

Distance-integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups and dicyclic groups

Jing Huang¹ · Shuchao Li²

Received: 17 March 2020 / Accepted: 12 March 2021 / Published online: 30 March 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

A graph is said to be distance-integral if every eigenvalue of its distance matrix is an integer. In this paper, we study the distance spectrum of abelian Cayley graphs and a class of non-abelian Cayley graphs, namely Cayley graphs over the dicyclic group $T_{4n} = \langle a, b | a^{2n} = 1, a^n = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ of order 4n. Based on the representation theory of finite groups, we first show that an abelian Cayley graph is integral if and only if it is distance-integral, which naturally contains a main result obtained in [Electron. J. Comb. 19(4) (2012) paper 25, 8 pp]. Then, we display a necessary and sufficient condition for a Cayley graph over T_{4n} to be distance-integral; some simple necessary (or sufficient) conditions for the distance integrality of a Cayley graph over T_{4n} in terms of the Boolean algebra of $\langle a \rangle$ are provided as well. Consequently, some infinite families of distance-integral Cayley graphs over T_{4n} are constructed. Finally, for a prime $p \geq 3$, all the distance-integral Cayley graphs over T_{4p} are completely characterized.

Keywords Distance-integral Cayley graph \cdot Dicyclic group \cdot Irreducible representation

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C50

Jing Huang jhuangmath@sina.com

² School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

J. Huang was partially supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2019A1515110277), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2020ZYGXZR016) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12001202). S. Li was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671164).

Shuchao Li lscmath@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we only consider simple connected graphs $\Gamma = (V_{\Gamma}, E_{\Gamma})$ with vertex set V_{Γ} and edge set E_{Γ} . The *distance* between two vertices $x, y \in V_{\Gamma}$, written as $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them.

The *adjacency matrix* A_{Γ} of Γ is a 0-1 $\nu \times \nu$ matrix whose (x, y)-entry equals to 1 if and only if vertices x and y are adjacent, whereas the *distance matrix* D_{Γ} of Γ is a $\nu \times \nu$ matrix whose (x, y)-entry equals to $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)$, where $\nu := |V_{\Gamma}|$. Since A_{Γ} and D_{Γ} are real and symmetric, all the eigenvalues of A_{Γ} and D_{Γ} are real. The eigenvalues of A_{Γ} (resp. D_{Γ}) are called the *eigenvalues* (resp. *distance eigenvalues*) of Γ . The spectrum of A_{Γ} (resp. D_{Γ}) is called the *adjacency spectrum* (resp. *distance spectrum*) of Γ . Graph Γ is said to be *integral* (resp. *distance-integral*) if every eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix (resp. distance matrix) is integer.

Let *G* be a finite group and let *S* be a subset of *G* such that $1_G \notin S$ and $S^{-1} = S$; here, we use 1_G to denote the identity element of *G*, and we omit the subscript *G* for our notation when there is no danger of confusion. The *Cayley graph Cay*(*G*, *S*) over *G* with respect to *S* is the graph with vertex set $V_{Cay(G,S)} = G$ and edge set $E_{Cay(G,S)} =$ $\{\{g, h\} | gh^{-1} \in S, g, h \in G\}$. It is well known that Cay(G, S) is connected if and only if $\langle S \rangle = G$.

The concept of integral graphs was proposed by Harary and Schwenk [13] in 1974. They also proposed the following interesting question: "Which graphs have integral spectra?" Since then, classifying and constructing integral graphs have become important research topics in algebraic graph theory. However, for a general graph, giving a systemic and complete solution to the aforementioned problem turns out to be extremely difficult, and the problem is yet far from being solved. Many researchers then tried to obtain some progress by studying the integrality of some special classes of graphs (see, for example, [7] and [26]). One of the most popular among them is the research on the integrality of Cayley graphs.

In 1979, Babai [4] used character theory of finite groups *G* to give an expression for the spectrum of a Cayley graph Cay(G, S), which is a remarkable achievement on the spectra of Cayley graphs. Bridges and Mena [6] derived a complete characterization of integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups. Alperin and Peterson [3] presented a necessary and sufficient condition for the integrality of Cayley graphs Cay(G, S)on abelian groups *G* by characterizing the structure of *S*. Recently, Lu, Huang and Huang [21] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the integrality of Cayley graphs over dihedral group D_n by analyzing the irreducible characters of the *dihedral* group D_n , which is defined as $D_n = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$. Cheng, Feng and Huang [8] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the integrality of Cayley graphs over the *dicyclic group* T_{4n} (see [17]), which is defined as

$$T_{4n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = 1, a^n = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$$

= {1, a, ..., a^{2n-1}, b, ba, ..., ba^{2n-1}}. (1.1)

For more results on integral Cayley graphs, one may refer to [1,2,10,12,18,19,22,23] and the references with in.

The distance matrix has numerous applications in chemistry and other branches. The information contained in it is immensely useful for computing topological indices such as the Wiener index, Harary index and so on. What's more, multiple applications of the distance matrix and its eigenvalues have been found in a large variety of problems, including those in ornithology, molecular biology, psychology and archeology. One may be referred to [5] and the references therein. For such reasons, it is important to study some properties of distance eigenvalues. In this paper, we focus on the distance integrality of Cayley graphs. However, comparing with the extensive studies on integral Cayley graphs, there are few results on the distance integrality of Cayley graphs, which is due to being more difficult to obtain the distance spectrum. In 2010, Ilić [15] proved that all the distance eigenvalues of integral Cayley graphs over cyclic groups are integers. Two years later, Klotz and Sander [20] extended the above result from cyclic groups to abelian groups. Renteln [24] showed that the distance spectrum of a Cayley graph over a real reflection group with respect to the set of all reflections is integral and provided a combinatorial formula for such spectrum. Foster-Greenwood and Kriloff [11] proved that the eigenvalues and distance eigenvalues of a Cayley graphs on a complex reflection group with connection sets consisting of all reflections are integers.

Inspired by [3,8,11,15,20,21,24], we are interested in considering the distance integrality of Cayley graphs over abelian groups and dicyclic groups. Our first main result characterizes the equivalence of integrality and distance integrality of Cayley graphs over abelian groups, which reads as

Theorem 1.1 Let G be an abelian group. Choose $S \subseteq G$ such that $1 \notin S = S^{-1}$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$. Then, Cay(G, S) is integral if and only if Cay(G, S) is distance-integral.

By virtue of Theorem 1.1, we can immediately get the following corollary, which is a main result of [20].

Corollary 1.2 ([20]) All the distance eigenvalues of integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups are integers.

Define $C(G) = \left\{ \bigcup_{[g] \in \widetilde{B}(G)} m_g[g] \mid m_g \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers. For simplicity, we use C(a) to denote $C(\langle a \rangle)$ in rest of the paper.

Our second main result presents a necessary and sufficient condition for the $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ to be distance-integral, where S is any subset of T_{4n} satisfying $1 \notin S$, $S = S^{-1}$ and $\langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$, which reads as

Theorem 1.3 Let T_{4n} be the dicyclic group as given in (1.1). Choose $S \subseteq T_{4n}$ such that $1 \notin S = S^{-1}$ and $\langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$. Then, $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ is distance-integral if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k)a^k \in C(a)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k)\omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k)\omega^{-2kh}$ is a square number for all $1 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, where $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}}$ is a primitive 2n-th root of unity.

Our next main result completely characterizes all distance-integral Cayley graphs over T_{4p} for a prime $p \ge 3$, which reads as

Theorem 1.4 Let $T_{4p} = \langle a, b | a^{2p} = 1, a^p = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ with prime $p \geq 3$. Choose $S \subseteq T_{4p}$ such that $1 \notin S, S^{-1} = S$ and $\langle S \rangle = T_{4p}$. If S can be

partitioned as $S := S_1 \cup bS_2 \subseteq T_{4p}$ with $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \langle a \rangle$, then $Cay(T_{4p}, S)$ is distanceintegral if and only if either $S_1 \in \{\emptyset, \{a^p\}\}$ and $S_2 \in \{\langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\}$ or $S_1 \in \{[a], [a^2], [a] \cup \{a^p\}, [a^2] \cup \{a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1, a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1\}\}$ and $S_2 \in \{\{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we give some preliminary results. In Sect. 3 we give a proof of Theorem 1.1, whereas a proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in Sect. 4. In the last section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2 Preliminary results

We first restate some basic results on representation theory of finite groups. We follow the notations and terminologies in [25] except if otherwise stated. Let *G* be a finite group and let *V* be a finite-dimensional vector space over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Denote by GL(V) the group of all bijective linear maps $T : V \to V$. A *representation* of *G* on *V* is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \to GL(V)$. The *degree* of ρ , denoted by d_{ρ} , is the dimension of *V*. Suppose that *V* is a unitary space, that is, it is endowed with a Hermitian scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$. A representation $\rho : G \mapsto GL(V)$ is *unitary* if $\langle \rho(g)v_1, \rho(g)v_2 \rangle_V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V$ for all $g \in G$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$. It is well known that any finite-dimensional representation of a finite group can be unitarizable. Therefore, we consider only unitary representations.

Fix an orthonormal basis of *V* over \mathbb{C} . For each $g \in G$, the matrix $\mathfrak{X}(g)$ of $\rho(g)$ with respect to the orthonormal basis is a unitary matrix, and $\mathfrak{X} : g \mapsto \mathfrak{X}(g)$ defines a matrix representation of *G* called a *matrix representation afforded by* ρ . The *character* $\chi_{\rho} : G \to \mathbb{C}$ of ρ is defined as $\chi_{\rho}(g) = Tr(\rho(g))$ for $g \in G$, where $Tr(\rho(g))$ is the trace of the matrix representation of $\rho(g)$. A subspace $W \leq V$ is *G*-invariant if $\rho(g)w \in W$ for all $g \in G$ and $w \in W$. The trivial subspaces *V* and $\{0\}$ are always invariant. We say that a representation $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ is *irreducible* if *V* has no non-trivial invariant subspaces; otherwise, we say that it is *reducible*.

Let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ denote the set of formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, where $a_g \in \mathbb{C}$ and G is any (not necessarily abelian) finite group. Obviously, $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a complex algebra having a basis consisting of the elements of G. If $D = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, define $D^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1}$; if D is a subset of G, we identify D with $\sum_{d \in D} d \in \mathbb{C}[G]$.

Given a finite abelian group *G*, let \mathcal{F}_G be the set consisting of all subgroups of *G*. The *Boolean algebra* B(G) is the set whose elements are obtained by arbitrary finite intersections, unions, and complements of the elements in \mathcal{F}_G . The minimal elements of B(G) are called *atoms*. Denote by $\widetilde{B}(G)$ the set of all different atoms. A muti-subset *S* of *G* is called *integral* if $\chi(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s)$ is an integer for every irreducible character χ of *G*. Alperin and Peterson [3] not only showed that each element of B(G) is the union of some atoms and each atom of B(G) has the form $[g] = \{x | \langle x \rangle = \langle g \rangle, x \in G\}$ but also determined the integrality of Cayley graphs over abelian groups, which is listed in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]) Let G be a finite abelian group and $S \subseteq G$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

| | $a^k \ (0 \le k \le 2n-1)$ | $ba^k \ (0 \le k \le 2n-1)$ |
|--|---|--|
| ψ_1 | 1 | 1 |
| ψ_2 | 1 | -1 |
| ψ_3 | $(-1)^{k}$ | $(-1)^{k}i$ |
| ψ_4 | $(-1)^{k}$ | $(-1)^{k+1}i$ |
| $\phi_j \ (1 \le j \le n-1, j \text{ is odd})$ | $\left(egin{array}{cc} \omega^{kj} & 0 \ 0 & \omega^{-kj} \end{array} ight)$ | $egin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-kj} \ -\omega^{kj} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\zeta_h \ (1 \le h \le \frac{n-1}{2})$ | $\left(egin{array}{cc} \omega^{2kh} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-2kh} \end{array} ight)$ | $egin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-2kh} \ \omega^{2kh} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |

Table 1 Inequivalent irreducible representation table of T_{4n} for odd n

Table 2 Inequivalent irreducible representation table of T_{4n} for even n

| | $a^k \ (0 \le k \le 2n - 1)$ | $ba^k \ (0 \le k \le 2n-1)$ |
|--|---|--|
| ψ_1 | 1 | 1 |
| ψ_2 | 1 | -1 |
| ψ_3 | $(-1)^{k}$ | $(-1)^{k}$ |
| ψ_4 | $(-1)^{k}$ | $(-1)^{k+1}$ |
| $\phi_j \ (1 \le j \le n-1, j \text{ is odd})$ | $\left(egin{array}{cc} \omega^{kj} & 0 \ 0 & \omega^{-kj} \end{array} ight)$ | $egin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-kj} \ -\omega^{kj} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\zeta_h \ (1 \le h \le \frac{n-2}{2})$ | $\left(egin{array}{cc} \omega^{2kh} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-2kh} \end{array} ight)$ | $egin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-2kh} \ \omega^{2kh} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ |

(i) Cay(G, S) is integral;

(ii) *S* is integral;

(iii) $S \in B(G)$.

DeVos et al. [9] used an approach similar to those given in [3] to extend parts of the above lemma to multi-sets.

Lemma 2.2 ([9]) Let G be a finite abelian group and let T be a multi-subset of G. Then, T is integral if and only if $T \in C(G)$.

The irreducible representations of T_{4n} have been completely characterized, and we list them in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 ([16]) The irreducible representations of T_{4n} are given in Table 1 if n is odd and in Table 2 otherwise, where i is the imaginary unit and $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}}$ is a primitive 2n-th root of unity.

Let Cay(G, S) be a connected Cayley graph with $1 \notin S$ and $S^{-1} = S$. In correspondence with the orthonormal basis $\{v_1^{\rho}, v_2^{\rho}, \dots, v_{d_{\rho}}^{\rho}\}$, we define $\varphi_{s,t}^{\rho}(g) = \langle \rho(g)v_t^{\rho}, v_s^{\rho} \rangle_{W_{\rho}}$, where W_{ρ} denotes the vector space corresponding to the representation ρ . With the above notations, it has been proved that the distance matrices of Cayley graphs over any finite groups satisfy the following decomposed formula.

Lemma 2.4 ([14]) Let G be a finite group with $S \subseteq G$, where $1_G \notin S = S^{-1}$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_h$ be all inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of G with d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_h as their degrees, respectively. Then, there exists an invertible matrix Q such that

$$QD_{Cay(G,S)}Q^{-1} = d_1\Phi(\rho_1) \bigoplus d_2\Phi(\rho_2) \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus d_h\Phi(\rho_h),$$

where $\Phi(\rho_k)$ denotes the $d_k \times d_k$ matrix whose (s, t)-entry is equal to $\sum_{g \in G} d(1, g)$ $\varphi_{s,t}^{\rho_k}(g)$ for $s, t = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, h$.

The following properties about the dicyclic group T_{4n} immediately follow from the relations $a^{2n} = 1$, $a^n = b^2$ and $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$, which can be found in [8].

Lemma 2.5 ([8]) Let T_{4n} be the dicyclic group as defined in (1.1). Then, for all $0 \leq 1$ k, m < 2n - 1, one has

- (i) $ba^{k} = a^{-k}b, a^{k}b = ba^{-k};$ (ii) $ba^{k}ba^{m} = a^{n-k+m};$
- (iii) $(ba^k)^{-1} = ba^{n+k}$.

The following lemma is simple, but useful for the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.6 Let T_{4n} be the dicyclic group as defined in (1.1) and let $S \subseteq T_{4n}$ with $S^{-1} = S \text{ and } \langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$. Then, $d(1, ba^k) = d(1, ba^{n+k})$ for $0 \le k \le n-1$.

Proof Note that for any $g_1, g_2, h \in T_{4n}, \{g_1, g_2\} \in E_{Cay(T_{4n}, S)}$ if and only if $\{hg_1, hg_2\} \in E_{Cay(T_{4n},S)}$. Then, $d(g_1, g_2) = d(hg_1, hg_2)$. Together with Lemma 2.5, we have

$$d(1, ba^{k}) = d(ba^{n+k}, ba^{n+k}ba^{k}) = d(1, ba^{n+k}),$$

as desired.

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, which characterizes the equivalence of integrality and distance integrality of Cayley graphs over abelian groups.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 Let ρ be an irreducible representation of G, then $d_{\rho} = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.4, one has $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{g \in G} d(1, g)\rho(g)$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that Cay(G, S) is distance-integral if and only if $\sum_{g \in G} d(1, g)g \in C(G)$. Consequently, by Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that $S \in B(G)$ if and only if $\sum_{g \in G} d(1,g)g \in C(G).$

Note that $S = \{g \in G \mid d(1, g) = 1\}$. The sufficiency is thus obvious. Suppose conversely that $\widetilde{B}(G) = \{[h_1], [h_2], \dots, [h_k]\}$ for some integer k. Let $\langle g_1 \rangle = \langle g_2 \rangle \in$ B(G) with $d(1, g_1) = q$ and $ord(g_1) = t$. Then, $g_2 = g_1^l$ for some integer l, which leads to gcd(l, t) = 1. Assume that the order of G is n, then t is a divisor of n

(abbreviated $t \mid n$). Thus, there exists a surjective group homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}_n^* \to \mathbb{Z}_t^*$ such that $f(x \pmod{n}) = x \pmod{t}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{n' \mid \gcd(n', n) = 1\}$.

Recall that $l \in \mathbb{Z}_t^*$. Then, there exists $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ such that $f(y \pmod{n}) = l$ (mod t) = $y \pmod{t}$. Therefore, $t \mid (l - y)$, which gives $g_2 = g_1^l = g_1^y$. Note that g_1 can be expressed as $g_1 = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_q$, where $z_i \in S$ for $1 \le i \le q$. Then, $g_2 = z_1^y z_2^y \cdots z_q^y$. Recall that gcd(y, n) = 1, we thus have $gcd(y, ord(z_i)) = 1$, leading to $z_i^y \in \langle z_i \rangle \subseteq S$ for all $1 \le i \le q$. Therefore, $d(1, g_2) \le d(1, g_1)$. In a similar way, $d(1, g_1) \le d(1, g_2)$. Consequently, $d(1, g_1) = d(1, g_2)$ whenever $\langle g_1 \rangle = \langle g_2 \rangle$ and therefore we conclude that $\sum_{g \in G} d(1, g)g \in C(G)$ as desired.

This completes the proof.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3, which studies the distance integrality of $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the distance integrality of $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ is derived and some infinite families of distance-integral Cayley graphs over dicyclic groups are constructed.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 Let ρ be an irreducible representation of T_{4n} . Then, $d_{\rho} = 1$ or $d_{\rho} = 2$. In order to complete the proof, it suffices to consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $d_{\rho} = 1$. In this case, assume that $W_{\rho} = \{\alpha v_1^{\rho} | \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(a^k) + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(ba^k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \langle \rho(a^k) v_1^{\rho}, v_1^{\rho} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \langle \rho(ba^k) v_1^{\rho}, v_1^{\rho} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \rho(a^k) + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \rho(ba^k).$$
(4.1)

By Lemma 2.3, both $d(1, a^k)$ and $\rho(a^k)$ are integers, which implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k)$ $\rho(a^k)$ is an integer. Therefore, only $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k)\rho(ba^k)$ requires further considerations. If *n* is even, then both $d(1, ba^k)$ and $\rho(ba^k)$ are integers, and thus, $\Phi(\rho)$ is an integer by (4.1). If *n* is odd, then *k* and *n* + *k* have different parity. Combining with Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k)\rho(ba^k) = 0$. Consequently, $\Phi(\rho)$ is also an integer in this case.

Case 2. $d_{\rho} = 2$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\rho \in \{\phi_j \mid 1 \le j \le n - 1, j \text{ is odd}\} \cup \{\zeta_h \mid 1 \le h \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$. Then, we proceed by distinguishing the following two possible subcases to complete the proof.

Subcase 2.1. $\rho \in \{\phi_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \text{ is odd}\}$. Assume that $W_\rho = \{\beta v_1^\rho + \gamma v_2^\rho \mid \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $\{v_1^\rho, v_2^\rho\}$ is an orthonormal basis corresponding to

 ρ . Then, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists an odd $j \in [1, n]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho(a^{k})v_{1}^{\rho} = \omega^{kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, \\ \rho(a^{k})v_{2}^{\rho} = \omega^{-kj}v_{2}^{\rho}, \\ \rho(ba^{k})v_{1}^{\rho} = -\omega^{kj}v_{2}^{\rho} \\ \rho(ba^{k})v_{2}^{\rho} = \omega^{-kj}v_{1}^{\rho} \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \le k \le 2n - 1$. This gives

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(a^{k})v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{kj}, \\ \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle -\omega^{kj}v_{2}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(a^{k})v_{2}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})v_{2}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{-kj}, \\ \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle -\omega^{kj}v_{2}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = -\omega^{kj}, \\ \varphi_{2,2}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})v_{2}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-kj}v_{1}^{\rho}, v_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., 2n - 1. Combining Lemma 2.4 with (4.2) yields that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(g) \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(g) \\ \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(g) \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{2,2}^{\rho}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{kj} & \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{-kj} \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{kj} & \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{-kj} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.3)

Note that $d(1, a^k) = d(1, a^{-k})$ for all $0 \le k \le 2n - 1$. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{-kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{-k}) \omega^{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj}.$$
 (4.4)

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{kj} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[d(1, ba^k) \omega^{kj} + d(1, ba^{n+k}) \omega^{(n+k)j} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{kj} (1 + \omega^{nj})$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{kj} \left[1 + (-1)^j \right] = 0.$$
(4.5)

Deringer

Substituting (4.4) and (4.5) into (4.3) yields that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of $\Phi(\rho)$ are $x_1 = x_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj}$, which means that both x_1 and x_2 are integers if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj}$ is an integer. **Subcase 2.2.** $\rho \in \{\zeta_h \mid 1 \le h \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$. Assume that $W_\rho = \{\eta u_1^\rho + \theta u_2^\rho \mid \eta, \theta \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $\{u_1^\rho, u_2^\rho\}$ is an orthonormal basis corresponding to ρ . Then, in view of Lemma 2.3, there exists $h \in \left[1, \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor\right]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho(a^{k})u_{1}^{\rho} = \omega^{2kh}u_{1}^{\rho}, \\ \rho(a^{k})u_{2}^{\rho} = \omega^{-2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, \\ \rho(ba^{k})u_{1}^{\rho} = \omega^{2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, \\ \rho(ba^{k})u_{2}^{\rho} = \omega^{-2kh}u_{1}^{\rho} \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \le k \le 2n - 1$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(a^{k})u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{2kh}u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{2kh}, \\ \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(a^{k})u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-2kh}u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{1}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{-2kh}, \\ \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = 0, \\ \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-2kh}u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{2kh}, \\ \varphi_{2,2}^{\rho}(a^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-2kh}u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \omega^{-2kh}, \\ \varphi_{2,2}^{\rho}(ba^{k}) = \langle \rho(ba^{k})u_{2}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = \langle \omega^{-2kh}u_{1}^{\rho}, u_{2}^{\rho} \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(4.6)$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., 2n - 1. By Lemma 2.4 and (4.6), one has

$$\Phi(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{1,1}^{\rho}(g) \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{1,2}^{\rho}(g) \\ \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{2,1}^{\rho}(g) \sum_{g \in T_{4n}} d(1, g) \varphi_{2,2}^{\rho}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{-2kh} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{-2kh} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{-2kh} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{-2kh} \end{pmatrix}$$

Deringer

where the last equality follows from (4.4). Therefore,

$$\det (xI_2 - \Phi(\rho)) = \begin{vmatrix} x - \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh} - \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh} \\ - \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} & x - \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \left(x - \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh} \right)^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}.$$

Consequently, the eigenvalues of $\Phi(\rho)$ are

$$x_{1}' = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{2kh} + \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{-2kh}}, \quad (4.7)$$
$$x_{2}' = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^{k}) \omega^{2kh} - \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{k}) \omega^{-2kh}}. \quad (4.8)$$

If both x'_1 and x'_2 are integers, then by (4.7)–(4.8), $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh} = \frac{x'_1 + x'_2}{2}$ is a rational number. Note that $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh}$ is an algebraic integer. Hence, $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh}$ is thus forced to be an integer. By (4.7) $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}$ is a square number. Conversely, if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh}$ is an integer and $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}$ is a square number, then both x'_1 and x'_2 are integers (based on (4.7)–(4.8)).

Therefore, both x'_1 and x'_2 are integers if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{2kh}$ is an integer and $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}$ is a square number.

Consequently, by Cases 1 and 2, Lemma 2.2 and with the arbitrariness of ρ , we obtain that $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ is distance-integral if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k)a^k \in C(a)$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}$$

is a square number for all $1 \le h \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

This completes the proof.

Example 4.1 Let $T_{16} = \langle a, b | a^8 = 1, a^4 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle = \{1, a, \dots, a^7, b, ba, \dots, ba^7\}$ be the dicyclic group of order 16 and $S = \{a, a^3, a^5, a^7, b, ba^4\}$. Then,

$$\widetilde{B}(\langle a \rangle) = \left\{ \{1\}, \{a, a^3, a^5, a^7\}, \{a^2, a^6\}, \{a^4\} \right\}.$$
(4.9)

Note that for any $g \in G$,

$$d(1,g) = \begin{cases} \min\{k \mid g = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq S\}, \text{ if } g \neq 1; \\ 0, & \text{ if } g = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, by a direct calculation, one has

$$d(1, a) = d(1, a^3) = d(1, a^5) = d(1, a^7) = 1,$$

$$d(1, ba) = d(1, ba^3) = d(1, ba^5) = d(1, ba^7) = 2,$$

$$d(1, b) = d(1, ba^4) = 1, \quad d(1, a^2) = d(1, a^4) = d(1, a^6) = 2,$$

$$d(1, ba^2) = d(1, ba^6) = 3.$$

Then, in view of (4.9), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\prime} d(1, a^k) a^k = a + a^3 + a^5 + a^7 + 2(a^2 + a^6) + 2a^4 \in C(a)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{7} d(1, ba^{k})\omega^{2k} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{7} d(1, ba^{k})\omega^{-2k} = (1 + 2\omega^{2} + 3\omega^{4} + 2\omega^{6} + \omega^{8} + 2\omega^{10} + 3\omega^{12} + 2\omega^{14})$$

(1 + 2\omega^{-2} + 3\omega^{-4} + 2\omega^{-6} + \omega^{-8} + 2\omega^{-10} + 3\omega^{-12} + 2\omega^{-14})
= 16

is a square number. Therefore, by Theorem 1.3, $Cay(T_{16}, S)$ is distance-integral. In fact, it is routine to check that

$$D_{Cay(T_{16},S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, the distance spectrum of $Cay(T_{16}, S)$ is $\{26, 2^{[4]}, (-2)^{[8]}, (-6)^{[3]}\}$, where superscripts denote multiplicities. Thus, $Cay(T_{16}, S)$ is distance-integral.

By Theorem 1.3, we can obtain infinite families of distance-integral Cayley graphs over dicyclic groups according to the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2 Let T_{4n} be the dicyclic group as defined in (1.1). Choose $S \subseteq T_{4n}$ such that $1 \notin S$, $S^{-1} = S$ and $\langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$. If S can be partitioned as $S := S_1 \cup bS_2 \subseteq T_{4n}$ with $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ and $S_2^{-1} = S_2$, then $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ is distance-integral if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k)a^k \in C(a)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k)\omega^{2kh} \in C(a^2)$.

Proof First note that $S^{-1} = S$ if and only if $S_1^{-1} = S_1$ and $S_2 = a^n S_2$. Then, we show that $d(1, ba^k) = d(1, ba^{-k})$ for all $0 \le k \le 2n - 1$. Assume that ba^k can be expressed as $ba^k = x_1x_2\cdots x_r$, where $x_j \in S_1$ or $x_j = bs_j \in bS_2$ for $1 \le j \le r$. Then, by Lemma 2.5 one has

$$ba^{-k} = b^{3}(ba^{k})b = b^{3}x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r}b = \begin{cases} (b^{3}x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r-1}b)x_{r}^{-1}, & \text{if } x_{r} \in S_{1}; \\ (b^{3}x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{r-1}b)bs_{r}^{-1}, & \text{if } x_{r} = bs_{r} \in bS_{2}. \end{cases}$$

Iterating the above argument yields

$$ba^{-k} = x'_1 x'_2 \cdots x'_r$$
, where $x'_j = \begin{cases} x_j^{-1}, & \text{if } x_j \in S_1; \\ bs_j^{-1}, & \text{if } x_j = bs_j \in bS_2 \end{cases}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Note that $S_1^{-1} = S_1$ and $S_2^{-1} = S_2$. Then, $x'_j \in S$ for all $1 \le j \le r$. Recall that

$$d(1,g) = \begin{cases} \min\{k \mid g = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq S\}, \text{ if } g \neq 1; \\ 0, & \text{ if } g = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence $d(1, ba^{-k}) \leq d(1, ba^k)$. Similarly, $d(1, ba^k) \leq d(1, ba^{-k})$. Therefore, $d(1, ba^{-k}) = d(1, ba^k)$, which implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^{-k}) \omega^{2kh} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh}.$$

The desired result then follows from Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.2.

The following corollary gives a necessary condition for $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ to be distanceintegral.

Corollary 4.3 Let T_{4n} be the dicyclic group as defined in (1.1). Choose $S \subseteq T_{4n}$ such that $1 \notin S$, $S^{-1} = S$ and $\langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$. If $Cay(T_{4n}, S)$ is distance-integral, then $\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} d(1, a^k) a^k \in C(a)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) a^{2k} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} d(1, ba^k) a^{-2k} \in C(a^2)$.

5 The proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. In the following, we discuss the distance integrality of Cayley graphs over dicyclic groups T_{4p} for primes $p \ge 3$. All distance-integral Cayley graphs over T_{4p} for a prime $p \ge 3$ are completely determined.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Assume that S_1 and S_2 satisfy the sufficient conditions of our result and we aim to show that $Cay(T_{4p}, S)$ is distance-integral. By Theorem 1.3, $Cay(T_{4p}, S)$ is distance-integral if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj}$ is an integer for all $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{-2kh}$ is a square number for all $1 \leq h \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$.

If $S_1 = \emptyset$ and $S_2 = \langle a \rangle$, then $d(1, a^l) = 2$ for $1 \le l \le 2p - 1$, $d(1, ba^{l'}) = 1$ for $0 \le l' \le 2p - 1$, and thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d\left(1, a^{k}\right) \omega^{kj} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} \omega^{kj} = -2, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{2kh}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{-2kh} = 0.$$

If $S_1 = \emptyset$ and $S_2 = \langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}$ for some $0 \le k \le p-1$, then it is direct to verify that $d(1, a^l) = 2$ for $1 \le l \le 2p-1$, $d(1, ba^{l'}) = 1$ for $l' \notin \{k, p+k\}$ and $d(1, ba^k) = d(1, ba^{p+k}) = 3$, which leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d\left(1, a^k\right) \omega^{kj} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} \omega^{kj} = -2$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{-2kh} = 4\omega^{2kh} \cdot 4\omega^{-2kh} = 16$$

In a similar way, if $S_1 = \{a^p\}$ and $S_2 \in \{\langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\}$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj} \in \{0, -2\}$ is an integer and $\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k)$ $\omega^{-2kh} \in \{0, 4\}$ is a square number. If

$$S_1 \in \left\{ [a], [a^2], [a] \cup \{a^p\}, [a^2] \cup \{a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1, a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1\} \right\}$$

and

$$S_2 \in \left\{\{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\right\},\$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d(1, a^k) \omega^{kj} \in \{-4, -2, -1, 0\}$ is an integer and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{2kh} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \omega^{-2kh} \in \{0, 1, 4\}$$

🖄 Springer

is a square number.

Now assume conversely that $Cay(T_{4p}, S)$ is distance-integral. Since $p \ge 3$ is a prime number, we have

$$\widetilde{B}(\langle a \rangle) = \left\{ \{1\}, \{a^p\}, \{a, a^3, \dots, a^{p-2}, \dots, a^{p+2}, \dots, a^{2p-1}\}, \\ \left\{a^2, a^4, \dots, a^{p-1}, \dots, a^{p+1}, \dots, a^{2p-2}\} \right\}.$$

It follows from Corollary 4.3 that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1} d(1, a^k) a^k \in C(a), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^k\right) a^{2k} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^k\right) a^{-2k} \in C\left(a^2\right).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{cases} d(1, a) = d(1, a^3) = \dots = d(1, a^{p-2}) = d(1, a^{p+2}) = \dots = d(1, a^{2p-1}); \\ d(1, a^2) = d(1, a^4) = \dots = d(1, a^{p-1}) = d(1, a^{p+1}) = \dots = d(1, a^{2p-2}) \end{cases}$$
(5.10)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) a^{2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) a^{-2k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \left[d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \right]^{2} + m \left(a^{2} + a^{4} + \dots + a^{2p-2}\right), \qquad (5.11)$$

where *m* is a non-negative integer. Taking the trivial representation of $\langle a^2 \rangle$ on both sides of (5.11) yields

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d\left(1, ba^{k}\right)\right]^{2} = 2\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \left[d\left(1, ba^{k}\right)\right]^{2} + (p-1)m.$$
(5.12)

Recall that $\chi_h \left[\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) a^{2k} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} d(1, ba^k) a^{-2k} \right]$ is a square number for all $1 \le h \le \frac{p-1}{2}$. Hence, there exists an integer *t* such that

$$t^{2} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \left[d\left(1, ba^{k}\right) \right]^{2} - m.$$
(5.13)

Note that $S = S^{-1}$ if and only if $S_1 = S_1^{-1}$ and $S_2 = a^p S_2$. Then, $a^k \in S_2$ if and only if $a^{p+k} \in S_2$ for all $0 \le k \le p - 1$. In the following, we proceed by distinguishing the following two cases to show our result.

Case 1. $\{a, a^2\} \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$. It follows from (5.10) that

$$S_1 \in \left\{ [a], [a^2], [a] \cup \{a^p\}, [a^2] \cup \{a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1, a^p\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1\} \right\}.$$

Then, it is routine to check that

$$d(1, ba^{k}) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } a^{k} \in S_{2}; \\ 2, \text{ if } a^{k} \notin S_{2}. \end{cases}$$
(5.14)

Assume that $|S_2| = 2x$, then $1 \le x \le p$ by the fact that $\langle S \rangle = T_{4n}$. Substituting (5.14) into (5.12) and (5.13) yields

$$[2x+2(2p-2x)]^{2} = 2[2x+4(2p-2x)] + (p-1)m, \quad t^{2} = 2[2x+4(2p-2x)] - m.$$

Then, we obtain $p(x - t^2) = (x + t)(x - t)$. Therefore, $p \mid (x + t)$ or $p \mid (x - t)$. Note that $t^2 \le 4(4p - 3x) \le 4p^2$, we have $x + t, x - t \in \{-p, 0, p, 2p, 3p\}$. If x + t = -p, then $x - t^2 = t - x$. Thus, $x^2 + (2p - 3)x + p(p - 1) = 0$ has no real roots, which is impossible. Similarly, all the possible cases lead to $x \in \{1, p - 1, p\}$, implying that $S_2 \in \{\{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\}$ for $0 \le k \le p - 1$, as desired.

Case 2. $\{a, a^2\} \cap S_1 = \emptyset$. In this case, $S_1 = \emptyset$ or $S_1 = \{a^p\}$ (based on (5.10)). Then, we have $|S_2| \ge 4$ due to $S^{-1} = S$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$. Assume that $a^k = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_t$ with $x_j \in S$ for all $1 \le j \le t$. Then, there exists x_l $(1 \le l \le t)$ such that $x_l = bs_l \in bS_2$. Together with Lemma 2.5, one has

$$a^{p+k} = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l) a^p = x_1 \cdots x_{l-1} (ba^p s_l) x_{l+1} \cdots x_l$$

Note that $S = S^{-1}$ if and only if $S_1 = S_1^{-1}$ and $S_2 = a^p S_2$. Hence, for $s_l \in S_2$, we have $a^p s_l \in S_2$. Recall that

$$d(1,g) = \begin{cases} \min\{q \mid g = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_q\} \subseteq S\}, & \text{if } g \neq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } g = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, $d(1, a^{p+k}) \le d(1, a^k)$. Similarly, $d(1, a^p) \le d(1, a^{p+k})$. Therefore, for all $0 \le k \le n-1$, one has $d(1, a^k) = d(1, a^{p+k})$. Combining with (5.10) we have

$$d(1,a) = d(1,a^2) = \dots = d(1,a^{p-1}) = d(1,a^{p+1}) = \dots = d(1,a^{2p-1}).$$
(5.15)

Assume that $\{a^{j_1}, a^{j_2}, a^{p+j_1}, a^{p+j_2}\} \subseteq S_2$ with $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p-1$. Then, $d(1, a^{j_2-j_1}) = d(1, ba^{j_1} \cdot ba^{p+j_2}) = 2$. In view of (5.15), one has $d(1, a) = d(1, a^2) = \cdots = d(1, a^{p-1}) = d(1, a^{p+1}) = \cdots = d(1, a^{2p-1}) = 2$, which implies that

$$d(1, ba^{j}) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } a^{j} \in S_{2}; \\ 3, \text{ if } a^{j} \notin S_{2}. \end{cases}$$
(5.16)

Assume that $|S_2| = 2y$, then $2 \le y \le p$. Substituting (5.16) into (5.12) and (5.13) yields

$$[2y+3(2p-2y)]^{2} = 2[2y+9(2p-2y)] + (p-1)m, \quad t^{2} = 2[2y+9(2p-2y)] - m.$$

Then, we obtain $p(16y - t^2) = (4y + t)(4y - t)$. Therefore, $p \mid (4y + t)$ or $p \mid (4y - t)$. Note that $t^2 \le 4(9p - 8y) \le 4(p + 1)^2$, we have 4y + t, $4y - t \in \{-p, 0, p, 2p, 3p, 4p, 5p, 6p\}$. If 4y + t = -p, then $4y - t = t^2 - 16y$. Thus, $16y^2 + 8(p - 3)y + p(p - 1) = 0$ has no real roots, which is impossible. Similarly, all the possible cases lead to $y \in \{p - 1, p\}$, implying that $S_2 \in \{\langle a \rangle \setminus \{a^k, a^{p+k}\}, \langle a \rangle\}$ for $0 \le k \le p - 1$.

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to both of the referees for a very careful reading of this paper and for all their insightful comments, which led to a number of improvements.

References

- Abdollahi, A., Jazaeri, M.: Groups all of whose undirected Cayley graphs are integral. Europ. J. Combin. 38, 102–109 (2014)
- Ahmady, A., Bell, J.P., Mohar, B.: Integral Cayley graphs and groups. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28, 685–701 (2014)
- Alperin, R.C., Peterson, B.L.: Integral sets and Cayley graphs of finite groups. Electron. J. Combin. 19, 12 (2012)
- 4. Babai, L.: Spectra of Cayley graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 27, 180-189 (1979)
- Balasubramanian, K.: Computer generation of distance polynomials of graphs. J. Comput. Chem. 11, 829–836 (1990)
- Bridges, W.G., Mena, R.A.: Rational *G*-matrices with rational eigenvalues. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 32, 264–280 (1982)
- Bussemaker, F.C., Cvetković, D.: There are exactly 13 connected, cubic, integral graphs. Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektroehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. 544–576, 43–48 (1976)
- Cheng, T., Feng, L.H., Huang, H.L.: Integral Cayley graph over dicyclic groups. Linear Algebra Appl. 566, 121–137 (2019)
- DeVos, M., Krakovski, R., Mohar, B., Ahmady, A.S.: Integral Cayley multigraphs over Abelian and Hamiltonian groups. Electron. J. Combin. 20(2), 16 (2013)
- Estélyi, I., Kovács, I.: On groups all of whose undirected Cayley graphs of bounded valency are integral. Electron. J. Combin. 21(4), 11 (2014)
- Foster-Greenwood, B., Kriloff, C.: Spectra of Cayley graphs of complex reflection groups. J. Algebraic Combin. 44, 33–57 (2016)
- Ghasemi, M.: Integral pentavalent Cayley graphs on abelian or dihedral groups. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 127, 219–224 (2017)
- Harary, F., Schwenk, A.J.: Which graphs have integral spectra? In: Graphs and Combinatorics (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 406, ed. R. Bari, F. Harary), pp. 45–51 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1974)
- Huang, J., Li, S.C.: Integral and distance integral Cayley graphs over generalized dihedral groups. J. Algebraic Combin. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-020-00948-1
- Ilić, A.: Distance spectra and distance energy of integral circulant graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 433(5), 1005–1014 (2010)
- James, G., Liebeck, M.: Representations and Characters of Groups, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001)

- Johnson, D.L.: Topics in the Theory of Group Presentations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 42, Cambridge University Press (1980)
- Klotz, W., Sander, T.: Integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups. Electron. J. Combin. 17(1), 13 (2010)
- Klotz, W., Sander, T.: Integral Cayley graphs defined by greatest common divisors. Electron. J. Combin. 18(1), 15 (2011)
- Klotz, W., Sander, T.: Distance powers and distance matrices of integral Cayley graphs over Abelian groups. Electron. J. Combin. 19(4), 8 (2012)
- Lu, L., Huang, X.Q., Huang, X.Y.: Integral Cayley graphs over dihedral groups. J. Algebraic Combin. 47, 585–601 (2018)
- Ma, X.L., Wang, K.S.: Integral Cayley sum graphs and groups. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 36, 797–803 (2016)
- Ma, X.L., Wang, K.S.: On finite groups all of whose cubic Cayley graphs are integral. J. Algebra Appl. 15(6), 10 (2016)
- Renteln, P.: The distance spectra of Cayley graphs of Coxeter groups. Discrete Math. 311, 738–755 (2011)
- Tullio, C.S., Fabio, S., Filippo, T.: Representation theory of the symmetric groups. The Okounkov-Vershik approach, character formulas, and partition algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 121. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010
- 26. Watanabe, M., Schwenk, A.J.: Integral starlike trees. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 28, 120–128 (1979)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.