

Atomic Layer Deposition Niobium Nitride Films for High‑*Q* **Resonators**

Calder Sheagren¹ [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7439-2906) Peter Barry² · Erik Shirokof1 · Qing Yang Tang1

Received: 20 August 2019 / Accepted: 13 January 2020 / Published online: 30 January 2020 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Niobium nitride (NbN) is a useful material for fabricating detectors because of its high critical temperature and relatively high kinetic inductance. In particular, NbN can be used to fabricate nanowire detectors and mm-wave transmission lines. When deposited, NbN is usually sputtered, leaving room for concern about uniformity at small thicknesses. We present atomic layer deposition niobium nitride (ALD NbN) as an alternative technique that allows for precision control of deposition parameters such as flm thickness, stage temperature, and nitrogen composition. Atomic-scale control over flm thickness admits a high degree of uniformity for flms 4–30 nm thick; control over deposition temperature gives rise to growth rate changes, which can be used to optimize flm thickness and critical temperature. In order to characterize ALD NbN in the radio-frequency regime, we construct single-layer microwave resonators and test their performance as a function of stage temperature and input power. ALD processes can admit high resonator quality factors, with $\geq 43\%$ of resonators above $Q_i = 10^6$, which in turn increase detector multiplexing capabilities. Furthermore, we fnd critical temperatures in the range of 7.5–10.9 K that vary as a function of cycle count and deposition temperature.

Keywords Atomic layer deposition · Niobium nitride · Microwave resonators

1 Introduction

Thin niobium nitride (NbN) flms have a range of potential applications in the development of superconducting detectors for mm-wavelength astronomy, photon and particle detection, and terrestrial applications. The material's superconducting transition temperature ($T_c \ge 11 \text{ K}$) and microwave properties make it a

 \boxtimes Calder Sheagren calderds@uchicago.edu

¹ Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

² Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

promising material for low loss transmission lines and planar antenna components at submm wavelengths shorter than is possible with Nb flms. We are developing thin (∼ 30 nm) ALD flms with the goal of producing extremely uniform submmwavelength band-defning on-chip features.

The high kinetic inductance, high normal resistivity, and internal quality factors (Q_i) exceeding 10⁵ of NbN films make it an appealing candidate for microwave resonators and devices which depend on nonlinear kinetic inductance. ALD deposition enables uniform and ultrathin (*<* 10 nm) flms which are well suited for these devices, which include kinetic inductance parametric up-converters (KPUPS) [[1\]](#page-6-0), parametric amplifiers $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$, variable delay lines $[4]$ $[4]$, and nanowire photon detectors [\[5](#page-7-0), [6](#page-7-1)].

Succinctly, we want to investigate the properties of ALD NbN in the ultrathin film regime ($t < 10 \text{ nm}$) and thin film regime ($t \sim 30 \text{ nm}$) for use in nanofabricated superconducting detectors.

2 Resonator Fabrication

To construct microwave resonators from ALD NbN, we follow a standard singlelayer fabrication process adapted for NbN processing, making use of the equipment at the Pritzker Nanofabrication Facility at the University of Chicago. In particular, we fabricate planar lumped-element resonators with interdigitated capacitors and inductors similar to mm-wave optical coupled devices; feature sizes are on the order of microns, and the feedline width is $s_{\text{c},\text{pw}} = 16 \,\mu\text{m}$. Our devices have approximately 30 resonators per chip, and device pictures and schematics can be found in Fig. [1](#page-1-0).

2.1 Deposition

Before deposition, we perform a 10-min solvent clean on our substrate, High-*R* (100) Si, before immediately transferring it into the Ultratech Fiji Plasma-Assisted ALD machine. Before the deposition begins, the sample is held in a vacuum at high temperatures (250 °C $\leq T \leq 300$ °C) for between 6 and 60 min, while the machine stabilizes, efectively acting as a vacuum bake. Furthermore, once the sample is in

Fig. 1 (Color fgure online) (Left) wire-bonded NbN resonators in sample box. (Right) composite microscope image of single resonator

the ALD machine, we do not break vacuum until the deposition is complete, giving an optimally dehydrated environment.

One plasma-enhanced ALD (PEALD) cycle consists of treating the substrate with the precursor, (tert-butylimido)-tris (diethylamino)-niobium (TBTDEN), removing the precursor from the chamber, lighting the plasma with $P = 300 \,\text{W}$, waiting, turning off the Argon plasma, and removing the excess Ar from the chamber. Furthermore, since Nb has a low vapor pressure, we use three Ar boost cycles to improve chamber precursor concentration. One ALD cycle creates approximately one atomic monolayer of metal, and we repeat this process for a given cycle count to achieve the desired thickness. Also, the stage temperature is highly connected to the growth rate of PEALD flms [[7\]](#page-7-2), so varying the stage temperature and thickness gives a large parameter space to explore.

2.2 Lithography and Etch

After the deposition, we perform an 8-min vacuum dehydration bake before spinning AZ1512 photoresist at 3500 RPM. We then soft-bake the wafer at 90° C for 1 min and expose the desired pattern on the Heidelberg MLA150 Laser Writer at a dose of 125 mJ on the 375 nm laser. We do not rely on complex E-beam lithography since our minimum feature size is $> 2 \mu$ m. Afterward, we hard-bake the wafer for 1 min at 110 ◦C and develop the exposure in AZ1:1 developer for 1'30 before checking the exposure quality under a microscope.

Once we are satisfied with the exposure quality, we perform an $O₂$ descum to remove a small amount of photoresist and use a fuorine-based inductively coupled plasma etch process to remove the NbN. This etch uses 40 sccm CF_A , 10 sccm $CHF₃$, and 10 sccm Ar, and we etch the sample between 5 and 10 min depending on the thickness. Once we are satisfed we have etched through the NbN, we use an oxygen ash to remove the hard photoresist crust before soaking the sample in heated NMP for at least 2 h to remove the remainder of the photoresist. We finally dry and dice the chips before we mount them to test.

3 Measurements

3.1 Material Properties

We measured flm thickness using ellipsometry and obtained a growth rate of .51 ± .05 A /cycle for films deposited at 250 °C and .62 ± .05 A /cycle for films deposited at 300 °C. These values are consistent with Sowa et al. [\[7](#page-7-2)], but are larger than those reported by Linzen et al. [\[8](#page-7-3)], who used a higher deposition temperature of 350° C.

X-ray difraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using a Bruker D8 difractometer with a Cu K_{α} X-ray source operating at 40 kV and 40 mA and a Vantec 2000 area detector. The XRD profles for flms deposited at 250 ◦C and 300 ◦C are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) To fnd the ratio of the various crystal structures, we subtracted out the

Fig. 2 (Color figure online) (Left) XRD profile for thin NbN films deposited at 250 °C and 300 °C. (Right) atomic force microscopy image of thin NbN sample

baseline and compared the areas under the peaks corresponding to each crystal orientation. We find a ratio of (111) ; $(100) = 3.1 \pm .5$ for films deposited at 250 °C and a ratio of (111) : $(100) = 2.8 \pm .5$ for films deposited at 300 °C.

We also used atomic force microscopy on a Bruker atomic force microscope to determine the surface roughness, which we found to be $3.0 \pm .1$ nm for thin films deposited at 250 °C and $3.6 \pm .3$ nm for thin films deposited at 300 °C. The opensource program Gwyddion was used for image manipulation, including level correction and horizontal 'scar' correction among other techniques. Granular structures were not observed when measuring films thicker than 300 cycles at a $1 \mu m^2$ field of view.

3.2 DC Measurements

Using an AC resistance bridge, we measure the sample resistance of either unpatterned wafer samples or patterned rectangular van der Pauw features to obtain the flm resistivity and DC critical temperature. We have not seen flms less than 75 cycles superconduct, and the highest critical temperature we observed was $T_c = 10.87$ K, much lower than has been observed with other ALD NbN processes [\[7](#page-7-2), [8\]](#page-7-3). Additionally, we notice a 12% variation in critical temperature when measuring diferent samples from the same wafer or deposition with a spatial separation of 2–4 cm.

Most of our samples were unpatterned bare flms—we wanted to quickly test for superconductivity and T_c —so we present resistivity measurements for the van der Pauw measurements only. We found that for $N_{\text{cycles}} = 300$, films deposited at 250 °C had a resistivity of 160 Ω/\square , and films deposited at 300 °C had a resistivity of 78 Ω/\square . Additionally, we found $\rho_n = 301 \Omega/\square$ for a 75 cycle film deposited at $300 °C$.

Resistance versus temperature data were collected in the temperature range of 1–15 K, and data were ftted to an ad hoc ftting function

Fig. 3 (Color figure online) (Left) T_c of NbN samples as a function of thickness, with deposition temperature noted. (Right) resistance versus temperature curves for two NbN flms (blue: 400 cycles, *t* ∼ 20 nm; orange: 75 cycles, *t* ∼ 4.7 nm) deposited at the same temperature with diferent cycle counts

$$
R(T) = \frac{2R_n}{\pi} \tanh\left(\kappa(T - T_c)\right) + \frac{R_n}{2},\tag{1}
$$

designed to select T_c as the point where the resistance is $R_n/2$, where R_n is the normal resistance. Additionally, κ is a parameter that lends insight into the transition width; we observe that the transition width increases as flm thickness decreases, in contrast to Linzen et al. [[8\]](#page-7-3). In particular, increased transition width for thinner flms is thought to imply an increase in granularity as flm thickness decreases. Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows two normalized *R* versus *T* plots for diferent flm thicknesses.

3.3 Resonator Fits

To test the resonators at cryogenic temperatures, we use a BlueFors Helium dilution cryostat with appropriate coaxial wiring, fltration, and attenuation both at cryogenic and room temperatures. We measure the profle of each resonator at a range of readout powers and temperatures with a vector network analyzer.

Raw resonator data were ftted to the equation

$$
S_{21}(f) = 1 - \frac{Q_{\rm r}}{Q_{\rm c}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + 2jQ_{\rm r} \cdot \left(\frac{f - f_0}{f_0}\right)} + \text{baseline},\tag{2}
$$

where f_0 is the resonant frequency, Q_i is the internal quality factor, Q_c is the coupling quality factor, and $Q_r^{-1} = Q_i^{-1} + Q_c^{-1}$. We used the scraps python package [[9\]](#page-7-4) to initially ft and process the data; Fig. [4](#page-5-0) shows sample resonator data as a function of temperature. Afterward, we perform additional Mattis–Bardeen ftting [[10\]](#page-7-5) to extract RF parameters. In particular, we use the relations

$$
\frac{\delta f_0}{f_0} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm k} \gamma}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln(\sigma_2)}{\mathrm{d} n_{\rm qp}} \delta n_{\rm qp} \quad \delta \left(\frac{1}{Q_{\rm i}} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm k} \gamma}{\sigma_2} \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_1}{\mathrm{d} n_{\rm qp}} \delta n_{\rm qp} \tag{3}
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

Fig. 4 (Color fgure online) Resonator behavior for a 300 cycle flm deposited at 250 °C, *t* ∼ 15.3 nm, as a function of cryostat stage temperature. (Left) $|S_{21}|$ versus frequency. (Center) Im(S_{21}) versus Re(S_{21}). (Right) phase versus frequency

to fit for the kinetic inductance fraction α_k and gap energy Δ_0 , and use the relation $\Delta_0 = 1.76kT_c$ to obtain $T_c^{(RF)}$. Note that we are in the local limit, so $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\sigma = \sigma_1 + j\sigma_2$ represents the complex conductivity. This paper uses the convention that '*d*' represents analytic derivatives, and 'δ' represents computer-calculated differences. These relations allow us to fit *df* /*f*₀ versus *T* and $1/Q_i$ versus *T* as calculated from data to obtain values for α_k and $T_c^{(RF)}$.

To find the kinetic inductance (L_k) values for the NbN films, we compare the NbN devices with previously tested Al devices with the same circuit design (feedline width $s_{\text{c}v} = 16 \,\mu\text{m}$, thickness $t = 50 \,\text{nm}$), using the relation

$$
f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{(L_{\rm k} + L_{\rm g})C}},\tag{4}
$$

where L_g is the geometric inductance, and *C* is the capacitance. We can estimate [\[11](#page-7-6)] $\alpha_k \approx .15$ for the Al chips and use that value to solve for L_g , *C* for the circuit. Plugging these values into Eq. [4,](#page-5-1) we extract $L_k = 5.4 \pm 2 \text{ pH}/\square$ for a 300 cycle film deposited at 250 °C, and $L_k = 1.7 \pm .5 \text{ pH/}\square$ for a 300 cycle film deposited at 300 °C.

Quality factors for NbN chips were found to be above $10⁵$ for nearly all resonators, with 75% above 10⁶ for a 250 °C film and 43% above 10⁶ for a 300 °C film. Additionally, we measured diferent devices from the same 4" wafer and saw average quality factors differ by a factor of 10; we also observed a predictable roll-off in quality factors as the stage temperature increases. Quality factors for resonators as a function of deposition temperature are shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-4).

We fitted for $T_c^{(RF)}$ using the fractional frequency shift as a function of stage temperature, and found $T_c^{(RF)} \sim 11$ K for 250 °C films, and $T_c^{(RF)} \sim 12$ K for 300 °C films.

4 Discussion

As discussed in Sect. [3.3](#page-4-1), *Q* values for ALD NbN resonators are high and comparable to those offered by sputtered NbN films. While we have not achieved the high $T_c \sim 13–15$ $T_c \sim 13–15$ $T_c \sim 13–15$ K [[12,](#page-7-7) 13] of some sputtered films, quality factors are higher than

Fig. 5 (Color fgure online) (Left) *Q* factors for resonators as a function of deposition temperature, with $T_{\text{stage}} = 300 \text{ mK}$. These Q_i values were obtained with a formal fit for resonators with Q_c values between 104 and 106. (Right) Resonator *df*/*f* versus *T* behavior for a 75 cycle flm deposited at 300 °C, *t* ∼ 4.6 nm

as reported with a spiral-design NbN MKID[[13\]](#page-7-8) and are comparable to NbN CPW resonators[\[12](#page-7-7)]. Furthermore, ALD flm thicknesses are much smaller than those reported for high- T_c sputtered films; we hope to investigate more resonators tested from thinner flms in the future.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, test ALD NbN resonators were fabricated, showing high-*Q* values and a moderate L_k . DC samples showed a characteristic increase in T_c with thickness, albeit reaching a maximum of around 10.87 K, which is lower than the bulk value.

In the future, we hope to increase the DC T_c further by modifying deposition parameters, surface preparation, and substrate type. In particular, modifying the Ar pressures during the deposition and changing the substrate lattice to sapphire or $\langle 111 \rangle$ Si may have a large effect on the film. Based on these promising initial results, we will pursue additional parameter variations and multilayer test devices produced from these films.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. AST-1554565, the Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, and the Pritzker Nanofabrication Facility of the Institute for Molecular Engineering at the University of Chicago, which receives support from SHyNE, a node of the NSF's National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure (NSF NNCI-1542205). The authors would like to thank Alexander Anferov and David Schuster for their generous help in this project and Kirit Karkare for his help in revising this manuscript.

References

- 1. A. Kehr, Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology (2017)
- 2. N. Zobrist et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **115**, 042601 (2019)
- 3. B. Ho Eom, P.K. Day, H.G. LeDuc, J. Zmuidzinas, Nat. Phys. **8**, 623 EP (2012)
- 4. G. Che et al., arXiv e-prints [arXiv:1710.11335](http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11335) (2017)
- 5. L.E. Archer, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2017)
- 6. E. Schroeder, Ph.D. Thesis, Arizona State University (2018)
- 7. M.J. Sowa et al., J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **35**, 01B143 (2017)
- 8. S. Linzen et al., Supercond. Sci. Technol. **30**, 035010 (2017)
- 9. F.W. Carter, T.S. Khaire, V. Novosad, C.L. Chang, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **27**, 1 (2017)
- 10. J. Gao et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. **151**, 557 (2008)
- 11. J. Gao, Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology (2008)
- 12. F.W. Carter, T. Khaire, C. Chang, V. Novosad, Appl. Phys. Lett. **115**, 092602 (2019)
- 13. S. Ariyoshi et al., Appl. Phys. Express **6**, 064103 (2013)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.