ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Inflammopharmacology

Anti‑infammatory actions of β‑funaltrexamine in a mouse model of lipopolysaccharide‑induced infammation

Stephanie Myers¹ · Kelly McCracken1 · Daniel J. Buck1 · J. Thomas Curtis1 · Randall L. Davis[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1664-0339)

Received: 21 February 2022 / Accepted: 6 December 2022 / Published online: 17 December 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract

Neuroinfammation is involved in a wide range of brain disorders, thus there is great interest in identifying novel antiinfammatory agents to include in therapeutic strategies. Our previous in vitro studies revealed that beta-funaltrexamine (β-FNA), a well-characterized selective *mu*-opioid receptor (MOR) antagonist, inhibits infammatory signaling in human astroglial cells, albeit through an apparent MOR-independent mechanism. We also previously determined that lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced sickness behavior and neuroinfammation in mice are prevented by pretreatment with β-FNA. Herein we investigated the temporal importance of β-FNA treatment in this pre-clinical model of LPS-induced neuroinfammation. Adult, male C57BL/6J mice were administered an i.p. injection of LPS followed by treatment (i.p. injection) with β-FNA immediately or 4 h post-LPS. Sickness behavior was assessed using an open-feld test, followed by assessment of infammatory signaling in the brain, spleen, and plasma. Levels of infammatory chemokines/cytokines (interferon γ-induced protein, CXCL10; monocyte chemotactic protein 1, CCL2; and interleukin-6, IL-6) in tissues were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and nuclear factor-kappa B (NFκB), p38 mitogen activated kinase (p38 MAPK), and glial fbrillary acidic protein (GFAP) expression were measured by western blot. LPS-induced sickness behavior and chemokine expression were inhibited more efectively when β-FNA treatment occurred immediately after LPS administration, as opposed to 4 h post-LPS; and β-FNA-mediated efects were time-dependent as evidenced by inhibition at 24 h, but not at 8 h. The inhibitory efects of β-FNA on chemokine expression were more evident in the brain versus the spleen or plasma. LPS-induced NFκB-p65 and p38 MAPK expression in the brain and spleen were inhibited at 8 and 24 h post-LPS. These fndings extend our understanding of the anti-infammatory efects of β-FNA and warrant further investigation into its therapeutic potential.

Keywords β-Funaltrexamine · Neuroinfammation · Neuroprotective · Chemokine · Nuclear factor-κB · Opioid

Introduction

Anxiolytic, anti-depressant, and anti-psychotic medications generally target neurotransmitter signaling, yet often possess limited anti-infammatory properties as well (Dantzer [2001](#page-8-0); Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0); Salazar et al. [2012](#page-9-1); Swiergiel and Dunn [2007](#page-9-2)). Neurological conditions, including mood disorders, often present with neuroinfammation (Uguccioni et al. [1999;](#page-9-3) Betteridge et al. [2013](#page-8-1); Bai et al. [2015](#page-8-2); Wei et al. [2022](#page-9-4)), thereby elevating interest in the therapeutic potential of anti-infammatory agents. We previously discovered that β-funaltrexamine (β-FNA), a selective *mu*-opioid receptor (MOR) antagonist, inhibits cytokine-induced expression of cytokines/chemokines in vitro in human astroglial cells (Davis et al. [2007,](#page-9-5) [2013](#page-9-6), [2020](#page-9-7)). Further investigation revealed that the anti-infammatory actions were not dependent upon actions at the MOR (Davis et al. [2013](#page-9-6), [2015](#page-9-8)). More specifcally, when added (in threefold excess) prior to β-FNA, neither the general opioid receptor antagonist, naltrexone, the MOR selective (D-Phe-Cys-Tyr-D-Trp-Arg-Pen-Thr-NH₂ CTAP), nor the KOR selective (nor-binaltorphimine, Nor-BNI) antagonists reversed the inhibitory effects of β-FNA on proinflammatory-induced chemokine expression. Notably, unlike β-FNA, none of these opioid receptor antagonists inhibited inflammatory activation, even at a threefold higher concentration (Davis et al. [2013,](#page-9-6) [2015](#page-9-8)). While the exact mechanism by which β-FNA inhibits infammatory signaling has yet to be fully elucidated,

 \boxtimes Randall L. Davis randall.davis@okstate.edu

¹ Department of Pharmacology/Physiology, Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences, Tulsa, OK 74107, USA

in vitro studies in astroglial cells suggest that disruption of key infammatory signaling pathways are involved (Davis et al. [2008](#page-9-9), [2007,](#page-9-5) [2013,](#page-9-6) [2015](#page-9-8), [2020](#page-9-7)). More specifcally, we demonstrated that β-FNA inhibited activation of both p38 mitogen activated kinase (p38 MAPK) and nuclear factorkappa B (NFκB) in human astroglial cells (Davis et al. [2007,](#page-9-5) [2013,](#page-9-6) [2015\)](#page-9-8). Investigations are ongoing to further defne the anti-infammatory mechanism of action for β-FNA. Importantly, we have also determined that β-FNA inhibits bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced neuroinfammation in adult male C57BL/6J mice (Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0)). In this previous study, β-FNA was administered i.p. immediately prior to the i.p. injection of LPS and we limited our assessment of infammatory signals to cytokines/chemokines in brain and plasma at 24 h post-LPS (Davis et al. [2017\)](#page-9-0). In the present study, we extend and expand this line of investigation to assess the beneft of delayed β-FNA treatment, temporal efects of treatment, and additional infammatory signaling molecules in both brain and spleen. Furthermore, we determined the effects of $β$ -FNA on sickness behaviors. Together, these fndings will advance our understanding of the neuroprotective actions and potential therapeutic benefts of β-FNA in treating neuroinfammation-associated conditions, including mood disorders.

Materials and methods

Animals

Seven-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and maintained in USDA-approved facilities at Oklahoma State University-Center for Health Sciences (OSU-CHS). Mice were assigned three per plastic cage (dimensions of $10 \text{ cm} \times 17 \text{ cm} \times 28 \text{ cm}$. Each cage contained pine chip bedding, environmental stimulus was provided by cardboard tubes, and ad libitum access to food and water was provided. Room temperature was maintained at 21 °C with a 12:12 light:dark cycle. A total of 48 animals were approved for this study and were acclimated to housing conditions for 7 days prior to initiation of experiments and they were monitored daily. Animal manipulations and handling processes for all experiments were approved by the OSU-CHS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Experimental protocol

As previously described (Davis et al. [2017\)](#page-9-0), mice (*n*=5–8 per group) were administered LPS (*Escherichia coli* O55:B5; Sigma) i.p. at a dose of 0.83 mg/kg dissolved in saline. This LPS dose is routinely used in mice to induce neuroinflammation, and behavioral deficits, including anxiety-like and sickness behaviors (Salazar et al. [2012](#page-9-1); Dantzer [2001;](#page-8-0) Swiergiel and Dunn [2007](#page-9-2)). After the LPS injection, mice were administered β-FNA (National Institute on Drug Abuse reagent supply program) at a dose of 50 mg/ kg; i.p dissolved in saline or saline vehicle (200 µl) immediately or 4 h after LPS. The β-FNA dose was established based on efectiveness observed in our previous work (Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0); Ramachandra et al. [2011](#page-9-10)).

Behavioral measures

Behavioral analysis was done using an open-feld test (OFT) where locomotor activity was used as an index of acute sickness behavior (Salazar et al. [2012;](#page-9-1) Dantzer [2001;](#page-8-0) Swiergiel and Dunn [2007\)](#page-9-2). For this OFT, each mouse was individually placed in the open-field arena, measuring $40 \text{ cm} \times 40 \text{ cm}$, then monitored and recorded with Ethovision Software for 10 min. The dependent measures included distance moved (cm) and duration (s) in the center $35 \text{ cm} \times 35 \text{ cm}$ region of the arena. Sickness behavior included decreased distance moved and decreased time spent in the center region (away from the walls) (Salazar et al. [2012](#page-9-1); Dantzer [2001;](#page-8-0) Swiergiel and Dunn [2007](#page-9-2)).

Tissue collection

Immediately after behavioral testing, mice were euthanized by $CO₂$ inhalation and subsequent decapitation. Trunk blood, brain, and spleen were collected into ice-cold tubes and immediately placed on ice. Plasma was collected after centrifugation (17,000 \times *g*, 15 min, 4 °C). All samples were then stored at−80 °C until assays were performed. Tissue was homogenized in ice-cold triple detergent lysis bufer (Thomas Curtis et al. [2011](#page-9-11)) containing HALT Protease/ Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) using a Sonic Dismembrator Model 100 (Fisher Scientifc). The sample was then centrifuged (20,000×*g*, 20 min, 4 °C), followed by collection of the aqueous phase. Homogenized samples were used for the quantifcation of infammatory factors. Total protein levels were measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay as previously described (Davis et al. [2002](#page-9-12)) for subsequent determination of sample loading volume in western blot analyses and normalization of protein quantifcation data.

Measurement of infammatory mediators

Standard dual-antibody solid-phase immunoassays were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (ELISA Development Kit, Peprotech) to quantify chemokine/ cytokine [interferon gamma-induced protein 10, IP-10/ CXCL10; monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, MCP-1/ CCL2; interleukin-6, IL-6] levels in plasma and tissues.

Absorbance of the fnal product was read on a BIOTEK Synergy 2 spectrophotometer at 450 nm using a Gen5 microplate reader and imager software by BIOTEK.

Western blot analysis was used to measure NFκB-p65, p38 MAPK, and glial fbrillary acidic protein (GFAP) levels in tissue homogenates. Beta-tubulin was also measured for normalization. Total protein (100 µg) was analyzed by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred to the PVDF membrane. The membrane was incubated in target-specific 1° antibody [p65 (1:1000), Cat# 4764S; p38 (1:1000), Cat# 9212S; GFAP (1:1000), Cat#3670S; β-tubulin (1:1000), Cat# 2146S; Cell Signaling Technology], washed 6 times in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween (TBST), then incubated in 2° antibody [Goat-anti-Rabbit IgG (1:10,000), Cat# 925-32211; Donkey-anti-Mouse IgG (1:10,000), CAT# 926-32212; Li-Cor). Licor-CLX Odyssey was used to image Western blots, and protein signals were analyzed using Image J for relative quantifcation.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by a two-way ANOVA (treat $ment \times time$; select pairwise comparisons were further evaluated using Fisher's LSD. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, with *p* values < 0.05 considered statistically significant. PrismTM Version 9 software (GraphPad Inc, San Diego, CA) was used to analyze data and prepare figures.

Results

Efects of β‑FNA on LPS‑induced sickness behavior

Two-way ANOVA for distanced moved (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)) indicated significant main effects of treatment $(F_{3,40}=22.87,$ p <0.0001) and time ($F_{1,40}$ =39.61, p <0.0001), as well as a significant interaction $(F_{3,40} = 6.273, p < 0.002)$ between main efects. Pairwise analyses using Fisher's LSD revealed sickness behavior at the 8 and 24 h time points in the LPS groups as indicated by signifcantly reduced distance moved compared to the respective control group for each time point. At 8 h, the distance moved for the LPS + β-FNA and $LPS + \beta$ -FNA 4 h groups were also significantly reduced relative to the control group. At the 24 h time point, sickness behavior was observed in the LPS and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups as indicated by signifcantly reduced distance moved compared to the control group. Whereas protection was observed in the LPS + β -FNA group as indicated by the increase in distance moved at 24 h.

Further analysis of OFT data by two-way ANOVA of duration in the center region (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b) revealed signifcant main effects of treatment $(F_{3,38} = 7.754, p < 0.001)$ and time $(F_{1,38} = 17.84, p < 0.0001)$, as well as a significant interaction

Fig. 1 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced sickness behavior in male C57BL/6J mice. Mice $(n=5-8/\text{group})$ were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS + β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS + β-FNA 4 h). At 8 or 24 h post-LPS, mice were assessed in a 10 min open-feld test (OFT). Endpoints measured include distance moved (**a**) and duration in the center area of the chamber (**b**). Data are reported as mean \pm SEM. Two-way ANOVA $(treatment \times time)$ for distance moved revealed significant effects of both treatment $(p < 0.0001)$ and time $(p < 0.0001)$; and a significant interaction of treatment and time $(p < 0.002)$. Two-way ANOVA for duration in the center area indicated signifcant efects of both treatment ($p < 0.001$) and time ($p < 0.0001$); and a significant interaction of treatment and time $(p<0.02)$. Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *signifcantly diferent (*p*<0.05) from the control group at the same time point

Fig. 2 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced cytokine/chemokine expres-▸sion in the brain of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice (*n*=5–8/group) were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS + β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS+β-FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of brain. Levels of CXCL10 (**a**), CCL2 (**b**), and IL-6 (**c**) in whole brain homogenates were measured by ELISA and normalized to total protein (pg/ mg protein). Data (mean±SEM) are presented as percent control. Two-way ANOVA (treatment×time) revealed a significant effect of treatment on CXCL10 ($p < 0.001$) and CCL2 ($p < 0.0001$); and time significantly affected CXCL10 $(p<0.001)$, CCL2 $(p<0.0001)$, and IL-6 ($p < 0.0001$). There was a significant interaction between treatment and time for all three inflammatory factors $(p < 0.002)$. Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *signifcantly different $(p < 0.05)$ from the control group at the same time point

 $(F_{3,38} = 3.716, p < 0.02)$ between main effects. Pairwise comparisons suggested sickness-like behavior 8 h after LPS administration as indicated by the reduced time spent in the center for the LPS group compared to the control group. Similarly, both β-FNA treatment groups (LPS + β -FNA and $LPS + \beta$ -FNA 4 h) spent significantly less time in the center region at 8 h post-LPS compared to the control group. At 24 h, the LPS group seemed to spend less time in the center region compared to the control group, yet the diference was not significant ($p = 0.09$). The LPS + β-FNA 4 h group spent signifcantly less time in the center region compared to the control group.

Efects of β‑FNA on LPS‑induced cytokine and chemokine expression in brain, spleen, and plasma

In the brain, CXCL10 and CCL2 expression were significantly affected by treatment $(F_{3,40} = 50.43, p < 0.001$ and $F_{3,40} = 17.31, p < 0.0001$, whereas levels of CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 were significantly affected by time (*F*1,40 = 126.9, *p* < 0.001; *F*1,40 = 59.03, *p* < 0.0001; and $F_{1,40} = 59.28$ $F_{1,40} = 59.28$ $F_{1,40} = 59.28$, $p < 0.0001$) (**Fig. 2**). Furthermore, there were signifcant interactions between treatment and time for CXCL10, CCL2 and IL-6 in the brain $(F_{3,40} = 14.44,$ $p < 0.001$; $F_{3,40} = 11.74$, $p < 0.0001$; and $F_{3,40} = 6.392$, *p*<0.002). Pairwise analyses revealed CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 were increased at 8 h in the LPS group compared to the control group. At 8 h, levels of CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 in the brain of both the LPS+β-FNA and LPS+β-FNA 4 h groups were signifcantly higher than in the brain of the control groups. At 24 h, CXLC10 levels in the LPS and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups were significantly higher than in the control group. The CXCL10 level in the of LPS + β-FNA group was signifcantly lower compared to the LPS group and approached the level in the control group. CCL2 levels were signifcantly higher in the LPS group at 24 h compared to controls. β-FNA was protective against CCL2 at 24 h in

both the LPS + β-FNA and LPS + β-FNA 4 h group. The levels of IL-6 in the brain were similar among all groups at 24 h.

Fig. 3 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced cytokine/chemokine expres-▸sion in the spleen of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice (*n*=5–8/group) were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS + β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS+β-FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of spleen. Levels of CXCL10 (**a**), CCL2 (**b**), and IL-6 (**c**) in whole spleen homogenates were measured by ELISA and normalized to total protein (pg/mg protein). Data (mean \pm SEM) are presented as percent control. Two-way ANOVA (treatment×time) revealed a signifcant efect of both treatment and time on CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 $(p < 0.0001$ in all instances). There was a significant interaction between treatment and time for all three infammatory factors (*p*<0.0001). Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *significantly different $(p < 0.05)$ from the control group at the same time point

In the spleen, CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 expression were significantly affected by treatment $(F_{3,40} = 43.20, p < 0.0001;$ $F_{3,39} = 32.68$, $p < 0.0001$; $F_{3,40} = 31.56$, $p < 0.0001$) and time ($F_{1,40}$ =335.2, *p* < 0.0001; $F_{1,39}$ =132.4, *p* < 0.0001; $F_{1,40}$ =301.4, p < 0.0001) and there was a significant interaction ($F_{3,40}$ = 36.36, $p < 0.0001$; $F_{3,39}$ = 17, $p < 0.0001$; $F_{3,40} = 33.11$, $p < 0.0001$) between these main effects (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). Pairwise analyses indicated increased CXCL10, CCL2 and IL-6 at 8 h in the LPS group compared to controls. Similarly, CXCL10, CCL2, and IL6 levels in the spleen were signifcantly elevated in the spleen of both the LPS + β -FNA and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups compared to controls. At the 24 h time point, only levels of CCL2 were signifcantly increased in the LPS group compared to the control group. Spleen CCL2 levels in the LPS + β -FNA and LPS + β-FNA 4 h treated mice were significantly decreased compared to the LPS group approached the level and were similar to levels in the control group.

The levels of CXCL10 and CCL2 in the plasma were significantly affected by both treatment $(F_{3,40} = 13.91)$ $p < 0.0001$; $F_{3,38} = 4.964$ $p < 0.01$) and time ($F_{1,40} = 10.27$, $p < 0.003$; $F_{1,38} = 25.85$, $p < 0.001$) and there were significant interactions $(F_{3,40} = 7.020, p < 0.001; F_{3,38} = 4.985,$ $p < 0.001$) between these main effects (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)). Pairwise analyses of 8 h data revealed that compared to controls, CXCL10 levels in the plasma were only signifcantly elevated in the LPS + β -FNA group. Plasma CCL2 levels at 8 h were significantly increased in the LPS and LPS + β -FNA groups compared to the control group; while seemingly increased, CCL2 levels in the LPS + β-FNA 4 h mice were not statistically diferent from control levels (*p*=0.0519). Plasma CXCL10 levels were signifcantly increased at 24 h in both the LPS and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups compared to controls, whereas CXCL10 levels in the LPS + β -FNA group were statistically diferent from the LPS group. Plasma CCL2 levels at 24 h were not signifcantly diferent among the treatment groups. Due to limited sample volume, IL-6 levels were not assessed in the plasma.

Efects of β‑FNA on LPS‑induced NFκB‑p65, p38 MAPK, and GFAP expression in brain and spleen

The levels of NFκB-p65 and p38 MAPK in the brain were significantly affected by treatment $(F_{3,40} = 4.970, p < 0.01;$

Fig. 4 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced chemokine expression in the plasma of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice $(n=5-8/group)$ were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS + β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS+β-FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of plasma. Levels of CXCL10 (**a**), and CCL2 (**b**) in the plasma were measured by ELISA and normalized to total protein (pg/mg protein). Data (mean±SEM) are presented as percent control. Two-way ANOVA $(treatment \times time) revealed a significant effect of treatment on$ CXCL10 ($p < 0.0001$) and CCL2 ($p < 0.01$) as well as a significant effect of time on these factors $(p < 0.003)$. There was a significant interaction between treatment and time for both CXCL10 and CCL2 $(p<0.001)$. Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *significantly different $(p < 0.05)$ from the control group at the same time point

 $F_{3,40} = 3.115$, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. [5\)](#page-5-1). The expression of p38 MAPK in the brain was also significantly impacted by time $(F_{1,40} = 4.124, p < 0.05)$. There were no significant interactions between treatment and time on expression of

Brain $-65 kDa$ $p65$ -44 $\mathbf A$ $p65$ 65 kDa 55 kDa 55 kDa β -tu 200 Control 150 LPS $\frac{p65}{p4}$ -tubulin $\frac{m}{p4}$ $LPS + \beta$ -FNA 100 $LPS + \beta$ -FNA 4h 50 O 8_h 24_h **Spleen** B \sim 65 kDa p65 p65 $65 kDa$ \rightarrow 55 kDa β -tub \bullet 55 kDa β -tub 250 200 $p65/β$ -tubulin
 $(^{9}/_6 \text{ control})$ 150 100 50 8h 24 h

Fig. 5 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced NFκB-p65 expression in the brain and spleen of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice (*n*=5–8/group) were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS+β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS+β-FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of brain and spleen. Levels of NFκB-p65 and beta-tubulin in the brain (**a**) and spleen (**b**) were determined by western blot analysis. NFκB-p65 levels are expressed relative to beta-tubulin levels and are reported as mean ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA (treatment × time) revealed a significant $(p<0.01)$ effect of treatment on NF_KB-p65 in the brain; but no efect of time; and no signifcant interaction of treatment and time. Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *significantly different $(p < 0.05)$ from the control group at the same time point

these signaling factors in the brain. Pairwise analyses determined that NFκB-p65 levels in the brain were significantly increased in the LPS group at 8 and 24 h relative to controls. At both time points, brain NFκB-p65 levels in the LPS + β -FNA and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups were similar to control with $LPS + \beta$ -FNA 4 h being significant different from the LPS group. The levels of p38 MAPK in the brain were increased significantly at 8 h in the LPS group compared to controls; whereas expression in the LPS + β -FNA 4 h group was not signifcantly diferent from the control group. At 24 h, p38 MAPK expression in the brain was similar among all four groups. The expression of GFAP in the brain was not signifcantly afected by either treatment $(F_{3,40}=1.177, p=0.3305)$ or time $(F_{1,40}=1.941 p=0.1713)$ (Fig. [6\)](#page-6-0).

The levels of NFκB-p65 and p38 MAPK in the spleen were significantly affected by treatment $(F_{3,40} = 3.626,$ $p < 0.05$; $F_{3,40} = 6.525$, $p < 0.01$), but not by time $(F_{1,40} = 0.01294, p = 0.91; F_{1,40} = 0.5655, p = 0.4565)$ (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1). Mice administered LPS had signifcantly greater levels of NFκB-p65 in the spleen at 8 and 24 h compared to control mice. The levels of NFκB-p65 in both the LPS + β -FNA and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups were similar to controls at 8 and 24 h post-LPS. At 8 h, p38 MAPK levels in the LPS group were signifcantly elevated compared to the control mice, whereas the levels of p38 MAPK in the LPS + β -FNA and LPS + β -FNA 4 h groups were significantly diferent from levels in the LPS group. At 24 h, p38 MAPK expression in the spleen was similar among all four groups.

Discussion

Neurological conditions, including central nervous system infections, neurodegenerative diseases, and psychiatric disorders involve neuroinfammation (Da Ré et al. [2020](#page-8-3); He et al. [2018;](#page-9-13) Na et al. [2021](#page-9-14); Glass et al. [2010;](#page-9-15) Khansari and

Fig. 6 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced GFAP expression in the brain of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice (*n*=5–8/group) were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/kg; i.p.; LPS + β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS (LPS+β-FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of brain. Levels of GFAP and beta-tubulin in the brain were determined by western blot analysis. Levels of GFAP are expressed relative to beta-tubulin levels and are reported as $mean \pm SEM$. Two-way ANOVA (treat $ment \times time$) did not reveal any significant main effects or interactions

Fig. 7 Efects of β-FNA on LPS-induced p38 MAPK expression in the brain and spleen of male C57BL/6J mice. Mice (*n*=5–8/ group) were injected (i.p.) with saline (control), LPS (0.83 mg/ kg), LPS followed immediately by β-FNA treatment (50 mg/ kg; i.p.; LPS+β-FNA), or LPS followed by β-FNA 4 h post-LPS $(LPS + \beta$ -FNA 4 h). At 24 h post-LPS, mice were terminated followed by collection of brain and spleen. Levels of p38 MAPK and beta-tubulin in the brain (**a**) and spleen (**b**) were determined by western blot analysis. Levels of p38 MAPK are expressed relative to betatubulin levels and are reported as mean±SEM. Two-way ANOVA $(treatment \times time)$ revealed a significant effect of both treatment $(p<0.05)$ and time $(p<0.05)$ on p38 MAPK in the brain; but no signifcant interaction of these main efects. Pairwise comparisons were asssed using a Fisher's LSD test; *significantly different $(p<0.05)$ from the control group at the same time point

Sperlagh [2012;](#page-9-16) Kolb et al. [1999](#page-9-17); Whitton [2010](#page-9-18); Ziebell and Morganti-Kossmann [2010\)](#page-9-19). Evidence of neuroinfammation in these conditions includes elevated expression of proinfammatory cytokines and chemokines (Bai et al. [2015](#page-8-2); Blank et al. [2016;](#page-8-4) Ziebell and Morganti-Kossmann [2010](#page-9-19)). Peripheral (i.p.) LPS administration in mice is an established preclinical model of neuroinfammation in which proinfammatory cytokines/chemokines are elevated in the brain. For instance, we demonstrated that CXCL10 and CCL2 levels in the brain correlated with LPS-induced behavioral deficits

(Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0)). We and others have used this model to investigate the therapeutic potential of pharmacologic agents (Blank et al. [2016;](#page-8-4) Burton et al. [2011](#page-8-5); Davis et al. [2015,](#page-9-8) [2017;](#page-9-0) Le Thuc et al. [2016\)](#page-9-20). Indeed, we previously determined that LPS-induced CXCL10 and CCL2 expression in the brain of C57BL/6J mice was prevented by pretreatment with β-FNA (Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0)). We now expand on these fndings and show that β-FNA administration is also protective when administered after LPS injection.

Reduced locomotor activity and wall-hugging in the OFT are both reliable indicators of sickness behavior; and as expected, LPS-induced sickness behavior in both measures was more pronounced at 8 h than at 24 h post-LPS. Sickness behavior following a single LPS injection (i.p.) at 0.83 mg/kg often resolves by 24 h, however, in this study, decreased locomotor activity indicated that some level of sickness behavior remained at 24 h. Treatment with β-FNA immediately after the LPS injection prevented the sickness behavior at 24 h, whereas a 4 h delay in β-FNA treatment was not protective. The sickness behavior observed at 8 h was not prevented by β-FNA treatment regardless of the timing of administration, suggesting this dose of β-FNA is not sufficient to prevent this more severe LPS-induced sickness. It will be important in future studies to asses other behavior deficits to more fully appreciate the protective effects of β-FNA.

IL-6, CXCL10, and CCL2 are among the proinfammatory cytokines/chemokines that are increased in the CNS following brain injury, infection and in mood/behavior disorders (Burton et al. [2011;](#page-8-5) Kwon and Koh [2020](#page-9-21); Lasselin et al. [2016;](#page-9-22) Patki et al. [2013](#page-9-23); Ziebell and Morganti-Kossmann [2010](#page-9-19)) and are integral to neuropathogenesis (Stuart and Baune [2014;](#page-9-24) Ziebell and Morganti-Kossmann [2010](#page-9-19)). Therefore, we are particularly interested in the efects of β-FNA on the expression of these cytokines/chemokines in the brain in this pre-clinical model of LPS-induced neuroinfammation. LPS-induced expression of IL-6, CXCL10, and CCL2 in the brain was more pronounced at 8 h compared to 24 h post injection which is consistent with the relative severity of sickness behavior observed at these two time points. β-FNA failed to inhibit LPS-induced cytokine/ chemokine expression in the brain at this early time point, which is consistent with the lack of an effect on sickness behavior at 8 h. The anti-inflammatory effects of $β$ -FNA were most pronounced when administered immediately following the LPS injection, as opposed to delaying treatment by 4 h. Although, β-FNA treatment 4 h post-LPS was also sufficient to inhibit LPS-induced CCL2 expression in the brain. It remains unclear why CCL2, but not CXCL10, is sensitive to delayed β-FNA but it may be related to differences in transcription/translational control of these two chemokines, yet further investigation is needed. It is also interesting to note that IL-6 is not elevated at 24 h and likely plays a minimal role in the sickness behavior.

Thus far these findings suggest that $β$ -FNA is likely inhibiting a relatively early event in the LPS-induced infammatory pathway. Indeed, β-FNA inhibited LPS-induced NFκB-p65 expression in the brain and spleen, early on at 8 h and at 24 h post-LPS. Inhibition of p38 MAPK expression in both the brain and spleen by β-FNA at 8 h, but not 24 h post-LPS provides additional evidence that infammatory signaling is being disrupted.

The differential effects of β -FNA among tissues and dosing regimen is interesting. As in our previous report, β-FNA efects on LPS-induced chemokine expression are generally more pronounced in the brain than in the periphery. However, in our previous study, peripheral tissue was limited to plasma, and in the current study we also assessed levels in the spleen (Davis et al. [2017\)](#page-9-0). In the spleen, only LPS-induced CCL2 expression (not CXCL10 or IL-6) was sensitive to the inhibitory effects of β -FNA and only when treatment occurred immediately after LPS administration. Also, in the present study LPS-induced plasma CXCL10 levels, but not CCL2 levels, were decreased by immediate β-FNA (and not by β-FNA at 4 h post LPS). Altogether, it appears that treatment with β-FNA immediately after LPS administration is more efective than treatment prior to LPS or delayed several hours. However, one limitation to this conclusion is the fact that we did not specifically test β -FNA pre-treatment versus post-LPS treatment in the same study. It will be interesting in future studies to investigate additional β-FNA doses and treatment regimens. It will also be critical to determine the effects of $β$ -FNA on neuroimmune signaling in specifc brain regions (i.e., hippocampus and frontal cortex).

We expected that LPS would activate astroglia, as indicated by increased GFAP expression (Ifuku et al. [2012;](#page-9-25) Shah et al. [2020](#page-9-26); Wang et al. [2019\)](#page-9-27). However, while there was an apparent increase in GFAP expression 24 h following LPS administration (but not in β-FNA-treated mice), the increase did not reach the level of statistical significance $(p=0.08)$. One explanation for the modest LPS-induced increase in GFAP expression is that whole brain tissue was assessed rather than hippocampus or cortex as reported by others (Shah et al. [2020](#page-9-26); Wang et al. [2019](#page-9-27)). It will be crucial in future studies to determine the extent to which β-FNA differentially afects LPS-induced astrocyte activation across select brain regions.

This study was not designed to specifcally assess mechanism of action for β-FNA-mediated inhibition of infammatory signaling. However, we have substantial information from in vitro studies in human astrocytes that suggest β-FNA inhibits NFκB-p65 and p38 MAPK signaling pathways resulting in decreased chemokine expression (Davis et al. [2007](#page-9-5), [2013,](#page-9-6) [2015](#page-9-8)). β-FNA seems to be impacting the early

signaling events at 8 h whereas the effects on secreted factors (i.e., cytokines/chemokines) were observed at a later time-point when expression typically peaks. Importantly, investigations are ongoing to fully elucidate the molecular mechanism of action for the anti-infammatory efects.

Overall, it is important to note that the feld's understanding of β-FNA's anti-infammatory and neuroprotective efects in vivo is still very limited, and in a relatively early stage of discovery. To our knowledge, we were the frst to report the anti-infammatory efects of β-FNA (Davis et al. [2007\)](#page-9-5). This initial report and several others to follow all used an in vitro approach to demonstrate the anti-infammatory effects of β-FNA in human astroglial cells. We later demonstrated for the first time that β -FNA is also anti-inflammatory in mice (Davis et al. [2015](#page-9-8)). Compared to the current study, our initial in vivo investigation utilized a relatively high dose of LPS (5 mg/kg; i.p.), a relatively lower dose of β-FNA (28 mg/kg; i.p.) and assessed infammatory and behavioral outcomes at 6 h post-treatment (Davis et al. [2015\)](#page-9-8). We found that β-FNA inhibited LPS-induced CXCL10 expression in the brain (but not in the plasma) and failed to prevent sickness-like behavior. Thereafter, we expanded upon these studies and assessed the effects of $β$ -FNA (12.5–50 mg/kg; i.p.) on LPS (0.83 mg/kg, i.p.)-induced infammation and sickness-like behavior at 24 h post-treatment (Davis et al. [2017](#page-9-0)). We found that β-FNA inhibited LPS-induced CXCL10 and CCL2 expression in the brain as well as sickness-like behavior when administered concurrently with LPS. To our knowledge, the only other report on the anti-infammatory and neuroprotective effects of β -FNA in vivo is by Wu et al. [\(2020](#page-9-28)) in which they determined that β-FNA (intraventricular infusion) protects against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. Interestingly, β-FNA reduced expression of several infammatory factors that were induced in this preclinical stroke model (Wu et al. [2020](#page-9-28)).

The current findings are critical in our efforts to advance β-FNA as potential therapeutic option for neuroinfammatory conditions. This advancement comes with our focus on the importance of (1) the timing of β-FNA administration, (2) the temporal effects of $β$ -FNA, (3) expanded panel of infammatory factors (IL-6, NF-κB, p38 MAPK), and glial activation in vivo.

Conclusion

In summary, we have advanced this line of investigation by further demonstrating the anti-infammatory and neuroprotective efects of β-FNA in a pre-clinical model of LPS-induced neuroinfammation. β-FNA treatment reduces LPS-induced sickness behavior and infammatory signaling. The beneficial effects of β -FNA are greatest when treatment occurs immediately after LPS administration compared to treatment 4 h post-LPS, and the efects seem to be more pronounced in the brain compared to spleen and plasma. These fndings are expected to advance therapeutic strategies to brain disorders involving neuroinfammation.

Author contributions SM: performed experiments and assays, analyzed data, prepared manuscript. KM: performed experiments and assays, interpreted data, edited manuscript. DB: performed experiments and assays, interpreted data, edited manuscript. JTC: conceptualization and design of study, assisted with statistical analyses, interpreted data. RLD: conceptualization and design of study, assisted with statistical analyses, interpreted data, troubleshooted assays, edited manuscript.

Funding This work was supported in part by Oklahoma Health Research Program (Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology, HR 18-033) (RLD), the Office of the Vice President of Research at Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences (RLD), and Graduate and Professional Student Association (GPSGA) Research Materials Awards (SM).

Data availability Data will be made available for review as needed upon legitimate request.

Declarations

Conflict of interests The authors have no relevant fnancial or no-fnancial interests to disclose.

Ethical approval Animal manipulations and handling processes for all experiments were approved by the OSU-CHS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, protocol # 2020-1236.

References

- Bai YM, Su TP, Li CT, Tsai SJ, Chen MH, Tu PC, Chiou WF (2015) Comparison of pro-infammatory cytokines among patients with bipolar disorder and unipolar depression and normal controls. Bipolar Disord 17:269–277
- Betteridge JD et al (2013) Infammatory bowel disease prevalence by age, gender, race, and geographic location in the US military health care population. Infamm Bowel Dis 19(7):1421–1427
- Blank T, Detje CN, Spieß A, Hagemeyer N, Brendecke SM, Wolfart J, Staszewski O, Zöller T, Papageorgiou I, Schneider J, Paricio-Montesinos R, Eisel UL, Manahan-Vaughan D, Jansen S, Lienenklaus S, Lu B, Imai Y, Müller M, Goelz SE, Baker DP, Schwaninger M, Kann O, Heikenwalder M, Kalinke U, Prinz M (2016) Brain endothelial- and epithelial-specifc interferon receptor chain 1 drives virus-induced sickness behavior and cognitive impairment. Immunity 44:901–912
- Burton MD, Sparkman NL, Johnson RW (2011) Inhibition of interleukin-6 trans-signaling in the brain facilitates recovery from lipopolysaccharide-induced sickness behavior. J Neuroinfamm 8:54
- Da Ré C, Souza JM, Fróes F, Taday J, Dos Santos JP, Rodrigues L, Sesterheim P, Gonçalves CA, Leite MC (2020) Neuroinfammation induced by lipopolysaccharide leads to memory impairment and alterations in hippocampal leptin signaling. Behav Brain Res 379:112360
- Dantzer R (2001) Cytokine-induced sickness behavior: mechanisms and implications. Ann N Y Acad Sci 933:222–234
- Davis RL, Dertien J, Syapin PJ (2002) Ethanol-induced modulation of inducible nitric-oxide synthase activity in human A172 astrocytoma cells. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 26:1404–1411
- Davis RL, Buck DJ, Safarian N, Stevens CW (2007) The opioid antagonist, beta-funaltrexamine, inhibits chemokine expression in human astroglial cells. J Neuroimmunol 186:141–149
- Davis RL, Buck DJ, Safarian N, Mohan S, DeSilva U, Fernando SC, Stevens CW (2008) Beta-funaltrexamine inhibits inducible nitricoxide synthase expression in human astroglial cells. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 3:150–153
- Davis RL, Das S, Buck DJ, Stevens CW (2013) β-funaltrexamine inhibits chemokine (CXCL10) expression in normal human astrocytes. Neurochem Int 62:478–485
- Davis RL, Das S, Thomas Curtis J, Stevens CW (2015) The opioid antagonist, β-funaltrexamine, inhibits NF-κB signaling and chemokine expression in human astrocytes and in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 762:193–201
- Davis RL, Stevens CW, Thomas Curtis J (2017) The opioid antagonist, beta-funaltrexamine, inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced neuroinfammation and reduces sickness behavior in mice. Physiol Behav 173:52–60
- Davis RL, McCracken K, Buck DJ (2020) β-funaltrexamine diferentially modulates chemokine and cytokine expression in normal human astrocytes and C20 human microglial cells. Neuroimmunol Neuroinfamm 7:300–310
- Glass CK, Saijo K, Winner B, Marchetto MC, Gage FH (2010) Mechanisms underlying inflammation in neurodegeneration. Cell 140:918–934
- He P, Yan S, Zheng J, Gao Y, Zhang S, Liu Z, Liu X, Xiao C (2018) Eriodictyol attenuates LPS-induced neuroinfammation, amyloidogenesis, and cognitive impairments via the inhibition of NF-κB in male C57BL/6J mice and BV2 microglial cells. J Agric Food Chem 66:10205–10214
- Ifuku M, Katafuchi T, Mawatari S, Noda M, Miake K, Sugiyama M, Fujino T (2012) Anti-infammatory/anti-amyloidogenic efects of plasmalogens in lipopolysaccharide-induced neuroinfammation in adult mice. J Neuroinfamm 9:197
- Khansari PS, Sperlagh B (2012) Infammation in neurological and psychiatric diseases. Infammopharmacology 20:103–107
- Kolb SA, Sporer B, Lahrtz F, Koedel U, Pfister HW, Fontana A (1999) Identifcation of a T cell chemotactic factor in the cerebrospinal fuid of HIV-1-infected individuals as interferon-gamma inducible protein 10. J Neuroimmunol 93:172–181
- Kwon HS, Koh SH (2020) Neuroinfammation in neurodegenerative disorders: the roles of microglia and astrocytes. Transl Neurodegener 9:42
- Lasselin J, Elsenbruch S, Lekander M, Axelsson J, Karshikoff B, Grigoleit JS, Engler H, Schedlowski M, Benson S (2016) Mood disturbance during experimental endotoxemia: predictors of state anxiety as a psychological component of sickness behavior. Brain Behav Immun 57:30–37
- Le Thuc O, Cansell C, Bourourou M, Denis RG, Stobbe K, Devaux N, Guyon A, Cazareth J, Heurteaux C, Rostène W, Luquet S, Blondeau N, Nahon JL, Rovère C (2016) Central CCL2 signaling onto MCH neurons mediates metabolic and behavioral adaptation to infammation. EMBO Rep 17:1738–1752
- Na S, Duan X, Wang R, Fan Y, Xue K, Tian S, Yang Z, Li K, Yue J (2021) Chronic neuroinfammation induced by lipopolysaccharide

injection into the third ventricle induces behavioral changes. J Mol Neurosci 71:1306–1319

- Patki G, Solanki N, Atrooz F, Allam F, Salim S (2013) Depression, anxiety-like behavior and memory impairment are associated with increased oxidative stress and infammation in a rat model of social stress. Brain Res 1539:73–86
- Ramachandra V, Kang F, Kim C, Nova AS, Bajaj A, Hall FS, Uhl GR, Gonzales RA (2011) The μ opioid receptor is not involved in ethanol-stimulated dopamine release in the ventral striatum of C57BL/6J mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 35:929–938
- Salazar A, Gonzalez-Rivera BL, Redus L, Parrott JM, O'Connor JC (2012) Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase mediates anhedonia and anxiety-like behaviors caused by peripheral lipopolysaccharide immune challenge. Horm Behav 62:202–209
- Shah MA, Park DJ, Kang JB, Kim MO, Koh PO (2020) Baicalin alleviates lipopolysaccharide-induced neuroglial activation and infammatory factors activation in hippocampus of adult mice. Lab Anim Res 36:32
- Stuart MJ, Baune BT (2014) Chemokines and chemokine receptors in mood disorders, schizophrenia, and cognitive impairment: a systematic review of biomarker studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 42:93–115
- Swiergiel AH, Dunn AJ (2007) Effects of interleukin-1beta and lipopolysaccharide on behavior of mice in the elevated plus-maze and open feld tests. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 86:651–659
- Thomas Curtis J, Chen Y, Buck DJ, Davis RL (2011) Chronic inorganic mercury exposure induces sex-specifc changes in central TNFα expression: importance in autism? Neurosci Lett 504:40–44
- Uguccioni M et al (1999) Increased expression of IP-10, IL-8, MCP-1, and MCP-3 in ulcerative colitis. Am J Pathol 155(2):331–336
- Wang Y, Ni J, Zhai L, Gao C, Xie L, Zhao L, Yin X (2019) Inhibition of activated astrocyte ameliorates lipopolysaccharide-induced depressive-like behaviors. J Afect Disord 242:52–59
- Wei Y et al (2022) Brain-spleen axis in health and diseases: a review and future perspective. Brain Res Bull 182:130–140
- Whitton PS (2010) Neuroinfammation and the prospects for antiinfammatory treatment of Parkinson's disease. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 11:788–794
- Wu CC, Chang CY, Shih KC, Hung CJ, Wang YY, Lin SY, Chen WY, Kuan YH, Liao SL, Wang WY, Chen CJ (2020) β-Funaltrexamine displayed anti-infammatory and neuroprotective efects in cells and rat model of stroke. Int J Mol Sci 21:3866
- Ziebell JM, Morganti-Kossmann MC (2010) Involvement of pro- and anti-infammatory cytokines and chemokines in the pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury. Neurotherapeutics 7:22–30

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.