# **Photocatalytic WO3/TiO2 nanoparticles working under visible light**

**Seung Yong Chai** *·* **Yong Joo Kim** *·* **Wan In Lee**

Received: 28 June 2005 / Revised: 3 March 2006 / Accepted: 9 May 2006  $©$  Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2006

**Abstract**  $WO_3/TiO_2$  was prepared by modifying the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with clusters of crystallized WO<sub>3</sub>. Previously, we have reported that the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  covered with the monolayer of WO<sub>3</sub> shows greatly enhanced photocatalytic activity under UV light in decomposing VOCs. Here we report that the  $WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  can also be activated by visible light in the photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous 2-propanol. The structure of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD), TEM, UV-Visible and Raman spectra. The samples with 10 mol% of  $WO_3$  annealed at 700 $°C$  provide the optimum photocatalytic efficiency in visible range. We also suggest the mechanism for the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  working under visible light.

**Keywords** Nanoparticle . Visible light . Photocatalyst .  $WO_3/TiO_2 \cdot TiO_2$ 

#### **1 Introduction**

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  has been known as the most efficient photocatalyst under UV light irradiation with its unique characteristics in band position and surface structure [1–3]. Extensive research has been conducted on the application of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to the photocatalytic purification of water and air, as well as self-cleaning and super-hydrophilic smart materials [4–7].

Previously, we reported that by covering the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with the monolayer of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in decomposing gaseous 2-propanol was greatly enhanced under UV light [8]. When we measured the photocatalytic activity in visible range with the same sample,

S. Y. Chai  $\cdot$  Y. J. Kim  $\cdot$  W. I. Lee  $(\boxtimes)$ Department of Chemistry, Inha University, #253, Yonghyun-dong, Nam-ku, Incheon 402-751, Korea e-mail: wanin@inha.ac.kr; chaidragon@hanmail.net

however, its activity was very low and not appreciably different from that of the pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Considering the visible-range band-gap and the high surface area of  $WO_3$  covering the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , it is rather unexpected result, but this would be rationalized by the following reasons. First, the  $WO_3/TiO_2$ samples are only annealed at 200◦C. At this temperature, the  $WO<sub>3</sub> covering the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface remains in amorphous, and$ the band gap may not be defined in this state. Second, intrinsically  $WO_3$  itself is not an efficient photocatalyst, even though its absorption band-edge is positioned in the visible region.

Several strategies have been so far tried to obtain efficient photocatalysts with the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  system. A few reports indicated that the  $WO_3$ -doped  $TiO_2$  or  $TiO_2$ -WO<sub>3</sub> bilayers were photocatalytically effective under visible light [8–10]. In this work, we report a systematic study on the composites of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  for the application to the photocatalyst working in visible range. Several compositions of  $WO_{3}/T_{1}O_{2}$  annealed at different temperatures were prepared, and the structures and the optical properties as well as their photocatalytic activities were characterized.

### **2 Experimental**

Degussa P25 with an average particle size of 25 nm was chosen as the standard  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the incipient wetness method as described below. 1.0 g of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle was suspended in 50 ml of 2.5 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH aqueous solution dissolved with a stoichiometric amount of  $H<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub>$  (99%, Aldrich), and the suspension was dried in a water bath maintained at 60◦C while vigorous stirring [8, 12]. With this procedure, the W precursors cover the surface of TiO2. The dried sample was then heat-treated in air at several temperatures (200–900 $°C$ ) for 2 hr.

The prepared  $WO_3/TiO_2$  samples were tested as visiblerange photocatalysts for the decomposition of 2-propanol in gas phase. For the photocatalytic measurements the aqueous colloidal suspensions containing 2.0 mg of  $WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  were spread as a film on a  $2.5 \times 2.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> Pyrex glass, and subsequently dried at 50℃ for 2 hr. The gas reactor system used for this photocatalytic reaction is described elsewhere [12]. The whole area of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  film was irradiated by a 300 W Xe lamp through an UV cut-off filter (<400 nm, Oriel) and a water filter. After the evacuation of reactor, 1.6  $\mu$ l of 2-propanol and 3.2  $\mu$ l of water were added. In the reactor their partial pressures were 2 and 16 Torr, respectively. The total pressure of the reactor was then controlled to 700 Torr by addition of oxygen gas. After irradiation of 60 min, 0.5 mL of gas sample in the reactor was automatically picked up and sent to a gas chromatograph (Young Lin M600D) by using an autosampling valve system (Valco Instruments Inc. A60).

X-ray powder diffraction patterns for the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  particles were obtained by using a Rigaku Multiflex diffractometer. TEM images of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  particle were observed by Philips CM30 transmission electron microscope operated at 250 kV. The UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 40, and the Raman spectra were recorded by a BRUKER RFS 100/S FT-Raman spectrophotometer.

#### **3 Results and discussion**

To prepare a junctioned structure between  $WO_3$  and  $TiO_2$ , the 10 mol %  $WO_3$ -loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were annealed at the temperature of 200–900◦C. As shown in Fig. 1a, the crystal phase of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  begins to form for the samples annealed at 600 $\degree$ C. Figure 1b indicates the XRD patterns of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> annealed at  $700\degree$ C as a function of WO<sub>3</sub> compositions. The crystallized  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  was appeared for the samples retaining more than 10 mol% of  $WO_3$ . Thus, the composition of  $WO_3$ and the annealing temperature needs to be at least 10 mol % and 600◦C, respectively, for the preparation of crystallized  $WO_3$  on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>.

The TEM images of Fig. 2 describe the 10 mol % WO<sub>3</sub>-loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles annealed at 700 and 900 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. For the samples heat-treated at 900◦C, the individual TiO<sub>2</sub> particles were merged to form the  $50-100$  nmsized rutile particles, and the  $2-3$  nm-sized  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters were uniformly dispersed over their surfaces without mutual aggregation. This suggests  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  has a good binding affinity toward TiO<sub>2</sub>. For the samples annealed at  $700\degree C$ , the size of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was not appreciably changed compared with that of the original Degussa P25. However,  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters were not identified over the entire region, as shown in Fig. 2c and d. This is not compatible with the result of XRD patterns, but



**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  samples. (a) 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$ and pure  $WO_3$  annealed at several temperatures. (b)  $WO_3/TiO_2$  in various WO<sub>3</sub> compositions annealed at  $700^{\circ}$ C



**Fig. 2** TEM images for the several  $10 \,\text{mol}\%$  WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>. (a) Annealed at 900◦C. (b) Magnified image of (a). (c) Annealed at 700◦C. (d) Magnified image of (c)



**Fig. 3** Diffuse reflectance spectra of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  in different  $WO_3$  concentrations (a), and annealed at different temperatures (b)

we guess that the crystallite size of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters is tiny at this process temperature.

Figure 3 shows the diffuse reflectance spectra of  $WO_3/$  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  powders in different  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations and annealed at several temperatures. For the comparison, the spectra of pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and WO<sub>3</sub> powders were also included in Fig. 3a. The absorption band edge of bulk  $WO_3$  was about 420 nm, which is red-shifted by  $\sim 80$  nm from that of pure TiO<sub>2</sub>. For the samples heat-treated at  $700\degree C$ , the minimum mol% of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  inducing the band-edge shift was determined to 10 mol%. Figure 3b indicates the annealing at 600◦C is necessary to induce the shift of band-edge for the 10 mol%  $WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Thus, the band-edge shift is caused by the formation of crystallized  $WO_3$  phase on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The Raman spectra for the 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$  annealed at several temperatures were shown in Fig. 4. The peaks of  $807 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to WO<sub>3</sub> stretching mode begin to appear for the samples annealed at 600◦C or above. This also indicates the formation temperature of crystallized  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  is 600◦C.

We evaluated the photocatalytic activities of  $WO_3/TiO_2$ under a visible light as a function of the concentration of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  and the annealing temperature, as shown in Fig. 5. The photocatalytic activity was estimated by the decomposition%



**Fig. 4** Raman spectra for the 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$  annealed at several temperatures



**Fig. 5** Decomposition percentages of 2-propanol by the visible-light photocatalytic reaction with  $WO_3/TiO_2$  derived at different temperature and at different mol% of  $WO_3$ . For each sample, the visible light over 400 nm was irradiated for 60 min, and the gas compositions in the reactor were 2.0 Torr of 2-propanol, 16 Torr of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ , and 682 Torr of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ 

of 2-propanol in gas phase, after a 60 min of visible light irradiation. It was found that the 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$  samples annealed at 700◦C showed the highest photocatalytic efficiency in decomposing 2-propanol. Compared with pure TiO2, it showed about 20 times of photocatalytic efficiency under a visible light.

WO<sub>3</sub> on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface begins to crystallize at  $600\degree C$ , as observed from the XRD patterns and Raman spectra. With this heat-treatment, the crystallized  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters are junctioned to TiO<sub>2</sub>, and the resultant  $WO_3/TiO_2$  can absorb the visible light in the wavelength over 400 nm, as described by diffuse reflectance spectra. Figure 6 describes a schematic diagram for the photocatalytic mechanism of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  system. If the  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  is excited by visible light, the holes will be formed in the valence band of  $WO_3$ . Then, the electrons in the valence band of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  can move to that of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ . Finally, the



**Fig. 6** Schematic diagram describing the photocatalytic mechanism in the visible light for the  $WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  system

holes generated in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  can induce the photocatalytic oxidation reactions.

For the samples annealed at 900◦C, the photocatalytic activity was very low, even though the  $WO_3$  was highly crystallized. This may be caused by the formation of the large  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ particles in rutile phase, and also clearly indicates  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  itself is not an efficient photocatalyst.

## **4 Conclusions**

The WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> with 10 mol% of WO<sub>3</sub> annealed at 700 $\degree$ C presents 20 times of enhanced photocatalytic activity under a visible light in decomposing 2-propanol, compared with Degussa P25. With the irradiation of visible light the crystallized  $WO_3$  clusters on the surface of  $TiO_2$  will be excited, and subsequently the electrons in the valence band of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ 

can be trapped to that of  $WO_3$ . Then, the generated holes in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  would be used for the photocatalytic oxidation reaction.

**Acknowledgment** This work has been supported by the Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea (Project No. 022-061-026).

#### **References**

- 1. A.J. Nozik, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **29**, 189 (1978).
- 2. M.R. Hoffmann, *Chem. Rev.*, **95**, 69 (1995).
- 3. C.S. Turchi, and D.F. Ollis, *J. Catal.*, **122**, 178 (1990).
- 4. M. Miyauch, A. Nakajima, T. Watanabe, and K. Hahimoto, *Chem. Mater.*, **14**, 2812 (2002).
- 5. R. Wang, K. Hahimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi, and T. Watanabe, *Nature*, **388**, 431 (1997).
- 6. R. Wang, K. Hahimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi, and T. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, **10**, 135 (1998).
- 7. K. Vinodgopal, U. Stafford, K.A. Gray, and P.V. Kamat, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **98**, 6797 (1994).
- 8. K.Y. Song, M.K. Park, Y.T. Kwon, H.W. Lee, W.J. Chung, and W.I. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, **13**, 2349 (2001).
- 9. X.Z. Li, F.B. Li, C.L. Yang, and W.K. Ge, *J. Photoch. Photobio. A.*, **141**, 209, (2001).
- 10. T. Takahashi, H. Nakabayashi, N. Ymada, and J. Tanabe, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A.*, **21**, 1409 (2003).
- 11. M. Anpo and M. Takeuchi, *J. Catal.*, **216**, 505 (2003).
- 12. Y.T. Kwon, K.Y. Song, W.I. Lee, G.J. Choi, and Y.R. Do, *J. Catal.*, **191**, 192 (2000).
- 13. A. Fuerte, M.D. Hernandez-Alonso, A.J. Maira, A. Martinez-Arias, M. Fernandez-Garcia, J.C. Conesa, J. Soria, and G. Munuera, *J. Catal.*, **212**, 1 (2002).