RESEARCH



Generation of Multi-Photon Catalyzed Coherent States with Conditional Measurement on a Tunable Beam Splitter

Gang Ren¹ · Chun-zao Zhang¹

Received: 18 July 2023 / Accepted: 20 January 2024 / Published online: 6 February 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

We study a realistic scheme for generating novel photon-added coherent states based on conditional measurements performed on the beam splitter (BS) with adjustable transmittance. The output state is a Laguerre polynomial excited coherent state if a coherent state and an n-photon Fock state are present in two input ports and n-photons are detected by the photon detector in the other output port. The effects of the transmittance and the photon number of the input Fock state on the output quantum state of the BS are further analyzed. We prove that high transmittance BS and a small amount of photons injection can make the output quantum state with high fidelity and high detection success probability. However, it is found that low transmittance of BS is more conducive to the preparation of non-Gaussian quantum states via analysis of Q-function, photon-number distribution, sub-Poissonian distribution, antibunching effect, and the negativity of the Wigner function. An interesting finding is that the transmittance of BS needs to be adjusted appropriately to obtain the output state with a high squeezing effect via the BS.

Keywords Beam splitter \cdot Coherent state \cdot Condition measurement \cdot Nonclassical quantum state \cdot Squeezed state

1 Introduction

Nonclassical quantum states (NQSs) play a central role in quantum information and quantum optics, theoretically and experimentally [1–3]. Recent research has shown that NQSs display nonclassical properties such as squeezing, antibunching, sub-Poissonian, and negative values of the Wigner function [4, 5]. Thus, the study of NQSs has been a fundamental topic in modern quantum physics due to their applications in improving the fidelity of quantum teleportation [6], the performance of the quantum key distribution, and quantum metrology [7, 8]. NQSs are generally generated by non-Gaussian operations such as photon addition, subtraction, and various combinations [9–11].

PACS: 42.50.DV.

Gang Ren renfeiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

¹ School of Electronic Engineering, Huainan Normal University, Huainan 232001, Anhui, China

These non-Gaussian quantum manipulations can be achieved with optical instruments, such as Mach–Zehnder interferometer [12], optical Kerr medium [13], and BS [14]. The measurement-based protocols are the most famous among all these generation schemes [15, 16]. Photon-adding operations are realized via conditional measurement on a beam splitter [17, 18]. Quantum scissors devices are proposed to generate the superposition of single-photon and vacuum states by truncating a coherent state [19, 20]. BS is a significant linear device used to prepare NQSs and simulate the dissipative environment of quantum states [21]. A class of NQSs is realized using two asymmetric BSs and conditional measurement of a single photon [22].

In this paper, we study the problem of the resulting state in conditional measurement on a tunable beam splitter. As far as we know, most of the previous literature on this aspect is analyzed under approximate conditions or zero or single photon measurement [20, 23]. The highlight of our paper is the study of the nonclassical properties of the output quantum states in the case of arbitrary transmission coefficients and arbitrary photons. Although it may seem simple, this state's explicit analysis and numerical simulation are still highly challenging. Several experimental proposals have been made to generate a mode in an arbitrary photon-number state [24, 25]. Thus, the research results of this paper have some theoretical significance for preparing new NQSs in experiments.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we present the form of the quantum operator for the BS and obtain the explicit expression of the output quantum state when the input quantum state is the coherent and the Fock state. Section 3 is devoted to studying the probability of detecting the output quantum state and the fidelity of the input and output states. Section 4 studies the statistical properties of the output quantum state such as the Q-function, PND, Mandel parameter, second-order correlation function, and Wigner function. The quadrature squeezing property of BS for the input quantum state is obtained in Sect. 5. The last section discusses our main results of the numerical solution and analytic calculations.

2 Quantum Operator Theory for the BS

A BS with arbitrary transmittance parameters consists of two input and two output ports (Fig. 1). Here, we denote photon annihilation operators a and b for the input modes and a' and b' for the output quantum state. The relationship between the output quantum state and the input quantum state is expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'\\b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} & \sqrt{R}\\ -\sqrt{R} & \sqrt{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a\\b \end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

where R, T are the reflectance and transmission of the BS, satisfying the relationship R + T = 1 due to the conservation of photon number.

The effect of BS on the input quantum state is given by the quantum operator B as

$$|\psi\rangle_{out} = B|\psi\rangle_{in}.$$
(2)

Noticing the eigenstate of a, b is the coherent state $|z_a\rangle, |z_b\rangle$ and applying $B^{\dagger}|z_a\rangle \otimes |z_b\rangle$ on Eq. (1), one can obtain



$$B^{\dagger} \begin{vmatrix} z_a \\ z_b \end{vmatrix} \rangle = \begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{T} & -\sqrt{R} \\ \sqrt{R} & \sqrt{T} \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_a \\ z_b \end{pmatrix} \rangle.$$
(3)

Using the super-completeness relationship of the coherent state, B^{\dagger} is given as

$$B^{\dagger} = \int \frac{d^2 z_a d^2 z_b}{\pi^2} \left| \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} & -\sqrt{R} \\ \sqrt{R} & \sqrt{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_a \\ z_b \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} z_a \\ z_b \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$
(4)

The direct product of a two-mode coherent state is

$$|z_a\rangle \otimes |z_b\rangle \equiv \left| \begin{pmatrix} z_a \\ z_b \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(|z_a|^2 + |z_b|^2 \right) + z_a a^{\dagger} + z_b b^{\dagger} \right] |00\rangle.$$
(5)

According to the techniques of integration within an ordered product of operators (IWOP) [26, 27], the normally ordered form of the two-mode vacuum projection operator is

$$|00\rangle\langle 00| =: \exp(-a^{\dagger}a - b^{\dagger}b) : .$$
(6)

Substituting the concrete form of the coherent state in Eq. (5) into Eq. (4) and using Eq. (6), after some direct mathematical integrations, the normal ordering form of B^{\dagger} is obtained as

$$B^{\dagger} = : \exp\left[\left(\sqrt{T} - 1\right)\left(a^{\dagger}a + b^{\dagger}b\right) + \sqrt{R}\left(ab^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}b\right)\right] : .$$
⁽⁷⁾

Taking the Hermitian conjugate of Eq. (7), one has

🖄 Springer

$$B =: \exp\left[\left(\sqrt{T} - 1\right)\left(a^{\dagger}a + b^{\dagger}b\right) + \sqrt{R}\left(a^{\dagger}b - ab^{\dagger}\right)\right]: .$$
(8)

Under the condition measurement for b-mode input state, Eq. (2) can be reduced to

$$\left|\psi_{a}\right\rangle_{out} = P_{d}^{-1/2} \left\langle m|B|n\right\rangle \left|\psi_{a}\right\rangle_{in},\tag{9}$$

where P_d denotes the success probability of detecting *m* registered photons in the outport $|n\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$ represent the input and output Fock states, respectively.

In terms of the coherent representation, the Fock state can be equivalently expressed as [28]

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left. \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi^n} |\xi\rangle \right|_{\xi=0},\tag{10}$$

where $|\xi\rangle \equiv \exp(\xi b^{\dagger})|0\rangle$ is an unnormalized coherent state and satisfies the eigenequation

$$b|\xi\rangle = \xi|\xi\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Substituting the normal ordering form of the BS operator in Eq. (8) into Eq. (9) and using Eqs. (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Psi_{a}\rangle_{out} \\ &= P_{d}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} : exp\Big[\Big(\sqrt{T}-1\Big)a^{\dagger}a\Big] \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial\xi^{n}\partial\xi^{*m}} exp\Big(\sqrt{T}\xi\xi^{*} + \sqrt{R}a^{\dagger}\xi - \sqrt{R}a\xi^{*}\Big)\Big|_{\xi=\xi^{*}=0} : |\Psi_{a}\rangle_{in} \\ &= P_{d}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \Big(i\sqrt{T}\Big)^{(m+n)/2} : exp\Big[\Big(\sqrt{T}-1\Big)a^{\dagger}a\Big] H_{m,n}\Big(-\sqrt{\frac{R}{T}}ia^{\dagger}, \sqrt{\frac{R}{T}}ia\Big) : |\Psi_{a}\rangle_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(12)$$

where we have used the generating function formula of two-variable Hermite polynomials [29]

$$\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial \tau^m \partial v^n} \exp(-A\tau v + B\tau + Cv) \bigg|_{\tau=\nu=0} = \left(\sqrt{A}\right)^{m+n} H_{m,n}\left(\frac{B}{\sqrt{A}}, \frac{C}{\sqrt{A}}\right).$$
(13)

When the input state is prepared in a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$, the output state in Eq. (12) is easily obtained with the result

$$|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle_{out} = P_d^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \left(i\sqrt{T}\right)^{(m+n)/2} \exp\left[\left(\sqrt{T}-1\right)a^{\dagger}\alpha\right] H_{m,n}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{R}{T}}ia^{\dagger},\sqrt{\frac{R}{T}}i\alpha\right) |\alpha\rangle.$$
(14)

In particular, when m = n, using the relationship between two-variable Hermite polynomial and Laguerre polynomial

$$H_{n,n}(x,y) = (-1)^n n! L_n(xy),$$
(15)

Equation (14) is reduce to

$$|\psi_{\alpha,n}\rangle_{out} = P_d^{-1/2} T^n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2 + \sqrt{T}a^{\dagger}\alpha\right) L_n \left(RT^{-1}\alpha a^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle, \tag{16}$$

which is just the Laguerre-like polynomial photon excited state.

🖄 Springer

If no photons are recorded in the second output port of the BS, i.e., n = 0 and the input state $|\alpha\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$, the output channel is prepared in a state

$$\left|\psi_{\alpha,0}\right\rangle_{out} = P_d^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{T-1}{2}|\alpha|^2\right) \left|\sqrt{T}\alpha\right\rangle,\tag{17}$$

which is identified as amplitude attenuation of the coherent state due to T < 1. Obviously, when T = 1, the output quantum state is still the input coherent state as expected. For convenience, we restrict attention to the output state in Eq. (16) with an arbitrary number of probing photons *n* and the transmittance of BS.

3 Influence of BS and Condition Measurement

BS redirects the photons in the input quantum state in an indistinguishable manner to the output port and measures one mode via the photon detector. From the view of quantum mechanics, this kind of detection has a certain probability of success. Furthermore, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and fidelity between the output and input state are also related to the parameters of the BS and the number of detected photons. Thus, we mainly discuss the detection probability of the output state and the fidelity between the output state and the input coherent state.

3.1 Detection Probability of the Output State

The detection probability of the output state is necessary for further discussing its statistical and squeezing properties [3, 30]. According to the completeness of the output quantum state in Eq. (16), the specific expression of the detection probability of the output state can be directly calculated as (see Appendix A)

$$P_{d} = T^{n}(n!)^{-2} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \left. \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^{n} \partial t^{\prime n}} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t^{\prime})^{-1} \exp(P_{1}P_{2}|\alpha|^{2}) \right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0},$$
(18)

where

$$P_1 = \frac{-Rt}{(1-t)T} + \sqrt{T}, \ P_2 = \frac{-Rt'}{(1-t')T} + \sqrt{T}.$$
(19)

Especially for a given total transmission coefficient T = 1, the detection probability of the output states has unity success probability, i.e., $P_d = 1$. Figure 2 shows the results for P_d using Eq. (18) as the function of transmission coefficient T for the different number of detected photons with $\alpha = 1 + 2i$. From Fig. 1, we find that P_d shows a monotonic increasing behavior with the increasing of T when n = 0. For the same high transmittance 0.9 < T < 1, P_d decreases with the increase in the number of detected photons n. Except $P_d = 1$, the value T corresponding to the peak of detection success probability decreases with the increase n in 0 < T < 0.8. When the transmittance of BS is small 0 < T < 0.3, the probability of successful detection P_d is high when the number of photons in the photon detector is large. Therefore, for low transmittance BS, increasing the number of input photons can increase the probability of successful detection, but for high transmittance BS, the opposite is true.



Fig. 2 Plot of the success probability P_d of getting the output state $|\psi_{\alpha,n}\rangle_{out}$ as a function of *T* with different values of n = 0, 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha = 1 + 2i$

3.2 Fidelity Between the Output State and the Input Coherent State

In this subsection, under the BS with condition measurement, we introduce the fidelity between the input state $|\alpha\rangle$ and the output state $|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle_{out}$ to assess the influence of the BS, which is defined as [31]

$$F = \left| \left\langle \alpha \left| \psi_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{out} \right|^2.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (20), the fidelity can be obtained as

$$F = P_d^{-1} T^{2n} \left| \exp\left[\left(\sqrt{T} - 1 \right) |\alpha|^2 \right] L_n \left(R T^{-1} |\alpha|^2 \right) \right|^2.$$
(21)

In the case of BS complete transmission T = 1, the fidelity is F = 1. In addition, if the BS transmittance is zero, then the quantum fidelity is also zero, as expected. Figure 3 shows the nature of the variation as a function of with for. It is clear that the fidelity of quantum states is the highest under zero photon detection, and the fidelity value increases with the increase of transmittance T. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that there are two methods to improve the fidelity of the output state: one is to reduce the number of detected photons, and the other is to increase the transmittance of BS. In addition, except for perfect fidelity F = 1, n = 0, the transmittance corresponding to the peak fidelity increases with the increase of n. Finally, in case n = 1, 2, 3, the fidelity may also be zero when 0.8 < T < 0.95, which requires special attention in experimental observations of quantum optics.

Preparing output quantum states with high fidelity and high detectability in quantum transmission has attracted much attention. To achieve this goal, we introduce a function

$$F_d = P_d \times F. \tag{22}$$

Substituting Eqs. (18) and (21) into Eq. (22), we have



Fig. 3 Plot of the fidelity between the output state $|\psi_{\alpha,n}\rangle_{out}$ and input state $|\alpha\rangle$ as a function of *T* with different values of n = 0, 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha = 1 + 2i$

$$F_{d} = T^{2n} \left| \exp\left[\left(\sqrt{T} - 1 \right) |\alpha|^{2} \right] L_{n} \left(RT^{-1} |\alpha|^{2} \right) \right|^{2}.$$
 (23)

From Fig. 4, the output quantum state's overall fidelity and detection efficiency will gradually increase as the number of photons under condition measurement decreases for the BSs with high transmittance 0.95 < T < 1. For low transmittance BSs 0 < T < 0.1, the transmittance corresponding to the optimized detection decreases with the increase of photon number *n*.



Fig. 4 F_d as a function of T with different values of n = 0, 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha = 1 + 2i$

🖄 Springer

4 Statistical Properties

To study the nonclassical statistical properties of the output states in Eq. (16) in more detail, we shall calculate the Husimi-Kano Q function, photon number distribution (PND), Mandel Q-parameter, intensity correlation function, and Wigner function for the output state in Eq. (16).

4.1 Husimi-Kano Q Function

The Husimi-Kano Q function provides a convenient tool for visualizing the properties of quantum states via calculating the expectation values of observables [32, 33]. For a single mode of the quantum state, the Q function corresponds to the diagonal matrix elements of the density operator ρ in a basis of the coherent state, which is defined as

$$Q(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \gamma | \rho | \gamma \rangle.$$
⁽²⁴⁾

For pure states, such as the output state in Eq. (16), Eq. (24) becomes

$$Q(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} \exp\left(-|\alpha|^2 - |\gamma|^2\right) \left| \exp\left(\sqrt{T} \gamma^* \alpha\right) L_n \left(RT^{-1} \alpha \gamma^*\right) \right|^2.$$
(25)

In the small values of T for n = 2, 3, we see a single peak with a deep crater from Figs. 5(a) and 4(b). With the increase n the width of the crater increases. In short, from the distribution of the Q function in the q-p phase space, we find that the outstate in Eq. (16) is a non-Gaussian state.



Fig. 5 The Q function in p-q phase space with $\alpha = 1 + 2i$ and T = 0.1 for (a) n = 2; (b) n = 3

4.2 Fluctuations in PND

An important nonclassical property of NQSs is the fluctuation of the PND. This oscillatory behavior has been studied on the PND of different quantum states. In single-mode field states, P_N is defined as the probability of detecting N photons in the output state and can be obtained for pure state as

$$P_N = \left| \langle N | \psi_{\alpha,n} \rangle_{out} \right|^2.$$
⁽²⁶⁾

Using Eq. (10) and substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (26), the PND is obtained as

$$P_N = \frac{1}{N!} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} \exp\left(-|\alpha|^2\right) \left| \frac{\partial^N}{\partial \xi^{*N}} \exp\left(\sqrt{T} \xi^* \alpha\right) L_n\left(RT^{-1} \alpha \xi^*\right) \right|_{\xi^*=0} \right|^2.$$
(27)

Using Eq. (27), we calculate the PND of the states introduced in Eq. (16). Then, we study the oscillatory behavior of this distribution as a function of n with T = 0.9 and $\alpha = 1 + 2i$. Our results show that the states' PND exhibits oscillatory behavior known as a nonclassical signature, as can be seen in Figs. 6(a) and 5(d). As shown in Fig. 5(a) n = 0, the PND oscillates in small values of N. Moreover, with the increase in the number of detected photons n, the vibration range of the PND gradually increases.

4.3 Sub-Poissonian Photon Statistics and Antibunching Effect

The PND can also be studied from the uncertainty of photon number in the quantum state. The fluctuation of the photon number operator N can be defined as



Fig. 6 The PND for the state in Eq. (16) with $\alpha = 1 + 2i$ and T = 0.6 for (**a**) n = 0; (**b**) n = 1; (**c**) n = 2; (**d**) n = 3

$$(\Delta N)^2 = \langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2, \tag{28}$$

where $N \equiv a^{\dagger}a$ and $\langle N \rangle$ is the average photon number in a quantum state. For the standard coherent state, $(\Delta N)^2 = \langle N \rangle$ which implies Poissonian statistics. A state is squeezed if $(\Delta N)^2 < \langle N \rangle$, i.e., the PND is narrower than that of the coherent states. In addition, the PND of a classical state is broader than that of a coherent state, which implies super-Poisson distribution in the PND.

One of the commonly used criteria for determining the PND is the Mandel parameter [34], which is defined as

$$Q_M = \frac{\langle a^{\dagger 2} a^2 \rangle}{\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle} - \langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

It should be noted that the PND of the state obeys sub-Poissonian photon statistics when $Q_M < 0$.

For the convenience of later analysis, the notation $U_{k,l}$ is introduced to represent the average values of the operator $a^{\dagger k}a^{l}$ for the state in Eq. (16). According to the generating function of Laguerre polynomials and some quantum operator transformation formulas (see Appendix B), we finally have

$$U_{k,l} \equiv_{out} \langle \psi_{\alpha,n} | a^{\dagger k} a^{l} | \psi_{\alpha,n} \rangle_{out} = \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} P_{d}^{-1} T^{2n} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^{n} \partial t^{\prime n}} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t^{\prime})^{-1} (K_{1} \alpha^{*})^{k} (K_{2} \alpha)^{l} \exp(K_{1} K_{2} |\alpha|^{2}) \Big|_{t=t^{\prime}=0},$$
(30)

where K_1, K_2 are defined in Eq. (19). Using Eq. (30), for different values of k and l, moments of any order $a^k a^l$ can be obtained,

Substituting the corresponding form of Eq. (30) into Eq. (29), the Mandel parameter can be given by

$$Q_M = \frac{U_{2,2}}{U_{1,1}} - U_{1,1}.$$
(31)

Particularly when n = 0, thus, $Q_M = 0$, therefore, the PND of the output state corresponds to Poissonian statistics, which is independent of the transmission coefficient of the BS. In addition, when T = 0, we have QM = -1, which is independent of the number of input photons n. Comparing the three curves in Fig. 7, one can see that the transmittance of the BS corresponding to the first positive value Q_M increases with the increasing detected photon number. Furthermore, when $T \rightarrow 1$, $QM \rightarrow 0$, which implies the PND of the output state obeys Poissonian photon statistics like a normal coherent state.

The negative value of Q_M is a sufficient criterion to determine that the PND of the quantum state has a sub-Poissonian distribution. The correlation function is measured by detecting single modes separately using two-photon detectors. Antibunching is used for the nonclassical characterization of the single quantum state. The criterion of antibunching is given in terms of moments of number operator as [35]

$$g_0^{(2)} = \frac{\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle}{\langle N \rangle^2} - 1 < 0.$$
(32)

Noticing $N \equiv a^{\dagger}a$ and using Eq. (30), we have



Fig. 7 The Mandel parameter as a function of T with n = 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha = 0.5$

$$g_0^{(2)} = \frac{\langle a^{\dagger 2} a^2 \rangle}{\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle^2} - 1 = \frac{U_{2,2}}{\left(U_{1,1}\right)^2} - 1.$$
(33)

It is easy to see that $g_0^{(2)} = 1$ when n = 0. Based on the existing parameters in Fig. 8, the output state indicates a photon blockade effect when T < 0.5. As the transmittance of BS increases, the antibunching effect decreases in some transmission intervals. As the number of detected photons increases, the range of BS transmission corresponding to the antibunching effect decreases. It is worth noting that there is a final value $g_0^{(2)} \rightarrow 1$ when $T \rightarrow 1$. According to the analysis in Figs. 6 and Fig. 7, there are two methods for the BSr to produce the NQSs:



Fig. 8 The second-order correlation function as a function of T with n = 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha = 1 + 2i$

one is to reduce the transmittance, and the other is to select an appropriate number of input photons.

4.4 Negativities of Wigner Function

For a single-mode state, the Wigner function is studied as quasi-probability statistical distributions in the two-dimensional phase space, i.e., the real and imaginary parts of the dimensionless complex amplitude $\gamma \equiv q + ip$ [36]. The Wigner function has theoretical value and can be measured experimentally, and even its negative values can be observed [37, 38]. Thus, negative values of the Wigner function have become effective evidence for judging nonclassical quantum states. For a state with a density matrix ρ , the Wigner function may be written in the coherent state representation as

$$W(\gamma,\gamma^*) = \frac{2e^{2|\gamma|^2}}{\pi^2} \int d^2 z \langle -z|\rho|z \rangle \exp[2(z^*\gamma - z\gamma^*)].$$
(34)

Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (34) and after some mathematical integration, we get (see Appendix C)

$$W(\gamma,\gamma^*) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{2|\gamma|^2} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} \exp\left(-|\alpha|^2\right) \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^n \partial t'^n} (1-t)^{-1} (1-tt)^{-1} \exp\left(W_1 W_2\right),$$
(35)

where

$$W_1 = \left(\sqrt{T} - \frac{t}{1-t}RT^{-1}\right)\alpha^* - 2\gamma^*, W_2 = -\left(\sqrt{T} - \frac{t}{1-t}RT^{-1}\right)\alpha + 2\gamma.$$
(36)

In Fig. 9, we have plotted the Wigner function in Eq. (35) for n = 0, 1, 2, 3 with $\alpha = 0.5$ and T = 0.3. It is easy to see that the Wigner function takes negative values in some regions expect n = 0. This confirms the nonclassical properties of the output state in the BS.

5 Squeezing Characteristics

The general NQSs have four prominent characteristics: sub-Poisson distribution, the antibunching effect, the negative value of the Wigner function, and the quantum squeezing effect. The squeezed states have been known to exhibit intriguing properties in several experiments. Squeezed states are essential because the quantum fluctuations in one quadrature can fall below the zero-point fluctuations. This section aims to study quadrature squeezing and the degree of squeezing of the introduced states in Eq. (16).

5.1 Quadrature Squeezing

Quadrature squeezing is described by the fluctuations in the two dimensionless Hermitian operators [39]

$$Q = \frac{a+a^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}}, P = \frac{a-a^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}i},\tag{37}$$



Fig. 9 Representations of Wigner distributions in phase space with $\alpha = 0.5$ and T = 0.3 for (a) n = 0; (b) n = 1; (c) n = 2; (d) n = 3

which satisfy the commutation relation [Q, P] = i.

Using the common definitions of the variances of the quantum operator $\Delta O = O - \langle O \rangle$, the quadrature squeezing is defined as

$$S_Q = 2\langle (\Delta Q)^2 \rangle - 1 = 2Re\left[\langle a^{\dagger 2} \rangle - \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle^2 \right] + 2\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle - 2 \left| \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle \right|^2$$
(38)

and

$$S_P = 2\langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle - 1 = -2Re \left[\langle a^{\dagger 2} \rangle - \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle^2 \right] + 2\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle - 2 \left| \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle \right|^2.$$
(39)

Once S_Q or S_P takes a negative value in the range of [-1, 0) for a quantum state, this state is said to be squeezed in Q or P in phase space.

Substituting the general expressions in Eq. (30) into Eqs. (38) and (39), S_Q and S_P are obtained as

$$S_Q = 2Re\left[U_{2,0} - U_{1,0}^2\right] + 2U_{1,1} - 2\left|U_{1,0}\right|^2$$
(40)

and

$$S_P = -2Re\left[U_{2,0} - U_{1,0}^2\right] + 2U_{1,1} - 2\left|U_{1,0}\right|^2.$$
(41)

From Fig. 10(a), It is clear to see that when the transmission coefficient of the BS exceeds a specific value, the region $S_Q < 0$ indicates evidence of the squeezing effect for the state in Eq. (16), and the threshold values increase as *n* increases. When $T \rightarrow 1, S_Q \rightarrow 1$, the squeezing effect of the BS on the input quantum state tends to disappear, however, it can be seen from Fig. 10(b) that S_p is always greater than zero. That is, no quantum squeezing effect appears in the P direction.

5.2 Degree of Squeezing

To further investigate the squeezing depth of the output quantum state, we take a generalized quadrature operator

$$X_{\theta} = ae^{-i\theta} + a^{\dagger}e^{i\theta}, \tag{42}$$

where θ is the phase of the homodyne detector [40]. In particular, $\theta = 0, X_0 = Q$ and $\theta = -\pi/2, X_{-\pi/2} = P$ are the operators in Eq. (37).

A squeezing depth is defined as

$$S = \frac{\langle : (\Delta X_{\theta})^2 : \rangle}{\langle aa^{\dagger} \rangle - \langle a^{\dagger}a \rangle},\tag{43}$$

where $S \ge -1$. If the value of S corresponding to a quantum state is between -1 and 0, the quantum state is a squeezed state. Furthermore, the smaller the negative value of S, the higher the degree of quantum state squeezing.

Note that the denominator in Eq. (43) is equal to 1 due to the operator commutation relation [a, a] = 1. Using Eq. (42), one can expand the numerator in Eq. (43) as

$$\langle : (\Delta X_{\theta})^{2} : \rangle = \left(\langle a^{\dagger 2} \rangle - \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle^{2} \right) e^{-2i\theta} + \left(\langle a^{2} \rangle - \langle a \rangle^{2} \right) e^{2i\theta} + 2 \langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle - 2 \langle a^{\dagger} \rangle \langle a \rangle.$$
(44)



Fig. 10 Quadrature squeezing as a function of T with $\alpha = 0.2$ and n = 1, 2, 3 (a) for S_O ; (b) for S_P

According to mathematical inequalities, one obtains

$$\left(\left\langle a^{\dagger 2}\right\rangle - \left\langle a^{\dagger}\right\rangle^{2}\right)e^{-2i\theta} + \left(\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle - \left\langle a\right\rangle^{2}\right)e^{2i\theta} \ge -2\left|\left\langle a^{\dagger 2}\right\rangle - \left\langle a^{\dagger}\right\rangle^{2}\right|.$$
(45)

Thus, the optimized squeezing depth over the phase θ is

$$S_{opt} = -2 \left| \left\langle a^{\dagger 2} \right\rangle - \left\langle a^{\dagger} \right\rangle^{2} \right| + 2 \left\langle a^{\dagger} a \right\rangle - 2 \left| \left\langle a^{\dagger} \right\rangle \right|^{2}.$$

$$\tag{46}$$

It can be seen from Fig. 11 that the squeezing effect of the BS on the input quantum state has a complex relationship with the transmission coefficient *T* and the number of detected photons *n*. The higher the number of input photons in the Fock state, the higher the maximum squeezing, but simultaneously, the transmittance of the BS needs to be increased. Our numeric results indicate that the region $S_{opt} < 0$ can be found via changing the transmittance of the BS and the photon number in Fock space, thus realizing the existence of the squeezing phenomenon of the output state in Eq. (16).

6 Conclusions

We study the output quantum state of the BS for arbitrary photon number detection and transmission when the input states are the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ and the Fock state $|n\rangle$. The result quantum state can be considered as a photon-added coherent state in the form of a two-variable Hermite polynomial for detecting *m* photons in the photon detector. Under the condition measurement m = n, the output state is the Laguerre polynomial excited coherent state.

Due to the entanglement of the BS, the photon number in the input Fock and the transmittance of BS have a complex influence on the detection efficiency and fidelity of the output quantum states. In general, the fidelity and detection efficiency increase with the increase of BS transmittance T under zero photon detection. For the BS with high transmittance T > 0.95, the output quantum state detection efficiency and fidelity decrease as the photons number in



Fig. 11 The optimized squeezing depth S_{opt} as a function of T with $\alpha = 0.2$ and n = 1, 2, 3

the Fock state increases. By analyzing the Husimi-Kano Q function of the output quantum state, it can be determined that it has a non-Gaussian distribution in phase space. In addition, the numerical results of the PND and Mandel parameter ($Q_M < 0$) reveal the sub-Poissonian distribution of the output quantum states. The negative value of the Wigner function further confirms that the output quantum state is a non-Gaussian state. At the same time, the results of the second-order correlation function show that the output field has a pronounced antibunching effect. However, these nonclassical quantum phenomena gradually disappear as the transmittance of the beam splitter increases. Therefore, low transmittance BSs and fewer photon inputs are more conducive to preparing NQSs. Furthermore, the output quantum state can be enhanced by appropriately increasing the photons number and the transmittance of BS.

In summary, the effects of the BS and the Fock state on the input coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ are studied via theoretical models and numerical analysis in detail. These conclusions may have critical applications for further study of NQSs based on BSs.

Appendix A: Derivation of Eq. (18)

Using the completeness of the output quantum state in Eq. (16)

$$1 =_{out} \langle \psi_{\alpha,n} | \psi_{\alpha,n} \rangle_{out}, \tag{47}$$

we have

$$P_{d} = T^{2n} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \langle 0| \exp(\sqrt{T}\alpha^{*}a) L_{n}\left(\frac{R\alpha^{*}}{T}a\right) \exp(\sqrt{T}\alpha a^{\dagger}) L_{n}\left(\frac{R\alpha}{T}a^{\dagger}\right) |0\rangle.$$
(48)

Substituting $|\alpha\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2 + \alpha a^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle$ into Eq. (48) and using the generating function for $L_n(x)$

$$L_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \left[(1-t)^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{-xt}{1-t}\right) \right] \right|_{t=0},\tag{49}$$

we have

$$P_{d} = T^{2n}(n!)^{-2} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^{n} \partial t^{\prime n}} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t^{\prime})^{-1} \langle 0| \exp(P_{1}\alpha^{*}a) \exp(P_{2}\alpha a^{\dagger}) |0\rangle \bigg|_{t=t^{\prime}=0}$$
(50)

where

$$P_1 = \frac{-Rt}{(1-t)T} + \sqrt{T}, P_2 = \frac{-Rtt}{(1-tt)T} + \sqrt{T}.$$
(51)

Using the operator formula

$$e^{A}e^{B} = e^{B}e^{A}e^{[A,B]}$$
(52)

the P_d can be calculated as

$$P_{d} = T^{n}(n!)^{-2} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^{n} \partial t^{\prime n}} (1-t)^{-1} (1-tt)^{-1} \exp(P_{1}P_{2}|\alpha|^{2}) \Big|_{t=tt=0}.$$
 (53)

Appendix B: Derivation of Eq. (30)

Using Eq. (16) and the generating function of Laguerre polynomials in Eq. (49), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} U_{k,l} &\equiv_{out} \langle \psi_{\alpha,n} | a^{\dagger k} a^{L} | \psi_{\alpha,n} \rangle_{out} \\ &= P_{d}^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \langle 0 | exp\left(\sqrt{T}a\alpha^{*}\right) L_{n}\left(\frac{R\alpha^{*}}{T}a\right) a^{\dagger k} a^{l} exp\left(\sqrt{T}a^{\dagger}\alpha\right) L_{n}\left(\frac{R\alpha}{T}a^{\dagger}\right) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} P_{d}^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^{n} \partial t^{\prime n}} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t^{\prime})^{-1} \langle 0 | exp(K_{1}\alpha^{*}a) a^{\dagger k} a^{l} exp(K_{2}a^{\dagger}\alpha) | 0 \rangle \Big|_{t=t^{\prime}=0}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(54)$$

Using the Baker-Hausdorff formula

$$e^{\nu a}f(a^{\dagger})e^{-\nu a} = f(a^{\dagger} + \nu), \ e^{\nu a^{\dagger}}f(a)e^{-\nu a^{\dagger}} = f(a - \nu),$$
(55)

we have

$$U_{k,l} = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^2) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^n \partial t'^n} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t')^{-1} \langle 0| (a^{\dagger} + K_1 \alpha^*)^k (a + K_2 \alpha)^l | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^2) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^n \partial t'^n} (1-t)^{-1} (1-t')^{-1} (K_1 \alpha^*)^k (K_2 \alpha)^l exp(K_1 K_2 |\alpha|^2) \Big|_{t=t'=0}.$$
(56)

Appendix C: Derivation of Eq. (35)

Substituting Eq. (16) and into Eq. (34) we obtain

$$W(\gamma,\gamma^*) = \frac{2e^{2|\gamma|^2}}{\pi} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^2) \int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} \langle -z| exp\left(\sqrt{T}a^{\dagger}\alpha\right) \\ \times L_n(RT^{-1}\alpha a^{\dagger})|0\rangle \langle 0| exp\left(\sqrt{T}a\alpha^*\right) L_n(RT^{-1}\alpha^*a)|z\rangle exp[2(z^*\gamma - z\gamma^*)].$$
(57)

Using
$$a|z\rangle = z|z\rangle$$
 and $\langle z|0\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2\right)$, Eq. (57) yields

$$W(\gamma, \gamma^*) = \frac{2e^{2|\gamma|^2}}{\pi} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp\left(-|\alpha|^2\right)$$

$$\int \frac{d^2z}{\pi} exp\left(-|z|^2 + \sqrt{T}z\alpha^* - \sqrt{T}z^*\alpha\right) L_n\left(-RT^{-1}\alpha z^*\right) L_n\left(RT^{-1}\alpha^* z\right) exp[2(z^*\gamma - z\gamma^*)].$$
(58)

Taking into account the generating function of Laguerre polynomials in Eq. (49), one gets

$$W(\gamma, \gamma^*) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{2|\gamma|^2} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^2) \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \\ \times \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^n \partial t'^n} (1-t)^{-1} (1-tt)^{-1} \int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} exp(-|z|^2 + W_1 z + W_2 z^*) \\ = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{2|\gamma|^2} P_d^{-1} T^{2n} exp(-|\alpha|^2) \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t^n \partial t'^n} (1-t)^{-1} (1-tt)^{-1} exp(W_1 W_2),$$
(59)

Deringer

where

$$W_1 = \left(\sqrt{T} - \frac{t}{1-t}RT^{-1}\right)\alpha^* - 2\gamma^*, W_2 = \left(-\sqrt{T} + \frac{t}{1-t}RT^{-1}\right)\alpha + 2\gamma.$$
(60)

Author Contributions Gang Ren wrote the main manuscript text and Chun-zao Zhang prepared all figures. All authors discussed the results, and revised and approved the manuscript.

Funding This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Anhui Higher Education Institutions of China (Grant No. 2022AH051580).

Data Availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Rahim, M.A.A., Ooi, C.H.R., Othman, M.A.R.: Enhancing non-classicality by superposing two induced states from coherent states. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 61(11), 264–266 (2022)
- Dehghani, A., Mojaveri, B.: Photon-added and photon-depleted "semi"-coherent field: Nonclassical properties. Eur Phys J Plus 132(11), 502-1–9 (2017)
- Kumar, C., Rishabh, Arora, S.: Enhanced phase estimation in parity-detection-based Mach-Zehnder interferometer using non-gaussian two-mode squeezed thermal input state. Ann Phys-Berlin 535(8), 2300117-1–17 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202300117
- Malpani, P., Thapliyal, K., Alam, N., Pathak, A., Narayanan, V., Banerjee, S.: Impact of photon addition and subtraction on nonclassical and phase properties of a displaced Fock state. Opt Commun 459, 124964-1-9 (2020)
- Aeineh, N., Tavassoly, M.K.: Higher-Orders of Squeezing, Sub-Poissonian Statistics and Antibunching of Deformed Photon-Added Coherent States. Rep. Math. Phys. 76(1), 75–89 (2015)
- 6. Xu, X.X.: Enhancing quantum entanglement and quantum teleportation for two-mode squeezed vacuum state by local quantum-optical catalysis. Phys Rev A **92**(1), 012318-1–12 (2015)
- Ye, W., Zhong, H., Liao, Q., Huang, D., Hu, L., Guo, Y.: Improvement of self-referenced continuous-variable quantum key distribution with quantum photon catalysis. Opt. Express 27(12), 17186– 17198 (2019)
- Wei, C.-P.: Nonclassicality and entanglement as a quantifiable measure for phase estimation. Opt. Express 30(22), 40174–40187 (2022)
- Meng, X.G., Wang, J.S., Zhang, X.Y., Yang, Z.S., Liang, B.L., Zhang, Z.T.: Nonclassicality via the superpositions of photon addition and subtraction and quantum decoherence for thermal noise. Ann Phys-Berlin 532(12), 2000219-1–14 (2020)
- Xu, Y.K., Chang, S.K., Liu, C.J., Hu, L.Y., Liu, S.Q.: Phase estimation of an SU(1,1) interferometer with a coherent superposition squeezed vacuum in a realistic case. Opt. Express 30(21), 38178–38193 (2022)
- Ye, W., Guo, Y., Zhang, H., Chang, S., Xia, Y., Xiong, S., Hu, L.: Coherent superposition of photon subtraction- and addition-based two-mode squeezed coherent state: quantum properties and its applications. Quantum Inf Process 22(1), 62-1–19 (2023)
- Zhang, J.-D., Wang, S.: Unbalanced beam splitters enabling enhanced phase sensitivity of a Mach-Zehnder interferometer using coherent and squeezed vacuum states. Phys Rev A 107(4), 043704-1-6 (2023)

- Chang, S., Ye, W., Zhang, H., Hu, L., Huang, J., Liu, S.: Improvement of phase sensitivity in an SU(1,1) interferometer via a phase shift induced by a Kerr medium. Phys Rev A 105(3), 033704-1-14 (2022)
- 14. Dakna, M., Knoll, L., Welsch, D.G.: Photon-added state preparation via conditional measurement on a beam splitter. Opt. Commun. **145**(1–6), 309–321 (1998)
- Yin, P.P., Cao, C., Han, Y.H., Fan, L., Zhang, R.: Faithful quantum entanglement purification and concentration using heralded high-fidelity parity-check detectors based on quantum-dot-microcavity systems. Quantum Inf Process 21(1), 17-1–19 (2022)
- Warszawski, P., Wiseman, H.M., Doherty, A.C.: Solving quantum trajectories for systems with linear Heisenberg-picture dynamics and Gaussian measurement noise. Phys Rev A 102(4), 042210-1–32 (2020)
- 17 Fiurasek, J.: Engineering quantum operations on traveling light beams by multiple photon addition and subtraction. Phys Rev A **80**(5), 053822-1–7 (2009)
- Podoshvedov, S.A., Kim, J., Lee, J.H.: Generation of a displaced qubit and entangled displaced photon state via conditional measurement and their properties. Opt. Commun. 281(14), 3748–3754 (2008)
- Mattos, E.P., Vidiella-Barranco, A.: Generation of nonclassical states of light via truncation of mixed states. J Opt Soc Am B 39(7), 1885–1893 (2022)
- Babichev, S.A., Ries, J., Lvovsky, A.I.: Quantum scissors: Teleportation of single-mode optical states by means of a nonlocal single photon. Europhys. Lett. 64(1), 1–7 (2003)
- Leonhardt, U.: Quantum Statistics of a Lossless Beam Splitter Su(2) Symmetry in-Phase Space. Phys. Rev. A 48(4), 3265–3277 (1993)
- 22. Hu, L.Y., Fan, H.Y.: A new bipartite coherent-entangled state generated by an asymmetric beamsplitter and its applications. J Phys B-at Mol Opt **40**(11), 2099–2109 (2007)
- Lee, S.Y., Park, J., Lee, H.W., Nha, H.: Generating arbitrary photon-number entangled states for continuous-variable quantum informatics. Opt. Express 20(13), 14221–14233 (2012)
- 24. Author (n.d.)
- 25 Zhang, S.L.: High-fidelity photon-subtraction operation for large-photon-number Fock states. Phys Rev A 101(2), 023835-1–8 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.023835
- Fan, H.Y.: Permutation Operators in Hilbert-Space Gained Via Iwop Technique. J Phys A-Math Gen 22(8), 1193–1200 (1989)
- Fan, H.Y., Meng, X.G., Wang, J.S.: New form of legendre polynomials obtained by virtue of excited squeezed state and IWOP technique in quantum optics. Commun. Theor. Phys. 46(5), 845– 848 (2006)
- 28 Ren, G., Yu, H.-J., Zhang, C.-Z., Chen, F.: Nonclassical Properties of a Hybrid NAAN Quantum State. Int J Theor Phys 62(4), 81-1–19 (2023)
- Jia, F., Xu, S., Hu, L.Y., Duan, Z.L., Huang, J.H.: New approach to generating functions of singleand two-variable even-and odd-Hermite polynomials and applications in quantum optics. Mod Phys Lett B 28(32), 1450249-1–11 (2014)
- Li, H.M., Xu, X.X., Yuan, H.C., Meng, X.G.: Measurement-induced nonclassical state from twomode squeezed vacuum states via beam splitter and its entanglement properties. Laser Phys Lett 16(10), 105202-1–11 (2019)
- Mirza, I.M., Cruz, A.S.: On the dissipative dynamics of entangled states in coupled-cavity quantum electrodynamics arrays. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 39(1), 177–187 (2022)
- Husimi K, ocirc, and di. Some formal properties of the density matrix. Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan. 3rd Series 22(4):264–314 (1940)
- Kano, Y.: A new phase-space distribution function in the statistical theory of the electromagnetic field. J. Math. Phys. 6(12), 1913–1915 (1965). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704739
- Mandel, L.: Sub-Poissonian photon statistics in resonance fluorescence. Opt. Lett. 4(7), 205–207 (1979)
- 35. Lee, C.T.: Many-photon antibunching in generalized pair coherent states. Phys. Rev. A 41(3), 1569–1575 (1990)
- Wigner, E.: On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium. Phys. Rev. 40(5), 0749– 0759 (1932)
- 37. Kurtsiefer, C., Pfau, T., Mlynek, J.: Measurement of the Wigner function of an ensemble of helium atoms. Nature **386**(6621), 150–153 (1997)
- Nogues, G., Rauschenbeutel, A., Osnaghi, S., Bertet, P., Brune, M., Raimond, J.M., Haroche, S., Lutterbach, L.G., Davidovich, L.: Measurement of a negative value for the Wigner function of radiation. Phys Rev A 62(5), 054101 (2000)

- Lee, J., Kim, J., Nha, H.: Demonstrating higher-order nonclassical effects by photon-added classical states: realistic schemes. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 26(7), 1363–1369 (2009)
- Duc, T.M., Noh, J.: Higher-order properties of photon-added coherent states. Opt. Commun. 281(10), 2842–2848 (2008)
- 41. Dodonov, V.V., Valverde, C., Souza, L.S., Baseia, B.: Decoherence of odd compass states in the phase-sensitive amplifying/dissipating environment. Ann Phys-New York **371**, 296–312 (2016)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.