub>6</sub>, and Sr<sub>2</sub>FeVO<sub>6</sub>. It can be seen that for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeScO<sub>6</sub>, the contribution of the Sc 3*d* states to the region of the occupied band is small, and the valence band is mainly formed from the Fe3*d*<sub>1,↓</sub> and O2*p* states. Remarkably, the upper edge of the valence band of this phase is composed of only O2*p*<sub>↓</sub>, and the lower edge of the conduction band, of mixed Fe3*d*<sub>↓</sub>-O2*p*<sub>↓</sub> states.

On passing to  $Sr_2FeTiO_6$ , the admixture of the Ti3*d* states in the valence band remains insignificant, while an increase in VEC leads to the occupation of the lower part of the Fe3*d*<sub>4</sub>-O2*p*<sub>4</sub> band. The spectrum of the electronic states of this phase becomes half-metallic,  $N_4(E_F) > 0$  and  $N_{\uparrow}(E_F) = 0$ .

After Sr<sub>2</sub>FeVO<sub>6</sub>, further evolution of the spectrum of double perovskites is determined by two factors, namely, growth of VEC (progressive occupation of the valence band) and considerable contributions of the M3 $d_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$  states to the valence band. Figures 3 and 4 show that for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeVO<sub>6</sub>, the peak of the density of the V3 $d_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$  states is ~1 eV higher than  $E_F$ , while for Sr<sub>2</sub>FeCrO<sub>6</sub>, the peak of the density of states of chromium lies directly in the region of  $E_F$ , making the maximum

contribution to  $N_{\uparrow}(E_{\rm F})$  (Table 2). As the number of the 3*d* element increases, the orbital energy decreases; i.e., the density of the M3*d*<sub>↑,↓</sub> states is shifted deep into the valence band. As VEC increases, the band of the M3*d*<sub>↑</sub> states and then the band of the M3*d*<sub>↓</sub> states are occupied (Fig. 3), which determines the tendency of variation of the LMM in the series of Sr<sub>2</sub>FeCrO<sub>6</sub> phases.

# CONCLUSIONS

Using the FLAPW-GGA *ab initio* band structure method we analyzed changes in the electronic and magnetic properties of cubic perovskite-like phases  $Sr_2FeMO_6$ , where M = Sc, Ti, ..., Ni, Cu.

It was found that **depending on the type of the** *3d* **atom**,

1) the Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub> phase can be one of the three types of magnet (magnetic semiconductor, half-metal, or metal);

2) the magnetic properties of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases, where M = 3d metals from the start of the series (Sc, Ti, and V) or Cu, are determined by the magnetic properties of the iron sublattice;

3) the magnetic properties of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases, where M = Cr, Mn, Co, and Ni, are determined by comparable contributions from the magnetic sublattices of M and iron atoms; the local magnetic moments of M atoms are either larger ( $Sr_2FeMnO_6$  and  $Sr_2FeCoO_6$ ) or smaller ( $Sr_2FeCrO_6$  and  $Sr_2FeNiO_6$ ) than the magnetic moments of iron atoms;

4) the tendencies in the formation of the electronic spectrum and the magnetic characteristics of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$  phases depend on two factors: valence electron concentration (per perovskite cell) and the number (orbital energy of the 3*d* states) of the M atom. The first factor regulates the total occupancy of the valence band of  $Sr_2FeMO_6$ ; the second determines the admixture of  $\pi$  M3 $d_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$  states in the valence band of strontium ferrite.

At the next stage, we will study the dependence of changes in the properties of doped ferrites on the concentration of 3d dopants and the presence of oxygen vacancies, which can substantially affect the functional characteristics of real synthesized materials.

This work was supported by the "Hydrogen energetics" program of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M. V. Patrakeev, I. A. Leonidov, V. L. Kozhevnikov, and V. Kharton, Solid State Sciences, 6, No. 9, 907-913 (2004).
- 2. N. N. Oleynikov and V. A. Ketsko, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 49, Suppl. 1, S1-S21 (2004).
- 3. X. Zhang, X. F. Dong, and W. M. Lin, J. Inorg. Mater., 22, No. 1, 97-100 (2007).
- 4. A. Rothschild, S. J. Litzelman, H. L. Tuller, et al., Sensors Actuators, 108, Nos. 1/2, 223-230 (2005).
- 5. E. Carvajal, O. Navarro, R. Allub, et al., Eur. Phys. J., B48, No. 2, 179-187 (2005).
- 6. I. R. Shein, V. L. Kozhevnikov, and A. L. Ivanovskii, Pisma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz., 82, No. 4, 239-242 (2005).
- 7. I. R. Shein, V. L. Kozhevnikov, and A. L. Ivanovskii, Fiz. Tekh. Poluprovodn., 40, No. 11, 1295-1299 (2006).
- 8. B. Fisher, J. Genossar, K. B. Chashka, et al., Curr. Appl. Phys., 7, No. 2, 151-155 (2007).
- 9. A. L. Ivanovskii, Usp. Fiz. Nauk, 177, No. 10, 1083-1105 (2007).
- 10. A. A. Markov, M. V. Patrakeev, V. V. Kharton, et al., Chem. Mater., 19, No. 16, 3980-3987 (2007).
- 11. E. V. Tsipis, M. V. Patrakeev, V. Kharton, et al., Solid State Sci., 7, No. 4, 355-365 (2005).
- 12. Q. Ming, J. Hung, Y. L. Yang, et al., Combusion Sci. Technol., 138, Nos. 1-6, 279-296 (1998).
- 13. H. J. Lee, G. Kim, J. S. Kang, et al., J. Appl. Phys., 101, No. 9, art. 09G523 (2007).
- 14. A. Munoz, J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martinez-Lope, et al., J. Solid State Chem., 179, No. 11, 3365-3370 (2006).
- 15. W. Zhong, W. Liu, X. L. Wu, et al., Solid State Comm., 132, Nos. 3/4, 157-162 (2004).
- 16. J. Herrero-Martin, J. Garcia, G. Subias, et al., J. Phys.: Cond. Matter., 16, No. 39, 6877-6890 (2004).
- 17. F. Sher, A. Venimadhav, M. G. Blamire, et al., Chem. Mater., 17, No. 1, 176-180 (2005).
- 18. T. S. Chan, R. S. Liu, G. Y. Guo, et al., Solid State Comm., 133, No. 4, 265-270 (2005).

- 19. M. V. Patrakeev, E. B. Mitberg, A. A. Lakhtin, et al., J. Solid State Chem., 167, No. 1, 203-213 (2002).
- 20. A. Jung, I. Bonn, V. Ksenofontov, et al., J. Mater. Chem., 15, No. 17, 1760-1768 (2005).
- 21. P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, et al., in: *WIEN2K, An Augmented Plane Wave Plus Local Orbitals Program* for Calculating Crystal Properties, K. Schwarz (ed.), Techn. Universität Wien, Austria (2001).
- 22. J. P. Perdew, S. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, No. 18, 3865-3868 (1996).
- 23. I. R. Shein, K. I. Shein, V. L. Kozhevnikov, and A. L. Ivanovskii, Fiz. Tverd. Tela, 47, No. 11, 1998-2003 (2005).