#### RESEARCH PAPER



# Multidimensional Flourishing in Africa: An Intracontinental Analysis of 38 Well-Being Indicators in 40 Countries

Victor Counted 1,2 · Richard G. Cowden 2 · Timothy Lomas 3 ·

Accepted: 30 April 2024 / Published online: 16 May 2024

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2024

#### **Abstract**

Despite the rising global interest in well-being and its various dimensions, research in this area has been criticized for its Western-centric conceptual and geographical emphasis. In this cross-sectional study, we leverage more than 30 indicators of well-being from three years of Gallup World Poll (2020-2022) data to explore the diversity of well-being in nationally representative samples from 40 countries on the African continent (N=90,093). Our descriptive analysis provided evidence of between-country differences in well-being, including among countries within the same region. There was also some evidence of within-country variation across the indicators of well-being. We draw on several theoretical perspectives to discuss the diverse experiences of well-being in the African context, highlighting the importance of applying a culturally sensitive lens to understanding and promoting well-being. Our descriptive exploration of multidimensional well-being in Africa shines a spotlight on a part of the world that has received comparatively less empirical attention in this area, and provides a useful foundation for future research toward building a more inclusive and diverse global picture of human flourishing.

**Keywords** Well-being · Africa · Gallup World Poll · World happiness report

Well-being is a multifaceted and complex concept that encompasses various dimensions of human life, including physical health, psychological well-being, social relationships, and financial stability (Lee et al., 2021). Over the past few decades, there has been a growing interest in understanding and promoting well-being at both individual and societal levels, driven by an increasing recognition of the importance of human flourishing and its implications for societal development and policymaking (Diener et al., 2018; VanderWeele et al., 2023). One of the most well-known attempts to measure well-being across countries is the World Happiness Report (2023), which ranks countries based on life evaluation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, The Human Flourishing Program, Institute of Quantitative Social Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA



College of Health and Behavioral Sciences, Regent University, Virginia Beach, VA, USA

The Human Flourishing Program, Institute of Quantitative Social Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

using Cantril's ladder (Helliwell et al., 2023). While this approach has provided valuable insights into global well-being, it may not capture the full complexity and diversity of wellbeing, particularly in regions like Africa, where sociocultural, political, and economic dynamics tend to differ from those in Western countries (Cowden et al., 2023a, 2023b). For instance, the Maasai people of Kenya and Tanzania have a semi-nomadic lifestyle based on pastoral farming. Despite facing economic hardship by Western standards (low GDP per capita), the Maasai might report relatively high levels of well-being because their lifestyle is closely tied to their cultural values and community relationships (Biswas-Diener et al., 2005). For the Maasai people, well-being may not be accurately represented by measures such as GDP per capita, which do not consider these cultural factors. Hence, there is a need to explore the varieties of well-being in Africa more comprehensively, taking into account the unique characteristics of the region, which are often underrepresented in global, largescale studies on well-being. A better understanding of well-being in Africa is crucial not only for regional development and policymaking but also for enriching the global discourse on individual well-being and human flourishing more broadly. To address this gap in the literature, the present study aims to challenge and extend the World Happiness Report approach by examining not only life evaluation but also other dimensions of well-being. We attempt to provide a more nuanced understanding of well-being in Africa by considering a wide range of well-being variables, including life evaluations, daily emotions and experiences, and quality of life. This evidence will provide a useful foundation for future research, policy, and practice focused on addressing the specific needs and aspirations of people living in Africa.

# 1 Africa and Well-being

Over the past few decades, well-being has emerged as a critical area of academic interest, with numerous studies investigating its determinants and dimensions (e.g., Diener et al., 1995; Helliwell et al., 2023; Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2017). Within the African context, understanding well-being is particularly important, given the continent's diverse cultural, social, and economic conditions. In Africa, as elsewhere, well-being is a multidimensional concept that encompasses various aspects of people's lives that are predicted by factors such as personality (Diener et al., 2003), culture (Diener et al., 2018), income (Jebb et al., 2018), and religion (Nell & Rothmann, 2018). It is important to examine both objective and subjective measures of well-being in order to gain a greater understanding of the conditions that influence human flourishing in Africa (Voukelatou et al., 2021). Objective indicators often relate to economic, social, and health factors, while subjective measures capture individuals' assessments of their own lives, including life satisfaction, happiness, and psychological well-being (Diener et al., 1995). These dimensions of well-being are often intertwined, with objective circumstances shaping subjective experiences and evaluations, and vice versa (Dolan et al., 2008).

One prominent framework used to examine well-being in Africa is the capabilities approach, developed by Sen (1999) and further elaborated by Nussbaum (2000). This framework emphasizes the importance of people's capabilities to lead the kind of lives they value and has informed research on well-being in Africa, directing attention to a range of factors beyond income and material conditions. For instance, Alkire (2005) applied the capabilities approach to assess well-being in several developing countries, highlighting the role of education, health, and political participation in shaping people's



quality of life. Another relevant theoretical perspective for discussing well-being in Africa is the concept of *Ubuntu*, which is rooted in African philosophy and emphasizes the interconnectedness of individuals, community, and society (Worthington & Cowden, 2017). The *Ubuntu* framework suggests that individual well-being is closely tied to community life-social relationships, collective harmony, and the pursuit of common goals (Metz, 2011). Although the *Ubuntu* concept is mostly used in Southern Africa (amongst the Zulu and Xhosa people), other regions of Africa have similar concepts that highlight this sense of interconnectedness and humanness across communities. For example, in Eastern Africa, concepts such as *Ujamaa* and *Umuganda* emphasize this spirit of togetherness. In Western Africa, expressions like Teranga, Biiri bi Baaya, and Wazobia capture the essence of unity and compassion. In Northern Africa, the Arabic والتراحم (Al-Musawat wal Tarahum) conveys a similar meaning of equality) المساواة والتراحم and compassion. In Central Africa, terms like Likumbi and Wathu embody the idea of interconnectedness and humanness. These shared values demonstrate the widespread importance of community and harmony across the diverse cultures and languages found throughout the African continent, and the importance of community cohesion for health and well-being (Swartz & Kilian, 2014).

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in comparing well-being across countries. The World Happiness Report is a prominent example (Helliwell et al., 2023), which reveals considerable variation in well-being across African nations. For instance, in the 2023 report, Mauritius ranked highest in life evaluations among African countries (59th worldwide), while Sierra Leone ranked lowest at 135th out of 137 countries (Helliwell et al., 2023). However, the World Happiness Report used data from the Gallup World Poll to rank countries based on a single item pertaining to subjective well-being, namely an index of life evaluation known as Cantril's ladder, which invites people to imagine where they stand on a ten-rung ladder whose base and top reflect the worst and best life imaginable. As such, some scholars have argued that the World Happiness Report's narrow focus on life evaluation may not fully capture the complexities of well-being in Africa, including the cultural, historical, and social factors that make the continent so diverse (Coulthard et al., 2011; Lomas, 2016).

In order to better understand well-being in Africa, it is important to consider the role of goal satisfaction (telic) theories, which propose that well-being is contingent on the extent to which an individual's basic needs are met by their environment (Omodei & Wearing, 1990). Veenhoven (2000) refers to this as the 'livability' of the environment. On the other hand, the individual's ability to capitalize on and adapt to the environment to their advantage is known as 'lifeability.' Among the various models that describe these needs, Maslow's "hierarchy" is particularly influential, comprising six core needs: physiological, safety, love and belonging, esteem, self-actualization, and transcendence (Maslow, 1943). The unique challenges and opportunities presented by Africa's diverse contexts require a nuanced examination of well-being. While many African countries and regions face material deprivation, efforts to address these deficiencies may contribute to a sense of purpose and meaning (Diener et al., 2010a, 2010b). This observation aligns with the notion that higher-level resources can sometimes compensate for the deficiency of lower-level ones (Kashdan et al., 2008). The Sustainable Development Goals developed by the United Nations serve as a useful framework for assessing well-being in Africa, as they highlight the importance of environmental factors in meeting people's needs (Carlsen & Bruggemann, 2022).



51 Page 4 of 32 V. Counted et al.

# 2 A Multifaceted, Context-Driven Approach to Well-Being in Africa

Research on well-being in Africa necessitates a multifaceted and context-driven approach that recognizes the continent's distinct cultural, social, and economic features (Oishi & Diener, 2014). Africa's diverse social norms, traditions, and values play a significant role in shaping well-being, and a context-sensitive approach that acknowledges these unique conditions is crucial for developing a more comprehensive understanding of well-being across the continent (Oyserman et al., 2002). For example, collectivist cultures, which are prevalent in many African societies, prioritize group harmony, interdependence, and social relationships, and can influence various dimensions of well-being (Hofstede, 2001). Understanding the cultural nuances of well-being in Africa requires the integration of indigenous concepts and perspectives, such as the Afrocentric worldview, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of individuals and the environment (Mkhize, 2021; Neblett et al., 2010).

Use of diverse interpretative frameworks allows us to delve deeper into the nuanced factors that might influence well-being in Africa, in addition to adopting alternative measures and indices that enrich the construct of well-being in a contextually appropriate way. Considering a broader set of well-being variables can provide an opportunity to build a more comprehensive understanding of the unique aspects of well-being in the Africa region (Diener et al., 2018). For example, the Gross National Happiness Index (Bates, 2009), which was initially developed in Bhutan, could be adapted to African contexts to provide an assessment of well-being that considers cultural, spiritual, and environmental dimensions alongside economic indicators (Ura et al., 2012).

Incorporating goal satisfaction (telic) theories, such as Maslow's "hierarchy" of needs (Maslow, 1943) into the analysis of well-being in Africa, may also allow researchers to examine the extent to which the environment and individual capacities contribute to human flourishing in the region (Carlsen & Bruggemann, 2022). This integration helps identify areas in which targeted interventions and policies can be developed to address the specific needs and aspirations of people living in Africa in order to promote individual well-being and human flourishing on the continent (Diener et al., 2010a, 2010b).

# 3 The Present Study

Exploring well-being in Africa necessitates a nuanced approach that transcends conventional metrics, such as those that are often emphasized in multinational analyses like the World Happiness Report (see Helliwell et al., 2023). The rankings in the World Happiness Report, while instrumental in highlighting global happiness trends, are based on a single life evaluation measure from the Gallup World Poll (GWP). Moreover, when it does take other factors into account, such as in its regression analyses that seek to account for such life evaluation, it predominantly leans on a select group of indicators including income, social support, and healthy life expectancy. Such a focus, albeit important, may not fully capture the multifaceted and richly layered fabric of well-being as experienced within the diverse cultural, social, and economic landscape of Africa. This limitation underscores the need to apply a broader analytical lens that can more effectively grasp the complexity of well-being across the continent.

African societies exhibit a profound sense of community, interdependence, and collectivism that are deeply ingrained in the cultural ethos across the continent. This communal



orientation, often encapsulated in several African philosophies (e.g., Ubuntu in Southern Africa) emphasizes the well-being of the community as being integral to the well-being of the individual (Metz & Gaie, 2010a, 2010b). The distinct cultural features within Africa must be considered in order to fully understand how well-being is conceptualized and experienced across different African regions. Metrics focused solely on individualistic perspectives of happiness and life satisfaction may not fully capture the communal dimensions of well-being that are prevalent in many African societies.

The socioeconomic conditions across Africa's regions—from the oil-rich nations of North Africa to the agriculturally dependent economies of sub-Saharan Africa—influence the well-being of their populations (Arndt & Simler, 2010). Economic stability, access to healthcare, educational opportunities, and employment conditions vary widely, impacting the daily lives and future outlooks of African populations. Expressions of well-being in Africa are as varied as its cultures and languages (Lutz, 2011). For instance, the importance of music, dance, and storytelling in many African communities as sources of joy, resilience, and social cohesion are often underemphasized in large-scale, multi-country empirical research on well-being. These cultural expressions, pivotal to the social fabric of African societies, are important for understanding and measuring well-being, and underscore the need for incorporating culturally relevant indicators into research on human flourishing in Africa.

To address some of these limitations, the present study leverages the full richness of the GWP data (i.e., beyond just the life evaluation measures that are commonly used in large-scale, global research) by analyzing an array of factors that may elucidate the complexity of well-being in the African context. This descriptive study is particularly relevant given the lack of extensive research on well-being in Africa, which often limits the comparability of African countries with other regions. As we explore indicators of well-being that extend beyond more conventional metrics, we seek to uncover distinct well-being patterns that resonate with the unique cultural, socioeconomic, and environmental contexts of African countries and regions. We anticipate discovering substantial variation in well-being experiences both across regions and among countries within those regions. For example, we might reasonably expect distinct patterns of well-being in North Africa and sub-Saharan Africa, reflecting the divergent historical, cultural, and socioeconomic realities of countries in these regions. Even within these regions, country-specific factors such as governance, economic stability, and cultural norms may shape differences in well-being between countries.

#### 4 Methods

#### 4.1 Data Collection

The GWP survey usually takes 15–20 min to complete, and involves around 60–80 items (with the number varying among respondents based on screener questions, filters and skip patterns). The GWP involves nationally representative, probability-based samples among the adult populations, aged 15 and older, involving approximately 1,000 people per country and a different selection of people each year. This sample size is to allow, after accounting for the survey weights, a maximum confidence interval of approximately 4 percentage points, providing enough power ( $\beta$ =0.80,  $\alpha$ =0.05) to detect a group difference of approximately 9 percentage points. This paper analyses



**51** Page 6 of 32 V. Counted et al.

data spanning three years of the GWP (2020, 2021, and 2022), with the three waves combined in the analysis to produce a larger overall sample. Data collection was undertaken in accordance with the standard and established protocol of the GWP.

2020 Wave. In 2020, the survey included 116 countries (n = 121,207), representing more than 90% of the world's population. For results based on the total sample of national adults, the margin of sampling error ranges from  $\pm 1.1$  to  $\pm 5.5$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level. The GWP usually involves face-to-face data collection. However, in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, Gallup prepared a contingency methodology based entirely on telephone interviewing. Thus, although some in-person interviews were conducted in few countries at the beginning of 2020, and ahead of national lockdowns, in most countries the survey was conducted over mobile and landline telephones throughout the year. The sampling frame therefore represents adults aged 15 and older with access to a phone (either landline or mobile). As all eligible landline exchanges and valid mobile service providers are included, coverage area is the entire country including rural areas.

2021 Wave. In 2021, 122 countries were sampled (n = 122,846), representing over 90% of the global population. By 2021, a number of countries were still struggling to get their populations vaccinated and sporadic lockdowns continued in many parts of the world (Govender et al., 2022; Prati & Mancini, 2021). As a result, the GWP reviewed the situation in each country and determined which countries were safe to return to in-person data collection with appropriate safety measures in place, which ones to continue with telephone data collection, and the ones that would not be included in the 2021 data collection roster. In 2021, 51 of the 122 countries sampled returned to face-to-face interviewing (vast majority of countries in sub-Saharan Africa, and some countries in Central and Eastern Europe, Latin America, former Soviet states, developing Asia, and the Middle East), while the rest followed the format started in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

2022 Wave. In 2022, the survey sampled 142 countries (n = 142,601), representing over 90% of the world's population. In 2022, 142 countries were sampled representing over 90% of the global adult population with 142,601 individual respondents. The GWP was able to fully return to face-to-face interviewing in most countries where they had traditionally collected World Poll surveys using this mode of data collection.

Across the three years of GWP data, the total sample of participants from the 40 African countries included in our analysis was N=90,093 (2020: n=24,185; 2021: n=27,046; 2022: n=38,862). A breakdown of the sample size per country by year is provided in Supplemental Table 1.

# 5 Items Analysed

Applying a multidimensional conception of well-being (Chen et al., 2022; Lomas & VanderWeele, 2022; Shiba et al., 2022), we included 38 indicators that were selected a priori to collectively provide broad conceptual coverage of individual well-being. Further details about these items, the response scale for each item, and recoding decisions for analysis can be found in Supplemental Text 1. Our use of these items aligns with recent analyses of the GWP in which numerous indicators were selected because of their relevance and representativeness of multidimensional well-being (e.g., Lomas, 2023).



Table 1 Descriptive Statistics and Rankings for Indicators of Well-being in Each Country

					,		- 1 '							
Country	Life evaluation: present	uation:	Life eval	Life evaluation: future	Life evaluation: combined	uation: 1	Life eval ference	Lite evaluation: dif- ference	Well-rested	pa	Treated	I reated with respect	Learn something new	nething
	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Algeria	5.48	62	7.12	73	6.30	82	1.64	64	80.99	91	86.30	105	38.48	125
Benin	4.43	117	7.64	38	6.04	100	3.21	5	55.77	132	78.61	128	50.34	93
Botswana	3.44	138	6.15	128	4.82	138	2.65	20	70.74	54	87.05	102	48.57	103
Burkina Faso	4.66	110	7.44	52	80.9	95	2.68	19	60.25	119	74.75	136	52.71	84
Cameroon	4.98	76	7.14	70	80.9	96	2.14	39	67.17	82	81.94	119	57.36	65
Chad	4.40	119	5.99	133	5.24	129	1.52	73	68.32	72	75.33	134	54.38	74
Comoros	3.55	136	29.9	106	5.08	133	3.17	9	65.03	96	88.89	06	46.24	112
Congo (Brazzaville) <sup>a</sup>	5.32	84	7.14	71	6.27	84	1.72	09	68.64	69	77.10	130	50.44	92
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup>	3.21	140	6.14	129	4.78	139	2.74	17	61.30	115	68.44	143	50.26	95
Cote d'Ivoire	5.13	93	7.67	32	6.41	72	2.54	25	65.21	94	83.44	113	55.89	71
Egypt	4.18	126	5.73	140	4.95	134	1.54	70	56.90	130	90.76	78	33.33	134
Eswatini	3.50	137	6.04	131	4.75	141	2.57	24	68.90	65	83.19	114	52.15	85
Ethiopia	4.09	128	7.25	29	5.68	114	3.13	∞	55.62	135	63.44	145	44.05	121
Gabon	4.97	86	7.29	63	6.14	92	2.28	33	67.17	83	83.10	115	58.54	58
Gambia	4.28	124	7.82	21	6.07	26	3.51	3	64.46	100	88.85	91	29.62	53
Ghana	4.78	107	7.81	22	6.34	78	2.92	10	69.20	63	87.66	66	56.77	29
Guinea	5.04	96	08.9	100	5.92	103	1.75	58	68.14	74	84.25	108	69.21	15
Kenya	4.44	116	6.85	94	5.65	115	2.41	30	08.99	84	68.92	131	70.58	6
Lesotho	3.19	141	5.99	134	4.61	142	2.72	18	57.45	128	78.94	127	00.79	23
Liberia	4.04	131	7.65	35	5.86	107	3.60	2	59.89	120	82.38	118	60.69	17
Libya	5.71	69	7.50	45	29.9	53	1.70	62	70.91	51	92.59	59	47.00	107
Madagascar	4.02	132	6.82	86	5.43	122	2.79	15	65.17	95	83.69	111	63.35	36
Malawi	3.43	139	6.28	123	4.85	137	2.85	12	73.47	40	75.41	133	48.39	104
Mali	4.09	129	7.35	58	5.73	110	3.27	4	63.69	106	84.23	109	44.68	118
Mauritania	4.72	108	6.40	119	5.61	118	1.60	29	62.42	110	83.83	110	59.43	55
Mauritius	5.81	65	7.12	74	6.46	89	1.33	62	66.74	85	95.44	22	54.04	92
Morocco	4.87	104	7.65	36	6.37	74	2.61	23	65.92	92	91.26	73	50.13	96



ontinued)
၁
<u>l</u>
멸

Country	Life evaluation: present	ation:	Life eval	Life evaluation: future	Life evaluation: combined	uation: 1	Life evalı ference	Life evaluation: dif- ference	Well-rested	ted	Treated v	Treated with respect	Learn something new	mething
	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	Mean	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Mozambique	4.93	100	6.43	117	5.83	108	1.38	77	74.93	29	89.98	103	58.78	57
Namibia	4.63	112	92.9	103	5.69	113	2.13	41	70.67	55	81.07	123	60.72	49
Niger	4.50	115	7.30	62	5.89	105	2.83	13	63.85	104	81.59	120	73.24	9
Nigeria	4.90	102	8.09	11	6.51	63	3.15	7	72.45	46	82.51	117	66.79	18
Senegal	4.87	105	7.67	33	6.27	85	2.77	16	68.33	71	91.22	74	78.17	-
Sierra Leone	3.08	143	08.9	101	4.95	135	3.72	-	54.01	138	73.44	137	49.08	101
South Africa	5.28	98	7.81	23	6.54	61	2.53	26	78.41	18	87.30	100	62.34	44
Tanzania	3.60	134	5.98	135	4.86	136	2.27	34	68.31	73	80.30	124	61.72	47
Togo	4.05	130	6.94	68	5.49	121	2.89	11	61.81	113	75.00	135	54.14	75
Tunisia	4.54	114	6.23	126	5.40	125	1.64	65	57.18	129	89.13	68	30.13	136
Uganda	4.43	118	6.94	06	5.70	112	2.50	27	<i>LL.19</i>	77	75.45	132	69.85	10
Zambia	3.82	133	87.9	102	5.37	126	2.82	14	09.79	62	85.61	106	55.21	73
Zimbabwe	3.18	142	5.41	143	4.30	143	2.22	38	61.80	114	79.58	125	53.98	77
Country	Enjoyment	ant	Smile or laugh	r laugh	Calmness	SS	Physical pain	l pain	Worry		Sadness		Stress	
	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Algeria	53.65	126	63.56	122	60.38	125	33.32	77	38.16	59	18.37	21	29.51	27
Benin	48.76	137	72.71	83	57.66	132	51.09	135	54.27	122	32.95	103	30.43	33
Botswana	62.04	104	76.41	58	74.38	62	42.76	1117	37.59	57	29.39	91	37.12	99
Burkina Faso	86.09	106	67.83	107	64.53	117	33.19	75	55.18	124	32.95	104	48.61	113
Cameroon	52.52	128	98.69	100	62.10	121	44.54	123	50.84	1111	34.27	114	43.12	101
Chad	57.51	120	63.94	120	65.17	114	62.79	144	59.68	138	54.00	144	51.13	128
Comoros	65.50	87	69.43	103	71.77	92	45.17	125	46.21	92	34.75	116	42.66	86
Congo (Brazzaville)a	57.10	122	CE 39	113	20 07	130	70.67	106	57 53	123	00 77	120	20.00	0



(continued)
Table 1

% yes  Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup> 54.35  voire 57.94  44.47  70.26  66.99	Ranking 125 119 141 68 84	% yes	Rankino	0	;				;		:	3	
Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup> voire	125 119 141 68 84		٥	% yes	Ranking								
oire	119 141 68 84	64.84	116	57.64	133	53.21	139	59.38	136	46.60	140	44.56	103
	141 68 84	74.22	9/	60.81	124	49.37	131	48.51	106	34.59	115	34.30	49
	68 84	63.98	119	58.13	131	50.87	133	43.92	82	33.12	106	50.20	125
	84	75.71	65	92.69	86	39.35	101	51.10	112	35.88	120	47.28	1111
		65.34	112	79.44	99	26.27	33	33.73	35	27.70	82	30.07	29
	127	71.85	87	61.81	122	38.60	66	51.87	115	37.23	123	34.80	52
Gambia 71.30	49	85.81	11	79.56	51	52.65	138	55.11	123	44.49	137	45.73	105
Ghana 54.81	124	82.36	27	80.50	45	36.40	91	34.28	41	25.13	65	47.09	109
Guinea 63.70	94	75.39	69	55.83	134	47.79	128	57.21	130	39.68	128	37.34	89
Kenya 73.39	62	78.67	47	72.12	68	32.91	72	31.85	26	23.93	59	34.05	47
Lesotho 69.67	70	75.91	63	58.58	129	39.55	105	40.51	71	23.88	57	35.30	55
Liberia 45.85	140	76.12	09	60.25	126	55.03	141	57.24	131	48.78	142	57.68	140
Libya 70.85	29	71.40	92	76.07	74	48.51	130	44.68	85	32.91	101	45.79	106
Madagascar 62.67	86	79.97	36	62.41	120	44.95	124	42.55	79	35.26	119	48.98	119
Malawi 48.72	138	62.59	126	51.38	140	39.63	106	40.23	70	38.73	127	30.45	34
Mali 73.44	09	75.68	29	68.40	106	40.69	110	60.33	141	33.64	109	38.09	73
Mauritania 59.05	113	82.69	102	64.99	115	56.17	142	46.37	93	33.73	111	35.54	59
Mauritius 67.57	81	79.31	42	69.17	104	27.86	44	19.30	3	16.52	13	20.98	~
Morocco 51.07	134	65.99	1111	69.46	102	37.19	95	38.23	09	29.24	68	37.47	70
Mozambique 51.34	132	72.30	84	72.54	87	38.90	100	55.77	126	37.40	124	27.41	20
Namibia 51.66	130	81.91	28	62.61	119	23.17	22	33.50	34	21.50	41	31.39	38
Niger 77.02	44	85.79	12	66.45	110	51.87	137	47.80	101	36.73	122	42.36	26
Nigeria 62.15	103	82.65	26	69.52	101	32.71	71	39.74	29	22.62	50	46.44	107
Senegal 76.46	49	88.76	2	80.06	49	53.36	140	42.03	92	24.12	09	48.61	114



(continued)
_
<u>е</u>
Р
<u>T</u>

Country	Enjoyment	/ment	Smile or laugh	laugh	Calmness		Physical pain	ain	Worry		Sadness		Stress	
	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes I	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Sierra Leone	36.81	142	65.14	115	55.06	136	69.80	145	62.83	143	49.96	143	57.59	139
South Africa	78.38	co.	84.60	15	69.46	103	26.53	35	35.04	45	23.27	52	37.68	71
Tanzania	63.59	95	76.05	61	79.52	54	40.43	601	25.62	6	26.58	92	56.01	135
Togo	50.15	136	70.63	76	55.61	135	51.85	136	57.27	132	33.73	112	40.37	84
Tunisia	47.05	139	63.71	121	64.96	116	43.70	122	51.84	114	21.82	43	49.15	120
Uganda	06.09	107	79.01	45	66.91	109	43.09	119	48.23	104	38.06	125	54.94	133
Zambia	65.37	68	76.84	55	74.77	77	36.04 8	68	45.20	88	31.27	76	40.62	98
Zimbabwe	58.96	114	79.04	43	71.92	06	34.61	84	35.70	48	26.23	74	35.75	62
Country	Anger	Amount of things happening is 'just right'	f things is 'just	Life in balance	ınce	Harmony around	Harmony with those around		ts and fee ony	lings Fee	Thoughts and feelings Feel stable and secure in harmony	d secure	Content	
	% Rank-	c- % always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	g % always/ often		Ranking % oft	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking
Algeria	26.06 108	69.53	35	64.60	92	72.37	111	67.47	26	70.	70.76	66	69.35	76
Benin	29.39 115	41.51	127	38.69	127	29.09	136	51.70	131	52.	52.16	127	51.61	127
Botswana	19.17 69	40.94	128	38.22	129	64.70	129	51.09	132	58.81	.81	119	55.04	124
Burkina Faso	24.76 100	ı	1	1	I	ı	I	I	I	,	ı	1	ı	1
Cameroon	24.69 98	42.86	124	46.41	116	65.72	127	64.81	109		59.85	117	55.82	121
Chad	35.25 130	54.61	100	47.26	113	99.19	135	54.61	126		51.32	129	51.17	128
Comoros	24.45 97	45.94	120	33.34	134	57.92	137	43.33	138	47.94	.94	133	44.86	132
$\begin{array}{c} Congo \\ (Brazzaville)^a \end{array}$	30.64 120	30.70	138	37.91	130	56.46	139	51.82	130		47.65	135	43.97	133
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup>	32.27 127	30.70	139	36.84	131	63.15	132	45.31	137		39.17	140	39.69	137



Table 1 (continued)

	,												
Country	Anger	Amount of things happening is 'just right'	f things is 'just	Life in balance	ce	Harmony with those around	th those	Thoughts and feelings in harmony	d feelings	Feel stable and secure	nd secure	Content	
	% Rank- yes ing	nk- % always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking
Cote d'Ivoire	24.75 99	57.44	98	63.37	81	77.05	66	72.85	81	74.12	85	74.69	80
Egypt	30.36 119	65.01	58	62.22	87	84.80	09	63.50	112	84.52	40	91.36	7
Eswatini	30.82 122	33.17	136	23.47	141	68.46	120	41.28	139	47.90	134	38.66	139
Ethiopia	26.36 110	28.61	140	24.64	140	84.32	62	50.14	133	50.83	131	41.00	134
Gabon	30.80 121	51.50	109	57.45	86	72.72	110	92.99	100	89.99	106	62.18	107
Gambia	31.91 125		18	71.45	43	86.27	49	76.52	29	72.65	06	78.56	62
Ghana	17.87 63	64.35	63	61.84	68	82.28	75	76.02	69	86.38	100	70.11	95
Guinea	29.83 116	47.52	116	44.12	121	63.16	131	59.74	118	60.63	115	63.08	106
Kenya	23.97 95	52.78	105	42.38	122	71.78	113	58.70	120	57.10	122	53.65	126
Lesotho	22.01 83	48.34	114	44.70	120	73.85	107	60.21	1117	64.04	111	59.14	114
Liberia	25.52 105	56.73	68	50.12	109	63.89	130	55.40	123	60.59	116	59.79	1111
Libya	38.99 138		26	73.08	38	79.11	87	74.56	75	79.48	65	88.27	18
Madagascar	26.04 107	40.17	131	32.75	135	62.88	133	46.89	134	42.50	138	37.40	140
Malawi	17.61 60	32.57	137	31.61	136	39.25	142	37.61	142	38.48	141	30.70	142
Mali	33.90 128		8	83.76	9	93.11	13	79.21	61	87.38	21	85.84	27
Mauritania	35.79 134	. 64.37	62	62.46	98	70.08	115	65.71	104	72.38	91	69.21	86
Mauritius	7.71 3	56.11	91	06.79	63	81.61	83	72.08	85	81.61	55	76.57	73
Morocco	30.28 118	54.55	101	45.25	119	65.87	126	56.01	122	68.56	102	56.28	120
Mozambique	18.37 66	42.67	125	42.05	123	62.20	134	55.11	125	52.61	126	58.56	116
Namibia	20.24 74	55.02	26	52.83	106	60.69	119	63.42	113	64.34	109	55.82	122
Niger	26.10 109		21	69.61	51	73.92	106	68.69	91	68.50	103	72.37	91
Nigeria	23.62 90	72.51	25	64.64	75	90.53	29	86.16	20	77.85	72	82.81	45



 Table 1 (continued)

Country	Anger	Amount of things happening is 'just right'	of things g is 'just	Life in balance	ice	Harmon around	Harmony with those around		Thoughts and feelings in harmony	d feelings	Feel stable	Feel stable and secure	re Content	ent	
% yes	Rank- ing	% always/ often	, Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	s/ Ranking		% always/ often	Ranking	% always/ often	Ranking	ig % always/ often	vays/	Ranking
Senegal 17	7.26 57	73.39	22	68.95	54	85.38	58	77.03	03	99	81.64	54	81.85		51
Sierra Leone 37	37.24 136	37.89	135	28.76	138	57.68	138	40.26	26	141	36.57	142	40.34		135
South Africa 17	17.44 59	64.65	61	64.01	80	77.45	76	75.04	94	74	68.94	101	69.79		101
Tanzania 17	17.73 62	38.79	133	34.01	133	76.39	100	67.61	61	96	63.13	112	57.63		118
Togo 30	30.24 117	47.94	115	47.10	115	67.20	124	52.13	13	129	53.22	125	50.30		129
ia.	35.39 132	62.87	70	64.90	73	77.51	96	60.41	41	115	16.67	78	78.32		65
Uganda 35	35.38 131	40.90	129	40.47	125	06.79	121	54.00	00	128	49.26	132	46.68		131
Zambia 22	22.52 85	47.25	117	40.36	126	78.82	88	67.81	81	95	51.38	128	39.80	_	136
Zimbabwe 13	13.24 28	28.24	142	19.04	142	98.69	116	45.63	63	136	46.18	136	39.20	_	138
Country	Mind at ease	ase	In	Inner peace		Health	Health problems	People on	People to count on	Opportunities make friends	s to	Safe walking alone	gu	Money or property stolen	or prop- en
	% always/oft	en	Ranking %	always/often	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes Ra	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Algeria	69.14	62		29.99	71	19.63	31	82.54	73	59.94	135	57.32 90		14.32	98
Benin	48.12	127		48.27	115	35.82	128	45.44	144	61.53	134	56.99 91		28.34	113
Botswana	49.95	126		45.69	118	30.57	116	75.04	100	71.61	119	35.88 13	138	37.00	129
Burkina Faso	ı	I		1	ı	31.44	119	65.88	121	68.69	121	52.99 101		29.82	117
Cameroon	55.37	113		52.61	112	31.57	120	86.79	1117	67.83	126	42.39 12	128	38.50	130
Chad	50.47	125		53.36	1111	50.95	145	71.97	108	79.01	85	45.97 12	120	30.83	119
Comoros	58.74	105		38.90	132	47.16	14	47.18	143	65.45	130	62.00 87		22.60	103
Congo (Brazzaville) <sup>a</sup>	a 52.33	119		45.01	119	44.10	140	61.83	130	72.73	116	46.08 11	119	32.91	124
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup>	51.83	121		38.88	133	33.65	125	65.41	124	69.43	122	51.34 107		35.73	128



_
Ä
ä
.Ξ
Ħ
- 3
_
_
<u>e</u>
ō
re

(														
Country	Mind at ease		Inner peace		Health	Health problems	People on	People to count on	Opportunities make friends	Opportunities to make friends	Safe walking alone	ılking	Money or erty stolen	Money or property stolen
	% always/often	Ranking	% always/often	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Cote d'Ivoire	72.70	64	73.66	40	35.26	126	58.02	135	76.09	101	53.17	66	24.31	107
Egypt	58.17	107	64.80	84	27.47	104	71.26	110	76.20	86	84.47	20	14.05	85
Eswatini	32.02	139	30.78	136	45.78	142	71.23	1111	56.48	138	35.03	140	29.55	115
Ethiopia	41.70	133	34.85	134	15.42	11	78.17	92	80.29	74	52.13	105	18.72	96
Gabon	67.03	88	58.28	101	24.95	68	74.66	102	65.81	128	35.11	139	41.31	134
Gambia	73.22	59	63.28	88	35.79	127	58.77	132	79.37	83	38.48	135	49.19	136
Ghana	68.84	81	67.26	99	18.61	28	66.58	119	74.18	108	63.33	82	26.92	1111
Guinea	58.15	108	57.11	104	39.54	134	63.56	129	73.79	113	49.52	110	33.14	126
Kenya	52.57	117	44.13	122	19.70	32	69.13	114	78.83	98	51.67	106	38.50	131
Lesotho	55.01	114	41.54	130	45.90	143	96.79	118	63.54	133	28.75	144	22.55	102
Liberia	80.09	102	61.21	93	40.04	136	59.69	131	78.75	87	32.19	143	52.69	138
Libya	75.26	48	79.83	17	20.97	49	<i>91.71</i>	93	I	ı	71.27	58	I	ı
Madagascar	39.35	136	32.81	135	40.53	138	64.24	127	74.80	106	39.24	133	32.43	122
Malawi	39.89	135	27.86	138	40.65	139	52.14	141	70.56	120	42.77	127	41.30	133
Mali	77.54	39	72.28	44	30.84	117	58.64	134	78.61	68	59.77	68	31.94	121
Mauritania	72.21	89	66.48	72	44.57	141	64.80	126	73.56	115	54.11	86	26.57	109
Mauritius	72.07	69	71.86	47	17.29	19	87.53	51	81.13	69	66.24	70	7.10	25
Morocco	40.06	134	46.87	116	27.04	66	55.45	138	68.61	123	67.61	99	13.17	80
Mozambique	51.89	120	44.12	123	39.70	135	68.43	115	89.15	17	47.78	116	19.44	66
Namibia	98.09	66	54.03	108	19.82	34	79.11	88	68.56	124	37.82	136	35.29	127
Niger	76.18	43	67.45	65	36.62	129	58.69	133	83.34	47	62.51	85	33.13	125
Nigeria	79.03	34	65.06	82	14.17	7	71.16	112	79.50	81	50.36	109	30.92	120
Senegal	83.46	15	72.03	45	40.23	137	64.13	128	83.98	42	54.68	96	27.83	112



continued)	
Table 1	
Ė	

Country	Mind at ease		Inner peace		Health	Health problems	People to count on	o count	Opportunities make friends	Opportunities to make friends	Safe walking alone	alking	Money or erty stolen	Money or property stolen
	% always/often	en Ranking	% always/often	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% ses	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Sierra Leone	31.24	140	26.30	142	33.13	123	52.15	140	66.18	127	48.28	113	51.63	137
South Africa	69.74	92	98.99	69	22.01	09	88.57	45	81.11	70	36.11	137	29.74	116
Tanzania	48.00	128	41.87	129	22.76	65	65.01	125	75.89	102	67.15	89	29.46	114
Togo	51.43	124	46.20	117	37.38	131	56.34	136	59.40	137	52.19	104	25.82	108
Tunisia	96.09	86	64.13	98	23.09	29	72.99	105	59.73	136	56.89	92	16.57	91
Uganda	51.54	123	42.17	128	30.16	114	78.71	06	76.77	96	45.20	121	44.28	135
Zambia	58.95	104	39.85	131	23.66	74	70.25	113	80.05	92	44.49	123	39.22	132
Zimbabwe	38.47	137	27.30	140	27.45	103	68.16	116	73.80	112	39.04	134	30.67	118
Country	Assaulted in year	in past Dc	Donated money	Volunteered	pa	Helped	Helped someone	Enjoy	Enjoy work	Ü	Choice in work	work	Lack money for food	ney for
	% yes Ra	Ranking %	yes Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking		% yes R	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Algeria	8.60 96	5 18	8.75 114	16.87	94	58.61	84	64.89	134	43	43.17 1	139	19.23	41
Benin	12.93	15 15	15.17 128	15.49	104	55.53	92	85.16	89	69	69.27 7	72	72.67	131
Botswana	12.44	17 10	10.43 139	15.20	107	73.28	12	67.29	131	73	73.17 4	46	71.75	128
Burkina Faso	10.98	11 29	29.87 74	27.51	41	67.38	38	84.52	71	64	64.16 1	101	56.82	86
Cameroon	20.91	34 25	25.20 86	25.68	48	74.98	∞	78.57	102	71	71.11 5	57	73.67	133
Chad	18.54 13		36.90 46	38.83	6	68.30	30	73.92	119	71	71.39 5	55	71.20	127
Comoros	9.07	01 19	19.23 113	31.61	25	58.48	85	79.32	66	63	63.73 1	103	59.97	109
Congo (Brazzaville) <sup>a</sup>	19.88	33 21.	21.16 109	27.75	40	69.01	26	77.34	. 105	81	81.85 7		69.81	124
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup>	18.36 13	30 21	21.55 104	32.47	21	69.12	24	62.53	139	89	7 29.89	74	80.93	143
Cote d'Ivoire	8.83 97	, 24	24.78 89	17.63	06	66.83	42	83.29	11	74	74.37 3	37	64.06	117
Egypt	8.07 89	14	14.04 131	92.9	142	96.79	32	73.59	120	4	44.44	138	40.93	69



$\overline{}$
ned
contin
_ _
용
<u>.</u>

Country	Assaulted i	ed in past	Donated money	l money	Volunteered	ered	Helped	Helped someone	Enjoy work	/ork	Choice in work	n work	Lack money for food	ney for
	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Eswatini	10.21	108	13.57	132	25.35	50	71.32	18	54.93	142	49.35	130	78.37	141
Ethiopia	11.59	114	45.62	32	31.55	26	64.11	57	84.22	72	54.26	126	59.07	107
Gabon	15.00	123	13.43	133	11.98	120	63.04	29	78.79	101	74.57	36	73.13	132
Gambia	24.10	135	54.00	14	33.49	16	69.19	23	76.75	107	68.47	75	60.92	114
Ghana	12.58	118	40.21	40	33.10	20	66.61	4	75.08	115	74.20	40	55.85	95
Guinea	16.99	128	30.15	72	29.37	33	70.07	22	82.32	83	67.77	78	69.21	123
Kenya	24.85	137	50.84	21	50.25	4	76.10	9	76.42	110	70.89	59	72.47	130
Lesotho	8.93	66	8.29	140	23.53	4	99.69	59	74.23	117	66.04	06	77.18	140
Liberia	28.99	138	30.33	71	64.84	1	80.20	3	51.22	144	77.58	18	75.02	136
Libya	I	ı	23.92	92	22.32	89	82.90	_	86.01	63	68.10	9/	44.53	78
Madagascar	10.14	107	22.54	101	41.36	9	53.33	86	80.83	95	81.94	9	69.07	122
Malawi	7.96	88	17.09	118	31.20	28	65.37	52	64.84	135	82.18	5	73.93	134
Mali	16.63	127	13.40	134	25.81	47	58.63	83	84.57	70	82.72	4	57.96	101
Mauritania	11.68	115	23.11	96	34.65	14	63.40	2	71.49	125	68.03	77	00.09	110
Mauritius	1.97	15	29.14	78	25.01	55	47.99	117	93.54	14	72.09	51	35.08	61
Morocco	I	ı	3.97	145	8.85	134	68.43	28	78.41	103	63.67	104	53.11	06
Mozambique	11.39	113	18.49	116	29.02	35	53.10	66	85.79	64	65.44	95	63.80	116
Namibia	16.05	126	16.88	119	25.16	52	71.34	17	76.61	109	72.56	49	68.40	121
Niger	15.91	125	16.79	120	22.60	29	68.25	31	88.89	128	63.92	102	76.55	139
Nigeria	18.48	131	37.30	45	39.96	7	80.92	2	76.07	1111	74.74	35	74.52	135
Senegal	6.33	62	21.37	107	27.17	42	72.53	14	86.78	99	76.72	21	61.09	115
Sierra Leone	32.31	139	25.85	84	42.40	5	76.30	5	49.67	145	63.47	105	76.42	138
South Africa	17.46	129	26.04	83	30.30	30	68.41	29	75.33	113	70.88	09	57.07	100



_	
(continued)	
Table 1	
\$	

Country	Assaulted in year	ed in past	Donated	Donated money	Volunteered	ered	Helped	Helped someone	Enjoy work	ork (	Choice	Choice in work	Lack me food	Lack money for food
	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking	% yes	Ranking
Tanzania	4.94	70	40.69	37	14.70	110	51.14	105	73.28	121	88.87	2	52.01	68
Togo	10.72	109	18.54	115	28.80	36	59.09	78	85.50	29	72.36	50	64.15	118
Tunisia	12.72	119	7.36	142	10.25	126	99.69	09	96.38	133	46.69	134	29.47	57
Uganda	24.69	136	32.19	65	34.12	15	72.82	13	70.93	126	76.11	25	70.08	125
Zambia	15.50	124	23.37	95	26.26	46	74.56	10	67.51	130	71.42	54	76.39	137
Zimbabwe	6.50	83	14.32	130	23.78	63	63.33	65	57.23	141	66.83	84	78.73	142
Country		Lack mo	Lack money for shelter	helter		Satist	ied with s	Satisfied with standard of living	iving		Standar	Standard of living getting better	tting bett	, i
		% yes		Ranking		% sat	% satisfied		Ranking		% gettin	getting better		Ranking
Algeria		24.55		09		61.55			68		35.86			115
Benin		59.33		141		40.84			128		59.01			27
Botswana		38.12		82		24.49			143		44.46			83
Burkina Faso		49.28		116		47.81			114		69.56			6
Cameroon		55.01		133		51.41			109		55.67			38
Chad		60.57		143		58.76			96		86.09			20
Comoros		46.87		103		40.66			129		34.27			119
Congo (Brazzaville) <sup>a</sup>		55.19		134		56.79			103		46.64			73
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>b</sup>		53.50		127		38.43			131		31.98			124
Cote d'Ivoire		46.40		102		47.59			115		56.97			32
Egypt		20.50		54		73.94			09		36.94			109
Eswatini		35.91		62		26.88			142		30.09			133
Ethiopia		47.93		110		46.54			116		48.51			65
Gabon		48.49		112		44.62			122		43.16			88



Table 1 (continued)

(						
Country	Lack money for shelter	or shelter	Satisfied with standard of living	lard of living	Standard of living getting better	ng better
	% yes	Ranking	% satisfied	Ranking	% getting better	Ranking
Gambia	54.27	130	28.32	141	42.89	06
Ghana	39.81	28	49.31	111	45.05	77
Guinea	56.14	138	57.50	86	56.14	35
Kenya	58.38	140	45.28	118	44.54	82
Lesotho	53.73	129	32.15	138	32.69	123
Liberia	60.41	142	34.13	136	39.12	100
Libya	32.50	73	78.34	41	68.87	10
Madagascar	52.84	125	33.03	137	35.15	117
Malawi	55.51	137	31.87	139	34.19	120
Mali	47.53	106	44.85	121	45.54	75
Mauritania	51.44	120	54.78	107	51.78	57
Mauritius	18.94	50	71.26	29	53.79	47
Morocco	38.72	83	75.89	52	47.98	89
Mozambique	54.94	132	75.45	54	51.67	59
Namibia	54.31	131	36.43	132	53.31	51
Niger	65.39	144	59.57	93	54.51	43
Nigeria	48.05	111	36.31	133	44.35	84
Senegal	50.86	119	56.16	105	63.07	16
Sierra Leone	58.19	139	30.23	140	26.01	136
South Africa	31.60	71	56.35	104	55.40	40
Tanzania	37.83	80	38.58	130	40.74	95
Togo	41.92	91	34.43	135	51.40	09
Tunisia	20.66	55	55.71	106	38.37	102
Uganda	45.37	26	45.18	119	47.23	71



Table 1 (continued)

Country	Lack money for shelter	r shelter	Satisfied with standard of living	lard of living	Standard of living getting better	ng better
	% yes	Ranking	% satisfied	Ranking	% getting better	Ranking
Zambia	46.24	101	35.95	134	45.69	74
Zimbabwe	40.48	98	44.32	123	31.29	126

Further information about the items can be found in the Supplemental Text. Hyphen (-) indicates the item was not completed by participants in the country. <sup>a</sup>Republic of the Congo. <sup>b</sup>Democratic Republic of the Congo. Estimates were computed using weights provided by Gallup



## 6 Results

For all 38 well-being indicators that were analysed, we report two metrics for each of the 40 African countries included in the GWP: (a) the country's ranking (out of all countries in the GWP); and (b) a relevant descriptive statistic for each item (i.e., mean or percentage). The results are reported in Table 1.

#### 7 Life Evaluations

Life evaluation scores, combining present and future evaluations, vary across African countries. For instance, Mauritius shows a high combined life evaluation mean of 6.46 (ranking 68th), reflecting its relatively better socioeconomic conditions and political stability compared to other African countries. In contrast, Congo (Kinshasa) presents a lower mean for combined life evaluation of 4.78 (ranking 139th), indicative of the challenges that the country faces. The difference in life evaluation scores between present and future outlook, as seen with Botswana (mean of 2.65, ranking 20th) and Tunisia (mean of 1.64, ranking 65th), points to varying degrees of optimism across the continent.

## 8 Daily Emotions and Experiences

A wide range of daily emotions and experiences were observed across the African countries. For instance, Botswana ranks 54th on the well-rested item, whereas Egypt ranks 130th. The percentage of people treated with respect is notably high in Mauritius at 95.44% (ranked 22nd), contrasting with Chad where only 75.33% felt the same (ranked 134th). Cross-country variability may suggest cultural and societal differences in daily emotions and experiences on the continent.

# 9 Quality of Life

*Health.* Nigeria stands out with less than one-fifth of participants reporting that they had health problems (14.17%, ranking 7th). Conversely, Comoros experiences significant health challenges, as indicated by a comparatively high percentage of participants endorsing health problems (47.16%, ranking 144th).

Personal Safety and Security. In terms of feeling stable and secure, Mali is high-lighted with 87.38% of participants endorsing this item (ranking 21st), which could point to the role that strong social and government structures have in contributing to a sense of safety. Togo, however, with only 55.61% of participants reporting that they felt calm (ranking 135th), may reflect ongoing concerns about personal safety.

Work. Job satisfaction appears to be relatively high in Senegal, with 87.98% of participants reporting enjoyment in their work (ranking 56th). Whereas employment conditions are more positive in Senegal, comparatively lower enjoyment of work in Liberia (51.22%, ranking 144th) suggests that improvements in employment conditions may be needed in the country.



**51** Page 20 of 32 V. Counted et al.

*Poverty.* Sierra Leone, with 76.42% reporting a lack of money for food (ranking 138th), highlights acute economic challenges facing the country. A comparatively lower percentage of participants endorsed this item in Algeria (19.23%, ranking 41st), suggesting less pronounced economic disparities compared to Sierra Leone.

Standard of Living. In Senegal, perceptions about the standard of living improving (63.07%, ranking 16th) contrasts with Sierra Leone's dire outlook, where only 26.01% indicated that their standard of living appears to be getting better (ranking 136th). Such differences are perhaps indicative of varying levels of development and prosperity across the continent.

#### 10 Discussion

Our descriptive analysis of multidimensional well-being across African countries and regions highlights the importance of applying a comprehensive and context-sensitive approach to understanding well-being in Africa. Findings from our analysis of recent GWP data reveal several trends and provide valuable insights into variations in well-being indicators across different African countries. For example, life evaluation rankings were somewhat varied across African countries, with higher life evaluation generally seen in countries that have better socioeconomic conditions, political stability, and overall quality of life. Through the lens of the capabilities approach (Sen, 1999), which emphasizes the importance of group's freedom to choose and achieve well-being due to resources like income, education, and healthcare, this pattern of findings is not coincidental. Many countries with higher life evaluation scores, such as Mauritius and Algeria, are likely to have better access to the kinds of capabilities that support overall well-being. Differences between present and future life evaluation indicate people's optimism and expectations for the future compared to present. Goal satisfaction theories, such as Maslow's "hierarchy" of needs (Maslow, 1943), may explain these variations, as people in countries with lower present life evaluation may be more motivated to strive for better living conditions to satisfy their basic and psychological needs.

When exploring the percentages of people feeling well-rested and treated with respect, notable variation was observed across the countries. One possible explanation for this variation is differences in collectivistic orientation, such as cultural values (e.g., Ubuntu) and practices that prioritize community engagement and mutual aid (Appiah, 2008; Metz & Gaie, 2010a, 2010b), or social norms that emphasize respect and dignity in interactions, as exemplified by Rwanda's emphasis on reconciliation and unity post-genocide (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012; Reyntjens, 2016). The disparities in responses to learning or doing something interesting across African countries suggest varied daily experiences. However, attributing these differences solely to educational opportunities and infrastructure might be misleading, since a single day's response may reflect immediate personal or environmental factors rather than systemic educational disparities (Sen, 1999; UNESCO, 2014). Therefore, responses might reflect a wide range of factors influencing daily life, including but not limited to, personal circumstances, cultural practices, and immediate social environments. While education and infrastructure undoubtedly play a crucial role in shaping opportunities for learning and personal development, the direct linkage between a single day's activities and these broader systemic factors warrants careful consideration.



In some nations that had relatively high percentages of participants who reported smiling or laughing the previous day (e.g., such as Gambia and South Africa), the cultural dynamics are often imbued with joy and resilience (Fredrickson, 2001). Calmness responses also showed variation across countries. Countries with comparatively higher endorsement of calmness, such as Gambia, Ghana, and Senegal, may reflect common denominators shared among these countries. For example, people living in these countries tend to relatively have better access to healthcare (World Health Organization, 2020), more stable governance structures (Transparency International, 2019), and stronger social support systems aid (United Nations Development Programme, 2020). These social-structural factors not only have the potential to indirectly support the regulation of negative affective states, but may also play a foundational role in the cultural and social fabric that underpins community well-being.

Research indicates that factors such as healthcare access, economic development, and social support have the potential to influence physical pain, worry, and sadness (World Health Organization, 2017; Layard et al., 2014). Accordingly, African countries like Algeria, Mauritius, and Nigeria reported lower levels of these negative experiences, which may be attributed to better healthcare (e.g., in Algeria and Mauritius), political stability (e.g., in Algeria and Nigeria), and social support (e.g., in Nigeria) in these countries. For instance, Algeria has made healthcare more accessible through rural primary care facilities and universal healthcare policies (Hamadi & Datoussaid, 2019). Mauritius benefits from political stability through its resilient democratic institutions and regular fair elections (World Bank, 2012). Nigeria enjoys extended family networks and political stability that can support well-being (Ebimgbo et al., 2019). Stress, another important well-being indicator, is often associated with economic, social, and political conditions (Cohen et al., 2007). Lower stress levels in Mauritius and Algeria may be tied to their comparatively better political stability, healthcare access, and social support systems.

Our findings also highlight various well-being differences across African countries, such as for anger, life balance, harmony, stability, security, and contentment. While our findings suggest feelings of stability and security in countries like Egypt, Mali, and Mauritius, such interpretations must be approached with caution due to the dynamic political landscapes within these regions. For instance, despite a relatively low percentage of participants in Egypt reporting that they were assaulted in the last year, the country has faced significant political instability (Abdelkader, 2017; Maher & Zhao, 2022), underscoring the complexities of attributing well-being solely to social and government structures. Individual perceptions of well-being on any given day can be influenced by many factors beyond immediate political or social stability, reflecting the notion that security is a foundational need (Maslow, 1943). However, the relatively high percentage of participants who endorsed experiencing anger in the Congo (Kinshasa) could be attributed to ongoing political instability and conflict, which may have detrimental consequences for individual wellbeing (Verweijen, 2016). Conversely, the low percentage of participants who endorsed the anger item in Mauritius may reflect a more stable political environment and stronger social bonds, which can foster a sense of security and support the well-being of the population (Mikulincer & Shaver, 2011).

The comparatively high levels of life balance and harmony observed in Mali could be partly attributed to a strong sense of social identity and community support. This finding resonates with the idea that a strong sense of belonging and connection to social groups



can positively impact well-being and life satisfaction, as documented in social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). The relationship between economic development and well-being is complex, as wealthier countries may not necessarily have higher well-being scores. Some prior work suggests that once a certain level of income has been reached, additional wealth may not significantly contribute to happiness (e.g., Diener et al., 1995; Shin, 1980). Variation in endorsement of the health problems item between Mauritius and Egypt, as well as the differences in the life evaluations between Algeria and Congo (Brazzaville), are perhaps indicative of the complex link between economic development and well-being. Factors such as the distribution of wealth, social support systems, and access to healthcare could also contribute to cross-country differences on these items.

Taken together, understanding the well-being of individuals in African countries requires considering various perspectives from psychology, sociology, and economics. While economic development plays a role in well-being, other factors such as social support, access to healthcare, and political stability are also crucial in shaping the overall wellbeing of individuals. Generally, countries with higher GDP per capita tend to have better well-being (Stevenson & Wolfers, 2008). In Africa, wealthier countries like Mauritius and South Africa, tend to rank higher on positive indicators such as enjoyment and smile or laugh. However, this relationship is not linear, and some countries with lower GDP per capita, like Eswatini and Lesotho, also have relatively high enjoyment rankings. This discrepancy highlights the importance of considering other factors besides economic development when examining well-being. Political stability can also influence well-being. Countries with stable governance structures and low levels of conflict generally exhibit better well-being (Helliwell et al., 2021). For example, Botswana, which has a relatively stable political environment, ranks higher in calmness (79th) compared to conflict-affected countries like Congo (Kinshasa) (133rd). However, political stability alone does not guarantee high well-being, as shown by Gabon's low rankings in enjoyment (127th) and calmness (122nd) in the current study.

Social structures, including education, healthcare, and social support, also have the potential to influence well-being. Although countries with better access to healthcare, education, and social support tend to have higher well-being (Diener et al., 2018), Kenya shows an interesting paradox in its well-being indicators: despite having made notable strides in improving access to healthcare and education, it ranks relatively low in combined life evaluation (115th) and yet ranks relatively high in enjoyment (62nd) and smiling or laughing (47th). This discrepancy prompts a closer examination of the nature of well-being experienced by Kenyans, including questions about whether Kenyans might be experiencing or expressing more hedonic well-being, characterized by the pursuit of pleasure and immediate satisfaction, as opposed to eudemonic well-being, which is associated with finding meaning and fulfilment in life (Ryan & Deci, 2001). This pattern of findings may reflect the complex landscape of well-being in Kenya, where daily positive emotions are prevalent even in the face of challenges that may affect overall satisfaction with life. Azibo's (1991) meta-theory provides a framework for understanding the African personality from a culturally specific standpoint, emphasizing the importance of African cultural values, communalism, and spirituality in shaping individual identities and behaviors. This perspective may be instrumental in interpreting this pattern of findings in Kenya, as experiences such as enjoyment and smiling or laughter may be deeply rooted in cultural expressions of joy and community engagement that are characteristic of many African societies.



## 11 Well-Being Across Regions of Africa

#### 11.1 Central Africa

The findings for the Central African region suggest that well-being indicators may be influenced by cultural factors, such as communalism, which emphasizes the importance of interdependence and group harmony (Gyekye, 1997). This communalism manifests through comparatively high percentages of volunteering and helping someone in a number of these countries (e.g., Chad and Congo (Kinshasa)), indicating a shared responsibility for the welfare of others (a core aspect of communal values). While communalism can provide social support in times of need, it may also create tension and conflict when resources are scarce (Tejani, 2007). In situations where there is competition for limited resources, the communal orientation can lead to disagreements and conflicts within the community, which may be reflected in comparatively higher percentages of anger and stress reported in countries like Chad and Congo (Kinshasa). Furthermore, the history of political turmoil and conflict in the Central African region has had a significant impact on people's wellbeing (Gyekye, 1997). Political instability, violence, and lack of effective governance can create an environment where basic needs are not met, social support systems are weakened, and people's sense of security is compromised. These factors can lead to increased stress, worry, and lower overall well-being. Central Africa's history of political turmoil and conflict is likely to have had a profound impact on the well-being of people in this region, adding to the complex picture of individual well-being within this region.

## 12 Eastern Africa

Eastern African countries, including Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda, exhibit a range of well-being rankings and indicators. Maslow's (1943) "hierarchy" of needs sheds light on how social and economic conditions might well-being by impeding the fulfilment of basic needs. This framework emphasizes the role of education as a foundational element for advancing beyond basic survival needs towards achieving higher-order psychological and self-fulfilment needs that enhance overall well-being. Notably, Kenya and Uganda excel in offering opportunities for learning something new (ranking 9th and 10th, respectively), in contrast to Ethiopia (ranking 121st). This disparity highlights potential inequalities in educational and personal development opportunities across Eastern Africa, which could substantially impact well-being. Further examination of well-being indicators reveals diverse expressions of enjoyment and smiling or laughter within this African region. Kenya stands out with comparatively high rankings in enjoyment (62nd) and smiling or laughing (47th), suggesting a cultural inclination toward positive emotional expressions despite challenges (Biswas-Diener et al., 2005). However, this narrative changes when considering Ethiopia's lower rankings on similar variables like smiling or laughing (112th) and enjoyment (84th), indicating a different set of cultural and historical influences that shape expressions of positive emotions and experiences of well-being more broadly within the region. Durkheim's theory of social integration (Durkheim, 1897; also see Kroh & Prechsl, 2023) offers another way to understand the well-being findings for Eastern Africa. According to this theory, the degree of social integration and social capital within a society can influence well-being. In Eastern African countries, generally lower reports of life being in



balance, experiencing harmony with others, and feeling stable and secure might indicate lower levels of social integration and social capital. Contrary to the research on widespread challenges in safety, health, and economic stability in the region (see Lukhele, 2015; Riddle et al., 2023), our data in some Eastern African countries for learning something new (e.g., Uganda) and enjoyment (e.g., Kenya) points to a complex picture of resilience and positivity amidst socioeconomic challenges.

#### 13 Northern Africa

Countries in the North African region, including Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, and Tunisia, display a variety of rankings across the indicators of well-being. One potential explanation for variations in well-being among these countries is the capabilities approach (Sen, 1999), which emphasises the importance of income, education, and healthcare access in determining people's ability to function and achieve well-being. For example, Egypt stands out with a relatively low ranking on the well-rested indicator (130th) compared a higher ranking on the treated with respect indicator (78th), which may reflect disparities in resource access and lingering effects of political instability and social unrest in the country (Abdelkader, 2017). Several countries in this region were also ranked among the lowest of any country in enjoyment, smile or laugh, and calmness (e.g., Algeria, Tunisia), indicating relatively worse well-being on these indicators compared to other countries in Africa. Although this pattern of findings does not apply to all North African countries or across all indicators of well-being, it is possible that our findings for certain well-being metrics in some North African countries may reflect cultural differences (Hofstede, 2001) that could be explored further in subsequent work concerning the cultural impacts on well-being in difference parts of Africa.

#### 14 Western Africa

The findings in West African countries such as Ghana, Nigeria, and Senegal can be discussed using extended family systems theory. Countries in this region generally had moderate combined life evaluation rankings (e.g., Ghana ranking 78th and Nigeria ranking 63rd). High rankings for some countries for experiencing harmony with others, such as in Nigeria (29th) and Senegal (58th), could be attributed to extended family systems that foster social relationships and communal harmony (Nkama, 2022; Ukagwu, 2022). This theoretical perspective emphasizes intergenerational cooperation, the transmission of cultural values, and mutual support among community members (e.g., wazobia in Nigeria), which might contribute to the comparatively higher rankings for the harmony with others item in certain West African countries (Negedu & Ojomah, 2022). Evidence of variation in well-being within the West African region, such as Senegal ranking comparatively high on enjoyment (49th) and Liberia having one of the lowest rankings of any country on enjoyment (140th), could suggest differences in cultural, social, economic, or political factors. Lower rankings for worry, life in balance, thoughts and feelings in harmony, and feeling stable and secure in some countries, such as Benin, might be indicative of challenges related to safety, health, and economic stability in some parts of the region. Although extended family systems may provide support and promote social cohesion (Ukagwu, 2022), external factors such as political instability, poverty, and health crises might negatively impact the well-being of



people living in many parts of the West African region. Conversely, comparatively lower rankings for anger, health problems, and money or property stolen could be signs that traditional social systems in this region of Africa might not be sufficient to cope with modern challenges, or that they are under strain from external influences.

#### 15 Southern Africa

In Southern Africa, countries such as South Africa, Zimbabwe, and Zambia exhibit varying well-being rankings, which can be understood using several concepts and theories. Economic disparities and social conditions impact people's ability to fulfil their basic and psychological needs, resulting in diverse well-being outcomes. Utilizing Maslow's "hierarchy" of needs (Maslow, 1943) as a theoretical framework, variations in well-being rankings among Southern African countries may reflect disparities in the satisfaction of needs. For example, South Africa's higher combined life evaluation ranking (61st) might indicate that a larger proportion of the population can satisfy their basic and psychological needs compared to Zimbabwe, where a low ranking on combined life evaluation (143rd) suggests a more significant struggle in meeting these needs. The diverse well-being rankings in Southern Africa may also be attributed to differences in political, economic, and social contexts. Modernization and post-modernization theory may help to explain how these contextual factors might influence well-being (Zinkina et al., 2022). According to this theory, as societies undergo modernization, they experience changes in values, beliefs, and social norms, which in turn affect well-being. Southern African countries are at different stages of modernization, perhaps contributing to different experiences of well-being within the region. Examination of other well-being indicators provides evidence of the nuances that exist in the region. Lesotho, for example, shows somewhat of a paradoxical pattern with a comparatively lower ranking in calmness (129th) but a higher stress ranking (55th) relative to other Southern African countries, suggesting that low stress may not necessarily correspond with experiencing calmness in some contexts. South Africa and Lesotho display contrasting profiles in their expression of positive emotions, such as on rankings for smiling or laughing (South Africa 15th, Lesotho 63rd) and enjoyment (South Africa 38th, Lesotho 70th), potentially reflecting the influence of sociocultural and contextual factors on well-being (e.g., local economy, healthcare infrastructure, cultural practices).

Well-being varies substantially across regions of Africa, reflecting the diverse socioeconomic, political, and cultural contexts of the continent. Although some regions generally rank better across different indicators of well-being compared to others, variations within each region highlight the importance of examining each country's unique context when considering multidimensional well-being. Understanding these variations can help inform policies and interventions aimed at improving human flourishing across the African continent.

## 16 Practical Considerations, Limitations, and Suggestions for Future Research

We can infer from the general discussion that countries with lower socioeconomic conditions, less political stability, and poorer overall quality of life might generally have fewer opportunities for positive social interactions and fewer capabilities to draw on. The



capabilities approach (Sen, 1999) emphasizes the importance of an individual's freedom to choose and achieve well-being through access to resources such as income, education, and healthcare. This perspective is crucial when considering the well-being disparate observed across various countries. For example, in the domain of learning something new, Kenya stands out with a high ranking (9th), suggesting a vibrant environment for intellectual growth and curiosity. This contrasts with the challenges faced in the same dimension by Algeria, which is ranked 125th, possibly pointing to potential gaps in educational access or quality that could impede personal development and societal progress. In healthcare, the ranking of Namibia (22nd) for physical pain signifies relatively better health outcomes or access to pain management resources compared to Chad (which ranks 144th), highlighting critical healthcare system challenges that may require urgent attention to support wellbeing (see Chipare et al., 2020). Nigeria's higher ranking (11th) in the life evaluation (difference) metric (reflecting a high degree of optimism about the future) may suggests a more favorable economic outlook or public confidence in future development, whereas Botswana's ranking (128th) may point to economic concerns or uncertainties about future growth and stability. These findings challenge simplistic narratives, revealing a complex tapestry of strengths and vulnerabilities that influence opportunities for positive social interactions and individual capabilities. Moreover, our findings indicate that even in contexts of socialstructural vulnerability, there are dimensions of life that may contribute positively to the well-being of individuals (Cowden et al., 2020).

The methodological, practical, and sociocultural implications of the items used in the GWP to assess well-being have significant bearings on the results and their interpretation, particularly in the context of diverse African countries. For example, the reliance on a single-day snapshot to assess emotions and experiences may not fully capture the complexity of individuals' emotional states over time. Emotional states can fluctuate daily, suggesting that assessments based on a single day may not reflect an individual's overall emotional well-being (Kahneman et al., 2004). Furthermore, asking participants to recall specific details about the previous day can introduce recall bias, where memory can be selective and influenced by a person's current mood, potentially distorting the accuracy of reported experiences (Schwarz & Sudman, 1994). This potential bias may compromise the reliability of the data, especially in cross-cultural contexts where the interpretation and expression of emotions can vary significantly (Matsumoto, 2001). Future research might consider employing alternative measurement approaches that could provide a richer, context sensitive understanding of well-being across the diverse continent of Africa (Diener et al., 2010a, 2010b; Norenzayan & Heine, 2005).

Our descriptive analysis revealed substantial diversity in well-being on the African continent, reflecting the unique social, economic, cultural, and historical contexts of African countries and regions. The application of different theoretical lenses, such as Maslow's "hierarchy" of needs, the capabilities approach, and cultural dimensions theory, provides a framework for understanding cross-country variation in well-being. However, our findings are limited by the interpretive challenges associated with the nature of the data. The indicators of well-being employed in this analysis, while informative, may not fully capture the complexities and nuanced realities of well-being across different African countries. This could potentially result in an incomplete portrayal of individual experiences and variability between countries and regions. Additionally, the theoretical perspectives that we drew on may not adequately address the various factors influencing well-being indicators. Another pertinent constraint is the cross-sectional nature of the data, which restricts our ability to interpret changes over time or draw conclusions about cause-and-effect relationships between variables.



Although this study offers a broad and comprehensive picture of multidimensional wellbeing in numerous countries within Africa, our analytic approach did not include adjustment for potential confounding. Therefore, it is possible that some differences between countries may be confounded by unmeasured factors. Along similar lines, yearly data collection for the GWP from 2020-2022 varied across the African countries that were included in our analysis. Data collection in many countries over this three-year period was affected by pandemic-related challenges, such that some African countries had more years of data available than others. In light of the impact that the COVID-19 pandemic had on human flourishing in Africa (Counted et al., 2022; Govender et al., 2020; Wilson Fadiji et al., 2023), differences in indicators of well-being could be due to differences in the availability of data across countries from 2020 to 2022. For example, countries that did not have data for 2020 or 2021 may show higher well-being on certain indicators compared to countries that had data for all three years because the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on well-being are likely to have been stronger during the early part of the public health crisis (Cowden et al., 2023a, 2023b). As a result, some caution should be applied when comparing well-being across the countries included in this study.

While our study helps to enrich the existing body of empirical literature on well-being in Africa, it lacks a detailed proposition of frameworks or guidelines that could inform the revision or refinement of survey items and the data collection process for the GWP to align more closely with the African context. Our findings largely provide an overview of the GWP data on well-being in Africa, without offering concrete steps for tailoring the research approach to better capture more unique aspects of well-being in Africa. To address these limitations and enrich future research, it may be important to consider a more contextually sensitive framework (e.g., collectivistic social orientation) that accounts for the distinct social, economic, and cultural landscapes of African countries. Adopting a collectivistic perspective can provide insight into the role of communal support in buffering against the adverse effects of socioeconomic challenges and political instability on well-being in Africa (Diener et al., 2018; Sen, 1999). In highlighting the significance of social capital and communal networks in enhancing individual and collective well-being, this approach underscores the necessity of incorporating cultural and social contexts into well-being policies and interventions on the continent.

Follow-up research is needed to explore the specific factors that contribute to well-being disparities within and between African regions. This research could involve in-depth case studies and qualitative methods to better understand the experiences of individuals in different countries. When designing and implementing interventions to improve well-being, consideration should be given to the diverse well-being outcomes across Africa. Policies should be tailored to the unique contexts of each country, taking into account the social, economic, and cultural factors that influence well-being. Efforts should be made to strengthen data collection and reporting on well-being indicators in African countries. Improved data quality will allow for monitoring of multidimensional well-being at the population level and support evidence-based policymaking (Cowden et al., 2023a, 2023b).

#### 17 Conclusion

In conclusion, our intracontinental descriptive analysis of the GWP data provided some evidence of disparities in well-being across African countries. Such variability in well-being underscores the importance of targeted interventions that consider the unique



**51** Page 28 of 32 V. Counted et al.

cultural, economic, and social contexts of each country to effectively support the wellbeing of local citizens. Strengthening social capital, improving health disparities, and fostering economic development are critical capabilities that Africa must continue to develop for the promotion of human flourishing across the continent.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-024-00764-5.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing interests that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Thus, the survey was conducted by Gallup in accordance with their established ethical procedures, including informed consent.

#### References

- Abdelkader, H. E. M. (2017). Political instability and economic growth in Egypt. Review of Middle East Economics and Finance, 13(2), 20170019.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty. Crown Publishers.
- Alkire, S. (2005). Subjective quantitative studies of human agency. *Social Indicators Research*, 74, 217–260. Appiah, K. A. (2008). Ethics in a World of strangers: W.E.B. Du Bois and the spirit of cosmopolitanism. *Ethics*, 118(4), 673–701. https://doi.org/10.1086/590163
- Arndt, C., & Simler, K. R. (2010). Estimating utility-consistent poverty lines with applications to Egypt and Mozambique. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 58(3), 449–474.
- Azibo, D. A. (1991). Towards a metatheory of the African personality. *Journal of Black Psychology, 17*(2), 37–45.
- Bates, W. (2009). Gross national happiness. Asian-Pacific Economic Literature, 23(2), 1–16.
- Biswas-Diener, R., Vittersø, J., & Diener, E. (2005). Most people are pretty happy, but there is cultural variation: The Inughuit, the Amish, and the Maasai. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 6, 205–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-005-5683-8
- Carlsen, L., & Bruggemann, R. (2022). The 17 United Nations' sustainable development goals: A status by 2020. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, 29(3), 219–229.
- Chen, Y., Cowden, R. G., Fulks, J., Plake, J. F., & VanderWeele, T. J. (2022). National data on age gradients in well-being among US adults. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 79(10), 1046–1047. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.2473
- Chipare, M. A., Tapera, R., Pachawo, R. F., & January, J. (2020). Exploring the evolution of health promotion in Namibia: Opportunities and obstacles during the post-independence era. Global Health Promotion, 27(4), 107–113.
- Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., & Miller, G. E. (2007). Psychological stress and disease. JAMA, 298(14), 1685–1687.
- Coulthard, S., Johnson, D., & McGregor, J. A. (2011). Poverty, sustainability and human wellbeing: A social wellbeing approach to the global fisheries crisis. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 453–463.
- Counted, V., Pargament, K. I., Bechara, A. O., Joynt, S., & Cowden, R. G. (2022). Hope and well-being in vulnerable contexts during the COVID-19 pandemic: Does religious coping matter? *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 17(1), 70–81. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2020.1832247
- Cowden, R. G., Counted, V., & Ho, M. Y. (2023a). Positive psychology and religion/spirituality across cultures in Africa, Asia, and Oceania. In E. B. Davis, E. L. Worthington, & S. A. Schnitker (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology, religion, and spirituality* (pp. 243–259). Springer.



- Cowden, R. G., Nakamura, J. S., Pacheco, P. D. L. R. F., Chen, Y., Fulks, J., Plake, J. F., & Vander-Weele, T. J. (2023b). The road to postpandemic recovery in the USA: A repeated cross-sectional survey of multidimensional well-being over two years. *Public Health*, 217, 212–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2023.02.006
- Cowden, R. G., Tucker, L. A., & Govender, K. (2020). Conceptual pathways to HIV risk in Eastern and Southern Africa: An integrative perspective on the development of young people in contexts of social-structural vulnerability. In K. Govender & N. K. Poku (Eds.), *Preventing HIV Among Young People in Southern and Eastern Africa* (pp. 31–47). Routledge.
- Diener, E., Diener, M., & Diener, C. (1995). Factors predicting the subjective well-being of nations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69(5), 851–864. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514. 69.5.851
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2003). Personality, culture, and subjective well-being: Emotional and cognitive evaluations of life. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54(1), 403–425.
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Tay, L. (2018). Advances in subjective well-being research. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2(4), 253–260.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D. W., Oishi, S., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010a). New well-being measures: Short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. *Social Indicators Research*, 97, 143–156.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D., Oishi, S., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010b). New well-being measures: Short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. Social Indicators Research, 97(2), 143–156.
- Dolan, P., Peasgood, T., & White, M. (2008). Do we really know what makes us happy? A review of the economic literature on the factors associated with subjective well-being. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(1), 94–122.
- Durkheim, E. (1897). Le suicide : étude de sociologie. Alcan.
- Ebimgbo, S. O., Obi-Keguna, C. N., Chukwu, N. E., Onalu, C. E., Abonyi, S. E., & Okoye, U. O. (2019). Culture-based social support to older adults in Nnewi South-East Nigeria. *African Population Studies*, 33(2), 4891–4900. https://doi.org/10.11564/33-2-1402
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218
- Govender, K., Cowden, R. G., Nyamaruze, P., Armstrong, R. M., & Hatane, L. (2020). Beyond the disease: Contextualized implications of the COVID-19 pandemic for children and young people living in Eastern and Southern Africa. Frontiers in Public Health, 8, 504. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh. 2020.00504
- Govender, K., Nyamaruze, P., McKerrow, N., Meyer-Weitz, A., & Cowden, R. G. (2022). COVID-19 vaccines for children and adolescents in Africa: Aligning our priorities to situational realities. BMJ Global Health, 7(2), e007839. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007839
- Gyekye, K. (1997). Tradition and modernity: Philosophical reflections on the African experience. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hamadi, A. & Datoussaid, A. (2019). Endogenous Institutional Change in Favor of Access to Medicines: The Case of Algeria (2019). *El-Bahith Review* https://ssrn.com/abstract=3669027
- Han, Y., & Chung, R. Y. N. (2022). The role of neighborhood social capital on health and health inequality in rural and urban China. *Preventive Medicine*, 156, 106989.
- Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J. D., & Neve, J. E. D. (2021). World Happiness Report 2021. Sustainable Development Solutions Network. Retrieved online 5/2/2023 https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1004&context=hw\_happiness
- Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J. D., De Neve, J.-E., Aknin, L. B., & De Wang, S. (2023). World Happiness Report. Sustainable Development Solutions Network. Retrieved online 5/1/2023 at https://happiness-report.s3.amazonaws.com/2023/WHR+23.pdf
- Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations. Sage.
- IMF (2023). The Sahel, Central African Republic Face Complex Challenges to Sustainable Development. Retrieved online 04/02/2024 https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2023/11/16/cf-the-sahel-car-face-complex-challenges-to-sustainable-development
- Jebb, A. T., Tay, L., Diener, E., & Oishi, S. (2018). Happiness, income satiation and turning points around the world. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2(1), 33–38.
- Kahneman, D., & Deaton, A. (2010a). High income improves evaluation of life but not emotional well-being. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(38), 16489–16493.



**51** Page 30 of 32 V. Counted et al.

Kahneman, D., & Deaton, A. (2010b). High income improves evaluation of life but not emotional well-being. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(38), 16489–16493. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011492107

- Kahneman, D., Krueger, A. B., Schkade, D. A., Schwarz, N., & Stone, A. A. (2004). A survey method for characterizing daily life experience: The Day Reconstruction Method. *Science*, 306(5702), 1776–1780.
- Kashdan, T. B., Biswas-Diener, R., & King, L. A. (2008). Reconsidering happiness: The costs of distinguishing between hedonics and eudaimonia. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 3(4), 219–233.
- Kitayama, S., & Markus, H. R. (1994). Emotion and culture: Empirical studies of mutual influence. American Psychological Association.
- Kroh, J., & Prechsl, S. (2023). The intervening role of social integration in the effect of education on subjective mental health. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 85, 100786.
- Layard, R., Clark, A. E., Cornaglia, F., Powdthavee, N., & Vernoit, J. (2014). What predicts a successful life? A life-course model of well-being. *The Economic Journal*, 124(580), F720–F738.
- Lee, M., Kubzansky, L. D., & VanderWeele, T. J. (2021). Measuring Well-Being: Interdisciplinary Perspectives from the Social Sciences and the Humanities. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lomas, T. (2016). Towards a positive cross-cultural lexicography: Enriching our emotional landscape through 216 'untranslatable'words pertaining to well-being. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 11(5), 546–558.
- Lomas, T. (2023). Exploring associations between income and wellbeing: New global insights from the Gallup World Poll. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2023. 2248963
- Lomas, T., & VanderWeele, T. J. (2022). The garden and the orchestra: Generative metaphors for conceptualizing the complexities of well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(21), 14544. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192114544
- Lukhele, S. (2015). East Africa copes with a refugee burden. *Africa Conflict Monitor*, 2015(05), 44–50.
- Lutz, C. A. (2011). Unnatural emotions: Everyday sentiments on a Micronesian atoll and their challenge to Western theory. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Maher, M., & Zhao, Y. (2022). Do political instability and military expenditure undermine economic growth in Egypt? Evidence from the ARDL approach. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 33(8), 956–979.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, 50(4), 370-396.
- Matsumoto, D. (2001). Culture and psychology: People around the world. Wadsworth.
- Metz, T. (2011). Ubuntu as a moral theory and human rights in South Africa. African Human Rights Law Journal, 11(2), 532-559.
- Metz, T., & Gaie, J. (2010a). The African ethic of Ubuntu/Botho: Implications for research on morality. Journal of Moral Education, 39(3), 273–290. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057240.2010.497609
- Metz, T., & Gaie, J. B. (2010b). The African ethic of Ubuntu/Botho: Implications for research on morality. *Journal of Moral Education*, 39(3), 273–290.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2011). Attachment, anger, and aggression. In P. R. Shaver & M. Mikulincer (Eds.), *Human aggression and violence: Causes, manifestations, and consequences* (pp. 241–257). American Psychological Association.
- Mkhize, N. (2021). African/Afrikan-centered psychology. South African Journal of Psychology, 51(3), 422–429.
- Neblett, E. W., Jr., Hammond, W. P., Seaton, E. K., & Townsend, T. G. (2010). Underlying mechanisms in the relationship between Africantric worldview and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 57(1), 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017710
- Negedu, I. A., & Ojomah, S. O. (2022). Remedial Approach to Cultural Globalization and Intercultural Competence (pp. 193–207). Springer, Cham: Essays on Contemporary Issues in African Philosophy.
- Nell, W., & Rothmann, S. (2018). Hope, religiosity, and subjective well-being. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*, 28(4), 253–260.
- Nkama, C. L. (2022). African Traditional Religious Practices as Social Regulatory Mechanism: The 'Ulo Ubu'Example in Amasiri. *Nigeria. Journal of Religion in Africa*, 51(3–4), 263–282.
- Norenzayan, A., & Heine, S. J. (2005). Psychological universals: What are they and how can we know? *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(5), 763.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2000). Women and human development: The capabilities approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oishi, S., & Diener, E. (2014). Residents of poor nations have a greater sense of meaning in life than residents of wealthy nations. *Psychological Science*, 25(2), 422–430.
- Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. (2017). Unhappy metropolis (when American city is too big). Cities, 61, 144-155.



- Omodei, M. M., & Wearing, A. J. (1990). Need satisfaction and involvement in personal projects: Toward an integrative model of subjective well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59(4), 762–769. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.59.4.762
- Oyserman, D., Coon, H. M., & Kemmelmeier, M. (2002). Rethinking individualism and collectivism: Evaluation of theoretical assumptions and meta-analyses. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(1), 3–72. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.128.1.3
- Prati, G., & Mancini, A. D. (2021). The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdowns: A review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies and natural experiments. *Psychological Medicine*, *51*(2), 201–211. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721000015
- Reyntjens, F. (2016). (Re-) imagining a reluctant post-genocide society: The Rwandan Patriotic Front's ideology and practice. *Journal of Genocide Research*, 18(1), 61–81.
- Riddle, A. Y., Li, W., Bhutta, Z. A., Vlassoff, C., Taljaard, M., Kristjansson, E., Welch, V., & Wells, G. A. (2023). Associations between dimensions of empowerment and nutritional status among married adolescent girls in East Africa: A structural equation modelling study. BMC Public Health, 23(1), 225.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 141–166. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141
- Schwarz, N., & Sudman, S. (Eds.). (1994). Autobiographical memory and the validity of retrospective reports. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sen, A. (1999). Development as Freedom. Oxford University Press.
- Shiba, K., Cowden, R. G., Gonzalez, N., Lee, M. T., Lomas, T., Lai, A. Y., & VanderWeele, T. J. (2022). Global trends of mean and inequality in multidimensional wellbeing: Analysis of 1.2 million individuals from 162 countries, 2009–2019. Frontiers in Public Health, 10, 824960. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.824960
- Shin, D. C. (1980). Does rapid economic growth improve the human lot? Some empirical evidence. Social Indicators Research, 8, 199–221.
- Stevenson, B., & Wolfers, J. (2008). Economic growth and subjective well-being: Reassessing the Easterlin paradox (No. w14282). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Swartz, L., Kilian, S., Twesigye, J., Attah, D., & Chiliza, B. (2014). Language, culture, and task shifting–an emerging challenge for global mental health. *Global Health Action*, 7(1), 23433.
- Tajfel, H., Turner, J. C., Austin, W. G., & Worchel, S. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. *Organizational Identity: A Reader*, 56(65), 9780203505984–16.
- Tejani, S. (2007). Music, Mosques and custom: Local conflict and 'communalism'in a Maharashtrian Weaving Town, 1893–1894. South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies, 30(2), 223–240.
- Transparency International. (2019). Corruption Perceptions Index 2019. https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2019
- Ukagwu, E. (2022). Hospitality in Indigenous Nigerian Cultures. *Humanistic Perspectives in Hospitality and Tourism, Volume II: CSR and Person-Centred Care* (pp. 41–57). Springer International Publishing.
- UNESCO. (2014). Teaching and learning: Achieving quality for all. *Global Monitoring Report*. https://en.unesco.org/gem-report/report/2014/teaching-and-learning-achieving-quality-all
- United Nations Development Programme. (2020). Human Development Reports. http://hdr.undp.org/en/
- Ura, K., Alkire, S., Zangmo, T., & Wangdi, K. (2012). A short guide to gross national happiness index. The Centre for Bhutan Studies.
- VanderWeele, T. J., Case, B. W., Chen, Y., Cowden, R. G., Johnson, B., Lee, M. T., Lomas, T., & Long, K. G. (2023). Flourishing in critical dialogue. SSM Mental Health, 3, 100172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmmh.2022.100172
- Veenhoven, R. (2000). The Four Qualities of Life. Journal of Happiness Studies, 1, 1-39.
- Verweijen, J. (2016). Stable instability: Political settlements and armed groups in the Congo. Rift Valley Institute.
- Voukelatou, V., Gabrielli, L., Miliou, I., Cresci, S., Sharma, R., Tesconi, M., & Pappalardo, L. (2021). Measuring objective and subjective well-being: Dimensions and data sources. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 11, 279–309.
- Wilson Fadiji, A., de la Rosa, P. A., Counted, V., De Kock, J. H., Bronkhorst, W. L. R., Joynt, S., Tesfai, A., Nyamaruze, P., Govender, K., & Cowden, R. G. (2023). Flourishing during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study in South Africa. *Psychological Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294123 1161753
- World Bank. (2012). Devolution without disruption: pathways to a successful new Kenya. World Bank.



**51** Page 32 of 32 V. Counted et al.

World Health Organization. (2017). Depression and other common mental disorders: global health estimates. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/254610. License: CC BYNC-SA 3.0 IGO

- World Health Organization. (2020). World Health Statistics 2020: Monitoring Health for the SDGs. https://www.who.int/data/gho/publications/world-health-statistics
- Worthington, E. L., Jr., & Cowden, R. G. (2017). The psychology of forgiveness and its importance in South Africa. South African Journal of Psychology, 47(3), 292–304. https://doi.org/10.1177/0081246316 685074
- Zinkina, J., Ustiuzhanin, V., & Shulgin, S. (2022). Social macroevolution and changes in the human value systems how has modernization affected human values? *Social Evolution and History*, 21(2), 91–105.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

