# Photocatalytic WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles working under visible light

Seung Yong Chai · Yong Joo Kim · Wan In Lee

Received: 28 June 2005 / Revised: 3 March 2006 / Accepted: 9 May 2006 © Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2006

Abstract  $WO_3/TiO_2$  was prepared by modifying the surface of  $TiO_2$  with clusters of crystallized  $WO_3$ . Previously, we have reported that the  $TiO_2$  covered with the monolayer of  $WO_3$  shows greatly enhanced photocatalytic activity under UV light in decomposing VOCs. Here we report that the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  can also be activated by visible light in the photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous 2-propanol. The structure of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD), TEM, UV-Visible and Raman spectra. The samples with 10 mol% of  $WO_3$  annealed at 700°C provide the optimum photocatalytic efficiency in visible range. We also suggest the mechanism for the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  working under visible light.

Keywords Nanoparticle  $\cdot$  Visible light  $\cdot$  Photocatalyst  $\cdot$  WO\_3/TiO\_2  $\cdot$  TiO\_2

#### **1** Introduction

 $TiO_2$  has been known as the most efficient photocatalyst under UV light irradiation with its unique characteristics in band position and surface structure [1–3]. Extensive research has been conducted on the application of TiO<sub>2</sub> to the photocatalytic purification of water and air, as well as self-cleaning and super-hydrophilic smart materials [4–7].

Previously, we reported that by covering the surface of  $TiO_2$  with the monolayer of WO<sub>3</sub> the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO_2$  in decomposing gaseous 2-propanol was greatly enhanced under UV light [8]. When we measured the photocatalytic activity in visible range with the same sample,

S. Y. Chai · Y. J. Kim · W. I. Lee (⊠) Department of Chemistry, Inha University, #253, Yonghyun-dong, Nam-ku, Incheon 402-751, Korea e-mail: wanin@inha.ac.kr; chaidragon@hanmail.net however, its activity was very low and not appreciably different from that of the pure TiO<sub>2</sub>. Considering the visible-range band-gap and the high surface area of WO<sub>3</sub> covering the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>, it is rather unexpected result, but this would be rationalized by the following reasons. First, the WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples are only annealed at 200°C. At this temperature, the WO<sub>3</sub> covering the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface remains in amorphous, and the band gap may not be defined in this state. Second, intrinsically WO<sub>3</sub> itself is not an efficient photocatalyst, even though its absorption band-edge is positioned in the visible region.

Several strategies have been so far tried to obtain efficient photocatalysts with the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  system. A few reports indicated that the  $WO_3$ -doped  $TiO_2$  or  $TiO_2$ - $WO_3$  bilayers were photocatalytically effective under visible light [8–10]. In this work, we report a systematic study on the composites of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  for the application to the photocatalyst working in visible range. Several compositions of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  annealed at different temperatures were prepared, and the structures and the optical properties as well as their photocatalytic activities were characterized.

## 2 Experimental

Degussa P25 with an average particle size of 25 nm was chosen as the standard TiO<sub>2</sub>. WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the incipient wetness method as described below. 1.0 g of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle was suspended in 50 ml of 2.5 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH aqueous solution dissolved with a stoichiometric amount of H<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> (99%, Aldrich), and the suspension was dried in a water bath maintained at 60°C while vigorous stirring [8, 12]. With this procedure, the W precursors cover the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The dried sample was then heat-treated in air at several temperatures (200–900°C) for 2 hr.

The prepared WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were tested as visiblerange photocatalysts for the decomposition of 2-propanol in gas phase. For the photocatalytic measurements the aqueous colloidal suspensions containing 2.0 mg of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> were spread as a film on a  $2.5 \times 2.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> Pyrex glass, and subsequently dried at 50°C for 2 hr. The gas reactor system used for this photocatalytic reaction is described elsewhere [12]. The whole area of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> film was irradiated by a 300 W Xe lamp through an UV cut-off filter (<400 nm, Oriel) and a water filter. After the evacuation of reactor, 1.6  $\mu$ l of 2-propanol and 3.2  $\mu$ l of water were added. In the reactor their partial pressures were 2 and 16 Torr, respectively. The total pressure of the reactor was then controlled to 700 Torr by addition of oxygen gas. After irradiation of 60 min, 0.5 mL of gas sample in the reactor was automatically picked up and sent to a gas chromatograph (Young Lin M600D) by using an autosampling valve system (Valco Instruments Inc. A60).

X-ray powder diffraction patterns for the WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> particles were obtained by using a Rigaku Multiflex diffractometer. TEM images of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> particle were observed by Philips CM30 transmission electron microscope operated at 250 kV. The UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 40, and the Raman spectra were recorded by a BRUKER RFS 100/S FT-Raman spectrophotometer.

#### 3 Results and discussion

To prepare a junctioned structure between WO<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>, the 10 mol % WO<sub>3</sub>-loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were annealed at the temperature of 200–900°C. As shown in Fig. 1a, the crystal phase of WO<sub>3</sub> begins to form for the samples annealed at  $600^{\circ}$ C. Figure 1b indicates the XRD patterns of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> annealed at 700°C as a function of WO<sub>3</sub> compositions. The crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> was appeared for the samples retaining more than 10 mol% of WO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, the composition of WO<sub>3</sub> and the annealing temperature needs to be at least 10 mol % and  $600^{\circ}$ C, respectively, for the preparation of crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>.

The TEM images of Fig. 2 describe the 10 mol % WO<sub>3</sub>-loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles annealed at 700 and 900°C, respectively. For the samples heat-treated at 900°C, the individual TiO<sub>2</sub> particles were merged to form the 50–100 nm-sized rutile particles, and the 2–3 nm-sized WO<sub>3</sub> clusters were uniformly dispersed over their surfaces without mutual aggregation. This suggests WO<sub>3</sub> has a good binding affinity toward TiO<sub>2</sub>. For the samples annealed at 700°C, the size of TiO<sub>2</sub> was not appreciably changed compared with that of the original Degussa P25. However, WO<sub>3</sub> clusters were not identified over the entire region, as shown in Fig. 2c and d. This is not compatible with the result of XRD patterns, but



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  samples. (a) 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$ and pure  $WO_3$  annealed at several temperatures. (b)  $WO_3/TiO_2$  in various  $WO_3$  compositions annealed at  $700^{\circ}C$ 



Fig. 2 TEM images for the several  $10 \text{ mol}\% \text{WO}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ . (a) Annealed at  $900^\circ\text{C}$ . (b) Magnified image of (a). (c) Annealed at  $700^\circ\text{C}$ . (d) Magnified image of (c)



Fig. 3 Diffuse reflectance spectra of  $WO_3/TiO_2$  in different  $WO_3$  concentrations (a), and annealed at different temperatures (b)

we guess that the crystallite size of WO<sub>3</sub> clusters is tiny at this process temperature.

Figure 3 shows the diffuse reflectance spectra of WO<sub>3</sub>/ TiO<sub>2</sub> powders in different WO<sub>3</sub> concentrations and annealed at several temperatures. For the comparison, the spectra of pure  $TiO_2$  and  $WO_3$  powders were also included in Fig. 3a. The absorption band edge of bulk WO<sub>3</sub> was about 420 nm, which is red-shifted by  $\sim 80$  nm from that of pure TiO<sub>2</sub>. For the samples heat-treated at 700°C, the minimum mol% of WO<sub>3</sub> inducing the band-edge shift was determined to 10 mol%. Figure 3b indicates the annealing at 600°C is necessary to induce the shift of band-edge for the 10 mol% WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the band-edge shift is caused by the formation of crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> phase on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The Raman spectra for the 10 mol% WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> annealed at several temperatures were shown in Fig. 4. The peaks of  $807 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  assigned to WO<sub>3</sub> stretching mode begin to appear for the samples annealed at 600°C or above. This also indicates the formation temperature of crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> is 600°C.

We evaluated the photocatalytic activities of  $WO_3/TiO_2$ under a visible light as a function of the concentration of  $WO_3$  and the annealing temperature, as shown in Fig. 5. The photocatalytic activity was estimated by the decomposition%



Fig. 4 Raman spectra for the 10 mol%  $WO_3/TiO_2$  annealed at several temperatures



Fig. 5 Decomposition percentages of 2-propanol by the visible-light photocatalytic reaction with  $WO_3/TiO_2$  derived at different temperature and at different mol% of  $WO_3$ . For each sample, the visible light over 400 nm was irradiated for 60 min, and the gas compositions in the reactor were 2.0 Torr of 2-propanol, 16 Torr of H<sub>2</sub>O, and 682 Torr of O<sub>2</sub>

of 2-propanol in gas phase, after a 60 min of visible light irradiation. It was found that the 10 mol% WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples annealed at 700°C showed the highest photocatalytic efficiency in decomposing 2-propanol. Compared with pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, it showed about 20 times of photocatalytic efficiency under a visible light.

WO<sub>3</sub> on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface begins to crystallize at 600°C, as observed from the XRD patterns and Raman spectra. With this heat-treatment, the crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> clusters are junctioned to TiO<sub>2</sub>, and the resultant WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> can absorb the visible light in the wavelength over 400 nm, as described by diffuse reflectance spectra. Figure 6 describes a schematic diagram for the photocatalytic mechanism of WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> system. If the WO<sub>3</sub> is excited by visible light, the holes will be formed in the valence band of WO<sub>3</sub>. Then, the electrons in the valence band of TiO<sub>2</sub> can move to that of WO<sub>3</sub>. Finally, the



Fig. 6 Schematic diagram describing the photocatalytic mechanism in the visible light for the  $WO_3/TiO_2$  system

holes generated in the  $TiO_2$  can induce the photocatalytic oxidation reactions.

For the samples annealed at 900°C, the photocatalytic activity was very low, even though the WO<sub>3</sub> was highly crystallized. This may be caused by the formation of the large  $TiO_2$ particles in rutile phase, and also clearly indicates WO<sub>3</sub> itself is not an efficient photocatalyst.

## 4 Conclusions

The WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> with 10 mol% of WO<sub>3</sub> annealed at 700°C presents 20 times of enhanced photocatalytic activity under a visible light in decomposing 2-propanol, compared with Degussa P25. With the irradiation of visible light the crystallized WO<sub>3</sub> clusters on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> will be excited, and subsequently the electrons in the valence band of TiO<sub>2</sub>

can be trapped to that of WO<sub>3</sub>. Then, the generated holes in the  $TiO_2$  would be used for the photocatalytic oxidation reaction.

Acknowledgment This work has been supported by the Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea (Project No. 022-061-026).

### References

- 1. A.J. Nozik, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 29, 189 (1978).
- 2. M.R. Hoffmann, Chem. Rev., 95, 69 (1995).
- 3. C.S. Turchi, and D.F. Ollis, J. Catal., 122, 178 (1990).
- M. Miyauch, A. Nakajima, T. Watanabe, and K. Hahimoto, *Chem. Mater.*, 14, 2812 (2002).
- R. Wang, K. Hahimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi, and T. Watanabe, *Nature*, **388**, 431 (1997).
- R. Wang, K. Hahimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi, and T. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, 10, 135 (1998).
- K. Vinodgopal, U. Stafford, K.A. Gray, and P.V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem., 98, 6797 (1994).
- K.Y. Song, M.K. Park, Y.T. Kwon, H.W. Lee, W.J. Chung, and W.I. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 13, 2349 (2001).
- X.Z. Li, F.B. Li, C.L. Yang, and W.K. Ge, J. Photoch. Photobio. A., 141, 209, (2001).
- T. Takahashi, H. Nakabayashi, N. Ymada, and J. Tanabe, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A., 21, 1409 (2003).
- 11. M. Anpo and M. Takeuchi, J. Catal., 216, 505 (2003).
- Y.T. Kwon, K.Y. Song, W.I. Lee, G.J. Choi, and Y.R. Do, J. Catal., 191, 192 (2000).
- A. Fuerte, M.D. Hernandez-Alonso, A.J. Maira, A. Martinez-Arias, M. Fernandez-Garcia, J.C. Conesa, J. Soria, and G. Munuera, J. *Catal.*, 212, 1 (2002).