TISSUE ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTS AND CELL SUBSTRATES

Review Article



Regenerative medicine for skeletal muscle loss: a review of current tissue engineering approaches

Benjamin Langridge ^{1,2,3} · Michelle Griffin^{1,2,3} · Peter E. Butler^{1,2,3}

Received: 27 June 2019 / Accepted: 18 December 2020 / Published online: 21 January 2021 \circledcirc The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Skeletal muscle is capable of regeneration following minor damage, more significant volumetric muscle loss (VML) however results in permanent functional impairment. Current multimodal treatment methodologies yield variable functional recovery, with reconstructive surgical approaches restricted by limited donor tissue and significant donor morbidity. Tissue-engineered skeletal muscle constructs promise the potential to revolutionise the treatment of VML through the regeneration of functional skeletal muscle. Herein, we review the current status of tissue engineering approaches to VML; firstly the design of biocompatible tissue scaffolds, including recent developments with electroconductive materials. Secondly, we review the progenitor cell populations used to seed scaffolds and their relative merits. Thirdly we review in vitro methods of scaffold functional maturation including the use of three-dimensional bioprinting and bioreactors. Finally, we discuss the technical, regulatory and ethical barriers to clinical translation of this technology. Despite significant advances in areas, such as electroactive scaffolds and three-dimensional bioprinting, along with several promising in vivo studies, there remain multiple technical hurdles before translation into clinically impactful therapies can be achieved. Novel strategies for graft vascularisation, and in vitro functional maturation will be of particular importance in order to develop tissue-engineered constructs capable of significant clinical impact.

1 Introduction

Skeletal muscle has limited ability to regenerate after injury, with volumetric muscle loss (VML) resulting in tissue fibrosis, disfigurement and chronic disability [1, 2]. VML can occur after a wide range of insults including traumatic injury, ischaemia and tumour resection, however its incidence is not well documented [2, 3]. Civilian trauma data does not directly track VML injury rates, however, of the 150,000 open fractures that occur in the United States each year, the majority of these involve soft tissue loss and ~58% of severe open tibial fractures occur with significant muscle

damage [4, 5]. Military data from recent conflicts have highlighted the long-term morbidity resulting from such injuries, with VML accounting for 65% of disability following severe open tibial fractures, and a lifetime disability cost of between \$340,000 and \$440,000 per patient, independent of medical costs [1].

The current standard of care for VML includes free-flap transfer with muscle tissue to cover soft tissue deficits, with bracing and extensive rehabilitative physiotherapy [2]. Functional muscle transfer including vascular and neural innervation is rare due to the specialist expertise required. Despite these interventions, recovery from such injuries is invariably poor, with significant long-term disfigurement and disability being common [1, 6–8]. Furthermore, tissue transfer techniques have a host of disadvantages including donor site morbidity, limited availability of donor tissue, and the requirement for long-term immunosuppression in allo-transplantation [2].

The burden of morbidity due to recent military conflicts has highlighted the need for novel strategies in the treatment of VML. Tissue engineering approaches have the potential to revolutionise the field through the production of biomimetic skeletal muscle and the manipulation of endogenous

Benjamin Langridge b.langridge1@nhs.net

¹ Department of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

² Charles Wolfson Center for Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

³ Division of Surgery & Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK





regeneration mechanisms. Herein we review the current status of tissue engineered skeletal muscle and its translation into clinically useful therapeutic strategies for VML.

2 Pathophysiology of volumetric muscle loss

Skeletal muscle is a highly anisotropic structure with the extracellular matrix (ECM) being critical for the both its development in utero and its physiological function in vivo (Fig. 1).

Skeletal muscle commonly incurs injury from everyday activity, yet has a remarkable ability to regenerate through a cycle of inflammation, followed by the myoblastic differentiation of resident satellite cells [9, 10]. In VML however, frank loss of muscle tissue disrupts the tissue architecture beyond that which endogenous mechanisms are capable of repairing, instead resulting in a pathological response characterised by pronounced inflammation, tissue fibrosis, and a chronic loss of muscle and limb function [11, 12].

Clinical evidence from patients with VML is predominantly limited to case reports, providing a poor insight into the underlying pathological mechanisms. These clinical cases demonstrate that after limb salvage, fracture repair and extensive physical rehabilitation, a persistent loss of muscle bulk combined with tissue fibrosis and tethering results in functional deficits due to the reduced torque production, along with the restricted active and passive range of movement [8, 11]. Analysis of the relationship between strength deficits and muscle loss has suggested a non-linear relationship in VML, however, the precise contribution of other pathological mechanisms, such as tissue fibrosis, are yet to be understood [12].

In vivo studies have demonstrated that this failure of muscle regeneration occurs in a pathological environment of persistent inflammation and extensive tissue fibrosis [13].



Fig. 2 Histological sample from a porcine model of VML by Greising et al. [13]. VML injury was created through surgical excision of porcine peroneus tertius muscle, with histological samples taken at 12 weeks after injury. Significant fibrosis infiltrating into native muscle is seen. Masson's Trichrome stained sample (Connective tissue is blue; nuclei are purple; skeletal muscle fibres are red). Reproduced under Creative Commons License

Tissue fibrosis continues to take place for weeks after the initial injury, with invasion into the adjacent uninjured muscle, tethering to skin and fascia, and persistent functional deficit (Fig. 2) [13]. However, comparatively little is known about the molecular mechanisms involved in VML. Studies have demonstrated the persistent activation of acute inflammatory pathways, such as the complement system, along with Wnt and transforming growth factors (TGF-Beta) signalling, with a subsequent attenuation in satellite cell proliferation, and the increased deposition of collagen by fibroblasts [14–17].

Whilst it is apparent that central to the pathogenesis of VML is this persistent imbalance between pro-fibrotic and regenerative pathways, the molecular and cellular orchestration of this process remains poorly understood. In vivo studies have provided some answers, however direct evidence from human studies remains limited. For tissueengineered therapies to be successful, a clearer understanding of the mechanisms driving this pro-fibrotic microenvironment will be necessary.

3 Scaffold use for skeletal muscle engineering

The loss of the highly organised skeletal muscle ECM following VML makes the retention of cell-based therapies and the development of functional tissue a challenge. Tissue scaffolds assist cell delivery by replicating the native ECM, then support the development of muscle tissue by providing structural support, cell adhesion molecules and the release of growth factors [18]. The use of tissue scaffolds has been demonstrated to support the survival and maturation of myoblasts both in vitro and in vivo [19].

The ideal scaffold recapitulates the native skeletal muscle microenvironment; anisotropic three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds provide a biomimetic microarchitecture, topographical cues, along with cellular adhesion molecules that are necessary for muscle progenitor cells to differentiate and organise into functional muscle tissue [20]. Scaffold biocompatability is a key requirement to avoid foreign body type reactions, with tissue fibrosis, scaffold encapsulation and resultant graft failure. The mechanisms of biocompatability are incompletely understood, however, experience with implanted medical devices and organ transplantation has demonstrated the importance of autologous organic materials or inert inorganic materials [21, 22]. Replicating the mechanical properties of the native ECM is also important, several studies have demonstrated that optimal myogenesis occurs on scaffolds with muscle like stiffness [23].

A diverse array of methods have been used in scaffold design, herein we group scaffolds by the method of production and describe their relative merits, with recent examples of their use in skeletal muscle scaffolds described in Table 1.

3.1 Decellularised scaffolds

Decellularised scaffolds are derived from xenogeneic, allogenic or autogenic skeletal muscle tissue [24–26]. Once deceullularisation has removed cellular material, the remaining ECM retains the native 3D microstructure, molecular composition and growth factors that support skeletal muscle regeneration [25]. Decellularization protocols vary between studies but commonly include the use of detergents and enzymes, such as DNase and Trypsin; the effectiveness of the decellularization protocol is central to minimising scaffold immunogenicity [27–31].

Decellularised scaffolds have the advantage of a readymade, tissue-specific ECM with the appropriate microarchitecture and molecular composition. Their ability to natively support myogenesis and angiogenesis is a significant advantage over synthetic scaffolds which require extensive development to gain similar characteristics [25]. However, decellularised scaffolds are dependent on the availability of appropriate donor tissues, carry a risk of contamination with pathogenic organisms, cause donor morbidity, and ideally need to be autologous to minimise the risk of immunogenicity [22, 32, 33].

3.2 Hydrogels

Hydrogels are a family of hydrophilic polymers with a highwater content consisting of either natural or synthetic materials. Natural hydrogels consist of materials, such as collagen, fibrin, chitosan and hyaluronic acid; they are biodegradable, but have limited mechanical strength and can provoke an immune response in vivo [34, 35]. Synthetic hydrogels, such as polyethylene glycol have superior mechanical properties that can be tailored more readily however, as they inherently lack biological molecules, they require modification to support cell adhesion, differentiation and viability.

The mechanical properties of hydrogels have been well characterised and they can be mixed to produce a composite hydrogel with superior properties for tissue engineering. Collagen type 1, for example, is ubiquitous in the ECM and has good mechanical properties for skeletal muscle tissue engineering, such as significant mechanical stretch before failure, and its interconnected fibres and small internal pore structure limit cellular migration whilst permitting diffusion of oxygen and nutrients [36–38].

Hydrogels are also highly suitable for the entrapment of cells and biomolecules, such as growth factors, that promote cellular survival, myogenic differentiation and angiogenesis within the hydrogel. Effective engineering of the hydrogel microenvironment can create an artificial niche ideal for skeletal muscle regeneration; techniques, such as photolithography can pattern hydrogels to create spatial variations within the scaffold capable of guiding cellular behaviour and the layered deposition of hydrogels with differing mechanical properties can be used to control tissue microarchitecture (Fig. 3) [39]. Control of the temporal dynamics of entrapped biomolecules within a hydrogel is useful for promoting sequential processes, such as cellular differentiation but is technically challenging; multiple approaches have been described, such as the use of double-layered nanospheres capable of sequentially releasing biomolecules in a programmed order [40].

3.3 Nanofibers

Nanofibrous scaffolds are defined as a mesh of nanoscale (0–100 nm) synthetic fibres, these can closely mimic the architecture of the native ECM. Nanofibers can be manufactured via several methods including thermal cycling and phase separation, or electrospinning. Electrospinning is widely used in skeletal muscle tissue engineering given the ability to produce anisotropic, geometrically aligned nanofibers capable of guiding the formation of aligned myofibers [41].

Table 1 Key scaff	old types and examples of their use in skeletal muscle constructs	S		
Author	Scaffold material	Cell type	In vivo/ in vitro	Outcomes
Decellularised tiss Ouarta et al. [110]	ue Murine tibialis anterior (TA) muscle	Satellite cells. endothelial cells.	In vivo	Non-mvoblastic cell types support satellite cell
		hematopoietic cells, fibroblasts and fibro-adipogenic progenitors		survival. Perfusion of tissue constructs in vitro improves satellite cell survival. Tissue constructs combined with exercise increased in vivo muscle mass, force generation and murine gait.
Alvarez Fallas et al. [25]	Murine diaphragm muscle	N/A	In vivo	Decellularised scaffold promoted greater neovascularisation and provoked a more limited foreign body reaction than unmodified synthetic PTFE scaffold.
Shapiro et al. [24]	Rabbit skeletal muscle conjugated with IGF-1	Murine C2C12	In vitro	IGF-1 increased C2C12 infiltration into decellularized scaffolds and supported C2C12 proliferation on scaffold.
Hydrogel				
Kim et al. [117]	Fibrinogen, gelatin, hyaluronic acid and glycerol cellularised hydrogel. Glycerol hydrogel sacrificial microchannels. Poly(e- caprolactone) (PCL) supporting pillar	Human muscle progenitor cell isolate	In vivo	Tissue construct treated VML rats recovered to >80% muscle force generation by week 8. 3D printed constructs regenerated more muscle mass, greater force generation and superior muscle histology than non-printed constructs
Prüller et al. [80]	Collagen I, Fibrin and PEG-Fibrinogen hydrogels	Murine C2C12 myoblasts Immortalised human myoblasts Murine satellite cells	In vitro	Satellite cells transplanted with their cellular niche had superior proliferation and terminal differentiation than those expanded in vitro. Myogenic differentiation occurred on all scaffolds but cell behaviour differed by scaffold material
Han et al. [81] Nanofiber	Poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogel embedded with Wnt7a	Murine satellite cells in vivo Murine C2C12 myoblasts in vitro	In vivo	Wnt7a promotes satellite cell migration into the scaffold and muscle fibre hypertrophy
Bloise et al. [82]	Electrospun poly(butylene 1,4-cyclohexandicarboxylate-co- triethylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate) (P(BCE-co-TECE))	Murine C2C12 myoblasts	In vivo	Addition of TECE improved C2C12 proliferation in vitro. The majority of cells populating the scaffold in vivo were inflammatory cell types.
Ribeiro et al. [83]	Electrospun poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)	Murine C2C12 myoblasts	In vitro	PVDF nanofibers demonstrated piezoelectric properties that promoted fusion and maturation of myoblasts and varied with polarity
Zahari et al. [130]	Electrospun poly(methyl methacrylate), coated with collagen or laminin	Mixed human fibroblasts and myoblasts	In vitro	Genipin increases nanofiber adsorption of collagen and laminin. Laminin coated scaffolds preferentially support myoblast proliferation and migration.

ī

I.

т

Table 1 (continued)

Similar to hydrogels, nanofibrous scaffolds can be utilising both natural materials, such as Collagen, or artificial polymers, such as Polycaprolactone (PCL), these can additionally be combined with hydrogels to leverage both materials' characteristics in a core-shell arrangement [42]. The combination of these two material types provides the topographical cues of nanofibers to guide myofiber differentiation and alignment, with the ability of hydrogels to provide a microenvironment conducive to prolonged cellular survival [42].

Nanoscale materials can be utilised to modify the properties of nanofiber scaffolds in unique ways to improve mechanical properties, wettability, cellular adhesion, cellular differentiation and electroconductivity [43–45]. Electroconductive scaffolds are presently of particular interest in skeletal muscle tissue engineering.

3.4 Electroconductive scaffolds

In vivo, skeletal muscle receives motor neuron innervation which, via the neuromuscular junction, causes cell membrane depolarisation and myofiber contraction. This electrochemical stimulation not only affects mature myofiber function, but is also necessary for normal myoblast differentiation during embryonic development [46]. In vitro, electrical stimulation of skeletal muscle tissue constructs improves myocyte functional maturation and contractility [47–49]. However, not all electrical stimulation is equal in utility, and the development of an optimal electrical stimulation protocol for myofiber development is ongoing [49–54].

Electroactive scaffolds have been developed through the incorporation of carbon nanotubes, graphene, metals and conductive nanopolymers to make novel nanocomposites. Carbon nanotubes are of interest as they are renowned for their remarkable strength, elasticity and electrical conductivity [55–57]. Ramón-Azcón et al. demonstrated the use of dielectrophoresis to produce a hydrogel containing anisotropic carbon nanotubes, thereby making the hydrogel's mechanical strength, electrical conductivity and anisotropy more suitable for skeletal muscle scaffolds [58]. Despite the interesting properties of carbon nanotubes however, concerns over their potential toxicity need to be resolved before they can be useful in human studies [59].

Like carbon nanotubes, graphene has excellent electrical conductivity and mechanical strength and has been used to make electroactive nanocomposite scaffolds. The incorporation of graphene and its derivatives, such as graphene oxide, with hydrogels to produce electroconductive composite scaffold has been demonstrated to improve myoblast proliferation, differentiation, organisation and functional maturation [43, 60, 61]. Whilst graphene appears not to be cytotoxic in vitro, its non-biodegradable nature presents a

Author	Scaffold material	Cell type	In vivo/ in vitro	Outcomes
Electroconductive Du et al. [43]	Poly (citric acid-octanediol-polyethylene glycol)(PCE)- graphene (PCEG) nanocomposite	Murine C2C12 myoblasts	In vivo	Addition of reduced graphene oxide (RGO) improver scaffold mechanical properties and electrical conductivity. Addition of RGO increased scaffold myofiber and capillary density in vivo
Zhang et al. [84]	SF/PASA: Silk fibroin with poly(aniline-co-N-(4-sulfophenyl) aniline)	Murine L929 fibroblast and C2C12 myoblasts	In vitro	Characterisation of scaffold electroconductivity and biodegradability. Increasing PASA content enhanced myogenic differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts
Ostrovidov et al. [69]	Gelatin-polyaniline (PANI) electrospun nanofibers	Murine C2C12 myoblastis	In vitro	The addition of PANI increased nanofiber electroconductivity by 10 ⁴ S/cm. Electrical stimulation of conductive nanofibers enhanced myoblast functional maturation



Fig. 3 3D bioprinting of a skeletal muscle construct with sacrificial gelatin hydrogel components to generate microchannels within the construct. These microchannels facilitate the diffusion of oxygen and

nutrients to cells at the centre of the construct. (Modified from Kim et al. 2018 [117] under Creative Commons License)

possible toxicity risk in vivo, thus further in vivo investigation is required to establish its safety and biocompatibility profile if it is to be deployed in human trials [62].

Nanocomposites incorporating metals, such as gold and silver are also of interest given their electrical conductivity and have been incorporated in nanoparticle and nanofiber forms to enhance hydrogel electrical conductivity [63]. Gold nanoparticles in particular have advantages over carbon nanotubes and graphene given their electroconductivity and mechanical strength, albeit with a well-established safety profile for use in humans [64].

Conductive nanopolymers are a distinct class of highly versatile polymers that can be incorporated into composite hydrogels or electrospun nanofibers and whose electroconductive and biodegradable properties can be tailored; over 25 different conductive polymers have been described [65]. Polyaniline has been combined with polymers, such as PCL and electrospun into nanofibers to create electroconductive, anisotropic scaffolds that enhance myoblast differentiation and functional maturation [66–69]. Similarly, polypyrrole and polythiophene derivative-based nanopolymers have also been used [70, 71]. Conductive nanopolymers have the additional benefit of being biodegradable and, in many cases, being biocompatible [65, 72, 73].

The development of an optimal scaffold capable of being used in the treatment of human VML is ongoing. However, significant advances have been made in refinement of the materials and processes and our understanding of how to combine them to in order to fine tune the properties of a composite scaffold. Scaffold design is only one part of the solution however; seeding a scaffold with myocyte progenitors in vitro and manipulating the scaffold microenvironment to drive its functional maturation are similarly important, these topics are discussed subsequently.

4 Progenitor cells populations for skeletal muscle tissue engineering

Regenerating skeletal muscle myocytes can be derived from endogenous myoblastic cell populations, such as satellite cells, or can be derived from tissue scaffolds prepopulated with myoblasts in vitro. Acellular scaffolds have been used however their utility appears limited, in some cases being completely reabsorbed without any appreciable skeletal muscle regeneration [13, 74]. Pre-population of scaffolds with myoblasts significantly enhances myocyte regeneration, this may in part be due to endogenous satellite cell depletion following VML [17, 26, 74, 75].

The ideal cell population for use in skeletal muscle constructs should be from an accessible source, have high proliferative potential in vitro in order to generate a clinically useful volume of muscle, whilst also retaining the ability to terminally differentiate efficiently into mature myofibers. These myoblasts can be autologous, allogenic or xenogenic however, for clinical use autologous cell sources are most useful due to their non-immunogenicity. Adult somatic cells are terminally differentiated and have restricted ability to undergo mitosis, thus limiting their usefulness in tissue engineering as it is difficult to generate a suitably large population in vitro. Progenitor cell groups have increasing ability to expand with increasing stem-ness and thus have been the primary focus of attention [76]. The primary cell populations used in skeletal muscle tissue engineering are summarised in Table 2.

Satellite cells are the native progenitors for skeletal muscle regeneration in vivo and thus are regularly used in skeletal muscle constructs [77]. In vivo, satellite cells respond to injury by upregulating myogenic transcription factors, such as MyoD and Myf5, thereby being induced into myoblasts which can fuse to form new myotubes, or alternatively fuse with existing damaged myofibers [9]. Satellite cells can be expanded up to 50 times in vitro however, they are challenging to isolate from human skeletal muscle and can lose stem cell potency once ex vivo; development of a medium that can help retain these characteristics would improve their utility [76, 78, 79].

Murine C2C12 are immortalised myoblasts derived from murine satellite cells and are widely used in vitro [24, 43, 69, 80–84]. They readily proliferate and differentiate under differing serum conditions and so are a useful

Cell Type	Origin	Advantages	Disadvantages
Satellite cells	Skeletal muscle	Native stem cell for muscle regeneration in vivo Efficient differentiation Widely used in skeletal muscle tissue engineering	Invasive collection method Low yield isolation processes Senescence causes reduced myogenic potential after expansion in culture
Murine C2C12 myoblasts	Immortalised murine myoblast cell line	Rapid proliferation Efficient differentiation Commercially available Widely used in skeletal muscle tissue engineering	Immunogenicity in vivo
iPSCs	All tissues	Flexible choice of donor tissue Unlimited self-renewal	Highly inefficient process of cellular reprogramming Risk of tumour formation
MSCs	Bone marrow Umbilical cord	High proliferative potential Bone MSC collection is high yield Umbilical MSC collection is non- invasive	Lower myogenic differentiation potential than satellite cells Bone MSC collection is painful and invasive Low availability of autogenic umbilical MSCs
Minced muscle grafts	Skeletal muscle	Simple collection method high yield	Invasive collection method mixed cell types

Table 2 Progenitor cell populations used in tissue-engineered skeletal muscle constructs

iPSCs induced pluripotent stem cells, MSCs mesenchymal stem cells

tool however, given that they are xenogenic, they are not appropriate for clinical translation. Furthermore, some studies have reported differences in the behaviour of these cells compared to human myoblast populations [85].

Human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are capable of unlimited self-renewal and have been used to derive myogenic progenitor cells, these have subsequently been differentiated into contractile myotubes and satellite-like cells [86, 87]. Rao et al. demonstrated that these myotubes can mature in 3D culture, with increasing force production during contraction, and are also able to integrate with existing muscle and vascularise when in vivo [88]. iPSCs may thus be a viable alternative to satellite cells however, protocols for their efficient terminal differentiation and minimising the risk of tumourigenesis require further research [89].

A diverse range of other cell types have also been utilised with variable success including stem cells derived from adipose tissue, bone marrow, umbilical cord mesenchyme, along with induced stem cells from tissues, such as dermal fibroblasts [90, 91]. Despite the plethora of cell types that could be used to derive myogenic cell lines, many are yet to be studied in detail in skeletal muscle tissue engineering.

Whilst murine progenitors have been important in research thus far, clinical translation of tissue-engineered skeletal muscle will require autologous cell populations to eliminate the risk of immunogenicity. Autologous iPSCs are theoretically excellent candidates for clinically deployable tissue constructs but require further investigation if reliable, efficient and safe myogenesis is to be achieved.

5 Scaffold maturation in vitro

The development of a skeletal muscle construct capable of regenerating VML is a challenging, multistage process and many studies utilise a period of in vitro tissue culture to promote myoblast proliferation and the functional maturation of their construct. Approaches have included the addition of growth factors, co-culture with supportive cell types, mechanical stretch and electrical stimulation.

Many growth factors have been used to enhance myogenesis including fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, prostaglandin E2 and insulin-like growth factor, whilst TGF- β 1 have been demonstrated to promote functional maturation of scaffolds by enhancing myocyte contractility (Table 3) [76, 92–96]. Furthermore, proangiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor have been used to improve the vascularisation of skeletal muscle constructs [97].

Co-culture of myoblasts with complimentary cell types has been demonstrated to promote myogenesis. Fibroblasts proliferate and co-localise with regenerating myofibers in vivo and, whilst fibroblasts are known to play an important role in ECM remodelling, Mackey et al. demonstrated through in vitro co-culture that human fibroblasts additionally promote myoblast differentiation and maturation in a contact dependent manner [98]. Differentiating neural cells have also been demonstrated to support myogenesis when co-cultured with myoblasts [99, 100]. Whilst the addition of cells, such as fibroblasts and neural cells may aid functionalisation of skeletal muscle constructs in vitro, it remains to be seen whether they are **Table 3** Growth factors utilisedin the development of skeletalmuscle tissue constructs

Growth factor	Effect in vitro
IGF-1	Promotes satellite cell proliferation and differentiation, increases construct force production [94]
FGF	Promotes satellite cell proliferation and differentiation [95]
HGF	Released on muscle injury; promotes satellite cell proliferation, inhibits differentiation [95, 131]
PGE2	Promotes myoblast proliferation [96]
TGF-β	Inhibits satellite cell differentiation, promotes fibroblast proliferation, increases construct contractility [93, 132]
VEGF	Increased tissue construct neovascularisation and myofiber regeneration in vivo [97]

IGF-1 insulin-like growth factor-1, *FGF* fibroblast growth factor, *HGF* hepatocyte growth factor, *TGF-* β 1 transforming growth factor beta

required for constructs used in vivo where endogenous populations of these cells are present.

As with electrical stimulation, developing muscle undergoes mechanical stretch in vivo; Vandenburg et al. demonstrated that mechanical tension in vitro promoted myofiber alignment along the axis of tension and stimulates contractile protein accumulation [101]. As with electrical stimulation, a multitude of stretch protocols have been utilised in the literature, often requiring custom-designed equipment to effectively apply them to tissue constructs, but the optimal protocol for preimplantation maturation remains to be established (Table 4) [51, 102–108].

The variety of factors that can influence skeletal muscle construct maturation in vitro, and the need to support metabolically active tissue during this incubation period has led to the development of bioreactors designed to maintain construct homoeostasis and monitor its functional maturation (Fig. 4) [109, 110]. Current bioreactors are rudimentary, however, in order to reach the goal of translating tissue engineering approaches to clinical practice, bioreactors that are reliable, scalable, sterile, and are capable of both monitoring and controlling the tissue construct microenvironment in real time are needed [111]. Some studies have demonstrated bioreactors capable of supporting tissue constructs and monitoring them in real time, however further development is required to ensure adequate control of the metabolic microenvironment [112].

5.1 Three-dimensional bioprinting

The successful translation of skeletal muscle constructs into clinical practice requires the macro-architecture of the construct to be designed around the tissue deficit of the patient. The combination of tissue engineering, imaging modalities and 3D printing technology provides the potential to personalise the macro-architecture of a tissue construct to the clinical need of a patient.

3D printing is a collection of approaches also known as 'additive manufacturing' and utilises computer aided design

software to design and then print structures through the sequential deposition of layers of material. The ability to 3D print biologically compatible 'inks' containing scaffolds, cells and other biomolecules has led to the concept of 3D bioprinting. 3D bioprinting has clear advantages in the manufacturing of personalised tissue constructs however it brings its own technical challenges. Extrusion based printers are the most commonly used, but extrusion exerts significant sheer forces which can damage cells contained in bioinks, and can compress scaffolds, reducing porosity and modifying mechanical properties. The broad range of 3D bioprinting methods, and the methods of translating patient

imaging, such as computed tomography into computer models for printing, are outside the scope of this paper but are extensively covered elsewhere [113].

5.2 The musculotendinous junction

The function of skeletal muscle in vivo is dependent upon efficient force transfer from contracting muscle to bone via its tendinous anchorage. Whilst the basic architecture of the musculotendinous junction has been described (Fig. 5), understanding of how this forms in vivo is limited [114]. Attempts to synthesise a skeletal muscle construct with a functioning tendon are infrequent [115, 116]. Whilst a musculotendinous junction is a necessity for the synthesis of a complete muscle body, it may not be required for a clinically useful treatment for VML as skeletal muscle constructs have been demonstrated to integrate with residual native muscle in vivo.

5.3 Progress in vivo

Clinical translation requires in vivo studies to demonstrate a tissue-engineered construct to successfully integrate into a VML site and generate a long-term functional improvement. Most in vivo work thus far been conducted in animal models these have demonstrated the ability of skeletal muscle constructs to integrate with host skeletal muscle and

Author	Cell trine	Scaffold material	Stimulation protocol	Outcomee
TO IMPLY	cen type	Dearroid materia		Curcomes
Mechanical stimulati	on			
Aguilar-Agon et al. [104]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Collagen hydrogel	Progressive load to 15% strain over 1 h, 2 h isometric tension.	Myotube diameter and maximal contractile force increased at 45 h post loading.
Heher et al. 2015 [105]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Fibrin hydrogel	10% static strain for 6 h, 3% static strain for 18 h rest period. 6 days training	Strain-induced cell and actin alignment. Increased myotube diameter, length and differentiation.
Candiani et al. 2010 [107]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Electrospun DegraPol®	3 days unidirectional strain (3.3%) followed by 10 days cyclic strain (0.5 Hz, 3.4% strain, 28 min rest period)	Enhanced myosin heavy chain expression in myoblasts subjected to dynamic strain protocol vs. static strain alone
Matsumoto et al. [108] Electrical stimulation	C2C12 Myoblasts	Fibrin hydrogel	Static strain (0–200%)	Myofibers aligned along the axis of strain. Myoblast proliferation increased with increasing strain
Patel et al. [52]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Collagen I or Laminin-111	1 V, 2 ms pulses at 2 Hz, 1 h/day for 3 days	Electrical stimulation increased expression of MyoD and myogenin during differentiation.
Khodabukus et al. [53]	Human Myocytes (minced muscle)	Matrigel (BD Biosciences)	70 mA, 2 ms pulses at 1 Hz or 10 Hz. 1 h stimulation, 7 h rest period. 7 days training	Stimulation increased myobundle size, sarcomere proteins and contractility. 10 Hz stimulation resulted in greater hypertrophy vs. 1 Hz.
Ito et al. [49]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Matrigel and collagen	0.3 V/mm, 4 ms pulse width at 1 Hz. 10 days training	4.5-fold increase in force generation at day 14 compared to constructs without electrical stimulation
Donnelly et al. [54]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Fibrin hydrogel	1.25–5 V/mm, 4 pulses of 0.1 ms, 3.6s recovery, 7 days training	Greatest force production at 2.5 v/mm
Electromechanical st	imulation			
Kim et al. [106]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Fibrinogen and matrigel	2.3% strain over 4.3 s. 2.5 v/mm, 1 ms pulses, 0.1–0.5 Hz. In-phase vs. out-of-phase electromechanical stimulation. 3 min or 20 min training.	Out-of-phase electromechanical stimulation resulted in greater improvement in construct force generation than either in-phase, or separate electrical and mechanical stimulation.
Liao et al. [51]	C2C12 Myoblasts	Electrospun diisocyanate-based polyurethane fibres	5% or 10% cyclic strain at 1 Hz for 1 h, 5 h rest period. Electrical stimulation 20 V, 10 ms pulse width. Between days 2 and 14 post differentiation.	Increased MHC and percentage of striated myotubes under electromechanical stimulation. Electrical stimulation at early timepoints was detrimental to myotube development. Cyclic strain alone promoted proliferation over differentiation.



Fig. 4 The use of bioreactors to perfuse skeletal muscle tissue constructs improves cellular survival. This bioreactor model permits parallel incubation of multiple tissue constructs (Modified from Quarta et al. [110] under Creative Commons License)



Fig. 5 Structure of muscle tendon (Modified from Beldjilali-Labro et al. [34] under Creative Commons License)

vasculature in vivo, with some studies demonstrating a subsequent improvement in force generation [117–119].

Quarta et al. demonstrated in a mouse model of VML that skeletal muscle constructs can not only improve active mechanical properties, such as force generation, but also reduce the pathological fibrosis subsequent to VML and revert the pathological length-tension curve to pre-injury characteristics [26]. Separately, Kim et al. demonstrated the use of a bioprinted hydrogel construct consisting of human muscle progenitor cells and sacrificial microchannels to maintain construct viability in vivo prior to neovascularisation, reporting an 82% functional improvement in their rodent VML model (Fig. 6) [117]. Studies, such as these demonstrate the potential for tissue-engineered constructs to

generate both anatomical and functional recovery following VML.

6 Barriers to clinical translation

6.1 Current state of adoption

Presently, skeletal muscle tissue constructs are predominantly limited to in vitro and in vivo research and attempts to deploy them in human clinical trials have been limited. Acellular scaffolds derived from decellularized animal tissue have been utilised in humans, but their success in regenerating functional muscle tissue has been limited [8, 119, 120]. The development of a clinically useful therapeutic strategy will first require the scaling of several key technical, regulatory and ethical challenges.

6.2 Technical challenges

Scaffold design is a core feature requiring optimisation. Recent developments involving autologous hydrogels, electroactive nanofibers and core-shell composite scaffolds are important, however a scaffold is yet to be demonstrated with the optimum characteristics of biocompatibility, biodegradability and the ability to effectively guide myogenesis in vivo [22, 42].

The optimal autologous stem cell population for use in VML patients is as yet undetermined; whilst much research has utilised muscle-derived stem cell populations, such as satellite cells, the requirement in human patients to generate large volumes of autologous myoblasts to replace VML makes them unsuitable given their limited proliferation in vivo, and challenges, such as senescence after expansion in vitro. iPSCs are an exciting alternative, however, current cellular reprogramming protocols are highly inefficient, and safety concerns regarding in vivo tumorigenesis are yet to be resolved [121].

A further key challenge is that of scalability. The current data from in vivo studies is typically of small tissue constructs ~1 cm³ in volume. In order to provide meaningful functional recovery in human VML, significantly larger constructs are required which will need improved solutions for driving construct neovascularisation and innervation. Physiological tissue requires an extensive vasculature network as the maximum diffusion distance of nutrients is ~150–200 µm [122]. Current approaches utilising proangiogenic growth factors and sacrificial microchannels are too slow to sustain a large construct [123]. To be effective, large constructs may need to be pre-vascularised prior to implantation. Recent examples from cardiac tissue



engineering have used oxygen diffusion modelling to design 3D printed microvasculature; combining approaches, such as this with a period of in vitro maturation may provide a solution [124].

6.3 Regulatory challenges

License)

The transition from tissue constructs used for research, to the industrial manufacture of therapeutic products requires orders of magnitude improvements in speed, efficiency, cost and the standardisation of constructs. The development of closed, automated manufacturing systems is currently limited to rudimentary bioreactors; the further development of such technologies will be central to the production of tissue constructs at an industrial scale, alongside providing the reliability in safety and clinical effectiveness that is required by regulators and clinicians [125].

The precise regulatory status of tissue constructs is unclear within many jurisdictions, however, any product targeting routine use in human patients will need to meet standards, such as Good Manufacturing Practice regulations, as well as being approved by regulatory bodies, such as the European Medicines Agency (EMA) or the Food and Drug administration (FDA). Within the European Union, the EMA regulates tissue-engineered products under advanced-therapy medicinal product regulation, with the FDA classifying them as combination products; to meet the stringent standards of either regulatory body will require thorough testing in clinical trials and may require the development of novel regulatory frameworks [113, 126, 127].

6.4 Physician and patient perception

For tissue-engineered therapies to be adopted in clinical practice, physicians and patients will not only require that the technical and regulatory hurdles are overcome, but also that specific ethical standards are maintained. Stem cell science is a key component of tissue engineering and has a long history of ethical challenges, most notably with the use of human embryonic stem cells; such considerations will be important in the search for a suitable progenitor cell population [128]. Similarly, the use of xenogenic material as in decellularised scaffolds may not be acceptable for some patient groups [128]. Finally, transparency regarding conflicts of interest may be especially pertinent to tissue engineering given the significant overlap in the community of clinicians and scientists that will be necessary for the development, testing and clinical application of these novel therapies [129].

7 Conclusions

There is a vast unmet need in the care of patients with VML; current therapeutic approaches provide limited functional and anatomical recovery, and come at a significant cost to healthcare systems. Tissue engineering approaches show significant potential, but many challenges remain to be solved before clinically useful constructs are commercially available; the design of an optimal scaffold, the manipulation of an appropriate progenitor cell population, and the scaling of tissue constructs in both size and speed of production are key technical hurdles. Separately, changes to current regulatory processes may be required if promising therapies are to be translated efficiently. The progress that has been made thus far clearly demonstrates the potential for tissue engineering to revolutionise the treatment of patients with VML; the collaboration of diverse communities of scientists, clinicians and regulators will be needed in order to surmount the challenges that remain.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This work has not previously been presented in any format. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Corona BT, Rivera JC, Owens JG, Wenke JC, Rathbone CR. Volumetric muscle loss leads to permanent disability following extremity trauma. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2015;52:785–92. https:// doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2014.07.0165.
- Grogan BF, Hsu JR. Skeletal Trauma Research Consortium. Volumetric muscle loss. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19: S35–7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21304045.
- Cross JD, Ficke JR, Hsu JR, Masini BD, Wenke JC. Battlefield orthopaedic injuries cause the majority of long-term disabilities. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19:S1–7. http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/21304041.
- Bosse MJ, et al. An analysis of outcomes of reconstruction or amputation after leg-threatening injuries. N. Engl J Med. 2002;347:1924–31. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa012604.
- Court-Brown CM, McBirnie J. The epidemiology of tibial fractures. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 1995;77:417–21. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7744927.
- Owens BD, Kragh JF, Macaitis J, Svoboda SJ, Wenke JC. Characterization of extremity wounds in operation Iraqi freedom and operation enduring freedom. J Orthop Trauma. 2007;21:254–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/BOT.0b013e31802f78fb.
- Masini BD, Waterman SM, Wenke JC, Owens BD, Hsu JR, Ficke JR. Resource utilization and disability outcome assessment of combat casualties from operation Iraqi freedom and operation enduring freedom. J Orthop Trauma. 2009;23:261–6. https://doi. org/10.1097/BOT.0b013e31819dfa04.
- Mase VJ, et al. Clinical Application of an acellular biologic scaffold for surgical repair of a large, traumatic quadriceps femoris muscle defect,. Orthopedics. 2010;33:511 https://doi. org/10.3928/01477447-20100526-24.
- Dhawan J, Rando TA. Stem cells in postnatal myogenesis: molecular mechanisms of satellite cell quiescence, activation and replenishment. Trends Cell Biol. 2005;15:666–73. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tcb.2005.10.007.
- Turner NJ, Badylak SF. Regeneration of skeletal muscle. Cell Tissue Res. 2012;347:759–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-011-1185-7.

- Garg K, et al. Volumetric muscle loss: persistent functional deficits beyond frank loss of tissue. J Orthop Res. 2015;33:40–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22730.
- Corona BT, Wenke JC, Ward CL. Pathophysiology of volumetric muscle loss injury. Cells Tissues Organs. 2016;202:180–8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000443925.
- Greising SM, Rivera JC, Goldman SM, Watts A, Aguilar CA, Corona BT. Unwavering pathobiology of volumetric muscle loss injury. Sci Rep. 2017;7:13179. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13306-2.
- Serrano AL, Mann CJ, Vidal B, Ardite E, Perdiguero E, Muñoz-Cánoves P. Cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating fibrosis in skeletal muscle repair and disease. Curr Top Dev. Biol. 2011;96:167–201.
- Murphy MM, Lawson JA, Mathew SJ, Hutcheson DA, Kardon G. Satellite cells, connective tissue fibroblasts and their interactions are crucial for muscle regeneration. Development. 2011;138 :3625–37. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.064162.
- Brack AS, et al. Increased Wnt signaling during aging alters muscle stem cell fate and increases fibrosis. Science. 2007;317:807–10. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144090.
- Aguilar CA, et al. Multiscale analysis of a regenerative therapy for treatment of volumetric muscle loss injury. 2018;4:33. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41420-018-0027-8.
- Lev R, Seliktar D. Hydrogel biomaterials and their therapeutic potential for muscle injuries and muscular dystrophies. J R Soc Interface. 2018;15. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2017.0380.
- Rao N, et al. Engineering an Injectable muscle-specific microenvironment for improved cell delivery using a nanofibrous extracellular matrix hydrogel. ACS Nano. 2017;11:3851–9. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b00093.
- Evans DJ, Britland S, Wigmore PM. Differential response of fetal and neonatal myoblasts to topographical guidance cues in vitro. Dev Genes Evol. 1999;209:438–42. http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10370128.
- Williams DF. On the mechanisms of biocompatibility. Biomaterials. 2008;29:2941–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials. 2008.04.023.
- Edri R. et al. Personalized hydrogels for engineering diverse fully autologous tissue implants. Adv Mater. 2019;31:1803895. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201803895.
- Engler AJ, Griffin MA, Sen S, Bönnemann CG, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Myotubes differentiate optimally on substrates with tissue-like stiffness: pathological implications for soft or stiff microenvironments. J Cell Biol. 2004;166:877–87. https://doi. org/10.1083/jcb.200405004.
- Shapiro L, et al. In vitro evaluation of functionalized decellularized muscle scaffold for in situ skeletal muscle regeneration. Biomed Mater. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/ab229d.
- Alvarèz Fallas ME. et al. Decellularized diaphragmatic muscle drives a constructive angiogenic response in vivo. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018;19:1319. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19051319.
- Quarta M, Cromie Lear MJ, Blonigan J, Paine P, Chacon R, Rando TA. Biomechanics show stem cell necessity for effective treatment of volumetric muscle loss using bioengineered constructs. NPJ Regen Med. 2018;3:18. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41536-018-0057-0.
- Porzionato A, et al. Decellularized human skeletal muscle as biologic scaffold for reconstructive surgery. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16:14808–31. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160714808.
- Wilson K, Terlouw A, Roberts K, Wolchok JC. The characterization of decellularized human skeletal muscle as a blueprint for mimetic scaffolds. J Mater Sci Mater Med. 2016;27:125. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10856-016-5735-0.
- Davari HR, et al. Partial replacement of left hemidiaphragm in dogs by either cryopreserved or decellularized heterograft patch.

Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2016;23:623–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/icvts/ivw132.

- Sotnichenko AS, Nakokhov RZ, Gubareva EA, Kuevda EV, Gumenyuk IS. Morphological evaluation of the tissue reaction to subcutaneous implantation of decellularized matrices. Bull Exp Biol Med. 2018;166:287–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10517-018-4334-0.
- Piccoli M, Trevisan C, Maghin E, Franzin C, Pozzobon M. Mouse skeletal muscle decellularization. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1577:87–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/7651_2017_28.
- Porzionato A, Stocco E, Barbon S, Grandi F, Macchi V, De Caro R. Tissue-engineered grafts from human decellularized extracellular matrices: a systematic review and future perspectives. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19:4117 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19124117.
- Balakrishnan-Nair DK, et al. An Immunopathological evaluation of the porcine cholecyst matrix as a muscle repair graft in a male rat abdominal wall defect model. Toxicol Pathol. 2018;46:169–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192623317752894.
- Beldjilali-Labro M, et al. Biomaterials in tendon and skeletal muscle tissue engineering: current trends and challenges. Materials. 2018;11:1116 https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11071116.
- Glowacki J, Mizuno S. Collagen scaffolds for tissue engineering. Biopolymers. 2008;89:338–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip. 20871.
- Rossi CA, Pozzobon M, De Coppi P. Advances in musculoskeletal tissue engineering: moving towards therapy. Organogenesis. 2010;6:167–72. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 21197219.
- Pollot BE, Rathbone CR, Wenke JC, Guda T. Natural polymeric hydrogel evaluation for skeletal muscle tissue engineering. J Biomed Mater Res - Part B Appl Biomater. 2018;106:672–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.33859.
- Karande TS, Ong JL, Agrawal CM. Diffusion in musculoskeletal tissue engineering scaffolds: design issues related to porosity, permeability, architecture, and nutrient mixing. Ann Biomed Eng. 2004;32:1728–43. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 15675684.
- Hahn MS, Miller JS, West JL. Three-dimensional biochemical and biomechanical patterning of hydrogels for guiding cell behavior. Adv Mater. 2006;18:2679–84. https://doi.org/10. 1002/adma.200600647.
- Chen R, Cai X, Ma K, Zhou Y, Wang Y, Jiang T. The fabrication of double-layered chitosan/gelatin/genipin nanosphere coating for sequential and controlled release of therapeutic proteins. Biofabrication. 2017;9:025028 https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1758-5090/aa70c3.
- Kishan AP, Cosgriff-Hernandez EM. Recent advancements in electrospinning design for tissue engineering applications: a review. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2017;105:2892–905. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.36124.
- Wang L, Wu Y, Guo B, Ma PX. Nanofiber Yarn/Hydrogel coreshell scaffolds mimicking native skeletal muscle tissue for guiding 3D myoblast alignment, elongation, and differentiation. ACS Nano. 2015;9:9167–79. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano. 5b03644.
- 43. Du Y, Ge J, Li Y, Ma PX, Lei B. Biomimetic elastomeric, conductive and biodegradable polycitrate-based nanocomposites for guiding myogenic differentiation and skeletal muscle regeneration. Biomaterials. 2018;157:40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biomaterials.2017.12.005.
- Liu Y. et al. Mussel inspired polynorepinephrine functionalized electrospun polycaprolactone microfibers for muscle regeneration. Sci Rep. 2017;7:8197. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08572-z.
- 45. Mehrali M. et al. Nanoreinforced Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering: Biomaterials that are Compatible with Load-Bearing

and Electroactive Tissues. Adv Mater.2017;29:1603612. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08572-z.

- Ross JJ, Duxson MJ, Harris AJ. Neural determination of muscle fibre numbers in embryonic rat lumbrical muscles. Development. 1987;100:395–409. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 3652977.
- 47. Kaji H, Ishibashi T, Nagamine K, Kanzaki M, Nishizawa M. Electrically induced contraction of C2C12 myotubes cultured on a porous membrane-based substrate with muscle tissue-like stiffness. Biomaterials. 2010;31:6981–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biomaterials.2010.05.071.
- Khodabukus A, et al. Electrical stimulation increases hypertrophy and metabolic flux in tissue-engineered human skeletal muscle. Biomaterials. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomateria ls.2018.08.058.
- Ito A. et al. Induction of functional tissue-engineered skeletal muscle constructs by defined electrical stimulation. Sci Rep. 2015;4:4781. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04781.
- Banan Sadeghian R, Ebrahimi M, Salehi S. Electrical stimulation of microengineered skeletal muscle tissue: effect of stimulus parameters on myotube contractility and maturation. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2018;12:912–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/term. 2502.
- Liao I-C, Liu JB, Bursac N, Leong KW. Effect of electromechanical stimulation on the maturation of myotubes on aligned electrospun fibers. Cell Mol Bioeng. 2008;1:133–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12195-008-0021-y.
- Patel A, et al. Regulation of myogenic activity by substrate and electrical stimulation in vitro. Biores Open Access. 2019;8:129–38. https://doi.org/10.1089/biores.2019.0016.
- Khodabukus A, et al. Electrical stimulation increases hypertrophy and metabolic flux in tissue-engineered human skeletal muscle. Biomaterials. 2019;198:259–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biomaterials.2018.08.058.
- Donnelly K, Khodabukus A, Philp A, Deldicque L, Dennis RG, Baar K. A novel bioreactor for stimulating skeletal muscle in vitro,". Tissue Eng - Part C Methods. 2010;16:711–8. https:// doi.org/10.1089/ten.tec.2009.0125.
- Roberts GS, Singjai P. Joining carbon nanotubes. Nanoscale. 2011;3:4503–14. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1NR10720H.
- Yu M-F, Lourie O, Dyer MJ, Moloni K, Kelly TF, Ruoff RS. Strength and breaking mechanism of multiwalled carbon nanotubes under tensile load. Science. 2000;287:637–40. https://doi. org/10.1126/SCIENCE.287.5453.637.
- Yao Z, Kane CL, Dekker C. High-field electrical transport in singlewall carbon nanotubes. 2000;84:2941–4. https://journals-aps-org. iclibezp1.cc.ic.ac.uk/prl/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2941.
- Ramón-Azcón J, et al. Dielectrophoretically aligned carbon nanotubes to control electrical and mechanical properties of hydrogels to fabricate contractile muscle myofibers. Adv Mater. 2013;25:4028–34. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201301300.
- Kobayashi N, Izumi H, Morimoto Y. Review of toxicity studies of carbon nanotubes. J Occup Health. 2017;59:394 https://doi. org/10.1539/JOH.17-0089-RA.
- Shin SR, et al. Cell-laden microengineered and mechanically tunable hybrid hydrogels of gelatin and graphene oxide. Adv Mater. 2013;25:6385–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma. 201301082.
- Jo H, et al. Electrically conductive graphene/polyacrylamide hydrogels produced by mild chemical reduction for enhanced myoblast growth and differentiation. Acta Biomater. 2017;48:100–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2016.10.035.
- Ema M, Gamo M, Honda K. A review of toxicity studies on graphene-based nanomaterials in laboratory animals. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2017;85:7–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. yrtph.2017.01.011.

- Dvir T, et al. Nanowired three-dimensional cardiac patches. Nat Nanotechnol. 2011;6:720–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nna no.2011.160.
- Giljohann DA, et al. Gold nanoparticles for biology and medicine. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200904359.
- Balint R, Cassidy NJ, Cartmell SH. Conductive polymers: towards a smart biomaterial for tissue engineering. Acta Biomater. 2014;10:2341–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2014. 02.015.
- Ku SH, Lee SH, Park CB. Synergic effects of nanofiber alignment and electroactivity on myoblast differentiation. Biomaterials. 2012;33:6098–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials. 2012.05.018.
- Jun I, Jeong S, Shin H. The stimulation of myoblast differentiation by electrically conductive sub-micron fibers. Biomaterials. 2009;30:2038–47. https://www-sciencedirect-com. iclibezp1.cc.ic.ac.uk/science/article/pii/S0142961208010508.
- Chen M-C, Sun Y-C, Chen Y-H. Electrically conductive nanofibers with highly oriented structures and their potential application in skeletal muscle tissue engineering. Acta Biomater 2013;9:5562–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2012.10.024.
- Ostrovidov S, et al. Gelatin-polyaniline composite nanofibers enhanced excitation-contraction coupling system maturation in myotubes. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2017;9:42444–58. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b03979.
- Gilmore KJ, et al. Skeletal muscle cell proliferation and differentiation on polypyrrole substrates doped with extracellular matrix components. Biomaterials. 2009;30:5292–304. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.06.059.
- Broda CR, Lee JY, Sirivisoot S, Schmidt CE, Harrison BS. A chemically polymerized electrically conducting composite of polypyrrole nanoparticles and polyurethane for tissue engineering. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2011;98A:509–16. https://doi. org/10.1002/jbm.a.33128.
- Kamalesh S, Tan P, Wang J, Lee T, Kang ET, Wang CH. Biocompatibility of electroactive polymers in tissues. J Biomed Mater Res. 2000;52:467–78. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11007614.
- Guo B, Ma PX. Conducting polymers for tissue engineering. Biomacromolecules. 2018;19:1764–82. https://doi.org/10.1021/a cs.biomac.8b00276.
- Aurora A, Roe JL, Corona BT, Walters TJ. An acellular biologic scaffold does not regenerate appreciable de novo muscle tissue in rat models of volumetric muscle loss injury. Biomaterials. 2015;67:393–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015. 07.040.
- Corona BT, Ward CL, Baker HB, Walters TJ, Christ GJ. Implantation of in vitro tissue engineered muscle repair constructs and bladder acellular matrices partially restore in vivo skeletal muscle function in a rat model of volumetric muscle loss injury. Tissue Eng Part A. 2013;20:705–15. https://doi.org/10. 1089/ten.tea.2012.0761.
- Pantelic MN, Larkin LM. Stem cells for skeletal muscle tissue engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2018;24:373–91. https:// doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2017.0451.
- Lepper C, Partridge TA, Fan C-M. An absolute requirement for Pax7-positive satellite cells in acute injury-induced skeletal muscle regeneration. Development. 2011;138:3639–46. https:// doi.org/10.1242/dev.067595.
- Quarta M, et al. An artificial niche preserves the quiescence of muscle stem cells and enhances their therapeutic efficacy. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34:752–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3576.
- Danoviz ME, Yablonka-Reuveni Z. Skeletal muscle satellite cells: background and methods for isolation and analysis in a primary culture system. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;798:21–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-343-1_2.

- Prüller J, Mannhardt I, Eschenhagen T, Zammit PS, Figeac N. Satellite cells delivered in their niche efficiently generate functional myotubes in three-dimensional cell culture. PLoS ONE. 2018;13: e0202574. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202574.
- Han WM, Mohiuddin M, Anderson SE, García AJ, Jang YC. Wnt7a-releasing synthetic hydrogel enhances local skeletal muscle regeneration and muscle stem cell engraftment. bioRxiv. 2019:569921. https://doi.org/10.1101/569921.
- Bloise N. et al. Ether-oxygen containing electrospun microfibrous and sub-microfibrous scaffolds based on poly(butylene 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate) for skeletal muscle tissue engineering. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19:3212. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms19103212.
- Ribeiro S, Gomes AC, Etxebarria I, Lanceros-Méndez S, Ribeiro C. Electroactive biomaterial surface engineering effects on muscle cells differentiation. Mater Sci Eng C. 2018;92:868–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2018.07.044.
- Zhang M, Guo B. Electroactive 3D scaffolds based on silk fibroin and water-borne polyaniline for skeletal muscle tissue engineering. Macromol Biosci. 2017;17:1700147. https://doi. org/10.1002/mabi.201700147.
- Duffy RM, Sun Y, Feinberg AW. Understanding the role of ECM protein composition and geometric micropatterning for engineering human skeletal Muscle. Ann Biomed Eng. 2016;44:2076–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-016-1592-8.
- Goudenege S, et al. Myoblasts derived from normal hESCs and dystrophic hiPSCs efficiently fuse with existing muscle fibers following transplantation. Mol Ther. 2012;20:2153–67. https:// doi.org/10.1038/mt.2012.188.
- Nishikawa S, Goldstein RA, Nierras CR. The promise of human induced pluripotent stem cells for research and therapy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9:725–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2466.
- Rao L, Qian Y, Khodabukus A, Ribar T, Bursac N. Engineering human pluripotent stem cells into a functional skeletal muscle tissue. Nat Commun.2018;9:126. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02636-4.
- Wu SM, Hochedlinger K. Harnessing the potential of induced pluripotent stem cells for regenerative medicine. Nat Cell Biol. 2011;13:497–505. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb0511-497.
- Boularaoui SM. et al. Efficient transdifferentiation of human dermal fibroblasts into skeletal muscle. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2018;12:e918–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/term.2415.
- Kesireddy V. Evaluation of adipose-derived stem cells for tissueengineered muscle repair construct-mediated repair of a murine model of volumetric muscle loss injury. Int J Nanomed. 2016;11:1461–73. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S101955.
- Pawlikowski B, Vogler TO, Gadek K, Olwin BB. Regulation of skeletal muscle stem cells by fibroblast growth factors. Dev Dyn 2017;246:359–67. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24495.
- 93. Weist MR, et al. TGF-β1 enhances contractility in engineered skeletal muscle. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2013;7:562–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/term.551.
- 94. Chakravarthy MV, Abraha TW, Schwartz RJ, Fiorotto ML, Booth FW. Insulin-like growth factor-I extends in vitro replicative life span of skeletal muscle satellite cells by enhancing G1/S cell cycle progression via the activation of phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/Akt signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 2000;275:35942–52. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M005832200.
- 95. Yablonka-Reuveni Z, Seger R, Rivera AJ. Fibroblast growth factor promotes recruitment of skeletal muscle satellite cells in young and old rats. J Histochem Cytochem. 1999;47:23–42. https://doi.org/10.1177/002215549904700104.
- 96. Mo C, et al. Prostaglandin E2 promotes proliferation of skeletal muscle myoblasts via EP4 receptor activation. Cell Cycle. 2015;14:1507–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101. 2015.1026520.

- 97. Borselli C, Cezar CA, Shvartsman D, Vandenburgh HH, Mooney DJ. The role of multifunctional delivery scaffold in the ability of cultured myoblasts to promote muscle regeneration. Biomaterials. 2011;32:8905–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioma terials.2011.08.019.
- Mackey AL, Magnan M, Chazaud B, Kjaer M. Human skeletal muscle fibroblasts stimulate in vitro myogenesis and in vivo muscle regeneration. J Physiol. 2017;595:5115–27. https://doi. org/10.1113/JP273997.
- Ostrovidov S, et al. Three-dimensional co-culture of C2C12/ PC12 cells improves skeletal muscle tissue formation and function. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2017;11:582–95. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/term.1956.
- Das S, et al. Pre-innervated tissue-engineered muscle promotes a pro-regenerative microenvironment following volumetric muscle loss. Commun Biol. 2020;3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-1056-4.
- Vandenburgh HH, Karlisch P, Farr L. Maintenance of highly contractile tissue-cultured avian skeletal myotubes in collagen gel. Vitr Cell Dev Biol 1988;24:166–74. http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/3350785.
- 102. Somers SM, Spector AA, DiGirolamo DJ, Grayson WL. Biophysical stimulation for engineering functional skeletal muscle. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2017;23:362–72. https://doi.org/10.1089/ ten.teb.2016.0444.
- Somers S, et al. Myoblast maturity at onset of strain application, not strain waveform, impacts myogenic outcome. Acta Biomater. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2019.06.024.
- 104. Aguilar-Agon KW, Capel AJ, Martin NRW, Player DJ, Lewis MP. Mechanical loading stimulates hypertrophy in tissueengineered skeletal muscle: Molecular and phenotypic responses. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234:23547–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcp.28923.
- 105. Heher P, et al. A novel bioreactor for the generation of highly aligned 3D skeletal muscle-like constructs through orientation of fibrin via application of static strain. Acta Biomater. 2015;24:251–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2015.06.033.
- 106. Kim H, Kim MC, Asada HH. Extracellular matrix remodelling induced by alternating electrical and mechanical stimulations increases the contraction of engineered skeletal muscle tissues. Sci Rep. 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39522-6.
- 107. Candiani G, et al. Cyclic mechanical stimulation favors myosin heavy chain accumulation in engineered skeletal muscle constructs. J Appl Biomater Biomech. 2010;8:68–75. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/228080001000800202.
- Matsumoto T, Sasaki JI, Alsberg E, Egusa H, Yatani H, Sohmura T. Three-dimensional cell and tissue patterning in a strained fibrin gel system. PLoS ONE. 2007;2. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0001211.
- Dennis RG, Smith B, Philp A, Donnelly K, Baar K. Bioreactors for guiding muscle tissue growth and development. Adv Biochem Eng/Biotechnol. 2009;112:39–.
- Quarta M, et al. Bioengineered constructs combined with exercise enhance stem cell-mediated treatment of volumetric muscle loss. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15613 https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms15613.
- 111. El Haj AJ, Hampson K, Gogniat G. Bioreactors for connective tissue engineering: design and monitoring innovations. Adv Biochem Eng/Biotechnol. 2009;112:81–93.
- 112. Smith LJ, Li P, Holland MR, Ekser B. FABRICA: a bioreactor platform for printing, perfusing, observing, and stimulating 3D issues. Sci Rep. 2018;8:7561. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25663-7.

- 113. Jessop ZM, Al-Sabah A, Gardiner MD, Combellack E, K Hawkins K, Whitaker IS. 3D bioprinting for reconstructive surgery: principles, applications and challenges. J Plast Reconstruct Aesthet Surg. 2017;70:1150–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bjps.2017.06.001.
- Charvet B, Ruggiero F, Le Guellec D. The development of the myotendinous junction. A review. Muscles Ligaments Tendons J. 2012;2:53–63. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 23738275.
- 115. Larkin LM, Calve S, Kostrominova TY, Arruda EM. Structure and functional evaluation of tendon-skeletal muscle constructs engineered in vitro. Tissue Eng. 2006;12:3149–58. https://doi. org/10.1089/ten.2006.12.3149.
- 116. Nakamura T, Takagi S, Kamon T, Yamasaki K-I, Fujisato T. Development and evaluation of a removable tissue-engineered muscle with artificial tendons. J Biosci Bioeng. 2017;123:265–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2016.08.003.
- 117. Kim JH, et al. 3D bioprinted human skeletal muscle constructs for muscle function restoration. Sci Rep. 2018;8:12307 https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29968-5.
- Chen XK, Walters TJ. Muscle-derived decellularised extracellular matrix improves functional recovery in a rat latissimus dorsi muscle defect model. J Plast Reconstr Aesthetic Surg. 2013;66:1750–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2013.07.037.
- 119. Machingal MA, et al. A tissue-engineered muscle repair construct for functional restoration of an irrecoverable muscle injury in a murine model. Tissue Eng Part A. 2011;17:2291–303. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2010.0682.
- Dziki J. et al. An acellular biologic scaffold treatment for volumetric muscle loss: results of a 13-patient cohort study. NPJ Regen Med. 2016;1:16008. https://doi.org/10.1038/ npjregenmed.2016.8.
- Knoepfler PS. Deconstructing stem cell tumorigenicity: a roadmap to safe regenerative medicine. Stem Cells. 2009;27:1050–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.37.
- Griffith CK, et al. Diffusion limits of an in vitro thick prevascularized tissue. Tissue Eng. 2005;11:257–66. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/ten.2005.11.257.
- Norotte C, Marga FS, Niklason LE, Forgacs G. Scaffold-free vascular tissue engineering using bioprinting. Biomaterials. 2009;30:5910–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.06.034.
- 124. Noor N, Shapira A, Edri R, Gal I, Wertheim L, Dvir T. 3D printing of personalized thick and perfusable cardiac patches and hearts. Adv Sci. 2019:1900344. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs. 201900344.
- 125. Martin I, Simmons PJ, Williams DF. Manufacturing challenges in regenerative medicine. Sci Transl Med. 2014;6:232fs16–232fs16. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed. 3008558.
- 126. Wolinsky H. Printing organs cell-by-cell: 3-D printing is growing in popularity, but how should we regulate the application of this new technology to health care? EMBO Rep. 2014;15:836–8. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439207.
- 127. Goldring CEP, et al. Assessing the safety of stem cell therapeutics. Cell Stem Cell. 2011;8:618–28. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.stem.2011.05.012
- 128. de Vries RBM, Oerlemans A, Trommelmans L, Dierickx K, Gordijn B. Ethical aspects of tissue engineering: a review. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2008;14:367–75. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten. teb.2008.0199.
- Taylor DA, Caplan AL, Macchiarini P. Ethics of bioengineering organs and tissues. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2014;14:879–82. https://doi.org/10.1517/14712598.2014.915308.

- 130. Zahari NK, Idrus RBH, Chowdhury SR. Laminin-Coated Poly (Methyl Methacrylate) (PMMA) nanofiber scaffold facilitates the enrichment of skeletal muscle myoblast population. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18:2242. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112242.
- 131. Zanou N, Gailly P. Skeletal muscle hypertrophy and regeneration: interplay between the myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) and insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) pathways. Cell Mol

Life Sci. 2013;70:4117–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1330-4.

132. Allen RE, Boxhorn LK. Regulation of skeletal muscle satellite cell proliferation and differentiation by transforming growth factor-beta, insulin-like growth factor I, and fibroblast growth factor. J Cell Physiol. 1989;138:311–5. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1041380213.