

# **Efect of dispersion on visible light transmittance and resistivity of indium tin oxide nanoparticles prepared by cetyltrimethylammonium bromide‑assisted coprecipitation method**

**Yunqian Ma<sup>1</sup> · Fei Liang2 · Youxing Liu3 · Xiaoyu Zhai1 · Jiaxiang Liu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8851-3155)**

Received: 26 July 2019 / Accepted: 3 September 2019 / Published online: 10 September 2019 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

#### **Abstract**

A new strategy for decreasing resistivity while increasing visible light transmission of indium tin oxide nanoparticles (ITO NPs) was reported in this paper. Cubic phase ITO NPs with high dispersion were synthesized by coprecipitation method with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) assisted. The effects of dispersion on the optical and electrical properties of ITO NPs were investigated systematically. Surface potential of ITO NPs synthesized with 1.5 g/L of CTAB was increased from −4.5 to 13.0 mV, resulting in an increase in visible light transmittance of ITO NPs from 70 to 92% and a decrease in resistivity from  $6.5 \times 10^{-1}$  to  $3.5 \times 10^{-1}$  Ω cm. The fitting equation between the visible light transmittance (*T*) of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential ( $\mu$ ) was  $T = 60.862 + 2.287\mu$ , while the fitting equation of its resistivity ( $\rho$ ) and absolute value of Zeta potential ( $\mu$ ) was  $\rho = 0.7968 - 0.0350\mu$ . This result showed that the dispersion of ITO NPs had a great contribution to improving their optical and electrical properties. And the mechanism of the infuence of dispersion on optical and electrical properties of ITO NPs was also discussed.

# **1 Introduction**

Indium tin oxide (ITO) flms, as transparent conductive oxides [[1,](#page-7-0) [2](#page-7-1)], have caused much attention of domestic and foreign scholars on account of their excellent physical and chemical properties, such as high electrical conductivity, high visible light transmittance, high work function, high infrared light refection and strong ultraviolet (UV) absorption [\[3](#page-7-2)[–6](#page-7-3)]. ITO flms are mainly used in the felds of solar cells [[7\]](#page-7-4), light-emitting diodes [\[8](#page-8-0)] and sensors [\[9](#page-8-1), [10\]](#page-8-2). There are a lot of methods for fabricating ITO flms, including ionbeam sputtering [[11](#page-8-3)], spray pyrolysis [[12](#page-8-4)], electron beam

- The Beijing Key Laboratory of Electrochemical Process and Technology for Materials, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, People's Republic of China
- <sup>2</sup> National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China
- Institute of Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

evaporation [[13\]](#page-8-5) and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [[14,](#page-8-6) [15](#page-8-7)]. ITO nanoparticle with high performance is indispensable for preparation ITO flms of high quality by these above methods. Therefore, ITO NPs with low resistivity used as important raw materials for the preparation of ITO flms with high performance were wildly investigated [[16,](#page-8-8) [17\]](#page-8-9). In order to fabricate ITO flms with high visible light transmittance and conductivity, a series of factors, including atomic doping ratio of Sn and In, temperature and structure of ITO have already been explored [[18](#page-8-10), [19\]](#page-8-11). However, the visible light transmittance properties of ITO NPs have not received much attention yet. As we all know, the performance of ITO flms is afected by the properties of ITO NPs. Therefore, it is of great signifcance to investigate the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs.

Many methods have been explored to prepare nanoparticles with good properties [\[20](#page-8-12)[–24](#page-8-13)]. As one of facile methods for preparing nanopowders, chemical coprecipitation method with simple equipment and low cost is commonly used to synthesize ITO NPs [[25,](#page-8-14) [26\]](#page-8-15). However, the disadvantage of chemical coprecipitation method is that the as-prepared nanoparticles have a poor dispersion, which may inhibit the performance of ITO NPs, including resistivity and visible

 $\boxtimes$  Jiaxiang Liu ljxpost@263.net

light transmission. Therefore, it is necessary to synthesize ITO NPs with high dispersibility to further increase their performance through a facile coprecipitation method.

Both optical and electrical properties are important for ITO as a transparent conductive oxide material. In this paper, we have innovatively designed an ingenious route to improve the dispersion of ITO NPs synthesized through chemical coprecipitation method, which not only increased the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs, but also reduced their resistivity. CTAB as an excellent dispersant was used to improve the dispersion of ITO NPs. And the schematic illustration of the experimental process is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The effect of dispersion of ITO NPs on their optical and electrical properties was investigated systematically. In addition, the equations established based on the dispersion of ITO NPs in our paper can be used to estimate their optical and electrical properties, which will provide scholars with theoretical basis and guiding signifcance about the efect of dispersibility on the performance of the powders.

# **2 Experiment**

Metal indium (purity  $> 99.99\%$ ) was purchased from Liuzhou Smelting Co. Ltd.  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  (purity > 99.99%) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Ammonia was purchased from Beijing Chemical Factory. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was purchased from Bailingwei Technology Co. Ltd. All reagents were not further purifed.

22.8 g of metal indium was dissolved into concentrated nitric acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>) at 50 °C (Solution A), and then 7.01 g of  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  was dissolved into deionized water (Solution B). The mixed solution was obtained by mixing the above two solutions (Solution A and B). CTAB with diferent concentrations was added to the mixed solution, including 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 g/L. Ammonia was used as precipitant and pH regulator to adjust the pH to 9, stirring for 2 h. The precipitate was washed with DI water and ethanol for several times, respectively, until the ions of chlorine and nitrate were removed completely. And then, indium tin hydroxide, the precursor, was dried at 30 °C for 10 h. ITO NP was obtained by calcining the precursor in a muffle furnace at 600 °C for 4 h.

The crystal structure of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs and ITO NPs was studied by X-ray powder difraction (XRD, D8 Advance). X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250) and Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR, NICOLTE 6700) were used to study the characteristic of chemical bond of  $In(OH)_{3}$  NPs and ITO NPs. The dispersion and particle size of ITO powders were investigated by Zeta potentiometer (Zeta PALS) and scanning electron microscope (SEM, HITACHI S-4700) respectively. The light performance and electrical performance of ITO NPs were tested by UV–visible spectrophotometer (UV-3600) and four-probe resistance meter (SZ-82) respectively.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of the experimental process

## **3 Results and discussion**

## **3.1 The phase characterization of ITO NPs**

The synthesis conditions may have an infuence on the growth and crystal phase of nanoparticles [\[27–](#page-8-16)[31](#page-8-17)]. In order to explore the crystal phase and formation process of ITO NPs synthesized with diferent concentrations of CTAB, the tests of XRD and FT-IR were carried out. The XRD pattern of the precursors and fnal products prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) As can be seen from Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a, the difraction peaks of all the precursors can be indexed to the same crystal phase of  $In(OH)_{3}$ , and there are no other impurity phases, indicating that CTAB as a dispersant adsorbed on the surface of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> did not change the crystal structure of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs. Cubic phase ITO NPs were obtained after calcination of  $In(OH)_{3}$ , the XRD patterns are shown in Fig. [2b](#page-2-0) and the sharp difraction peaks indicate high crystallinity.

The formation mechanism of ITO NPs can be explained by Eqs. [\(1](#page-2-1)) and [\(2\)](#page-2-2). Ammonia was used as precipitant and pH regulator in this work, and then the precursor,  $In(OH)_{3}$ , was obtained in alkaline conditions (Eq. [1](#page-2-1)), which can be proved by the XRD pattern shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a. ITO NPs were fnally prepared by dehydrating of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> in a muffle furnace at 600 °C for 4 h (Eq. [2](#page-2-2)).

$$
\text{In}^{3+} + 3\text{OH}^- \stackrel{\text{pH} = 9}{\longrightarrow} \text{In} (\text{OH})_3 \tag{1}
$$

$$
2\text{In(OH)}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Calcining}} \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
$$
 (2)

The FT-IR spectra of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> synthesized with different concentrations of CTAB is shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0), there are many

characteristic absorption peaks. The absorption peaks at 500 cm−1 and 3235 cm−1 can be attributed to the stretching vibrations of In-OH and O–H, respectively. The absorption peaks at 1385 cm−1 and 1616 cm−1 represent the stretching vibrations of C–C and C–N, indicating the adsorption of CTAB on In(OH)<sub>3</sub> surface. The absorption peak at 3380 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to the stretching vibration of O–H of  $H_2O$  [[32](#page-8-18)]. Two new absorption peaks appear at 603 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 568 cm<sup>-1</sup> on account of the formation of ITO NPs after calcination [[33\]](#page-8-19). Additionally, the XRD pattern of the precursors (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a) confirms the formation of  $In(OH)_{3}$ , while the  $O_{1s}$  XPS spectra of the fnal products proves the formation of ITO NPs (Fig. [3b](#page-3-0)).

#### **3.2 The efects of CTAB on the dispersion of ITO NPs**

As we all know, the dispersion of powders is closely related to their Zeta potential. The higher the absolute value of Zeta potential, the greater the electrostatic repulsion among the nanoparticles, and the better their dispersion. In other words, the dispersion of NPs increases signifcantly with the increase of their absolute value of Zeta potential. The surface repulsion energy  $(\Phi)$  among the particles can be calculated via Eq.  $(3)$ according to DLVO theory [\[34](#page-8-20)].

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\Phi = \frac{64n_0kT\gamma_0^2}{\delta} \exp(-\delta d) \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Wherein,  $n_0$  represents the particle concentration,  $k$  is Boltzmann constant, *T* is absolute temperature, *d* is the distance between particles,  $\delta$  represents the reciprocal of the thickness of the double layer,  $\gamma_0$  can be explained via Eq. [\(4](#page-2-4)).

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\gamma_0 = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{ze\mathbf{\Psi}_0}{2kT}\right) - 1}{\exp\left(\frac{ze\mathbf{\Psi}_0}{2kT}\right) + 1} \tag{4}
$$



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** XRD pattern of **a**  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> and **b** ITO NPs prepared with different concentrations of CTAB



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 3 a** FT-IR spectra of In(OH)<sub>3</sub> prepared with different concentrations of CTAB and **b** O<sub>1s</sub> XPS spectra of ITO nanoparticles

In this equation, *ze* is the electric quantity of particles,  $\Psi_0$ is the surface potential. Therefore, the dispersion of powders can be improved by increasing the absolute value of surface potential measured via Zeta potentiometer. The higher the absolute value of surface potential, the better the dispersion of nanoparticles.

In order to select the appropriate pH value, the Zeta potential of the precursors at diferent pH values were tested, shown in Fig. [4](#page-3-1). It can be seen that the Zeta potential keeps decreasing as the pH value increases from 2 to 9. In addition, the isoelectric point (IEP) can be reached at the pH value of about 8, indicating that the colloidal particles of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> are negatively charged when pH value is greater than 8, which is conducive to the adsorption of CTAB as a cationic surfactant on the surface of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs. Therefore, the pH of 9 is chosen as the optimal condition to obtain the precipitation of indium tin hydroxide.

When the pH value of the solution is 9, the  $In(OH)_{3}$  colloid is negatively charged. The structure of  $In(OH)_{3}$  colloidal group and dispersion mechanism of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs prepared with CTAB as dispersant can be explained by Fig. [5.](#page-4-0) As an excellent cationic surfactant, CTAB is easily adsorbed onto negatively charged surface of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> powders. CTAB, also as a strong electrolyte, is dissociated completely when the  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs is dispersed into water. Due to the dissociation of CTAB, the surface charge of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs changes from negative to positive. Meanwhile, the steric efect can further increase the dispersion of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs on account of the long-chain of CTAB adsorbed on the surface.

Figure [6a](#page-4-1) shows the Zeta potential of  $In(OH)_{3}$  NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB and the inserts are SEM images of the corresponding ITO NPs. As can be seen from Fig. [6](#page-4-1)a, the Zeta potential increases with the



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Zeta potential of  $In(OH)_{3}$  prepared at different pH values

increase of CTAB concentration. When the concentration of CTAB is added to 1.5 g/L, the Zeta potential almost no longer increase, indicating that the adsorption capacity of CTAB on the surface of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs reaches to saturation. And the Zeta potential value of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> increases from  $-4.5$ to 13.0 mV, indicating that the dispersion of  $In(OH)_{3}$  NPs is promoted significantly. Meanwhile, the steric effect can also further increase the dispersion of  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs on account of the long-chain of CTAB adsorbed on the surface. Therefore, ITO NPs with high dispersibility can be prepared by dehydrated of the as-prepared  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> NPs. And the inserted SEM images show the consistent results. In addition, Fig. [6](#page-4-1)b shows a narrow size distribution of ITO NPs obtained at the optimum addition amount of 1.5 g/L of CTAB, and the particle size ranges from 15 to 30 nm [[35](#page-8-21), [36](#page-8-22)].

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 6 **a** Zeta potential of In(OH)<sub>3</sub> and the inserted SEM images of corresponding ITO NPs prepared with different concentrations of CTAB: (i) 0.0 g/L, (ii) 0.5 g/L, (iii) 1.0 g/L, (iv) 1.5 g/L, (v) 2.0 g/L, **b** TEM image of ITO NPs prepared with 1.5 g/L of CTAB

# **3.3 The efects of dispersion on the light performance of ITO NPs**

As one of important conductive substrates for photoelectric materials, ITO powders with high visible light transmittance and low resistivity are indispensable for preparing ITO conductive flms of high quality [\[37\]](#page-8-23). Figure [7](#page-5-0) shows the visible light transmittance spectra of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB. As shown in Fig. [7](#page-5-0), the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs is increased from 70 to 92%, indicating the excellent efect of CTAB on improving the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs. It is obvious that the maximum visible light transmittance reaches 92% at the CTAB concentration of 1.5 g/L. This result can be explained via porosity which is a function of the refractive index shown in Eqs. [\(5](#page-4-2)[–7\)](#page-5-1) [\[38](#page-8-24), [39\]](#page-8-25).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
n^2 = H + \sqrt{H^2 - n_0^2 n_s^2}
$$
 (5)

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} (n_0^2 + n_s^2) + 2n_0 n_s \left[ \frac{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{max}} T_{\text{min}}} \right]
$$
 (6)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Visible light transmittance spectra of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB

In this equation, *n* represents the refractive index,  $n_0$  is the refractive index of air (1.00027),  $n<sub>s</sub>$  is the refractive index of the substrate (BaSO<sub>4</sub>) (1.636),  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{min}$  represent the upper and lower envelopes of the transmission spectrum, respectively.

$$
Porosity = \left(1 - \frac{n^2 - 1}{n_d^2 - 1}\right)100\%
$$
 (7)

Wherein, *n* represents the refractive index,  $n_d$  is the refractive index of ITO NPs in a fully dense state  $(n_d=2.1)$ .

Figure [8](#page-5-2) shows the refractive spectra and porosity of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB.

As shown in Fig. [8a](#page-5-2), the refractive index decreases with the increase of wavelength, and this result was also obtained by Sasi et al. [[40](#page-8-26)]. The calculation results of the porosity according to Eq. [\(7\)](#page-5-1) are shown in Fig. [8b](#page-5-2). In addition, Table [1](#page-5-3) shows the efect of the absolute value of Zeta potential on the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs. As can be seen from Table [1,](#page-5-3) the ITO NPs with high absolute value of Zeta potential have a low porosity, showing small refractive index. The test results of the porosity of ITO NPs also show the same conclusion in Fig. [8b](#page-5-2). Therefore, the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs was increased signifcantly. In other words, the dispersion of ITO NPs plays an important role in increasing the visible light transmittance. There is a favorable linear relationship between the visible light transmittance (*T*) of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential  $(\mu)$ , shown in Fig. [9](#page-6-0). Equation  $(8)$ shows the corresponding ftting equation, and the correlation coefficient of 98.64% indicates highly linear relationship between the experimental data and the ftting line.

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
T = 60.862 + 2.287 \mu (r^2 = 98.64\%)
$$
 (8)

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Table 1 Effect of the absolute value of Zeta potential on the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Concentration (g/L)	Absolute value of Zeta potential (mV)	Min porosity (%)	Max trans- mittance $(\% )$
	4.5	46	70
0.5	1.0	48	65
1.0	7.1	42.5	75
1.5	13.0	32.5	92
2.0	12.8	37.5	78



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 8 a** Refractive spectra and **b** porosity of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB

## **3.4 The efects of dispersion on the electrical performance of ITO NPs**

Both optical and electrical properties are important for ITO flms. Figure [10](#page-6-1) shows the resistivity of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB. It is obvious that the concentration of CTAB plays a signifcant role in decreasing resistivity of ITO NPs, which can be confrmed by Fig. [10.](#page-6-1) In addition, the smaller the porosity, the lower the resistivity [\[41\]](#page-8-27). Due to the increase in the absolute value of Zeta potential, the porosity of ITO



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Linear ft of the visible light transmittance of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 10** Resistivity of ITO NPs prepared with diferent concentrations of CTAB

NPs decreased (Table [1\)](#page-5-3), resulting in a lower resistivity of ITO NPs synthesized with 1.5 g/L of CTAB than that of ITO NPs prepared without CTAB assisted. With the increase of the concentration of CTAB, the absolute value of Zeta potential of ITO NPs increases signifcantly until the adsorption of CTAB on the surface of ITO NPs reaches saturation, which causes the resistivity of ITO NPs to decrease frst and then remain stable. This result can also be obtained from Table [2.](#page-7-5) The minimum value of resistivity of  $3.5 \times 10^{-1} \Omega$  cm is obtained under the condition that the absolute value of Zeta potential of ITO NPs is 13 mV. In addition, the linear ft between the resistivity of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential is shown in Fig. [11.](#page-7-6) Equation [\(9](#page-6-2)) is the corresponding ftting equation, and the correlation coefficient of 99.27% shows a favorable linear relationship between the absolute value of Zeta potential  $(\mu)$  of ITO NP and its resistivity  $(\rho)$ . In other words, the dispersion of ITO NPs plays an important role in decreasing their resistivity.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\rho = 0.7968 - 0.0350\mu (r^2 = 99.27\%) \tag{9}
$$

Table [3](#page-7-7) shows the summarization of resistivity of ITO NPs prepared via diferent methods. As can be seen from Table [3,](#page-7-7) the resistivity of ITO NPs synthesized by coprecipitation method is the lowest. And the resistivity of ITO NPs prepared without CTAB assisted in this paper is similar to those of Refs. [[26\]](#page-8-15) and [[43](#page-8-28)]. However, the resistivity of ITO NPs prepared with 1.5 g/L of CTAB is signifcantly lower than those of Refs. [[26\]](#page-8-15) and [[43](#page-8-28)]. Therefore, CTAB can signifcantly reduce the resistivity



<span id="page-7-5"></span>Table 2 Effect of the absolute value of Zeta potential on the resistivity

Number	Concentration (g/L)	Absolute value of Zeta potential (mV)	Resistiv- ity ( $\Omega$ cm)
		4.5	0.64
$\mathcal{L}$	0.5	1.0	0.78
3	1.0	7.1	0.51
$\overline{4}$	1.5	13.0	0.35
	2.0	12.8	0.36



<span id="page-7-6"></span>Fig. 11 Linear fit of the resistivity of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential

<span id="page-7-7"></span>**Table 3** Summarization of resistivity of ITO NPs prepared via diferent methods

References	Method	Resistivity of ITO NPs $(\Omega$ ·cm)
$\lceil 26 \rceil$	Co-precipitation	0.64
[42]	Hydrothermal	1.25
[43]	Co-precipitation	4.90
[44]	Supercritical solvothermal	0.64
[45]	Co-precipitation	2.51
This paper	Co-precipitation (no CTAB)	0.65
	Co-precipitation (with CTAB)	0.35

of ITO NPs. In other words, the high dispersion of ITO NPs has a great contribution to decreasing its resistivity.

## **4 Conclusion**

In this paper, a facile and efective method for decreasing the resistivity and increasing the visible light transmittance of ITO NPs was reported. CTAB as an excellent dispersant was used to improve the performance of ITO NPs synthesized by chemical coprecipitation method for the frst time. When the concentration of CTAB was added to 1.5 g/L, the surface potential of ITO NPs was improved from −4.5 to 13.0 mV, which caused a signifcant increase in visible light transmittance of ITO NPs from 70 to 92% and a remarkable decrease in resistivity from  $6.5 \times 10^{-1}$  to  $3.5 \times 10^{-1} \Omega$  cm. The fitting equation between the visible light transmittance (*T*) of ITO NP and its absolute value of Zeta potential  $(\mu)$  was  $T = 60.862 + 2.287\mu$ , while the fitting equation of its resistivity  $(\rho)$  and absolute value of Zeta potential ( $\mu$ ) was  $\rho = 0.7968 - 0.0350\mu$ . The correlation coefficients of the above two equations were  $98.64\%$ and 99.27%, respectively, indicating a highly linear relationship between the experimental data and the ftting line. This result showed that the excellent dispersion of ITO NPs had a great contribution to improving its optical and electric properties. In addition, the equation established based on the dispersion of ITO NPs in our paper can be used to forecast their optical and electric performance. And it is of important guiding signifcance and theoretical basis for domestic and foreign scholars to further improve the performance of ITO NPs. We believe that the optical and electric properties of ITO will be further increased via synthesizing ITO NPs with high dispersibility.

**Acknowledgements** This work was fnancially supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 2192041).

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflicts of interest** There are no conficts of interest to declare.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. G. Genesio, J. Maynadie, M. Carboni, D. Meyer, New J. Chem. **42**, 2351–2363 (2018)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. S. Yang, J. Zhong, B. Sun, X. Zeng, W. Luo, X. Zhao, Y. Shu, J. Chen, J. He, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. **30**, 13005–13012 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. A. Murali, H.Y. Sohn, Mater. Res. Express **5**, 065045 (2018)
- 4. Y. Yan, Y. Wei, C. Zhao, M. Shi, L. Chen, C. Fan, M.J. Carnie, R. Yang, Y. Xu, J. Solid State Chem. **269**, 24–29 (2019)
- 5. Y. Shao, X. Xiao, L. Wang, Y. Liu, S. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. **24**, 4170–4175 (2014)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>6. A. Dolgonos, T.O. Mason, K.R. Poeppelmeier, J. Solid State Chem. **240**, 43–48 (2016)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>7. Y. Luo, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, CrystEngComm **19**, 6972–6978 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>9. E.B. Aydin, M.K. Sezginturk, Trac-Trends Anal. Chem. **97**, 309– 315 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>10. B.C. Yadav, K. Agrahari, S. Singh, T.P. Yadav, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. **27**, 4172–4179 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>11. O.V. Zhilova, S.Y. Pankov, A.V. Sitnikov, Y.E. Kalinin, M.N. Volochaev, V.A. Makagonov, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. **30**, 11859–11867 (2019)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>12. S.J. Shih, Y.C. Lin, S.H. Lin, P. Veteska, D. Galusek, W.H. Tuan, Ceram. Int. **42**, 11324–11329 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>13. R.R. Kumar, K.N. Rao, K. Rajanna, A.R. Phani, Mater. Res. Bull. **52**, 167–176 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>14. T. Ito, H. Uchiyama, H. Kozuka, Langmuir **33**, 5314–5320 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>15. S. Khalid, E. Ahmed, M.A. Malik, D.J. Lewis, S.A. Bakar, Y. Khan, P. Brien, New J. Chem. **39**, 1013–1021 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>16. C.J. Capozzi, R.A. Gerhardt, Adv. Funct. Mater. **17**, 2515–2521 (2007)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>17. C. Kim, Y.H. Kim, Y.Y. Noh, S.J. Hong, M.J. Lee, Adv. Electron. Mater. **4**, 1700429 (2018)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>18. A.H. Ali, A.S. Bakar, Z. Hassan, Appl. Surf. Sci. **315**, 387–391 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>19. C. David, B.P. Tinkham, P. Prunici, A. Panckow, Surf. Coat. Technol. **314**, 113–117 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>20. H. Zhang, C. Nie, J. Wang, R. Guan, D. Cao, Talanta **195**, 713– 719 (2019)
- 21. E. Ye, S.Y. Zhang, S.H. Lim, S. Liu, M.Y. Han, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **12**, 11923–11929 (2010)
- 22. X. Zhai, Y. Chen, Y. Ma, Y. Liu, J. Liu, Ceram. Int. (2019). [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.05.319) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.05.319](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.05.319)
- 23. S.C. Kulkarni, D.S. Patil, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. **27**, 3731–3735 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>24. Y. Yu, S. Qu, D. Zang, L. Wang, H. Wu, Nanoscale Res. Lett. **13**, 50 (2018)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>25. G.G. Xu, X.D. Zhang, W. He, H. Liu, H. Li, R.I. Boughton, Mater. Lett. **60**, 962–965 (2006)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>26. Y.Q. Zhang, J.X. Liu, Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. **33**, 249–254 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>27. D. Lan, M. Qin, R. Yang, H. Wu, Z. Jia, K. Kou, G. Wu, Y. Fan, Q. Fu, F. Zhang, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron. **30**, 8771–8776 (2019)
- 28. T.I. Zubar, V.M. Fedosyuk, A.V. Trukhanov, N.N. Kovaleva, K.A. Astapovich, D.A. Vinnik, E.L. Trukhanova, A.L. Kozlovskiy,

M.V. Zdorovets, A.A. Solobai, D.I. Tishkevich, S.V. Trukhanov, J. Electrochem. Soc. **166**, D173–D180 (2019)

- 29. Y. Masuda, T. Ohji, K. Kato, J. Solid State Chem. **189**, 21–24 (2012)
- 30. F. Mei, T. Yuan, R. Li, K. Qin, W. Zhao, S. Jiang, Ceram. Int. **44**, 7491–7499 (2018)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>31. H. Wu, G. Wu, Y. Ren, X. Li, L. Wang, Chemistry A **22**, 8864– 8871 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>32. D. Selvakumar, N. Dharmaraj, K. Kadirvelu, N.S. Kumar, V.C. Padaki, Spectrochimica Acta Part A **133**, 335–339 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>33. L.T. Lin, L. Tang, R. Zhang, C. Deng, D.J. Chen, L.W. Cao, J.-X. Meng, Mater. Res. Bull. **64**, 139–145 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>34. Y. Liu, J. Liu, Mater. Res. Express **6** (2019)
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>35. B. Warcholinski, A. Gilewicz, T.A. Kuznetsova, T.I. Zubar, S.A. Chizhik, S.O. Abetkovskaia, V.A. Lapitskaya, Surf. Coat. Technol. **319**, 117–128 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>36. Z. Chen, X. Qin, T. Zhou, X. Wu, S. Shao, M. Xie, Z. Cui, J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 11464–11470 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>37. J. Parra Barranco, F.J. Garcia Garcia, V. Rico, A. Borras, C. Lopez Santos, F. Frutos, A. Barranco, A.R. Gonzalez Elipe, Acs Appl. Mater. Interfaces **7**, 10993–11001 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>38. H.N. Cui, V. Teixeira, A. Monteiro, Vacuum **67**, 589–594 (2002)
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>39. M. Gross, A. Winnacker, P.J. Wellmann, Thin Solid Films **515**, 8567–8572 (2007)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>40. B. Sasi, K.G. Gopchandran, P.K. Manoj, P. Koshy, P. Prabhakara Rao, V.K. Vaidyan, Vacuum **68**, 149–154 (2002)
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>41. W.F. Cai, J.F. Geng, K.B. Pu, Q. Ma, D.W. Jing, Y.H. Wang, Q.Y. Chen, H. Liu, Chem. Eng. J. **333**, 572–582 (2018)
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>42. Y. Zhang, J. Liu, Chem. J. Chin. Univ. Chin. **38**, 1110–1116 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>43. V. Senthilkumar, K. Senthil, P. Vickraman, Mater. Res. Bull. **47**, 1051–1056 (2012)
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>44. B. Shong, N. Shin, Y.H. Lee, K.H. Ahn, Y.W. Lee, J. Supercrit. Fluids **113**, 39–43 (2016)
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>45. X. Zhang, J. Liu, Rare Met. Mater. Eng. **46**, 1714–1718 (2017)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.