PRIMARY RESEARCH PAPER



Interactions between sediment characteristics and oxygen conditions at the sediment–water interface of reservoirs: influences on nutrient dynamics and eutrophication

Florian Mermillod-Blondin[®] · Edwige Gautreau · Lucie Pinasseau · Emma Gouze · Félix Vallier · Laurence Volatier · Géraldine Nogaro

Received: 5 May 2023 / Revised: 19 February 2024 / Accepted: 24 February 2024 / Published online: 12 April 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

Abstract Dissolved oxygen (DO) level at the sediment-water interface is one key factor controlling redox-sensitive processes, such as nutrient cycling. Microcosm experiments with sediment collected from three reservoirs were performed to quantify the influences of water column oxygenation (oxic, anoxic, oxygen fluctuation), sediment characteristics (grain size distribution, total nitrogen and total phosphorus contents, microbial activities), and their interactions on nutrient fluxes from sediments to the water column. Algal growth bioassays were also performed using water from the microcosms to determine which conditions produced the most favorable growth conditions. Anoxic conditions increased the release of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), mainly as ammonium and phosphates, compared to the other

Handling editor: Andrew Dzialowski

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-024-05508-3.

F. Mermillod-Blondin $(\boxtimes) \cdot E$. Gautreau $\cdot L$. Pinasseau \cdot F. Vallier $\cdot L$. Volatier

Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, LEHNA UMR 5023, CNRS, ENTPE, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France e-mail: florian.mermillod-blondin@univ-lyon1.fr

E. Gautreau · E. Gouze · G. Nogaro Laboratoire National d'Hydraulique et Environnement (LNHE), EDF R&D, 6 Quai Watier, F-78401 Chatou, France DO conditions. Such effects were likely due to an inhibition of the nitrification-denitrification coupling process for DIN and a reductive dissolution of Fe (III) oxides for phosphates. Following this increased nutrient availability, algal growth in the bioassays was the highest in water collected from microcosms exposed to anoxic conditions. Under both oxic and anoxic conditions, the percentage of fine sediment particles led to decreasing DIN and phosphates fluxes by reducing the nutrient diffusion rate from sediments to the water column. Finally, both DO and sediment grain size controlled the contribution of sediments to reservoir eutrophication.

Keywords Sediment–water interface · Oxygen conditions · Sediment grain size · Nitrogen · Phosphorus · Eutrophication

Introduction

Excessive nutrient [nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)] loading in lakes and reservoirs classically results in the eutrophication of the water column and associated environmental problems, such as the excessive growth of toxin-producing cyanobacteria, oxygen depletion of the water column, or biodiversity loss (see Amorim & do Nascimento Moura, 2021 for a review). The nutrient enrichment of the water column is associated with external inputs (tributaries, soil run-off, groundwater supplies, atmospheric deposition) and sediment internal loadings (e.g., Meinikmann et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020). Much effort has been made to reduce the external inputs of N and P to improve the water quality of eutrophic lakes and reservoirs, but this strategy is often not efficient enough when there is also sediment internal loading (Forsberg, 1989; Welch & Denniscooke, 2005; Nürnberg et al., 2012; Watson et al., 2016). For example, it has been shown for P (e.g., Søndergaard et al., 2003) and N (e.g., Jeppesen et al., 2005) that internal sources associated with a massive accumulation of nutrients in sediments can maintain eutrophication for more than 10 years after the reduction of external inputs. Assessing the internal nutrient loading is a main issue to mitigate eutrophication problems and efficiently restore the water quality of eutrophic water bodies (e.g., Li et al., 2023).

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the water column has been recognized as the main factor influencing the dynamics of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and P at the sediment-water interface-SWI- (Boström et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1992; Wetzel, 2001; Beutel, 2006; Ekeroth et al., 2016; Rapin et al., 2019). Oxic/anoxic fluctuations may strongly influence N availability in the water column. For example, $N-NH_4^+$ produced by organic matter (OM) mineralization in sediments was nitrified into N-NO₃⁻ when DO was available to microorganisms, whereas $N-NH_4^+$ accumulated under anoxic conditions (Gautreau et al., 2020). Therefore, fluxes of inorganic N from the sediment to the water column were expected to be dominated by N-NO₃⁻ fluxes in oxic conditions and by $N-NH_4^+$ in anoxic conditions. Anoxic conditions do not allow nitrification and then nitrification-denitrification coupling that would favor N loss from the ecosystem (*i.e.*, transformation of NO_3^- to gaseous N_2). Consequently, anoxic conditions would limit N loss, favoring the accumulation of DIN in the water column of reservoir and lakes.

The reductive dissolution and oxidative precipitation of Fe/Mn oxyhydroxides are considered as essential to control P mobility at the oxic/anoxic interface (Rydin, 2000). Oxic conditions favor the formation of insoluble Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxides with high PO_4^{3-} sorption capacity and limited P availability in the water column (Bortleson & Lee, 1974; Giles et al., 2016). At the opposite, the dissolution of Fe/ Mn oxyhydroxides under anoxic conditions induces the release of PO_4^{3-} at the SWI (Søndergaard et al., 2003). Moreover, it has been reported that Fe content largely controlled P mobility at the sediment–water interface of lakes and reservoirs (e.g., Jensen et al., 1992; Beutel et al., 2008).

Factors other than oxic-anoxic conditions at the SWI may modulate the role of internal nutrient loadings on water column eutrophication (Rapin et al., 2019). First, molecular diffusion is classically considered as the main mechanism influencing nutrient fluxes from sediments to the water column (Berner, 1980; Anschutz et al., 2012). Sediment characteristics, such as particle size distribution, that determine efficient porosity are major for diffusion rates of dissolved solutes and nutrients from sediments to the water column according to the Fick's First law (Lavery et al., 2001). Second, the contents and forms of nutrients accumulated in sediments determine their ability to be released to the water column (Ruban et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2018). For example, by comparing P release rates from sediments collected in four sites of Mona Lake, Steinman et al. (2009) showed that the sediment characterized by the highest P content produced the highest P release rates to the water column under oxic conditions. The mineralization of OM by microbial activities also contributes to the recycling of P and N accumulated under organic forms in sediments (Hupfer & Lewandowski, 2008). Therefore, both nutrient stocks and microbial activities in sediments would contribute to the production of DIN and PO_4^{3-} that could be released to the water column (Rydin, 2000; Joshi et al., 2015).

The aim of this study was to quantify the influence of DO conditions on nutrient fluxes at the SWI in interaction with sediment characteristics (e.g., grain size distribution, concentrations of total N- TNand total P-TP-, and microbial activities). A factorial approach using three DO conditions (oxic, anoxic, daily DO fluctuations) and sediments collected from three reservoirs that varied in grain size distribution, TN content, TP content, and microbial activities (Gautreau et al., 2023) was used to evaluate how sediment characteristics and DO may interact to determine fluxes of DIN and PO_4^{3-} at the SWI and the resulting influence on algal growth. Nutrient fluxes were quantified using laboratory microcosms and their effects on algal growth were assessed using bioassays on water collected from the microcosms (as in Cymbola et al., 2008). As previously measured with one of the three reservoir sediments selected for the present study (Puyvalador sediments, Gautreau et al.,

2020), anoxic conditions at the SWI were expected to increase NH₄⁺ and PO₄³⁻ releases in the water column in comparison with other DO conditions in all tested sediments. DIN concentrations (mainly as NH_4^+) should be the highest under anoxic conditions because nitrification of NH_4^+ into NO_3^- should be reduced/inhibited under low DO availability (Gilbert et al., 2016) compared to oxic and DO fluctuation conditions, which would favor NO₃⁻ releases from sediments. Under daily fluctuations of DO, nitrification was expected to start rapidly after oxygen re-introduction (Pett-Ridge et al., 2013) and denitrification should be stimulated during low oxygenated conditions, reducing the accumulation of DIN in the water column in comparison with the other treatments. Algal growth should be the highest in water collected from microcosms under anoxic conditions, because this DO treatment was expected to produce the highest nutrient enrichments of the water column.

Sediment characteristics were also expected to mediate DIN and PO_4^{3-} at the SWI. First, the release of DIN and PO_4^{3-} would be higher in coarse than in fine-grained sediments because the percentage of clay and silt (<63 µm) would reduce the efficient porosity and then the diffusion of solutes and dissolved nutrients from sediments to water column (Huettel & Webster, 2001). Second, N content, P content, OM, and associated microbial activities were expected to increase DIN and PO_4^{3-} releases in the interstitial water and subsequent releases to the water column (e.g., Kristensen, 2000; Glud, 2008). Highest DIN and PO_4^{3-} fluxes were expected from sediments characterized by the highest nutrient contents and microbial activity.

Materials and methods

Sediment collection and preparation

Studied sediments were collected from Puyvalador (42°38'47.4"N, 2°07'28.9"E), Villerest (45°59′07.1″N, 4°02'44.3"E), and Grangent (45°27'58.2"N, 4°14'56.5"E) reservoirs to obtain contrasted sediment grain sizes, TN contents, TP contents, and microbial activities (as measured in Gautreau et al., 2023). For each reservoir, 151 of sediments were collected with an Ekman grab and frozen $(-18^{\circ}C)$ for several weeks to kill the ambient fauna that could influence nutrient fluxes by excretion and bioturbation (e.g., Fukuhara & Sakamoto, 1987). As freezing could alter microbial communities in sediments (Tolhurst et al., 2012), a subsample of fresh sediment was kept at 15° C to preserve the microbial activity and to be used as an inoculum for the experiment. This subsample was sieved at 80 µm to remove the ambient fauna and thoroughly mixed with defrosted sediments for microbial colonization for 15 days before microcosm preparation. This incubation time of 15 days followed by a stabilization period of 14 days in microcosms (see below and Fig. 1) was long enough to recover and stabilize sediment oxygen uptake rates (see Fig. 4 in Gautreau et al., 2020).

Microcosms and experimental set-up

The experiment was performed in 36 microcosms consisting in Plexiglas cylinders with an internal diameter of 10 cm and a height of 20 cm (Fig. 1). For each reservoir sediment, 12 microcosms were filled with a layer of sediments (10 cm) overlaid with synthetic water (10 cm) [composition of synthetic water: 96-mg l^{-1} NaHCO₃; 39.4-mg l^{-1} CaSO₄·2H₂O; 60-mg l⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O; 4-mg l⁻¹ KCl; pH 7.5; (US EPA, 1991)] in a 15°C temperature-controlled room. Oxic conditions were maintained in the water column by bubbling water with atmospheric air. Microcosms were equipped with peristaltic pumps for a continuous recirculation of the water column at a gentle flow rate of 6 mL min⁻¹ to avoid DO stratification but also sediment re-suspension due to a gentle flow rate. Oxygen probes were placed on the recirculation system of peristatic pumps to monitor DO concentrations in the water column of the microcosms (Fig. 1A).

After a 14-day period of stabilization under oxic conditions, the water column of each microcosm was gently removed and renewed, with minimum disturbance of sediment, by synthetic water to eliminate the initial flush of nutrients due to sediment manipulation. At the same time, 9 microcosms (3 microcosms per reservoir sediments) were used to determine initial sediment characteristics (Fig. 1B). The other 27 microcosms (9 microcosms per reservoir sediment) were used to quantify how DO concentrations and dynamics in the water column interacted with sediment characteristics to influence nutrient fluxes from the sediment to the water column. For each reservoir sediment, three DO treatments were applied in



On day 15 - collection of water column for algal growth bioassays

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of one microcosm (A) and experimental design (B)

the water column of microcosms (3 microcosms per sediment, Fig. 1B): (1) oxic treatment with the water column saturated in DO, (2) DO fluctuation treatment with the water column of the microcosms experiencing DO supply followed by DO decrease every 12 h (aeration turn on and off every 12 h, according to Gautreau et al. 2020), and (3) anoxic treatment with a non-aerated water column experiencing anoxic conditions.

For the nine microcosms dedicated to the determination of initial conditions before the application of DO treatments (Fig. 1B), incubations were performed to evaluate initial oxygen uptake rates. Afterward, the central area of the nine microcosms were cored with cut-off syringes (i.e., 1 cm in diameter, 9 cm in height) and analyzed for measuring sediment grain size distributions, total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP) contents. As P binding in ferric (oxi)hydroxides play a key role in PO₄³⁻ dynamics in sediments (Jensen et al., 1992), iron (Fe) content was also measured for each reservoir sediment. For the 27 microcosms used to evaluate the influences of DO conditions, sediment characteristics, and their interactions on nutrient dynamics, dissolved nutrients (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, NO_2^{-} , and PO_4^{3-}) were measured at 7 times during the 15 days of the experiment: on day 0 (just before DO treatment application) and on days 3, 6, 8, 10, 13, and 15 after treatment application. At each sampling date, 30 ml of water was collected from the top of the water column, filtered through GF/F filters (0.7 µm, Whatman) and kept at 4°C until chemical analyses. During the experiment, the sampled volume of water for analyses (30 ml) was replaced with 30 ml of synthetic water to keep a constant volume of water column in each experiment unit. To prevent the oxygenation of anoxic microcosms during sampling, the water collected from the microcosms was replaced

with synthetic water without introducing air into the microcosms. On day 15, the water column from each of the 27 microcosms was collected, filtered through GF/F filters (0.7 μ m, Whatman) and kept at 4°C. This water served later as the medium for the algal growth bioassays (Fig. 1B).

Methods of analyses

Physical and chemical analyses on sediment samples

Grain size distribution was determined by laser diffractometry (Malvern Mastersizer 2000G, Malvern Products) on fresh sediments obtained by coring on day 0. The percentage of fine particles (i.e., silt and clay, $< 63 \mu m$) was calculated using package rysgran v2.1.0 (Ronael Gilbert et al., 2014) in R software v3.3.2. Sediment samples were dried (at 60°C for 72 h), ground in a mortar, and analyzed to determine their TOC, TN, and TP contents (in % per sediment dry mass) of TOC, TN, and TP. TOC and TN contents were determined by high-temperature in situ combustion on pre-acidified (i.e., HCL 2 mol 1^{-1}) dry samples using an elemental analyzer (FlashEA, Thermo Electron Corporation). The method of Murphy & Riley (1962) was used to determine TP. For each reservoir sediment, Fe content was measured per sediment dry mass by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) after sediment mineralization with nitric acid and hydrochloric acid.

Oxygen uptake rates

Before core collections, measures of dissolved oxygen concentrations were made on the 9 microcosms (3 microcosms per sediment) used to assess sediment characteristics on day 0 of the experiment (Fig. 1B). These measurements were performed to evaluate oxygen uptakes from the 3 sediments. According to Gautreau et al. (2020), aeration of the water column of the microcosms was stopped and each unit was sealed to avoid contact with the atmosphere. Using the water recirculation system equipped with an oxygen probe (Fig. 1A) connected to a Pocket Oxygen Meter FireStingGO2 (Pyroscience GmbH), decrease in DO concentration was measured every hour for an incubation of 4 h in each microcosm. DO uptake rates in the microcosms were calculated from the linear decrease of DO over time and expressed as μ mol of O₂ consumed per hour and m² of water–sediment interface.

Nutrient analyses on water samples and calculation of nutrient release rates

For all filtered water samples collected at 7 times during the experiment, $N-NH_4^+$, $N-NO_2^-$, $N-NO_3^-$, and $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentrations were determined by standard colorimetric methods (Grasshoff et al., 1983) using a sequential analyzer (SmartChem200, AMS Alliance). Thereafter, nutrients were presented as DIN, $N-NH_4^+$ and $N-NO_3^-$ (where $N-NO_3^-$ corresponded to $N-NO_3^-+N-NO_2^-$ because $N-NO_3^-$ concentrations $\gg N-NO_2^-$ concentrations). For each microcosm and nutrient, release rate from sediment to the water column was estimated from the changes in nutrient concentration between the start (day 0) and the end (day 15) of the experiment. Nutrient release rates (mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) are calculated using the following formula (Steinman et al., 2004):

Nutrient release rate = $((C_{day 15} - C_{day 0}) \times V) / (A \times 15),$

where,

 $C_{day 0}$ and $C_{day 15}$ are nutrient concentrations (mg 1^{-1}) in the water column at day 0 and day 15, respectively;

V is the volume of the water column in microcosms (0.785 l);

A is the sediment surface area in the microcosms (0.00785 m^2) ;

15 is the time interval in days between day 0 and day 15.

Due to an analytical problem for the measurement of P–PO₄³⁻ concentrations on day 15 in microcosms with Puyvalador sediments and experiencing anoxic conditions, PO_4^{3-} release rates were calculated for these microcosms with PO_4^{3-} concentrations measured on day 13 (i.e., $C_{day 15}$ was replaced by $C_{day 13}$ and the time interval of 15 was replaced by 13 in the formula).

Algal growth bioassays

According to the approach developed by Cymbola et al. (2008), algal bioassays were performed to evaluate the ability of the filtered water collected from each

microcosm on day 15 (Fig. 1B) to sustain phytoplanktonic growth. The planktonic unicellular green alga Raphidocelis subcapitata (Korshikov) Nygaard et al., formerly known as Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (Korshikov) Hindak and Selenastrum capricornutum Printz, was used for these bioassays due to its higher growth rates and greater sensitivity to various substances than other algae (e.g., Prata et al., 2022). This ubiquitous freshwater planktonic species was chosen because it is readily available from culture collections and easy to maintain under laboratory-controlled conditions (e.g., Venãncio et al., 2017; Suzuki et al., 2018). R. subcapitata specimens were acquired from the Culture Collection of the French National Natural History Museum and maintained in batch cultures in Chu growth medium (composition of Chu #10 medium: 40-mg l^{-1} Ca(NO₃)₂; 5-mg l^{-1} K₂HPO₄; 25-mg l^{-1} MgSO₄•7H₂O; 20-mg l^{-1} Na₂CO₃; 25-mg l⁻¹ Na₂SiO₃; 0.8-mg l⁻¹ FeCl₃ (Chu, 1942; Andersen, 2005). Cultures were maintained in a temperature-controlled room at 21°C with artificial day/ night cycle of 16 h/8 h (60- μ mol photons⁻¹ m⁻² s⁻¹) and were continuously agitated at a speed sufficient to prevent sedimentation and cell aggregation. Algae were sub-cultured in Chu medium weekly for several weeks with a starter culture of 10⁶ cell ml⁻¹ to ensure a healthy and reproducible culture and maintain a supply of cells in the exponential growth phase.

Growth bioassays were performed in cotton-stoppered Nalgene Erlenmeyer flasks of 120 ml with 30 ml of medium and an inoculum of 10⁶ cell ml⁻¹ of algae harvested in culture medium on day 5 (i.e., during the exponential growth phase, Moreira-Santos et al., 2004). Bioassays were performed under the same conditions (light, temperature, and shaking) as cultures. A total of 30 growth tests were set up to measure the ability of surface waters collected in the 27 microcosms on day 15 (Fig. 1B) to promote algal growth in comparison with 3 positive control replicates that contained Chu medium with the algae R. subcapitata. The aim of this test was to evaluate which experimental treatments (9 treatments: 3 sediments * 3 oxygen conditions) could favor eutrophication in the water column. Based on preliminary tests (data not shown), a duration of 96 h commonly used for this type of bioassay (e.g., Ma et al., 2006; Paquet et al., 2019; Gebara et al., 2020) was selected to observe potential differences in algal growth rates among experimental treatments. Thus, after 96 h, samples were collected and fixed with glutaraldehyde (0.25% final concentration) and Pluronic® F-68 0.01% final concentration). Algal cell concentrations were then determined by flow cytometry using an Attune NxT cytometer (Thermo Fisher) equipped with an air-cooled argon laser (488 nm, 50 mW). To optimize the individual counting of algal cells, samples were diluted (1/10000) in focusing fluid and analyses were performed at low flow speed $(12.5 \ \mu l \ min^{-1})$. Algal populations were distinguished from cytometric and sample noises using light scattering (SSC) and red fluorescence from chlorophyll-a (550-735 nm, BL3). Algal concentrations measured in the water columns of microcosms were expressed in relationship with algal concentrations measured in the Chu medium used for algal cultivation to determine the significance of algal growth in the water columns compared to the culture medium. Therefore, proportions of algae concentration in the water column for each of the 27 microcosms (i.e., 3 sediments * 3 oxygen conditions * 3 replicates) over average algae concentration in positive control medium (i.e., 3 replicates with Chu medium) measured after 96 h of algal growth in bioassays were calculated.

Data analysis

The initial sediment characteristics (volumetric percentages of particles < 63 µm, TOC, TN, TP, and Fe contents, DO uptake rates) were compared among the three sediments using a 1-way ANOVAs. Tukey's post hoc tests were performed when significant ANOVA results were obtained. For chemical (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, DIN, and PO₄³⁻) variables measured at different times, the effects of DO and sediments were tested by 2-way RM ANOVAs with time (days 3, 6, 10, 13, and 15) as the repeated factor and DO treatment (*i.e.*, oxic, DO fluctuation and anoxic conditions) and sediment treatment (i.e., Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments) as the fixed factors. For nutrient release rates, we performed 2-way ANOVA with DO treatment and sediment treatment as the fixed factors. Tukey's post hoc tests were performed when significant ANOVA results were obtained. For all variables and before statistical analyses, the normality and homoscedasticity of the residues were verified using the Shapiro-Wilk's test and the Bartlett's test, respectively. Sphericity assumption for RM ANOVA was verified using Mauchly's sphericity test.

For the proportions of algal concentrations obtained from algal growth bioassays, the effects of DO treatment (*i.e.*, oxic, DO fluctuation and anoxic conditions) and sediment treatment (*i.e.*, Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments) were tested using a 2-way ANOVAs. Before analysis, proportions were logit transformed to normalize data (verified by the Shapiro–Wilk's test). The homoscedasticity of the residues was also verified using the Bartlett's test. When 2-way ANOVAs were significant (i.e., P < 0.05), Tukey's post hoc tests were performed to determine which treatments ("oxygen * sediment" treatments) differed.

In addition, we used generalized linear models to evaluate the influence of sediment characteristics on DIN and $P-PO_4^{3-}$ release rates from sediment to the water column for oxic and anoxic conditions. Each model (one per released nutrient and DO treatment) focused on three factors that represented (1) the loading of the studied nutrients in sediments (TN for evaluating DIN released to the water column or TP for evaluating PO_4^{3-} released to the water column), (2) the microbial activity in sediments (total oxygen uptake rate), and (3) the proportion of fine sediments (clay and silts < 63 µm). We checked for multi-collinearity among the three variables (TN or TP, total oxygen uptake rate, and the proportion of fine particles in sediments) using variance inflation factors (VIF, Zuur et al., 2010) and found them to be in acceptable range (all VIF < 12). As the three factors could influence release rates of nutrients, we performed a procedure of model selection based on information theory (Burnham & Anderson, 2002) and the ranking of models according to Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc, "car" R package), starting from the most comprehensive model (including all factors). The adjusted McFadden's pseudo- R^2 (McFadden, 1974) was computed for all tested models to provide for a comparable estimation of the amount of explained variance among models with different number of parameters. All statistical analyses were done with the R v.3.3.2 software.

Results

Initial characteristics of the reservoir sediments

As expected, the sediments from the three reservoirs were characterized by different grain size distributions, chemical composition and microbial activities (Table 1). More precisely, the volumetric percentages of fine particles (*i.e.*, silt and clay $< 63 \mu m$) in sediments were significantly different among reservoirs with percentages varying from 75.7% for Villerest sediments to 82.4% for Puyvalador sediments, respectively (1-way ANOVA, P < 0.001, Table 1). Moreover, Puyvalador sediments presented a unimodal distribution with a peak around 20 µm (Fig. 2A). Sediments of Villerest also presented a unimodal distribution with a peak around 20 µm but the distribution of sediment grain sizes around the mean was more dispersed than sediments of Puyvalador (Fig. 2B). Grangent sediments had a more heterogeneous distribution with two main modes: a first mode around $20 \,\mu\text{m}$ and a second mode around $300 \,\mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 2C).

TOC, TN, and TP contents were significantly different among sediments (Table 1, 1-way ANOVAs, P value < 0.05). For TOC and TN, an increasing gradient was observed from Grangent sediment (4.47% for TOC and 0.61% for TN) to Villerest sediment (5.90% for TOC and 0.76% for TN) with intermediate

Table 1 Characteristics of Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments before application of oxic, anoxic, and DO fluctuations treatments (mean \pm standard error, N=3)

| Sediments | Puyvalador | Villerest | Grangent |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fine particles < 63 µm (%) | $82.4 \pm 0.41^{\#}$ | $75.7 \pm 0.58^{\$}$ | 79.5 ± 0.86^{pm} |
| Total Organic Carbon (% of sediment dry mass) | $5.65 \pm 0.02^{\#}$ | $5.90 \pm 0.01^{\$}$ | 4.47 ± 0.07^{m} |
| Total Nitrogen (% of sediment dry mass) | $0.66 \pm 0.008^{\#}$ | $0.76 \pm 0.007^{\$}$ | 0.61 ± 0.007^{m} |
| Total Phosphorus (mg g^{-1} dry sediment) | $0.896 \pm 0.090^{\#}$ | $0.957 \pm 0.138^{\#\$}$ | $1.260 \pm 0.149^{\$}$ |
| Fe (g kg ^{-1} dry sediment) | $30.86 \pm 0.41^{\#}$ | $29.76 \pm 0.13^{\#}$ | $36.64 \pm 0.32^{\$}$ |
| Dissolved Oxygen uptake rate (µmol per hour and m ²) | $1406.53 \pm 56.84^{\#}$ | $1847.99 \pm 00.43^{\$}$ | $2022.39 \pm 7.75^{\$}$ |

Different exponent letters (#, §, and α) show significant differences among sediments (Tukey post hoc tests following significant oneway analyses of variance, p < 0.05). Modified from Gautreau et al. (2023)



Fig. 2 Grain size distribution of Puyvalador (A), Villerest (B), and Grangent (C) sediments. Note that the *X*-axis of sediment grain sizes is log scale displayed

values for Puyvalador sediment (5.65% for TOC and 0.66% for TN). TP contents were significantly higher in Grangent (1.260 mg g^{-1} dry sediment) than in Puyvalador (0.896 $\mu g g^{-1}$ dry sediment) sediments (Tukey's post-hoc test, P < 0.05). Villerest sediments had TP concentrations that were not significantly different from those measured in the two other reservoir sediments (Table 1). Concentrations in Fe were significantly higher in Grangent sediments than in Puyvalador and Villerest sediments (Tukey's post hoc tests, P < 0.05, Table 1). No significant difference in Fe concentration was found between Puyvalador and Villerest sediments (Tukey's post hoc test, P > 0.05, Table 1). Microbial DO uptake rates were significantly contrasted among the three reservoir sediments (1-way ANOVAs, P value < 0.01, Table 1) with values increasing from Puyvalador and Villerest to Grangent sediments.

DO concentrations in the water column of the microcosms

The experimental design allowed us to generate contrasting DO conditions in the water column depending on the treatment. DO concentrations were maintained between 7 and 9 mg l^{-1} in the water column for the oxic treatment and were below 0.05 mg l^{-1} for the anoxic treatment during the whole experiment in all tested sediments. In the DO fluctuation treatment, daily variations of DO concentrations differed among sediment treatments with values comprised between 7 and 9 mg l^{-1} at the end of the aeration period and between 0.4 and 5 mg l^{-1} at the end of the no-oxygen supply period (Supplementary Material 1). After 12 h without oxygen supply, the water column of microcosms experienced DO concentrations of 0.44 mg l^{-1} , 1.11 mg l^{-1} , and 5 mg l^{-1} for Grangent, Villerest, and Puyvalador sediment treatments, respectively.

Influences of DO and sediment treatments on DIN dynamics

Under oxic and DO fluctuation conditions, N-NH₄⁺ and N-NO₃⁻ concentrations in the water column of all microcosms varied during the first days of the experiment to reach relatively stable values after 8 days of experiment (Fig. 3, 2-way RM ANOVAs, "time" effect, P < 0.001 for N-NH₄⁺ and N-NO₃⁻, Supplementary Material 2 for detailed statistical results). Under anoxic conditions, N-NH₄⁺ concentrations continuously increased during the experiment in all sediment treatments (Fig. 3). The DO treatment had a significant influence on the main forms of DIN measured in the water column (2-way RM ANOVAs, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001 for $N-NH_4^+$ and $N-NO_3^-$): $N-NO_3^-$ was the dominant form in the microcosms experiencing the oxic treatment, whereas N-NH₄⁺ was the dominant form in the microcosms under anoxic conditions (Fig. 3). The effects of the DO fluctuation treatment on DIN dynamics depended on the sediment treatment (2-way RM ANOVAs, interaction between "DO" and "sediment" treatments, P < 0.001 for DIN). N–NH₄⁺ and N-NO3⁻ concentrations in microcosms exposed to the DO fluctuation treatment were comparable to



Fig. 3 Concentration of N-NH₄⁺ (**A**, **B**, **C**), N–NO₃⁻ as sum of N–NO₃⁻ and N–NO₂⁻ concentrations (**D**, **E**, **F**), and DIN (N–NH₄⁺ + N–NO₂⁻ + N–NO₃⁻) (**G**, **H**, **I**) in the water col-

umn during the experimentations for oxic, DO fluctuation and anoxic treatments of Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments (mean \pm standard error, N=3)

those measured in microcosms experiencing the oxic treatment with Puyvalador and Villerest sediments (Fig. 3). In contrast, N-NO₃⁻ concentrations measured in microcosms with Grangent sediments were significantly lower under the DO fluctuation conditions (0.81 mg l^{-1} on day 15) than under oxic conditions (3.7 mg 1^{-1} on day 15). Grangent sediments produced the lowest DO concentrations (0.44 mg l^{-1}) among the three sediments after stopping the aeration of the water column during 12 h under the DO fluctuation treatment (Supplementary Material 1). DIN enrichment in the water column of microcosms was significantly influenced by DO treatments (2-way RM ANOVAs, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001 for DIN). Higher concentrations of DIN were measured in the water column of microcosms under anoxic conditions than under oxic or DO fluctuation conditions for all tested sediments (Fig. 3). DIN concentrations measured on day 15 under anoxic conditions were 5.5-fold,

2.3-fold, and 1.9-fold higher compared to the oxic conditions for Puyvalador, Grangent and Villerest, sediments, respectively.

For all DO treatments, microcosms filled with Villerest sediments exhibited significantly higher DIN in the water column than the two other sediments at the end of the experiment (2-way RM ANOVAs, "sediment" treatment, P < 0.001). For example, under oxic conditions, N-NO3⁻ concentrations measured in the water column on day 15 were around two-fold and seven-fold higher in Villerest treatment (7.2 mg l^{-1}) compared to Grangent (3.7 mg l^{-1}) and Puyvalador $(1.1 \text{ mg } l^{-1})$ treatments, respectively (Fig. 3). In contrast, Puyvalador sediments produced the lowest concentrations of N-NO3⁻ under oxic conditions and concentrations of N-NH4⁺ under anoxic conditions (Fig. 3). Consequently, DIN concentrations in the water column under both oxic and anoxic conditions increased from Puyvalador to Grangent and Villerest sediments (2-way RM ANOVAs, "sediment" treatment, P < 0.001 for DIN). No significant difference in DIN concentration was detected between Puyvalador (1.58 mg l⁻¹) and Grangent (1.47 mg l⁻¹) treatments under the DO fluctuation treatment (Tukey post hoc test, P > 0.05).

Calculations of DIN fluxes showed that N–NH₄⁺ release rates were positive only in microcosms under anoxic conditions (Fig. 4A, 2-way ANOVA, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001). N–NH₄⁺ release rates were significantly lower in Puyvalador microcosms (mean value: 3.98 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) than in Villerest (mean value: 8.38 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) or Grangent (mean value: 5.99 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) microcosms (2-way ANOVA, "sediment" treatment, P < 0.001). In contrast, only oxic and DO fluctuation conditions produced significant releases of N–NO₃⁻ into the water column (Fig. 4B, 2-way ANOVA, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001). Oxic and DO fluctuation

treatments produced comparable $N-NO_3^-$ release rates for Puyvalador and Villerest sediments, but N-NO₃⁻ release rate was lower under DO fluctuation conditions (0.40 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) than under oxic conditions (2.57 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) for microcosms filled with Grangent sediments (2-way ANOVA, interaction between "DO" and "sediment" treatments, P < 0.001). Significant differences in DIN release rates were found among sediment and DO treatments (Fig. 4C, 2-way ANOVA, interaction between "DO" and "sediment" treatments, P < 0.05). Release rates of DIN in microcosms filled with Villerest sediments were significantly higher for all DO conditions than release rates measured in microcosms with Puyvalador and Grangent sediments (Fig. 4C). Microcosms filled with Grangent sediments presented intermediate release rates of DIN between microcosms filled with Villerest and Puyvalador sediments for all DO treatments (Fig. 4C).

Fig. 4 Release rates of $N-NH_{4}^{+}(A), N-NO_{x}^{-}(B),$ DIN (C), and P-PO₄ (D) from the sediment to the water column for the three oxygen and sediment treatments (mean ± standard error, N=3). For each panel, different symbols (#, § and ¤) show significant differences among treatments (see axis label, Tukey post hoc test, p < 0.05). Release rate of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ in the anoxic treatment was calculated at day 13 for Puyvalador under anoxic conditions due to an analytical problem for this measurement at day 15



Influences of DO and sediment treatments on $P-PO_4^{3-}$ dynamics

 $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentration in the water column significantly varied during the course of the experiment in all conditions (2-way RM ANOVA, "time" effect, P<0.001, Supplementary Material 2 for detailed statistical results) but in the oxic and DO fluctuation treatments they remained lower than 100 μ g l⁻¹ throughout the experiment (Fig. 5AB). In contrast, $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentration in the water column of the anoxic treatment continuously increased to more than 1 mg l^{-1} at the end of the experiment (Fig. 5C). Anoxic conditions significantly increased $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentrations in the water column in comparison with the other oxygen conditions (2-way RM ANOVA, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001). In the presence of Villerest sediments, P-PO₄³⁻ concentrations reached 3.66 mg l^{-1} on day 15 in the anoxic treatment, which were higher by 66-fold (55.4 μ g l⁻¹) and 100-fold (36.2 μ g l⁻¹) compared to the oxic and DO fluctuation treatments, respectively. Significant differences among sediment treatments were also observed (2-way RM ANOVA, "sediment" treatment, P < 0.001) with Villerest sediments producing higher $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentrations in the water column under anoxic conditions than the two other sediments (Fig. 5C). For example, $P-PO_4^{3-}$ concentrations measured on day 13 under anoxic conditions in microcosms with Villerest sediments (3.24 mg l^{-1}) were more than 2.5-fold higher than those measured with Puyvalador (1.03 mg l^{-1}) and Grangent sediments (1.17 mg l^{-1}). Consequently, calculated release rates of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ were the highest in anoxic conditions, compared with the two other oxygen conditions (2-way ANOVA, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001; Fig. 4D). Under anoxic conditions, $P-PO_4^{3-}$ release rates from Villerest sediments (2.42 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) was significantly higher that rates measured with Puyvalador (0.69 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) and Grangent (0.95 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) sediments (2-way ANOVA, "sediment" treatment, P < 0.001).

Influence of sediment characteristics on DIN and $P-PO_4^{3-}$ release rates from sediment to the water column

From the three sediment predictors (proportion of fine sediment particles, total nutrient content, and total oxygen uptake), generalized linear model selections showed that the proportion of fine particles in sediments (clay and silts <63 µm) was the best predictor of nutrient release rates (both DIN and P–PO₄^{3–} release rates) in both oxic and anoxic conditions (Table 2). Whatever the studied nutrient and oxygen conditions, nutrient release rates decreased with the proportion of fine particles (Fig. 6, generalized linear models, $F_{(1,7)}>31$, P<0.001, adjusted R²>0.79 for the four relationships presented in Fig. 6).

Algal growth bioassays

Bioassays showed higher algal growth rates in the water collected from microcosms under anoxic treatment than in the water of microcosms that experienced oxic or DO fluctuation treatments (2-way ANOVA, "DO" treatment, P < 0.001). Algal growth rates were around



Fig. 5 Concentration in $P-PO_4^{3-}$ in water column during the experimentation for oxic (**A**), DO fluctuation (**B**), and anoxic (**C**) treatments for the three sediment treatments (Puyvalador,

Villerest, and Grangent sediments) (mean±standard error, N=3). *Concentrations of P-PO₄³⁻ in the anoxic treatment at day 15 for Puyvalador are lacking due to an analytical problem

| (A) DIN release to water column under oxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² General linear models AIC Adjusted R ² DIN release - FineSed + TN 29,14 0.948 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 30.89 0.936 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 41.11 0.937 DIN release - TN + TOU 41.25 0.799 DIN release - TN + TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release - TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release - TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release - TineSed + TOU 31.96 0.922 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed + TN 30.09 0.910 DIN release - FineSed + TN 30.09 0.910 DIN release - FineSed + TN 30.09 0.910 DIN release - TineSed + TN 30.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - FineSed + TP <th colspan="5" rowspan="2">tions released to water column under oxic (A, C) and anoxic (B, D) conditions (A) DIN release to water column under oxic conditions</th> | tions released to water column under oxic (A, C) and anoxic (B, D) conditions (A) DIN release to water column under oxic conditions | | | | |
|--|---|--------|-------------------------|--|--|
| General linear models AICc Adjusted R ² DIN release – FineSed + TN 29,14 0.944 DIN release – FineSed + TN 29,14 0.948 DIN release – FineSed + TN + TOU 30,89 0.936 DIN release – FineSed + TN + TOU 41,11 0.937 DIN release – FineSed + TN + TOU 41,25 0.799 DIN release – TN + TOU 44,25 0.465 DIN release – TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release – TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release – FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release – FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release – FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release – TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release – TN + TOU 50.29 0.403 DIN release – TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release – TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release – TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release – FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release – FineSed + TN + TOU 88.81 | | | | | |
| DIN release - FineSed 27.80 0.914 DIN release - FineSed + TN 29.14 0.948 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 30.89 0.936 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 41.11 0.937 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 41.125 0.799 DIN release - TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release - TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release - TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release - TN 80.30 0.186 B) DIN release to water column under anoxic conditions 60.022 0.011 General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed + TN 30.09 0.910 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - Tou 50.99 0.279 DO 3 release - FineSed + TDU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³ release - FineSed + TDU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³ release - FineSed + TP 9.69 0. | General linear models | AICc | Adjusted R ² | | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | DIN release ~ FineSed | 27.80 | 0.914 | | |
| DIN release - FineSed + TOU 30.89 0.936 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 41.11 0.937 DIN release - TOU 41.25 0.799 DIN release - TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release - TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release - To water column under anoxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 D101 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 D101 DIN release - FineSed + TN 30.09 0.910 D1N release - TineSed + TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 D1N release - TO 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 D1N release - TO 0.905 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 0.279 C(PQ- ³) release - FineSed + TN + TOU 50.36 0.896 DIN release - ToU 73.44 0.896 0.423 PQ- ³ PO- ³ PO-305 PQ- ³ PO-305 PQ-3 PO-305 <t< td=""><td>DIN release ~ FineSed + TN</td><td>29.14</td><td>0.948</td></t<> | DIN release ~ FineSed + TN | 29.14 | 0.948 | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | DIN release ~ FineSed + TOU | 30.89 | 0.936 | | |
| DIN release -TN + TOU 41.25 0.799 DIN release -TOU 44.25 0.465 DIN release -TN 48.03 0.186 B(D) DIN release to water column under anoxic conditions Image: Conditions Conditions Conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² OIN release - FineSed +TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed +TOU 39.15 0.909 0.910 DIN release - FineSed +TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release - TN + TOU 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - TN + TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PQ ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions Conditions Conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² 0.896 PQ ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 0.91 PQ ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 88.81 0.701 0.791 PQ ₄ ³⁻ | DIN release ~ FineSed + TN + TOU | 41.11 | 0.937 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | DIN release ~ TN + TOU | 41.25 | 0.799 | | |
| DIN release - TN 48.03 0.186 (B) DIN release to water column under anoxic conditions III IIII IIIII IIIII IIIIII IIIIIII IIIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | DIN release ~ TOU | 44.25 | 0.465 | | |
| (B) DIN release to water column under anoxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² DIN release – FineSed 31.96 0.922 DIN release – FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release – FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release – FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release – FineSed + TN 30.09 0.403 DIN release – TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release – TN 50.76 0.896 DIN release – TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PQ ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TP 79.69 0.423 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release – TP 94.67 -0.096 Q.790 PO ₄ ³⁻ release – FineSed + TP | DIN release ~ TN | 48.03 | 0.186 | | |
| General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.76 0.896 DIN release - TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PO ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 906 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 94.67 -0.096 (D)94 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 94.67 -0.096 (D)PO ₄ 90.467 -0.096 (D)PO ₄ 90.467 -0.096 (D)PO ₄ 90.467 -0.096 | (B) DIN release to water column under anoxic condition | S | | | |
| DIN release - FineSed + TOU 31.96 0.922 DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PQ ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TOU 73.44 0.896 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TN - TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TP 94.67 -0.096 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release relase to water column under anoxic conditions General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 0.905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP + TOU 85.89 0.423< | General Linear models | AICc | Adjusted R ² | | |
| DIN release - FineSed + TOU 39.15 0.909 DIN release - FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - TN V 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - TN 50.76 0.896 DIN release - TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PO ₄ ³⁻ release - to water column under oxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TO 73.44 0.896 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TO 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TOU 88.89 0.423 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release - TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.700 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 P | DIN release ~ FineSed | 31.96 | 0.922 | | |
| DIN release - FineSed + TN 39.09 0.910 DIN release - TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release - TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release - FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release - TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PO ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions | DIN release ~ FineSed + TOU | 39.15 | 0.909 | | |
| DIN release ~ TN + TOU 44.38 0.838 DIN release ~ TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release ~ TNU 50.76 0.896 DIN release ~ TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PQ ₄ ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions 4ICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed 73.44 0.896 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 88.89 0.423 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 <td>DIN release ~ FineSed + TN</td> <td>39.09</td> <td>0.910</td> | DIN release ~ FineSed + TN | 39.09 | 0.910 | | |
| DIN release ~ TN 50.29 0.403 DIN release ~ FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release ~ TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PO ₄ ³ release to water column under oxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³ release ~ TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³ release ~ TOU 88.89 0.423 PO ₄ ³ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³ release ~ TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.76 0.769 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.76 0.769 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TP 160.769 <t< td=""><td>DIN release ~ TN + TOU</td><td>44.38</td><td>0.838</td></t<> | DIN release ~ TN + TOU | 44.38 | 0.838 | | |
| DIN release ~ FineSed + TN + TOU 50.76 0.896 DIN release ~ TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PO ₄ ³ release to water column under oxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed 73.44 0.896 PO ₄ ³ release ~ FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TOU 88.89 0.423 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO ₄ ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 1 | DIN release ~ TN | 50.29 | 0.403 | | |
| DIN release ~ TOU 51.99 0.279 (C) PQ4 ³⁻ release to water column under oxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² General Linear models AICc Adjusted R ² PQ4 ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PQ4 ³⁻ release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO4 ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PO4 ³⁻ release ~ TP + TOU 88.89 0.423 PO4 ³⁻ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO4 ³⁻ release release are to water column under anoxic conditions -0.096 0.790 (D) PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO4 ³⁻ release - FineSed + TP | DIN release ~ FineSed + TN + TOU | 50.76 | 0.896 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c } \mbox{(C) PQ_4^{3-} release to water column under oxic conditions} \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \hline \en$ | DIN release ~ TOU | 51.99 | 0.279 | | |
| General Linear models AICc Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed 73.44 0.896 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TOU 78.47 0.905 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PQ_4^{3-} release ~TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PQ_4^{3-} release ~TOU 88.89 0.423 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 PQ_4^{3-} release ~TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO_4^{3-} release to water column under anoxic conditions MICc Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 PQ_4^{3-} release - FineSed 148.79 0.790 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TOU 154.44 0.794 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TOU 155.46 0.769 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 PQ_4^{3-} release ~FineSed + TP + TOU 162.19 0.068 P | $\overline{(C) PO_4^{3-}}$ release to water column under oxic conditions | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{FineSed} + TOU & 78.47 & 0.905 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{FineSed} + TP & 79.69 & 0.891 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{TP} + TOU & 88.81 & 0.701 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{TP} + TOU & 88.89 & 0.423 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{FineSed} + \mbox{TP} + TOU & 89.84 & 0.894 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{FineSed} + \mbox{TP} + TOU & 89.84 & 0.894 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \sim \mbox{TP} & 94.67 & -0.096 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | General Linear models | AICc | Adjusted R ² | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP 78.47 0.905 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP 79.69 0.891 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU 88.81 0.701 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU 88.89 0.423 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) PO_4^{3-} release to water column under anoxic conditions MICc Adjusted R^2 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TOU 154.44 0.794 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 155.46 0.769 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 162.19 0.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 163.73 -0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed | 73.44 | 0.896 | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} ~ FineSed + TP & 79.69 & 0.891 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} ~ TP + TOU & 88.81 & 0.701 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} ~ TOU & 88.89 & 0.423 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} ~ FineSed + TP + TOU & 89.84 & 0.894 \\ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} ~ TP & 94.67 & -0.096 \\ \hline (D) \ PO_4^{3-} \mbox{release} \mbox{releas} \mbox{release} \mbox{releas} \mbox{releas} \mbox{releas} \mbox{releas} \mbox{releas} \mbox{rel} \mbox{rel} \mbox{releas} \mbox{releas} \mbox{rel} \mbo$ | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TOU | 78.47 | 0.905 | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{relase} \sim TP + TOU & 88.81 & 0.701 \\ PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{relase} \sim TOU & 88.89 & 0.423 \\ PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{relase} \sim FineSed + TP + TOU & 89.84 & 0.894 \\ PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{relase} \sim TP & 94.67 & -0.096 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline $ | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP | 79.69 | 0.891 | | |
| $PQ_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TOU 88.89 0.423 $PQ_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 89.84 0.894 $PQ_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) $PQ_4^{3^-}$ release to water column under anoxic conditions AICc Adjusted R ² $PO_4^{3^-}$ release vertices to water column under anoxic conditions 0.790 0.790 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed 148.79 0.790 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TOU 154.44 0.794 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TOU 155.46 0.769 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP 163.73 -0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU | 88.81 | 0.701 | | |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{release} ~ FineSed + TP + TOU & 89.84 & 0.894 \\ PO_4^{3^-} \mbox{release} ~ TP & 94.67 & -0.096 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline tabular$ | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU | 88.89 | 0.423 | | |
| $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP 94.67 -0.096 (D) $PO_4^{3^-}$ release to water column under anoxic conditions AICc Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 $General Linear models$ AICc Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed 148.79 0.790 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TP 150.70 0.864 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TOU 154.44 0.794 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP + TOU 155.46 0.769 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP 163.73 - 0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU | 89.84 | 0.894 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP | 94.67 | - 0.096 | | |
| General Linear modelsAICcAdjusted \mathbb{R}^2 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed148.790.790 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP150.700.864 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TOU154.440.794 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU155.460.769 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU158.390.899 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU162.190.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP163.73- 0.105 | $\overline{(D) PO_4^{3-}}$ release to water column under anoxic condition | ns | | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed148.790.790 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP150.700.864 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TOU154.440.794 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU155.460.769 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU158.390.899 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU162.190.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP163.73- 0.105 | General Linear models | AICc | Adjusted R ² | | |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim FineSed + TP & 150.70 & 0.864 \\ PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim FineSed + TOU & 154.44 & 0.794 \\ PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim TP + TOU & 155.46 & 0.769 \\ PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim FineSed + TP + TOU & 158.39 & 0.899 \\ PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim TOU & 162.19 & 0.068 \\ PO_4^{\ 3^-} \ release \sim TP & 163.73 & -0.105 \\ \end{array}$ | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed | 148.79 | 0.790 | | |
| $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TOU 154.44 0.794 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP + TOU 155.46 0.769 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 $PO_4^{3^-}$ release ~ TP 163.73 - 0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP | 150.70 | 0.864 | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU 155.46 0.769 PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 163.73 - 0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TOU | 154.44 | 0.794 | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU 158.39 0.899 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 163.73 - 0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP + TOU | 155.46 | 0.769 | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU 162.19 0.068 PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 163.73 - 0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ FineSed + TP + TOU | 158.39 | 0.899 | | |
| PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP 163.73 -0.105 | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TOU | 162.19 | 0.068 | | |
| | PO_4^{3-} release ~ TP | 163.73 | - 0.105 | | |

Table 2 Model selections for estimating the influences of sediment characteristics on DIN (A, B) and $P-PO_4^{3-}$ (C, D) concentrations released to water column under oxic (A, C) and anoxic (B, D) conditions

Models are ranked by decreasing Akaike's information criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc). A difference of AICc between two models is considered as a significant improvement in favor of the model with the lowest AICc. The 'adjusted R^{2} ' (modified R^{2} that has been adjusted for the number of factors included in the model) is indicated here as an estimation of the proportion of variance explained by the corresponding model

DIN Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen, *FineSed* logit transformed (proportion of particles < 63 µm in sediments), *TOU* Total Oxygen Uptake in sediments, *TN* Total Nitrogen, *TP* Total Phosphorus



Fig. 6 Regression analyses showing the adjustment of measured release rates of DIN and $P-PO_4^{3-}$ in anoxic and oxic conditions predicted from the percentage of particles < 63 μ m in the three sediments

two-fold higher in the water collected from microcosms filled with Puyvalador and Grangent sediments under anoxic conditions in comparison with the two other DO treatments (Fig. 7). This influence of the anoxic treatment on algal growth in bioassays was more marked with water column collected from Villerest microcosms (2-way ANOVA, interaction between "DO" and "sediment" treatments, P < 0.001): growth rates were increased by nine-fold and 4.5-fold in waters collected from the anoxic treatment compared with the waters from microcosms that experienced oxic or DO fluctuation treatments, respectively. Significant differences in algal growth rate were detected among sediment treatments (2-way ANOVA, "sediment" effect, P < 0.001). For microcosms that experienced anoxic conditions, algal growth rates in waters collected from Villerest microcosms were four- and three-fold higher than rates measured in waters collected from Puyvalador and Grangent microcosms, respectively. Algal growth measured in the water collected from Villerest microcosms under anoxic condition was the only treatment resulting in higher (\times 1.9, Fig. 7) algal growth rate compared to the algal culture medium (*i.e.*, in Chu medium used as positive control).

Discussion

The prediction that anoxic conditions would produce higher concentrations of NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-} and lower

Fig. 7 Ratios between algal growth in the water collected from the microcosms and algal growth in the positive control (Chu medium) for the three sediment treatments (i.e., Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments) and the three oxygen treatments (i.e., oxic, DO fluctuation, and anoxic conditions) (mean ± standard error, N=3



concentrations of NO_3^- in the water column than oxic conditions was verified by the experimental results. We also validated that anoxic conditions would lead to higher total DIN concentrations in the water column compared with the oxic conditions. As classically observed (e.g., Carignan et al., 1985; Fenchel et al., 2012), NH₄⁺ that was produced by OM mineralization via ammonification in the sediment environment diffused into the water column for all oxygen and sediment treatments. However, biogeochemical processes involved in the N cycling were different in oxic and anoxic conditions. Oxic conditions favored the nitrification process at the SWI (i.e., transformation of NH_4^+ into NO_2^- then NO_3^-), whereas nitrification was inhibited in anoxic conditions (Rysgaard et al., 1994; Malecki et al., 2004). Consequently, the accumulation of NH_4^+ in the water column was favored in anoxic conditions, whereas NO₃⁻ was the dominant form of DIN in the water column in oxic conditions. Release rates of NH_4^+ were the highest in anoxic conditions (between 3.9 and 8.4 mg day⁻¹ m⁻² for Puyvalador and Villerest, respectively) than in oxic conditions for all tested sediments. These results were comparable to release rates of NH_4^+ in meso/ eutrophic lakes (between 5.5 and 10 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²) measured under anoxic conditions, while they were negligible in oxic conditions (Beutel, 2006).

For P dynamics, PO_4^{3-} concentrations in the water column were higher in anoxic than in oxic conditions (×550 for Puyvalador,×66 for Villerest, and ×40 for Grangent sediments). Anoxic conditions probably caused the reductive dissolution of Fe/Mn/Al oxides and the release of associated PO_4^{3-} (e.g., Steinman et al., 2016). This reduction mechanism increased PO_4^{3-} concentration into the interstitial water and consequently, the molecular diffusion of PO₄³⁻ from the interstitial water to the water column (Mortimer, 1941; Boström et al., 1988; Boudreau, 1996; Rapin et al., 2019). The release rates of PO_4^{3-} were also significantly higher in anoxic conditions than those measured under oxic conditions (between × 87 for Grangent and × 811 for Puyvalador sediments). These values were comparable to those reported in the review of Nürnberg (1988) for meso/eutrophic lakes (from 0.8 to maximum release rate of 32 mg day⁻¹ m⁻²). DO availability modified PO_4^{3-} dynamics through adsorption/desorption mechanisms with Fe/Mn/Al oxides, which was in accordance with the measured concentrations of Fe in sediments (Table 1) that might have adsorbed P in oxic conditions. Total iron to total phosphorus ratios (Fe:P by weight) in the three sediments (i.e., 34.7, 31.5, and 29.4 for Puyvalador, Villerest, and Grangent sediments, respectively) were largely higher than 15, which is the Fe:P ratio index (by weight) proposed by Jensen et al. (1992) above which Fe content is expected to control PO_4^{3-} release from oxic sediments. Generalized linear models showed that the percentage of fine particles ($< 63 \mu m$) also appeared as a main factor influencing the release rate of PO_4^{3-} (but also DIN) from sediments to the water column in both oxic and anoxic conditions. Differences in rates of diffusive transport of solutes at the SWI of control microcosms among sediment treatments were probably due to contrasting sediment properties (i.e., porosity and both pore connectivity and tortuosity) (Boudreau, 1996; Shen & Chen, 2007) associated with differences in particle grain size distribution among sediments. It seemed from the present experiment that the proportion of clay

and silt particles in reservoir sediments reduced the release rates of PO₄³⁻ by limiting molecular diffusion between sediment and surface water compartment. In contrast, the stock of phosphorus in sediments and the microbial mineralization of organic P had low influences on PO_4^{3-} fluxes at the SWI. The generalized linear model selection did not indicate that total phosphorus and total oxygen uptake were the main factors influencing PO_4^{3-} fluxes at the SWI in both oxic and anoxic conditions. However, this lack of link between TP and PO_4^{3-} fluxes at the SWI was probably due to the narrow range of TP content of the reservoir sediments tested (from 0.89 to 1.26 mg of total phosphorus per g of dry sediment). Positive relationships between the concentration of TP in sediments and P release rates from sediments to the water column were classically observed when wider ranges of TP content were considered (e.g., Jensen et al., 1992).

While DIN forms measured in the water column depended on the oxygen treatment $\left(NH_4^{+}\right.$ and NO₃⁻ in anoxic and oxic conditions, respectively), total DIN concentrations measured on day 15 in the anoxic conditions were 5.5-fold, 2.3-fold, and 1.9fold higher compared to the oxic conditions for Puyvalador, Grangent, and Villerest sediments, respectively. These differences were probably linked to contrasted microbial activities involved in N cycles depending on oxygen availability. Under oxic conditions, NH₄⁺ released in water column was successively nitrified (transformation of NH_4^+ to NO_3^-) and then denitrified (transformation of NO₃⁻ to gaseous N_2) after diffusion of NO_3^- from oxic water column to anoxic layers of the sediment compartment. This denitrification process resulted in a net loss of N in all oxic treatments. Under anoxic conditions, NH_4^+ concentrations continuously increased in the water column, suggesting that NH₄⁺ transformation into NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ was limited in the absence of oxygen. Consequently, denitrification process was inhibited under anoxic conditions, limiting the loss of DIN from water column by gaseous N₂ production. No volatilization of NH₃ associated with NH₄-NH₃ equilibrium could occur because pH (~7.2) was markedly lower than 10 in microcosms under anoxic treatment (Reddy & DeLaune, 2008). Moreover, NO₂⁻ concentrations measured in water column were very low (mean between 15 μ g l⁻¹ for Puyvalador and 26 μ g l⁻¹ for Villerest on day 15) in anoxic treatments, leading to no or very low anammox activity (transformation

of N–NH₄⁺ and N–NO₂⁻ to gaseous N₂) (Mulder et al., 1995; Thamdrup & Dalsgaard, 2002). Differences in N loss by denitrification between anoxic (inhibition of denitrification) and oxic (nitrification of released NH₄⁺ from sediment followed by a denitrification of the produced NO₃⁻) treatments could explain the lower concentrations of DIN measured in water column of microcosms under oxic conditions than under anoxic conditions. As demonstrated by Jenkins & Kemp (1984) in estuarine sediments, oxygen availability had control over nitrification process and associated denitrification in the present study.

Under daily fluctuation of DO, we expected that nitrification and denitrification at the SWI would be stimulated alternately by high and low oxygen concentrations in the water column, reducing the accumulation of NO₃⁻ in the water column in comparison with the oxic treatment. This prediction has been validated for microcosms filled with Grangent sediments in which NO_3^{-} concentrations in water column were around three-fold lower in the DO fluctuation treatment than in the oxic treatment. The microbial activity and oxygen uptake rate measured in Grangent sediment were high enough to produce large DO fluctuations at the SWI that favored nitrification process during aeration (7–8 mg l^{-1} of DO) and denitrification process after the turn off of air source for 12 h $(0.44 \text{ mg } 1^{-1} \text{ of DO})$. However, this stimulation of nitrification and denitrification alternately by low and high DO concentrations was not observed in the two other sediments characterized by lower oxygen uptake rates (- 40% for Puyvalador sediments and - 30% for Villerest sediments) than in Grangent sediments. Because of these lower respiration rates, DO concentrations never dropped below 1 mg l⁻¹ under DO fluctuation treatments for Puyvalador (5.08 mg l^{-1}) and Villerest (1.11 mg l^{-1}) sediments. Consequently, DO fluctuations did not stimulate the nitrification-denitrification coupling and DIN dynamics were comparable in the DO fluctuation and oxic treatments for these two sediments. The DO fluctuation treatment showed that the microbial respiration rate in sediment might have regulated N cycling by determining oxic/anoxic conditions in the water column. This conclusion would be consistent with several works (Kemp et al., 2009; Howarth et al., 2011; Steinsberger et al., 2019) indicating that biogeochemical feedbacks associated with OM mineralization and oxygen uptake rates in sediments determined the availability of N in aquatic

ecosystems. The selection of generalized linear models also showed that the percentage of fine particles $(< 63 \mu m)$ was a main factor determining DIN release rate in both oxic and anoxic conditions. As observed for PO₄³⁻, DIN release rates decreased with the percentage of clay and silt particles, suggesting that fine particles significantly reduced the diffusion rate of dissolved nutrients from sediments to the water column. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that such physical effect of sediment characteristics on nutrient diffusion from sediments to the overlying water was observed in absence of bioturbating fauna, which could increase sediment porosity at the SWI (Berg et al., 2001). By creating biogenic structures in sediments, bioturbating fauna can increase the water and solute exchanges at the SWI in a wide range of sediments. Using the three reservoir sediments of the present experiment, Gautreau et al. (2023) demonstrated that the highest release rates of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ were measured in microcosms filled with Grangent sediments when the SWI was bioturbated by tubificid worms or chironomid larvae. The present study showed that, without bioturbation, the highest release rates of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ were measured in the water column of microcosms filled with Villerest sediments. So, the release rates measured in the present study cannot be directly transferred to reservoir sediments inhabited by bioturbating fauna (Mulsow et al., 1998).

Algal growth bioassays showed that the highest algal growth rates were measured in the water column of microcosms containing the highest concentrations of PO_4^{3-} (*i.e.*, water collected from microcosms exposed to anoxic conditions). P availability for algae was higher in the water column of microcosms experiencing anoxic conditions than in other DO conditions. Following the Redfield ratio of 16 as the optimal molar N:P ratio for algal growth (Redfield, 1958; Goldman, 1980) and especially for the growth of Raphidocelis subcapitata (Silva et al., 2015), calculations of ratios from DIN and PO43- concentrations measured at the end of the experiment indicated that N:P ratios were not P-limited in waters collected from microcosms under anoxic conditions, whereas N:P ratios suggested P-limited conditions in water from microcosms exposed to DO fluctuation or oxic treatments. Ratios measured under anoxic conditions were 8.2, 14.2, and 14.1 for Villerest, Puyvalador, and Grangent sediments, respectively. In contrast, molar N:P ratios in oxic and DO fluctuation treatments were comprised between 65 and 1450, suggesting a strong P limitation and reduced algal growth rate (Guildford & Hecky, 2000). As observed in many eutrophic lakes during the summer and early autumn (Kowalczewska-Madura & Gołdyn, 2012; Søndergaard et al., 2017), our results confirmed that anoxic conditions at the SWI produced a comparatively higher release of PO_4^{3-} than a release of DIN from sediments to water column, stimulating phytoplankton growth by relaxing P limitation. Under anoxic conditions, DIN was mainly as N-NH₄⁺, which was likely more readily assimilated by Raphidocelis sub*capitata* than N–NO₃⁻ (Silva et al., 2015). This difference in nitrogen form availability could explain why the algal growth measured in the water collected from Villerest microcosms under anoxic condition was 1.9-fold higher than the growth rate measured in Chu medium characterized by nitrate as the sole source of inorganic nitrogen.

Conclusion

The first main conclusion of this study was that anoxic conditions at the SWI had a major influence on the release of DIN and PO₄³⁻ from sediments to the water column. In all tested sediments, the anoxic treatment showed the largest release of DIN, as NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-} , compared to the oxic and DO fluctuation treatments. Sediment grain size distribution had also a major role in DIN and PO_4^{3-} fluxes at the SWI in all DO conditions. By reducing the porosity, the percentage of silt and clay probably limited the diffusion rate of dissolved nutrients from sediment to water column. This physical effect on nutrient release rates is probably not dominant in sediments inhabited by bioturbating fauna but it should be in sediment systems characterized by anoxic conditions which are unfavorable for benthic fauna (e.g., Real & Prat, 1992). Under anoxic conditions, sediment grain size such as the percentage of fine particles could be used as a proxy to evaluate nutrient release rates at the SWI of reservoirs. These findings suggested to develop field works on a wide range of lakes and reservoirs to determine whether redox changes at the SWI associated with sediment characteristics could be used as early-warning indicators of algal blooms in eutrophic freshwater ecosystems.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the ANRT (Association Nationale Recherche Technologie) and EDF (Electricité de France) for Edwige Gautreau's Ph.D. Grant (convention bourse CIFRE-EDF: No. 0733/2016). This study was funded by a partnership between EDF and CNRS (CNRS No. 149389) and by a Contrat Plan Etat Région (Lyon Metropole/Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes Region/French Government) within the framework of Sedagua+ Platform (FR 3728 Biodiversité, Eau, Ville, Santé-BioEEnViS-). This work was performed within the framework of the EUR H2O'Lyon (ANR-17-EURE-0018) of Université de Lyon (UdL), within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR). We also thank Athos Environnement (Antoine Thouvenot, David Foltier, and Benjamin Legrand), Pierre Rossignol, Laurent Simon, Pierre Marmonier, Simon Navel, Yohan Lebon, Colin Issartel, and Antoine Gosset for their support and advices during field sampling and laboratory works. The manuscript greatly benefited from insightful comments raised by two anonymous reviewers.

Funding This work received support from CIFRE-EDF (Grant No. 0733/2016), Électricité de France (Grant No. 149389), Contrat Plan Etat Région (Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes) (Grant No. Sedaqua).

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Ethical approval All applicable international, national, and/ or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

References

- Amorim, C. A. & A. do Nascimento Moura, 2021. Ecological impacts of freshwater algal blooms on water quality, plankton biodiversity, structure, and ecosystem functioning. Science of the Total Environment 758: 143605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143605.
- Andersen, R. A., 2005. Algal culturing techniques, Academic Press, Burlington:, 578.
- Anschutz, P., A. Ciutat, P. Lecroart, M. Gérino & A. Boudou, 2012. Effects of tubificid worm bioturbation on freshwater sediment biogeochemistry. Aquatic Geochemistry 18: 475–497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-012-9171-6.
- Berg, P., S. Rysgaard, P. Funch & M. K. Sejr, 2001. Effects of bioturbation on solutes and solids in marine sediments. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 26: 81–94. https://doi.org/10. 3354/ame026081.
- Berner, R. A., 1980. Early diagenesis: A theoretical approach, Princeton University Press, Princeton:, 256.

- Beutel, M. W., 2006. Inhibition of ammonia release from anoxic profundal sediments in lakes using hypolimnetic oxygenation. Ecological Engineering 28: 271–279. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2006.05.009.
- Beutel, M. W., T. M. Leonard, S. R. Dent & B. C. Moore, 2008. Effects of aerobic and anaerobic conditions on P, N, Fe, Mn, and Hg accumulation in waters overlaying profundal sediments of an oligo-mesotrophic lake. Water Research 42: 1953–1962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres. 2007.11.027.
- Bortleson, G. C. & G. F. Lee, 1974. Phosphorus, iron, and manganese distribution in sediment cores of six Wisconsin lakes. Limnology and Oceanography 19: 794–801. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1974.19.5.0794.
- Boström, B., J. M. Andersen, S. Fleischer & M. Jansson, 1988. Exchange of phosphorus across the sediment-water interface. In Persson, G. & M. Jansson (eds), Phosphorus in freshwater ecosystems Springer, Dordrecht: 229–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3109-1_14.
- Boudreau, B. P., 1996. The diffusive tortuosity of fine-grained unlithified sediments. Geochimica and Cosmochimica Acta 60: 3139–3142. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00158-5.
- Burnham, K. P. & D. R. Anderson, 2002. Model selection and multi-model inference: A practical information-theoretic approach, Springer, New York:, 488. https://doi.org/10. 1007/b97636.
- Carignan, R., F. Rapin & A. Tessier, 1985. Sediment porewater sampling for metal analysis: a comparison of techniques. Geochimica and Cosmochimica Acta 49: 2493–2497. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(85)90248-0.
- Chu, S. P., 1942. The influence of the mineral composition of the medium on the growth of planktonic algae: Part I. Methods and culture media. Journal of Ecology 30: 284– 325. https://doi.org/10.2307/2256574.
- Cymbola, J., M. Ogdahl & A. D. Steinman, 2008. Phytoplankton response to light and internal phosphorus loading from sediment release. Freshwater Biology 53: 2530–2542.
- Ekeroth, N., S. Blomqvist & P. Hall, 2016. Nutrient fluxes from reduced Baltic Sea sediment: effects of oxygenation and macrobenthos. Marine Ecology Progress Series 544: 77–92. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11592.
- Fenchel, T., T. H. Blackburn & G. M. King, 2012. Chapter 7: Aquatic sediments bacterial biogeochemistry: the ecophysiology of mineral cycling, Academic Press, London:, 121–142.
- Forsberg, C., 1989. Importance of sediments in understanding nutrient cyclings in lakes. Hydrobiologia 176: 263–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00026561.
- Fukuhara, H. & M. Sakamoto, 1987. Enhancement of inorganic nitrogen and phosphate release from lake sediment by tubificid worms and chironomid larvae. Oikos 48: 312– 320. https://doi.org/10.2307/3565519.
- Gautreau, E., L. Volatier, G. Nogaro, E. Gouze, P. Marmonier & F. Mermillod-Blondin, 2020. The influence of bioturbation and water column oxygenation on nutrient recycling in reservoir sediments. Hydrobiologia 847: 1027–1040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-019-04166-0.
- Gautreau, E., L. Volatier, G. Nogaro, E. Gouze, P. Marmonier & F. Mermillod-Blondin, 2023. Interactions between microbial activity and bioturbation modes of benthic

invertebrates determine nutrient releases from reservoir sediments. Freshwater Biology 68: 245–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.14021.

- Gebara, R. C., L. D. O. G. Alho, G. S. Rocha, A. da Silva Mansano & M. D. G. G. Melão, 2020. Zinc and aluminum mixtures have synergic effects to the algae *Raphidocelis subcapitata* at environmental concentrations. Chemosphere 242: 125231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemo sphere.2019.125231.
- Gilbert, F., S. Hulth, V. Grossi & R. C. Aller, 2016. Redox oscillation and benthic nitrogen mineralization within burrowed sediments: an experimental simulation at low frequency. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 482: 75–84.
- Giles, C. D., P. D. Isles, T. Manley, Y. Xu, G. K. Druschel & A. W. Schroth, 2016. The mobility of phosphorus, iron, and manganese through the sediment–water continuum of a shallow eutrophic freshwater lake under stratified and mixed water-column conditions. Biogeochemistry 127: 15–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0144-x.
- Glud, R. N., 2008. Oxygen dynamics of marine sediments. Marine Biological Research 4: 243–289. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/17451000801888726.
- Goldman, J. C., 1980. Physiological processes, nutrient availability, and the concept of relative growth rate in marine phytoplankton ecology. In Falkowski, P. G. (ed), Primary productivity in the sea Springer, Boston: 179–194. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-3890-1_10.
- Grasshoff, K., M. Ehrhardt & K. Kremling, 1983. Methods of seawater analysis, 2nd ed. Verlag Chemie, Berlin:
- Guildford, S. J. & R. E. Hecky, 2000. Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and nutrient limitation in lakes and oceans: is there a common relationship? Limnology and Oceanography 45: 1213–1223. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000. 45.6.1213.
- Howarth, R., F. Chan, D. J. Conley, J. Garnier, S. C. Doney, R. Marino & G. Billen, 2011. Coupled biogeochemical cycles: eutrophication and hypoxia in temperate estuaries and coastal marine ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and Environment 9: 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1890/100008.
- Huang, C., L. Zhang, Y. Li, C. Lin, T. Huang, M. Zhang, A.-X. Zhu, H. Yang & X. Wang, 2018. Carbon and nitrogen burial in a plateau lake during eutrophication and phytoplankton blooms. Science of the Total Environment 616: 296–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.320.
- Huettel, M. & I. T. Webster, 2001. Porewater flow in permeable sediments. In Boudreau, B. P. & B. B. Jorgensen (eds), The benthic boundary layer: Transport processes and biogeochemistry Oxford University Press, New York: 144–177.
- Hupfer, M. & J. Lewandowski, 2008. Oxygen controls the phosphorus release from lake sediments – a long-lasting paradigm in limnology. International Review of Hydrobiology 93: 415–432. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.20071 1054.
- Jenkins, M. C. & W. M. Kemp, 1984. The coupling of nitrification and denitrification in two estuarine sediments. Limnology and Oceanography 29: 609–619. https://doi.org/ 10.4319/lo.1984.29.3.0609.
- Jensen, H. S., P. Kristensen, E. Jeppesen & A. Skytthe, 1992. Iron: phosphorus ratio in surface sediment as an indicator

m-K. Kangur, J. Köhler, E. Lammens, T. L. Lauridsen, M. Manca, M. Miracle, B. Moss, P. Noges, G. Persson, G. Philling, P. Particlia, S. Parta, C. L. Schelder, D. Straita

Phillips, R. Portielje, S. Romo, C. L. Schelske, D. Straile, I. Tatrai, E. Willen & M. Winder, 2005. Lake responses to reduced nutrient loading – an analysis of contemporary long-term data from 35 case studies. Freshwater Biology 50: 1747–1771. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005. 01415.x.

of phosphate release from aerobic sediments in shallow

lakes. Hydrobiologia 235(236): 731-743. https://doi.org/

Anneville, L. Carvalho, M. F. Coveney, R. Deneke, M. T.

Dokulil, B. O. Foy, D. Gerdeaux, S. E. Hampton, S. Hilt,

Jeppesen, E., M. Sondergaard, J. P. Jensen, K. E. Havens, O.

10.1007/978-94-011-2783-7_66.

- Joshi, S., K. K. Ravi, J. B. David, E. B. Mark, L. S. Donald & P. J. Deb, 2015. Organic matter remineralization predominates phosphorus cycling in the mid-bay sediments in the Chesapeake Bay. Environmental Science and Technology 49: 5887–5896. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5059617.
- Kemp, W. M., J. M. Testa, D. J. Conley, D. Gilbert & J. D. Hagy, 2009. Temporal responses of coastal hypoxia to nutrient loading and physical controls. Biogeosciences 6: 2985–3008. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2985-2009.
- Kowalczewska-Madura, K. & R. Gołdyn, 2012. Spatial and seasonal variability of pore water phosphorus concentration in shallow Lake Swarzędzkie, Poland. Environmental Monitoring and Assessement 184: 1509–1516. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10661-011-2056-0.
- Kristensen, E., 2000. Organic matter diagenesis at the oxic/ anoxic interface in coastal marine sediments, with emphasis on the role of burrowing animals. Hydrobiologia 426: 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4148-2_1.
- Lavery, P. S., C. E. Oldham & M. Ghisalberti, 2001. The use of Fick's First Law for predicting porewater nutrient fluxes under diffusive conditions. Hydrological Processes 15: 2435–2451. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.297.
- Li, Y., Y. Liu, H. Wang, Z. Zuo, Z. Yan, L. Wang, D. Wang, C. Liu & D. Yu, 2023. In situ remediation mechanism of internal nitrogen and phosphorus regeneration and release in shallow eutrophic lakes by combining multiple remediation techniques. Water Research 229: 119394.
- Ma, J., S. Wang, P. Wang, L. Ma, X. Chen & R. Xu, 2006. Toxicity assessment of 40 herbicides to the green alga *Raphidocelis subcapitata*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 63: 456–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv. 2004.12.001.
- Malecki, L. M., J. R. White & K. R. Reddy, 2004. Nitrogen and phosphorus flux rates from sediment in the lower St. Johns River estuary. Journal of Environmental Quality 33: 1545–1555. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.1545.
- McFadden, D., 1974. Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behaviour. In Zarembka, P. (ed), Frontiers in econometrics Academic Press, New York: 105–142.
- Meinikmann, K., M. Hupfer & J. Lewandowski, 2015. Phosphorus in groundwater discharge – a potential source for lake eutrophication. Journal of Hydrology 524: 214–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.02.031.
- Moore, P., Jr., K. Reddy & D. Graetz, 1992. Nutrient transformations in sediments as influenced by oxygen supply. Journal of Environmental Quality 21: 387–393. https:// doi.org/10.2134/jeq1992.00472425002100030014x.

- Moreira-Santos, M., A. M. Soares & R. Ribeiro, 2004. An in situ bioassay for freshwater environments with the microalga *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 59: 164–173. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2003.07.004.
- Mortimer, C. H., 1941. The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes. Journal of Ecology 29: 280–329. https://doi.org/10.2307/2256395.
- Mulder, A., A. A. Graaf, L. A. Robertson & J. G. Kuenen, 1995. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation discovered in a denitrifying fluidized bed reactor. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 16: 177–184. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00281.x.
- Mulsow, S., B. P. Boudreau & J. A. Smith, 1998. Bioturbation and porosity gradients. Limnology and Oceanography 43: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.1.000I.
- Murphy, J. & J. P. Riley, 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chimica Acta 27: 31–36. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5.
- Nürnberg, G. K., M. Tarvainen, A. M. Ventelä & J. Sarvala, 2012. Internal phosphorus load estimation during biomanipulation in a large polymictic and mesotrophic lake. Inland Waters 2: 147e162. https://doi.org/10.5268/IW-2.3. 469.
- Paquet, N., N. Indiketi, C. Dalencourt, D. Larivière, S. Roberge, N. Gruyer, G. Triffault-Bouchet & C. Fortin, 2019. Toxicity of tailing leachates from a niobium mine toward three aquatic organisms. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 176: 355–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv. 2019.03.065.
- Pett-Ridge, J., D. G. Petersen, E. Nuccio & M. K. Firestone, 2013. Influence of oxic/anoxic fluctuations on ammonia oxidizers and nitrification potential in a wet tropical soil. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 85: 179–194. https://doi. org/10.1111/1574-6941.12111.
- Prata, J. C., C. Venâncio, A. V. Girão, J. P. da Costa, I. Lopes, A. C. Duarte & T. Rocha-Santos, 2022. Effects of virgin and weathered polystyrene and polypropylene microplastics on *Raphidocelis subcapitata* and embryos of *Danio rerio* under environmental concentrations. Science of the Total Environment 816: 151642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2021.151642.
- Rapin, A., M. Grybos, M. Rabiet, B. Mourier & V. Deluchat, 2019. Phosphorus mobility in dam reservoir affected by redox oscillations: an experimental study. Journal of Environmental Science 77: 250–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jes.2018.07.016.
- Real, M. & N. Prat, 1992. Factors influencing the distribution of chironomids and oligochaetes in profundal areas of Spanish reservoirs. Netherland Journal of Aquatic Ecology 26: 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02255269.
- Reddy, K. R. & R. D. DeLaune, 2008. Biogeochemistry of wetlands: science and applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton:
- Redfield, A. C., 1958. The biological control of chemical factors in the environment. American Scientist 46: 205–221.
- Ronael Gilbert, E., M. Garcia de Camargo & L. Sandrini-Neto, 2014. Package rysgran. https://www.rdocumentation.org/ packages/rysgran/versions/2.1.0.
- Ruban, V., S. Brigault, D. Demare & A. M. Philippe, 1999. An investigation of the origin and mobility of phosphorus in

freshwater sediments from Bort-Les-Orgues Reservoir, France. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 1: 403–407. https://doi.org/10.1039/A902269D.

- Rydin, E., 2000. Potentially mobile phosphorus in Lake Erken sediment. Water Research 34: 2037–2042. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00375-9.
- Rysgaard, S., N. Risgaard-Petersen, S. Niels Peter, J. Kim & N. Lars Peter, 1994. Oxygen regulation of nitrification and denitrification in sediments. Limnology and Oceanography 39: 1643–1652. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1994.39.7. 1643.
- Shen, L. & Z. Chen, 2007. Critical review of the impact of tortuosity on diffusion. Chemical Engineering Science 62: 3748–3755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2007.03.041.
- Silva, N. F. P., A. L. Gonçalves, F. C. Moreira, T. F. C. V. Silva, F. G. Martins, M. C. M. Alvim-Ferraz, R. A. R. Boaventura, V. J. P. Vilar & J. C. M. Pires, 2015. Towards sustainable microalgal biomass production by phycoremediation of a synthetic wastewater: a kinetic study. Algal Research 11: 350–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal. 2015.07.014.
- Søndergaard, M., J. P. Jensen & E. Jeppesen, 2003. Role of sediment and internal loading of phosphorus in shallow lakes. Hydrobiologia 506: 135–145. https://doi.org/10. 1023/B:HYDR.0000008611.12704.dd.
- Søndergaard, M., T. L. Lauridsen, L. S. Johansson & E. Jeppesen, 2017. Nitrogen or phosphorus limitation in lakes and its impact on phytoplankton biomass and submerged macrophyte cover. Hydrobiologia 795: 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3110-x.
- Steinman, A., R. Rediske & K. R. Reddy, 2004. The reduction of internal phosphorus loading using alum in Spring Lake, Michigan. Journal of Environmental Quality 33: 2040– 2048. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.2040.
- Steinman, A. D., M. Ogdahl & X. Chu, 2009. Spatial and temporal variability of internal and external phosphorus loads in an urbanizing watershed. Aquatic Ecology 43: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-007-9147-6.
- Steinman, A., M. Abdimalik, M. E. Ogdahl & M. Oudsema, 2016. Understanding planktonic vs. benthic algal response to manipulation of nutrients and light in a eutrophic lake. Lake and Reservoir Management 32: 402–409. https://doi. org/10.1080/10402381.2016.1235065.
- Steinsberger, T., B. Müller, C. Gerber, B. Shafei & M. Schmid, 2019. Modeling sediment oxygen demand in a highly productive lake under various trophic scenarios. PLoS ONE 14: e0222318. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.02223 18.
- Suzuki, S., H. Yamaguchi, N. Nakajima & M. Kawachi, 2018. Raphidocelis subcapitata (= Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata) provides an insight into genome evolution and environmental adaptations in the Sphaeropleales. Scientific Reports 8: 8058. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-26331-6.
- Thamdrup, B. & T. Dalsgaard, 2002. Production of N₂ through anaerobic ammonium oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction in marine sediments. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68: 1312–1318. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.68.3.1312-1318.2002.
- Tolhurst, T. J., M. G. Chapman, A. J. Underwood & J. J. Cruz, 2012. Technical note: the effects of five different

defaunation methods on biogeochemical properties of intertidal sediment. Biogeosciences 9: 3647–3661. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-3647-2012.

- US EPA, 1991. Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms. EPA/600/4-90/027. https://19january2017sn apshot.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/ acute-freshwater-and-marine-wet-manual_2002.pdf
- Venâncio, C., E. Anselmo, A. Soares & I. Lopes, 2017. Does increased salinity influence the competitive outcome of two producer species? Environmental Science and Pollution Research 24: 5888–5897. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-016-8346-x.
- Watson, S. B., C. Miller, G. Arhonditsis, G. L. Boyer, W. Carmichael, M. N. Charlton, R. Confesor, D. C. Depew, T. O. Hook, S. A. Ludsin, G. Matisoff, S. P. McElmurry, M. W. Murray, R. P. Richards, Y. R. Rao, M. M. Steffen & S. W. Wilhelm, 2016. The re-eutrophication of Lake Erie: harmful algal blooms and hypoxia. Harmful Algae 56: 44–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.04.010.
- Welch, E. & G. Denniscooke, 2005. Internal phosphorus loading in shallow lakes: importance and control. Lake and Reservoir Management 21: 209e217. https://doi.org/10. 1080/07438140509354430.
- Wetzel, R. G., 2001. The phosphorus cycle. Limnology: lake and river ecosystems, Academic Press, San Diego: https:// doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-057439-4.50017-4.

- Yang, C., P. Yang, J. Geng, H. Yin & K. Chen, 2020. Sediment internal nutrient loading in the most polluted area of a shallow eutrophic lake (Lake Chaohu, China) and its contribution to lake eutrophication. Environmental Pollution 262: 114292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020. 114292.
- Zuur, A. F., E. N. Ieno & C. S. Elphick, 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1: 3–14. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.