

# **Improved stretch factor of Delaunay triangulations of points in convex position**

**Xuehou Tan[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9828-9927) · Charatsanyakul Sakthip<sup>1</sup> · Bo Jiang2 · Shimao Liu<sup>1</sup>**

Accepted: 27 September 2022 / Published online: 15 November 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2022

## **Abstract**

Let *S* be a set of *n* points in the plane, and let  $DT(S)$  be the planar graph of the Delaunay triangulation of *S*. For a pair of points  $a, b \in S$ , denote by  $|ab|$  the Euclidean distance between *a* and *b*. Denote by  $DT(a, b)$  the shortest path in  $DT(S)$  between *a* and *b*, and let  $|DT(a, b)|$  be the total length of  $DT(a, b)$ . Dobkin et al. were the first to show that *DT* (*S*) can be used to approximate the complete graph of *S* in the sense that the stretch factor  $\frac{|DT(a,b)|}{|ab|}$  is upper bounded by  $((1+\sqrt{5})/2)\pi \approx 5.08$ . Recently, Xia improved this factor to 1.998. Amani et al. have also shown that if the points of *S* are in *convex position* (i.e., they form the vertices of a convex polygon), then a planar graph with these vertices can be constructed such that its stretch factor is 1.88. In this paper, we prove that if the points of *S* are in convex position, then the stretch factor of *DT* (*S*) is less than 1.84, improving upon the previously known factors of Delaunay triangulations or planar graphs in the convex case.

**Keywords** Computational geometry · Delaunay triangulations · Stretch factor · Convex polygons

# **1 Introduction**

Let *S* be a set of *n* points in the plane, and let  $G(S)$  be such a graph that each vertex corresponds to a point in *S* and the weight of an edge is the Euclidean distance

This is the updated version of a conference paper that was presented at COCOA 2019 (Tan et al[.](#page-11-0) [2019](#page-11-0)).

B Xuehou Tan xtan@tsc.u-tokai.ac.jp Bo Jiang newdlhot@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Science and Technology, Tokai University, 4-1-1, Kitakaname, Hiratsuka 259-1292, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Science and Technology, Dalian Maritime University, Linhai Road 1, Dalian 116026, China

between its two endpoints. For a pair of points *p*, *q* in the plane, denote by *pq* the line segment connecting *p* and *q*, and |*pq*| the Euclidean distance between *p* and *q*. Denote by  $G(a, b)$  the shortest path in  $G(S)$  between two points  $a, b \in S$ , and  $|G(a, b)|$  the total length of path  $G(a, b)$ . The graph  $G(S)$  is said to approximate the complete graph of *S* if  $\frac{|G(a,b)|}{|ab|}$ , called the *stretch factor* of *G*(*S*), is upper bounded by a constant, independent of *S* and *n*. It is then desirable to identify classes of graphs that approximate complete graphs well and have only  $O(n)$  edges, as these graphs have pote[n](#page-11-1)tial applications in geometric network design problems (Eppstein [2000](#page-11-1); Narasimhan and Smi[d](#page-11-2) [2007\)](#page-11-2).

Denote by  $DT(S)$  the planar graph of the Delaunay triangulation of  $S$  (de Berg et al[.](#page-11-3) [2008\)](#page-11-3)[.](#page-11-4) Dobkin et al. [\(1990](#page-11-4)) were the first to give a stretch factor  $((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)\pi \approx$ 5.08) of Delaunay triangulations to complete graphs. Later, Keil and Gutwi[n](#page-11-5) [\(1992\)](#page-11-5) improved it to  $2\pi/(3\cos(\pi/6)) \approx 2.42$  $2\pi/(3\cos(\pi/6)) \approx 2.42$  $2\pi/(3\cos(\pi/6)) \approx 2.42$ , and Cui et al. [\(2011](#page-11-6)) showed that the stretch factor of *DT* (*S*) for a set of points in convex position is 2.33. A set of points is said to be in *convex position*, if all points form the vertices of a convex polygon. Currently, the best result is due to Xi[a](#page-11-7) [\(2013](#page-11-7)), who proved that the stretch factor of *DT* (*S*) is 1.998. Determining the best possible stretch factor of Delaunay triangulations has been a long-standing open problem in computational geometry (Bose and Smi[d](#page-11-8) [2013\)](#page-11-8). On the other hand, Xia and Zhan[g](#page-11-9) [\(2011\)](#page-11-9) gave a lower bound 1.5932 on the stretch factor of  $DT(S)$ .

Amani et al[.](#page-10-0) [\(2016\)](#page-10-0) have also constructed a planar graph, whose vertices are in convex position, such that its stretch factor is 1.88. Notice that the planar graph studied by Amani et al. is *not* the Delaunay triangulation of the given point set. The lower bound on the stretch factor of planar graphs in the convex case is 1.41611 (Bose and Smi[d](#page-11-8) [2013](#page-11-8)).

In this paper, we prove that the stretch factor of *DT* (*S*) for a set of points in convex position is 1.84. This improves upon the previously known factor 1.998 for Delaunay triangulations of points in convex position (clearly, the result of Xi[a](#page-11-7) [2013](#page-11-7) works for a set of points in convex position). It also gives an improvement upon the stretch factor 1.88 for planar graphs with vertices in convex position, as Delaunay triangluations are planar. Our result is obtained by investigating some geometric properties of *DT* (*S*) and showing that there exists a convex chain between *a* and *b* in *DT* (*S*) such that it is either contained in a semicircle of diameter *ab*, or enclosed by segment *ab* and a simple (convex) chain that consists of a circular arc and a few line segments. The total length of the simple chain is less than 1.84|*ab*|.

# **2 Preliminaries**

Assume that no four points of *S* are on the boundary of a circle in the plane, and no three points of *S* are on a line. The *Voronoi diagram* for *S*, denoted by *V or*(*S*), is a partition of the plane into regions, each containing exactly one point in *S*, such that for each point  $p \in S$ , every point within its corresponding region, denoted by  $V or (p)$ , is closer to *p* than to any other point of *S* (de Berg et al[.](#page-11-3) [2008\)](#page-11-3). The boundaries of these Voronoi regions form a planar graph. The *Delaunay triangulation* of *S*, denoted by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



*DT* (*S*), is the straight-line dual of the Voronoi diagram for *S*; that is, we connect a pair of points in *S* if and only if they share a Voronoi boundary.

For a pair of points  $a, b \in S$ , denote by  $DT(a, b)$  the shortest path in  $DT(S)$ between *a* and *b*, in the Euclidean metric, and  $|DT(a, b)|$  the total length of path *DT* (*a*, *b*). The *stretch factor* of *DT* (*S*) is then the maximum value  $\frac{|DT(a,b)|}{|ab|}$  among all point pairs (*a*, *b*).

Let us review an important idea of Dobkin et al.'s work (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990\)](#page-11-4). Denote by  $a = a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m = b$  the sequence of points of *S*, whose Voronoi regions intersect segment *ab*. See Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The path obtained in this way is called the *direct path from a to b* (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990](#page-11-4)).

For ease of presentation, denote by  $dp(a, b)$  the direct path from *a* to *b* in  $DT(S)$ . Path *dp*(*a*, *b*) is said to be *one-sided* if all points of the path are to the same side of the line through  $a$  and  $b$ , including the special case that  $dp(a, b)$  consists of a single edge *ab*. See Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) If  $dp(a, b)$  is one-sided, then it has length at most  $\pi |ab|/2$ .

**Lemma 1** *(Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990](#page-11-4))* If path  $dp(a, b)$  is one-sided, then it has length at most  $\pi|ab|/2.$ 

Let  $p_i$  be the intersection point of *ab* with the Voronoi edge between  $V or (a_{i-1})$ and  $V or (a_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . It follows from the definition of the Voronoi diagram that  $p_i$  is the center of a circle that passes through  $a_{i-1}$  and  $a_i$  but contains no points of *S* in its *interior*. See Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) All points of path *dp*(*a*, *b*) are thus contained in the circle of diameter *ab*.

A simple property of the one-sided path  $dp(a, b)$  is that all points  $p_i$  ( $1 \le i \le m$ ) are monotone on segment *ab* (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990](#page-11-4)). See also Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) A more general result than Lemma 1 is the following.

**Lemma 2** *(Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990\)](#page-11-4) Let*  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$  *be the circles all centered on a same line such that*  $U = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} C_i$  *is connected. The boundary of U has length at most* π|*ab*| *and is contained in the circle of diameter ab, where a and b are two extreme endpoints of U on the line.*[1](#page-2-1)

<span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>1</sup> From the proof (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990,](#page-11-4) Lemma 2), the boundary of *U* is also contained in the circle of diameter *ab*.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** All triangles of *DT* (*S*) are assumed to properly intersect *ab*

# **3 The main result**

Assume that the set *S* of given points is in convex position. For a point *p* in the plane, denote the coordinates of  $p$  by  $p.x$  and  $p.y$ , respectively. Assume that both  $a$  and  $b$ are on the *x*-axis, with *a*.*x* < *b*.*x*. Let *C* be the circle of diameter *ab*, and let *o* be the center of *C*. The *bisector* of two points *p* and *q*, denoted by  $B_{p,q}$ , is the perpendicular line through the middle point of segment *pq*.

Let  $CH(S)$  be the convex hull of points of *S*, i.e., the boundary of the smallest convex polygon containing all points of *S* (de Berg et al[.](#page-11-3) [2008](#page-11-3)). Denote by *S A*[*a*, *b*] (resp.  $SB[a, b]$ ) the polygonal chain of  $CH(S)$ , which is above (resp. below) the line through *a* and *b*. For a point  $p \in SA[a, b]$ , denote by  $SA[a, p]$  and  $SA[p, b]$  the chains of  $SA[a, b]$  from *a* to *p* and from *p* to *b*, respectively. Analogously,  $SB[a, q]$ (resp. *SB*[ $q$ ,  $b$ ]),  $q \in SB[a, b]$ , represents the polygonal chain of *SB*[ $a$ ,  $b$ ] from  $a$ to *q* (resp. from *q* to *b*). Also, denote by  $SA(a, b)$  and  $SB(a, b)$  the open chains of *S A*[*a*, *b*] and *SB*[*a*, *b*], respectively.

We say segment *ab properly* intersects a Delaunay triangle if it goes across the interior of the triangle (i.e., *ab* does not intersect only at a vertex of the triangle). If a Delaunay triangle does not properly intersect *ab*, then at least one of its vertices (and two edges incident to that vertex) can be deleted from *DT* (*S*), without affecting the value of  $\frac{|DT(a,b)|}{|ab|}$  (see Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). Then, the following observation can be made.

**Observation 1** *For any two points*  $a, b \in S$ *, one can assume that ab properly intersects all triangles of DT*(*S*)*, in evaluating the value of*  $\frac{|DT(a,b)|}{|ab|}$ .

Assume below that *ab* properly intersects all triangles of *DT* (*S*), see the right of Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) We will show that  $\min\{\frac{|S\bar{A}[a,b]|}{|ab|}, \frac{|S\bar{B}[a,b]|}{|ab|}\} \le 1.84$ . The choice of  $SA[a, b]$  or *SB*[*a*, *b*] depends on whether path *dp*(*a*, *b*) intersects segment *ab* an odd number of times or not. The following results are obtained in this paper.

**Lemma 3** *Suppose that the first and last segments of path dp*(*a*, *b*) *are below and above the line through a and b, respectively. Then, there exists an angle* α *such that*  $(i)$   $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \le \sin(\alpha) + \pi \cos(\alpha)/2$ ,  $\pi/4 \le \alpha < \pi/2$ ,  $(ii)$   $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \le$  $\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(\cos(\alpha) + \alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi/4$ , (iii)  $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \leq \sin(\alpha) +$ 

 $\cos(\alpha)(\sin(\alpha) + \pi/2 - \alpha)$ ,  $\pi/6 \leq \alpha < \pi/4$ , or (iv)  $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \leq \sin(\alpha) +$  $\cos(\alpha)(2\sin(\alpha) + \pi/2 - 2\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi/6$ .

**Lemma 4** *Suppose that the first and last segments of path dp*(*a*, *b*) *are to the same side of the line through a and b. Then, there exists an angle*  $\beta$  *such that*  $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \le$  $β + cos(β)(3 sin(β) + π/2 – 3β)$ , 0 < β < π/6*.* 

The main result of this paper can then be summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that the set S of given points is in convex position, and a and b are two points of S. In the Delaunay triangulation of S, there is a path from a to b such that its length is less than* 1.84|*ab*|*.*

*Proof* Suppose that path  $dp(a, b)$  is not one-sided; otherwise,  $|DT(a, b)| \leq \pi |ab|/2$ . Let  $f_1(\alpha) = \sin(\alpha) + \pi \cos(\alpha)/2$ ,  $\alpha \in [\pi/4, \pi/2)$ ,  $f_2(\alpha) = \sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(\cos(\alpha) + \pi/2)$  $\alpha$ ),  $\alpha \in (0, \pi/4)$ ,  $f_3(\alpha) = \sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(\sin(\alpha) + \pi/2 - \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in [\pi/6, \pi/4)$ , *f*<sub>4</sub>(α) = sin(α) + cos(α)(2 sin(α) +  $\pi/2$  – 2α),  $\alpha \in (0, \pi/6)$ , and  $f_5(\beta)$  =  $\beta + \cos(\beta)(3\sin(\beta) + \pi/2 - 3\beta), \beta \in (0, \pi/6)$ . It follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that  $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \le \max{\lbrace \pi/2, f_1(\alpha), f_2(\alpha), f_3(\alpha), f_4(\alpha), f_5(\beta) \rbrace}$ , for all possible values  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Figure [3](#page-5-0) shows five functions, which are produced using *gnuplot*. (By definition,  $f_4$  is very close to  $f_5$ .) For each  $1 \le i \le 5$ , we can obtain  $f_i < 1.84$ by considering the variable's value(s) satisfying  $f_i' = 0$  and two extreme values of variable α or β. Actually, *f*3(π/6) gives the maximum value among all considered functions (see also Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0).  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**4 Proof of Lemma 3**

Assume that neither  $SA[a, b]$  nor  $SB[a, b]$  is completely contained in the circle C of diameter *ab*; otherwise,  $|DT(a, b)| \le \pi |ab|/2$ . Denote by *ac* and *bd* the first and last segments of path  $dp(a, b)$ , as viewed from *a*, respectively. Then, both *ac* and *bd* are contained in *C* (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990](#page-11-4)). See Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) Extend segments *ac* and *bd* until they touch the boundary of *C*, say, at points  $c'$  and  $d'$  respectively. Since  $\angle bc'a = \angle ad'b = \pi/2$ , either  $\angle c'ad'$  or  $\angle d'bc'$  is at least  $\pi/2$ . In the following, assume that  $\angle d'bc' \ge \pi/2$ , or equivalently,  $\angle dbc' \ge \pi/2$ .

Let *i* be the intersection point of *C* with  $B_{b,d}$ , which is vertically below *ac*. Since *B*<sub>*b*</sub>,*d* is perpendicular to *bd*, and since ∠*bc*<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> =  $\pi/2$  and ∠*dbc*<sup> $\prime$ </sup> ≥  $\pi/2$ , *B*<sub>*b*,*d*</sub> properly intersects *ac'*. Hence,  $i \neq c'$ , and point *i* is outside of  $CH(S)$ .

Denote by *H* the semicircle of diameter *bi*, which is vertically below *bi* (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). We show below that  $SB[a, b]$  is contained in the region bounded by  $ab$ ,  $ai$  and  $H$ , and then give a method to bound the total length of *SB*[*a*, *b*].

#### **4.1** *SB***[***a, <sup>b</sup>***] is contained in the region bounded by** *ab***,** *ai* **and** *<sup>H</sup>*

Let *e* be the first vertex of  $SB[a, b]$ , which is outside of *C*, as viewed from *a*. From the definition of *i*, point *e* is vertically below *bi*. Then, *V or*(*e*) is adjacent to



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Illustrating the proof of Theorem 1

some regions  $V or (q)$ ,  $q \in SA[a, b] \cap S$  (Observation 1). Let f be the first vertex of  $SA[a, b]$  such that  $Vor(e)$  and  $Vor(f)$  are adjacent. Denote by  $R$  the chain formed by the common edges of  $V or (p)$  and  $V or (q)$ ,  $p \in SB[e, b) \cap S$  and  $q \in SA[f, b) \cap S$ (see Fig.  $4$ ).

We claim that  $R$  is vertically above (or on)  $B_{b,d}$ . If f happens to be d, then  $R$  is vertically above  $B_{b,d}$  (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). Consider below the situation in which  $f$  differs from *d*. If *f* is adjacent to *d*, then from Observation 1, there is a point  $g \in SB[e, b) \cap S$ such that  $Vor(f)$ ,  $Vor(d)$  and  $Vor(g)$  share a Voronoi vertex, say, w. Clearly, w is the rightmost (resp. leftmost) vertex of  $Vor(f)$  (resp.  $Vor(d)$ ). Since the common edge between  $V or (f)$  and  $V or (g)$  is on  $B_{f,g}$ , from the definition and convexity of Voronoi regions,  $B_{f,g}$  properly intersects  $V or (d)$ . See the bottom of Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) Thus,  $B_{f,g}$ intersects  $B_{b,d}$  at a point that is to the right of w. Since  $Vor(f)$  is vertically above  $B_{f,g}$ , it is above  $B_{b,d}$ , too. Then,  $R$  is vertically above  $B_{b,d}$ . In the case that  $f$  is not adjacent to  $d$ , a similar argument on each pair of consecutive vertices of  $SA[f, b)$  can show that  $R$  is vertically above  $B_{b,d}$ . Our claim is thus proved.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



From the convexity of *S*, all regions of *V or*(*S*) are unbounded. From our claim, all the regions  $V or (p)$ ,  $p \in SB[e, b] \cap S$ , then intersect  $B_{b,d}$ . Since *bi* is vertically below  $B_{b,d}$ , it intersects regions  $V or (p)$ ,  $p \in SB[e, b] \cap S$ , too.

Let  $u, v \in S$  be the points immediately before and after *e* in  $SB[a, b]$ , respectively. (Note that *u* and *v* may be identical to *c* and *b*, respectively.) Since  $v \in SB[e, b]$ , segment *bi* then intersects the edge between  $Vor(e)$  and  $Vor(v)$  at a point, say,  $o'$ . See Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) Let *D* be the circle of radius  $|o'e|$ , centered at point  $o'$ .

We now show that  $i$  is on or outside of  $D$ . Since  $o'$  is an interior point of the edge between  $V$ *or*(*e*) and  $V$ *or*(*v*), except for *e* and *v*, all other vertices of *S* are outside of *D* (de Berg et al[.](#page-11-3) [2008\)](#page-11-3). Thus, the radius of *D* is smaller than that of *C*. Since  $o'$  and  $e$ are inside and outside of *C* respectively, *C* and *D* intersect. Denote by *l* and *r* the left and right intersection points between *C* and *D*, respectively. See Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) The circular sector of *C* bounded by *ol*, *or* and the arc  $\widehat{lr}$  of *C*, which is to right of the line through *a* and *l*, then contains point *o* . So, *o* is to the right of the line through *a* and *l*.

Consider the tangent from *a* downward to *D*. Denote by *t* the found tangent point, see Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) Since *l* is the left common point of *C* and *D*, point *t* is to the left of the line through *a* and *l*, and lies in the interior of *C*. Then, point *t* is on or vertically below *bi*; otherwise,  $\angle a$ *to'* >  $\angle a$ *io'* =  $\pi/2$ , a contradiction. Hence, *at* intersects *bi*, and *i* is on or outside of *D*. The intersection point of  $B_{i,e}$  with *bi* is thus to the left of or identical to *o* .

Lemma 2 can then be applied to the collection of the circles, which are centered on *bi* and pass through the point pair (*i*, *e*) and all pairs of consecutive vertices of

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



*SB*[*e*, *b*]. Therefore, all points of *SB*[*e*, *b*] are contained in *H*. Since any point of  $SB[a, b]$  is to the right of (or on) the line through *a* and *i*, chain  $SB[a, b]$  is then contained in the region bounded by *ab*, *ai* and *H*. See Fig. [4.](#page-6-0)

#### **4.2 Bounding the total length of** *SB***[***ab***]**

Let  $\alpha = \angle abi$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ . Denote by k the intersection point of *H* with the horizontal line through *i*. Then, ∠*bki* =  $\pi/2$  and ∠*bik* =  $\alpha$ . We distinguish the following situations.

*Case 1.*  $\pi/4 \le \alpha < \pi/2$ . In this case,  $|ai| = \sin(\alpha)|ab|$  and  $|bi| = \cos(\alpha)|ab|$ . See Fig. [5.](#page-7-0) A simple argument (as in Hershberger and Sur[i](#page-11-10) [1998](#page-11-10)) then shows that the length of  $SB[a, b]$  is less than  $(\sin(\alpha) + \pi \cos(\alpha)/2)|ab|$ . Thus, we have (i)  $|DT(a, b)| \leq |SB[a, b]| \leq (\sin(\alpha) + \pi \cos(\alpha)/2)|ab|.$ 

*Case 2.*  $0 < \alpha < \pi/4$ . We further distinguish two different situations.

*Case 2.1. The whole chain SB*[*a*, *b*] *is vertically above the line through i and k*. In this case, *SB*[*a*, *b*] is contained in the convex region bounded by *ba*, *ai*, *ik* and the arc  $\hat{k}b$  of *H*. Since  $|ik| = \cos^2(\alpha)|ab|$  and  $|\hat{k}b| = \alpha \cos(\alpha)|ab|$ , we have (ii)  $|DT(a, b)| \leq |SB[a, b]| \leq (\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(\cos(\alpha) + \alpha))|ab|.$ 

*Case 2.2. A portion of SB*[*a*, *b*] *is vertically below the line through i and k*. To bound the total length of  $SB[a, b]$ , we draw a tangent from point *i* to the portion of  $SB[a, b]$  contained in *H*. The tangent intersects *H* at a point, say,  $n \neq i$ ). Since *n* is vertically below *ik*, segment *bn* intersects *C* at a point, say,  $m \ (\neq b)$ . See the left of Fig. [6.](#page-8-0)

Let  $\gamma = \angle ibm$ . Our second claim is that  $\gamma > \alpha$ . Since *n* and *m* are on *H* and *C* respectively,  $\angle bni = \angle bma = \pi/2$ . Two segments *am* and *in* are thus parallel. From the definition of point  $c'$ , segment *in* intersects  $ac'$ , and it thus intersects  $C$  at a point



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** In the case  $\alpha < \pi/6$ , two shortcuts can be introduced in *H* 

 $s \neq i$ ). See the left of Fig. [6.](#page-8-0) Hence, two circular arcs *ai* and  $\widehat{ms}$  of *C* are of the same length. Therefore,  $\angle$ *sbm* =  $\alpha$ , and  $\gamma > \alpha$ .

Let *p* be the point on *H* such that ∠*ibp* =  $\alpha$ , see Fig. [6.](#page-8-0) Since  $\gamma > \alpha$ , segment *ip* does not intersect *SB*[ $a$ ,  $b$ ]. If  $\pi/6 \le \alpha < \pi/4$ , then *ip* can be used to cut off its corresponding arc of *H*. Since  $|ip| = cos(\alpha) sin(\alpha)|ab|$ , we have (iii)  $|DT(a, b)| \le$  $|SB[ab]| \leq (\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(\sin(\alpha) + \pi/2 - \alpha))|ab|.$ 

Finally, consider the situation in which  $\alpha < \pi/6$ . Denote by *n'* the intersection point of *H* with the tangent from *p* rightward to  $SB[a, b]$ . If *n'* is vertically above *ik*, then  $\angle pbn' > \pi/2 - 2\alpha > \pi/6 > \alpha$ . Thus, we can draw another chord pn' of at least length  $cos(\alpha) sin(\alpha)|ab|$  to cut off its corresponding arc of *H*. Suppose now that point  $n'$  is vertically below *ik*, see the right of Fig. [6.](#page-8-0) Since  $n'$  is vertically below *ik*, segment *bn*<sup> $\prime$ </sup> intersects *C* at a point  $m' \ (\neq b)$ , and segment *in*<sup> $\prime$ </sup> intersects *C* at a point  $s' \neq i$ ). See the right of Fig. [6.](#page-8-0) As discussed above, we also have  $\angle pbn' > \alpha$ . Again, the chord *pn'* of at least length  $cos(\alpha) sin(\alpha) |ab|$  can be introduced to cut off its corresponding arc of *H*. In summary, we have (iv)  $|DT(a, b)| \leq |SB[ab]| \leq$  $(\sin(\alpha) + \cos(\alpha)(2\sin(\alpha) + \pi/2 - 2\alpha))|ab|.$ 

The above analysis handles all possible situations. Our proof is thus complete.

## **5 Proof of Lemma 4**

From the lemma assumption, path *dp*(*a*, *b*) intersects segment *ab* an even number of times. Assume without loss of generality that the first and last segments of path  $dp(a, b)$  are vertically above *ab*. Assume also that  $SA[a, b]$  is not wholly contained in *C*; otherwise,  $|DT(a, b)|/|ab| \leq \pi/2$ .

Let *c* be the first point of path  $dp(a, b)$  such that *c* and its next point on  $dp(a, b)$ are above and below *ab*, respectively. Also, let *d* be the last point of *dp*(*a*, *b*) such that *d* and its previous point on  $dp(a, b)$  are above and below *ab*, respectively. See Fig. [7.](#page-9-0)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



Then, *c* and *d* are contained in *C* (Dobkin et al[.](#page-11-4) [1990](#page-11-4)). Note that *S A*(*c*, *d*) consists of at least two vertices; otherwise, since both *V or*(*c*) and *V or*(*d*) intersect *ab*, the only vertex of  $SA(c, d)$ , *c* and *d* form a Delaunay triangle, contradicting the assumption made in Observation 1.

Without loss of generality, assume that  $uv$  is an edge of  $SA[c, d]$  such that  $u$  and v are outside of and inside C respectively, the slope of  $B_{u,v}$  is positive and  $SA[v, b]$ is contained in C. See Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) (The other possible situation in which  $u'v'$  is an edge of  $SA[c, d]$  such that  $u'$  and  $v'$  are inside and outside of  $C$  respectively, the slope of  $B_{u',v'}$  is negative and  $SA[a, u']$  is contained in *C* can be dealt with analogously.)

Let*C H* be the convex hull of the Voronoi vertices whose *y*-coordinates are positive. (Recall that *a* and *b* are on the *x*-axis.) Consider the tangent from *a* to *C H*, which is vertically above *C H*. See Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) Denote by *i* the intersection point of the tangent with *C*.

We first claim that segment *u*v intersects *ai*, or it is vertically above the line through *a* and *i*. Assume that our claim is not true. So, *u*v and *ai* do not intersect, and both *u* and v are vertically below the line through *a* and *i*. Since the slope of  $B_{u,v}$  is positive and  $v$  is contained in  $C$ , the line through  $u$  and  $v$  intersects  $bi$  and  $ab$  as well, contradicting the convexity of *S*. The claim is proved. From the convexity of *S* and the definition of  $uv$ , our claim also implies that  $u \cdot y > i \cdot y$ .

From the above claim and the definition of point v, segment *ai* properly intersects *S A*[*a*, *b*]. Hence, *i* is outside of *C H*(*S*), see Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) If *ai* intersects *u*v, then it intersects the edge between  $V or (u)$  and  $V or (v)$ . Otherwise, *uv* is vertically above the line through *a* and *i*. As v lies in *C*, from the convexity of *S*, segment *u*v is to the left of the line through *b* and *i*. Since the Voronoi vertex on  $B_{u,v}$  is contained in *CH* and *ai* is vertically above  $CH$ , segment *ai* thus intersects the edge between  $V or (u)$  and  $V$ *or*(v), too. From the definition of *uv*, we can conclude that *ai* intersects regions *Vor*(*p*), for all points  $p \in SA[a, v] \cap S$ .

Denote by *H* the semicircle of diameter *ai*, which is vertically above *ai*. As shown in Sect. [4,](#page-4-0) *S A*[*a*, *u*] (or *S A*[*a*, v] if v is vertically above *ai*) is completely contained in *H*. See Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) From the assumption that *S A*[v, *b*] is contained in *C*, chain *S A*[*a*, *b*] is contained in the region bounded by  $H$ ,  $ab$  and the arc  $b\hat{i}$  of  $C$ .

Let  $\beta = \angle bai$ . Denote by *j* and *k* the intersection points of *C* and *H* with the horizontal line through point *i*, respectively. See Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) Draw a tangent from *i* to the portion of *S A*[*a*, *b*], which is vertically above *ai*. Denote by *n* the intersection point of the tangent with *H*, see Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) Let *m* be the intersection point of *C* with *an*. As in the proof of Lemma 3, we can show that  $\angle i$ *am* >  $\beta$ . Since  $u, y > i, y$ , we have  $m, y > i, y$ (= *j*.*y*). Hence, ∠*bai* + ∠*iam* + ∠*abj* < π/2. Since ∠*abj* = β and ∠*iam* > β, we obtain  $\beta < \pi/6$ .

As in the proof of Lemma 3, a chain of two segments of length  $cos(\beta) sin(\beta)|ab|$ , starting from point *i*, can then be introduced in *H*. Moreover, since ∠*bak* =  $\pi/2$ , segment *ak* is tangent to *C* and does not intersect  $SA[a, b]$ . Since  $\beta < \pi/6$ , the third cut *ak* of length  $cos(\beta) sin(\beta)|ab|$  can be further introduced in *H*. By noticing that  $|\hat{bi}| = \beta |ab|$ , we obtain  $|DT(a, b)| \leq (\beta + \cos(\beta)(3\sin(\beta) + \pi/2 - 3\beta))|ab|$ .

### **6 Concluding remarks**

We have shown that the stretch factor of the Delaunay triangulation of a set of points in convex position is less than 1.84. The same stretch factor might hold for the set of points in general position, too. A new difficulty is that the considered path between *a* and *b* is generally not convex, which needs be examined more. Also, it is a challenging open problem to reduce the stretch factor of *DT* (*S*) further, even for a set of points in convex position, so as to close the gap to its lower bound (roughly about 1.60).

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for improvements. The work by Tan was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 20K11683.

**Funding** This research was funded by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K11683.

**Data availibility statement** Enquiries about data availability should be directed to the authors.

## **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declear that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# **References**

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Amani M, Biniaz A, Bose P, De Carufel J-L, Maheshwair A, Smid M (2016) A plane 1.88-spanner for points in convex position. J Comput Geom 7:520–539

- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Bose P, Smid M (2013) On plane geometric spanners: a survey and open problems. Comput Geom 46:818– 830
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>de Berg M, van Kreveld M, Overmars M, Schwarzkopf O (2008) Computational geometry: algorithms and applications. Springer, Berlin
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Cui S, Kanji IA, Xia G (2011) On the stretch factor of Delaunay triangulations of points in convex position. Comput Geom 44:104–109
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Dobkin DP, Friedman ST, Supowit KJ (1990) Delaunay graphs are almost as good as complete graphs. Discret Comput Geom 5:399–407
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Eppstein D (2000) Spanning trees and spanners. In: Sack J-R, Urrutia J (eds) Handbook of computational geometry. North-Hollard Press, Amsterdam, pp 425–462
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Hershberger J, Suri S (1998) Practical methods for approximating shortest paths on a convex polytope in *R*3. Comput Geom 10:31–46
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Keil JM, Gutwin CA (1992) The Delaunay triangulation closely approximates the complete graph. Discret Comput Geom 7:13–28

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Narasimhan G, Smid M (2007) Geometric spanner networks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Tan X, Sakthip C, Jiang B (2019) Improved stretch factor of Delaunay triangulations of points in convex position. In: Proceedings ofCOCOA. Lecturer notes in computer science, vol 11949, pp 473–484
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Xia G (2013) The stretch factor of the Delaunay triangulation is less than 1.998. SIAM J Comput 42:1620– 1659
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Xia G, Zhang L (2011) Towards the tight bound of the stretch factor of Delaunay triangulations. In: Proceedings of CCCG (2011), pp 175–180

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.