

Maximum degree and spectral radius of graphs in terms of size

Zhiwen Wang¹ · Ji-Ming Guo¹

Received: 10 February 2023 / Accepted: 12 December 2023 / Published online: 20 January 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

Denote by $\rho(G)$ and $\kappa(G)$ the spectral radius and the signless Laplacian spectral radius of a graph *G*, respectively. Let $k \ge 0$ be a fixed integer and *G* be a graph of size *m* which is large enough. We show that if $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{m-k}$, then $C_4 \subseteq G$ or $K_{1,m-k} \subseteq G$. Moreover, we prove that if $\kappa(G) \ge m-k+1$, then $K_{1,m-k} \subseteq G$. Both these results extend some known results.

Keywords Spectral radius · Maximum degree · Size

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C50 · 05C35

1 Introduction

Graphs considered in the paper are simple and undirected. For a graph G, let $\rho(G)$ be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix A(G), and $\kappa(G)$ be the spectral radius of its signless Laplacian matrix $\kappa(G)$. From the Perron–Frobenius theorem, for a connected graph G, the adjacency (resp., signless Laplacian) spectral radius of G is the maximum modulus of its adjacency (resp., signless Laplacian) eigenvalues. In general, we call $\rho(G)$ the spectral radius of G, and $\kappa(G)$ the signless Laplacian spectral radius of G.

It is well known that the structural properties and parameters of graphs have a close relationship with the eigenvalues of graphs. During the recent 30 years, the

Ji-Ming Guo jimingguo@hotmail.com

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12171154, 12301438, 1211101361), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2021M691671) and Chenguang Program of Shanghai Education Development Foundation and Shanghai Municipal Education Commission.

Zhiwen Wang walkerwzw@163.com

¹ School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

(signless Laplacian) spectral radius among graphs with described structures properties has attracted considerable attention.

For a graph *G*, we call *H* a subgraph of *G* if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$; in addition, *H* is an induced subgraph of *G* if an edge $uv \in E(H)$ if and only if $uv \in E(G)$. A graph *G* is defined to be *H*-free if *G* does not contain *H* as a subgraph (not necessarily induced). As a spectral version of Turán type problem, the spectral Turán type problem received much attention from many scholars. In 2010, Nikiforov [12] posed the associated problem that *what is the maximal spectral radius* $\rho(G)$ *among H-free graphs G of order n*? This problem is also known as the Brualdi– Solheid–Turán type problem and has been investigated in much literature for some special graphs *H*, for which one can refer to clique [10], book [22], friendship [2], and the references therein.

Replacing the order *n* by the size *m*, many scholars recently paid their attention to a perspective of spectral Turán type problem in terms of the size: *what is the maximal spectral radius* $\rho(G)$ *among H*-*free graphs G of size m*? To the best of our knowledge, the history of studying this problem may be dated back at least to Nosal's theorem [15] in 1970. Up to now, there are few graphs *H* such that the maximal spectral radius $\rho(G)$ among *H*-free graphs of size *m* has been studied and bounded by a fixed value. Some relevant conclusions have been obtained in the past two decades. Nikiforov in [9] extended Nosal's theorem from triangle to clique and in [14] answered a conjecture by Zhai, Lin, and Shu [23] about books. For more detailed results, we refer to [7, 23].

Here, we pay our main attention to the spectral Turán type problems on quadrilaterals and stars in terms of the size. Let $K_{1,n-1}$ and C_n be a star and a cycle on nvertices, respectively. Denote by $K_{1,n-1} + e$ the graph by inserting an edge to the independent set of $K_{1,n-1}$, and denote by $K_{1,n-1}^e$ the graph by attaching a pendent vertex to a pendent vertex of $K_{1,n-1}$.

In [11], Nikiforov provided an upper bound of the maximum spectral radius among all C_4 -free graphs of size m.

Theorem 1 [11] Let G be a graph of size $m \ge 9$. If $\rho(G) > \sqrt{m}$, then $C_4 \subseteq G$.

Zhai and Shu [24] improved the result in Theorem 1 for a non-bipartite connected graph by showing the following theorem.

Theorem 2 [24] Let G be a non-bipartite and connected graph of size $m \ge 26$. If $\rho(G) \ge \rho(K_{1,m-1} + e)$, then $C_4 \subseteq G$ unless G is $K_{1,m-1} + e$.

Recently, Wang [19] provided a generalization of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3 [19] Let G be a graph of size $m \ge 27$. If $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{m-1}$, then $C_4 \subseteq G$ unless G is one of these graphs (with possibly isolated vertices): $K_{1,m}$, $K_{1,m-1} + e$, $K_{1,m-1}^e$, or $K_{1,m-1} \cup P_2$.

It is easy to check that $\rho(H) < \rho(K_{1,m})$ if $H \in \{K_{1,m-1}+e, K_{1,m-1}^e, K_{1,m-1} \cup P_2\}$ and $m \ge 27$. This, together with Theorems 1 and 3, indicates that if $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{m}$ for a graph G of size $m \ge 27$, then $C_4 \subseteq G$ unless G is $K_{1,m}$. Indeed, from Theorems 1 and 3, if $m \ge 27$ and $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{m-k}$ for k = 0 or 1, then $C_4 \subseteq G$ unless $K_{1,m-k} \subseteq G$. Motivated by this, we hope to give a general result in terms of the value of k. **Theorem 4** Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer and G be a graph of size $m \ge \max\{(k^2 + 2k + 2)^2 + k + 1, (2k+3)^2 + k + 1\}$. If $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{m-k}$, then $K_{1,m-k} \subseteq G$ or $C_4 \subseteq G$.

Next, we turn our attention to the study of a relationship between the maximum degree and the signless Laplacian spectral radius of a graph. The signless Laplacian matrix Q(G) is a linear combination of adjacency matrix and diagonal matrix of a graph G, so it may significantly reveal the structural properties of the graph G. As expressed in [18], among matrices (generalized adjacency matrices) associated with a graph, the signless Laplacian matrix seems to be the most convenient for using in studying graph properties. For the related researches on the signless Laplacian matrix, the readers may refer to [8, 16, 20], and a series of surveys by Cvetković and Simić [3–5].

A signless spectral Turán type version of extremal graph theory has been extensively studied by researchers. In terms of the order of a graph, much literature investigated the maximal signless Laplacian spectral radius κ (*G*) among *H*-free graphs for various graphs *H*, including triangles [27], cycles [13, 21], and linear forests [1]. However, there are few investigations on signless spectral Turán type problems in terms of the size.

The topic we focus on is inspired by a theorem by Zhai, Xue, and Lou [26], which can be viewed as a signless Laplacian spectral Turán type problem for stars in terms of the size.

Theorem 5 [26] Let G be a graph of size $m \ge 4$. If G is a graph without isolated vertices, then $\kappa(G) \le m + 1$ with equality if and only if $G = K_{1,m}$.

Theorem 5 infers that if $\kappa(G) \ge m + 1$ for a graph of size *m*, then $K_{1,m} \subseteq G$ (in fact, $G = K_{1,m}$ when *G* has no isolated vertex). We show the following result, which extends Theorem 5.

Theorem 6 Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer and G be a graph of size $m \ge \max\{\frac{1}{2}k^2 + 6k + 3, 7k + 25\}$. If $\kappa(G) \ge m - k + 1$, then $K_{1,m-k} \subseteq G$.

Remark 1.1 Let G_1 (resp. G_2) be the graph from $K_{1,m-k-1}$ (resp. $K_{1,m-k}$) by embedding k + 1 (resp. k) independent edges. We may check $\rho(G_1) < \sqrt{m-k}$, $\kappa(G_1) < m - k + 1$, $\rho(G_2) > \sqrt{m-k}$ and $\kappa(G_2) > m - k + 1$. Moreover, we know G_1 does not contain neither $K_{1,m-k}$ nor C_4 as a subgraph, and G_2 contains $K_{1,m-k}$ as a subgraph. Thus, G_1 and G_2 illustrate Theorems 4 and 6.

Remark 1.2 Let $m = {\binom{s}{2}} + t$ ($0 \le t \le s - 1$) and *G* be the graph from K_s by attaching *k* pendant edges at a vertex of K_s . We have $\rho(G) > \sqrt{m-k}$ if *m* satisfies the condition in Theorem 4. However, *G* contains C_4 as a subgraph but not an induced subgraph. So we always consider an *F*-free graph as a graph does not contain *F* as a subgraph.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Notations are introduced in Sect. 2. Proofs of Theorems 4 and 6 are presented in Sects. 2 and 3, respectively. In Sect. 4, we propose a conjecture on the relation between the maximum degree and spectral radius of adjacency matrix in terms of order.

2 Proof of theorem 4

We shall introduce terminology and notation. For a graph *G*, let *u* be a vertex of *G*, and *S*, *T* be two subsets of V(G). Then, let $N_S(u)$ denote the set of neighbors of *u* in *S*, and $d_S(u)$ be the cardinality of $N_S(u)$, i.e., $d_S(u) = |N_S(u)|$. Specially, if S = V(G) then we omit the subscript *S*. The minimum degree of *G* is defined to be $\delta(G) = \min\{d(u) : u \in V(G)\}$. Let *G*[*S*] be the subgraph of *G* induced by *S*, and denote by E(S) the set of edges in *G*[*S*] and e(S) the cardinality of E(S). Suppose that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then, we denote by e(S, T) the number of edges with one vertex in *S* and the other vertex in *T*.

Now we prove Theorem 4 by way of contradiction. Assume that there are graphs H of size $m \ge \max\{(k^2+2k+2)^2+k+1, (2k+3)^2+k+1\}$ with $\rho(H) \ge \sqrt{m-k}$, such that $K_{1,m-k} \nsubseteq H$ and $C_4 \nsubseteq H$. Let G be a graph with the maximum spectral radius among graphs satisfying the above conditions. Since adding/deleting isolated vertices to/from G not changes the value of $\rho(G)$, we can let G contain no isolated vertices. For simplification, we write ρ by $\rho(G)$.

Let x be a nonnegative eigenvector of A(G) corresponding to ρ with coordinate x_i corresponding to the vertex v_i of G. Let u^* be a vertex of G with $x_{u^*} = \max\{x_i : v_i \in V(G)\}$, then we have a partition $\{u^*\} \cup A \cup B$ of V(G) where $A = N(u^*)$ and $B = V(G) \setminus N[u^*]$. Thus,

$$\rho^{2} x_{u^{*}} = \sum_{u \in N(u^{*})} \rho x_{u} = \sum_{u \in N(u^{*})} \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_{v}$$
$$= |A| x_{u^{*}} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_{u} + x_{v}) + \sum_{u \in B} d_{A}(u) x_{u}.$$
(1)

Next, we establish two necessary claims.

Claim 1 For a vertex u in B, $d_A(u) \leq 1$.

Proof This claim follows from the fact that $C_4 \nsubseteq G$.

Following the partition of V(G), we give a refinement of B. Let $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ be a partition of B, such that $B_1 = \{u \in B : d_B(u) = 0\}$ and $B_2 = B \setminus B_1$. For a vertex $u \in B_1$, we have $d_A(u) = 1$ from Claim 1, and so d(u) = 1.

Claim 2 For a vertex u in B_1 , $x_u \leq \frac{1}{\rho} x_{u^*}$.

Proof Let $u \in B_1$, then we have $\rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \le x_{u^*}$. The claim follows. \Box

Thus, from Claim 2, by (1) we have

$$\rho^2 x_{u^*} \le |A| x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \sum_{u \in B_1} \frac{1}{\rho} x_{u^*} + \sum_{u \in B_2} d_A(u) x_u.$$
(2)

Note that

$$e(A, B_2) \le 2e(B_2) = 2e(B).$$
 (3)

Note that V(G) has the partition $\{u^*\} \cup A \cup B_1 \cup B_2$. Clearly $P_3 \nsubseteq G[A]$ since $C_4 \nsubseteq G$. Then, from Claim 2, by (3) we obtain

$$\rho \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) = 2e(A)x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \sum_{u \in B_1} d_A(u)x_u + \sum_{u \in B_2} d_A(u)x_u$$
$$\leq 2e(A)x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho}x_{u^*} + e(A, B_2)x_{u^*}$$
$$\leq 2e(A)x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho}x_{u^*} + 2e(B)x_{u^*}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) \le \left(\frac{2e(A) + 2e(B)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho(\rho - 1)}\right) x_{u^*}.$$

This, together with (2), indicates

$$\rho^{2} x_{u^{*}} \leq |A| x_{u^{*}} + \left(\frac{2e(A) + 2e(B)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_{1})}{\rho(\rho - 1)}\right) x_{u^{*}} + \frac{e(A, B_{1})}{\rho} x_{u^{*}} + \sum_{u \in B_{2}} d_{A}(u) x_{u}$$
$$\leq |A| x_{u^{*}} + \left(\frac{2e(A) + 2e(B)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_{1})}{\rho(\rho - 1)}\right) x_{u^{*}} + \frac{e(A, B_{1})}{\rho} x_{u^{*}} + e(A, B_{2}) x_{u^{*}}$$
$$= \left(|A| + \frac{2e(A) + 2e(B)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_{1})}{\rho - 1} + e(A, B_{2})\right) x_{u^{*}}.$$

That is, $\rho^2 \leq |A| + \frac{2e(A)+2e(B)}{\rho-1} + \frac{e(A,B_1)}{\rho-1} + e(A,B_2)$. On the other hand, we know that $\rho^2 \geq m-k$. Note that m = |A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(B)

On the other hand, we know that $\rho^2 \ge m - k$. Note that $m = |A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B) = |A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2)$. We have

$$\rho^2 \ge |A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2) - k.$$
(4)

Hence,

$$|A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2) - k$$

$$\leq |A| + \frac{2e(A) + 2e(B)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho - 1} + e(A, B_2),$$

which implies

$$(\rho - 3)e(A) + (\rho - 3)e(B) + (\rho - 2)e(A, B_1) \le k(\rho - 1).$$

Since $m \ge (2k+3)^2 + k + 1$, we have $\rho \ge \sqrt{m-k} > 2k+3$. Thus, $e(B) \le \frac{\rho-1}{\rho-3}k < k+1$, and so $e(B) \le k$.

Deringer

Therefore, for a vertex $u \in B_2$, we have $d(u) \le k + 1$, and

$$\rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \le d(u) x_{u^*} \le (k+1) x_{u^*},$$

which follows that $x_u \leq \frac{k+1}{\rho} x_{u^*}$. Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \rho \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) &\leq 2e(A)x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \sum_{u \in B_1} d_A(u)x_u + \sum_{u \in B_2} d_A(u)x_u \\ &\leq 2e(A)x_{u^*} + \sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho}x_{u^*} + \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho}x_{u^*}. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\sum_{uv \in E(A)} (x_u + x_v) \le \frac{1}{\rho - 1} \left(2e(A) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho} + \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho} \right) x_{u^*}.$$

By (2), we have

$$\begin{split} \rho^2 x_{u^*} &\leq |A| x_{u^*} + \frac{1}{\rho - 1} \left(2e(A) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho} + \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho} \right) x_{u^*} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho} x_{u^*} \\ &+ \sum_{u \in B_2} d_A(u) x_u \\ &\leq |A| x_{u^*} + \frac{1}{\rho - 1} \left(2e(A) + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho} + \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho} \right) x_{u^*} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho} x_{u^*} \\ &+ \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho} x_{u^*} \\ &= \left(|A| + \frac{2e(A)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{(k+1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho - 1} \right) x_{u^*}. \end{split}$$

Combining this inequality with (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A| + e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2) - k \\ &\leq |A| + \frac{2e(A)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{e(A, B_1)}{\rho - 1} + \frac{(k + 1)e(A, B_2)}{\rho - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(\rho - 3)e(A) + (\rho - 1)e(B) + (\rho - 2)e(A, B_1) + (\rho - k - 2)e(A, B_2) \le k(\rho - 1).$$
(5)

By $K_{1,m-k} \nsubseteq G$, we have $e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2) = m - |A| \ge k + 1$. If k = 0, then $(\rho - 3)e(A) + (\rho - 1)e(B) + (\rho - 2)e(A, B_1) + (\rho - 2)e(A, B_2) \le 0$ by (5). So $\rho \leq 3$. Hence, $3 \geq \rho \geq \sqrt{m-k} \geq \sqrt{(2k+3)^2 + k + 1 - k} = \sqrt{10}$, a contradiction.

If $k \ge 1$, then by (5) we have

$$e(A) + e(B) + e(A, B_1) + e(A, B_2) \le \frac{\rho - 1}{\rho - k - 2}k < k + 1$$

since $\rho \ge \sqrt{m-k} \ge \sqrt{(k^2+2k+2)^2+k+1-k} > k^2+2k+2$. This is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

3 Proof of theorem 6

We resume the notation from the previous section. For a graph G, if x is a unit eigenvector of Q(G) corresponding to $\kappa(G)$ with coordinate x_i corresponding to the vertex v_i of G, by the well-known Courant–Fischer theorem (see eg., [6, Theorem 4.2.6]), then we have

$$\kappa(G) = \max_{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 = 1} \mathbf{y}^T \mathcal{Q}(G) \mathbf{y} = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (x_i + x_j)^2.$$
(6)

Note that the formulate $Q(G)\mathbf{x} = \kappa(G)\mathbf{x}$ implies that $(\kappa(G)I - D(G))\mathbf{x} = A(G)\mathbf{x}$. Then for a vertex $u \in V(G)$, we have

$$\left(\kappa(G) - d(u)\right)x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v.$$
(7)

Now we prove Theorem 6 by way of contradiction. Suppose that *G* is the extremal graph with the maximum signless Laplacian spectral radius among graphs *H* of size $m \ge \max\{\frac{1}{2}k^2 + 6k + 3, 7k + 25\}$ and $K_{1,m-k} \nsubseteq H$. Then, $\kappa(G) \ge m - k + 1$. Let *x* be a nonnegative unit eigenvector of Q(G) corresponding to $\kappa(G)$, and u^* be a vertex of *G* with $x_{u^*} = \max\{x_i : v_i \in V(G)\}$. For simplification, write κ by $\kappa(G)$.

Denote by

$$W = \left\{ u \in V(G) : x_u \ge \frac{1}{2} x_{u^*} \right\}.$$

Note that $u^* \in W$, and $|W| \ge 1$. We prove the following claim.

Claim 3 |W| = 1.

Proof For a vertex $u \in W$, we know $x_u \ge \frac{1}{2}x_{u^*}$. Then, by (7),

$$\left(\kappa - d(u)\right)\frac{1}{2}x_{u^*} \le \left(\kappa - d(u)\right)x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \le d(u)x_{u^*},$$

which follows that $d(u) \ge \frac{1}{3}q$.

Since $\kappa \ge m - k + 1$ and $m \ge 7k + 24$, we have

$$2m \ge \sum_{u \in W} d(u) \ge \frac{1}{3}\kappa |W| \ge \frac{1}{3}(m-k+1)|W|,$$

that is, $|W| \le \frac{6m}{m-k+1} < 7$. Thus, $|W| \le 6$.

Now we can improve the lower bound that $d(u) \ge \frac{1}{3}\kappa$ for $u \in W$. By (7), we obtain

$$(\kappa - d(u^*)) x_{u^*} = \sum_{v \in N(u^*)} x_v = \sum_{v \in N(u^*) \cap W} x_v + \sum_{v \in N(u^*) \setminus W} x_v$$

$$\leq (|W| - 1) x_{u^*} + (d(u^*) - |W| + 1) \frac{1}{2} x_{u^*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (d(u^*) + |W| - 1) x_{u^*},$$

which yields

$$d(u^*) \ge \frac{2}{3}\kappa - \frac{1}{3}|W| + \frac{1}{3} \ge \frac{2}{3}\kappa - \frac{5}{3}.$$
(8)

Assume that $|W| \ge 2$. For a vertex $u \in W \setminus \{u^*\}$, we obtain

$$(\kappa - d(u)) x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v = \sum_{v \in N(u) \cap W} x_v + \sum_{v \in N(u) \setminus W} x_v$$

$$\leq (|W| - 1) x_{u^*} + (d(u) - |W| + 1) \frac{1}{2} x_{u^*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (d(u) + |W| - 1) x_{u^*}.$$

On the other hand, we have $(\kappa - d(u))x_u \ge \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - d(u))x_{u^*}$. Hence, $\frac{1}{2}(d(u) + |W| - 1) \ge \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - d(u))$, that is,

$$d(u) \ge \frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{5}{2}.\tag{9}$$

Combining (8) and (9), we have

 $m+1 \ge d(u^*) + d(u) \ge \frac{2}{3}\kappa - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{5}{2} = \frac{7}{6}\kappa - \frac{25}{6} \ge \frac{7}{6}(m-k+1) - \frac{25}{6}.$

Hence, $m \le 7k + 24$, which contradicts the fact that $m \ge 7k + 25$. Thus, $|W| \le 1$, and so |W| = 1 since $|W| \ge 1$.

From Claim 3, we have $W = \{u^*\}$. Thus, for two vertices $u, v \in V(G) \setminus \{u^*\}$, it has $x_u + x_v < x_{u^*}$.

We assert that $d(u^*) = m - k - 1$. On the contrary, suppose that $d(u^*) \le m - k - 2$. Then, there is an edge, says $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$, such that $u^* \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edge u_1u_2 and attaching a pendent vertex u_0 to u^* , and x' be a vector with

$$x'_w = \begin{cases} x_w, & \text{if } w \in V(G); \\ 0, & \text{if } w = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $||x'||_2 = 1$. By (6), we have

$$\kappa(G') - \kappa(G) \ge \sum_{uv \in E(G')} (x'_u + x'_v)^2 - \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (x_u + x_v)^2$$
$$= (x_{u^*} + 0)^2 - (x_{u_1} + x_{u_2})^2 > 0.$$

Since $K_{1,m-k} \nsubseteq G'$. This deduces a contradiction to the maximality of *G*. Thus, we have $d(u^*) = m - k - 1$.

For a vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus \{u^*\}$, we have $d(u) \le k + 2$. Then, from (7), we have

$$(\kappa - d(u))x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \le x_{u^*} + (d(u) - 1)\frac{1}{2}x_{u^*},$$

which follows

$$x_u \le \frac{d(u)+1}{2(q-d(u))} x_{u^*} \le \frac{k+3}{2(\kappa-k-2)} x_{u^*}.$$
(10)

We can further improve the lower bound in (10). Similarly, by (10) we have

$$(\kappa - d(u))x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v \le x_{u^*} + (d(u) - 1)\frac{k+3}{2(\kappa - k - 2)}x_{u^*},$$

which implies

$$x_{u} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\kappa - d(u)} + \frac{d(u) - 1}{\kappa - d(u)} \frac{k + 3}{2(\kappa - k - 2)}\right)$$
$$x_{u^{*}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\kappa - k - 2} + \frac{(k + 1)(k + 3)}{2(\kappa - k - 2)^{2}}\right) x_{u^{*}}.$$
(11)

Recall that $\kappa \ge m - k + 1$ and $d(u^*) = m - k - 1$. By (7), we obtain

$$2x_{u^*} \le \left(\kappa - d(u^*)\right) x_{u^*} = \sum_{u \in N(u^*)} x_u \le d(u^*) \left(\frac{1}{\kappa - k - 2} + \frac{(k+1)(k+3)}{2(\kappa - k - 2)^2}\right) x_{u^*}.$$

Deringer

So

$$(m-k-1)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa-k-2} + \frac{(k+1)(k+3)}{2(\kappa-k-2)^2}\right) \ge 2.$$

On the other hand, we may check

$$\begin{split} (m-k-1)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa-k-2} + \frac{(k+1)(k+3)}{2(\kappa-k-2)^2}\right) &\leq (m-k-1)\left(\frac{1}{m-2k-1} + \frac{(k+1)(k+3)}{2(m-2k-1)^2}\right) \\ &= (m-2k-1+k)\left(\frac{1}{m-2k-1} + \frac{(k+1)(k+3)}{2(m-2k-1)^2}\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{(k^2+6k+3)m-(k^3+9k^2+9k+3)}{2(m-2k-1)^2} \\ &< 2, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality holds due to the fact that $m \ge \frac{1}{2}k^2 + 6k + 3$. This deduces a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

4 Concluding remarks

For an odd integer *n*, let F_n be the friendship graph of order *n*, i.e., the graph obtained from $K_{1,n-1}$ by embedding $\frac{n-1}{2}$ independent edges. Nikiforov [10] showed that if *G* does not contain C_4 then $\rho(G) \le \rho(F_n)$, with equality if and only if $G = F_n$. In the same paper (also see [11]), Nikiforov posed a conjecture that for even *n*, if *G* does not contain C_4 as a subgraph then $\rho(G) \le \rho(F'_n)$, where F'_n is obtained from F_{n-1} by attaching a new vertex to the unique vertex of maximum degree, with equality if and only if $G = F'_n$. The conjecture was confirmed by Zhai and Wang in [25].

It is easy to check

$$\rho(F_n) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4(n-1) + 1}}{2}.$$

Due to a well-known fact that $\rho(G) \ge \frac{2m}{n}$ for a graph *G* of order *n* and size *m*, if *G* does not contain *C*₄, then we have

$$\frac{2m}{n} \le \rho(G) \le \rho(F_n) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4(n-1) + 1}}{2}.$$

That is,

$$m \le \frac{n\left(1 + \sqrt{4(n-1) + 1}\right)}{4}$$

which is a classical upper bound of the Turán number for C_4 obtained by Reiman [17].

One can see that from Nikiforov's result on odd *n* (resp., Zhai-Wang's result on even *n*), if $\rho(G) \ge \rho(F_n)$ (resp., $\rho(G) \ge \rho(F'_n)$), then $C_4 \subseteq G$ or $K_{1,n-1} \subseteq G$.

Motivated by this property, we provide a natural conjecture in terms of the maximum degree as follows.

Conjecture 4.1 Let $s \ge 1$ be an integer and $n \ge f(s)$, where f(s) is a function on s. If G is a graph of order n and

$$\rho(G) \ge \frac{1 + \sqrt{4(n-s) + 1}}{2}$$

then $K_{1,n-s} \subseteq G$ or $C_4 \subseteq G$.

Nikiforov's theorem confirmed Conjecture 4.1 for s = 1. Indeed, Conjecture 4.1 provides a spectral method to pursue the Turán number for C_4 .

Acknowledgements We would like to gratefully thank anonymous referees for their careful reading and valuable comments which led to an improved version of the paper.

Data availability The authors confirm that the conclusions of the paper depend on no data that is not included within the paper.

Declarations

Conflict of interest All the authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Chen, M.Z., Liu, A.M., Zhang, X.D.: The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with forbidding linear forests. Linear Algebra Appl. 591, 25–43 (2020)
- Cioabă, S., Feng, L.H., Tait, M., Zhang, X.D.: The maximum spectral radius of graphs without friendship subgraphs. Electron. J. Combin. 27(4), #P4.22 (2020)
- Cvetković, D., Simić, S.K.: Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian I. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 85(99), 19–33 (2009)
- Cvetković, D., Simić, S.K.: Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian II. Linear Algebra Appl. 432, 2257–2272 (2010)
- Cvetković, D., Simić, S.K.: Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian III. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 4, 156–166 (2010)
- 6. Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R.: Matrix Analysis, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013)
- Lin, H.Q., Ning, B., Wu, B.Y.D.R.: Eigenvalues and triangles in graphs. Combin. Probab. Comput. 30, 258–270 (2021)
- Lou, Z.Z., Guo, J.M., Wang, Z.W.: Maxima of *L*-index and *Q*-index: graphs with given size and diameter. Discrete Math. 344, 112533 (2021)
- Nikiforov, V.: Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph. Combin. Probab. Comput. 11, 179–189 (2002)
- 10. Nikiforov, V.: Bounds on graph eigenvalues II. Linear Algebra Appl. 427, 183-189 (2007)
- Nikiforov, V.: The maximum spetral radius of C₄-free graphs of given order and size. Linear Algebra Appl. 430, 2898–2905 (2009)
- Nikiforov, V.: The spectral radius of graphs without paths and cycles of specified length. Linear Algebra Appl. 432, 2243–2256 (2010)
- Nikiforov, V., Yuan, X.Y.: Maxima of the *Q*-index: forbidden odd cycles. Linear Algebra Appl. 71, 636–653 (2015)
- 14. Nikiforov, V.: On a theorem of Nosal. arXiv:2104.12171v1
- 15. Nosal, E.: Eigenvalues of Graphs, Master's Thesis, University of Calgary (1970)
- Oliveiraa, C.S., de Limab, L.S., de Abreuc, N.M.M., Hansend, P.: Bounds on the index of the signless Laplacian of a graph. Discrete Appl. Math. 158, 355–360 (2010)

- 17. Reiman, I.: Über ein problem von K. Zarankiewicz. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 9, 269–273 (1958)
- van Dam, E.R., Haemers, W.: Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? Linear Algebra Appl. 373, 241–272 (2003)
- Wang, Z.W.: Generalizing theorems of Nosal and Nikiforov: triangles and quadrilaterals. Discrete Math. 345, 112973 (2022)
- Yu, G.L., Wu, Y.R., Shu, J.L.: Signless Laplacian spectral radii of graphs with given chromatic number. Linear Algebra Appl. 435, 1813–1822 (2011)
- 21. Yuan, X.Y.: Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden odd cycles. Linear Algebra Appl. 458, 207-216 (2014)
- Zhai, M.Q., Lin, H.Q.: A strengthening of the spectral chromatic critical edge theorem: books and theta graphs. J. Graph Theory 102, 1–19 (2022)
- Zhai, M.Q., Lin, H.Q., Shu, J.L.: Spectral extrema of graphs of fixed size: cyles and complete bipartite graphs. European J. Combin. 95, 103322 (2021)
- 24. Zhai, M.Q., Shu, J.L.: A spectral version of Mantel's theorem. Discrete Math. 345, 112630 (2022)
- Zhai, M.Q., Wang, B.: Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius of C₄-free graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 437, 1641–1647 (2012)
- Zhai, M.Q., Xue, J., Lou, Z.Z.: The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with a prescribed number of edges. Linear Algebra Appl. 603, 154–165 (2020)
- Zhao, Y.H., Huang, X.Y., Guo, H.T.: The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with no intersecting triangles. Linear Algebra Appl. 68, 12–21 (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.