



Tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups

Lei Wang¹ · Yin Liu² · Yanxiong Yan³

Received: 4 December 2018 / Accepted: 16 December 2020 / Published online: 5 February 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we give a characterisation for a class of edge-transitive Cayley graphs and provide a method for constructing edge-transitive graphs of valency 4 with arbitrarily large vertex stabiliser. In particular, in the last section, we obtain certain extensions of the results of Li et al. (Tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graphs with odd number of vertices, *J Comb Theory Ser B* 96:164–181, 2006) on half-transitive graphs.

Keywords Frobenius group · Edge-transitive graph · Coset graph · Cayley graph

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C25 · 05E18

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12061083); Educational Department Fund of Yunnan (No. 2019J0026); NSF of Yunnan Province (No. 2017FD071); Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11671324; 11971391); Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Nos. XDJK2019C116; XDJK2019B030) and Teaching Reform Project of Southwest University (No. 2018JY061).

✉ Yin Liu
liuyinjiayou@sina.com
Lei Wang
wanglei@ynu.edu.cn
Yanxiong Yan
2003yyx@163.com

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

² Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Beibei, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be finite, simple, connected and undirected. For a graph Γ , let $V\Gamma$, $E\Gamma$ and $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ denote its vertex set, edge set and the full automorphism group, respectively. If a subgroup $X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ acts transitively on $V\Gamma$ or $E\Gamma$, then the graph Γ is said to be X -vertex-transitive or X -edge-transitive, respectively. A sequence v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s of vertices of Γ is called an s -arc if $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, and $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is an edge for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. The graph Γ is called (X, s) -arc-transitive if X is transitive on the s -arcs of Γ ; if in addition X is not transitive on the $(s+1)$ -arcs, then Γ is said to be (X, s) -transitive. In particular, a 1-arc is simply called an arc, and Γ is simply called X -arc-transitive if it is $(X, 1)$ -arc-transitive.

A graph Γ is called a Cayley graph if there exists a group G and a subset $S \subset G \setminus \{1\}$ with $S = S^{-1} := \{g^{-1} \mid g \in S\}$ such that the vertices of Γ may be identified with the elements of G in such a way that x is adjacent to y if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. The Cayley graph Γ is denoted by $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. Throughout this paper, denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the vertex of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ corresponding to the identity of G .

It is well known that a graph Γ is a Cayley graph of a group G if and only if the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ contains a subgroup which is regular on vertices and isomorphic to G . In particular, a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is vertex-transitive, but of course not necessarily edge-transitive. In the literature, the Cayley graphs which are edge-transitive have received much attention, and special classes of edge-transitive Cayley graphs have been well investigated. For instance, see [10,22,35,37] for those with valency 4; see [23,32,33] for characterisations of edge-transitive Cayley graphs of metacyclic Frobenius groups; see [5] for a classification of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups of order a product of two primes; see [26] for a classification of cubic arc-transitive Cayley graphs on Frobenius groups. In this paper, we investigate tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups.

An edge-transitive graph Γ is called half-transitive if $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ is transitive on the vertices but not on the arcs of Γ . In view of the fact that 4 is the smallest admissible valency for a half-transitive graph, special attention has been given to the study of tetravalent half-transitive graphs (for example, see [10–12,27,35,40]). In fact, many of the interesting families of half-transitive graphs are constructed as metacirculants, see [28,40] for reference. Kutnar et al. [18] gave one family of half-transitive graphs that are not metacirculant. It is therefore worth mentioning some families of tetravalent half-transitive graphs of non-metacirculants. The main results (Theorems 1.1, 1.2) provide a generic construction of half-transitive graphs of valency 4, which are not metacirculants. To state our results, we need more definitions.

A typical method for studying vertex-transitive graphs is taking certain quotients. For an X -vertex-transitive graph Γ and a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft X$, the normal quotient graph Γ_N induced by N is the graph that has vertex set $V\Gamma_N = \{u^N \mid u \in V\Gamma\}$ such that u^N and v^N are adjacent if and only if u is adjacent in Γ to some vertex in v^N . If the valency of Γ_N equals the valency of Γ , then Γ is called a normal cover of Γ_N .

For an integer $m \geq 3$, we denote by $\mathbf{C}_{m[2]}$ the lexicographic product of the empty graph $2\mathbf{K}_1$ of order 2 by a cycle \mathbf{C}_m of size m , which has vertex set $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq$

$i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2\}$ such that (i, j) and (i', j') are adjacent if and only if $i - i' \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$.

A Frobenius group G is a semidirect product of a normal subgroup W by a subgroup H such that none of the non-identity elements of H centralises a non-identity element of W , refer to Dixon and Mortimer [7].

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, G a group and V a vector space over \mathbb{F} such that $G \leq \text{GL}(V)$. Suppose that $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ ($r > 1$), where V_i are subspaces of V which are transitively permuted by the action of G . We call G *imprimitive* on V if there exists such a decomposition. Otherwise, G is called *primitive* on V . For positive integers p and n , we call d the order of p modulo n if n divides $p^d - 1$ but n does not divide $p^i - 1$ for $i < d$, and denote d by $\text{ord}_n(p)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $G = W:H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n$ be a Frobenius group, where $d = \text{ord}_n(p)$ for a prime p and a positive integer n . Assume that Γ is a connected tetravalent X -edge-transitive Cayley graph of G , where $G \leq X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$. If X is soluble, then one of the following statements holds:*

- (1) G is normal in X , and $X_1 \leq D_8$;
- (2) $G \cong D_{2p}$, $\Gamma \cong C_{p[2]}$ and $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^p:D_{2p}$;
- (3) $X = W:((N:H).\mathcal{O})$ with $\text{soc}(X) = W \times L$, and $X_1 = N.\mathcal{O}$, where $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq d$, $L \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 , and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 , satisfying the following statements:
 - (i) there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in W$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d \in N$ such that $W = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$, $\langle x_i, \tau_i \rangle \cong D_{2p}$ and $N = \langle \tau_i \rangle \times C_N(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$;
 - (ii) H does not centralise N , and H is imprimitive on W ;
 - (iii) $X/(WN) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ or D_{2n} , and Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $X/(WN) \cong D_{2n}$;
- (4) $\Gamma_W \cong C_{\frac{n}{2}[2]}$, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W , and $X = W:((NH).\mathcal{O})$ such that
 - (i) $X_1 \leq N.\mathcal{O}$, $N \cap H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and H normalises N , but H does not centralise N , where $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq n/2$, and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ;
 - (ii) W is the unique minimal normal subgroup of X , and H is imprimitive on W ;
 - (iii) $X/(WN) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ or D_n , and Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $X/(WN) \cong D_n$;
- (5) $X = ((WN):H).\mathcal{O}$, $X_1 = N.\mathcal{O}$, and Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $X/(WN) \cong D_{2n}$, where W and N are 2-groups, and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Remarks on Theorem 1.1.

- (a) The Cayley graph Γ in part (1), called a *normal edge-transitive graph*, is studied in [31]. Furthermore, if $X = \text{Aut}\Gamma$, then Γ is called a *normal Cayley graph*, introduced in [38].
- (b) Note that $\text{ord}_n(p) = d$ if and only if H acts irreducibly on W (such n is called a *primitive divisor* of $p^d - 1$), refer to [6, Proposition 2.3].
- (c) The group X satisfies part (3) or part (4) if and only if H is imprimitive on W , see Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. In addition, H is imprimitive on W if and only if there exists some prime r dividing d such that n divides $r(p^{d/r} - 1)$, see [6, Proposition 2.8].

Table 1 Insoluble automorphism groups with metacyclic Frobenius subgroups

X	G	X_1
$\text{PSL}(3, 3):\mathbb{Z}_2$	D_{26}	$\mathbb{Z}_3^2:\text{GL}(2, 3)$
$\text{PGL}(2, 7)$	$D_{14}, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_6$	S_4, D_{16}, D_8
$\text{PSL}(2, 23)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{23}:\mathbb{Z}_{11}$	S_4
$\text{PSL}(2, 11)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_5$	A_4
$\text{PGL}(2, 11)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_{10}$	S_4, A_4
$\text{PGL}(2, 11) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_{10}$	S_4

(d) Constructions 3.3 and 3.5 show that the graph Γ indeed exists when the group X satisfies part (3) or part (4) with $\mathcal{O} = 1$.

Theorem 1.2 *Using the notation defined in Theorem 1.1, if X is insoluble, then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_5, X = W.\bar{X}$ and $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_5$, where $\text{soc}(\bar{X}) \cong A_5$;
- (2) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_{10}, X = W.(\bar{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$, where $\text{soc}(\bar{X}) \cong A_5$;
- (3) X is almost simple with one exception, and the triple (X, G, X_1) lies in Table 1.

Remarks on Theorem 1.2.

- (a) Constructions 3.7 and 3.9 show that the graph Γ indeed exists when the group X satisfies part (1) or part (2).
- (b) Kuzman [19] classified all arc-transitive elementary abelian covers of the complete graph \mathbf{K}_5 , and in [9,39], Du et al. classified all regular covers of the graph $\mathbf{K}_{n,n} - n\mathbf{K}_2$ with the covering transformation group \mathbb{Z}_p^2 or \mathbb{Z}_p^3 . However, it seems difficult at the moment to classify such graph $\mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$ with the covering transformation group \mathbb{Z}_p^4 .

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 provide a method for characterising some classes of half-transitive graphs of valency 4. The following theorem is such an example and generalises some of the results in [22].

Theorem 1.3 *Let $G = W:\langle h \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n$ be a Frobenius group, where $\text{ord}_n(p) = d > 1$ is an odd integer, p is an odd prime, and $n \nmid r(p^{d/r} - 1)$ for any prime r dividing d . Let Γ be a connected tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graph of G . Then, $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G:\mathbb{Z}_2$, Γ is half-transitive, and $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_i = \text{Cay}(G, S_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor, (n, i) = 1$, and*

$$S_i = \{ah^i, a^{-1}h^i, (ah^i)^{-1}, (a^{-1}h^i)^{-1}\}, \text{ where } a \in W \setminus \{1\}.$$

Moreover, if $p^k i \equiv \pm j \pmod n$ for some $k \geq 0$, then $\Gamma_i \cong \Gamma_j$.

Remark If $n \mid r(p^{d/r} - 1)$ for some prime r dividing d , then $\langle h \rangle$ is imprimitive on W , refer to Detinko and Flannery [6, Proposition 2.8]. Construction 3.3 shows that there exist infinite such groups G , such that the Cayley graphs Γ of G are not half-transitive. Therefore, the condition that $n \nmid r(p^{d/r} - 1)$ for any prime r dividing d is needed.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, we collect the notation and elementary facts as well as some technical lemmas. Some basic facts will be used in the sequel without further reference.

For a core-free subgroup H of group X and an element $a \in X \setminus H$, let $[X:H] := \{Hx \mid x \in X\}$, and define the coset graph

$$\Gamma := \text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a, a^{-1}\}H)$$

with vertex set $[X:H]$ such that Hx and Hy are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in H\{a, a^{-1}\}H$. The properties stated in the following lemma are well known.

Lemma 2.1 *For a coset graph $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a, a^{-1}\}H)$, the following hold:*

- (i) Γ is X -edge-transitive;
- (ii) Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $HaH = Ha^{-1}H$, or equivalently, $HaH = HbH$ for some $b \in X \setminus H$ such that $b^2 \in H \cap H^b$;
- (iii) Γ is connected if and only if $X = \langle H, a \rangle$;
- (iv) the valency of Γ equals

$$\text{val}(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} |H : H \cap H^a| & \text{if } HaH = Ha^{-1}H, \\ 2|H : H \cap H^a| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Then, σ induces an automorphism from $\text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a, a^{-1}\}H)$ to $\text{Cos}(X, H^\sigma, H^\sigma\{a^\sigma, (a^\sigma)^{-1}\}H^\sigma)$. In particular, if $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(X)}(H)$, then*

$$\text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a, a^{-1}\}H) \cong \text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a^\sigma, (a^\sigma)^{-1}\}H).$$

Proof Let $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, H, H\{a, a^{-1}\}H)$ and $\Gamma' = \text{Cos}(X, H^\sigma, H^\sigma\{a^\sigma, (a^\sigma)^{-1}\}H^\sigma)$. For any $x, y \in X$, we have $xy^{-1} \in H\{a, a^{-1}\}H$ if and only if $x^\sigma(y^\sigma)^{-1} = (xy^{-1})^\sigma \in H^\sigma\{a^\sigma, (a^\sigma)^{-1}\}H^\sigma$, and so $\{Hx, Hy\} \in E\Gamma$ if and only if $\{H^\sigma x^\sigma, H^\sigma y^\sigma\} \in E\Gamma'$. □

The vertex stabiliser for s -arc-transitive graphs of valency 4 is known, refer to [36].

Lemma 2.3 *Let Γ be a connected (X, s) -transitive graph of valency 4. Then, s and the stabiliser X_u are listed in the following table,*

s	1	2	3	4	7
X_u	2-group	A_4, S_4	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times A_4, (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times A_4).\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3 \times S_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_3^2:\text{GL}(2, 3)$	$[3^5]:\text{GL}(2, 3)$

where $[3^5]$ is a 3-group of order 3^5 . In particular, $|X_u|$ divides $2^4 \cdot 3^6$ if $s \geq 2$.

Let $\Gamma = (V\Gamma, E\Gamma)$ be a connected graph. Assume that $X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ is transitive on both $V\Gamma$ and $E\Gamma$. By [1, Proposition 3.1] along with [21, Lemma 2.9], we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $N \triangleleft X$. Then, the valency of Γ_N is a divisor of the valency of Γ . In particular, if Γ is of valency 4 and X/N is insoluble, then Γ is a normal cover of Γ_N .*

For a Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$, let $\text{Aut}(G, S) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G) \mid S^\alpha = S\}$. It is easily shown that $\text{Aut}(G, S)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ that fixes the vertex $\mathbf{1}$ and normalises the regular subgroup of $\text{Aut}\Gamma$. Then, we have the following property.

Lemma 2.5 *Let $G = W:H = \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n$ be a Frobenius group, where $\text{ord}_n(p) = d$ for a prime p and an integer n . Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ be connected of valency 4. Assume that $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ has a subgroup X such that Γ is X -edge-transitive and $G \trianglelefteq X$. Then, $X_{\mathbf{1}} \leq D_8$.*

Proof Since Γ is connected, we have $\langle S \rangle = G$, and so $\text{Aut}(G, S)$ is faithful on S . Hence, $\text{Aut}(G, S) \leq S_4$. By [13, Lemma 2.1], we obtain $X \leq N_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(G) = G:\text{Aut}(G, S)$, and so $X_{\mathbf{1}} \leq \text{Aut}(G, S) \leq S_4$. Suppose that 3 divides $|X_{\mathbf{1}}|$. Then, $X_{\mathbf{1}}$ is 2-transitive on S . Hence, Γ is $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and all elements in S are involutions, see for example [20]. In particular, $|G|$ is even. If $p = 2$, then $\langle S \rangle \leq W < G$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, $p > 2$, and so $|H|$ is even. For this case, G has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup, and so all involutions of G are conjugate. Consequently, $\langle S \rangle = G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_2$. As W is minimal normal in G , one has $d = 1$, namely, $\langle S \rangle = G \cong D_{2p}$. Thus, $\text{Aut}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p:\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$. However, since $X_{\mathbf{1}}$ is 2-transitive on S , we have $X_{\mathbf{1}} \cong A_4$ or S_4 , which is impossible. Therefore, $X_{\mathbf{1}} \leq D_8$. □

We will also need to know about the order of the maximal p -elements in $\text{GL}(d, p)$.

Lemma 2.6 [24, Lemma 2.5] *Let p be a prime and d a positive integer. If $d \geq 2$, then the largest order p^e of p -elements of $\text{GL}(d, p)$ satisfies $p^e \geq d > p^{e-1}$.*

Finally, we quote a result about simple groups, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.7 (Kazarin [17]) *Let T be a non-abelian simple group which has a $2'$ -Hall subgroup. Then, $T = \text{PSL}(2, p)$, where $p = 2^e - 1$ is a prime. Furthermore, $T = GH$, where $G = \mathbb{Z}_p:\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and $H = D_{p+1} = D_{2^e}$.*

3 Existence of graphs satisfying Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

In this section, we first construct some examples of graphs satisfying Theorem 1.1.

The following construction produces edge-transitive graphs admitting a group X satisfying part (3) of Theorem 1.1 with $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\mathcal{O} = 1$.

Hypothesis 3.1 Let $p = 2^\ell m + 1$ be a prime, where m is an odd number and ℓ is a positive integer. Let $d > 1$ be an integer.

- (i) For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $G_i = \langle x_i \rangle:\langle \tau_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p:\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ be a Frobenius group, and $C_i = \langle c_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.
- (ii) Let $Y = ((G_1 \times C_1) \times \cdots \times (G_d \times C_d)):\langle \pi \rangle \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_p:\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \wr \mathbb{Z}_d = ((\mathbb{Z}_p:\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^d:\mathbb{Z}_d$, where $(x_i, \tau_i, c_i)^\pi = (x_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1}, c_{i+1})$ (reading the subscripts modulo d).

Lemma 3.2 *Assume Hypothesis 3.1. If d divides m , then $\text{ord}_{2^i md}(p) = d$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.*

Proof Let $\text{ord}_{2^i md}(p) = k$ for some k . Then, $2^i md \mid p^k - 1$. As d is odd, we deduce that

$$d \mid (p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} + \dots + p + 1). \tag{1}$$

As d divides m , d divides $p - 1$, and so d divides $p^j - 1$ for $j \geq 1$. We derive from (1) that d divides k . On the other hand, as $2^i md$ divides $p^d - 1$, one has $k \leq d$, and so $d = k$. \square

By Lemma 3.2, if d divides m , then $\text{ord}_{2md}(p) = d$. Therefore, for convenience, let

$$n = 2md, \text{ where } d \text{ divides } m. \tag{2}$$

Construction 3.3 *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and (2). Let*

$$X = W:(N:\langle h \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d : (\mathbb{Z}_2^d : \mathbb{Z}_n)$$

be a subgroup of Y , such that $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ and $\langle h \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfy

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle x_i \rangle, N = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle \tau_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad h = c_1 \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi.$$

Let $y = x_1 h$. Set

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, N, N\{y, y^{-1}\}N).$$

Lemma 3.4 *Let Γ be the graph constructed in Construction 3.3, and let $G = W:\langle h \rangle$. Then, Γ is a connected tetravalent X -edge-transitive Cayley graph of Frobenius group G , and G is not normal in X .*

Proof By the definition, N is core-free in X , and hence, $X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$. Now, $X = GN$ and $G \cap N = 1$, and hence, G acts regularly on the vertex set $[X:N]$. Thus, Γ is a Cayley graph of G . By Hypothesis 3.1, h does not centralise N , and so G is not normal in X .

Let $H = \langle h \rangle$. It is easily shown that H is faithful on W . We claim that H acts fixed-point-freely on W . Assume otherwise. Let $U = \langle w \mid w^h = w, w \in W \rangle$. Then, U is a proper subgroup of W . By Maschke’s Theorem, W can be decomposed as $W = U \times V$ such that H normalises both U and V . Note that H is fixed-point-free on V . Let $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$. Then, $k < d$. Since $o(h) = n = 2md$, we deduce that $2md$ divides $p^k - 1$, contradicting Lemma 3.2. This establishes the claim. Thus, G is a Frobenius group.

Let $\tau = \tau_1^{(p-1)/2} \dots \tau_d^{(p-1)/2}$. Note that y is defined in Construction 3.3. Then, as $[\tau, c_1] = [\tau, \pi] = [\tau, \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}}] = 1$ and $x_1^\tau = x_1^{-1}$, we have

$$y^\tau y^{-1} = (x_1 c_1 \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi)^\tau (x_1 c_1 \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi)^{-1} = x_1^{-2} \in \langle N, y \rangle.$$

Thus, as $o(x_1) = p$ with p odd, we have $x_1 \in \langle N, y \rangle$. Since W is minimal normal in G , all the x_i belong to $\langle N, y \rangle$, and hence, $\langle N, y \rangle = X$. So Γ is connected. Let $c = c_1 \dots c_d$. Then, we calculate that $c = h^{dm} \tau$, and so $c \in X$. Therefore, $\text{soc}(X) = W \times \langle c \rangle$.

Finally, let $\sigma_i = \tau_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ where $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then, calculations show $\sigma_i^y = \sigma_{i+1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$, and $\sigma_d^y = \sigma_1$. Since $\sigma_1^{x_1} = x_1^{-2} \sigma_1$, we have

$$\sigma_1^y = \sigma_1^{x_1 c_1 \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi} = (x_1^{-2} \sigma_1)^{\tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi} = ((x_1^{-2})^{\tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}}} \sigma_1)^\pi = (x_2^{-2})^{\tau_2^{\frac{p-1}{2m}}} \sigma_2 \notin N.$$

Thus, $N \cap N^y = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-1}$, and so $|N : N \cap N^y| = 2$. Since $X \leq \text{Aut} \Gamma$, Γ is not a cycle. By Lemma 2.1, Γ is connected, X -edge-transitive and of valency 4. □

Remark The normal quotient Γ_W induced by W is a cycle, see Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5.

As a matter of fact, there are many Frobenius groups which satisfy Construction 3.3. For example, $G = \mathbb{Z}_7^3 : \mathbb{Z}_{18}, \mathbb{Z}_{13}^3 : \mathbb{Z}_{18}, \mathbb{Z}_{41}^5 : \mathbb{Z}_{50}$ and so on.

The following construction produces edge-transitive graphs admitting a group X satisfying part (4) of Theorem 1.1 with $\mathcal{O} = 1$.

Construction 3.5 Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and (2). Let $X = W : \langle N, h \rangle$ be a subgroup of Y , such that $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d, N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-1}$ and $\langle h \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfy

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle x_i \rangle, N = \prod_{i \neq 1} \langle \tau_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \rangle \text{ and } h = \tau_1^{\frac{p-1}{2m}} \pi.$$

Let $y = x_1 h$. Set

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, N, N\{y, y^{-1}\}N).$$

Lemma 3.6 *Let Γ be the graph constructed in Construction 3.5, and $G = W : \langle h \rangle$. Then, Γ is a connected tetravalent X -edge-transitive Cayley graph of Frobenius group G , and G is not normal in X .*

Proof Arguing similarly as in Lemma 3.4, G is a Frobenius group, and Γ is an X -edge-transitive Cayley graph of G . By the definition, G is not normal in X .

Let $\sigma_i = \tau_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ where $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then, calculations show $\sigma_i^y = \sigma_{i+1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$, and $\sigma_d^y = \sigma_1$. It follows that $N \cap N^y = \langle \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-2}$, and so

$|N : N \cap N^y| = 2$. Thus, Γ is of valency 4. Now, $y^{-1} = \pi^{-1} \tau_1^{-\frac{p-1}{2m}} x_1^{-1}$, $\sigma_2^{\pi^{-1}} = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_1^{x_1^{-1}} = x_1^2 \sigma_1$, and we have

$$\sigma_2^{y^{-1}} = \sigma_2^{\pi^{-1} \tau_1^{-\frac{p-1}{2m}} x_1^{-1}} = \sigma_1^{x_1^{-1}} = x_1^2 \sigma_1 \in \langle N, y \rangle.$$

Since $\sigma_d^y = \sigma_1 \in \langle N, y \rangle$, we obtain $x_1 \in \langle N, y \rangle$. Since W is minimal normal in G , all the x_i belong to $\langle N, y \rangle$, and so $\langle N, y \rangle = X$. Consequently, Γ is connected. Thus, the statement follows. □

Remark By the definition, h does not normalise N , and thus, X cannot satisfy the properties in part (ii) of Lemma 4.4. However, h normalises $\langle N, h^{\frac{n}{2}} \rangle$; namely, X satisfies the properties in part (ii) of Lemma 4.5. Thus, $\Gamma_W \cong C_{\frac{n}{2}[2]}$, where N, W and Γ appear in Construction 3.5.

We now construct an example of graph satisfying part (1) of Theorem 1.2.

Although arc-transitive elementary abelian covers of the complete graph K_5 were classified by Kuzman [19], we present here a distinct and independent construction by using the techniques of groups, and building upon coset graphs.

Let p be a prime, such that 5 is a primitive divisor of $p^4 - 1$. Set

$$V = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_5 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^5.$$

Let $N = \text{Alt}(\{1, \dots, 5\})$. Then, for $n \in N$, n acts on V as follows:

$$(a_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots a_5^{\lambda_5})^{n^{-1}} = a_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots a_5^{\lambda_5}, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq \lambda_i \leq p.$$

Let $\bar{a}_i = a_5 a_i^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Set

$$W = \langle \bar{a}_1 \rangle \times \langle \bar{a}_2 \rangle \times \langle \bar{a}_3 \rangle \times \langle \bar{a}_4 \rangle.$$

Then, N is faithful on W , and so N can be embedded into $\text{GL}(W)$.

Construction 3.7 Let $X = W:N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:A_5$, and $G = W:\langle h \rangle$ with $h = (12345)$. Let $R = \text{Alt}(\{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \cong A_4$, and let $g = \bar{a}_1(15)(24)$. Set

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, R, RgR).$$

Lemma 3.8 *Let Γ be the graph constructed in Construction 3.7. Then, Γ is a connected tetravalent $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive Cayley graph of Frobenius group G , and G is not normal in X . In particular, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W and $\Gamma_W \cong K_5$.*

Proof Let $H = \langle h \rangle$. By the definition, H is fixed-point-free on W , and so G is a Frobenius group. Noting that N has a decomposition HR , it implies that R is core-free in X , and hence, $X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$. Now, $X = GR$ and $G \cap R = 1$, and so G is regular

on the vertex set $[X:R]$. Thus, Γ is a Cayley graph of G . Obviously, G is not normal in X .

Denote by u and v the vertices R and Rg , respectively. Then, $X_u = R$ and $X_v = R^g$. Since $X_{uv} = X_u \cap X_v$, we have $X_{uv} = \langle (234) \rangle$, and so $|R : R \cap R^g| = 4$. By Lemma 2.1, Γ is of valency 4. Let $x = (25)(34)$. Then, $x \in R$. Noting that $g = \bar{a}_1hx$, we deduce that $\bar{a}_1h \in \langle R, g \rangle$. Now, $(\bar{a}_1h)^x = (a_5a_1^{-1}h)^x = a_5^x a_1^{-1x} h^x = a_2a_1^{-1}h^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_1h(\bar{a}_1h)^x &= (a_5a_1^{-1}h)(a_2a_1^{-1}h^{-1}) = a_5a_1^{-1}a_2^h a_1^{-1} = a_5a_1^{-1}a_3a_2^{-1} \\ &= \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3^{-1} \in \langle R, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y = (23)(45)$. Then, $y \in \langle R, g \rangle$. Similarly, we calculate that

$$(\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3^{-1})^y = \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2^{-1}\bar{a}_3\bar{a}_4^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2^{-1}\bar{a}_3\bar{a}_4^{-1})^{xy} = \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2^{-1}\bar{a}_4^{-1}.$$

The two equations above yield $\bar{a}_3 \in \langle R, g \rangle$, and so $\bar{a}_i \in \langle R, g \rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$. Thus, $W \leq \langle R, g \rangle$. Since $\bar{a}_1h \in \langle R, g \rangle$, we have $h \in \langle R, g \rangle$, forcing $X = \langle R, g \rangle$. Thus, Γ is connected. Notice that X/W is insoluble. By Lemma 2.4, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W , and thus, $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_5$ by [2, Theorem 1.2]. □

We end this section by presenting an example satisfying part (2) of Theorem 1.2.

Let p be a prime for which $p^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{10}$. Set

$$V = \langle a_1, \dots, a_5, a_{1'}, \dots, a_{5'} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{10}.$$

Let $S = \text{Sym}(\{1, 1', \dots, 5, 5'\}) \cong S_{10}$. Then, for $t \in S$, t acts on V as follows:

$$(a_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots a_5^{\lambda_5} a_{1'}^{\lambda_{1'}} \cdots a_{5'}^{\lambda_{5'}})^{t^{-1}} = a_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots a_{5'}^{\lambda_{5'}} a_{(1')^t}^{\lambda_{1'}} \cdots a_{(5')^t}^{\lambda_{5'}} \quad \text{where } 1 \leq \lambda_i, \lambda_{i'} \leq p.$$

Let $T = \langle (12345)(1'2'3'4'5'), (12)(1'2') \rangle$ and $g = (11') \cdots (55')$. Then, $T \leq S$ and $g \in S$. Since g centralises T , we may set

$$N = T \times \langle g \rangle \cong S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let $u_i = a_i a_{i'}^{-1}$ where $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Set $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_5 \rangle$. It is straightforward to verify that N is faithful on U , and so N can be embedded into $\text{GL}(U)$. Let $a = a_1 \cdots a_5$ and $a' = a_{1'} \cdots a_{5'}$. Then, $\langle a(a')^{-1} \rangle \leq U$. Let $w_i = u_i \langle a(a')^{-1} \rangle$ where $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Set

$$W = U / \langle a(a')^{-1} \rangle = \langle w_1, \dots, w_4 \rangle.$$

Then, $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4$. Now, N normalises $\langle a(a')^{-1} \rangle$, and so N induces a faithful action on W . Therefore, N can be embedded into $\text{GL}(W)$.

Construction 3.9 Let $X = W:N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4 : (S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Let $R = \langle (1234)(1'2'3'4'), (12)(1'2') \rangle \cong S_4$ and $y = w_1 w_5 (15)(1'5')g$. Set

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, R, RyR).$$

Let $G = W:H$ where $H = \langle h, g \rangle$ with $h = (12345)(1'2'3'4'5')$.

Arguing similarly as in Lemma 3.8, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.10 *Let Γ be the graph constructed in Construction 3.9. Then, Γ is a connected tetravalent $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive Cayley graph of Frobenius group G , and G is not normal in X . In particular, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W and $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$.*

4 Soluble automorphism groups

In this section, let $G = W:H = \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n$ be a Frobenius group, where $\text{ord}_n(p) = d$ for a prime p and a positive integer n . Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ be a connected tetravalent X -edge-transitive Cayley graph, where $G \leq X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$. We first handle the case where X is soluble. Let $G \cong D_{2p}$ with p an odd prime. By virtue of Li et al. [21, Theorem 1.1], we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 4.1 *Let Γ be a connected edge-transitive tetravalent Cayley graph of G , where $G \cong D_{2p}$ with p an odd prime. Then, either*

- (i) Γ is arc-regular and $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong D_{2p}:\mathbb{Z}_4$, or
- (ii) $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{C}_{p[2]}$, and $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^p:D_{2p}$.

In the remainder of this section, assume that $G \not\cong D_{2p}$, unless specified otherwise.

Let F be the Fitting subgroup of X . If X is soluble, then $\mathbf{C}_X(F) \leq F$ and $F \neq 1$, see [14]. For a prime q , by F_q we mean a Sylow q -subgroup of F .

Lemma 4.2 *Use the notation defined above, then $G \cap F = W$.*

Proof By the definition, $F \triangleleft X$ and $\mathbf{C}_X(F) \leq F$; namely, F is self-centralising.

We claim that $W \leq F$. Suppose for a contradiction that $W \not\leq F$. Then, $G \cap F = 1$. Since $X = GX_1$, it follows that $|F|$ divides $|X_1|$. By Lemma 2.3, we deduce that F is either a q -group with $q = 2$ or 3 , or a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. For convenience, let $\bar{X} = X/F$, $\bar{G} = GF/F = \bar{W}:\bar{H}$, and $F_{\bar{X}}$ the Fitting subgroup of \bar{X} .

CASE 1: F is a q -group. Assume that Γ_F is a cycle. By [30, Theorem 4.1], Γ is not $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive. By Lemma 2.3, X_1 is a 2-group. Noting that $|F|$ divides $|X_1|$, F is a 2-group. Since $K = FK_1$, K is also a 2-group, and hence, $K = F$. Noting that W induces the identity on $V\Gamma_F$, we have $W \leq F$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_F . Then, $|F|$ divides $|G|$, and so $|F|$ divides p^d or n . Suppose that $|F|$ divides n . Let $\bar{F} = F/\Phi(F)$. Then, \bar{F} is an elementary abelian group of order q^ℓ , where ℓ is a positive integer. By Gorenstein [14, p.174, Theorem 1.4], W induces a faithful action on \bar{F} , and so H can be embedded into $\text{Aut}(\bar{F})$. Thus, $\text{Aut}(\bar{F})$ contains an element of order q^ℓ . By Lemma 2.6, we deduce that $q^{\ell-1} < \ell$. This is not possible.

Thus, $|F|$ divides p^d . Then, $F_{\bar{X}}$ is a p' -group, and so $F_{\bar{X}} \cap \bar{G} = 1$. Therefore, $|F_{\bar{X}}|$ divides $|X_1|$. It implies that $|X_1|$ is divisible by two distinct primes, and $F_{\bar{X}}$ is a r -group, where $r \neq p$ is a prime. Via Lemma 2.3, Γ is $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Let $\Sigma = \Gamma_F$. Then, Σ is $(\bar{X}, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Since \bar{G} is transitive and faithful on $V\Sigma$,

we deduce that $|F| < p^d$. Note that \overline{W} is the unique minimal normal subgroup of \overline{G} . By Praeger [30, Theorem 4.1], we derive that Σ is a normal cover of $\Sigma_{F_{\overline{X}}}$, and so $|F_{\overline{X}}|$ divides $|\overline{H}|$. Let $\overline{F}_{\overline{X}} = F_{\overline{X}}/\Phi(F_{\overline{X}})$. Arguing as in the above paragraph, $\text{Aut}(\overline{F}_{\overline{X}})$ contains an element of order $|\overline{F}_{\overline{X}}|$, which is impossible.

CASE 2: F is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. Then, Γ is $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and so $|F|$ divides $2^4 \cdot 3^6$. By Praeger [30, Theorem 4.1], Γ is a normal cover of Γ_F , or $\Gamma_F = \mathbf{K}_2$, or F is transitive on $V\Gamma$.

Assume that Γ is a normal cover of Γ_F . Pick $u \in V\Gamma_F$. Since G is regular on $V\Gamma$, we deduce that $|\overline{G}_u| = |F|$, and hence, Γ_F is $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Note that \overline{G}_u is a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.3, we conclude $\overline{G}_u \cong A_4$, and so $|F| = 12$. Thus, $\text{Aut}(F) \leq S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and so W centralises F , contradicting the fact that F is self-centralising.

Assume that $\Gamma_F = \mathbf{K}_2$. Then, $|G|$ divides $2^5 \cdot 3^6$, and hence, $p = 3$. Since G is a Frobenius group, we deduce that $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^2:\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_3^2:\mathbb{Z}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3^4:\mathbb{Z}_{16}$. Noting that F is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group, we have $F_2 \neq 1$ and $F_3 \neq 1$. For this case, Γ is a normal cover of Γ_{F_2} or Γ_{F_3} , and so $|F|$ divides $2^2 \cdot 3^2, 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ or $2^4 \cdot 3^4$ according to whether $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^2:\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_3^2:\mathbb{Z}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3^4:\mathbb{Z}_{16}$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that W centralises F , which is a contradiction. In a similar fashion, we exclude the case that F is transitive on $V\Gamma$.

Consequently, $W \leq F$, and so $G \cap F = W$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 4.3 *With the notation before Lemma 4.1, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *if p is an odd prime, then $W \trianglelefteq X$;*
- (ii) *if $p = 2$, then $F = O_2(X)$, and either*
 - (a) *$W < F$, Γ_F is a cycle, and $X = (F:H).\mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O} = 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 , or*
 - (b) *$W = F$ and further, W is characteristic in X .*

Proof Let p be an odd prime. By Lemma 4.2, $W \leq F$, and so $W \leq F_p$. Let $p > 3$. Then, as $|F_p G : G| = |F_p : W|$ divides $|X_1|$, we conclude that $W = F_p$, and so $W \trianglelefteq X$. Let $p = 3$. If $W < F_3$, then Γ is $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and so $\Gamma_{F_3} = \mathbf{K}_2$. Thus, $|G|$ divides $2|F_3|$, and so $|H| = 2$. Since W is minimal normal in G , we deduce that $G \cong D_6$, contradicting our assumption. Thus, $W = F_3$. So part (i) holds.

Let $p = 2$. By Lemma 4.2, $W \leq F_2$, and so either $W = F_2 \trianglelefteq X$ or $W < F_2$. Assume that the latter case occurs. If $F_3 \neq 1$, then 3 divides $|X_1|$. By Lemma 2.3, Γ is $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive. By Praeger [30, Theorem 4.1], Γ is a normal cover of Γ_{F_2} , and so $F_2 = W$, which is a contradiction. Thus, F is a 2-group, and Γ_F is a cycle. It is easily shown that F is the kernel of X acting on $V\Gamma_F$. Consequently, $X = (F:H).\mathcal{O}$ where $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Thus, either Γ_F is a cycle or $W \trianglelefteq X$, as in part (ii). □

We now assume that $W \trianglelefteq X$. By virtue of Lemma 2.4, either the normal quotient Γ_W is a cycle, or Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W . We first handle the case where Γ_W is a cycle.

Lemma 4.4 *Let K be the kernel of X acting on $V\Gamma_W$. Then, the following hold.*

- (i) *$X = ((WK_1):H).\mathcal{O}$, $X_1 = K_1.\mathcal{O}$ and $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$, where $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ;*

(ii) Assume p is an odd prime. Then, either

- (1) G is normal in X , or
- (2) G is not normal in X , and
 - (a) $X = W:((K_1:H).\mathcal{O})$, and H does not centralise K_1 where $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq d$, and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ;
 - (b) there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in W$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d \in K_1$ such that $W = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$, $\langle x_i, \tau_i \rangle \cong D_{2p}$, and $K_1 = \langle \tau_i \rangle \times C_{K_1}(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$;
 - (c) $\text{soc}(X) = W \times L$, where $L \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ;
 - (d) H is imprimitive on W .

Proof Let B be a vertex of Γ_W . Then, W acts regularly on B . Thus, $K = WK_1$ and $K \cap H = 1$, where K_1 is a 2-group. For this case, Γ_W is a connected Cayley graph of G/W . Since H is of order n , Γ_W is a cycle of size n . It follows that $X/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ or D_{2n} . Further, Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $X/K \cong D_{2n}$.

Assume first that $p = 2$. Since $(|K|, |H|) = 1$, we conclude that $K:H \leq X$. Noting that X/K is isomorphic to a subgroup of D_{2n} , it follows that $X = (K:H).\mathcal{O}$ and $X_1 = K_1.\mathcal{O}$ where $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 , as in part (i).

Assume now that p is an odd prime. Furthermore, we assume that G is not normal in X . If $K_1 = 1$, then $K = W$, and hence, $G \triangleleft X$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $K_1 \neq 1$.

Let $U = N_X(K_1)$. Since $K_1 \not\triangleleft X$, it implies that $U \neq X$. Noting that $(|W|, |K_1|) = 1$, we obtain that $N_{X/W}(K/W) = N_{X/W}(WK_1/W) = N_X(K_1)W/W = UW/W$. It follows from $K/W \trianglelefteq X/W$ that $X = WU$. Since $W \triangleleft X$, $W \cap U \triangleleft U$. Furthermore, $W \cap U \triangleleft W$ since W is abelian. Thus, $W \cap U \triangleleft \langle U, W \rangle = UW = X$. If $W \leq U$, then $K = WK_1 = W \times K_1$, and hence, $K_1 \triangleleft X$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $W \cap U < W$. If $W \cap U \neq 1$, then $C_W(K_1) \neq 1$, and so $C_W(K) \neq 1$. Since W is minimal in G , we deduce that $C_W(K) = W$, and so $K_1 \triangleleft X$, again a contradiction. Thus, $W \cap U = 1$, and so $K \cap U = WK_1 \cap U = (W \cap U)K_1 = K_1$. Now, $X/K = UW/K = UK/K \cong U/(K \cap U) = U/K_1$, and hence, $U = (K_1.\hat{H}).\mathcal{O}$, where $\hat{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Since $X = WU$ and $(|U|, |W|) = 1$, we conclude that $H^g \leq U$ for some $g \in W$. For convenience, we may assume that $H \leq U$, and so $U = (K_1:H).\mathcal{O}$. Thus, $X_1 = K_1.\mathcal{O}$. Furthermore, since G is not normal in X , it follows that H does not centralise K_1 .

Set $Y = W:(K_1:H)$. Then, Y has index at most 2 in X , and Γ is Y -edge-transitive. Clearly, Γ is not Y -arc-transitive. Hence, $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(Y, K_1, K_1\{y, y^{-1}\}K_1)$, where $y \in Y$ is such that $\langle K_1, y \rangle = Y$ and $K_1 \cap K_1^y$ has index 2 in K_1 . We may choose $y \in G$ such that $y = hx$ where $x \in W$ and $\langle h \rangle = H$. Then, $K_1 \cap K_1^y = K_1 \cap K_1^x$.

We claim that $K_1 \cap K_1^x = C_{K_1}(x)$. For any $\sigma \in K_1 \cap K_1^x$, we have $\sigma^{x^{-1}} \in K_1$, and so $\sigma^{-1}\sigma^{x^{-1}} \in K_1$. Since $x \in W$ and $W \triangleleft WK_1$, we obtain that $\sigma^{-1}\sigma^{x^{-1}} = (\sigma^{-1}x\sigma)x^{-1} \in W$. So $\sigma^{-1}\sigma^{x^{-1}} \in W \cap K_1 = 1$, and $\sigma^{x^{-1}} = \sigma$. Thus, σ centralises x . It follows that $K_1 \cap K_1^x \leq C_{K_1}(x)$. Clearly, $C_{K_1}(x) \leq K_1 \cap K_1^x$. So $C_{K_1}(x) = K_1 \cap K_1^x$, as required.

Noting that W is a minimal normal subgroup of X and $X = WU$, we obtain that $W = \langle x^{\sigma_1} \rangle \times \langle x^{\sigma_2} \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x^{\sigma_d} \rangle$ where $\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d \in U$. Then, $C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i}) = (C_{K_1}(x))^{\sigma_i} < K_1^{\sigma_i} = K_1$. The intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^d C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i}) \leq C_K(W) = W$, and

hence, $\cap_{i=1}^d C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i}) = 1$. Since $C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i})$ is a maximal subgroup of K_1 , the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(K_1) \leq \cap_{i=1}^d C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i}) = 1$. Thus, K_1 is an elementary abelian 2-group, that is, $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. Since $\cap_{i=1}^d C_{K_1}(x^{\sigma_i}) = 1$, it follows that $\ell \leq d$. Assume $\ell = 1$. Then, $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $K_1 \leq C_X(H)$. Thus, $G \triangleleft X$, which contradicts the fact that G is not normal in X . Therefore, $\ell > 1$, as in part (a).

Since $C_{K_1}(x)$ has index 2 in K_1 , there exists some τ_1 belonging to K_1 such that $K_1 = \langle \tau_1 \rangle \times C_{K_1}(x)$. Set $x_1 = x^{-1}x^{\tau_1}$. Then, $x_1 \neq 1$, $x_1^{\tau_1} = x_1^{-1}$ and $C_{K_1}(x) = C_{K_1}(x_1)$, and hence, $K_1 = \langle \tau_1 \rangle \times C_{K_1}(x_1)$. Noticing that W is a minimal normal subgroup of $X = WU$, there exist $\mu_1 = 1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d \in U$ such that $W = \langle x_1^{\mu_1} \rangle \times \langle x_1^{\mu_2} \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_1^{\mu_d} \rangle$. Let $x_i = x_1^{\mu_i}$ and $\tau_i = \tau_1^{\mu_i}$, where $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\ell-1} \cong (C_{K_1}(x_1))^{\mu_i} = C_{K_1^{\mu_i}}(x_1^{\mu_i}) = C_{K_1}(x_i)$, and $K_1 = K_1^{\mu_i} = \langle \tau_i \rangle \times C_{K_1}(x_i)$. Furthermore, $x_i^{\tau_i} = x_1^{\tau_1 \mu_i} = (x_1^{-1})^{\mu_i} = x_i^{-1}$, and thereby, $\langle x_i, \tau_i \rangle \cong D_{2p}$, as in part (b).

Recall that $W \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for an odd prime p . Since G is not normal in X , we conclude that $d > 1$. Assume that X has a minimal normal subgroup $L \neq W$. Then, $W \cap L = 1$, and so $LK/K \triangleleft X/K \leq D_{2n}$. It follows that either $L \leq K$ or $L \cap K = 1$. If $L \leq K$, then L is a 2-group. Since K_1 is a Sylow 2-subgroup of K , we conclude that $L \triangleleft K_1$, and thereby, $L = 1$, which is impossible. Thus, $L \cap K = 1$, and so $|L|$ divides $|X_1|$. Consequently, $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. So $\text{soc}(X) = W \times L$, as in part (c).

By the previous paragraph, we obtain $C_X(W) = W \times L$ where $L \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Let $\overline{X} = X/L, \overline{G} = GL/L$ and $\overline{K_1} = K_1L/L$. Then, $\overline{G} \cong G$ and $\overline{K_1} \cong K_1$. Write $\overline{G} = \overline{W}:\overline{H}$. Then, \overline{H} normalises $\overline{K_1}$, and $\overline{H} \overline{K_1}$ is faithful and irreducible on \overline{W} . It is well known that each irreducible representation of $\overline{K_1}$ over \mathbb{F}_p is of dimension 1. Via Clifford’s Theorem, \overline{W} can be decomposed as

$$\overline{W} = W_1 \times \dots \times W_t \text{ with } W_i = U_i^\ell (1 \leq i \leq t),$$

such that $\overline{K_1}$ normalises each U_i , and all the U_i are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible with respect to the action of $\overline{K_1}$. Assume that $t = 1$. Since $\overline{K_1}$ is faithful on \overline{W} , we deduce that $\overline{K_1}$ is faithful and irreducible on U_1 . By Gorenstein [14, Theorem 2.3, p.65], $\overline{K_1}$ is cyclic, which is a contradiction. Thus, $t \geq 2$. Now, \overline{H} normalises $\overline{K_1}$, and we conclude that \overline{H} preserves such decomposition. Consequently, \overline{H} is imprimitive on \overline{W} , and so H is imprimitive on W , as in part (d).

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

We now handle the case where Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W .

Lemma 4.5 *Assume that Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W . Then, either*

- (i) G is normal in X , or
- (ii) G is not normal in X , and
 - (a) $\Gamma_W \cong C_{\frac{n}{2}[2]}$;
 - (b) $X = W:(NH).\mathcal{O}$, $X_1 \leq N.\mathcal{O}$, $N \cap H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and H normalises N , but H does not centralise N , where $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq n/2$, and $\mathcal{O} \cong 1$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ;
 - (c) W is unique minimal normal in X , and H is imprimitive on W ;
 - (d) $X/(WN) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ or D_n , and Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $X/(WN) \cong D_n$.

Proof Let $\overline{H} = G/W$ and $\overline{X} = X/W$. We first note that Γ_W is a Cayley graph of \overline{H} . By Baik et al. [2, Theorem 1.2], we deduce that $\overline{H} \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}\Gamma_W$, or $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{K}_5$ with $\overline{H} = \mathbb{Z}_5$, or $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$ with $\overline{H} = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$, or $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{C}_{\frac{n}{2}[2]}$.

Let $\overline{H} \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}\Gamma_W$. Then, $\overline{H} \trianglelefteq \overline{X}$, and so $G \trianglelefteq X$. Let $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{K}_5$ with $\overline{H} = \mathbb{Z}_5$. Then, $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W = S_5$. Since X is soluble, \overline{X} is also soluble. Also, since $\overline{H} \leq \overline{X}$, we deduce that $\overline{X} \leq \mathbb{Z}_5:\mathbb{Z}_4$, and so $\overline{H} \trianglelefteq \overline{X}$. Thus, $G \trianglelefteq X$. Let $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$ with $\overline{H} = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Then, $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W = S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. As above, we obtain that $\overline{H} \trianglelefteq \overline{X}$, and so $G \trianglelefteq X$.

Let $\Gamma_W = \mathbf{C}_{\frac{n}{2}[2]}$. Write $n = 2m$. Then, $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m:D_n$. Let $\overline{K} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be such that $\overline{K} \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}\Gamma_W$. Then, $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W = (\overline{K} \overline{H}):\overline{O}$, where $\overline{O} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let u be a vertex of Γ_W for which $\mathbf{1} \in u$. Choose $\overline{M} \leq \overline{K}$ such that $|\overline{M}| = 2^{m-1}$ and $(\text{Aut}\Gamma_W)_u = \overline{M}:\overline{O}$.

Noting that $\overline{X} \overline{K}/\overline{K} \cong \overline{H} \overline{O}/((\overline{H} \overline{O}) \cap \overline{K})$ where $\overline{O} = 1$ or \overline{O} , we conclude that $\overline{X} = (\overline{X} \cap \overline{K})\overline{H} \overline{O}$, and Γ is X -arc-transitive if and only if $\overline{O} = \overline{O}$. Let $\widehat{K} = \overline{X} \cap \overline{K}$. Then, $\widehat{K} \trianglelefteq \overline{X}$ and $\widehat{K} \cap \overline{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, $X = W.((\widehat{K}\overline{H}).\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O} \cong \overline{O}$. Let K be the preimage of \widehat{K} under $X \rightarrow X/W$. Note that W is of odd order. By Schur–Zassenhaus’s Theorem, $K = W:N$, where $N \cong \widehat{K}$. It further implies that $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k$, where $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Now, $(|N|, |W|) = 1$, we obtain $X/W = \mathbf{N}_{X/W}(NW/W) = \mathbf{N}_X(N)W/W$, and hence, $X = W\mathbf{N}_X(N)$. Since $H \leq X$, we may assume without loss of generality that H is a subgroup of $\mathbf{N}_X(N)$. Thus, $X = W.((NH).\mathcal{O})$. By the previous paragraph, we conclude that $N \cap H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $k = 1$, then $NH = H$, and so $G \trianglelefteq X$. In what follows, we assume that G is not normal in X . Thus, $k \geq 2$, and so $2 \leq k \leq m$.

Set $Y = W:(NH)$. Since $G \leq Y$, we have $Y = GY_1$. Noting that $|Y| = \frac{|W||H||N|}{|H \cap N|} = |G||Y_1|$, we have $|Y_1| = \frac{|N|}{|N \cap H|} = \frac{|N|}{2}$. Let $\overline{Y} = Y/W$. By the above paragraph, we deduce that $|\overline{Y}_u| = \frac{|N|}{2}$, and thus, $Y_1W/W = \overline{Y}_u$. Since $\overline{Y}_u = \overline{Y} \cap \overline{M} \leq \widehat{K}$ and $\widehat{K} = NW/W$, it follows that $Y_1W/W \leq NW/W$. Consequently, $Y_1 \leq NW$. Via Sylow’s Theorem, we may assume that Y_1 is a subgroup of N , and so Y_1 has index 2 in N . Thus, $X_1 \leq N.\mathcal{O}$, and X_1 has index 2 in $N.\mathcal{O}$, as in part (b).

Let $C := \mathbf{C}_{NH}(W)$. Then, C is normal in Y . In what follows, we prove that $C = 1$. Suppose for a contradiction that $C \neq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that C is minimal in Y . Since H acts fixed-point-freely on W , we have $C \cap H = 1$. Let \overline{C} be the image of C under $X \rightarrow X/W$. Then, \overline{C} is minimal normal in \overline{Y} , and hence, \overline{C} is a subgroup of \widehat{K} . It implies that $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$ for some ℓ .

Let $\overline{K} = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \rangle$. Note that \overline{H} acts by conjugation transitively on $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$. Write $\overline{H} = \langle \overline{h} \rangle$. Then, $\overline{h} = \sigma\pi$, where $\sigma \in \overline{K}$, and π is a m -cycle. Relabeling if necessary, we may take $\pi^{-1} = (12 \dots m)$. Let $\overline{K}_u = \prod_{i \neq 1} \langle \sigma_i \rangle$. Choose

$v, w \in \Gamma_W(u)$ for which $\overline{K}_v = \prod_{i \neq 2} \langle \sigma_i \rangle$ and $\overline{K}_w = \prod_{i \neq m} \langle \sigma_i \rangle$. Pick $x \in \overline{C}$ such that $x \in \overline{K}_u$, but $x \notin \overline{K}_v$. Then,

$$x = \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_r, \quad \text{where } 2 < \cdots < i < \cdots < r \leq m.$$

Let $t = m - r$. We then calculate that

$$x^{\overline{h}^t} = \sigma_{2\pi^t} \cdots \sigma_{r\pi^t} = \sigma_{(2+t)} \cdots \sigma_m.$$

It follows that $\langle x, x^{\bar{h}^t} \rangle \leq \overline{K_u} \cap \overline{C}$, and hence Γ_W is $\overline{C}:\overline{H}$ -edge-transitive.

Let $Z = (W \times C):H$. By the previous paragraph, Γ is Z -edge-transitive. However, Γ is not Z -arc-transitive. By Lemma 2.1, $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(Z, Z_1, Z_1\{g, g^{-1}\}Z_1)$, where $Z = \langle Z_1, g \rangle$ and $|Z_1 : (Z_1 \cap Z_1^g)| = 2$. By Lemma 2.2 along with Sylow’s Theorem, we may assume that $Z_1 \leq HC$. Write $H = \langle h \rangle$. Since $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\ell$, we deduce that $Z_1 \leq R := \langle C, h^m \rangle$, and hence, $|Z_1 \cap C| = 2^{\ell-1}$. Let $L := Z_1 \cap C = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1} \rangle$. Then, $C = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}, \tau_\ell \rangle$ for $\tau_\ell \in C \setminus Z_1$, and thereby,

$$Z_1 = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}, \tau_\ell h^m \rangle.$$

Since C is minimal in Z , there exists $\tau \in L$ such that $\tau^g = x_\ell \tau_\ell$, where $x_\ell \in L$. If $(h^m)^g \in R$, then $Z \leq R:\langle g \rangle$, which contradicts the fact that G is a Frobenius group. Thus, $(h^m)^g \notin R$, and so $(\tau_\ell h^m)^g \notin R$. Let $T = \langle \tau^g, (\tau_\ell h^m)^g \rangle$. Then, $T \cap Z_1 = 1$, and thereby, $Z_1 \cap Z_1^g$ has index at least 4 in Z_1 , which is a contradiction. Thus, $C = 1$, and so W is the unique minimal normal subgroup of X . Arguing similarly as in Lemma 4.4, we obtain that H is imprimitive on W , as in part (c).

Let $M = WN$. Then, Γ_M is a cycle. Since X/M is transitive on $V\Gamma_M$, one has $X/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ or D_n . Further, Γ is arc-transitive if and only if $X/M \cong D_n$, as in part (d). □

With the above preparations, we are ready to embark on the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 If $G \triangleleft X$, then by Lemma 2.5, we have $X_1 \leq D_8$, as in Theorem 1.1 (1). In what follows, we assume that G is not normal in X .

Suppose that $p > 2$. By Lemmas 4.1-4.5, if W is not normal in X , then $G \cong D_{2p}$, $\Gamma \cong C_{p[2]}$ and $\text{Aut}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^p:D_{2p}$, as in Theorem 1.1 (2). Now, we may assume that W is normal in X . If Γ_W is a cycle, then part (3) of Theorem 1.1 occurs by Lemma 4.4. If Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W , it follows from Lemma 4.5 that part (4) of Theorem 1.1 follows.

Suppose that $p = 2$. By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, Theorem 1.1 (5) occurs. □

5 Insoluble automorphism groups

Let $G = W:H = \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n$ be a Frobenius group where $\text{ord}_n(p) = d$ for a prime p and an integer n . Assume that $\Gamma = (V\Gamma, E\Gamma)$ is a connected X -edge-transitive tetravalent Cayley graph of G , where $G \leq X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$. In this section, we study the case where the automorphism group X is insoluble.

Remark For any triple (X, G, X_1) listed in Table 2, the corresponding graph Γ does exist, refer to Li et al. [21, Theorem 1.1].

We now determine the structure of insoluble group X . Denote by $R(X)$ the maximal soluble normal subgroup of X . We first treat the case where $R(X) = 1$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let N be minimal normal in X . If $R(X) = 1$, then $C_X(N) = 1$.*

Table 2 Almost simple automorphism groups

X	G	X_1
$\text{PSL}(3, 3):\mathbb{Z}_2$	D_{26}	$\mathbb{Z}_3^2:\text{GL}(2, 3)$
$\text{PGL}(2, 7)$	$D_{14}, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_6$	S_4, D_{16}, D_8
$\text{PSL}(2, 23)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{23}:\mathbb{Z}_{11}$	S_4
$\text{PSL}(2, 11)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_5$	A_4
$\text{PGL}(2, 11)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{Z}_{11}:\mathbb{Z}_{10}$	S_4, A_4

Proof Note that N is minimal in X . Since $R(X) = 1$, we have $N \cong T^k$, where T is a non-abelian simple group, and k is an integer. Clearly, $\mathbf{Z}(N) = 1$. Let $C := C_X(N)$. Since $N \trianglelefteq X$, we have $C \trianglelefteq X$. Suppose that $C \neq 1$. By our assumption, C is insoluble. Noticing that $N \cap G \trianglelefteq G$, we conclude that $N \cap G = 1$ or $W \leq N \cap G$. For the former, $|N|$ divides $|X_1|$, and so N is soluble, contrary to our assumption. Thus, $W \leq N \cap G$. Similarly, $W \leq C \cap G$. It follows that $W \leq N \cap C$, a contradiction. Thus, $C = 1$. \square

Lemma 5.2 *If $R(X) = 1$, then X is almost simple.*

Proof Suppose for a contradiction that X is not almost simple. Then, by our assumption, there exists a minimal normal subgroup N of X , such that $N = T_1 \times \dots \times T_k$, where $T_i \cong T$ is non-abelian simple and $k \geq 2$. By [17], we obtain that T is one of the following:

$$\text{PSL}(2, q)(q > 3), \text{PSL}(3, q)(q < 9), \text{PSL}(4, 2), \text{PSp}(4, 3), \text{PSU}(3, 8) \text{ and } M_{11}. \tag{3}$$

By Frattini argument, one has $X = GX_u$, where $u \in V\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.3, either X_u is a 2-group or $|X_u|$ divides $2^4 \cdot 3^6$. Let $r > 3$ be a prime divisor of $|T|$. Noting that r divides $|X|$ and $(|W|, |H|) = 1$, we conclude that r divides either $|W|$ or $|H|$.

CASE 1: Suppose that r divides $|W|$. Let $W_i = T_i \cap W$ where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then, $W_i \neq 1$ for all i . Assume that $N \cap H = 1$. Then, $G \cap N = W$, and hence, $|N : W|$ divides $|X_u|$. So does $\prod_{i=1}^k |T_i : W_i|$. This implies that $|T|$ has exactly three prime divisors. By (3), together with [15, p. 12-14, 135-136], the only possibility is that T is one of the following groups:

$$A_5, A_6, \text{PSL}(2, 7), \text{PSL}(2, 8), \text{PSL}(2, 17) \text{ and } \text{PSL}(3, 3).$$

Let $T = A_5$. Then, $N \cap G = \mathbb{Z}_5^k$, and so $2^{2k} \cdot 3^k$ divides $|X_u|$. Thus, $k = 2$. Since X can be embedded into $(S_5 \times S_5):\mathbb{Z}_2$, we deduce that $G = \mathbb{Z}_5^2:\mathbb{Z}_8$. However, it is easily shown that $N \cap G = \mathbb{Z}_5^2:\mathbb{Z}_2$, contradicting our assumption. Let $T = A_6$. Then, $N \cap G = \mathbb{Z}_5^k$, and so $2^{3k} \cdot 3^{2k}$ divides $|X_u|$, which is impossible. In a similar fashion, we can exclude the remaining cases.

Thus, $N \cap H \neq 1$. Let $\widehat{H} = N \cap H$. Then, $G \cap N = W:\widehat{H}$. Let H_i be the projection of \widehat{H} on T_i where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $G_i = W_i:H_i$. Since G is a Frobenius group, G_i is a

Frobenius group, and so \widehat{H} is a diagonal subgroup of $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k$. Hence, $\widehat{H} \cong H_i$ for each i . Since $X = GX_u$, we deduce that $|N : (G \cap N)|$ divides $|X_u|$.

Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ with $q > 3$. Write $q = s^e$ for a prime s and $e \geq 1$. Let $f = (2, s - 1)$.

Assume that $p = s$. Then, $|W_i| = p^\ell$ where $\ell \leq e$, and so $|H_i|$ divides $p^\ell - 1$. If $\ell < e$, then $|H_i| < \frac{q-1}{f}$. For this case, since $(q - 1, q + 1) = f$, we deduce that $|T_i : G_i|$ is divisible by three distinct primes. So is $|X_u|$ for $|T_i : G_i|$ divides $|X_u|$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\ell = e$. By Suzuki [34, Theorem 6.17], we conclude that $\mathbf{N}_{T_i}(W_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\ell : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{f}}$, and so $G_i \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_p^\ell : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{f}}$. Let $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{ke} : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{f}}$ be a subgroup of N . Then, $G \cap N$ can be embedded into M . Since $|N : M|$ divides $|X_u|$, we deduce that

$$(q + 1)^k [f^{-1}(q - 1)]^{k-1} \text{ divides } 2^4 \cdot 3^6.$$

A straightforward calculation shows $q = s = 5$ and $k = 2$. Then, $G \cap N \cong \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since X can be embedded into $(S_5 \times S_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2$, we deduce that $G = \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_8$. By the above discussion, $2^3 \cdot 3^2$ divides $|X_u|$, and so by Lemma 2.3, we deduce that either

$$X = (S_5 \times S_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ and } X_u = S_3 \times S_4 \quad \text{or} \quad (4)$$

$$X = ((A_5 \times A_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2) : \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ and } X_u = (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times A_4) : \mathbb{Z}_2. \quad (5)$$

Suppose that part (4) follows. Write $X = (G_1 \times G_2) : \langle \pi \rangle$, where $G_1 \cong G_2 \cong S_5$ and π interchanges G_1 and G_2 . By MAGMA [3], there is just one conjugacy class of G and two conjugacy classes of X_u in X , such that $X = GX_u$ and $G \cap X_u = 1$. Choose $v \in \Gamma(u)$. By Lemma 2.1, write $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(X, X_u, X_u g X_u)$, where $g \in \mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv}) \setminus X_u$ and $g^2 \in X_{uv}$. Since Γ is X -arc-transitive, one has $|X_u : X_{uv}| = 4$, and so $|X_{uv}| = 36$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that there is no $g \in \mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv})$ such that $\langle X_u, g \rangle = X$; namely, Γ is not connected. Similarly, part (5) does not occur.

Assume that $p \neq s$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $L_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q+\epsilon}{f}}$ be a subgroup of T_i , where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. By Sylow’s Theorem, W_i can be embedded into L_i . By Suzuki [34, Theorem 6.23], we deduce that $\mathbf{N}_{T_i}(W_i) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\frac{2(q+\epsilon)}{f}}$, and so $G_i \lesssim \mathbf{D}_{\frac{2(q+\epsilon)}{f}}$. Let $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q+\epsilon}{f}}^k : \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a subgroup of N . Then, $G \cap N$ can be embedded into M . Since $|N : M|$ divides $|X_u|$, and hence, $|N : M|$ divides $2^4 \cdot 3^6$, it follows that

$$q^k (q - \epsilon)^k \text{ divides } 2^5 \cdot 3^6.$$

Calculations show that $q = 4, k = 2$ and $\epsilon = 1$. Then, $f = 1$, and so $G \cap N = M = \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that X can be embedded into $(S_5 \times S_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2$. By the definition, we deduce that $G = \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_8$. Arguing as above, one can prove that this case does not occur.

Let $T = \text{PSL}(3, q)$ with $q < 9$. Assume that $q = 2$. By the ATLAS [4], we have $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 : \mathbb{Z}_3$ where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then, $G \cap N = W : \widehat{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_7^k : \mathbb{Z}_3$, and so $|N : (G \cap N)| = 2^{3k} \cdot 3^{k-1}$ dividing $|X_u|$, a contradiction. In a similar fashion, one can prove that $T \neq \text{PSL}(3, q)$ with $q \geq 3$. Let $T = \text{PSL}(4, 2)$. By the ATLAS [4], we derive that $35 \nmid |G_i|$ where $i \geq 1$. It implies that 5 or 7 divides $|X_u|$, which is

impossible. Let $T = \text{PSp}(4, 3)$. By the ATLAS [4], one has $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4:\mathbb{Z}_5$, and so $G \cap N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{4k}:\mathbb{Z}_5$. Thus, $|N : (G \cap N)|$ is divisible by 5, so is $|X_u|$, a contradiction. Similarly, T can neither equal to $\text{PSU}(3, 8)$ nor M_{11} .

CASE 2: Suppose that r divides $|H|$. If $r \nmid |H_i|$, then r divides $|X_u|$, a contradiction. Thus, r divides $|H_i|$ for each i . Since $G \cap N = W:\widehat{H}$ with $\widehat{H} \cong H_i$, r divides $|N : (G \cap N)|$, and so $|X_u|$ is divisible by r , again a contradiction.

Therefore, X is almost simple. □

Lemma 5.2 tells us that if X is insoluble and $R(X) = 1$, then X is almost simple. The next two lemmas determine the graph Γ for the case where X is almost simple.

Lemma 5.3 *Let X be an almost simple group with $\text{soc}(X) = \text{PSL}(2, 7)$. If Γ is not $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive, then $X = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$ and $(X_1, G) = (\text{D}_8, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_6)$ or $(\text{D}_{16}, \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3)$.*

Proof Denote by u the vertex 1. By Frattini argument, we have $X = GX_u$. Since Γ is not $(X, 2)$ -arc-transitive, X_u is a 2-group. Note that G is a Frobenius group. Checking the subgroups of $\text{PGL}(2, 7)$ in the ATLAS [4], we obtain $G = \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Assume first that $G = \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_6$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3$ is maximal in $\text{soc}(X)$, we have $X = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$. It follows that $X_u = \text{D}_8$. Assume now that $G = \mathbb{Z}_7:\mathbb{Z}_3$. Furthermore, assume that $X = \text{PSL}(2, 7)$. Then, Γ is a connected tetravalent X -edge-transitive Cayley graph, and $X_u = \text{D}_8$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of X . Choose $v \in \Gamma(u)$. Then, $|X_u : X_{uv}| = 2$ or 4. Since Γ is X -vertex-transitive, we write Γ as a coset graph $\text{Cos}(X, H, H\{x, x^{-1}\}H)$, where $H = X_u = \text{D}_8$ and $x \in X$ is such that $\langle H, x \rangle = X$; in particular, $x \notin H$.

Suppose that $|X_u : X_{uv}| = 4$. Then, Γ is X -arc-transitive. By Lemma 2.1, we choose x such that $(u, v)^x = (v, u)$, yielding $x \in \mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv}) \cong \text{D}_8$. In particular, $\mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv}) \neq X_u$. Then, $|\mathbf{N}_{X_u}(X_{uv})| = 4$. Hence, $\mathbf{N}_{X_u}(X_{uv})$ is normal in both $\mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv})$ and X_u , and so $\mathbf{N}_{X_u}(X_{uv}) \trianglelefteq \langle X_u, \mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv}) \rangle$. Checking the subgroups of $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ in the ATLAS [4], we obtain that $\langle X_u, \mathbf{N}_X(X_{uv}) \rangle \cong \text{S}_4$, which contradicts the fact that $\langle X_u, x \rangle = X$.

Suppose that $|X_u : X_{uv}| = 2$. Then, $|X_{uv}| = 4$, and so $X_{uv} \trianglelefteq M := \langle X_u, X_v \rangle$. Thus, $M \cong \text{S}_4$. By Lemma 2.1, we may choose x such that $u^x = v$. Noting that X_u and X_v are two Sylow 2-subgroups of M , there exists some $y \in M$ such that $X_u^y = X_v = X_u^x$. Hence, $xy^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_X(X_u) = X_u$, so $\langle X_u, x \rangle \leq \langle X_u, xy^{-1}, y \rangle \leq M$, again a contradiction. Thus, $X = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$. □

For a graph Γ , and $X \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$, the permutation group induced by X_u on $\Gamma(u)$ is denoted by $X_u^{\Gamma(u)}$, and the kernel (of X_u acting on $\Gamma(u)$) is denoted by $X_u^{[1]}$. Then, $X_u^{\Gamma(u)} \cong X_u/X_u^{[1]}$. For a positive integer n and a prime divisor p , denote by n_p the p -part of n . That is to say, n/n_p is indivisible by p .

Lemma 5.4 *If X is almost simple, then (X, G, X_1) is one of the triples listed in Table 2.*

Proof Let $T = \text{soc}(X)$. By Kazarin [17], we conclude that T is one of the following groups:

$\text{PSL}(2, q)(q > 3)$, $\text{PSL}(3, q)(q < 9)$, $\text{PSL}(4, 2)$, $\text{PSp}(4, 3)$, $\text{PSU}(3, 8)$ and M_{11} .

For convenience, denote by u the vertex $\mathbf{1}$ of Γ . Let $\widehat{G} = G \cap T$. Since X_u is soluble, we deduce that $\widehat{G} \neq 1$, and so $W \leq \widehat{G}$. By Lemma 2.3, X_u is either a 2-group or a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. Noting that $|T : \widehat{G}| = |TG : G|$ divides $|X_u|$, it follows that $|\widehat{G}|$ is divisible by $\frac{|T|}{|T|_2|T|_3}$. Since \widehat{G} is soluble, \widehat{G} contains a $\{2, 3\}'$ -Hall subgroup R of T .

Let $T = \text{PSL}(3, 3)$. Then, $X = \text{PSL}(3, 3) : \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G = \text{D}_{26}$, $X_u = \mathbb{Z}_3^2 : \text{GL}(2, 3)$, and the corresponding graph Γ does exist, refer to Li et al. [21, Theorem 1.1].

Let $T = \text{PSL}(3, 4)$. Then, $|R| = 35$. However, T does not contain such R , a contradiction occurs. Similarly, T is neither $\text{PSL}(3, q)$ with $5 \leq q \leq 8$ nor $\text{PSU}(3, 8)$. Let $T = \text{PSL}(4, 2)$. Then, R is a subgroup of T of order 35. By the ATLAS [4], R is a cyclic subgroup of A_7 , a contradiction. Let $T = \text{PSp}(4, 3)$. Note that 5 divides $|\widehat{G}|$ and \widehat{G} is a Frobenius group. By the ATLAS [4], $|\widehat{G}|$ divides $2^4 \cdot 5$, and so $|T_u|_3 = 3^4$. Thus, $|X_u|_3 = 3^4$, contradicting Lemma 2.3. Let $T = \text{M}_{11}$. Then, $X = \text{M}_{11}$, and so 55 divides $|G|$. By the ATLAS [4], we deduce that $G = \mathbb{Z}_{11} : \mathbb{Z}_5$, and so $X_u = \mathbb{Z}_3^2 : \text{Q}_8.2$, contrary to Lemma 2.3.

Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ with $q > 3$. If $q = 4$ or 5 , then as 5 divides $|G|$, we have $X = S_5$, $G = \text{D}_{10}$ and $X_u = A_4$. However, one can quickly verifies by MAGMA [3] that there is no factorisation $X = GX_u$. If $q = 7$, then 7 divides $|G|$. We check using MAGMA [3] that $X = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$, $G = \text{D}_{14}$ and $X_u = S_4$. If $q = 11$, then 55 divides $|G|$. By the ATLAS [4], we deduce that $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{Z}_{11} : \mathbb{Z}_5$. By MAGMA [3], $X = \text{PSL}(2, 11) : \mathcal{O}$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{11} : (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathcal{O}_1)$ and $X_u = A_4 : \mathcal{O}_2$, where $\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{O} = 1$ or 2 . If $q = 23$, then $11 \cdot 23$ divides $|G|$. By the ATLAS [4], $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{Z}_{23} : \mathbb{Z}_{11}$. By MAGMA [3], $X = \text{PSL}(2, 23)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{23} : \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ and $X_u = S_4$.

In what follows, we assume that $q \neq 4, 5, 7, 11$ or 23 . Write $q = r^e$ for a prime r and $e \geq 1$. Let $f = (2, q - 1)$. By [25, Proposition 4.1], either

$$\widehat{G} \leq \text{D}_{\frac{2(r^e+1)}{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}_r^e \leq T_u \leq \mathbb{Z}_r^e : \mathbb{Z}_{r^{e-1}}, \quad \text{or} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_r^e \leq \widehat{G} \leq \mathbb{Z}_r^e : \mathbb{Z}_{r^{e-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_u \leq \text{D}_{\frac{2(r^e+1)}{f}}. \quad (7)$$

Assume that (6) follows. Then, $\frac{r^e(r^e-1)}{2}$ divides $|T : \widehat{G}|$. Since $|T : \widehat{G}|$ divides $|X_u|$, it follows that $\frac{r^e(r^e-1)}{2} \mid 2^4 \cdot 3^6$. We calculate $r^e = 9$, and so $r = 3$ and $e = 2$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}_3^2 \leq T_u \leq \mathbb{Z}_3^2 : \mathbb{Z}_4$, and hence, $T_u^{\Gamma(u)} = \mathbb{Z}_3$ or S_3 . Now $T_u^{\Gamma(u)} \leq X_u^{\Gamma(u)} \leq S_4$, and so $X_u^{\Gamma(u)} = S_3$. By Lemma 2.3, this is a contradiction. Thus, (7) follows.

CASE 1: Suppose that T_u is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. So does $T_u^{\Gamma(u)}$. Since $T_u \leq \text{D}_{\frac{2(r^e+1)}{f}}$ and $T_u^{\Gamma(u)} \leq S_4$, we deduce that $T_u^{\Gamma(u)} = S_3$. Consequently, $X_u^{\Gamma(u)} = S_3$, a contradiction.

CASE 2: Suppose that T_u is a 2-group. Then, $|T : \widehat{G}|$ is a power of 2. By Guralnick [16, Theorem 1], $|T : \widehat{G}| = q + 1 = 2^\ell$ for $\ell \geq 3$. Thus, $|\widehat{G}| = \frac{|T|}{q+1} = \frac{q(q-1)}{2}$, and so \widehat{G} contains a $2'$ -Hall subgroup of T . Then, $G \cap T$ contains a $2'$ -Hall subgroup of T . By Lemma 2.7, we have that $q = r = 2^\ell - 1$, $\widehat{G} = \mathbb{Z}_r : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r-1}{2}}$ and $T_u = \text{D}_{r+1}$. Suppose that $\ell = 3$. Then, $q = 7$. By Lemma 5.3, we are done. In what follows, we assume that $\ell \geq 5$.

Suppose that $G = \widehat{G}$. Then, as $|\widehat{G}|$ is odd, by Li et al. [22, Theorem 1.1], $X = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$ and $X_u = \text{D}_{16}$, contradicting our assumption. Thus, $\widehat{G} < G$. Noting that \widehat{G}

is maximal in T , we deduce that $X = \text{PGL}(2, r)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_r : \mathbb{Z}_{r-1}$, and $X_u = T_u = D_{r+1}$. Let $v \in \Gamma(u)$. By Lemma 2.1, X_{uv} has index 2 or 4 in both X_u and X_v . Since $\ell \geq 5$, X_{uv} contains a subgroup $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. It is easily shown that C is normal in both X_u and X_v , and so $C \triangleleft L := \langle X_u, X_v \rangle$. By Suzuki [34, p.417], both X_u and X_v are maximal in T , and hence $L = X_u = X_v$. By the connectedness of Γ , L fixes each vertex of Γ , which is impossible.

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4. □

We now handle the case where $R(X) \neq 1$.

Lemma 5.5 *If $R(X) \cap G = 1$, then $G = \mathbb{Z}_{11} : \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ and $X = \text{PGL}(2, 11) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof Write $\bar{X} = X/R(X)$, $\bar{G} = GR(X)/R(X)$ and $\bar{X}_1 = X_1R(X)/R(X)$. Then, $\bar{G} \cong G$ and $\bar{X}_1 \cong X_1$. Since $X = GX_1$, we have $\bar{X} = \bar{G} \bar{X}_1$. Let $\Sigma = \Gamma_{R(X)}$. Noting that \bar{X} is insoluble, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that Γ is a normal cover of Σ . Pick $u \in V\Sigma$ such that $\mathbf{1} \in u$, so that $\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_u$, and $\bar{X} = \bar{G} \bar{X}_u$ because \bar{G} is transitive on $V\Sigma$. Further, since \bar{G} is not regular on $V\Sigma$, it follows that $\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u \neq 1$.

Assume that \bar{X} is not almost simple. By Lemma 5.1, \bar{X} has a unique minimal normal subgroup \bar{N} . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we only need to deal with the case where $\bar{N} = A_5 \times A_5$. For this case, \bar{X} can be embedded into $(S_5 \times S_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2$. By the definition, $\bar{G} = \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_8$, and so $\bar{N} \cap \bar{G} = \mathbb{Z}_5^2 : \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, as $|\bar{N} : \bar{N} \cap \bar{G}|$ divides $\frac{|\bar{X}_u|}{|\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u|}$, $2^3 \cdot 3^2$ divides $\frac{|\bar{X}_u|}{|\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u|}$. Noting that $\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u \neq 1$, it follows that $2^4 \cdot 3^2$ divides $|\bar{X}_u|$, and so by Lemma 2.3, $\bar{X}_u = S_3 \times S_4$. For this case, $\bar{X} = ((A_5 \times A_5) : \mathbb{Z}_2) : \mathbb{Z}_2$, and so $\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. By MAGMA [3], there is just one conjugacy class of \bar{X}_u and two conjugacy classes of \bar{G} in \bar{X} , such that $\bar{X} = \bar{G} \bar{X}_u$ and $\bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_u \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Choose $v \in \Sigma(u)$. By Lemma 2.1, write $\Sigma = \text{Cos}(\bar{X}, \bar{X}_u, \bar{X}_u g \bar{X}_u)$, where $g \in \mathbf{N}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{X}_{uv}) \setminus \bar{X}_u$ and $g^2 \in \bar{X}_{uv}$. Since Σ is \bar{X} -arc-transitive, we deduce that $|\bar{X}_u : \bar{X}_{uv}| = 4$, and so $|\bar{X}_{uv}| = 36$. However, one can quickly verify by MAGMA [3] that there is no $g \in \mathbf{N}_{\bar{X}}(\bar{X}_{uv})$ such that $\langle \bar{X}_u, g \rangle = \bar{X}$; namely, Σ is not connected. Thus, \bar{X} is almost simple.

Let $\bar{T} = \text{soc}(\bar{X})$. By Kazarin [17], we obtain that \bar{T} is one of the following groups:

$\text{PSL}(2, q) (q > 3)$, $\text{PSL}(3, q) (q < 9)$, $\text{PSL}(4, 2)$, $\text{P}\Omega(4, 3)$, $\text{PSU}(3, 8)$ and M_{11} .

Let $\bar{T} = \text{PSL}(2, q)$ where $q = 4, 5, 7, 11$ or 23 . If $q = 4$ or 5 , then the only possibility is that $\bar{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 : \mathbb{Z}_4$ by Li et al. [21, Theorem 1.1]. For this case, Γ is a Cayley graph of order 20, and so by Pan et al. [29, Theorem 5.3], G is normal in X , which is a contradiction. If $q = 7, 11$ or 23 , then $|\bar{G}|$ is square-free. The same is true for G . By Li et al. [21, Theorem 1.1], if $q = 7$ or 23 , then X is almost simple, contradicting our assumption, and if $q = 11$, then $X = \text{PGL}(2, 11) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_{11} : \mathbb{Z}_{10}$, $X_1 = S_4$, and the corresponding graph Γ does exist.

Let \bar{T} be one of the remaining groups. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.4 with $\bar{X} = \bar{G} \bar{X}_u$ in the place $X = GX_1$, we rule out these possibilities.

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.5. □

Lemma 5.6 *If $R(X) \cap G \neq 1$, then the following statements hold:*

- (a) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_5$, $X = W.\bar{X}$ and $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_5$, where $\text{soc}(\bar{X}) \cong A_5$;
- (b) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_{10}$, $X = W.(\bar{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$, where $\text{soc}(\bar{X}) \cong A_5$.

Proof Let $R = R(X) \cap G$. Then, $R \triangleleft G$. By our assumption, $R(X) \cap G \neq 1$, and thereby, $R \geq W$ because W is minimal normal in G . Since $X/R(X)$ is insoluble, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that Γ is a normal cover of $\Gamma_{R(X)}$, and so $GR(X)/R(X) \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma_{R(X)}$.

Let $\hat{H} = HR(X)/R(X)$. Note that Γ is a Cayley graph of G , so $\Gamma_{R(X)}$ is a Cayley graph of \hat{H} . Thus, $|R(X)||\hat{H}| = |G|$. Since $|G| = |W||H|$, we calculate that

$$|R(X)| = |W||R(X) \cap H|.$$

It follows that $R(X) \leq G$, and so $R = R(X)$. This implies that $W \triangleleft X$. Let $\bar{H} = G/W$. By [2, Theorem 1.2], either $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_5$ and $\bar{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$, or $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$ and $\bar{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. For the former, we have $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W \cong S_5$, and for the latter, $\text{Aut}\Gamma_W \cong S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let $\bar{X} = X/W$. Since Γ is a normal cover of Γ_W , we have $\bar{X} \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma_W$. Let $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_5$. Noting that \bar{X} is insoluble, we conclude that $\text{soc}(\bar{X}) \cong A_5$. Let $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbf{K}_{5,5} - 5\mathbf{K}_2$. Since $\bar{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10}$, we obtain $\bar{X} = L \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ where $\text{soc}(L) \cong A_5$. Note that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ or \mathbb{Z}_{10} . By the definition, we deduce that $d = 2$ or 4 . However, since $\text{GL}(2, p)$ does not contain A_5 , it follows that $d = 4$. Therefore, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_5$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p^4:\mathbb{Z}_{10}$. This completes the proof. □

The assertion of Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemmas 5.2–5.6.

6 Half-transitive graphs

In the last section, we apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.3.

Let p be an odd prime and $d > 1$ an odd integer. Let n be a primitive divisor of $p^d - 1$, such that n does not divide $r(p^{d/r} - 1)$ for any prime r dividing d . Set

$$G = W:\langle h \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p^d:\mathbb{Z}_n < \text{AGL}(1, p^d).$$

Construction 6.1 Let i be coprime to n for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and let $a \in W \setminus \{1\}$. Set

$$\begin{cases} S_i = \{ah^i, a^{-1}h^i, (ah^i)^{-1}, (a^{-1}h^i)^{-1}\}, \\ \Gamma_i = \text{Cay}(G, S_i). \end{cases}$$

With this preparation, we are ready to embark on the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Let $X = \text{Aut}\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ be connected, edge-transitive and of valency 4. By our assumption, $\langle h \rangle$ is primitive on W , $d > 1$ is odd, and p is an odd prime. By Theorems 1.1–1.2, we obtain that G is normal in X . By virtue of Godsil [13, Lemma 2.1], we have $X = G:\text{Aut}(G, S)$.

By Lemma 2.5, one has $X_1 = \text{Aut}(G, S) \leq D_8$. By Doerk [8, Proposition 12.10], $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{AGL}(1, p^d) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^d-1} : \mathbb{Z}_d)$, and so $\text{Aut}(G)$ has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. It follows that $X_1 = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Thus, σ fixes an element of G of order n , say $f \in G$ such that $o(f) = n$ and $f^\sigma = f$. Then, $G = W : \langle f \rangle$, and $X = G : \langle \sigma \rangle = (W : \langle f \rangle) : \langle \sigma \rangle$. Moreover, since a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ is cyclic, all involutions of $\text{Aut}(G)$ are conjugate. It is easy to verify that every involution of $\text{Aut}(G)$ inverts all non-identity elements of W .

Since Γ is connected, $\langle S \rangle = G$ and $\text{Aut}(G, S)$ is faithful on S . Assume that S contains an involution. Noting that Γ is X -edge-transitive, S consists of involutions. By the proof of Lemma 2.5, $G \cong D_{2p}$, against our assumption. Hence, S does not contain an involution. For this case, we may write $S = \{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\}$ such that either $o(\sigma) = 2$ and $(x, y)^\sigma = (y, x)$, or $o(\sigma) = 4$ and $(x, y)^\sigma = (y, x^{-1})$, refer to Praeger [31, Proposition 1]. Now, $x = af^i$, where $a \in W$ and $i \geq 0$. Suppose that $o(\sigma) = 4$. Then, $y = x^\sigma = (af^i)^\sigma = a^\sigma f^i$, and $a'f^{-i} = f^{-i}a^{-1} = (af^i)^{-1} = x^{-1} = x^{\sigma^2} = a^{\sigma^2}f^i = a^{-1}f^i$. It follows that $f^{2i} = 1$, and hence, f^i has order 1 or 2. If $f^i = 1$, then $x = a$, and $y = x^\sigma = a^\sigma$, belonging to W , and so $\langle S \rangle \leq W < G$, which is a contradiction. Thus, f^i has order 2. Noting that f^i inverts each element of W , we conclude that x has order 2, again a contradiction. Thus, σ is an involution, and so $(x, y)^\sigma = (y, x)$, $x = af^i$, and $y = x^\sigma = a^\sigma f^i = a^{-1}f^i$. In particular, Γ is not arc-transitive, and $S = \{af^i, a^{-1}f^i, (af^i)^{-1}, (a^{-1}f^i)^{-1}\}$.

Notice that $f, h \in G$ with $o(f) = o(h) = n$. Since $(|W|, n) = 1$, it follows from Schur–Zassenhaus’s Theorem that there exists $b \in W$ such that $h^b \in \langle f \rangle$. So $f^{b^{-1}} = h^r$ for some r coprime to n . Let $\tau = \sigma^{b^{-1}}$. Then, as $f^\sigma = f$, we have $h^\tau = h$, and so $X = G : \langle \tau \rangle$. Moreover, $S^{b^{-1}} = \{ah^{ir}, a^{-1}h^{ir}, (ah^{ir})^{-1}, (a^{-1}h^{ir})^{-1}\}$. Let $ir \equiv j \pmod{n}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Then, $S_j := \{ah^j, a^{-1}h^j, (ah^j)^{-1}, (a^{-1}h^j)^{-1}\}$. Since $\Gamma \cong \text{Cay}(G, S_j)$ is connected, it follows from Li et al. [22, Lemma 6.2(ii)] that $(j, n) = 1$. Let Γ_i and Γ_j be as in Construction 6.1 with $(i, n) = (j, n) = 1$. Furthermore, if $p^k i \equiv j$ or $-j \pmod{n}$ for some $k \geq 0$, then $\Gamma_i \cong \Gamma_j$, refer to Li et al. [22, Lemma 6.2(iii)].

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

References

1. Al-bar, J.A., Al-kenani, A.N., Muthana, N.M., Praeger, C.E., Spiga, P.: Finite edge-transitive oriented graphs of valency four: a global approach. *Electron. J. Comb.* **23**, 1–10 (2016)
2. Baik, Y.G., Feng, Y.Q., Sim, H.S., Xu, M.Y.: On the normality of Cayley graphs of abelian groups. *Algebra Colloq.* **5**, 297–304 (1998)
3. Bosma, W., Cannon, C., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system I: the user language. *J. Symb. Comput.* **24**, 235–265 (1997)
4. Conway, J.H., Curtis, R.T., Norton, S.P., Parker, R.A., Wilson, P.: *Atlas of Finite Groups*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1985)
5. Corr, B.P., Praeger, C.E.: Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **42**, 803–827 (2015)

6. Detinko, A.S., Flannery, D.L.: Nilpotent primitive linear groups over finite fields. *Commun. Algebra* **33**, 497–505 (2005)
7. Dixon, J.D., Mortimer, B.: *Permutation Groups*. Springer, New York (1996)
8. Doerk, K., Hawkes, T.: *Finite Soluble Groups*. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin (1992)
9. Du, S.F., Xu, W.Q.: 2-arc-transitive regular covers of $K_{n,n} - nK_2$ having the covering transformation group \mathbb{Z}_p^3 . *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **101**, 145–170 (2016)
10. Fang, X.G., Li, C.H., Xu, M.Y.: On edge-transitive Cayley graphs of valency four. *Eur. J. Comb.* **25**, 1107–1116 (2004)
11. Feng, Y.Q., Kwak, J.H., Wang, X.Y., Zhou, J.X.: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order $2pq$. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **33**, 543–553 (2011)
12. Feng, Y.Q., Kwak, J.H., Xu, M.Y., Zhou, J.X.: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order p^4 . *Eur. J. Comb.* **29**, 555–567 (2008)
13. Godsil, C.D.: On the full automorphism group of a graph. *Combinatorica* **1**, 243–256 (1981)
14. Gorenstein, D.: *Finite Groups*. Harper and Row, New York (1968)
15. Gorenstein, D.: *Finite Simple Groups*. Plenum Press, New York (1982)
16. Guralnick, R.: Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group. *J. Algebra* **81**, 304–311 (1983)
17. Kazarin, L.S.: Groups that can be represented as a product of two solvable subgroups. *Commun. Algebra* **14**, 1001–1066 (1986)
18. Kutnar, K., Marušič, D., Šparl, P.: An infinite family of half-arc-transitive graphs with universal reachability relation. *Eur. J. Comb.* **31**, 1725–1734 (2010)
19. Kuzman, B.: Arc-transitive elementary abelian covers of the complete graph K_5 . *Linear Algebra Appl.* **433**, 1909–1921 (2010)
20. Li, C.H.: Finite s -arc transitive Cayley graphs and flag-transitive projective planes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133**, 31–41 (2005)
21. Li, C.H., Liu, Z., Lu, Z.P.: The edge-transitive tetravalent Cayley graphs of square-free order. *Discrete Math.* **312**, 1952–1967 (2012)
22. Li, C.H., Lu, Z.P., Zhang, H.: Tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graphs with odd number of vertices. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B.* **96**, 164–181 (2006)
23. Li, C.H., Pan, J.M., Song, S.J., Wang, D.J.: A characterization of a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B.* **107**, 12–25 (2014)
24. Li, C.H., Rao, G., Song, S.J.: On finite self-complementary metacirculants. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **40**, 1135–1144 (2014)
25. Li, C.H., Xia, B.Z.: Factorizations of almost simple groups with a solvable factor, and Cayley graphs of solvable groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2020, in press)
26. Liu, H.L., Wang, L.: Cubic arc-transitive Cayley graphs on Frobenius groups. *J. Algebra Appl.* **17**, 1–9 (2018)
27. Marušič, D., Nedela, R.: Maps and half-transitive graphs of valency 4. *Eur. J. Comb.* **19**, 345–354 (1998)
28. Marušič, D., Šparl, P.: On quartic half-arc-transitive metacirculants. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **28**, 365–395 (2008)
29. Pan, J.M., Liu, Y., Huang, Z.H., Liu, C.L.: Tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order p^2q . *Sci. China Math.* **57**(2), 293–302 (2014)
30. Praeger, C.E.: An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **47**, 227–239 (1992)
31. Praeger, C.E.: Finite normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **60**(2), 207–220 (1999)
32. Song, S.J., Li, C.H., Wang, D.J.: Classifying a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **35**, 497–513 (2012)
33. Song, S.J., Li, C.H., Wang, D.J.: A family of edge-transitive Frobenius metacirculants of small valency. *Eur. J. Comb.* **34**, 512–521 (2013)
34. Suzuki, M.: *Group Theory I*. Springer, Berlin, New York (1982)
35. Wang, X.Y., Feng, Y.Q.: Tetravalent half-edge-transitive graphs and non-normal Cayley graphs. *J. Graph Theory* **70**(2), 197–213 (2012)
36. Weiss, R.M.: s -transitive graphs. *Algebr. Methods Graph Theory* **2**, 827–847 (1981)
37. Xu, M.Y.: Half-transitive graphs of prime-cube order. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **1**(3), 275–282 (1992)
38. Xu, M.Y.: Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs. *Discrete Math.* **182**, 309–319 (1998)

39. Xu, W.Q., Zhu, Y.H., Du, S.F.: 2-arc-transitive regular covers of $K_{n,n} - nK_2$ with the covering transformation group \mathbb{Z}_p^2 . *Ars Math. Contemp.* **10**, 269–280 (2016)
40. Zhou, C.X., Feng, Y.Q.: An infinite family of tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs. *Discrete Math.* **306**, 2205–2211 (2006)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.