

# **Large extracellular space leads to neuronal susceptibility to ischemic injury in a Na+***/***K+ pumps–dependent manner**

**Niklas Hubel ¨ <sup>1</sup> · R. David Andrew<sup>2</sup> · Ghanim Ullah<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 10 September 2015 / Revised: 17 January 2016 / Accepted: 21 January 2016 / Published online: 6 February 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** The extent of anoxic depolarization (AD), the initial electrophysiological event during ischemia, determines the degree of brain region–specific neuronal damage. Neurons in higher brain regions exhibiting nonreversible, strong AD are more susceptible to ischemic injury as compared to cells in lower brain regions that exhibit reversible, weak AD. While the contrasting ADs in different brain regions in response to oxygen–glucose deprivation (OGD) is well established, the mechanism leading to such differences is not clear. Here we use computational modeling to elucidate the mechanism behind the brain region–specific recovery from AD. Our extended Hodgkin–Huxley (HH) framework consisting of neural spiking dynamics, processes of ion accumulation, and ion homeostatic mechanisms unveils that glial–vascular  $K^+$  clearance and  $Na^+/K^+$ –exchange pumps are key to the cell's recovery from AD. Our phase space analysis reveals that the large extracellular space in the upper brain regions leads to impaired Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>–exchange pumps so that they function at lower than normal capacity and are unable to bring the cell out of AD after oxygen and glucose is restored.

Action Editor: J. Rinzel

 $\boxtimes$  Niklas Hübel [niklas.huebel@gmail.com](mailto:niklas.huebel@gmail.com) **Keywords** Anoxic depolarization · Extracellular volume · Hodgkin–Huxley · Ion dynamics · Neural mircoenvironment · Brain injury

# **1 Introduction**

Anoxic depolarization (AD) is characterized as a sudden and profound loss of membrane potential that drains residual stored energy in compromised gray matter. *In vivo*, temporary global ischemia can lead to the electrophysiological event of AD (Dijkhuizen et al. [1999;](#page-14-0) Murphy et al. [2008\)](#page-14-1). The susceptibility of neurons to injury from AD depends crucially on the brain region (Falini et al. [1998;](#page-14-2) Luigetti et al. [2012\)](#page-14-3). Brainstem neurons have a good chance to fully recover after normal blood flow is restored. In higher brain regions, however, cells remain depolarized and can get severely damaged in a stroke–like scenario (Falini et al. [1998;](#page-14-2) Luigetti et al. [2012\)](#page-14-3).

This distinctly different behavior is also found in brain slice experiments, in which oxygen–glucose deprivation (OGD) is used to trigger AD (Brisson and Andrew [2012;](#page-13-0) Brisson et al. [2013;](#page-13-1) Brisson et al. [2014\)](#page-13-2). Such isolated cortex preparations rule out local differences in blood flow as a cause for the observed phenomenon. Brain slice experiments confirm that hypothalamic neurons are intrinsically more resistant to injury and are more likely to exhibit recoverable AD (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)). In contrast, the thalamus and neocortex show high vulnerability and permanent depolarization that fails to recover after oxygen–glucose is restored (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)). The thalamic–hypothalamic interface marks the boundary between tissue that is susceptible to ischemic injury and tissue that is resistant (Brisson et al. [2013\)](#page-13-1). The mechanism leading to neuronal failure to recover from AD in the upper

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Fig. 1** Observed membrane potential during and after OGD. In the hypothalamus (*upper trace*), the neuron recovers and repolarizes right after normal oxygen and glucose supply is restored. In the thalamus (*lower trace*), the cells remain depolarized. The plots are adopted from Brisson and Andrew [\(2012\)](#page-13-0) with permission

brain regions remains incompletely understood.

AD is only one example of a pathology that goes along with huge changes of ion concentrations in the neural microenvironment (Centonze et al. [2001;](#page-13-3) Somjen [2004\)](#page-14-4). Others are seizure–like bursting activity and spreading depolarizations (SD) (Kager et al. [2000,](#page-14-5) [2007;](#page-14-6) Cressman Jr. et al. [2009,](#page-13-4) [2011;](#page-14-7) Krishnan and Bazhenov [2011;](#page-14-8) Ingram et al. [2014;](#page-14-9) Wei et al. [2014a,](#page-15-0) Hansen and Zeuthen [1981;](#page-14-10) Hübel and Dahlem [2014;](#page-14-11) Somjen [2004\)](#page-14-4). All of these dynamics can be studied in surprisingly simple mathematical models. Already, single cell Hodgkin–Huxley–based neuron models with dynamical ion concentrations in two spatial compartments—the intracellular (ICS) and extracellular (ECS) spaces—exhibit all of these pathologies (Hübel and Dahlem [2014;](#page-14-11) Wei et al. [2014a;](#page-15-0) Ullah and Schiff [2009,](#page-14-12) [2010;](#page-14-13) Ullah et al. [2015\)](#page-14-14). So even such reduced models seem to capture the essential mechanisms that govern neural ion dynamics.

The mathematical structure of single neuron models is well understood and it is possible to determine exact parameter ranges for epileptic seizure dynamics, SD, and permanent depolarization which leads to cell injury (Hübel and Dahlem [2014;](#page-14-11) Ullah et al. [2015\)](#page-14-14). The earlier computational studies involving ion dynamics of single neurons focused independently on epilepsy (Cressman Jr. et al. [2009,](#page-13-4) [2011;](#page-14-7) Barreto and Cressman [2010;](#page-13-5) Bazhenov et al. [2004;](#page-13-6) Frohlich and Bazhenov [2006\)](#page-14-15), SD (Kager et al. [2000\)](#page-14-5), and AD (Zandt et al. [2011;](#page-15-1) Dahlem et al. [2014;](#page-14-16) Hübel et al. [2014\)](#page-14-17). The richness of dynamical behaviors that is seen in one neuron model—showing different types of oscillations and fixed points—has been pointed out early (Cressman Jr. et al. [2009,](#page-13-4) [2011;](#page-14-7) Barreto and Cressman [2010\)](#page-13-5) and has been explored in more detail recently (Hübel and Dahlem [2014;](#page-14-17) Ullah et al. [2015;](#page-14-14) Wei et al. [2014a\)](#page-15-0). Neural processes ranging from normal spiking to pathologies like seizures, SD, and AD can be described simultaneously in a single model.

While some studies have dealt with AD scenarios in single cell models before, a systematic study of recovery requirements after ischemia– or OGD–induced depolarization has not been performed yet, which is the subject of this paper. We here investigate the effect of the volume fraction between the ECS and the ICS on the model dynamics. It has been shown already that under certain conditions a large ECS may inhibit recovery from AD and lead to permanent depolarization (Ullah et al. [2015\)](#page-14-14), and also that the size of the ECS may affect the susceptibility to SD–like depolarizations (Barreto and Cressman [2010\)](#page-13-5). From the macroscopic perspective, changing the size of the ECS or the extracellular volume fraction, which is defined as the fraction of ECS in whole tissue consisting of neurons, glia cells, and the space between, corresponds to different cell packing or cell density. This is a brain region–dependent tissue property (Schüz and Palm  $1989$ ; Herculano-Houzel and Lent  $2005$ ; Collins et al. [2010\)](#page-13-7).

In the model, temporary OGD depolarizes the membrane and causes the breakdown of ion gradients. Cells with a normal ECS recover after restoring normal oxygen–glucose supply while cells with a large ECS do not. We use a recently developed method of threshold analysis (Hübel and Dahlem [2014\)](#page-14-11) to determine the point of recovery failure and its nature.

The model predicts that for a larger extracellular volume fraction, the depolarized state tolerates lower concentrations of extracellular  $K^+$ . This implies a higher  $K^+$  clearance demand by the vasculature or glia cells and weaker activity of the  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$ -exchange pumps at the threshold. For SD it has been shown both theoretically and experimentally that vascular  $K^+$  regulation has a much stronger recovery effect on depolarized neurons than the ion pumps (Hübel et al.  $2014$ ; Hübel and Dahlem  $2014$ ; Hoffmann et al.  $2012$ ; Sukhotinsky et al. [2010\)](#page-14-21). Theoretically, even fully restored pump activity is not sufficient for recovering neurons from their depolarized condition (Hübel et al. [2014;](#page-14-17) Hübel and Dahlem [2014\)](#page-14-11). Instead, temporary uptake of potassium by glia cells or the vasculature are vital mechanisms without which the cells would remain dysfunctional. Experimentally, this is reflected in the observation that blood pressure is inversely related to the duration of SD, while enhanced tissue oxygenation—implying stronger pump activity—has almost no effect (Hoffmann et al. [2012;](#page-14-20) Sukhotinsky et al. [2010\)](#page-14-21). These theoretical and experimental studies show that

vascular coupling can enhance recovery effectively, while modifying the rate of pump activity within a certain range does not change the course of events. The recovery failure scenario in our model gives us a more precise picture of the relative recovery contribution of pumps and other regulation. It shows how recovery from AD is a combined effort of the pumps and vascular coupling. Impairment of either can lead to permanent depolarization. By investigating the reason for recovery failure, we also gain new insights on general properties of the recovery point at which the neuron repolarizes. This enables us to prove in a final remark that recovery fundamentally comes down to a bifurcation of the membrane state.

# **2 Mathematical neuron model**

We consider a simple Hodgkin–Huxley–based model for neural ion dynamics. The full system comprises of the ICS and the ECS that are separated by the neural membrane. Different concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>−</sup> ions in these compartments characterize the neural microenvironment under normal physiological conditions.

Transmembrane currents induce changes in ion concentrations. Ion pumps maintain the ion gradients. In addition to that, glia cells and the vascular system regulate the extracellular  $K^+$  concentration. We refer to the latter as ion exchange with external (glial or vascular) reservoirs. The same model was used by Hübel and Dahlem  $(2014)$  $(2014)$  and the setup is schematically summarized in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 2** The neuron model consists of two spatial compartments, the ICS and ECS. The neural membrane (dashed black line) separates the compartments. Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Cl<sup>−</sup> ions are present inside and outside of the cell in different concentrations. Transmembrane ion currents  $(I_{Na}, I_{K}, \text{ and } I_{Cl})$  and the K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup>–exchange pumps (current  $I_{p}$ ) transport ions across the membrane. In addition,  $K^+$  can be buffered by glia cells or diffuse into the vascular system, which are both external reservoirs

## **2.1 The membrane model**

The electrical properties of the membrane are described in terms of an equivalent electrical circuit. In this picture a capacitance  $C_m$  is assigned to the neural membrane. The dynamics of the membrane potential *V* is governed by the three currents  $I_{ion}$  (for *ion*  $\in$  {*K, Na, Cl*}) through ion channels, and a pump current  $I_p$  accounting for the  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$ –exchange that is needed to maintain the physiological equilibrium (see below).

According to the Hodgkin–Huxley (HH) formalism, the channel conductances for  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  depend on the gating variables *n* ( $K^+$  activation), *m* ( $Na^+$  activation), and  $h$  (Na<sup>+</sup> inactivation) (Hodgkin [1948:](#page-14-22) Hodgkin and Huxley [1952a,](#page-14-23) [b,](#page-14-24) [c;](#page-14-25) Hodgkin et al [1952\)](#page-14-26) These variables describe the voltage–dependent opening probabilities of the channels. The *m*–gate responds extremely fast to variations of the membrane potential and can thus be approximated adiabatically by setting  $m = m_{\infty}$  (see below). The full list of membrane rate equations reads

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_m}(I_{Na} + I_K + I_{Cl} + I_p),
$$
\n(1)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}t} = \phi \frac{n_{\infty} - n}{\tau_n} \,,\tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t} = \phi \frac{h_{\infty} - h}{\tau_h} \,. \tag{3}
$$

They contain the membrane capacitance  $C_m$  and a timescale parameter  $\phi$ . The asymptotic values  $x_{\infty}$  and timescale functions  $\tau_x$  for  $x \in \{n, m, h\}$  are given by

$$
x_{\infty} = \frac{\alpha_x}{\alpha_x + \beta_x},\tag{4}
$$

$$
\tau_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\alpha_x + \beta_x} \,, \tag{5}
$$

with the HH exponential functions

$$
\alpha_n = \frac{0.01(V + 34)}{1 - \exp(-(V + 34)/10)},
$$
\n(6)

$$
\beta_n = 0.125 \exp(-(V + 44)/80) , \qquad (7)
$$

$$
\alpha_m = \frac{0.1(V + 30)}{1 - \exp(-(V + 30)/10)},
$$
\n(8)

$$
\beta_m = 4 \exp(-(V + 55)/18) , \qquad (9)
$$

$$
\alpha_h = 0.07 \exp(-(V + 44)/20) , \qquad (10)
$$

$$
\beta_h = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(V + 14)/10)} \,. \tag{11}
$$

The channel conductances *gion* depend on the gating variables in the following way

$$
g_K = g_K^l + g_K^g n^4 \,,\tag{12}
$$

$$
g_{Na} = g_{Na}^l + g_{Na}^g m^3 h \,, \tag{13}
$$

$$
g_{Cl} = g_{Cl}^l \,, \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Model parameters

Name	Value & unit	Description membrane capacitance	
$C_m$	1 $\mu$ F/cm <sup>2</sup>		
$\phi$	3/msec	time scale parameter	
$g_{Na}^l$	$0.0175$ mS/cm <sup>2</sup>	$Na+$ leak cond.	
$g_{Na}^g$	$100 \text{ mS/cm}^2$	max. gated $Na+$ cond.	
$g_K^l$	$0.05 \text{ mS/cm}^2$	$K^+$ leak cond.	
$g_K^g$	$40 \text{ mS/cm}^2$	max. gated $K^+$ cond.	
$g_{Cl}^l$	$0.02 \text{ mS/cm}^2$	$Cl^-$ leak cond.	
$\omega_i$	2,160 $\mu$ m <sup>3</sup>	<b>ICS</b> volume	
$\omega_e$	720 $\mu$ m <sup>3</sup>	normal ECS volume	
F	96,485 C/mol	Faraday's constant	
$A_m$	922 $\mu$ m <sup>2</sup>	membrane surface area	
γ	9.6e–2 $\mu$ m <sup>3</sup> $\frac{\text{mM}}{\text{msec}} \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\mu \text{A}}$	conversion factor	
$\rho$	6.8 $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup>	max. pump current	
λ	$3e-2/sec$	diffusion strength	
$K_{bath}$	4 mM	$K^+$ conc. bath	

where  $g_{ion}^l$  is a small leak conductance and  $g_{ion}^g$  is the much larger maximal conductance of the voltage–gated channels. We only consider a leak current for Cl<sup>−</sup>. With these conductances the channel currents are

$$
I_{ion} = g_{ion}(V - E_{ion}) \tag{15}
$$

They depend on the concentration– and charge–dependent Nernst potentials

$$
E_{ion} = \frac{26.64}{z_{ion}} \ln(ion_e/ion_i)
$$
 (16)

with the ion valence  $z_{ion}$  and concentrations  $ion_{i/e}$  for  $ion \in \{Na, K, Cl\}$ . Model parameters are found in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

Using a HH membrane description is the paradigmatic and simplest possible ansatz to describe neural ion dynamics. We would like to remark that particularly in the context of epilepsy and SD much more detailed membrane models exist (Kager et al. [2000,](#page-14-5) [2002;](#page-14-27) Bazhenov et al. [2004;](#page-13-6) Yao et al. [2011;](#page-15-2) Shandilya and Timme [2011\)](#page-14-28). However, it has been shown that the general mathematical structure of ion–based neuron models that we review below is largely independent of the specific choice of ion channels and gat-ing dynamics (Hübel et al. [2014;](#page-14-17) Hübel and Dahlem [2014\)](#page-14-11).

## **2.2 Ion dynamics**

In the original HH model ion concentrations and Nernst potentials are model parameters that do not change. If we want to study actual ion dynamics we must take into account that the transmembrane ion currents induce changes in ion concentrations. To compute these changes, currents must be be converted to ion fluxes by a factor

$$
\gamma = \frac{A_m}{F} \,,\tag{17}
$$

which contains the membrane surface area *Am* and Faraday's constant *F*. The ion fluxes must then be divided by the volume  $\omega_i$  or  $\omega_e$  (ICS or ECS respectively) of the relevant compartment.

Recall that we have included a pump current to the first HH Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1). Pumps are necessary, because physiological resting conditions are characterized by large ion gradients, i.e., concentration differences between ICS and ECS, for  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $K<sup>+</sup>$ . To maintain these gradients, ion pumps exchange intracellular  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  for extracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$ , which is done at a 3*/*2–ratio. The rate equations for ion concentrations in the ICS are

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}K_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\gamma}{\omega_i} (I_{K^+} - 2I_p) , \qquad (18)
$$

d*Ki*

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}Na_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\gamma}{\omega_i}(I_{Na^+} + 3I_p) ,\qquad (19)
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}Cl_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = +\frac{\gamma}{\omega_{i}}I_{Cl^{-}}.
$$
\n(20)

The pump current  $I_p$  increases with  $Na_i$  and  $K_e$  (Cressman Jr. et al. [2009\)](#page-13-4):

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
I_p = \rho \left( 1 + \exp\left(\frac{25 - Na_i}{3}\right) \right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\left( 1 + \exp\left(5.5 - K_e\right) \right)^{-1}
$$
(21)

*Ip* saturates to a maximum level only when both, *Nai* and *Ke*, are high. Under physiological resting conditions the pump operates at about 10% of the maximal pump rate *ρ* (Attwell and Laughlin [2001\)](#page-13-8).

Electroneutrality is an important symmetry of the model, and it implies that the intracellular charge concentration

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
Q_i := Na_i + K_i - Cl_i = Na_i^0 + K_i^0 - Cl_i^0 \tag{22}
$$

is constant. Accordingly  $Na<sub>i</sub>$ ,  $K<sub>i</sub>$  and  $Cl<sub>i</sub>$  are not independent, and one of the rate Eqs.  $(18)$ – $(20)$  can be replaced by simply solving Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-2) for that variable. Note that initial physiological resting conditions are denoted by a superscript 0.

Also mass conservation holds and ion concentrations in the ECS follow from values in the ICS by solving the following constraint equations:

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
Na_i\omega_i + Na_e\omega_e = Na_i^0\omega_i + Na_e^0\omega_e ,
$$
 (23)

$$
K_i \omega_i + \mathbf{K}_e \omega_e = K_i^0 \omega_i + \mathbf{K}_e^0 \omega_e + \Delta \mathbf{N}^K , \qquad (24)
$$

$$
Cl_i \omega_i + \text{Na}_e \omega_e = Cl_i^0 \omega_i + Cl_e^0 \omega_e \,. \tag{25}
$$

We have added the quantity  $\Delta N^K$  in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-3-3). It accounts for changes of the  $K^+$  content of the system due to particle exchange with external reservoirs. These reservoirs can be the vasculature or glia cells, which both play an important role in regulating the extracellular  $K^+$  level. A positive/negative value of  $\Delta N^K$  corresponds to  $K^+$  gain/loss in the system.

Of course,  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  can also be exchanged with external reservoirs, but the pathologies we study here are most prominently characterized by a huge rise of  $K^+$  in the ECS. Most studies emphasize the role of  $K^+$  regulation, but a generalization to the other ions would be straightforward.

The type of reservoir coupling—vascular or glial determines the dynamics of  $\Delta N^{K}$ . For simplicity we only consider diffusive coupling to the vasculature in this study. It has been shown that including glial buffering does not lead to qualitatively different results (Hübel and Dahlem [2014\)](#page-14-11) and also in the here presented study the specific process of  $K^+$  reduction is irrelevant to explain the observed behavior (see below).

To model vascular coupling, we assume that the blood vessels provide an external bath to and from which  $K^+$  can diffuse. The defining parameters in this scheme are the  $K^+$ concentration of the bath  $K_{bath}$  and the coupling strength  $\lambda$ . The dynamics of  $\Delta N^K$  is then proportional to the diffusive flux  $J_{diff}$ :

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
J_{diff} = \lambda (K_{bath} - K_e) \,, \tag{26}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta \mathbf{N}^K}{\mathrm{d}t} = \omega_e J_{diff} \ . \tag{27}
$$

Note that in this model the  $K^+$  uptake capacity of the vascular bath is unlimited.

In summary, the whole system is defined by the three membrane rate Eqs.  $(1)$ – $(3)$ , two of the three rate Eqs.  $(19)$ – [\(20\)](#page-3-1) for the intracellular ion concentrations, and Eq. [\(27\)](#page-4-0) for vascular  $K^+$  regulation. All model parameters are listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

With our choice of dynamical variables, ion dynamics naturally decomposes into transmembrane ion changes and exchange with the external vascular reservoir. Transmembrane ion dynamics are given by Eqs. [\(18\)](#page-3-1)–[\(20\)](#page-3-1) and reservoir coupling by Eq. [\(27\)](#page-4-0). We can see from the mass conservation constraints for  $K^+$  that changes of  $K_e$  can be due to changes of  $K_i$  and  $\Delta N^K$ , so both mechanisms are involved. *Nae* and *Cle* only change by transmembrane fluxes.

The different processes come with distinct timescales which makes it possible to interpret the model dynamics in a slow–fast analysis (see below). Timescales can be derived from the model parameters (Hübel and Dahlem [2014\)](#page-14-11) and are listed in Table [2.](#page-4-1)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2** Timescales

Name	Value & unit	Description	
$\tau_V$	$0.05$ msec	potential changes	
$\tau_n$	1 msec	$K^+$ activation	
$\tau_h$	1 msec	$Na+$ inactivation	
$\tau_{Na}$	$0.5 \text{ sec}$	transm. $Na+$ fluxes	
$\tau_K$	$0.5 \text{ sec}$	transm. $K^+$ fluxes	
$\tau_{Cl}$	$50 \text{ sec}$	transm. $Cl^-$ fluxes	
$\tau_{\Delta K}$	$35 \text{ sec}$	$K^+$ gain/loss	

To model temporary OGD, we set the maximal pump rate  $\rho$  and the diffusive coupling constant  $\lambda$  to zero. Both vascular coupling and transmembrane pumping are energy consuming processes that are interrupted or strongly weakened during OGD (Zandt et al. [2011;](#page-15-1) Wei et al. [2014a;](#page-15-0) Ullah et al. [2015\)](#page-14-14). We analyze the response to OGD of systems with different ECS volumes. It is conventional to quantify this in terms of the extracellular volume fraction  $f_e$ . In modeling papers this quantity is often defined as the fraction of the ECS in a system that is composed of the neuron and the ECS only, and our normal ECS in Table [1](#page-3-0) corresponds to a value of 25 %. Experimentally measured values normally relate the ECS volume to the whole tissue which includes the glia cells too. If we assume neurons and glia cells to make up approximately the same amount of volume, an ECS as in Table [1](#page-3-0) corresponds to about 14 % of the whole tissue. All values of  $f_e$  given in this paper will refer to whole tissue including glia.

A computational study by Kager et al. [\(2000\)](#page-14-5) on epileptic seizures and spreading depression uses an extracellular volume fraction of 15 % and refers to data of rat hippocampus (McBain et al. [1990;](#page-14-29) Mazel et al. [1998\)](#page-14-30) suggesting values between 13 % to 20 %. Other studies report values ranging from 25 % in turtles (Krizaj et al. [1996\)](#page-14-31) to 32  $%$  in mice (Xie et al. [2013\)](#page-15-3), and 27  $%$  in human patients with focal cortical dysplasias (Zamecnik et al. [2012\)](#page-15-4). The standard method in these measurements is real–time iontophoresis, a method in which the diffusion profile of externally applied cations is measured with electrodes at different points in the tissue. With this data the extracellular volume fraction and turtuosity can be derived from a radial diffusion equation. Neural densities are normally obtained by counting cells in small tissue volumes. While both measures are reported to be strongly brain region– dependent (Collins et al. [2010;](#page-13-7) McBain et al. [1990\)](#page-14-29), there is to our knowledge no study or review giving a comprehensive overview of typical values for different regions. In this study we hypothesize differences in the extracellular volume fractions to be the cause for the observed brain region–dependent recovery behaviors from AD. We

therefore vary  $\omega_e$  systematically with a starting value from Table [1](#page-3-0) which is on the lower side of the measured range. However, this value is only used for one simulation example and we will discuss the effect of the ECS volume on the neural dynamics for a whole range of values up to almost 50 %.

We remark that it is irrelevant for our analysis if we increase  $f_e$  by increasing the ECS volume size, by decreasing the size of neural and glial compartment or by a combination of both. The only quantity that is dynamically relevant is the volume fraction  $f_e$ . In the program code we have varied  $\omega_e$  and kept  $\omega_i$  constant for simplicity. Accordingly our range of *ωe* variation is rather large (up to more than 3,500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). This is an artifact of our way of parameter variation and the focus should rather be on the corresponding volume fractions. These are within or close to the normal physiological range.

Note that varying  $\omega_e$  or  $f_e$  corresponds to different types of tissue, and it must not be confused with cell swelling as observed in ischemic edema (Lauderdale et al. [2015;](#page-14-32) Rosenberg [1999\)](#page-14-33). Such osmosis–driven volume changes happen in AD, and they can be incorporated into the model without any problems (Ullah et al. [2015;](#page-14-14) Wei et al. [2014b\)](#page-15-5). However, it can be shown that cell swelling is only a byproduct of the other processes that govern depolarization and recovery. Volume dynamics are not necessary to understand the interplay of mechanisms that leads to different recovery behaviors in different brain regions in AD. Ultimately neural damage will be due to cell swelling and also excitotoxicity, which relates to the dynamics of calcium and neurotransmitters. So to understand the details of longterm neural damage such processes would have to be modeled. In this study, however, we focus on the initial processes that govern depolarization and recovery. For these events swelling will only alter the numerical values of critical parameters, but not the main course of events. That is why, it is not included and instead we discuss the fundamental phenomenon in a model that is as simple as possible. The effect of swelling on derived critical parameter values is briefly addressed in the discussion.

Simulation and bifurcation code is made available from ModelDB (Hines et al. [2004\)](#page-14-34) with accession number 187213.

## **3 Results**

#### **3.1 Response of the model to OGD**

We compare the response to 200 sec of OGD for a system with a normal ECS (14.3 %, 720  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) and one with a large ECS (46.1 %, 3,700  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>). In the experiments by Brisson and Andrew [\(2012\)](#page-13-0) and Brisson et al. [\(2013\)](#page-13-1) the duration of OGD is longer and depolarization only sets in after some minutes of OGD. The pump activity gradually reduces with OGD and since this effect differs between brainstem and higher brain regions we see a delayed onset in the upper trace of Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

This effect is well understood and hence for simplicity we do not model this transient onset behavior here. Instead

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Simulation of the neural response to 200 sec of OGD (shaded region). For the normal ECS, we use  $f_e = 14.3 \%$  (with  $\omega_e = 720 \ \mu \text{m}^3$ ), for the large ECS, we have  $f_e = 46.1\%$ (with  $\omega_e = 3{,}700 \ \mu \text{m}^3$ ). The upper panels **(a)** and **(b)** show the evolution of the membrane and Nernst potentials. The lower panels **(c)** and **(d)** show the corresponding changes in ion concentrations. OGD leads to AD with reduced ion gradients and potential differences. The cell is recoverable from AD for the normal ECS and non–recoverable for the large ECS



pump activity and vascular coupling immediately drop to zero when OGD is applied and our focus is only on the recovery dynamics.

The result of the simulations is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) The upper panels (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0) and b) show the evolution of potentials for the two cases. The corresponding changes of ion concentrations are presented in the lower panels (Fig. [3c](#page-5-0) and d). The initial response to OGD is similar in both cases. The neuron quickly depolarizes and the Nernst potentials of  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  get very close to the membrane potential. The Cl− potential is driven to the same point, but changes more slowly. The most striking changes of ion concentrations are the extreme elevation and drop of extracellular  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$ , respectively.

After OGD, pumps and vascular coupling are at work again and the system with the normal ECS recovers from the depolarized state (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0) and c). At first, the neuron is slowly repolarized until it reaches a point of abrupt hyperpolarization. The membrane potential drops even below  $E_K$ , because the  $K^+$  channels close and the pump current overpowers  $I_K$  for a brief moment. The sharp potential drop is followed by the slow asymptotic return to the initial physiological resting state. Nernst potential differences and ion gradients are re–established. In contrast, the model with the large extracellular volume fraction does not recover (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0) and d). After a short phase of moderate repolarization, the membrane potential and Nernst potentials settle at a still strongly depolarized level, and most importantly, potential differences remain very small. Accordingly, ion concentrations are still extremely far from normal physiological conditions. Only the external  $K^+$  concentration returns to its physiological resting value of 4 mM, because we assume an idealized regulation scheme for  $K^+$ .

We see that in both cases OGD induces AD, which is characterized by membrane depolarization and drastically reduced Nernst potential differences. For the normal ECS, the combined effort of ion pumps and vascular coupling brings the cell back to normal physiological conditions. For the larger ECS, the neuron does not recover from AD despite the presence of fully functional pumps and vasculature. This is a reproduction of the experimentally observed behavior in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) and a similar result to Ullah et al. [\(2015\)](#page-14-14). In the following sections we will investigate the nature of this recovery failure.

#### **3.2 Recovery threshold and phase space analysis**

Before proceeding to the threshold analysis, we need to review the basic phase space structure of neural ion dynamics. Ion exchange with the vascular system is a much slower process than transmembrane ion fluxes (see Table [2\)](#page-4-1). This gives rise to a recently developed slow–fast analysis of the dynamics in the system (Hübel and Dahlem  $2014$ ).

The idea behind the analysis is the following thought experiment. We imagine a neuron model without the external reservoirs, and instead of dynamical reservoir coupling we modify the overall  $K^+$  content in the ICS and ECS by hand. Formally this is done by treating  $\Delta N^K$  as a parameter rather than a dynamical variable and neglecting the rate equation for vascular coupling. This provides us with a useful perspective on the full model ion dynamics, since—as mentioned above—reservoir coupling is slow in comparison to membrane and transmembrane processes. Accordingly at every instant of time we expect the variables other than  $\Delta N^K$  to behave as they would in a system where  $\Delta N^K$  is fixed as a parameter.

To make use of this perspective quantitatively we vary  $\Delta N^{K}$  to derive the fixed point structure of the transmembrane systems with different  $K^+$  contents. For the dynamics of the full model we expect the fast variables of membrane and transmembrane dynamics to have enough time to settle near or to these fixed points. The phase space trajectory of the model is hence guided by this underlying fixed point structure. If this structure changes, the dynamics of the system changes accordingly.

Figure [4](#page-6-0) illustrates how this works in the normal case. The black, s–shaped curve indicates where the fixed points

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Fig. 4** Phase space plot for normal ECS (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0) and c). The black curve shows the fixed point (FP) structure of the fast model dynamics as a function of  $\Delta N^K$  with fully functional pumps (i.e. normal oxygen– glucose supply). The stable branches (solid lines) become unstable in Hopf bifurcations (HB). The trajectory from Fig. [3a](#page-5-0) (turquoise curve) follows the stable branches. The grey line around the HB point indicates the threshold location for different values of *Cl<sup>i</sup>* (higher values to the right). The system repolarizes at a higher  $Cl_i$ -value (grey bullet) than the one belonging to the HB of the exact transmembrane fixed point curve (black bullet)

of the fast dynamics, i.e. membrane dynamics and transmembrane ion fluxes, lie. We see that there are two stable states (black solid lines) for a wide range of  $\Delta N^K$ –values. Conditions on the more polarized, stable branch are generally comparable to the initial physiological resting state that is marked by the black diamond. In particular, the differences between Nernst potentials are large (not shown in Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0) and the neuron is capable of normal spiking activity, for example in response to synaptic input or background noise.

On the upper branch the situation is entirely different and the depolarized fixed points are in many aspects comparable to the thermodynamic Donnan equilibrium of the system. The Donnan equilibrium is attained when the system is completely isolated and there is neither particle exchange with its surroundings nor energy supply for the ion pumps. The membrane potential and all Nernst potentials are then at the same depolarized Donnan potential.

The points on the depolarized branch in Fig. [4](#page-6-0) are stable and close to the Donnan equilibrium despite fully functional pumps. For neural function, however, the crucial point is only where spiking activity is possible. This requires a sufficient amount of electrical energy that is stored in the ion gradients such that potential differences are large. On the depolarized branch the amount of available electrical energy is too low for neural function and we refer to these dysfunctional conditions as free energy–starvation (FES). The physiological resting state, the Donnan equilibrium, and FES for  $\Delta N^K = 0$  fmol are compared in Table [3.](#page-7-0)

The fixed point lines are obtained by the continuation software tool AUTO (Doedel and Oldeman [2009\)](#page-14-35). Stability changes occur via bifurcations. The two generic cases are Hopf bifurcations (HB) and limit point bifurcations

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** Physiological conditions, Donnan equilibrium and FES for  $\Delta N^{K} = 0$  fmol

	Phys.	Donnan	<b>FES</b>	Units
V	$-67.1$	$-22.6$	$-23.7$	mV
$\boldsymbol{n}$	0.065	0.636	0.622	1
m	0.065	0.681	0.657	1
h	0.065	0.075	0.085	1
Na <sub>i</sub>	25.3	59.1	56.9	mM
Na <sub>e</sub>	126.8	25.3	32.0	mM
$K_i$	128.6	113.7	115.4	mM
$K_e$	4.0	48.6	43.5	mM
Cl <sub>i</sub>	10.1	29.0	28.5	mM
$Cl_{e}$	124.6	67.8	69.4	mM
$E_{Na}$	43.0	$-22.6$	$-15.3$	mV
$E_K$	$-92.5$	$-22.6$	$-26.0$	mV
$E_{Cl}$	$-67.1$	$-22.6$	$-23.7$	mV
$I_p$	0.63	0.00	6.8	$\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup>

(LP). We only indicate bifurcations to or from fully stable fixed points and omit those that only change the degree of instability.

Figure [4](#page-6-0) provides the following interpretation of the neural response to OGD for the normal ECS (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0) and c). During OGD the physiological resting state becomes unstable and the system evolves towards its Donnan equilibrium. When OGD is over the cell is near the FES–branch and is hence attracted by it.  $K_e$  is significantly elevated and the vasculature starts taking up  $K^+$ . Accordingly the  $K^+$  content decreases and the trajectory bends towards negative  $\Delta N^{K}$ –values. The FES–branch guides the trajectory until it becomes unstable in a Hopf bifurcation, the cell repolarizes and ion gradients are rebuilt. The final return to the initial point is slow. Please note that we have added a grey line around the Hopf bifurcation point. It indicates the threshold location for different values of *Cl<sup>i</sup>* (higher values to the right). This is necessary because  $Cl_i$  is similarly slow as  $\Delta N^{K}$  (see timescales in Table [2\)](#page-4-1) and the Cl<sup>−</sup> concentrations cannot catch up with the changes of the  $K^+$  content as quickly as the other variables. Hence *Cl<sup>i</sup>* tends to remain slightly higher than its fixed point value.

A first insight why the response for a larger ECS is completely different is given in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) The underlying fixed point structure has drastically changed. It differs from Fig. [4](#page-6-0) in that the FES–branch remains stable. There is no recovery threshold even for an extreme reduction of the  $K^+$  content. Accordingly, after OGD there is no point at which the membrane potential drops back to a normal physiological level. The cell does not recover from AD.

Figures [4](#page-6-0) and [5](#page-8-0) show that the existence of a recovery threshold crucially depends on the ECS volume size. In Fig. [5b](#page-8-0) the two FES–branches are compared. In addition, we follow the recovery point for a continuously increasing ECS volume, which yields the green Hopf line. It shows that the FES–branches end in Hopf bifurcations for extracellular volume fractions of up to 45 % (marked by a green star). At this critical volume fraction the recovery Hopf line vanishes in a fold–Hopf bifurcation with a second Hopf line (dashed green line). This formally proves that recovery is not possible for higher volumes. The threshold value is higher than the typical values around 20–30 % that we expect to find in living tissue (McBain et al. [1990;](#page-14-29) Mazel et al. [1998;](#page-14-30) Krizaj et al. [1996;](#page-14-31) Xie et al. [2013;](#page-15-3) Zamecnik et al. [2012\)](#page-15-4). However, certain model refinements can shift the critical volume fraction well into this physiological range (see discussion). It has been shown theoretically that recovery from FES is due to external  $K^+$  uptake and cannot be accomplished by the ion pumps alone (Hübel et al.  $2014$ ). This is also confirmed by the inset in Fig. [5b](#page-8-0), which shows the fixed point structure for pump strength variation for both normal and large ECS

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Fig. 5** Phase space plot for large ECS and comparison with normal ECS case. Panel **(a)** shows a phase space plot for OGD and a large ECS (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0) and d). The pink curve indicates the underlying FP structure at fully functional pumps (i.e. normal oxygen–glucose supply). The FES branch does not become unstable for low values of  $\Delta N^K$ . The trajectory remains on the FES–branch. Panel **(b)** shows the FES–branch for both cases and the location of the recovery point for all extracellular volume fractions  $f_e$  between 10 % at the right end of the Hopf (HB) line and 45 % at the left end (marked by the star). The dashed HB line indicates second Hopf bifurcations for ECS volumes less than 45 %. At higher volumes no more Hopf bifurcations occur. The inset shows the fixed point as the maximum pump strength is varied. The diamond marks normal physiological conditions

volumes and  $\Delta N^K = 0$ . On the depolarized branches, the sigmoidal pump function of Eq.  $(21)$  is saturated and the current  $I_p$  is about five times stronger than on the physiological branches. The normal resting state with maximal (but not saturated) pump strength  $\rho = 6.8 \mu A/cm^2$  is again marked by a black diamond. In both cases, the depolarized branch becomes unstable only for very large pump rates ( $\rho > 21 \mu A/cm^2$ ). This threshold is almost independent of the ECS volume, and it corresponds to a pump current that is more than 15 times higher than during normal physiological conditions. Although different isoforms of  $\text{Na}^+/K^+$  exchange pumps in different areas of the brain have been reported (Blanco [2005;](#page-13-9) Dobretsov and Stimers [2005\)](#page-14-36), we are unaware of such big region–specific differences in the pump activity. That is why we focus on how  $\Delta N^K$  facilitates recovery from FES in this study. However, the differences in the function of different isoforms of  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$  exchange pumps are more complicated than just changing the maximum pump strength as done in the inset in Fig. [5a](#page-8-0) of this paper and in Hübel et al.  $(2014)$  $(2014)$ . Thus, we leave the investigation of whether different pump isoforms could lead to cell's recovery from AD for the future. This point is highlighted further in the Discussion section.

#### **3.3 Why recovery fails for a large ECS**

Up to this point we have only reproduced the two types of neural response to OGD from Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) and we have seen that the dynamics is consistent with a change of the underlying fixed point structure as shown in Fig. [5b](#page-8-0). The major advantage of the phase space perspective that we here provide is that we can track the recovery point for all extracellular volume fractions  $f_e$  from 10 % up to 45 %, where recovery fails. This threshold analysis will help us understand why the model has a critical volume fraction beyond which recovery becomes impossible. We have included the threshold Hopf line already in Fig. [5b](#page-8-0), but only the membrane potential is shown and also the dependence on  $f_e$  is not explicit.

More detailed information on the system conditions at the recovery threshold for different ECS volumes is given in Fig. [6.](#page-9-0) Generally, for each extracellular volume fraction for which recovery is possible, the cell slowly repolarizes coming from deep FES—until the recovery point is reached (see the example in Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). The Nernst potentials  $E_K$ and  $E_{Na}$ , and the extracellular concentrations  $K_e$  and  $Na_e$ decrease as the system evolves towards this point.

The first thing to note is that increasing the extracellular volume fraction fraction goes along with shifts of the threshold ion concentrations (Fig. [6a](#page-9-0)). The potentials remain at almost exactly the same levels (Fig. [6b](#page-9-0)). The observed ion shifts in Fig. [6a](#page-9-0) are indeed a consequence of the constant threshold potentials. To see this let us for now assume that recovery happens at certain levels of Nernst potentials that are—as Fig. [6b](#page-9-0) suggests—independent of the ECS volume size. If this is the case then recovery is about reaching the threshold values of the ion concentration fractions

$$
\chi_{Na}^* = \frac{Na_e^*}{Na_i^*} = 0.448,
$$
  

$$
\chi_K^* = \frac{K_e^*}{K_i^*} = 0.210,
$$

that belong to these potentials. So we simply translate what Fig. [6b](#page-9-0) shows into the following equivalent statement:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 6 Values of dynamical variables and other quantities at the recovery threshold obtained from the Hopf line continuation (green line in Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)). The extracellular volume fraction  $f_e$  is varied from 10 % up to recovery failure at 45 % (marked by stars in each panel). Panels **(a)** and **(b)** show ion concentrations and threshold potentials respectively. The main part of panel  $(c)$  shows how much  $K^+$ –uptake is needed to reach the recovery point. The inset shows the pump activity at the threshold. The maximal pump level (which is identical to  $\rho$ ) is indicated by the orange line

For every extracellular volume fraction *fe* between 10 % and almost 45 % the two above conditions define the repolarization point.

For our following argument it is not important how exactly the neuron depolarizes and how the homeostatic mechanisms take it to this repolarization point. We simply need to understand that all these processes result in a redistribution of ions compared to the initial resting state which is given in the first column of Table [3](#page-7-0) and is denoted by a superscript 0 in the following. In our model these resting ion concentrations are the same for each choice of  $f_e$ . At these initial concentrations we have  $\chi_{Na}^0 = 5.012$ . So the threshold concentration  $Na_e^*$  must be lower than  $Na_e^0$ —regardless of the volume fraction  $f_e$ . However, how much lower  $Na_e^*$ has to be will depend on the ECS volume.

To see this let us consider a system with a normal ECS first. For this system to be at the recovery point, more  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ ions must be inside the cell and accordingly fewer in the ECS than initially. So let there be a redistribution of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ ions such that the system with the normal ECS is at threshold and  $\chi_{Na}^{(norm)} = \chi_{Na}^{*}$ . For a clear distinction between the situation with a normal and a large ECS we add superscripts *(norm)* and *(large)*. In a system with a large ECS that same redistribution will be insufficient. While the intracellular concentrations  $Na_i^{(norm)}$  and  $Na_i^{(large)}$  will have the same increased value, the redistribution does not reduce the extracellular Na<sup>+</sup> concentration as much, i.e.  $Na_e^{(large)}$  >  $Na<sub>e</sub><sup>(norm)</sup>$ , simply because the ECS is larger. Consequently  $\chi_{Na}^{(large)}$  will not be small enough and more Na<sup>+</sup> in the ICS is needed. This way the condition  $\chi_{Na}^{(large)} = \chi_{Na}^{*}$  will be satisfied by two higher concentrations:

$$
Na_i^{*(large)} > Na_i^{*(norm)},
$$
  
\n
$$
Na_e^{*(large)} > Na_e^{*(norm)}.
$$

By electroneutrality, a higher concentration of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  in the ICS implies a lower concentration of  $K^+$ , i.e.  $K_i^{*(large)}$  <  $K_i^{*(norm)}$ . Then the condition  $\chi_K^{(large)} = \chi_K^*$  implies that  $K_e^{*(large)}$  must also be smaller:

$$
K_i^{*(large)} < K_i^{*(norm)}
$$
\n
$$
\chi_K^{(norm)} = \frac{K_e^{*(norm)}}{K_i^{*(norm)}} = \chi_K^*
$$
\n
$$
\chi_K^{(large)} = \frac{K_e^{*(large)}}{K_i^{*(large)}} = \chi_K^*
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow K_e^{*(large)} < K_e^{*(norm)}
$$

This simple effect that a larger ECS volume has is the key to understand the change of the phase space. Most importantly we see that FES in a system with a large ECS tolerates lower extracellular  $K^+$  concentrations. This affects both homeostatic mechanisms, the ion pumps and vascular  $K^+$ –uptake. Figure [6c](#page-9-0) shows that the decreasing  $K_e$  level at the threshold goes along with a higher  $K^+$ –uptake demand. This is reflected in more negative  $\Delta N^K$ –values for larger ECSs.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Fig. 7** Simulations and thresholds for non–physiological, enhanced pump activity and a large ECS. Panel **(a)** shows the response to OGD (gray shaded region). After OGD the pump activity is kept at the maximum level until the cell repolarizes (orange shaded region). The cell recovers. Panel **(b)** gives the phase space picture. Along the FES–branch a maximal pump activity that is independent of ion concentrations is assumed. FES becomes unstable in a Hopf bifurcation (HB) and the trajectory returns to the physiological branch. In panel **(c)** are threshold potentials for a continuous variation of  $\omega_e$  under the assumption that the pump activity is maximal. Recovery is possible far beyond the former critical value (star markers). Potentials at second bifurcations are presented by the dashed lines

Our diffusive coupling scheme has an unlimited uptake capacity, but in a physiologically more detailed description this high uptake demand could lead to recovery failure.

In our model, however, recovery failure must be explained differently.

We should instead direct our attention to the inset of Fig. [6c](#page-9-0). It shows the magnitude of the pump current at the threshold, and we see that it is maximal almost for the entire range of ECS volumes. When recovery fails, however, it drops significantly. This is because  $K_e$  decreases and the pump function  $I_p$  from Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-4) is no longer saturated. Exchange of intracellular  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  for extracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$ happens no longer at the maximal turnover rate, because the concentration of  $K^+$  in the ECS is already rather low.

In summary, Fig. [6](#page-9-0) shows that recovery from FES happens at certain values of the Nernst potentials and at maximal ion pumping for almost all ECS volumes up to the critical value  $f_e = 45\%$  beyond which recovery becomes impossible. Near this critical volume fraction both, the Nernst potentials and the pump current are no longer constant (with respect to  $f_e$ ). However, the relative changes of Nernst potentials are clearly less pronounced than the drop of the pump current, and we hence assert that recovery failure is due to insufficient pump activity.

We formally prove this hypothesis by demonstrating that enhanced ion pumps would prevent recovery failure. This is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-10-0) For the simulation in Fig. [7a](#page-10-0) and b, we apply the same OGD protocol as before to a cell with a large ECS. However, after OGD we keep the pump activity at the maximum level until the neuron repolarizes (orange shaded region). The plot shows that with this modification recovery is possible. The fixed point structure in Fig. [7b](#page-10-0) changes accordingly and the FES–branch ends in a Hopf bifurcation. Note that for the fixed point structure, we have assumed the enhanced pump only along the FES–branch.

A threshold continuation as in Fig. [6b](#page-9-0) yields the threshold potentials in Fig. [7c](#page-10-0). This diagram shows that recovery would always be possible if the pump activity would not decrease with *Ke*. The former point of recovery failure is still marked by a star, but the recovery line extends beyond this point up to  $f_e = 50\%$  and higher. The diagram also contains the potentials of a second bifurcation (dashed lines) that takes over at  $f_e = 47.3\%$ , but such details are not relevant to our discussion. Fig. [7](#page-10-0) mainly illustrates that a decrease in pump activity is the reason for recovery failure. This renders the region–specific differences in pump activity contributing to the AD recovery failure as a critical question for future investigations.

#### **3.4 Recovery as switching of the membrane state**

Our above discussion of recovery failure has implicitly relied on the assumption that the recovery point is characterized by the Nernst potentials and the pump activity. While ion concentrations at threshold vary a lot between models with different ECSs, the values of Nernst potentials and pump current are constant almost up to the critical volume. This naturally led us to assume and indeed confirm that recovery fails because pumping gets weaker.

The pump current  $I_p$  and the Nernst potentials  $E_{ion}$  fully determine the membrane dynamics. So for all values of *fe* between 10 % and almost 45 %, the membrane dynamics at the repolarization point are the same. In other words, it seems like recovery comes down to one specific process in the membrane.

To see if this is really the case, we analyze the membrane state around the recovery point separately for the normal ECS. We therefore treat the Nernst potentials as system parameters and vary them such that the values are as on the FES–branch. The normally very slowly changing Cl− concentrations are kept fixed at the values at threshold. This variation is naturally parametrized by  $\Delta N^K$ . Only now  $\Delta N^K$  indicates how the parameters  $E_{ion}$  are varied, and not where the dynamical quantities *Eion* have a fixed point. The only dynamical variables in this adapted variation of Nernst potentials are *V* , *n* and *h*. The pump current is kept at maximum.

The result in Fig. [8](#page-11-0) is as expected. The inset indicates how the Nernst potentials are simultaneously varied. The main part shows the fixed points of the separated HH dynamics. There are two stable branches for  $\Delta N^K$  > −27*.*6 fmol. The depolarized branch guides the trajectory

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Fig. 8** Phase space of membrane dynamics. Nernst potentials are varied simultaneously such that values are like on the FES–branch in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0) The variation is parametrized by  $\Delta N^K$  as shown in the inset. There are two fixed point branches. The depolarized branch becomes unstable in a Hopf bifurcation (HB). The more polarized branch is stable for the full parameter range. The upper branch guides the trajectory. Repolarization is onto the lower branch. Then processes beyond pure membrane dynamics govern the further course of the trajectory

(turquoise curve) until it destabilizes in a Hopf bifurcation. The lower, polarized branch is only a fixed point of the membrane dynamics. On this branch the membrane potential is stable because the sum of all currents vanishes. However, the current terms  $(I_{K^+} - 2I_p)$  and  $(I_{Na^+} + 3I_p)$ governing the ion fluxes do not vanish individually. Therefore the *V* –values on the lower branch are not compatible with stable ion concentrations and the repolarization drop to this branch is only the initial process, after which ion concentrations adjust themselves and ion gradients are rebuilt. The trajectory then approaches the fixed point of membrane dynamics and transmembrane ion dynamics as in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0)

The Hopf bifurcation in this separated HH system lies exactly where the larger system of transmembrane ion dynamics bifurcates (compare Fig. [8](#page-11-0) main with Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). Conversely, we can consider the other subsystem for which the membrane potential *V* and gating variables *n* and *h* are varied as parameters and only ion concentrations are modeled (not shown). This model of separated ion dynamics remains stable at  $\Delta N^K = -27.6$  fmol. This proves that the fundamental process at the repolarization point is a switching of the membrane potential according to the bifurcation scenario of Fig. [8.](#page-11-0)

## **4 Discussion**

Computational modeling has the potential to deepen our understanding of real physical processes. In a modeling approach we can separate mechanisms that cannot be separated in an experimental setup. Ideally this leads to novel insights that go beyond current experimental results.

In neuroscience, because of the extreme complexity of the real physical system, every mathematical model will typically have to focus on a specific aspect of neural behavior. AD is a pathological process that goes along with the breakdown of ion gradients and the complete cessation of neural electrical activity. It has been shown experimentally and in other computational studies that for such extreme processes, the biophysical details of the ion channels and their gating dynamics play a minor role (Mulet and Mirasso [1999;](#page-14-37) Kager et al. [2000;](#page-14-5) Hübel et al. [2014;](#page-14-17) Hübel and Dahlem [2014;](#page-14-11) Wei et al. [2014b\)](#page-15-5). A simple HH set of ion channels and gating variables is sufficient to describe neural ion dynamics on a fundamental level.

Specifically, two processes left out in our model are calcium dynamics and dynamic cell swelling. Both do not change the phase space perspective we have presented above. The role of calcium–induced glutamate release and NMDA and AMPA receptor gating can be incorporated, but would only be a minor modification of the gating dynamics.

The direct effect of calcium currents is negligible in comparison to  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  in our model. However, a model for neurotransmitter release and synaptic communication should take calcium dynamics into account. Also dynamical cell swelling will modify the model dynamics quantitatively, but will preserve the phenomenon and the general argument presented here.

This is not to say that biophysical detail is unimportant. Specific threshold values and parameter ranges of different neural behaviors will depend on all model components, and a quantitative assessment of these dependencies is beyond the scope of this study. What we claim, however, is that the simplified approach we chose here uncovers some general connections between the homeostatic mechanisms and the cell morphology. While the value of the critical ECS volume size may be different in real nervous tissue, our explanation for recovery failure is very general and will apply in every more detailed model.

The subject of this study is to explain the observations about the ischemia–induced AD, namely that there is a clear connection between the brain region and the resistance of the cell to recover from AD (Brisson and Andrew [2012;](#page-13-0) Brisson et al. [2013\)](#page-13-1). Non–recoverable AD is the physiological process behind brain damage due to ischemia caused by heart attack, near drowning, or traumatic brain injury. In a related computational study, we suggested that the tissue– dependent extracellular volume size could be a potential cause for different extents of AD (Ullah et al. [2015\)](#page-14-14). Here, we systematically analyze how the recoverability depends on the ECS and elucidate the mechanisms that lead to the the cell's failure to recover from AD.

For a small ECS, which corresponds to hypothalamic tissue in our model, AD is recoverable, while for a large ECS corresponding to model equivalent of neocortex or thalamic tissue, the depolarization is permanent. This is consistent with the experimental data in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) To investigate the transition between these behaviors, we applied a recently developed slow–fast decomposition that separates transmembrane ion fluxes from vascular coupling. The recovery point then becomes a bifurcation of the transmembrane ion dynamics, and tracking this bifurcation shows that it disappears for a critical ECS volume size. For a larger ECS, the neuron cannot recover and the failure is hence abrupt rather than gradual. Our model is thus consistent with experimental data which shows that the thalamus–hypothalamus interface is the distinct boundary between recoverable and non–recoverable AD (Brisson et al. [2013\)](#page-13-1).

Our slow–fast decomposition of ion dynamics suggests a hierarchy of the homeostatic mechanisms during recovery from AD. The most important process is  $K^+$  diffusion into the vasculature uptake. Vascular coupling reduces the  $K^+$ 

concentration in the ECS until the repolarization point is reached. We show that for a larger ECS, this point lies at a more negative value and thus more  $K^+$ –uptake is required. While the uptake capacity of our model is unlimited, in a real system this higher demand may cause recovery failure in the higher brain regions.

Another key point is that a low extracellular  $K^+$  concentration slows down the transmembrane  $Na^+/K^+$ –exchange. While vascular  $K^+$ –uptake is needed to reach the repolarization point, the recovery process itself is mainly driven by the ion pumps (Figs. [5](#page-8-0) and [7\)](#page-10-0). If these are too weak, recovery fails regardless of the uptake capacity of the vasculature. The conclusion that  $Na^+/K^+$ –ATPase does not function at its full capacity in the upper brain regions is in line with the hypothesis put forward by Brisson and Andrew [\(2012\)](#page-13-0) and Brisson et al. [\(2013\)](#page-13-1) based on the their observations. The authors hypothesized that the difference in pumps' activity leading to the different AD strength and recovery in response to temporary OGD or ouabain  $(Na^+/K^+)$  pump blocker) application could be due to the different pump isoforms in the upper and lower brain regions. Our results show that even with the same maximum capacity (isoform), the pumps in the higher brain regions do not function up to their full capacity due to the lower extracellular  $K^+$  concentration. This result in the AD recovery failure. The explanation is independent of the question how different pump isoforms may alter the course of AD. The effect of the latter can only be addressed in more detailed pump model that accounts for such differences between the brain brain regions. While for this study we have exclusively focused on the role of the extracellular volume fraction, the question of pump isoforms is clearly a future challenge.

Our model predicts that for  $Na^{+}/K^{+}ATP$ ase to lead to cell's recovery from AD, the pumps would have to function at a rate 15 times higher during ischemia than the physiological value (Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)). Although this kind of variability seems very high, we cannot rule out the possibility of different brain region-specific pump isoforms (Blanco [2005;](#page-13-9) Dobretsov and Stimers [2005\)](#page-14-36) leading to AD recovery either by itself or in some combination with other processes such as vascular clearance or differential ECS. Our preliminary data shows that higher brain regions strongly express the vulnerable  $1\alpha1$  while lower brain regions express a higher proportion of the ischemia-efficient 1*α*3 isoform (unpublished results). The 1*α*1 isoform has steep voltage dependence in cortical neurons, so it quickly activates after short action potential bursts. In contrast, 1*α*3 activation is independent of voltage and displays low  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and high ATP affinity, so it can continue functioning during prolonged depolarization (Dobretsov and Stimers [2005\)](#page-14-36). The differential voltage dependence and ATP,  $Na^+$ , and  $K^+$  (Dobretsov

and Stimers [2005\)](#page-14-36) affinities make the theoretical representation of different pump isoforms more complex than simply using different maximum pump rates as represented in our model (see inset of Fig. [5b](#page-8-0)). Thus, a comprehensive model for  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$ –ATPase is required to fully understand the extent to which pumps play a role in the cell's recovery from AD.  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$  pumps on glial and endothelial cells play a major role in vascular uptake. The  $1\alpha$ 2 isoform is glial and is similarly ischemia–efficient as the 1*α*3 isoform. Hence a related question would be, if the  $1\alpha$ 2 isofrom exists in glial and endothelial cells in lower brain region where it would lead to efficient vascular uptake, which would partner with more potent neuronal pumps to result in the cell's recovery from AD.

We remark that the general phenomenon, i.e. an increased  $K^+$ –uptake demand for a larger ECS and recovery failure above a certain threshold, occurs in different model variants that we have tested. For example, a different set of initial conditions and pump rates may shift the threshold, but does not alter the results qualitatively. Our critical  $f_e$ -value for recovery failure in Fig. [6](#page-9-0) is at 45 % which is rather high. One may hence conclude that the phenomenon should not be seen in real tissue. However, it turns out that including dynamical cell swelling lowers this threshold significantly. Also an electroneutral description of the vascular unit by the inclusion of anion channels reduces the threshold markedly. In such more realistic models, the critical ECS volume where recovery fails is as low as 23 %.

For future applications of our theory like the quantitative interpretation of real experimental data, a detailed analysis of these threshold dependencies will become important. At this point, however, we merely want to point out that the phenomenon as such is very robust and that volume fraction–wise the critical values can indeed be expected to lie in a physiologically realistic range.

Part of our results go beyond understanding the different recovery behaviors in AD. From a modeling perspective, SD is very similar to recoverable AD, which has also been pointed out in the experiments (Brisson et al. [2013\)](#page-13-1) where the authors call SD a "milder AD–like event". Several studies suggest that recovery in SD is mostly accomplished by vascular coupling. The recovery failure scenario which we have analyzed here provides us with a more differentiated picture in which recovery is a combined effort of the  $Na^{+}/K^{+}$ –exchange pumps and the vasculature. We could furthermore show that recovery from AD is fundamentally due to one specific switching process in the neural membrane.

It will be a future challenge to develop new neuroprotective strategies based on our results. While the extracellular volume fraction cannot be changed easily, our simulation in Fig. [7a](#page-10-0) suggests that enhancing the ion pump turnover rate temporarily can be a way to support recovery. Certain adrenergetic agonists and antagonists that stimulate the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> pumps are known and may be of help in these types of pathologies (Berthon et al. [1983;](#page-13-10) Sawas and Gilbert [1981\)](#page-14-38). From a more general perspective this study should add to our understanding of risk factors for neural damage.

**Acknowledgments** This study was supported by a startup grant from College of Arts and Sciences awarded to Ghanim Ullah.

**Conflict of interests** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Attwell, D., & Laughlin, S.B. (2001). An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, *21*(10), 1133–1145.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Barreto, E., & Cressman, J.R. (2010). Ion concentration dynamics as a mechanism for neural bursting. *Journal of Biological Physics*, *37*(3), 361–373. doi[:10.1007/s10867-010-9212-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10867-010-9212-6)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Bazhenov, M., Timofeev, I., Steriade, M., & Sejnowski, T.J. (2004). Potassium model for slow (2–3 Hz) *in vivo* neocortical paroxysmal oscillations. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *92*, 1116–1132.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Berthon, B., Burgess, G.M., Capiod, T., Claret, M., & Poggioli, J. (1983). Mechanism of action of noradrenaline on the sodium– potassium pump in isolated rat liver cells. *Journal of Physiology*, *341*, 25–40.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Blanco, G. (2005). Na,k–ATPase subunit heterogeneity as a mechanism for tissue-specific ion regulation. In *Seminars in nephrology, vol. 25, pp. 292–303*: Elsevier.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Brisson, C.D., & Andrew, R.D. (2012). A neuronal population in hypothalamus that dramatically resists acute ischemic injury compared to neocortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *108*(2), 419– 430.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Brisson, C.D., Hsieh, Y.T., Kim, D., Jin, A.Y., & Andrew, R.D. (2014). Brainstem neurons survive the identical ischemic stress that kills higher neurons: insight to the persistent vegetative state. *PloS one*, *9*(5).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Brisson, C.D., Lukewich, M.K., & Andrew, R.D. (2013). A distinct boundary between the higher brains susceptibility to ischemia and the lower brains resistance. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(11), e79,589. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0079589.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079589)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Centonze, D., Marfia, G., Pisani, A., Picconi, B., Giacomini, P., Bernardi, G., & Calabresi, P. (2001). Ionic mechanisms underlying differential vulnerability to ischemia in striatal neurons. *Progress in neurobiology*, *63*(6), 687–696.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Collins, C.E., Airey, D.C., Young, N.A., Leitch, D.B., & Kaas, J.H. (2010). Neuron densities vary across and within cortical areas in primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(36), 15,927–15,932.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Cressman Jr., J.R., Ullah, G., Ziburkus, J., Schiff, S.J., & Barreto, E. (2009). The influence of sodium and potassium dynamics on excitability, seizures, and the stability of persistent states: I. single neuron dynamics. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience*, *26*, 159–170.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Cressman Jr., J.R., Ullah, G., Ziburkus, J., Schiff, S.J., & Barreto, E. (2011). Erratum to: The influence of sodium and potassium dynamics on excitability, seizures, and the stability of persistent states: I. single neuron dynamics. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience*, *30*, 781.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Dahlem, M.A., Schumacher, J., & Hübel, N. (2014). Linking a genetic defect in migraine to spreading depression in a computational model. *PeerJ*, *2*, e379. doi[:10.7717/peerj.379.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.379)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Dijkhuizen, R.M., Beekwilder, J.P., van der Worp, H.B., van der Sprenkel, J.W.B., Tulleken, K.A., & Nicolay, K. (1999). Correlation between tissue depolarizations and damage in focal ischemic rat brain. *Brain research*, *840*(1), 194–205.
- <span id="page-14-36"></span>Dobretsov, M., & Stimers, J.R. (2005). Neuronal function and alpha3 isoform of the na/k–ATPase. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, *10*, 2373– 2396.
- <span id="page-14-35"></span>Doedel, E.J., & Oldeman, B.E. (2009). *Auto-07p: Continuation and bifurcation software for ordinary differential equations*. Montreal: Concordia University.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Falini, A., Barkovich, A., Calabrese, G., Origgi, D., Triulzi, F., & Scotti, G. (1998). Progressive brain failure after diffuse hypoxic ischemic brain injury: a serial MR and proton MR spectroscopic study. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, *19*(4), 648–652.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Fröhlich, F., & Bazhenov, M. (2006). Coexistence of tonic firing and bursting in cortical neurons. *Physical Review E*, *74*(031922).
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Hansen, A.J., & Zeuthen, T. (1981). Extracellular ion concentrations during spreading depression and ischemia in the rat brain cortex. *Acta physiologica Scandinavica*, *113*(4), 437–445.
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Herculano-Houzel, S., & Lent, R. (2005). Isotropic fractionator: a simple, rapid method for the quantification of total cell and neuron numbers in the brain. *The Journal of neuroscience*, *25*(10), 2518–2521.
- <span id="page-14-34"></span>Hines, M.L., Morse, T., Migliore, M., Carnevale, N.T., & Shepherd, G.M. (2004). ModelDB: a database to support computational neuroscience. *Journal of computational neuroscience*, *17*(1), 7–11.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Hodgkin, A.L. (1948). The local electric changes associated with repetitive action in a medullated axon. *Journal of Physiology*, *107*, 165.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Hodgkin, A.L., & Huxley, A.F. (1952a). The components of membrane conductance in the giant axon of Loligo. *Journal of Physiology*, *116*, 473–496.
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Hodgkin, A.L., & Huxley, A.F. (1952b). Currents carried by sodium and potassium ions through the membrane of the giant axon of Loligo. *Journal of Physiology*, *116*, 449–472.
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Hodgkin, A.L., & Huxley, A.F. (1952c). A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *Journal of Physiology*, *117*, 500–544.
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Hodgkin, A.L., Huxley, A.F., & Katz, B. (1952). Measurement of current–voltage relations in the membrane of the giant axon of Loligo. *Journal of Physiology*, *116*, 424–448.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Hoffmann, U., Sukhotinsky, I., Atalay, Y.B., Eikermann-Haerter, K., & Ayata, C. (2012). Increased glucose availability does not restore prolonged spreading depression durations in hypotensive rats without brain injury. *Experimental Neurology*, *238*(2), 130–132.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Hübel, N., & Dahlem, M.A. (2014). Dynamics from seconds to hours in Hodgkin–Huxley model with time–dependent ion concentrations and buffer reservoirs. *PLoS Comparative Biology*, *10*, e1003,941. doi[:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003941.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003941)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Hübel, N., Schöll, E., & Dahlem, M.A.  $(2014)$ . Bistable dynamics underlying excitability of ion homeostasis in neuron models. *PLoS Comparative Biology*, *10*, e1003,551. [10.1371/jour](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003551)[nal.pcbi.1003551.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003551)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Ingram, J., Zhang, C., Cressman, J.R., Hazra, A., Wei, Y., Koo, Y.E.,  $Ziburkus, J., Kopelman, R., Xu, J., & Schiff, S.J. (2014). Oxygen$ and seizure dynamics: i. experiments. *Journal of neurophysiology*, *112*(2), 205–212.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Kager, H., Wadman, W.J., & Somjen, G.G. (2000). Simulated seizures and spreading depression in a neuron model incorporating interstitial space and ion concentrations. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *84*, 495–512.
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Kager, H., Wadman, W.J., & Somjen, G.G. (2002). Conditions for the triggering of spreading depression studied with computer simulations. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *88*(5), 2700.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Kager, H., Wadman, W.J., & Somjen, G.G. (2007). Seizure–like afterdischarges simulated in a model neuron. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience*, *22*, 105–128.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Krishnan, G.P., & Bazhenov, M. (2011). Ionic dynamics mediate spontaneous termination of seizures and postictal depression state. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *31*(24), 8870–8882.
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>Krizaj, D., Rice, M.E., Wardle, R.A., & Nicholson, C. (1996). Water compartmentalization and extracellular tortuosity after osmotic changes in cerebellum of Trachemys scripta. *Journal of Physiology*, *42*(3), 887–896.
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>Lauderdale, K., Murphy, T., Tung, T., Davila, D., Binder, D.K., & Fiacco, T.A. (2015). Osmotic edema rapidly increases neuronal excitability through activation of NMDA receptor–dependent slow inward currents in juvenile and adult hippocampus. *ASN Neuro*, *7*(5), 1759091415605,115. doi[:10.1177/1759091415605115.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1759091415605115)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Luigetti, M., Goldsberry, G.T., & Cianfoni, A. (2012). Brain mri in global hypoxia–ischemia: a map of selective vulnerability. *Acta Neurologica Belgica*, *112*(1), 105–107.
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>Mazel, T., Simonov, Z., & Sykov, E. (1998). Diffusion heterogeneity and anisotropy in rat hippocampus. *Neuroreport*, *9*(7), 1299–1304.
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>McBain, C.J., Traynelis, S.F., & Dingledine, R. (1990). Regional variation of extracellular space in the hippocampus. *Science*, *249*(4969), 674–677.
- <span id="page-14-37"></span>Mulet, J., & Mirasso, C.R. (1999). Numerical statistics of power dropouts based on the Lang-Kobayashi model. *Physical Review E*, *59*(5), 5400–5405. doi[:10.1103/physreve.59.5400.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physreve.59.5400)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Murphy, T.H., Li, P., Betts, K., & Liu, R. (2008). Two-photon imaging of stroke onset in vivo reveals that nmda-receptor independent ischemic depolarization is the major cause of rapid reversible damage to dendrites and spines. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *28*(7), 1756–1772.
- <span id="page-14-33"></span>Rosenberg, G.A. (1999). Ischemic brain edema. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, *42*(3), 209–216.
- <span id="page-14-38"></span>Sawas, A.H., & Gilbert, J.C. (1981). Effects of adrenergic agonists and antagonists and of the catechol nucleus on the  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$ –ATPase and Mg2+–ATPase activities of synaptosomes. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, *30*(13), 1799–803.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Schüz, A., & Palm, G. (1989). Density of neurons and synapses in the cerebral cortex of the mouse. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *286*(4), 442–455.
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Shandilya, S.G., & Timme, M. (2011). Inferring network topology from complex dynamics. *New Journal of Physical*, *13*(1), 013,004.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Somjen, G.G. (2004). *Ions in the brain: normal function, seizures, and stroke*. USA: Oxford University Press.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Sukhotinsky, I., Yaseen, M.A., Sakadžić, S., Ruvinskaya, S., Sims, J.R., Boas, D.A., Moskowitz, M.A., & Ayata, C. (2010). Perfusion pressure–dependent recovery of cortical spreading depression is independent of tissue oxygenation over a wide physiologic range. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, *30*(6), 1168–1177. doi[:10.1038/jcbfm.2009.285.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2009.285)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Ullah, G., & Schiff, S.J. (2009). Tracking and control of neuronal hodgkin-huxley dynamics. *Physical Review E*, *79*(4), 040,901. doi[:10.1103/physreve.79.040901.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physreve.79.040901)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Ullah, G., & Schiff, S.J. (2010). Assimilating seizure dynamics. *PLoS Comput Biol*, *6*(5), e1000,776.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Ullah, G., Wei, Y., Dahlem, M.A., Wechselberger, M., & Schiff, S.J. (2015). The role of cell volume in the dynamics of seizure,
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Wei, Y., Ullah, G., Ingram, J., & Schiff, S.J. (2014a). Oxygen and seizure dynamics: II. computational modeling. *Journal of Neuro- physiology*, *<sup>112</sup>*(2), 213–223.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Wei, Y., Ullah, G., & Schiff, S.J. (2014b). Unification of neuronal spikes, seizures, and spreading depression. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *34*, 11,733–11,743.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Xie, L., Kang, H., Xu, Q., Chen, M.J., Liao, Y., Thiyagarajan, M., O'Donnell, J., Christensen, D.J., Nicholson, C., Iliff, J.J., Takano, K., Deane, R., & Nedergaard, M. (2013). Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science*, *342*(6156), 373–377. doi[:10.1126/science.1241224.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1241224)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Yao, W., Huang, H., & Miura, R.M. (2011). A continuum neural model for the instigation and propagation of cortical spreading depression. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, *73*(11), 2773–2790. doi[:10.1007/s11538-011-9647-3.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11538-011-9647-3)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Zamecnik, J., Homola, A., Cicanic, M., Kuncova, K., Marusic, P., Krsek, P., Syková, E., & Vargova, L. (2012). The extracellular matrix and diffusion barriers in focal cortical dysplasias. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *36*, 2017–2024. doi[:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08107.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08107.x)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Zandt, B.J., ten Haken, B., van Dijk, J.G., & van Putten, M.J. (2011). Neural dynamics during anoxia and the "wave of death". *PLoS ONE*, *6*, e22,127. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0022127.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022127)