PRIMARY RESEARCH PAPER

Aquatic ecosystems of the Yucatán Peninsula (Mexico), Belize, and Guatemala

Liseth Pérez · Rita Bugja · Julia Lorenschat · Mark Brenner • Jason Curtis • Philipp Hoelzmann • Gerald Islebe • Burkhard Scharf • Antje Schwalb

Received: 31 May 2010 / Revised: 5 October 2010 / Accepted: 8 November 2010 / Published online: 20 November 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract This study presents limnological and morphological characteristics, physical and chemical properties of waters, and geochemistry of surface sediments for 63 aquatic ecosystems located on the karst Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas of Belize and the Guatemalan highlands and eastern lowlands. Our principal goal was to classify the aquatic systems based on their water variables. A

Handling editor: J.M. Melack

L. Pérez (⊠) · R. Bugja · J. Lorenschat · B. Scharf - A. Schwalb Institut für Umweltgeologie, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Langer Kamp 19c, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany e-mail: l.perez@tu-bs.de

M. Brenner - J. Curtis Department of Geological Sciences and Land Use and Environmental Change Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

P. Hoelzmann

Institut für Geographische Wissenschaften, Physische Geographie, Freie Universität Berlin, Malteserstrasse 74-100, 12249 Berlin, Germany

G. Islebe

Herbario, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), Unidad Chetumal Av. del Centenario 424, 77000 Chetumal, Quintana Roo, Mexico

principal component analysis (PCA) of the surface water chemistry data showed that a large fraction of the variance (29%) in water chemistry is explained by conductivity and major ion concentrations. The broad conductivity range, from 168 to 55,300 μ S cm⁻¹ reflects saline water intrusion affecting coastal aquatic environments, and the steep NW–S precipitation gradient, from \sim 450 to >3,200 mm year⁻¹. Coastal waterbodies Celestún and Laguna Rosada displayed the highest conductivities. Minimum surface water temperatures of 21.6° C were measured in highland lakes, and warmest temperatures, up to 31.7° C, were recorded in the lowland waterbodies. Most lakes showed thermal stratification during the sampling period, with the exception of some shallow $(<10 \text{ m})$ systems. Lakes Chichancanab, Milagros, and Bacalar displayed sulfate-rich waters. Waters of sinkholes had relatively high conductivities ($\langle 3,670 \text{ }\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) and a broad range of δ^{18} O values (-4.1 to +3.8%). Ca, $HCO₃$, and $SO₄$ dominated the waters of the lowland lakes, whereas Na was the dominant cation in highland lakes. Coastal aquatic ecosystems were dominated by Na and Cl. Cluster analysis based on surface water variables classified aquatic environments of the lowlands and highlands into three groups: (1) lowland lakes, ponds, wetlands, and coastal waterbodies (2) highland lakes, and (3) sinkholes and rivers. A broad trophic state gradient was recorded, ranging from the eutrophic Lake Amatitlán and the Timul sinkhole to oligotrophic Laguna Ayarza, with the highest water transparency (11.4 m). We used major and trace elements in surface sediments to assess pollution of waterbodies. Lakes Amatitlán, Atescatempa, El Rosario, Cayucón, Chacan-Lara, La Misteriosa, rivers Subín and Río Dulce, the wetland Jamolún, and the sinkhole Petén de Monos showed evidence of pollution and urban development. Their surface sediments displayed high concentrations of As, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Se, Zn, and Zr, which suggest moderate to strong pollution.

Keywords Neotropical aquatic ecosystems - Limnology - Physical and chemical water variables - Surface sediment geochemistry · Yucatán Peninsula · Guatemala - Belize

Introduction

Here, we present results of a limnological survey across Mexico's Yucatán Peninsula, Belize, and Guatemala. The aims of this study were (1) to provide basic limnological information, characterize, and classify the aquatic ecosystems across an altitudinal and precipitation gradient from southern Guatemala to the northern Yucatán Peninsula, (2) to identify factors that influence water variables in Neotropical aquatic ecosystems, (3) to generate the first physical and chemical data for several waterbodies that were previously unstudied, (4) to assess the present trophic state, level of pollution, and human impact in the waterbodies, and (5) to contribute to the sustainable management of aquatic ecosystems and formulation of strategies for their conservation by providing baseline data for future studies.

Guatemala is diverse with respect to its lake types. Many lakes in the southern highlands of Guatemala originated from volcanic activity, while lakes of the northern lowlands are of tectonic and/or karstic origin (Brezonik & Fox, 1974). The Department of Petén, northern Guatemala, lies in the southernmost part of the limestone platform of the Yucatán Peninsula and has numerous lakes. One of them, Lago Petén Itzá, is the deepest waterbody (~ 165 m) in the northern lowland Neotropics. Guatemala has an area of $108,430 \text{ km}^2$ and about 300 lakes and lagoons (Basterrechea, [1988a\)](#page-23-0). More than 7,000 solution features have been reported in the northwest, Mex-ican sector of the Yucatán Peninsula (Stenich, [1996](#page-26-0)).

Regional studies of water chemical composition, sediment geochemistry, and aquatic species richness are scarce. Lakes Izabal, Amatitlán, and Atitlán (Nr. 1, 19, 20, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), however, have received attention because they are of great touristic and hence economic importance for Guatemala (Clark, [1908;](#page-24-0) Meek, [1908;](#page-25-0) Brinson et al., [1974](#page-24-0); Brinson & Nordlie, [1975](#page-24-0); Basterrechea, [1986](#page-23-0); Medina et al., [2010\)](#page-25-0). Little attention, however, has been paid to most of the aquatic ecosystems, many of which have been polluted or have experienced recent changes in trophic state. These aquatic ecosystems provide multiple services. They are important drinking water sources, serve as sites for recreation, and are important wildlife areas, for both aquatic and terrestrial fauna.

In the last few decades, climate change and human activities have affected many lakes in the study region (Rosenmeier et al., [2004](#page-26-0); Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). For instance, a dramatic reduction in lake level has affected some waterbodies over the last 30 years. There are good chemical indicators of pollution and urban development (Cu, Zn, and Fe), and their values in lake sediments and waters should be monitored to protect human health. Limnology is in a nascent stage in Guatemala and Belize, whereas Mexico has made great strides in this discipline over the last few decades. For instance, Mexico established the Mexican Association of Limnology, and since 2003 has had a Master program (M.S.) in Limnology at the Universidad Michoacana (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010](#page-23-0)). There are about 100 publications on freshwater and saline aquatic environments from the Yucatán Peninsula (Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002\)](#page-26-0). The first studies on Guatemalan Lakes Amatitlán and Atitlán were carried out in the early 1900s and 1950s and focused mainly on plankton and fish taxonomy (Clark, [1908](#page-24-0); Meek, [1908](#page-25-0); Tilden, [1908;](#page-26-0) Peckham & Dineen, [1953](#page-25-0)). This was also the case in Mexico, where studies followed a similar approach (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). Comprehensive limnological studies in Guatemala were conducted first by Juday ([1915\)](#page-25-0), and later by Deevey [\(1955](#page-24-0)) and Brezonik & Fox ([1974\)](#page-24-0). Studies were also completed in Lake Izabal (Brinson et al., [1974](#page-24-0); Brinson & Nordlie, [1975\)](#page-24-0). Other recent contributions to Guatemalan limnology include the works of Löffler ([1972\)](#page-25-0), Deevey et al. ([1980a](#page-24-0), [b](#page-24-0)), Basterrechea [\(1986](#page-23-0), [1988a](#page-23-0), [b](#page-23-0)), Brenner [\(1994](#page-23-0)), and Brenner et al.

Fig. 1 Location of the 63 studied lowland and highland aquatic environments across a precipitation and altitudinal gradient on the Yucatán Península and surrounding areas: 1 Izabal, 2 Petén Itzá, 3 Perdida, 4 Macanché, 5 Yaxhá, 6 Belize 1, 7 Belize 2, 8 Almond Hill, 9 Crooked Tree Lagoon, 10 Honey Camp, 11 Cenote, 12 Milagros, 13 Bacalar, 14 Nohbec, 15 Ocom, 16 Chichancanab, 17 Punta Laguna, 18 Yalahau, 19 Amatitlán, 20 Atitlán, 21 Güija, 22 Atescatempa, 23 Ayarza, 24 Río Dulce, 25 Oquevix, 26 Salpetén, 27 Río Ixlú, 28 Sacpuy, 29 La Gloria, 30 San Diego, 31 Río Subín, 32 Las Pozas, 33 Petexbatún, 34 Rosario, 35 Progreso Lagoon, 36 San Jose´ Aguilar, 37 Sabanita, 38 Chacan-Bata, 39 Chacan-Lara,

[\(2002a,](#page-23-0) [c](#page-23-0)). Given the region's large number and diversity of aquatic ecosystems, there have been relatively few limnological studies in the area and most relied on measurements made during a single visit, which makes it difficult to assess temporal patterns of lake dynamics.

40 Silvituc, 41 Jobal, 42 San Francisco Mateos, 43 La Misteriosa, 44 Río Cuba, 45 Laguna del Cayucón, 46 Río Candelaria, 47 Río Guerrero, 48 Jamolún, 49 Laguna Rosada, 50 Xlakal, 51 Celestún, 52 Petén de Monos, 53 Pond near Oquevix, 54 San Francisco Kaná, 55 San Ignacio Chocholá, 56 Chenhá, 57 Timul, 58 Yokdzonot, 59 Loché, 60 Juárez, 61 Cobá, 62 Tekom, and 63 Ya'ax'ex. Gray shading on the Yucatán Peninsula denotes the precipitation gradient. White squares and *dashed lines* indicate the six hydrogeochemicalphysiographic regions identified by Perry et al. [\(2002](#page-25-0)) for the Yucatán Peninsula. Gray squares indicate the studied areas

The Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding regions are of great interest to tropical limnologists because they possess abundant aquatic ecosystems with diverse hydrochemical characteristics. Furthermore, the region's aquatic ecosystems are characterized by long-term interactions with human populations

(Brenner, [1983;](#page-23-0) Brenner et al., [2002b;](#page-23-0) Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010](#page-23-0)).

Study area

The Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas

The biogeographic area of the northern lowland Neotropics extends from the Tropic of Cancer to the equator and includes southern Mexico, Central America, northern South America, and the West Indies (Deevey et al., [1983](#page-24-0); Hillesheim et al., [2005](#page-24-0)). The Yucatán Peninsula, in southernmost Mexico, covers $450,000 \text{ km}^2$, and is surrounded by the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). It consists of the Mexican States of Campeche, Quintana Roo, and Yucatán, as well as parts of the states of Tabasco and Chiapas. The peninsula also includes the Department of Petén in northern Guatemala and the northern half of Belize (Lutz et al., [2000](#page-25-0); Ibarra-Manríquez et al., [2002;](#page-25-0) Rosenfeld, [2002;](#page-25-0) Rosenmeier et al., [2002a](#page-26-0), [b](#page-26-0); Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002](#page-26-0); Hillesheim et al., [2005](#page-24-0); Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0).

Central America and southern Mexico display great geomorphic and geographic variability, with complex coastlines, coastal plains, high mountain ranges, interior basins, and extended plateaus. The relatively small area is characterized by a variety of tectonic, lithologic, climatic, and ecological zones. The physiographic structure of Central America is defined by the northwest–southeast trend of the Middle America Trench and Central American Volcanic Front. Guatemalan faulting and volcanism are related to subduction of the Cocos Plate beneath the Caribbean Plate, and to sliding of the Caribbean Plate eastward relative to the North American Plate (Molnar & Sykes, [1969;](#page-25-0) Marshall, [2006](#page-25-0)). The volcanic highlands of southern Guatemala were formed above this subduction zone. These highlands descend to the north onto the immense carbonate lowlands of the Yucatán Platform Province, which is the most extensive karst area on the North American continent (Marshall, [2006\)](#page-25-0). The Yucatán Peninsula consists of a marine carbonate platform that ranges in altitude from sea level to about 300 m a.s.l. The age of exposed bedrock increases from north to south, ranging from Pleistocene to late Cretaceous (Hodell et al., [2004\)](#page-24-0). The southern Yucatán Peninsula (Petén, Guatemala) is underlain mostly by Cretaceous limestones, containing dolomite and gypsum (Brenner et al., [2002c\)](#page-23-0).

The Yucatán Peninsula, Guatemala, and Belize (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) are rich in aquatic environments. Waterbodies vary in surface area, water depth, elevation (Tables [1](#page-4-0), [2\)](#page-5-0), trophic status, water chemistry, and surface sediment chemistry. There are no large rivers on the peninsula, rivers are completely lacking in the north, and sinkholes are abundant. There are \sim 12 large lakes (Back & Hanshaw, [1970;](#page-23-0) Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002;](#page-26-0) Perry et al., [2003](#page-25-0); Suárez-Morales, [2003\)](#page-26-0). Aquifers of Florida (USA) and northern Yucatán show lithologic and faunal similarities. Both peninsulas are part of a single regional geologic setting (Back & Hanshaw, [1970\)](#page-23-0), and groundwater flows through networks of a large submerged cave system (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). Karst features such as channels and sinkholes (cenotes) are typical of the Yucatán Peninsula (Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002;](#page-26-0) Perry et al., [2003](#page-25-0); Suárez-Morales, [2003\)](#page-26-0). Cenotes were formed by dissolution and collapse of the carbonate rock. A semicircular ring of cenotes in northern Yucata´n has its center near the site of the late Cretaceous Chicxulub meteorite impact. Impeded groundwater flow was forced to follow the semicircular contour, thereby contributing to dissolution and collapse of the local rock (Perry et al., [2003](#page-25-0)). Comín et al. ([1996\)](#page-24-0) and Schmitter-Soto et al. [\(2002](#page-26-0)) indicated that inland waterbodies on the Yucatán Peninsula show the strong influence of rock dissolution processes on the major ionic composition of waters. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes in inland waters are analyzed to study groundwater throughflow, balance between precipitation and evaporation, and lake water productivity (McKenzie, [1985;](#page-25-0) Aravena et al., [1992](#page-23-0); Socki et al., [2002\)](#page-26-0).

Most of the Yucatán Peninsula is located in a humid tropical climate zone, and displays high terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity. The study area contains three of 37 hydrologic regions that have been identified in Mexico: Yucatán Oeste, Yucatán Norte, and Yucatán Este (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). The peninsula receives an average of $\sim 172,000 \times 10^6$ m³ of rainwater per year, of which about 85% is lost to evapo-transpiration. Precipitation on the Yucatán Peninsula is driven by the seasonal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and the precipitation gradient ranges from \sim 450 mm year⁻¹ in

Table 1 Limnological and geographical characteristics of study lakes in the Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas

| Area | Code | ID- | Name of waterbody | | Country Coordinates | | Averaged | Altitude Depth ^a | | Surface Secchi | | Stratification |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Nr. | | | ${\bf N}$ | W | annual precipitation $(mm year^{-1})$ | (m) a.s.1.) | (m) | area (km ²) | depth (m) | |
| Guatemalan AMA | | 19 | Amatitlán | GUA | 14°26'03.7" | $90^{\circ}32'58.6''$ | 2,847 | 1,200 | $23(33^b)$ | 15.2 | $0.1 - 0.8$ Yes | |
| highlands | ATI | 20 | Atitlán | GUA | $14^{\circ}42'34.8''$ | $90^{\circ}15'59.4''$ | 2,500 | 1,560 | 340 | 126 | 6.6 | Yes |
| | AYA | 23 | Ayarza | GUA | 14°25'39.3" | $90^{\circ}08'11.6''$ | 2,900 | 1,414 | 250 | 14 | 11.4 | Yes |
| | GÜI | 21 | Güija | GUA | $14^{\circ}15'43.7''$ | 89°32'11.3" 2,116 | | 433 | 21.5 | 45 | 1.4 | Yes |
| | ATE | 22 | Atescatempa | GUA | 14°13'01.1" | 89°41'39.2" | 2,216 | 587 | \overline{c} | 1.1 | 0.1 | No |
| Guatemalan IZA eastern lowlands | | $\mathbf{1}$ | Izabal | GUA | 15°29′24.5″ | 89° 8'32.7" | 2,881 | $\overline{4}$ | 14.8 | 645 | $\overline{}$ | No |
| Guatemalan OQU | | 25 | Oquevix | GUA | 16°39'14.2" | 89°44'26.1" | 2,578 | 148 | 10 | 1.6 | 0.4 | \equiv |
| lowlands | SAL | 26 | Salpetén | GUA | 16°58'38.2" | $89^{\circ}40'30.9''$ | 2,077 | 114 | 38 | 2.9 | 0.8 | Yes |
| | MAC | $\overline{4}$ | Macanché | GUA | 16°57'60.0" | 89°38′06.5″ | 2,036 | 165 | 80 | 2.5 | \equiv | Yes |
| | YAX | 5 | Yaxhá | GUA | 17°03'48.9" | 89°24′27.1″ | 2,036 | 219 | 22 | τ | 1.8 | Yes |
| | ITZ | $\boldsymbol{2}$ | Petén Itzá | GUA | $17^{\circ}00'02.0''$ | 89°51′16.4″ | 2,176 | 115 | 165 | 100 | 7.5 | Yes |
| | SAC | 28 | Sacpuy | GUA | 16°58'46.4" | 90°00′52.2″ | 2,304 | 122 | 6 | 3.5 | 0.5 | Yes |
| | PER | 3 | Perdida | GUA | 17°04′00.7″ | 90°12'41.7" 2,260 | | 75 | 4 | 11 | 0.7 | Yes |
| | GLO | 29 | La Gloria | GUA | 16°57'07.5" | 90°22'36.1" 2,452 | | 132 | 65 | 3.6 | 0.6 | Yes |
| | DIE | 30 | San Diego | GUA | 16°55'59.5" | $90^{\circ}24'54.5''$ | 2,480 | 134 | 8.1 | 3.8 | 0.6 | Yes |
| | POZ | 32 | Las Pozas | GUA | 16°21'02.4" | $90^{\circ}10'28.9''$ | 3,161 | 146 | 35 | 2.0 | 1.8 | Yes |
| | PET | 33 | Petexbatún | GUA | $16^{\circ}26'11.8''$ | $90^{\circ}11'46.0''$ | 3,042 | 115 | 40 | 5.6 | 0.6 | Yes |
| | ROS | 34 | El Rosario | GUA | 16°31'31.4" | 90°09'36.2" | 2,908 | 117 | 3 | 0.02 | \equiv | \equiv |
| Mexican | MIL | 12 | Milagros | MEX | 18°30'41.5'' | 88°25′35.8″ | 1,304 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\overline{4}$ | 3.1 | 1.0 | No |
| lowlands | BAC | 13 | Bacalar | MEX | 18°39'54.0" | 88°23'27.0" | 1,239 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 16 | 51 | 10.3 | No |
| | NOH | 14 | Nohbec | MEX | 19°08'8.54" | 88°10'46.6" | 1,209 | 1 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 0.6 | No |
| | OCO | 15 | Ocom | MEX | 19°28'28.6" | 88°03'17.9" | 1,249 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 0.25 | 5.5 | Yes |
| | CHI | 16 | Chichancanab | MEX | 19°52'43.2" | 88°46'06.5" | 1,120 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 14 | 5.1 | 2.8 | Yes |
| | PUN | 17 | Punta Laguna | MEX | 20°39'00.6" | 87°38'28.2" | 1,295 | 3 | 20 | 0.9 | 4.7 | Yes |
| | JOS | 36 | San José Aguilar | MEX | 18°22'04.5" | 89°00'41.2" 1,187 | | 107 | 3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | \equiv |
| | SAB | 37 | Sabanita | MEX | 18°24'03.2" | 88°34′20.6″ | 1,306 | 38 | 2.5 | 0.02 | 0.5 | No |
| | BAT | 38 | Chacan-Bata | MEX | 18°28'42.1" | 89°05'13.9" | 1,150 | 91 | \equiv | 2.9 | \equiv | Ξ. |
| | LAR | 39 | Chacan-Lara | MEX | 19°11'21.8" | 88°10′17.0″ | 1,072 | 90 | 3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | No |
| | JOB | 41 | Jobal | MEX | 18°41'40.7" | 90°06'45.4" | 1,210 | 74 | 3 | | | No |
| | FRA | 42 | San Francisco Mateos | MEX | 17°53'55.9" | 90°39'22.8" | 1,578 | 52 | 5 | 0.1 | ÷ | No |
| | MIS | 43 | La Misteriosa | MEX | | 18°02'40.3" 90°29'14.0" 1,462 | | 57 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 2.1 | No |
| | CAY | 45 | Cayucón | MEX | 18°02′34.3″ | 90°58'33.0" | 1,520 | 69 | 8 | 2.0 | $\overline{\cdot}$ | $\overline{}$ |
| | YAL | 18 | Yalahau | MEX | 20°39′25.9″ | 89°13′02.0″ | 1,099 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 11 | 0.25 | 1.1 | Yes |
| | COBA 61 | | Cobá | MEX | 20°29'40.2" | 87°44'19.2" 1,282 | | τ | \overline{a} | 0.35 | 0.9 | \equiv |
| Belizean lowlands | ${\rm ALM}$ | 8 | Almond Hill Lagoon | BZ | 17°27′49.0″ | 88°18'31.6" 2,006 | | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | No |
| | CRO | 9 | Crooked Tree Lagoon | BZ | | 17°46'42.8" 88°31'37.4" 1,705 | | \overline{c} | 3.3 | 23 | 2.0 | No |
| | HON | 10 | Honey Camp Lagoon | BZ | | 18°02'44.5" 88°26'20.5" 1,586 | | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | 3.9 | 1.8 | No |

^a Maximal sampled water depth

 b Deevey [\(1955\)](#page-24-0)</sup>

| Aquatic environment | Code | ID- | Name of | | Country Coordinates | | Averaged annual | Altitude Depth ^a | | Surface | Secchi |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Nr. | waterbody | | $\mathbf N$ | W | precipitation $(mm \text{ year}^{-1})$ | (m) a.s.1.) | (m) | area (km ²) | depth (m) |
| Cenotes | XLA | 50 | Xlacah | MEX | 21°05'27.6" | 89°35'53.3" | 712 | 6 | 45 | < 0.01 | 5 |
| | MON | 52 | Petén de Monos | MEX | 20°50'59.6" | 90°19'13.8" | 851 | 25 | 1.5 | < 0.01 | 1.5 |
| | IGN | 55 | San Ignacio Chochola | MEX | 20°45'00.9" | 89°50'03.2" | 928 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{4}$ | < 0.01 | $\overline{4}$ |
| | CHE | 56 | Chenhá | MEX | 20°41'23.0" | 89°52'34.5" | 928 | 3 | 2 | < 0.01 | 3.5 |
| | TIM | 57 | Timul | MEX | 20°35'38.8" | 89°21'23.7" | 1.079 | 9 | \equiv | 0.03 | 0.2 |
| | YOK | 58 | Yokdzonot | MEX | 20°42'24.6" | 88°43'52.0" | 1,191 | 13 | 45 | < 0.01 | τ |
| | JUA | 60 | Juarez | MEX | 20°48'09.6" | 87°20'23.8" | 1,357 | 14 | 25 | 0.03 | 1.6 |
| | YAA | 63 | Yaa'x ek | MEX | 20°37'15.4" | 88°24'56.0" | 1,200 | 27 | 47 | < 0.01 | 0.8 |
| | KAN | 54 | San Francisco Kana | MEX | 20°51'22.2" | 90°07'04.5" | 824 | 3 | $\overline{}$ | 0.01 | 0.3 |
| | TEK | 62 | Tekom | MEX | 20°36'08.1" | 88°15'52.5" | 1,198 | 18 | 1.5 | < 0.01 | 1.5 |
| Coastal | PRO | 35 | Progreso | BZ | 18°13'05.2" | 88°24'35.2" | 1,485 | 5 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 1.3 |
| water bodies | CEN | 11 | Cenote Little Belize | BZ | 18°13'36.9" | 88°22'55.57" | 1,497 | τ | 11.1 | 0.06 | 5.5 |
| | ROSA | 49 | Rosada | MEX | 21°20'11.3" | 89°18'01.9" | 668 | $\overline{4}$ | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| | $\sf CEL$ | 51 | Celestún | MEX | 20°51'20.8" | 90°22'39.2" | 861 | 14 | 1.5 | 28 | 0.5 |
| Rivers | SUB | 31 | Subin | GUA | $16^{\circ}38'11.6''$ | $90^{\circ}11'00.3''$ | 2,908 | 141 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $=$ | 0.5 |
| | IXL | 27 | Ixlú | GUA | $16^{\circ}58'27.3''$ | 89°53'27.8" | 2,091 | 110 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| | DUL | 24 | Río Dulce | GUA | 15°40'25.3" | 88°57'49.3" | 3,019 | $\overline{4}$ | τ | L, | 0.5 |
| | CUB | 44 | Cuba | MEX | 17°56′55.4″ | 90°28'39.1" | 1,521 | 80 | 0.5 | \equiv | 0.5 |
| | CAN | 46 | Candelaria | MEX | 18°11'02.4" | 91°02'59.6" | 1,435 | 44 | 1.5 | ÷ | 1.0 |
| | GUE | 47 | Guerrero | MEX | 19°12'41.6" | 90°43'47.6" | 1,187 | 5 | $\mathbf{1}$ | \equiv | 0.5 |
| Wetland | JAM | 48 | Jamolún | MEX | 19°27'58.3" | 89°29'45.1" | 1,041 | 115 | 1.5 | \equiv | 0.5 |
| Ponds | TÜM | 53 | Near Oquevix | GUA | 16°40'31.7" | 89°44'18.1" | 2,578 | 179 | $\mathbf{1}$ | < 0.01 | \equiv |
| | LOC | 59 | Loché | MEX | 21°25'04.3" | 88°08'30.8" | 1,015 | 20 | 1 | < 0.01 | 0.2 |
| | SIL | 40 | Silvituc | MEX | 18°38'23.2" | 90°17'35.2" | 1,245 | 59 | 2.5 | < 0.01 | \equiv |
| | BZ1 | 6 | Belize 1 | BZ | 17°14'33.5" | 88°58'19.7" | 1,665 | 77 | 1.5 | < 0.01 | \equiv |
| | BZ ₂ | τ | Belize 2 | BZ | 17°18'17.9" | 88°29'18.9" | 2.020 | 33 | $\mathbf{1}$ | < 0.01 | $\overline{}$ |

Table 2 Limnological and geographical characteristics of additional studied aquatic environments in the Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas

^a Maximal sampled water depth

the northwest to $>3,200$ mm year⁻¹ in the south (Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002\)](#page-26-0). Aguilar et al. ([2005\)](#page-23-0) determined changes in precipitation and temperature in Central America and northern South America between 1961 and 2003. Precipitation indices showed low correlation with the El Niño-Southern Oscillation, but there was a higher correlation between precipitation and tropical Atlantic sea surface temperatures.

Regional and local characteristics of rainfall distribution in Central America are influenced, in part, by topography. Large spatial variability in precipitation in Central America is related to the region's position and altitudinal variations, and configuration of coastlines relative to seasonal storm patterns (Hastenrath,

[1967\)](#page-24-0). There are only a few meteorological stations at high-altitude sites in Guatemala, making studies of rainfall variation across elevations difficult. Nevertheless, the existence of an altitudinal belt of maximum precipitation is obvious in Guatemala.

As tropical latitudes display small intra-annual variations in radiation and temperature, seasonality in the tropics is often manifested by differences in precipitation, with alternating dry and rainy seasons (Hastenrath, 1967). On the Yucatán Peninsula, the wet season occurs from late May to October, and the dry season lasts from November to April (Hodell et al., [2005\)](#page-25-0). There are occasional brief showers from November to February. Highest precipitation typically

occurs in September and is often associated with tropical storms or hurricanes (Schmitter-Soto et al., [2002\)](#page-26-0). In summer, the ITCZ is located at its northernmost position over Yucatán. Dry conditions prevail when the ITCZ is located south of the lowlands (Enfield, [1996;](#page-24-0) Enfield & Alfaro, [1999;](#page-24-0) Magaña et al., [1999;](#page-25-0) Haug et al., [2001,](#page-24-0) [2003](#page-24-0); Poore et al., [2004\)](#page-25-0). The mean annual air temperature is 26.1° C. Vegetation of the Yucatán Peninsula changes along with the precipitation gradient. The steep, increasing northwest-tosouth gradient in rainfall is associated with greater soil development and higher forest stature. Tropical rain forest characterizes the southernmost Yucatán Peninsula, while the north is dominated by scrub forest, along with seasonal semi-deciduous and deciduous forests. Vegetation in the Yucatán Peninsula is still relatively intact compared to other regions in Mexico (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010](#page-23-0)). There is a diverse plant community (\sim 2,400 spp.), however, endemism is low. The highlands are characterized by conifer and oak forests (Ibarra-Manríquez et al., 2002 ; Islebe & Leyden, [2006](#page-25-0)).

Materials and methods

Fieldwork

Two field trips to the Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas were carried out during the dry season. Between 21 November 2005 and 25 February 2006, we sampled 18 aquatic environments. Fortyfive additional aquatic environments were sampled between 6 February and 24 March 2008 (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Deep and shallow lakes, sinkholes (cenotes), ponds, wetlands, rivers, and coastal waterbodies were included in the sampling campaigns. Six aquatic environments in the Guatemalan highlands were also sampled. Tables [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-5-0) provide brief geographical and limnological descriptions of the studied environments. Average annual precipitation was obtained from the CRU TS 2.1 database (Mitchel & Jones, [2005;](#page-25-0) Correa-Metrio, pers. comm.). Sampling was conducted mainly during the dry season to facilitate lake access, but powerful Hurricanes Emily (July) and Wilma (October) in 2005 affected the region in summer and fall (Klotzbach et al., [2007\)](#page-25-0) and made sampling in some lakes in Mexico difficult. We selected the most accessible lakes along the precipitation gradient and collected surface sediments and ostracodes from the littoral zone and the deepest part of each waterbody. Water samples for major ion and physical and chemical variable analysis were collected from different water depths, in most cases at a site in the lake above its deepest point. Water samples were collected from at least three depths in the water column (surface, middle, and bottom). We collected only surface waters in sinkholes without obtaining information about thermal stratification in those basins. In smaller aquatic environments such as ponds, rivers, and wetlands, surface waters were collected only near the shore. Physical and chemical variables in waters, including dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and temperature, were measured with a WTW Multi Set 350i. This was done mostly at the same time of day to enable comparison of physicochemical characteristics such as pH. Sampling was carried out from a rubber boat, using an Echosounder Eagle Mach 1 to locate the deepest part of the lake. A Ruttner water sampler and an Ekman grab attached to a 170-m-long UWITEC Handwinch were used to collect samples of water and surface sediments, respectively. Only the uppermost few centimeters of sediment taken with the Ekman grab were collected for analysis. We used a Secchi Disk to determine water transparency, and a GPSmap 60C to determine the sampling location. Protocols for sampling, preservation and analyses of water and surface sediment samples are described in Pérez et al. [\(2010](#page-25-0)).

Water analysis

Water samples for stable oxygen and carbon isotopes were measured using a VG/Micromass PRISM Series II isotope ratio mass spectrometer and a Finnigan-MAT DeltaPlus XL isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a GasBench II universal on-line gas preparation device. Stable isotopes were measured in the Department of Geological Sciences, University of Florida. Measurements of major anions (Cl, SO_4 , and HCO_3) and cations (Ca, K, Mg, and Na) in water samples were carried out using a 761 Compact IC Methrom, and an ICP-OES Jobin Yvon JY 50 P. We were unable to determine chloride and sulfate concentrations in some lake waters due to bacterial and algal growth during transport to the laboratory. In such cases, we present results from previous studies (Brezonik & Fox, [1974;](#page-24-0) Basterrechea, [1988a;](#page-23-0) Perry

et al., [2002\)](#page-25-0). In cases for which complete ion analyses were done, we tested the validity of our results by checking the ion balance. This was accomplished by subtracting the total anion charges (sum of Cl, SO_4 , and $HCO₃$) from the total cation charges (sum of Ca, Mg, Na, and K) and dividing by the total charges in solution (Murray & Wade, [1996](#page-25-0)). Percent difference between the total positive and negative charges was always \leq 10%. Water chemistry analyses were carried out at the Institut für Umweltgeologie (IUG), Technische Universität Braunschweig, Germany.

Sediment analysis

Color, texture, odor, and other characteristics of surface sediments were described. Wet sediments were homogenized and water content, organic matter, carbonate and non-carbonate inorganic matter were determined by loss-on-ignition as described in Heiri et al. [\(2001\)](#page-24-0). Whole surface sediment samples were analyzed, without any wet sieving to remove selected size fractions. After pulverizing the dried samples $(105^{\circ}C)$, major and trace elements (As, Br, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Sr, Y, Zn, and Zr) were quantified using energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry (Cheburkin et al., [1997\)](#page-24-0). We present major and trace element concentrations in surface sediments collected during our second field trip. We used the index of geoaccumulation $(I_{\text{geo}},$ Müller, [1979\)](#page-25-0) to assess pollution in lake sediments. The I_{geo} has six levels of pollution (classes): (0) practically unpolluted, (1) practically unpolluted to moderately polluted, (2) moderately polluted, (3) moderately to strongly polluted, (4) strongly polluted, (5) strongly to very strongly polluted, and (6) very strongly polluted. An elemental analyzer (HEKAtech GmbH, Euro EA 3000) was used to determine total C, N, and S concentrations in sediments. Geochemical analyses were done at the Institut für Umweltgeologie.

Total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon (TIC) in sediments were measured in the laboratories of the Physische Geographie, Freie Universität, Berlin, Germany. TC was determined by combustion at 1,000°C in an oxygen-supersaturated atmosphere with a Woesthoff Carmhograph C-16-D. The carbon dioxide (CO_2) formed is absorbed in NaOH and the change in conductivity represents TC. TIC was determined similarly, with the Woesthoff Carmhograph, but hot $(80^{\circ}C)$ phosphoric acid $(45%)$ was added to the sample to evolve $CO₂$. Analyses for TC and TIC on each sample were completed twice. Total organic carbon (TOC) was calculated as TC minus TIC. Standard deviations on replicate samples were \leq 10% for all analyses.

Numerical analysis

We used principal component analysis (PCA) and a correlation matrix to examine the chemistry data set of surface waters. Prior to multivariate analysis, 13 water variables (conductivity, pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, $\delta^{18}O_{SMOW}$, $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$, Ca, Na, Mg, K, HCO₃, Cl , and $SO₄$) were standardized by subtracting the mean value and dividing by the standard deviation. This method enables comparison of variables expressed in different units (Leyer & Wesche, [2007](#page-25-0)). Aquatic ecosystems at Celestún and Laguna Rosada, in the NW Yucatán Peninsula, were excluded from multivariate analysis (PCA and cluster analysis) because samples were identified as outliers. Surface waters displayed much higher conductivities $(55.3 \text{ mS cm}^{-1})$ than the other aquatic environments. This precluded effective visualization in the scatter biplot and dendrogram. Cluster analysis (Ward's method, Euclidean distance) was used to classify and define groups of aquatic environments based on their physical and chemical variables. The program Past, version 1.89, was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Physical and chemical variables of the aquatic ecosystems

Our study covered the area from $14^{\circ}13'$ to $21^{\circ}25'$ N latitude and from $87^{\circ}20'$ to $91^{\circ}02'W$ longitude (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). It ranged across a precipitation gradient from \sim 700 to 3,200 mm year⁻¹. Lake Atitlán in the Guatemalan highlands was the highest $({\sim}1,560 \text{ m})$ a.s.l.) and deepest (\sim 340 m) lake sampled. Most of the aquatic environments we studied are located in the lowlands $(\leq 400 \text{ m a.s.}$ l.) and displayed water depths \leq 40 m, with the exception of Lakes La Gloria (65 m), Macanché (\sim 80 m), and Petén Itzá (\sim 165 m). The largest lakes were Izabal (645 km^2) , Atitlán (126 km^2) , and Petén Itzá (100 km^2) . The rest of the aquatic systems had smaller surface areas $(\leq 45 \text{ km}^2)$.

Cenotes displayed small surface areas $(\leq 0.03 \text{ km}^2)$, but some were relatively deep (up to 65 m). Water transparency was high in Lakes Ayarza (11.4 m), Bacalar (10.3 m), and Petén Itzá (7.5 m). Low water transparency $(< 0.8$ m) was measured in Lakes Amatitán, Salpetén, Sacpuy, Perdida, La Gloria, San Diego, Petexbatún, Nohbec, San José Aguilar, Sabanita, and Chacan Lara. Eighteen lakes exhibited thermal stratification and oxygen depletion in deep waters (Fig. [2\)](#page-9-0). Exceptions included Atescatempa, Izabal, Milagros, Bacalar, Nohbec, Sabanita, Chacan Lara, Jobal, San Francisco Mateos, La Misteriosa, and the lowland lakes of Belize. In systems from which we collected surface waters only from the littoral zone (Table [2](#page-5-0)), we do not provide limnetic water column temperatures or oxygen profiles.

Tables [3](#page-10-0) and [4](#page-11-0) contain the physical and chemical variables for all the studied waterbodies. Surface water temperature in the aquatic environments ranged from 21.6 to 32.0° C and dissolved oxygen ranged from 0.9 to 18.7 mg l^{-1} . Shallow lakes were generally warmer. Waters were neutral (pH 6.9) to alkaline (pH 9.4). Conductivities covered a wide range, from 126.6 μ S cm⁻¹ to 55.3 mS cm⁻¹ near the coast. SO₄ concentration varied from 6.4 to 2,410 mg 1^{-1} , Cl from \sim 3 to 1,602 mg l⁻¹, and HCO₃ from 55 to 617 mg 1^{-1} . Ca ranged from 19 to 801 mg 1^{-1} , K from <1 to 22 mg l^{-1} , Mg from 2 to 351 mg l^{-1} , and Na from \sim 1 to [3](#page-12-0)67 mg l⁻¹. Figure 3 compares the oxygen and carbon stable isotope composition of all analyzed waters. Lake Güija had the most negative values of $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ (-20.8‰) and Cenote Timul the highest $(+13.6\%)$. A small pond, Loché, displayed the highest values of $\delta^{18}O$ (+8.2%) and large Lake Güija the lowest (-5.1%) .

Most of the sampled cenotes displayed $\delta^{18}O$ values around -4.0% , similar to weighted mean rainfall. Rivers displayed negative $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ (<-7.3%). Waters of aquatic environments located in the lowlands showed variable oxygen $(-4.3\% \text{ to } +5.0\%)$ and carbon $(-19.4\% \text{ to } +7.4\%)$ isotopic compositions.

PCA was used to determine the factors that contribute the most to the variability in the chemical composition of surface waters (Fig. [4](#page-13-0)). All environmental variables (water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$, SO₄, Cl, HCO3, Ca, K, Mg, and Na) were included in the analysis. We present results only from the first and second components, which explain $>15\%$ of the total

variance in the data. These two components accounted for 48% of the variance, which is almost half of the variation in the entire data set. Conductivity and related variables, i.e., concentrations of principal cations and anions, explained 29% of the variance of axis 1 (eigenvalue $= 3.8$). This indicates that the aquatic ecosystems fall along a conductivity gradient. Values for pH were positively correlated with dissolved oxygen, $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{13}C$ values and temperature, and accounted for 19% of the explained variation (eigenvalue $= 2.5$). Therefore, this study is focused mainly on the conductivity gradient in the area.

Classification of aquatic environments in the study area is difficult because many factors play an important role in regional water chemistry composition. Cluster analysis, however, was used to identify similar groups of waterbodies based on the same 13 physical and chemical variables used for PCA analysis. A dendrogram with seven groups of aquatic environments can be reduced to three main groups (Fig. [5](#page-14-0)):

Lowland lakes, ponds, wetlands, and coastal waterbodies

Groups I, V, VI, and VII consist mainly of waterbodies in the lowlands of Guatemala, Belize, and Mexico. Surface water temperatures were somewhat higher $(<32^{\circ}C$) than waters from highland lakes $({\sim}27^{\circ}C)$. Aquatic environments were relatively shallow $(\leq 15 \text{ m})$, with the exception of Lago Petén Itzá (\sim 165 m), and several other karst lakes in the Department of Petén, northern Guatemala (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Water chemical composition is similar among lowland aquatic environments. Waters are mainly dominated by SO_4 , HCO_3 , Ca , and Mg, whereas aquatic habitats close to the coast have waters dominated by Cl and Na. Conductivities in the lowlands are higher, up to 5,960 μ S cm⁻¹, than in the highlands $(\leq 1,770 \,\mu\text{S cm}^{-1})$. Likewise, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are generally higher in the lowland lakes, reaching values of $+5.0%$.

Highland lakes

Groups IV and V include lakes at altitudes >430 m a.s.l. Surface water temperatures are colder $(\leq 27^{\circ}C)$ than those in the lowlands $(\leq 32^{\circ}C)$. The highest

Fig. 2 Temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles of 18 lakes showing stratification during sampling. The numbers after each lake name indicate their location in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) Deepest

dissolved oxygen concentration (18.7 mg 1^{-1}) among all studied waterbodies was measured in highly productive Lake Amatitlán, and reflects photosynthetically driven supersaturation. Waters of highland lakes were slightly alkaline, up to pH 9.3, and dominated by sodium and bicarbonate. Conductivities were

thermoclines, between 20 and 40 m, were measured in Lakes Atitlán and Petén Itzá, Guatemala

 $\leq 1,770 \mu S$ cm⁻¹. Lakes in the highlands displayed dramatic differences in trophic status. For instance, Lake Amatitlán was eutrophic, which is reflected in its low-water transparency (0.1–0.8 m). Laguna de Ayarza was oligotrophic, with a water transparency of 11.4 m, the highest among the sampled aquatic

Table 3 Main physical and chemical variables and water chemistry of lake surface waters

| Area | Code | ID-Nr. | Temp. $(^{\circ}C)$ | Diss. oxygen $(mg 1^{-1})$ | pH | Cond. $(\mu S \text{ cm}^{-1})$ | $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_\mathrm{VSMOW}$ $(\%0)$ | $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ SO ₄ $(\%0)$ | | Cl | HCO ₃ | Ca | K | Mg | Na |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Guatemalan | AMA | 19 | 22.8 | 18.7 | 9.3 | 630 | -2.6 | -14.7 | 18 ^a | 163^a | 235 | 23 | 15 ^a | 17 | 124 |
| highlands | ATI | 20 | 21.8 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 465 | -1.6 | -4.4 | 33 ^a | 19 ^a | 281 | 34 | $8^{\rm a}$ | 30 | 64 |
| | AYA | 23 | 21.6 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 1,770 | $+1.2$ | -0.9 | \equiv | $\overline{}$ | 189 | 31 | \equiv | 12 | 367 |
| | GÜI | 21 | 26.2 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 206 | -5.1 | -20.8 | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | 122 | 27 | \sim | 8 | 17 |
| | ATE | 22 | 27.3 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 283 | -2.2 | -8.5 | $22^{\rm b}$ | 4 ^b | 183 | 23 | $8^{\rm b}$ | 11 | 23 |
| Guatemalan eastern lowlands | IZA | $\mathbf{1}$ | 26.4 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 215 | -3.8 | -8.6 | 8 | 7 | 120 | 26 | $\boldsymbol{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{7}$ | 8 |
| Guatemalan | OQU | 25 | 31.4 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 238 | $+1.3$ | \equiv | - | \overline{a} | 189 | 62 | \overline{a} | $\overline{4}$ | $\overline{4}$ |
| lowlands | SAL | 26 | 29.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 4,310 | $+4.6$ | -15.7 | $3,000^{\rm a}$ | 111 ^a | 122 | 801 | $23^{\rm a}$ | 351 | 142 |
| | MAC | 4 | 26.8 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 850 | $+3.3$ | -8.4 | 242 | 42 | 287 | 45 | 5 | 71 | 22 |
| | YAX | 5 | 29.0 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 232 | $+4.4$ | -2.6 | $\boldsymbol{7}$ | 13 | 118 | 23 | $\overline{4}$ | 5 | 10 |
| | ITZ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 27.6 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 533 | $+2.9$ | -5.2 | 158 | 13 | 115 | 57 | 5 | 19 | 12 |
| | SAC | 28 | 28.8 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 285 | $+2.9$ | -9.5 | \equiv | \equiv | 171 | 52 | \equiv | 6 | 12 |
| | PER | 3 | 28.8 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 232 | $+0.5$ | -1.3 | 15.2 | 4 | 131 | 43 | $\overline{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 |
| | GLO | 29 | 29.2 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 187 | $+2.4$ | -1.3 | \equiv | \equiv | 134 | 40 | \overline{a} | 5 | 5 |
| | DIE | 30 | 28.6 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 179 | $+1.6$ | $+2.4$ | - | $\overline{}$ | 140 | 36 | $\overline{}$ | 3 | $\overline{4}$ |
| | POZ | 32 | 29.8 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 292 | $+1.0$ | -14.1 | | \overline{a} | 250 | 44 | \overline{a} | 31 | 3 |
| | PET | 33 | 30.9 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 568 | -2.9 | -7.9 | $\overline{}$ | \equiv | 293 | 75 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 40 | 5 |
| | ROS | 34 | 28.3 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 1,020 | -4.3 | $+7.4$ | \overline{a} | $\overline{}$ | 470 | 133 | \equiv | 47 | $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Mexican | MIL | 12 | 27.9 | 12.4 | 8.1 | 2,720 | $+1.1$ | -10.0 | 1,296 | 125 | 120 | 404 | 17 | 101 | 110 |
| lowlands | BAC | 13 | 27.0 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 1,220 | -2.4 | -4.3 | 1,374 | 83 | 190 | 452 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 84 | 64 |
| | NOH | 14 | 29.2 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 1,230 | $+1.4$ | -9.1 | 553 | 550 | 73 | 161 | 6 | 42 | 244 |
| | OCO | 15 | 27.9 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 774 | $+1.0$ | -6.0 | 657 | 143 | 141 | 150 | 3 | 45 | 127 |
| | CHI | 16 | 28.5 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 2,060 | $+2.7$ | -3.8 | 2,410 | 235 | 120 | 595 | 20 | 156 | 158 |
| | PUN | 17 | 26.8 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 754 | -1.3 | -11.0 | 337 | 156 | 280 | 96 | 6 | 31 | 130 |
| | JOS | 36 | \equiv | 4.8 | 8.0 | 488 | $+2.1$ | -3.1 | \equiv | \equiv | 244 | 47 | \equiv | τ | 55 |
| | SAB | 37 | 27.5 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 139 | $+0.2$ | -0.6 | | \overline{a} | 73 | 19 | \equiv | $\overline{4}$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| | BAT | 38 | 26.3 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 146 | $+1.2$ | -9.2 | | $\overline{}$ | 85 | 23 | | 3 | 12 |
| | LAR | 39 | 28.1 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 174 | -0.8 | -8.1 | $\overline{}$ | \equiv | 104 | 20 | \equiv | 4 | 20 |
| | JOB | 41 | 31.7 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 241 | $+1.1$ | -8.2 | - | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 171 | 42 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{4}$ | \overline{c} |
| | FRA | 42 | 24.8 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 474 | $+0.9$ | -9.0 | | \overline{a} | 189 | 93 | \equiv | 6 | 12 |
| | MIS | 43 | 26.7 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 1,410 | $+2.5$ | -3.8 | ÷ | \equiv | 104 | 351 | \equiv | 15 | 23 |
| | CAY | 45 | 25.3 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 127 | $+1.3$ | -2.7 | \equiv | \equiv | 85 | 28 | \equiv | $\overline{4}$ | $\overline{4}$ |
| | YAL | 18 | 28.8 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 2,350 | $+3.0$ | -1.5 | 340 | 189 | 617 | 23 | 17 | 99 | 213 |
| | COBA | 61 | 28.9 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 1,210 | $+2.2$ | -19.1 | 31 ^c | 281° | 256 | 100 | \equiv | 5 | 127 |
| Belizean | ALM | 8 | 27.5 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 1,720 | -1.7 | -11.6 | 138 | 400 | 55 | 58 | $\overline{4}$ | 25 | 236 |
| lowlands | CRO | 9 | 28.5 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 330 | -1.7 | -19.4 | 52 | 17 | 116 | 44 | 1 | $\overline{4}$ | 11 |
| | HON | 10 | 25.9 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 1,480 | $+5.0$ | -4.9 | 264 | 228 | 179 | 69 | 0.4 | 49 | 166 |
| Min | | | 21.6 | 0.9 | τ | 127 | -5.1 | -20.8 | τ | 4 | 55 | 19 | 0.4 | \overline{c} | \overline{c} |
| Max | | | 31.7 | 18.7 | 9.3 | 4,310 | $+5.0$ | $+7.4$ | 2,410 | 550 | 617 | 801 | 20 | 351 | 367 |

Anion and cation concentrations are given in mg 1^{-1} 1^{-1} . The ID-Nr. corresponds to the sampling sites in Fig. 1

 a Brezonik & Fox ([1974\)](#page-24-0)

^b Basterrechea [\(1988a](#page-23-0))

 \degree Perry et al. ([2002\)](#page-25-0)

| Aquatic environment | Code | ID- Nr. | Temp. Diss. $(^{\circ}C)$ | oxygen $(mg 1^{-1})$ | | pH Cond. $(\mu S \text{ cm}^{-1})$ $(\%_0)$ | $\delta^{18} \text{O}_{\text{VSMOW}}$ $\delta^{13} \text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ SO ₄ Cl | $(\%0)$ | | | $HCO3$ Ca K Mg Na | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Cenotes | XLA | 50 | 27.9 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 1,450 | -4.0 | -10.2 | | | 482 | 115 | $\overline{}$ | 40 | 169 |
| | MON | 52 | 26.6 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 3,670 | -4.1 | -9.7 | | | 482 | 23 | $\overline{}$ | 115 | 781 |
| | IGN | 55 | 27.4 | 2.7 | 6.9 | 2,110 | -4.1 | -13.2 | $147^{\rm a}$ | 370^a | 500 | 169 | $\hspace{0.1in} - \hspace{0.1in}$ | 35 | 326 |
| | CHE | 56 | 28.3 | 10.4 | 7.6 | 2,520 | -3.7 | -10.6 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | 476 | 217 | $\hspace{0.1in} - \hspace{0.1in}$ | 59 | 382 |
| | TIM | 57 | 30.4 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 1,470 | $+3.8$ | $+13.6$ | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | 604 | 116 | $\overline{}$ | 81 | 209 |
| | YOK | 58 | 25.2 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 949 | -4.0 | -9.7 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | 421 | 80 | $\overline{}$ | 28 | 78 |
| | JUA | 60 | 27.9 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 643 | -0.9 | -14.2 | $\overline{}$ | | 293 | 68 | $\hspace{1.0cm} - \hspace{1.0cm}$ | 23 | 53 |
| | YAA | 63 | 26.4 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 793 | -2.6 | -8.8 | - | | 287 | 71 | $\overline{}$ | 19 | 61 |
| | KAN | 54 | 30.7 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 1,750 | $+0.4$ | -1.2 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | 311 | 192 | $\overline{}$ | 17 | 244 |
| | TEK | 62 | 25.5 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 958 | -3.8 | -11.2 | $\overline{}$ | | 415 | 121 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 17 | 55 |
| Coastal | PRO | 35 | 26.4 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 2,040 | $+0.2$ | -16.8 | | | 213 | 203 | $\overline{}$ | 16 | 265 |
| water | CEN | 11 | 25.8 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 5,960 | -1.0 | -8.6 | 533 | 1,602 | 331 | | | 131 22 125 | 930 |
| bodies | ROSA 49 | | 28.1 | 10.5 | | 8.7 55,300 | $+3.2$ | -7.2 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 244 | | | | |
| | CEL | 51 | 24.9 | 5.2 | | 7.8 38,200 | $+1.0$ | -5.0 | 262^a | 657 ^a | 348 | $150^{\rm a}$ $12^{\rm a}$ | | | 73 ^a 375 ^s |
| Rivers | SUB | 31 | 26.2 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 720 | -3.3 | -10.5 | $\overline{}$ | | 329 | 164 | $\overline{}$ | 12 | 9 |
| | IXL | 27 | 25.9 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 1,030 | -3.9 | -17.6 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 433 | 238 | $\overline{}$ | 60 | 64 |
| | DUL | 24 | 27.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 192 | -3.7 | -14.6 | - | | 153 | 36 | $\overline{}$ | 13 | 6 |
| | CUB | 44 | 24.9 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 2,040 | -2.8 | -12.0 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | 250 | 575 | $\overline{}$ | 19 | 32 |
| | CAN | 46 | 26.9 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 1,560 | -3.3 | -13.9 | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 384 | 359 | \equiv | 44 | 17 |
| | GUE | 47 | 26.2 | 3.6 | 7.7 | 2,700 | -1.4 | -7.3 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | 421 | 330 | | -117 | 303 |
| Wetland | JAM | 48 | 25.7 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 2,520 | -0.6 | -9.0 | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | 189 | 45 | $\overline{}$ | 7 | 13 |
| Ponds | TÜM | 53 | 25.9 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 168 | $+5.6$ | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | | 85 | 26 | $\overline{}$ | 1 | 7 |
| | LOC | 59 | 32.0 | 14.4 | 9.4 | 4,340 | $+8.2$ | -0.4 | $\overline{}$ | | 482 | 99 | $\overline{}$ | 109 | 908 |
| | SIL | 40 | 30.2 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 183 | $+0.9$ | -5.9 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | 122 | 27 | $\overline{}$ | 3 | 11 |
| | BZ1 | 6 | 28.2 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 192 | $+0.3$ | -7.7 | 7 | 12 | 98 | 12 | 5 | $\mathfrak{2}$ | 20 |
| | BZ ₂ | 7 | 27.4 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 244 | -0.04 | -10.8 | 6 | 3 | 180 | 45 | 2 | 2 | $\overline{4}$ |
| Min | | | 24.9 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 168 | -4.1 | -17.6 | 6 | 3 | 85 | 12 | 2 | 25 | $\overline{1}$ |
| Max | | | 32 | 14.4 | | 9.4 55,300 | $+8.2$ | $+13.6$ | 533 | 1,602 | 604 | 575 22 | | 32 | 14 |

Table 4 Main physical and chemical variables and water chemistry of surface waters of additional studied aquatic environments

Anion and cation concentrations are given in mg l^{-1} l^{-1} l^{-1} . The ID-Nr. corresponds to the sampling sites in Fig. 1

 a Perry et al. (2002) (2002)

ecosystems. The deepest sampled lakes were Atitlán and Ayarza, with 340 and 250 m, respectively.

Cenotes and rivers

Groups II and III comprise cenotes and rivers. Both types of waterbodies displayed slightly negative $\delta^{18}O$ values, around -4.0% . Cenote Timul, however, had a high $\delta^{18}O$ value (+3.8%), dissolved oxygen concentration (11.4 mg l^{-1}) , and pH (9.1) . The δ^{13} C values were extremely high (+13.6%). The highest conductivity recorded in cenotes was 3,670 μ S cm⁻¹, and rivers registered values up to 2,700 μ S cm⁻¹. Concentrations of HCO₃ reached >600 mg l⁻¹ and were higher in cenotes and rivers $(430 \text{ mg } l^{-1})$ than in other studied aquatic environments. Ca and Na were the dominant cations in waters of cenotes. Dissolved oxygen concentration was variable in cenotes $(1.4-11.4 \text{ mg } 1^{-1})$ and in rivers (1.9–6.7 mg 1^{-1}). The pH of river water was around 7.5. Cenotes displayed more variable pH values (7.0–9.1).

Fig. 3 Stable oxygen and carbon isotopic composition of waterbodies reflecting effective moisture (precipitation–evaporation) and lake water productivity

Geochemical characteristics of surface sediments

Surface sediment descriptions and results of geochemical analyses are shown in Tables [5](#page-15-0) and [6](#page-16-0). We present results of sediment samples retrieved from the deepest site in lakes and from the littoral zone of other sampled aquatic ecosystems (rivers, ponds, coastal waterbodies, sinkholes, and wetlands). Tables [5](#page-15-0) and [6](#page-16-0) show the water depths from which surface sediments were retrieved. The deepest-water surface sediment sample we retrieved came from Lago Petén Itzá. Lake sediments were composed mainly of clayey silts that smelled strongly of H_2S . Water content in surface sediment samples from lakes ranged from 52 to 97%, TC from 1.7 to 44.5%, TOC from 0.3 to 44.4%, and TIC from 0.1 to 10.3%. TN concentrations displayed values $\leq 2.7\%$, and S values were $\leq 1.9\%$ (Table [5](#page-15-0)). The highest concentration of TIC in surface sediment of lakes was determined in Lake Bacalar. The other aquatic environments (Table [6](#page-16-0)) had surface sediments with water contents that varied from 15 to 97%, TC ranged from 0.3 to 37.3%, TOC from 0.2 to 37.2%, and TIC from 0.1 to 11.2%. The highest TIC concentration was measured in sediments of Cenote San Ignacio. Total nitrogen had concentrations $\leq 4.4\%$, and total sulfur was $\leq 0.7\%$.

Major and trace elements (Tables [7](#page-17-0), [8\)](#page-18-0) were quantified to further characterize surface sediments from aquatic environments. Heavy metal concentrations provide information on the degree of pollution in aquatic sediments. The highest concentration of arsenic (As) in lakes (Table [7](#page-17-0)) was found in Lake Amatitlán (201 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 4$). Among the lakes, Laguna San Diego displayed the highest bromine (Br) concentration (177 ppm). This lake also displayed the highest concentrations of nickel (Ni, 447 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class}$ 3) and yttrium (Y, 53 ppm). Copper (Cu) concentrations in lacustrine sediments were ≤ 81 ppm ($I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 1$). Lake Chacan-Lara had the highest concentrations of Cu, lead (Pb, 41 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 1$), zinc (Zn, 209 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 1$, and zirconium (Zr, 253 ppm). Iron (Fe) ranged from $\langle 1 \rangle$ to over 7% and the highest values were detected in sediments from Laguna Atescatempa. Rubidium (Rb) was high in Jamolún

Precipitation gradient, marine water influence

Fig. 4 Principal component analysis (PCA) based on 13 physical and chemical variables of aquatic environments sampled across the Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas. Complete names of waterbodies are shown in Tables [1](#page-4-0), [2](#page-5-0).

(131 ppm). Selenium (Se) was detected only in sediments from Laguna Rosario, southern Petén (3 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 2$). Strontium (Sr) ranged from 17 to 1,914 ppm. Among the additional studied aquatic environments (Table $\frac{8}{1}$ $\frac{8}{1}$ $\frac{8}{1}$), River Subín, Petén displayed the highest concentrations of As (26 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class}$ 1), Cu (60 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class}$ 0), Fe (7.6%) , Pb (36 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 1$), Y (66 ppm), and Zr (324 ppm). Br concentration was highest in Celestún (229 ppm). Río Dulce had highest concentrations of Ni (1,095 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 4$) among all studied aquatic environments. Rb was high in the Guerrero River (74 ppm). Cenote Petén de Monos was the only waterbody that contained Se in sediments (21 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 5$). The coastal waterbody, Laguna Rosada showed the highest Sr concentration (2,436 ppm). Zn was high in Cenote Timul (229 ppm, $I_{\text{geo}} = \text{class } 1$).

Conductivity explained the highest variance (29%) among all studied variables, whereas pH explained only 19% of the data variance

Discussion

Regional limnology

The study of continental waters in this area is complex because limnological features are influenced by multiple factors including precipitation, basin origin, geographic and geologic setting, topography, orography, climate change, and human impact. Chemical characteristics of our study lakes are influenced by (1) bedrock geology, (2) climate, and (3) saltwater intrusion at coastal sites.

Southern Guatemala is characterized by mountains and volcanoes. The highest sampled lakes, Amatitlán, Atitlán, and Ayarza (Nr. 19, 20, 23, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), are located in the Guatemalan highlands at elevations >1.200 m a.s.l. These lakes share many features. For instance, they are volcanic lakes characterized by

Fig. 5 Dendrogram of cluster analyses based on 13 physical and chemical variables using Ward's method. Bold line shows the cut-off criterion for cluster partitioning. Seven groups were identified in three main groups: (1) lowland lakes, ponds, wetlands, coastal waterbodies, (2) highland lakes, and (3) cenotes and rivers. Complete names of waterbodies are shown in Tables [1](#page-4-0), [2.](#page-5-0) Shading indicates type of aquatic environment

great depths, up to 350 m, and are located in the Chortis Volcanic Front physiographic province (Marshall, [2006\)](#page-25-0). Our altitudinal gradient ranged from 1,560 to 1 m a.s.l., extending from the Guatemalan highlands down to the northern karst lowlands of the Yucatán Peninsula.

Most of the waterbodies were small (surface area $\langle 45 \text{ km}^2 \rangle$, except for Lakes Izabal (645 km²), Atitlán (126 km²), Petén Itzá (100 km²), and Güija (45 km^2) . Mexico has eight lakes with areas >100 km² (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). Lake Izabal (Nr. 1, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) is Guatemala's largest lake, with the Río Polochic being the largest input river of 18 tributaries (Medina et al., [2010](#page-25-0)). The main outlet is Río Dulce, which drains to the east. Lake Atitlán, in the Guatemalan highlands, is a large caldera lake and was the deepest (\sim 340 m) sampled lake. Lake Petén Itzá (Nr. 2, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) is the deepest (\sim 165 m) lake in the northern Central American lowlands. The lake owes its origin and great depth to tectonism and limestone dissolution. Lake Güija (Nr. 21, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) is located on the border between Guatemala and El Salvador, and owes its origin to lava obstruction of the Ostúa and Angue rivers. The lava derived from eruptions of nearby volcanoes San Diego, Vega de la Cana, and Masatepeque (Sapper, [1925\)](#page-26-0). Cenotes display high-relative depths (maximum depth/mean diameter), and are typically fed by groundwater. This is reflected in the oxygen isotopic composition of their water, which is discussed below. Except for Cenotes San Ignacio Chocholá and Tekom, all sampled sinkholes were open.

Surface water temperatures among all studied aquatic environments ranged only from 21.6 to 32.0° C, illustrating the small difference in water temperatures between sea level and higher elevations $({\sim}1,560 \text{ m a.s.}$). In parts of Mexico, this difference can be greater, which is typical of sites located in the northern hemisphere. High mountain lakes display temperatures $\sim 0^{\circ}$ C and lower-altitude lakes have temperatures of 30°C (Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks, [2010\)](#page-23-0). Most of the lakes showed thermal stratification in the water column and the thermocline was well defined (Table [1,](#page-4-0) Fig. [2\)](#page-9-0). The depth of the thermocline, however, varied among lakes. Heat distribution and hence the position of the thermocline can be influenced by basin morphometry, meteorological conditions, surrounding vegetation, hydrology, and light penetration. To determine the influence of each environmental factor, frequent lake monitoring is

| Area | Code | ID-Nr. | Sediment description | Sample water depth(m) | Water content $(\%)$ | TC $(\%)$ | TOC $(\%)$ | TIC $(\%)$ | N $(\%)$ | S $(\%)$ |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Guatemalan | AMA | 19 | Dark brown clayey silts | 23 | 95 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| highlands | ATI | 20 | Brown clayey silts with gravels | 44 | 49 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | AYA | 23 | Gravels | | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | | | | |
| | GÜI | 21 | Light brown clayey silts | 21.5 | 52 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| | ATE | 22 | Grayish brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 48 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | < 0.1 |
| Guatemalan eastern lowlands | IZA | $\mathbf{1}$ | Pale yellowish brown clayey silts | 14 | 86 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | $\overline{}$ | |
| Guatemalan | OQU | 25 | Dark brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 76 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| lowlands | SAL | 26 | Light brown clayey silts | 37.5 | 70 | 15.5 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 1.0 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | MAC | 4 | Olive gray clayey silts | 75 | 96 | 21.9 | 15.8 | 6.1 | $\overline{}$ | |
| | YAX | 5 | Light olive gray clayey silts | 22 | 81 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 0.4 | $\hspace{1.0cm} - \hspace{1.0cm}$ | - |
| | ITZ | 2 | Olive gray clayey silts | 165 | 92 | 13 | 10 | 3 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 0.4 |
| | SAC | 28 | Light brown clayey silts | 5 | 93 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| | PER | 3 | Dusky yellowish brown clayey silts | 3.5 | 91 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 0.1 | $\overline{}$ | |
| | GLO | 29 | Grayish brown clayey silts | 64 | 88 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| | DIE | 30 | Olive brown clayey silts | 8.1 | 87 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| | POZ | 32 | Grayish brown clayey silts | 35 | 93 | 16.0 | 13.1 | 2.9 | 1.2 | $\overline{0}$ |
| | PET | 33 | Light grayish brown clayey silts | 2.1 | 75 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 0.5 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | ROS | 34 | Olive brown, highly organic | 2.1 | 83 | 28.7 | 25.1 | 3.6 | 2.7 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| Mexican | MIL | 12 | Yellowish gray clayey silts with gravels | 3.5 | 65 | 12.8 | 3.6 | 9.2 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | |
| lowlands | BAC | 13 | Yellowish gray clayey silts | 13 | 59 | 13.6 | 3.3 | 10.3 | $\overline{}$ | |
| | NOH | 14 | Light olive gray clayey silts | $0.5\,$ | 93 | 21.9 | 18.5 | 5.1 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ |
| | OCO | 15 | Pale orange clayey silts with gravels | 9 | 97 | 44.5 | 44.4 | 0.1 | $\overline{}$ | |
| | CHI | 16 | Brownish black clayey silts | 12.5 | 95 | 26.8 | 24.5 | 2.3 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | |
| | PUN | 17 | Dark yellowish brown clayey silts | 17.5 | 96 | 30.1 | 25.9 | 4.2 | $\overline{}$ | |
| | JOS | 36 | Brown sandy clayey silts | 2.5 | 30 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 0.9 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | SAB | 37 | Light brown sandy clayey silts | 0.5 | 61 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 |
| | BAT | 38 | Brown sandy clayey silts | 0.5 | 71 | 15.8 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 1.1 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | LAR | 39 | Dark grayish brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 91 | 11 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0 |
| | JOB | 41 | Dark grayish brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 62 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | FRA | 42 | Dark brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 83 | 17.7 | 15.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| | MIS | 43 | Olive brown sandy clayey silts | 5.8 | 96 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| | CAY | 45 | Black sandy silts | 0.5 | 67 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| | YAL | 18 | Dark yellowish brown clayey silts | 11 | 78 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 1.1 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | COBA | 61 | Olive gray silty sands | 0.5 | 63 | 14.9 | 6.7 | $8.2\,$ | 0.4 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| Belizean | ALM | 8 | Dark yellowish brown sandy clayey silts | 1.5 | 75 | 8.0 | 8.0 | < 0.1 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | |
| lowlands | CRO | 9 | Olive gray, highly organic | $\overline{3}$ | 75 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 2.7 | | |
| | HON | 10 | Pale yellowish brown clayey silts | 7.5 | 86 | 21.4 | 15.9 | 5.5 | | |
| Min | | | | 0.5 | 52 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ |
| Max | | | | 165 | 97 | 44.5 | 44.4 | 10.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 |

Table 5 Description and geochemistry of lacustrine surface sediments retrieved in the Yucatán Peninsula and surrounding areas

Table 6 Description and geochemistry of surface sediments of additional studied aquatic environments

| Aquatic environment | Code | ID- Nr. | Sediment description | Sample water depth(m) | Water content $(\%)$ | TC $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | TOC TIC N $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | S $(\%)$ |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Cenotes | XLA | 50 | Brown sandy clayey silts | 0.5 | 73 | 23.1 | 16.3 | | 6.7 1.2 | 0.5 |
| | MON | 52 | Dark brown, highly organic | 0.5 | 78 | 25.9 | 19.3 | | 6.6 1.1 | 0.7 |
| | IGN | 55 | Light grayish brown sands with gravels | 0.5 | 46 | 11.7 | 0.5 | $11.2 \quad 0$ | | $\overline{0}$ |
| | CHE | 56 | Grayish brown clayish sands with gravels | 0.5 | 56 | 13.4 | 2.6 | 10.8 0.4 | | $\overline{0}$ |
| | TIM | 57 | Dark brown clayey silts with gravels | 0.5 | 80 | 21.8 | 16.6 | | 5.2 2.3 | 0.2 |
| | YOK | 58 | Grayish brown clayey silts with gravels | 0.5 | 55 | 14.7 | 4.8 | | 9.9 0.5 | $\overline{0}$ |
| | JUA | 60 | Brown clayey silts with gravels | 0.5 | 34 | 13.9 | 2.8 | $11.1 \t0.3$ | | $\overline{0}$ |
| | YAA | 63 | Gray clayey sands with gravels | 0.5 | 25 | 12.1 | 1.0 | | 11.1 0.4 0 | |
| | KAN | 54 | Dark brown sandy clays with gravels | 0.5 | 48 | 19.8 | 11.1 | | 8.7 0.6 0 | |
| | TEK | 62 | Brown clayey sands with gravels | 0.5 | 56 | 12.4 | 5.4 | | 7.0 0.5 | $\overline{0}$ |
| Coastal | PRO | 35 | Light grayish sandy clayey silts | 3.2 | 82 | 13.5 | 3.8 | | 9.7 0.5 | $\overline{0}$ |
| water | CEN | 11 | Olive gray sandy clayey silts | 9 | 77 | 17.3 | 9.1 | $8.2 -$ | | |
| bodies | ROSA 49 | | Light brown clayey sands with gravels | 0.5 | 42 | 12.2 | 2.0 | $10.2 \quad 0.3$ | | $\overline{0}$ |
| | CEL | 51 | Light brown clayey sands | 0.5 | 71 | 15.1 | 6.3 | | 8.8 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Rivers | SUB | 31 | | - | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | - | | | |
| | IXL | 27 | Grayish brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 54 | 12.8 | 9.3 | | 3.5 0.1 | $\overline{0}$ |
| | DUL | 24 | Brown sandy clayey silts | $\overline{4}$ | 44 | 3.6 | 2.5 | | $1.2 \t0.2 \t0$ | |
| | CUB | 44 | | | | | | | | |
| | CAN | 46 | Light grayish brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 56 | 13.5 | 6.4 | | 7.1 0.4 | 0.4 |
| | GUE | 47 | Dark brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 58 | 8.7 | 6.0 | | 2.7 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Wetland | JAM | 48 | Dark brown clayey sandy silts | 0.5 | 65 | 7.4 | 6.2 | | $1.2 \quad 0.6$ | 0.1 |
| Ponds | TÜM | 53 | | | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | \equiv | | | |
| | LOC | 59 | Dark brown clayey silts | 0.5 | 78 | 17.7 | 11.9 | | 5.8 1.1 | 0.1 |
| | SIL | 40 | Reddish dark brown, highly organic | 2.5 | 97 | 37.3 | 37.2 | | 0.1 4.4 | $\overline{0}$ |
| | BZ1 | 6 | Light olive gray clayey silts with gravels | 0.5 | 46 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | | |
| | BZ ₂ | τ | Dark yellowish brown clayey silts with gravels | 0.5 | 15 | 11.4 | 0.3 | $11.1 -$ | | |
| Min | | | | 0.5 | 15 | 0.29 | 0.2 | $0.1 \ 0$ | | $\overline{0}$ |
| Max | | | | 9 | 97 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 11.2 4.4 | | 0.7 |

needed. Highland lakes showed a greater temperature difference between surface and bottom waters than lowland lakes. Previously studied Lakes Atitlán and Petén Itzá, Guatemala, had the deepest thermoclines (20- to 40-m-water depth). Basterrechea [\(1988b\)](#page-23-0) also reported that Lake Petén Itzá's thermocline started below a depth of \sim 20 m. He described the lake as warm monomictic, stratified from February to November, with brief mixing during winter (December– January). Other warm monomictic lakes in Guatemala include highland Lakes Atitlán and Amatitlán (Juday, [1915;](#page-25-0) Deevey, [1955](#page-24-0)). Lake Atitlán lies in the Guatemalan highlands, whereas Lake Petén Itzá is located in the Guatemalan lowlands. Water transparency in both lakes was high, 6.6 and 7.5 m, respectively. Their respective surface areas, 126 and 100 km² , are also similar. We visited and sampled each lake on only one occasion, and thus missed temporal variability in physical and chemical measures that are detected by frequent or seasonal sampling (Deevey, [1957](#page-24-0); Basterrechea, [1988b](#page-23-0)). Detection of short-term, i.e., diurnal, fluctuations in such variables as temperature and oxygen requires intensive sampling, at times throughout the day. For instance,

| Area | Code | ID- Nr. | As (ppm) | Br (ppm) | Cu (ppm) | Fe $(\%)$ | Ni (ppm) | Pb (ppm) | Rb (ppm) | Se (ppm) | $\rm Sr$ (ppm) | Y (ppm) | Zn (ppm) | Zr (ppm) |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Guatemalan AMA | | 19 | 201 | 69 | 49 | $\overline{4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 16 | 25 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 257 | $\,8\,$ | 113 | 89 |
| Highlands | ATI | 20 | 16 | 12 | 44 | 5 | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{7}$ | 11 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 526 | 8 | 85 | 104 |
| | AYA | 23 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ |
| | GÜI | 21 | 29 | 6 | 52 | 6 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 37 | 100 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 103 | 23 | 178 | 174 |
| | ATE | 22 | 12 | θ | 76 | 6 | Ω | 17 | 37 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 94 | 19 | 98 | 161 |
| Guatemalan | OQU | 25 | 10 | 32 | 60 | \overline{c} | 59 | 23 | 30 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 17 | 24 | 106 | 197 |
| Lowlands | SAL | 26 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 38 | 31 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 13 | 24 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 1,407 | $\mathfrak{2}$ | 35 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | ITZ | $\overline{2}$ | 13 | 42 | 46 | \overline{c} | 12 | 30 | 28 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 203 | 14 | 78 | 121 |
| | SAC | 28 | 16 | 93 | 40 | 3 | θ | 19 | 22 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 43 | 14 | 77 | 133 |
| | GLO | 29 | 16 | 47 | 60 | $\overline{4}$ | 49 | 26 | 25 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 24 | 28 | 86 | 193 |
| | DIE | 30 | 21 | 177 | 67 | 3 | 47 | 27 | 22 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 26 | 23 | 83 | 143 |
| | POZ | 32 | 33 | 81 | 49 | 3 | 40 | 23 | 14 | Ω | 28 | 24 | 90 | 122 |
| | PET | 33 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 16 | 43 | \overline{c} | 58 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 353 | 7 | 38 | 101 |
| | ROS | 34 | 00 | 57 | 25 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 20 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 17 | 3 | 482 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 26 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| | JOS | 36 | 9 | 59 | 22 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 18 | 22 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 98 | 18 | 58 | 76 |
| | SAB | 37 | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | - | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | $\overline{}$ | | $\overline{}$ | $\qquad \qquad -$ |
| | BAT | 38 | 5 | 29 | 25 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 22 | 17 | 22 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 59 | 13 | 106 | 74 |
| | LAR | 39 | 16 | 47 | 81 | 5 | 57 | 41 | 46 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 27 | 31 | 209 | 253 |
| | JOB | 41 | 11 | $\overline{7}$ | 52 | 4 | 104 | 29 | 131 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 23 | 35 | 105 | 212 |
| | FRA | 42 | 11 | 32 | 44 | 2 | 105 | 22 | 6 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 145 | 26 | 135 | 200 |
| | MIS | 43 | 11 | 102 | 47 | 3 | 170 | 34 | 24 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 420 | 23 | 72 | 190 |
| | CAY | 45 | 12 | 9 | 73 | 3 | 447 | 24 | 17 | Ω | 94 | 53 | 117 | 251 |
| | YAL | 18 | 13 | 65 | 19 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 5 | 21 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 1,914 | 0.5 | 23 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| | COBA 61 | | 8 | 27 | θ | 0.5 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 11 | $\overline{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 1,710 | $\mathbf{0}$ | θ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| Min | | | $\mathbf{0}$ | θ | θ | 0.5 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 17 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Max | | | 201 | 177 | 81 | 6 | 447 | 41 | 131 | 3 | 1,914 | 53 | 209 | 253 |

Table 7 Major and trace element concentrations in surface sediments retrieved from lakes in the study area

Caballero et al. [\(2006](#page-24-0)) studied the daily variability of oxygen and temperature profiles in Lago Verde, Mexico.

We did not measure temperature and oxygen profiles in cenotes. Only surface waters were analyzed. Hydrochemical characteristics of cenotes in southeast Mexico were studied by Comín et al. [\(1996](#page-24-0)) and Herrera-Silveira et al. ([1998\)](#page-24-0). Cervantes-Martínez et al. [\(2002](#page-24-0)) studied eight cenotes during the dry season. Perry et al. ([1995,](#page-25-0) [2002,](#page-25-0) [2003\)](#page-25-0) focused on the hydrogeology and hydrogeochemistry of the karst aquifer system of the northern Yucatán Peninsula. The biota and the physical and chemical characteristics of cenotes were studied by Schmitter-Soto et al. [\(2002](#page-26-0)), and the stable isotope systematics of two cenotes were studied by Socki et al. [\(2002](#page-26-0)).

Waterbodies in the peninsula display local geochemical variations that are related to groundwater influence (Perry et al., [2002](#page-25-0), [2009](#page-25-0)).

Multivariate analysis, using water variables of all sampled aquatic environments, showed that conductivity is the dominant factor to characterize the waters, reflecting the steep NW–S precipitation gradient and saltwater intrusion at coastal sites. Talling & Talling [\(1965](#page-26-0)) and Kilham ([1990\)](#page-25-0) suggested that conductivity and total ionic composition of lake waters can be used as indicators of water type. The pH and dissolved oxygen explained 19% of the variance in the water

| Aquatic environment | Code | ID- Nr. | As (ppm) | Br (ppm) | Cu (ppm) | Fe $(\%)$ | Ni (ppm) | Pb (ppm) | Rb (ppm) | Se (ppm) | Sr (ppm) | Y (ppm) | Zn (ppm) | Zr (ppm) |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Cenotes | XLA | 50 | 9 | 59 | 25 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 25 | 34 | 17 | θ | 495 | \overline{c} | 48 | 64 |
| | MON | 52 | 23 | 206 | 31 | 0.5 | 30 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 19 | 21 | 1,464 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | IGN | 55 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 13 | 23 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 13 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 298 | 2 | 42 | 49 |
| | CHE | 56 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 66 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.4 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 1,615 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | θ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | TIM | 57 | 15 | 32 | 58 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 9 | 22 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 978 | 3 | 229 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| | YOK | 58 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 54 | 23 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 14 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 634 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 25 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | JUA | 60 | τ | 26 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.5 | 1 | 9 | 15 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 1,210 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 67 | $\overline{0}$ |
| | YAA | 63 | 7 | 7 | θ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 14 | 14 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 388 | 3 | 19 | 50 |
| | KAN | 54 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 26 | θ | 0.5 | $\overline{0}$ | θ | 16 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 1,229 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 37 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | TEK | 62 | 22 | 22 | 29 | \overline{c} | $\mathbf{0}$ | 25 | 44 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 214 | 20 | 174 | 116 |
| Coastal water | PRO | 35 | 12 | 69 | θ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 8 | 18 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 1,245 | 1 | 11 | 65 |
| bodies | ROSA | 49 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 72 | θ | $\overline{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 17 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 2,436 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ |
| | CEL | 51 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 229 | 20 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | Ω | $\mathbf{0}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 2,365 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ |
| Rivers | SUB | 31 | 26 | 4 | 60 | 8 | 39 | 36 | 64 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 21 | 66 | 128 | 324 |
| | IXL | 27 | 9 | 15 | 33 | 1 | θ | 18 | 25 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 307 | 10 | 53 | 113 |
| | DUL | 24 | 11 | 7 | 47 | 7 | 1,095 | 17 | 54 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 149 | 15 | 109 | 128 |
| | CUB | 44 | 14 | 9 | $\mathbf{0}$ | \overline{c} | 63 | 24 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 645 | 41 | 48 | 176 |
| | CAN | 46 | 8 | 12 | 27 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 38 | 14 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 1,144 | 5 | 50 | 101 |
| | GUE | 47 | 9 | 86 | 38 | 3 | 105 | 18 | 74 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 599 | 12 | 55 | 170 |
| Wetland | JAM | 48 | 9 | 9 | 38 | 3 | 31 | 36 | 62 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 44 | 33 | 109 | 204 |
| Ponds | TÜM | 53 | $\overline{}$ | | | | | | $\qquad \qquad -$ | | | | | |
| | LOC | 59 | 10 | 135 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 14 | 49 | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 502 | 18 | 42 | 102 |
| | SIL | 40 | $\overline{}$ | - | $\overline{}$ | | | | $\overline{}$ | | | | | |
| Min | | | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 4 | θ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 21 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | θ |
| Max | | | 26 | 229 | 60 | 8 | 1,095 | 36 | 74 | 21 | 2,436 | 66 | 229 | 324 |

Table 8 Major and trace element concentrations in surface sediment retrieved from the additional aquatic environments in the study area

chemistry data set, providing evidence of eutrophication in some waterbodies (i.e., Amatitlán and Timul). PCA was used by Alcocer & Bernal-Brooks ([2002\)](#page-23-0) to identify variables that explain spatial and temporal differences within Lake Pátzcuaro, Mexico. Variables that explained most of the variance included conductivity and total residue. Conductivity in our study systems ranged from 127 μ S cm⁻¹ to 55.3 mS cm⁻¹. Highest conductivities were measured in the north and lower values were recorded in the south, reflecting the latitudinal precipitation–evaporation gradient and higher marine influence in the northern Yucatán Peninsula. Coastal lakes affected by saltwater intrusion and/or sea spray display high conductivities. Such waters were neutral to alkaline and characterized by $HCO₃$, $SO₄$, and Cl, Ca, Mg, and Na.

The pH of Lake Amatitlán waters was considerably lower in 1969 (7.7, Brezonik & Fox, [1974\)](#page-24-0) than in 2008 (9.3). One explanation for this difference may be the cultural eutrophication of the lake that occurred over the last few decades. Today, dense algal blooms in surface waters rapidly consume dissolved $CO₂$ during photosynthesis, driving pH higher as the rate of $CO₂$ uptake for photosynthesis exceeds the rate of metabolic $CO₂$ production. Time of day may also influence the water-column pH, with higher values expected during the period of maximum primary production in the afternoon. Seasonal differences in precipitation may also play a role. Basterrechea [\(1986\)](#page-23-0) studied Lake Amatitlán from March to November 1985. pH values were lower during the dry season (7.5) than during the rainy season in 1985 (8.5).

Seasonal precipitation differences also affect conductivity. The conductivity we measured at the end of the rainy season in 2008 (630 μ S cm⁻¹) was lower than values determined in summer 1969 by Brezonik & Fox $(830 \text{ }\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}).$

Lake Amatitlán displayed the highest chloride concentration among the Guatemalan lakes (163 mg 1^{-1}). This is probably related to the volcanic terrain and highchloride content of water and gas entering the lake (Deevey, [1955](#page-24-0)).

Comparisons between electrical conductivities measured in Petén lakes in 1969 and during our study show a slight increase over the past four decades: Lago Petén Itzá (1969, 483 µS cm⁻¹; 2008, 533 µS cm⁻¹), Lake Macanché (1969, 700 µS cm⁻¹; 2008, 850 µS cm^{-1}), and Lake Salpetén (1969, 4,100 μ S cm⁻¹; 2008, 4,300 μ S cm⁻¹). This may, in part, be explained by a different reference temperature used in measurements (i.e., 20 vs. 25°C). Highland Lake Atitlán also showed a slight increase in conductivity through time (1969, 420 μ S cm⁻¹; 2008, 465 μ S cm⁻¹). Seiler et al. ([1994\)](#page-26-0) reported a value of 2,000 μ S cm⁻¹ for Lake Atitlán, which they related to high lake evaporation. Nevertheless, δ^{18} O values for lake waters (-1.6%) are consistent with those reported by Seiler et al. ([1994\)](#page-26-0), suggesting factors besides evaporation are responsible for the temporal change in conductivity. We determined higher concentrations of cations and anions, except for Mg, in Lago Petén Itzá than did Brezonik & Fox (1974) (1974) . This may be associated with reduced groundwater outflow (Basterrechea, [1988b](#page-23-0); Pérez et al., [2010\)](#page-25-0). Waterbodies in the Petén Lake District are located close to one another (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). They all have a tectonic/solution origin and lack overland outflows, but they differ significantly in water chemistry and conductivity. Sulfate-rich Lake Salpetén (Nr. 26, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) has the highest conductivity $(4,300 \mu S \text{ cm}^{-1})$ among the sampled lakes, while Lakes Yaxhá, Sacpuy, Perdida, Gloria, and San Diego (Nr. 5, 28, 3, 29, 30, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) are relatively fresh $(\leq$ 285 µS cm⁻¹). High conductivity in Lake Salpetén may indicate that the lake receives hydrologic input from a salt spring or groundwater that flows through gypsum deposits. The δ^{18} O value of waters (+4.6%) and the Sr concentration (1,407 ppm) in sediments from Lake Salpetén were the highest values measured in Petén lakes and indicate high evaporation. Our water chemistry results are consistent with results published by Basterrechea [\(1988a\)](#page-23-0) for Lakes Salpetén,

Yaxhá, Atescatempa, and Ayarza. The water chemistry of Lake Izabal showed similar composition in 2005 and in 1969 (Brezonik & Fox, [1974\)](#page-24-0).

Classification of aquatic ecosystems across a climatic gradient

Classification of the aquatic environments in the study area by chemical composition is difficult because many factors affect water chemistry. Accurate limnological classification requires that geographic, geologic, and climatic characteristics be considered. We conducted a cluster analysis on 13 physical and chemical variables, and distinguished three groups of aquatic environments located in two regions.

Lowlands

The lowlands are characterized by lakes, cenotes, rivers, small ponds, wetlands, and coastal waterbodies. Rivers and large waterbodies are missing in the northern part of the Yucatán Peninsula due to rapid infiltration of rainfall. All lowland waterbodies had slightly negative or slightly positive water column δ^{18} O values. Values of δ^{18} O are controlled mostly by evaporation/precipitation ratio, the mean oxygen isotopic composition of the catchment precipitation, groundwater exchange, and seasonal stratification and mixing of the lake. Physical processes such as evaporation and water circulation govern the isotopic composition of lake waters. Evaporation from lakes is related to relative humidity, temperature, and wind stress, and the impact on the oxygen stable isotope signature is further controlled by surface area/volume ratio and water residence time (Covich & Stuiver, [1974;](#page-24-0) Gat, [1995](#page-24-0), [1996](#page-24-0); Griffiths et al., [2002](#page-24-0); Schwalb, [2003\)](#page-26-0). For instance, Leng & Anderson [\(2003](#page-25-0)) observed that water column δ^{18} O values depend on lake size in western Greenland. It is possible that our δ^{18} O measurements were affected by large rainfall inputs associated with the 2005 hurricanes that shifted values more negative. The δ^{18} O of water in closed-basin lakes, i.e., lakes lacking major surficial inflows or outflows, is controlled largely by the ratio of evaporation to precipitation (Talbot, [1990;](#page-26-0) Curtis et al., [1996](#page-24-0)). Lakes such as Salpetén, Macanché, Yaxhá, Lago Petén Itzá, Sacpuy, La Gloria, Honey Camp, and Chichancanab display δ^{18} O values greater than those of regional precipitation, illustrating evaporative enrichment (Brenner et al., [2003](#page-24-0)). Lakes Yalahau and Chichancanab (Nr. 18, 16, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) are located farther north, where precipitation is lower. This may explain their relatively higher water δ^{18} O values. A relatively low δ^{18} O value (~-4.0%) was determined at Cenote ''La Normal,'' which is a sinkhole connected to Lake Bacalar (Nr. 13, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). It receives a large amount of groundwater as part of its hydrologic budget, thus accounting for the negative δ^{18} O value. Groundwater δ^{18} O values on the karst Yucatán Peninsula are similar to those for weighted mean rainfall, because rapid infiltration precludes evaporative fractionation (Brenner et al., [2003](#page-24-0)). Lakes in the south displayed low δ^{18} O values, typical of areas with high-effective moisture (i.e., low evaporation/precipitation). Lake Izabal is located in the wetter SE lowlands of Guatemala with annual precipitation approaching $2,900$ mm year⁻¹, and lake waters thus showed relatively low δ^{18} O values (-3.8‰) compared to other lowland lakes located farther north. In addition, Lake Izabal receives ¹⁸O-depleted input waters via the Río Polochic, which originates in the Guatemalan highlands. Lake Izabal, despite being in the lowlands, plotted in the dendrogram with the lakes in the highlands, where precipitation is high.

Inland waters were mainly dominated by Ca, $HCO₃$, and $SO₄$. Waterbodies in the karstic Petén Lake District were rich in carbonate and their sediments contained abundant ostracodes and gastropods. Waterbodies close to the coast were dominated by Cl and Na, and foraminifera were found in many cases. Seawater intrusion reaches as far as the central part of the Yucatán Peninsula (\sim 100 km), and is driven mainly by tidal motion (Perry et al., [1995](#page-25-0); Alcocer & Escobar, [1996\)](#page-23-0). Perry et al. [\(2002](#page-25-0)) divides the carbonate platform of the Yucatán Peninsula into six hydrogeochemical/physiographic regions (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). They are characterized by tectonics, rock type, sedimentation patterns, erosion, and rainfall/evaporation. Lakes Milagros, Bacalar, and Chichancanab (Nr. 12, 13, 16, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) are located in the evaporative hydrogeochemical region. In our study, Milagros and Chichancanab did not group with the other lowland lakes (Fig. [5](#page-14-0)), reflecting higher conductivity (\geq 2,060 µS cm⁻¹), and greater δ^{18} O values $(\geq +1.1\%)$.

Lake waters were dominated by SO_4 (<2,410) mg 1^{-1}), while Cl concentrations were much lower $(\leq$ 235.4 mg l⁻¹), indicating groundwater flow into lakes. Groundwater in this region is characterized by high sulfate concentrations and relatively low chloride concentrations. Our results are consistent with measurements made by Perry et al. (2002) (2002) in this area in 1995 and 1996. Their study identified a southwest flow of sulfate-rich groundwater in this region that may even extend into Belize and Guatemala. The SO₄/Cl ratio in inland waters was compared to that for seawater to assess the contribution of seawater to aquatic habitats. Seawater chlorinity is about 19,370 mg 1^{-1} and sulfate concentration is \sim 2,780 g l⁻¹, yielding a SO₄/Cl ratio of \sim 0.143. Although Lake Bacalar is close to the coast, the Cl concentration was low (83 mg 1^{-1}) relative to seawater and the SO₄ concentration in the lake $(1,374.4 \text{ mg } 1^{-1})$ yielded a high SO4/Cl ratio (16.56), indicating that any contribution from seawater is overwhelmed by sulfate-rich groundwater input.

Cenotes and rivers were grouped together. This can be explained by the distinctive oxygen isotopic composition of their waters. δ^{18} O values were all negative ($\sim -4.0\%$), with the exception of Cenotes Timul, and Kaná (Nr. 57, 54, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), where higher values suggest the strong influence of evaporation $(\delta^{18}O = \leq +3.8\%)$. These two cenotes displayed the highest $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values (up to +13.6%), indicating that they are highly productive (McKenzie, [1985](#page-25-0)). Most of the sampled cenotes (Xlacah, Ignacio Chochola´, Chenha´, San Francisco Kana´, and Timul) are located in the Chicxulub Sedimentary Basin (Perry et al., [2002](#page-25-0)), in the NW lowlands of the Yucatán Peninsula (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The region is characterized by low permeability, and groundwater chemistry reflects mixing with saline waters (Perry et al., [2002](#page-25-0)). Na contents were relatively high in surface waters, up to 381 mg 1^{-1} . Sediments collected in the cenotes had high concentrations of TIC, typical of waterbodies that are formed by limestone dissolution. Cenote waters had the highest $HCO₃$ concentrations of all aquatic environments.

Highlands

Cluster analysis showed that lakes in the Guatemalan highlands have similar physical and chemical characteristics. Most of the highland lakes are of volcanic origin, and are large and deep. Although lake waters displayed somewhat variable δ^{18} O values, all were negative to slightly positive $(\leq +1.2\%)$. The most negative value was measured in Lake Güija (-5.1%) . Lake Güija has three main inflow rivers, Ostúa, Angue, and Cusmapa, which probably supply relatively 18 O-depleted waters. The altitude effect (Clark & Fritz, [1997\)](#page-24-0) may also account for the relatively low oxygen isotope values in the highland lakes. In contrast to the lowland lakes, where Ca dominated, Na dominated the waters of the highland lakes. Although volcanic areas sometimes display acid waters (Brezonik & Fox, 1974), the highland lake waters were characterized by high pH values and bicarbonate concentrations. Laguna de Atescatempa (Nr. 22, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), because of its shallow depth (2 m) and small size (\sim 1.1 km²), differs from the rest of the highland lakes in the region $(\geq 14 \text{ km}^2)$. Furthermore, this lake has experienced a pronounced reduction in volume and surface area in the last few decades due to agriculture expansion. In 1988, the lake was larger and deeper (5.6 m) than it is today (Basterrechea, [1988a\)](#page-23-0). Lake Amatitlán also differs from the rest of the highland lakes. Lakewater and sediment variables indicate that the lake has become hypereutrophic.

Trophic state and pollution

The trophic status of each aquatic environment was assessed using nutrient and chlorophyll a concentrations in the waters. In this study, we used water transparency (Secchi depth) and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values as indicators of primary production. Heavy metal concentrations in lake sediments provided preliminary information on the degree of pollution in the aquatic ecosystems. We analyzed a few surface sediment samples from each waterbody, which we considered sufficient for preliminary descriptive purposes. Better pollution assessments will require more intensive sampling and comparison of results to global reference values (Turekian & Wedepohl, [1961](#page-26-0)).

Waterbodies in the region display a broad range of trophic states. Only a few oligotrophic lakes were identified. Water transparency in some highland lakes was high (\geq 6.6 m). For instance, Lake Atitlán was sampled in February 2008, when there was high-water transparency. Brezonik & Fox [\(1974](#page-24-0)) classified the lake as pristine and oligotrophic in July 1969. In

October and November 2009, however, the lake supported a dense cyanobacteria bloom. Lyngbya hieronymusii (Lemmermann, 1905) spread rapidly, covering up to 75% of the lake surface. This toxic cyanobacterium is a potential danger to human and animal health, and created a need for the Guatemalan Government to develop strategies to improve lake water quality (Persson, [2009\)](#page-25-0).

Lake Amatitlán (Nr. [1](#page-2-0)9, Fig. 1) showed the lowest water transparency $(\leq 0.8 \text{ m})$ among the lakes. Secchi depth in February 2008, at 1400 h was only 0.1 m, but increased to 0.8 m by 1600 h. This rapid shift in water transparency may be explained by vertical plankton migration, but may also reflect settling of plankton through the water column when winds subside in the late afternoon. Eutrophic conditions in this lake were reported as early as the 1950s (Deevey, [1955\)](#page-24-0), but were probably established even earlier. Deevey ([1955](#page-24-0)) reported total phosphorus concentrations in the water column of \sim 75 µg l⁻¹ and he collected abundant Chironomus sp. from the lake bottom, a dipteran taxon indicative of mesotrophic to eutrophic conditions (Massaferro et al., [2004](#page-25-0)). Green waters are indicative of high algae concentrations in the lake. The lake has one main input river, the Río Villalobos and one outflow, the Rı´o Michatoya. Drainage waters of the Rı´o Michatoya are used for hydroelectric power generation. The main source of contaminants to the lake is the Rı´o Villalobos, which carries wastewater from the capital, Guatemala City, 20 km away (Basterrechea, [1986](#page-23-0)). There is only rudimentary wastewater treatment, and consequently, nutrients, industrial wastes, and biological contaminants make their way to the lake. Mountains surrounding the lake are deforested, promoting rapid soil erosion, and watershed agriculture employs large amounts of fertilizer. Surface sediments are relatively high in organic components (8.9% TOC), and have relatively high concentrations of Br (69 ppm) and As (201 ppm). According to Müller (1979) (1979) , such a high concentration of As indicates that lake sediments are strongly polluted. Other highland lakes had lower TOC, Br and As concentrations. Wells that supply the city of Amatitlán with drinking water derive their water from the Rio Michatoya. High As levels have also been reported in drinking water from the city of Amatitlán (Portillo Guzmán, [2009\)](#page-25-0).

High $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values are characteristic of lakes with highly productive waters (McKenzie, [1985\)](#page-25-0). For example, Laguna Perdida and El Rosario (Nr. 3, 34, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), in the lowlands of Petén, Guatemala displayed the highest values, up to $+7.4\%$. Cenote Timul (Nr. 57, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) in the northern Yucatán Peninsula had even higher values $(+13.6\%)$. At the time of water sampling, the lake was very green, due to a dense algae bloom. The carbon isotope composition of the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in lacustrine waters is largely controlled by the photosynthesis–respiration cycle (McKenzie, [1985](#page-25-0)). In eutrophic lakes, phytoplankton preferentially assimilate 12 C during photosynthesis, which enriches the DIC pool with ¹³C (Herczeg & Fairbanks, [1987](#page-24-0)). Therefore, the 13 C value in lacustrine carbonates can be used as a proxy for biological productivity (Aravena et al., [1992\)](#page-23-0). This would lead one to suspect that the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ of eutrophic Lake Amatitlán waters should be relatively high. The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values of Lake Amatitlán surface waters, were, however, quite negative (-14.7%) , as were those of Lake Güija (-20.8‰). Variations in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values of lake waters are largely explained by exchange with atmospheric CO_2 . The ¹³C content of the inflowing groundwater (dissolved carbonates) and $CO₂$ produced during the decomposition of organic matter may contribute to variations as well. The low $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ values in these two waterbodies may reflect a CO2 contribution from degradation of organic matter $({\sim} -25\%)$ and/or rapid rate of CO₂ input from geologic sources in volcanic terrains (Fritz & Poplawski, [1974](#page-24-0); Peterson & Fry, [1987;](#page-25-0) Leng & Anderson, [2003](#page-25-0); Myrbo & Shapley, [2006](#page-25-0)).

Lake Atescatempa (Nr. 22, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), in the eastern highlands of Guatemala, displayed evidence of moderate pollution. The lake has been largely drained for agriculture (Basterrechea, [1988a\)](#page-23-0). The use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides led to higher concentrations of Cu, Zn, and Fe in the lake sediments. The Río Subín (Nr. 31, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), in Petén, Guatemala, was the most polluted of all the studied rivers. Sediments displayed high concentrations of As, Cu, Fe, Pb, Rb, Y, Zn, and Zr, suggesting moderate pollution. The river is a popular attraction for local inhabitants and serves as an important water source although it flows through several agricultural land holdings that employ fertilizers.

The highest Ni concentrations (1,095 ppm) were determined in sediments of Rio Dulce (Nr. 24, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), the outlet from Lake Izabal. This indicates that Rı´o Dulce is strongly polluted. A mine and a smelter were established at El Estor, on the shore of Lake Izabal, in the 1960s to exploit a rich Ni deposit (Brinson et al., 1974). Laguna Cayucón (Nr. 45, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) also displayed relatively high concentrations of Ni (447 ppm) and lake sediments were classified as moderately to strongly polluted. Trace amounts of Ni are required by organisms, but when Ni uptake is high, it can endanger their health. Br is generally bound to organic matter and Lake San Diego (Nr. 30, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) had both the highest Br and organic carbon concentrations among sediments from the sampled lakes.

Intensive sampling is needed in the study area. Future regional studies should include limnological, meteorological, geological, physiographical, and vegetation data. A joint effort among scientists from several disciplines will be needed. This will allow better characterization of waterbodies and interpretation of limnological data from the Yucatán Peninsula, Belize, and Guatemala.

It is our hope that the data presented here will also contribute to the formulation of strategies for aquatic ecosystem conservation and management in the region. Guatemala, Mexico, and Belize are rich in aquatic resources, however, insufficient support for study and management of these ecosystems places them at risk. Short-term, ''snapshot'' studies are still the norm, with little attention paid to routine monitoring and evaluation of water quality over time. Lake dynamics are best studied using intensive sampling strategies (i.e., monthly and at various times throughout the day). We encourage collaborations between local and foreign universities, government agencies, and NGOs to achieve better management of aquatic environments in developing countries.

Conclusions

This study highlights the diversity of aquatic ecosystems on the Yucatán Peninsula (Mexico), Belize, and Guatemala. PCA using physical and chemical variables of surface waters of 61 waterbodies indicated that conductivity explains 29% of the variability in the water chemistry data set. This reflects the steep NW–S precipitation gradient and marine water influence in

aquatic habitats close to the coast. The pH, dissolved oxygen and related variables explained 19% of the variance, reflecting eutrophication in lakes Amatitlán and Timul. Aquatic ecosystems in the highlands and lowlands can be classified into three groups: (1) lowland lakes, ponds, wetlands, and coastal waterbodies, (2) highland lakes, and (3) sinkholes and rivers. Water ionic composition was determined largely by the lake's mode of origin. Highland volcanic lakes display waters dominated by Na, $HCO₃$, and Cl, whereas Ca, $HCO₃$, and $SO₄$ dominate the waters of lowland lakes of karst origin. Waterbodies close to the coast are dominated by Na and Cl. Local hydrogeochemistry of aquatic ecosystems is related to groundwater influence. Cenotes on the NW Yucatán Peninsula display negative $\delta^{18}O$ values (-4.0%) , with the exception of Timul and Kaná $(<+3.8\%)$. Highland lakes of Guatemala display the greatest water transparency (≥ 6.6 m), with the exception of Lake Amatitlán, which suffers from cultural eutrophication. Other waterbodies (Laguna Rosario, Perdida, and Cenote Timul) show evidence of highly productive waters $(\delta^{13}C = \leq +13.6\%)$ as well. Heavy metal concentrations in aquatic sediments provided information on the degree of pollution. High concentrations of Ni in sediments of Río Dulce suggest severe pollution. Lake Atescatempa and the Subín River were identified as moderately polluted waterbodies.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to many people who helped us during our fieldtrips in Mexico, Belize, and Guatemala. We extend thanks to the following people and agencies: Aaron Lewis (University of Belize), the Forestry and Fisheries Departments (Belize), Margarita Palmieri, Margaret Dix, Roberto Moreno, Eleonor de Tott (Universidad del Valle de Guatemala), Rodrigo Morales, Franklin Herrera (CONAP, Guatemala), Ismael Ordóñez (AMSCLAE, Guatemala), Julio Morales Cancino (AMPI, Guatemala), Roderico Pineda, Mario Buch (Trifinio, Guatemala), Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores (SRE, Mexico), Comisión Nacional de Acuacultura y Pesca (CONAPESCA, Mexico), Alberto de Jesús Navarrete (ECOSUR-Chetumal, Mexico), Rita Löhr, Benjamin Gilfedder, Yvonne Hermanns, Harald Biester (Institut für Umweltgeologie, TU Braunschweig, Germany), Douglas Schnurrenberger, Dustin Grzesik, David Klassen, Jose´ Harders, Carmen Herold, Bessie Oliva, Alma Quilo, Gabriela Alfaro, Jacobo Blijdenstein, Melisa Orozco, Silja Ramirez, Luis Toruño, Mario Cruz, Javier Pérez y Pérez, Carolina Alvarado de Pérez, and two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments. We are grateful for financial support provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, grant Schw 671/3) and start-up money to A.S. provided by the Technische Universität Braunschweig.

References

- Aguilar, E., T. C. Peterson, P. Ramírez Obando, R. Frutos, J. A. Retana, M. Solera, J. Soley, I. González García, R. M. Araujo, A. Rosa Santos, V. E. Valle, M. Brunet, L. Aguilar, L. Álvarez, M. Bautista, C. Castañón, L. Herrera, E. Ruano, J. J. Sinay, E. Sánchez, G. I. Hernández Oviedo, F. Obed, J. E. Salgado, J. L. Vázquez, M. Baca, M. Gutiérrez, C. Centella, J. Espinosa, D. Martínez, B. Olmedo, C. E. Ojeda Espinoza, R. Núñez, M. Haylock, H. Benavides & R. Mayorga, 2005. Changes in precipitation and temperature extremes in Central America and northern South America, 1961–2003. Journal of Geophysical Research 110: 15.
- Alcocer, J. & F. W. Bernal-Brooks, 2002. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of physical and chemical variables for an endorheic, shallow water body: Lake Pátzcuaro, México. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 155: 239–253.
- Alcocer, J. & F. W. Bernal-Brooks, 2010. Limnology in Mexico. Hydrobiologia 644: 15–68.
- Alcocer, J. & E. Escobar, 1996. Limnological regionalization of Mexico. Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management 2: 55–69.
- Aravena, R., B. G. Warner, G. M. MacDonald & K. I. Hanf, 1992. Carbon isotope composition and lake sediments in relation to lake productivity and radiocarbon dating. Quaternary Research 37: 333–345.
- Back, W. & B. B. Hanshaw, 1970. Comparison of chemical hydrogeology of the carbonate Peninsulas of Florida and Yucatan. Journal of Hydrology 10: 330–368.
- Basterrechea, M., 1986. Limnological characteristics of Lake Amatitlan, Guatemala. Revista Brasileira de Biologia 46: 461–468.
- Basterrechea, M., 1988a. Caracterización limnológica preliminar de 32 lagunas de Guatemala. Revista de Biología Tropical 36: 115–122.
- Basterrechea, M., 1988b. Limnología del Lago Petén itzá, Guatemala. Revista de Biología Tropical 36: 123–127.
- Brenner, M., 1983. Paleolimnology of the Petén Lake District, Guatemala, II. Mayan population density and nutrient loading of Lake Quexil. Hydrobiologia 103: 205–210.
- Brenner, M., 1994. Lakes Salpeten and Quexil, Peten, Guatemala, Central America. Global geological record of Lake Basins. In Gierlowski-Kordesch, E. & K. Kelts (eds), Global Geological Record of Lake Basins. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 377–380.
- Brenner, M., M. Rosenmeier, D. Hodell & J. Curtis, 2002a. Paleoclima de la región Maya: Síntesis del Conocimiento basado en registros paleolimnológicos. Los investigadores de la cultura maya, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche: 248–261.
- Brenner, M., M. F. Rosenmeier, D. A. Hodell & J. H. Curtis, 2002b. Paleolimnology of the Maya Lowlands: long-term perspectives on interactions among climate, environment, and humans. Ancient Mesoamerica 13: 141–157.
- Brenner, M., M. Rosenmeier, D. A. Hodell, J. H. Curtis, F. Anselmetti & D. Ariztegui, 2002c. Limnología y paleolimnología de Petén, Guatemala. Revista Universidad del Valle de Guatemala 12: 2–9.
- Brenner, M., D. A. Hodell, J. H. Curtis, M. F. Rosenmeier, F. S. Anselmetti & D. Ariztegui, 2003. Paleolimnological approaches for inferring past climate change in the Maya region: recent advances and methodological limitations. In Gómez-Pompa, A., M. F. Allen, S. L. Fedick & J. J. Jiménez-Osornio (eds), The Lowland Maya Area: Three Millennia at the Human–Wildland Interface. Haworth Press, Inc., New York: 45–75.
- Brezonik, P. & J. Fox, 1974. The limnology of selected Guatemalan lakes. Hydrobiologia 45: 467–487.
- Brinson, M. M. & F. G. Nordlie, 1975. Lake Izabal, Guatemala. Verhandlungen der internationalen Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 19: 1468–1479.
- Brinson, M. M., L. G. Brinson & A. E. Lugo, 1974. The gradient of salinity, its seasonal movement, and ecological implications for the lake Izabal-Rio Dulce Ecosystem, Guatemala. Bulletin of Marine Science 24: 533–544.
- Caballero, M., G. Vázquez, S. Lozano-García, A. Rodríguez, S. Sosa-Nájera, A. C. Ruiz-Fernández & B. Ortega, 2006. Present limnological conditions and recent (ca. 340 yr) palaeolimnology of a tropical lake in the Sierra de Los Tuxtlas, eastern Mexico. Journal of Paleolimnology 35: 83–97.
- Cervantes-Martínez, A., M. Elías-Gutiérrez & E. Suárez-Morales, 2002. Limnological and morphometrical data of eight karstic systems 'cenotes' of the Yucatán Peninsula, Mexico, during the dry season (February–May, 2001). Hydrobiologia 482: 167–177.
- Cheburkin, A. K., R. Frei & W. Shotyk, 1997. An energydispersive miniprobe multielement analyzer (EMMA) for direct analysis of trace elements and chemical age dating of single mineral grains. Chemical Geology 135: 75–87.
- Clark, H. W., 1908. The holophytic plankton of lakes Atitlan and Amatitlan, Guatemala. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 21: 91–106.
- Clark, I. & P. Fritz, 1997. Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology. Lewis Publishers, New York: 1–331.
- Comín, F. A., J. A. Herrera, C. García & M. Martín, 1996. Caracterización física y química de los cenotes de Yucatán (SE México). Recursos Hídricos en Regiones Kársticas. In Antigüedad, I. & A. Eraso (eds), Recursos Hídricos en Regiones Kársticas, Vitoria: 357-366.
- Covich, A. & M. Stuiver, 1974. Changes in oxygen 18 as a measure of long-term fluctuations in tropical lake levels and molluscan populations. Limnology and Oceanography 19: 682–691.
- Curtis, J., D. A. Hodell & M. Brenner, 1996. Climate variability on the Yucatan Peninsula (Mexico) during the past 3500 years, and implications for Maya cultural evolution. Quaternary Research 46: 37–47.
- Deevey, E. S., 1955. Limnological studies in Guatemala and El Salvador. Proceedings of the Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology 12: 278–283.
- Deevey, E. S., 1957. Limnological studies in Middle America with a chapter on Aztec limnology. Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 39: 213–328.
- Deevey, E., G. Deevey & M. Brenner, 1980a. Structure of zooplankton communities in the Peten Lake District, Guatemala. The evolution and ecology of zooplankton communities. In Kerfoot, W. C. (ed.), The Evolution and

Ecology of Zooplankton Communities. University Press of New England, Hannover, New Hampshire: 669–678.

- Deevey, E. S., M. Brenner, M. S. Flannery & G. Habib Yezdani, 1980b. Lakes Yaxha and Sacnab, Peten, Guatemala. Limnology and hydrology. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 57: 419–460.
- Deevey, E. S., M. Brenner & M. Binford, 1983. Paleolimnology of the Peten Lake District, Guatemala. Hydrobiologia 103: 211–216.
- Enfield, D., 1996. Relationships of inter-American rainfall to tropical Atlantic and Pacific SST variability. Geophysical Research Letters 23: 3305–3308.
- Enfield, D. & E. J. Alfaro, 1999. The dependence of caribbean rainfall on the interaction of the tropical Atlantic and Pacific oceans. Journal of Climate 12: 2093–2103.
- Fritz, P. & S. Poplawski, 1974. 18 O and 13 C in the shells of freshwater molluscs and their environment. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 24: 91–98.
- Gat, J., 1995. The relationship between the isotopic composition of precipitation, surface runoff and groundwater for semiarid and arid zones. Application of Tracers in Arid Zone Hydrology 232: 409–416.
- Gat, J., 1996. Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in the hydrologic cycle. Annual Review of Earth Planetary Sciences 24: 225–262.
- Griffiths, S., F. A. Street-Perrott, J. A. Holmes, M. J. Leng & C. Tzedakis, 2002. Chemical and isotopic composition of modern water bodies in the Lake Kopais Basin, central Greece: analogues for the interpretation of the lacustrine sedimentary sequence. Sedimentary Geology 148: 79–103.
- Hastenrath, S. L., 1967. Rainfall distribution and regime in Central America. Theoretical and Applied Climatology 15: 201–241.
- Haug, G., K. A. Hughen, D. M. Sigman, L. C. Peterson & U. Röhl, 2001. Southward migration of the intertropical convergence zone through the Holocene. Science 293: 1304–1308.
- Haug, G., D. Günther, L. Peterson, D. Sigman, K. Hughen & B. Aeschlimann, 2003. Climate and the collapse of Maya civilization. Science 299: 1731–1735.
- Heiri, O., A. F. Lotter & G. Lemcke, 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results. Journal of Paleolimnology 25: 101–110.
- Herczeg, A. L. & R. G. Fairbanks, 1987. Anomalous carbon isotope fractionation between atmospheric $CO₂$ and dissolved inorganic carbon induced by intense photosynthesis. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 51: 895–899.
- Herrera-Silveira, J. A., F. A. Comín & E. Sánchez, 1998. Limnological characterization of aquatic ecosystems in Yucatán (SE Mexico). Verhandlungen der internationalen Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 26: 1348–1351.
- Hillesheim, M., D. Hodell, B. Leyden, M. Brenner, J. Curtis, F. Anselmetti, D. Ariztegui, D. Buck, T. Guilderson, M. Rosenmeier & D. Schnurrenberg, 2005. Climate change in lowland Central America during the late deglacial and early Holocene. Journal of Quaternary Science 20: 363–376.
- Hodell, D., R. L. Quinn, M. Brenner & G. Kamenov, 2004. Spatial variation of strontium isotopes ($87\text{Sr}/86\text{Sr}$) in the

Maya region: a toll for tracking ancient human migration. Journal of Archaeological Science 31: 601–685.

- Hodell, D. A., M. Brenner, J. H. Curtis, R. Medina-González, E. Ildefonso-Chan Can, A. Albornaz-Pat & T. P. Guilderson, 2005. Climate change on the Yucatan Peninsula during the Little Ice Age. Quaternary Research 63: 109–121.
- Ibarra-Manríquez, G., J. L. Villaseñor, R. Durán & J. Meave, 2002. Biogeographical analysis of the tree flora of the Yucatan Peninsula. Journal of Biogeography 29: 17–29.
- Islebe, G. & B. Leyden, 2006. La vegetación de Guatemala durante el Pleistoceno Terminal y Holoceno. In Cano, E. (ed.), Biodiversidad de Guatemala. Universidad del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala: 15–23.
- Juday, C., 1915. Limnological studies on some lakes in Central America. Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts, and Letters 18: 214–250.
- Kilham, P., 1990. Mechanisms controlling the chemical composition of lakes and rivers: data from Africa. Limnology and Oceanography 35: 80–83.
- Klotzbach, P. J., W. M. Gray & W. Thorson, 2007. Summary of 2007 Atlantic Tropical Cyclone Activity and Verification of Author's Seasonal and Monthly Forecasts. Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Colorado: 53.
- Leng, M. J. & N. J. Anderson, 2003. Isotopic variation in modern lake waters from western Greenland. The Holocene 13: 605–611.
- Leyer, I. & K. Wesche, 2007. Multivariate Statistik in der Ökologie. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Löffler, H., 1972. Contribution to the limnology of high mountain lakes in Central America. Internationale Revue gesamten Hydrobiologie 57: 397–408.
- Lutz, W., L. Prieto & W. Sanderson, 2000. Population, Development, and Environment on the Yucatán Peninsula: From Ancient Maya to 2030. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria.
- Magaña, V., J. A. Amador & S. Medina, 1999. The midsummer drought over Mexico and Central America. Journal of Climate 12: 1577–1588.
- Marshall, J., 2006. Geomorphology and physiographic provinces of Central America. Central America: geology, resources and hazards. In Alvarado, B. (ed.), Central America: Geology, Resources and Hazards. Taylor and Francis Group, London: 75–122.
- Massaferro, J., S. Ribeiro Guevara, A. Rizzo & M. Arribére, 2004. Short-term environmental changes in Lake Morenito (41°S, 71°W, Patagonia, Argentina) from analysis of sub-fossil chironomids. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 15: 23–30.
- McKenzie, J. A., 1985. Carbon isotopes and productivity in the lacustrine and marine environment. In Stumm, W. (ed.), Chemical Processes in Lakes. Wiley, New York: 99–118.
- Medina, C., J. Gomez-Enri, J. J. Alonso & P. Villares, 2010. Water volume variations in Lake Izabal (Guatemala) from in situ measurements and ENVISAT Radar Altimeter (RA-2) and Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR) data products. Journal of Hydrology 382: 34–48.
- Meek, S. E., 1908. The zoology of Lakes Amatitlan and Atitlan, Guatemala, with special reference to ichthyology. Publications of the Field Columbian Museum 127: 159–206.
- Mitchel, T. D. & P. D. Jones, 2005. An improved method for reconstructing a database of monthly climate observations and associated high-resolution grids. International Journal of Climatology 25: 693–712.
- Molnar, P. & L. R. Sykes, 1969. Tectonics of the Caribbean and Middle American regions from focal mechanisms and seismicity. Geological Society of American Bulletin 80: 1639–1684.
- Müller, G., 1979. Schwermetalle in den Sedimenten des Rheins. Veränderungen seit 1971. Umschau in Wissenschaft und Technik 79: 778–783.
- Murray, K. & P. Wade, 1996. Checking anion–cation charge balance of water quality analysis: limitations of the traditional method for non-potable waters. Water SA 22: 27–32.
- Myrbo, A. & M. D. Shapley, 2006. Seasonal water-column dynamics of dissolved inorganic carbon stable isotopic compositions ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$) in small hardwater lakes in Minnesota and Montana. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 70: 2699–2714.
- Peckham, R. S. & C. F. Dineen, 1953. Summer plankton of lake Amatitlán, Guatemala. American Midland Naturalist 50: 377–381.
- Pérez, L., J. Lorenschat, R. Bugja, M. Brenner, B. Scharf & A. Schwalb, 2010. Distribution, diversity and ecology of modern freshwater ostracodes (Crustacea), and hydrochemical characteristics of Lago Petén Itzá, Guatemala. Journal of Limnology 69: 146–159.
- Perry, E. C., L. Marín, J. McClain & G. Velázquez, 1995. Ring of cenotes (sinkholes), northwest Yucatan, Mexico: its hydrogeologic characteristics and possible association with the Chicxulub impact crater. Geology 23: 17–20.
- Perry, E., G. Velazquez-Oliman & L. Marin, 2002. The hydrogeochemistry of the Karst aquifer system of the Northern Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. International Geology Review 44: 191–221.
- Perry, E., G. Velazquez-Oliman & R. A. Socki, 2003. Hydrogeology of the Yucatán Península. The lowland Maya area. In Pompa, A. G. & S. Fedick (eds), The Lowland Maya Area. The Haworth Press, Inc., New York: 115–138.
- Perry, E., A. Paytan, B. Pedersen & G. Velazquez-Oliman, 2009. Groundwater geochemistry of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico: constraints on stratigraphy and hydrogeology. Journal of Hydrology 367: 27–40.
- Persson, B., 2009. Guatemala's Lake Atitlán disaster, the explanation. The Guatemala Times, [http://www.guatemala-times.](http://www.guatemala-times.com/science-environment/environment/1237-guatemalas-lake-atitlan-disaster-the-explanation.html) [com/science-environment/environment/1237-guatemalas](http://www.guatemala-times.com/science-environment/environment/1237-guatemalas-lake-atitlan-disaster-the-explanation.html)[lake-atitlan-disaster-the-explanation.html](http://www.guatemala-times.com/science-environment/environment/1237-guatemalas-lake-atitlan-disaster-the-explanation.html).
- Peterson, B. J. & B. Fry, 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 18: 293–320.
- Poore, R. Z., T. M. Quinn & S. Verardo, 2004. Century-scale movement of the Atlantic intertropical convergence zone linked to solar variability. Geophysical Research Letters 31: L12214.
- Portillo Guzmán, S., 2009. Vecinos aún reciben agua contaminada en su casa. El Periódico, [http://www.elperiodico.com.](http://www.elperiodico.com.gt/es/20090305/pais/93458/) [gt/es/20090305/pais/93458/](http://www.elperiodico.com.gt/es/20090305/pais/93458/).
- Rosenfeld, J. H., 2002. El potencial económico del Bloque de Yucatán en México, Guatemala y Belice. Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana LV: 30-37.
- Rosenmeier, M., D. Hodell, M. Brenner & J. Curtis, 2002a. A 4000-year lacustrine record of environmental change in the southern Maya Lowlands, Petén, Guatemala. Quaternary Research 57: 183–190.
- Rosenmeier, M., D. Hodell, M. Brenner, J. Curtis, J. Martin, F. Anselmetti, D. Ariztegui & T. Guilderson, 2002b. Influence of vegetation change on watershed hydrology: implications for paleoclimatic interpretation of lacustrine δ^{18} O records. Journal of Paleolimnology 27: 117–131.
- Rosenmeier, M., M. Brenner, W. F. Kenney, T. J. Whitmore & C. M. Taylor, 2004. Recent eutrophication in the Southern Basin of Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala: human impact on a large tropical lake. Hydrobiologia 511: 161–172.
- Sapper, C., 1925. Los volcanes de la América Central. Verlag Max-Niemeyer, Halle.
- Schmitter-Soto, J. J., F. A. Comín, E. Escobar-Briones, J. Herrera-Silveira, J. Alcocer, E. Suárez-Morales, M. Elías Gutiérrez, V. Díaz-Arce, L. E. Marín & B. Steinich, 2002. Hydrogeochemical and biological characteristics of cenotes in the Yucatan Peninsula (SE Mexico). Hydrobiologia 467: 215–228.
- Schwalb, A., 2003. Lacustrine ostracodes as stable isotope recorders of late-glacial and holocene environmental dynamics and climate. Journal of Paleolimnology 29: 256–351.
- Seiler, K. P., P. Trimborn & R. Baldison, 1994. Investigaciones isotópicas ambientales, químicas e hidrogeológicas en la
- Socki, R. A., E. C. Perry Jr. & C. S. Romanek, 2002. Stable isotope systematics of two cenotes from the northern Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. Limnology and Oceanography 47: 1808–1818.
- Stenich, B., 1996. Investigaciones geofísicas e hidrogeológicas en el noroeste de la Península de Yucatán, México. Instituto de Geofísica, Universidad Autónoma de México, México D.F., Doctoral thesis.
- Suárez-Morales, E., 2003. Historical biogeography and distribution of the freshwater calanoid copepods (Crustacea: Copepoda) of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. Journal of Biogeography 30: 1851–1859.
- Talbot, M. R., 1990. A review of the palaeohydrological interpretation of carbon and oxygen isotopic ratios in primary lacustrine carbonates. Chemical Geology (Isotope Geoscience Section) 80: 261–279.
- Talling, J. F. & I. E. Talling, 1965. The chemical composition of African lake waters. Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie 50: 421–463.
- Tilden, J. E., 1908. Notes on collection of algae from Guatemala. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 21: 153–156.
- Turekian, K. K. & K. H. Wedepohl, 1961. Distribution of elements in some major units of the earth's crust. Geological Society of American Bulletin 72: 175–192.