## **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **SsDHN, a dehydrin protein from** *Suaeda salsa***, enhances salt stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco plants**

 ${\sf Hui \, Li}^{1,2} \cdot {\sf Li \, Zhang}^1 \cdot {\sf Jingwei \, Lin}^1 \cdot {\sf Shuisen \, Chen}^1 \cdot {\sf Weiming \, \, Gao}^1 \cdot {\sf Jiayi \, Zhang}^1 \cdot {\sf Hui \, \, Ma}^1 \cdot {\sf Ming \, \, Zhong}^1$ 

Received: 12 June 2022 / Accepted: 8 September 2022 / Published online: 9 October 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

### **Abstract**

Dehydrins (DHNs) are late embryogenesis-abundant (LEA) proteins, which enhance abiotic stress tolerance in plants. However, little is known regarding the function of DHNs in *Suaeda salsa* L. (*S. salsa*), which can grow in saline soil. Here, we successfully cloned and functionally characterized a dehydrin gene from *S. salsa,* designated *SsDHN*. Sequence alignment analysis showed that SsDHN belongs to SKn-type DHNs and shares relatively high level of homology with *Spinacia oleracea* COR47-like (XP\_021846321.1). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis indicated that *SsDHN* expression level increased signifcantly under salt stress. We also generated *SsDHN*-overexpressing transgenic tobacco lines and analyzed their salt stress response. Seeds of transgenic tobacco plants grown under a range of salt concentrations (100, 200, and 300 mM) showed signifcantly higher germination rates relative to wild-type seeds. Transgenic plants had longer root length, lower relative electrical conductivity (REC), lower malondialdehyde (MDA) content, higher proline (PRO) content, increased peroxidase (SOD) activity, and less damage to the chloroplast ultrastructure. Our results showed that the transgenic tobacco plant lines had improved salt resistance and osmotic adjustment, enhanced reactive oxygen species scavenging ability, maintenance of the K+/Na+ balance, and reduced chloroplast membrane damage. These results suggest that the *SsDHN* gene may be used for improving abiotic stress tolerance in economically important crops.

**Keywords** Dehydrin · Tobacco · Salt stress · Relative electrical conductivity · Chloroplast membrane damage

# **Introduction**

Plants live in open environments and cannot escape from adverse environmental conditions. As a result, plants are susceptible to numerous abiotic stresses such as high salinity, drought, and extreme temperatures. These stresses, individually or in combination, adversely afect growth, development, and yield, and they may threaten plant survival

Editorial Responsibiility: Yoichi Sakata.

 $\boxtimes$  Hui Ma mahui@syau.edu.cn  $\boxtimes$  Ming Zhong

mingzhong@syau.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Agricultural Biotechnology of Liaoning Province, College of Biosciences and Biotechnology, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China

Key Laboratory of Protected Horticulture (Ministry of Education), College of Horticulture, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China

(Bartwal et al. [2013;](#page-11-0) Ahanger et al. [2017](#page-11-1)). To confront various environmental stresses, plants have evolved a series of regulatory pathways to respond and adapt to their environments in a timely manner (Bartels and Sunkar, [2005\)](#page-11-2). Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins are a large family of hydrophilic proteins that were initially identifed in the late stages of seed maturation and were later found in most plants and in diferent plant tissues. LEA proteins are characterized by diferent conserved sequence motifs and are rich in alanine, glycine, and serine residues (Close, [2010\)](#page-12-0). There are seven groups of LEA proteins, based on sequence similarity and structural properties (Battaglia et al. [2008](#page-11-3)). LEA proteins play vital roles in plant growth and abiotic stress response (Jin et al. [2019;](#page-12-1) Shen et al. [2014](#page-12-2)). Under abiotic stress conditions, LEA protein expression is upregulated. These proteins play several roles, including the protection of cellular structures (Serrano and Montesinos, [2003](#page-12-3)), sequestration of ions (Grelet et al. [2005](#page-12-4)), folding of denatured proteins (Bray, [1993\)](#page-11-4), and protection of cells against membrane damage (Umezawa et al. [2006](#page-13-0)).

Dehydrins (DHNs), belonging to the group II LEA proteins, are a group of environmental stress-responsive proteins (Wang et al. [2014](#page-13-1)). DHNs are named based on their overexpression during seed dehydration stress, which is related to the protective mechanisms against plant dehydration (Jin et al. [2019](#page-12-1)). Generally, DHNs are hydrophilic proteins that include four conserved motifs (Y-, S-, K-, and φ-segments). Based on the presence of these four conserved motifs, DHNs have been divided into five groups: YnSKn, YnKn, SKn, Kn, and KnS (Serrano and Montesinos, [2003](#page-12-3)). The K-segment is a lysine-rich sequence motif (EKKGIMDKIKEKLPG) which is prevalent in all DHNs except in maritime pine DHN, and it is thought to be a signature fragment (Grelet et al. [2005;](#page-12-4) Bray, [1993](#page-11-4)). The Y segment is a conserved sequence, [V/T]D[E/Q]YGNP, which is found in the N-terminal region of DHNs (Hughes et al. [2013](#page-12-5); Malik et al. [2017](#page-12-6)). The S-segment consists of serine residues (SSSSSSSD) that are modifable by phosphorylation (Close, [1996](#page-12-7); Liu, et al. [2017](#page-12-8); Yang et al. [2012\)](#page-13-2). The poorly conserved regions, the so-called φ-segments, are enriched in polar amino acids (Vornam et al. [2011](#page-13-3); Graether and Boddington, [2014\)](#page-12-9). DHNs play key roles in plant response to abiotic stress. Overexpression of *DHN5* confers tolerance to freezing and salt stress in *Arabidopsis* (Brini et al. [2007](#page-11-5)). OsDHN1 has been shown to play a key role in drought and salt stress responses through scavenging of reactive oxygen species in rice (Kumar et al. [2014](#page-12-10); Verma et al. [2017](#page-13-4)). Overexpression of wheat DHN-5 confers tolerance to salt stress in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants (Saibi et al. [2015](#page-12-11)). MsDHN1 can increase tolerance to Al stress in *Medicago sativa* (Lv et al. [2021](#page-12-12)). Overexpression of *HbDHN1* and *HbDHN2* (two DHN genes *of Hevea brasiliensis*) can enhance salt tolerance, drought responses, and osmotic stress resilience in *Arabidopsis* (Cao et al. [2017](#page-11-6)). SiDHN1 and SiDHN2 can enhance cold tolerance in transgenic tobacco plants, and they exhibit an induced expression pattern under cold stress in *Salvia involucrata* (Qiu et al. [2014;](#page-12-13) Guo et al. [2017](#page-12-14)). AnDHN, a dehydrin protein from *Ammopiptanthus nanus*, mitigates the negative effects of drought stress in plants (Sun et al. [2021\)](#page-13-5). CaDHN3 enhances tolerance to salt and drought stress (Meng et al. [2021](#page-12-15)). ShDHN has been reported to promote resistance to drought and cold stress (Liu et al. [2015](#page-12-16)), and *GhDHN\_03* and *GhDHN\_04* knockdown demonstrated putative roles of DHNs in augmenting osmotolerance and salt tolerance in cotton (Kirungu et al. [2020\)](#page-12-17). Although DHN functions remain elusive in other species, several clues have been found.

*Suaeda salsa* (L.) Pall. is an annual herb of the Chenopodiaceae family that mostly grows in coastal wetlands, tidal fats, and other saline–alkaline environments. *S. salsa* plays an important role in protecting the marine ecological environment and in purifying wetland sewage because of its natural salt-resistant gene bank and its strong stress resistance (Zhang et al. [2005](#page-13-6); Song et al. [2017](#page-12-18); Guo et al. [2020a](#page-12-19), [2020b](#page-12-20)). Therefore, studying the molecular mechanisms involved in its salt tolerance would be helpful to further understand the plant response mechanism to salt stress. This understanding is also of great signifcance for promoting genetic improvement in other plants, enhancing the salttolerance of plants, and repairing and improving salt-tolerant soils (Song and Wang, [2015](#page-12-21)).

DHNs have been reported to be involved in resistance to abiotic stresses and are related to mechanisms protecting against plant dehydration. However, *S. salsa* DHNs remain less explored. In this study, we successfully cloned and characterized the *SsDHN* gene from *S. salsa* using homologybased cloning and RACE (rapid amplifcation of cDNA end) separation methods. Sequence alignment and evolutionary analyses revealed that SsDHN belongs to SKn-type DHNs and shares relatively high level of sequence homology with *Spinacia oleracea* COR47-like (XP\_021846321.1). Moreover, we showed that the expression of *SsDHN* is induced by salt stress. Therefore, we further explored the relationship between *SsDHN* and salt stress using overexpression techniques. The results showed that *SsDHN*-overexpressing tobacco plants exhibited signifcantly increased tolerance to salt stress. The results of this study reveal that *SsDHN* functions as a positive factor in salt-stress signaling pathways.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Plant materials and growth conditions**

The test material was *S. salsa* obtained from Panjin Red Beach, Dawa County, China.

Plants showing good growth were selected and sampled after treatment with 300 mM NaCl. Some of the samples were used for RNA extraction, and the remaining samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at−70 °C for future use.

The wild-type (WT) *Nicotiana tabacum* L. seeds used for transformation in this study were "NC89". The seedlings were grown in a standard growth chamber (2000 Lx,  $23 \pm 1$  °C) with a 16-h/8-h light/dark cycle.

# **Homology cloning and 3**′**rapid amplifcation of cDNA of SsDHN**

Related sequence information for *DHN* was extensively searched in the EST and GenBank databases. Degenerate primers DHNF1, DHNF2, DHNR1, DHNR2, and DHNR3 were designed using Primer 5.0, combined with BLASTn, for sequence comparison analysis. Oligo (dT) was used as a joint primer, and the cDNA obtained by reverse transcription was used as a template to amplify the conserved region fragment. A pair of 3′ RACE specifc primers, namely DHN3-1 and DHN3-2, were designed according to the conserved region of the cloned *SsDHN* gene. Using total RNA as a template and CDS (Coding Sequence) as the primer, frst-strand cDNA was synthesized using M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). Next, nested PCR amplifcation was performed with DHNR3-1 and DHNR3-2 as upstream primers and PCR-G as downstream primers with the above cDNA to obtain a single 3′ segment. The two obtained fragments were spliced using DNAMAN software to obtain the complete sequence. Specifc primers, namely DHNF and DHNR, were designed according to the complete sequence, and the full-length coding region of the *DHN* gene was amplifed by PCR using cDNA of *S. salsa* as a template. Detailed sequences of the outer and inner primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

#### *SsDHN* **sequence analysis**

The CDS of *SsDHN* cDNA sequence was translated using the online ORF fnder translator. The S- and K-segments of SsDHN were characterized using the ExPASy prosite server. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA6 software employing the neighbor-joining method (Tamura et al. [2013\)](#page-13-7). The SsDHN protein sequence (NCBI accession no.: AGC55011.1) was aligned with known DHNs using ClustalX software. The amino acid sequences of diferent DHNs used were as follows: *Chenopodium quinoa* (CqDHN; NCBI accession no.: XP\_021756500.1; XP\_021732246.1), *Atriplex canescens* (AcDHN; NCBI accession no.: AFC98463.1), *Atriplex halimus* (AhDHN; NCBI accession no.: AGZ86543.1), *Spinacia oleracea* (SoDHN; NCBI accession no.: XP\_021846321.1), *Suaeda glauca* (SgDHN; NCBI accession no.: AEA29617.1), *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (CbDHN; NCBI accession no.: ABV56004.1), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AtDHN; NCBI accession no.: CAA62449.1), *Momordica charantia* (McDHN; NCBI accession no.: XP\_022152554.1), *Manihot esculenta* (MeDHN; NCBI accession no.:XP\_021614140.1), *Cofea canephora* (CcDHN; NCBI accession no.: ABC68275.1).

#### **Expression analysis by quantitative real‑time PCR**

The four to five true leaves of *Suaeda salsa* seedlings were transferred from the greenhouse to fower-pots, and placed in a light culture room at a temperature of  $22 \pm 2$  °C and a relative humidity of 75% for cultivation. After culturing for 20 d, *Suaeda salsa* seedlings were treated with an aqueous solution containing 300 mM NaCl for 0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h, respectively. Tobacco roots and leaves treated with NaCl were used for total RNA extraction (TransGen, Beijing, China) and cDNA synthesis (TransGen). The qRT-PCR analysis was performed using an QuantStudio 7 Flex

Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, United States). The *NtActin* gene of tobacco was used as an internal control. Relative expression levels were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method (Livak and Schmittgen [2001](#page-12-22)). Three biological and three technical replicates were performed for each gene. The primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Four to five suaeda seedlings with true leaves were transferred from greenhouse to pots and placed in light culture chamber at  $22 \pm 2$  °C and relative humidity 75%. After 20 days of culture, suaeda seedlings were treated with salt stress. The time from the last watering to the salt treatment was 7 d, and then the seedlings were treated with an aqueous solution containing 300 mM NaCl for 0, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h, respectively. Each treatment was repeated for three times. The leaves and roots of the three repeated samples were taken and quickly put into liquid nitrogen, and then stored in a refrigerator at−80 °C.

## **Tobacco transformation**

The *SsDHN* cDNA CDS was driven by the CaMV *35S* promoter. A 700-bp fragment was inserted into the PBI121 vector for genetic transformation. The recombinant construct was introduced into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 and transformed into tobacco "NC89" using a leaf explant transformation method as described (Sunilkumar et al. [1999](#page-13-8)). Finally, six independent overexpressing T3 lines were obtained. Three representative T3 independent cell lines (SsDHN-1, SsDHN-2, and SsDHN-3) were selected for further experiments.

#### **Southern blot hybridization**

Genomic DNA was isolated from tobacco leaves using a Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Beijing Tiangen, China). Next, 10 µg of DNA from each sample was digested with HindIII restriction enzyme, electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel, and transferred to a Hybond  $N+$ membrane (Amersham). DIG (digoxigenin)-labeled DNA was used as a probe for DNA hybridization. Color rendering after molecular hybridization was performed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and the chemiluminescence substrate CSPD. In this experiment, the Agrobacterium plasmid transformed into the PBI121-DHN recombinant vector was used as the positive control, and the WT tobacco was used as the negative control.

#### **Protein extraction and western blot analysis**

Leaves of tobacco plants were used to obtain crude protein extracts through extraction bufer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8), 10 mM NaCl, 1% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM leupeptin, 1.5 mM pepstatin A, 1.5 mM aprotinin, 0.1 mM PMSF], and centrifuged at  $4 \degree C$  for 15 min at maximum speed by microcentrifugation. Proteins were precipitated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (10%, v/v), washed with 0.1 M acetic acid/methanol 3 times, dried, and suspended in an appropriate buffer for gel electrophoresis. Fifty micrograms of protein were isolated from each sample using onedimensional SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophorese (SDS-PAGE) and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes according to the manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad). The nitrocellulose membrane was blocked with 0.5% Tween-20 and 10% milk powder in PBS. The blots were probed with anti-DHN polyclonal antibody (Agrisera, Wuhan, China) and anti-rabbit antibody (ZSGB-BIO, Beijing, China) and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The blots were washed three times in  $1 \times PBS$  buffer and immunoreactive bands detected using the BCIP/NBT Chromogenic Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China).

#### **Abiotic stress tolerance assay**

For seed germination and root growth assays, seeds of WT and *SsDHN* transgenic lines were grown on MS (Murashige and Skoog) solid medium containing diferent concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM) at  $22 \pm 2$  °C for 20 days. Germination rate and root growth were calculated using three biological replicates. For tobacco seedling recovery assays, tobacco seedlings grown in MS medium with 200 mM NaCl for 30 d were transferred to normal MS medium.

WT and transgenic tobacco seedlings  $(4 \times 2 \text{ cm})$  were treated with diferent concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM) for 3 d. Fresh weight (0.5 g) tobacco leaves were washed with deionized water and wiped dry. 10 ml of deionized water was added; the test tube plug was covered and placed on the bed at 40–50 rpm and shaken slowly for 2 h at 25 °C. Taking the conductivity of deionized water as a blank control (C1), the conductivity of the solution was R1 after shaking well. Then, the solution was boiled in boiling water for 15 min and cooled to room temperature and conductivity was measured as R2 after shaking well. The conductivity of deionized water after boiling was used as a blank control (C2), and the relative electrical conductivity was calculated using the following equation: Relative electrical conductivity  $(\%) = [(R1 - C1)/(R2 - C2)] \times 100$ . At least ten seedlings were collected as one sample for each biological replicate.

MDA levels were measured using a maleic dialdehyde assay kit (A003-3, A003-3, Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). WT and transgenic tobacco seedlings  $(4 \times 2$  cm) were treated with different concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM) for 3 d.

Three biological replicates for each sample and at least ten seedlings were collected as one sample for each biological replicate.

The ROS scavenging enzyme activities of PRO and SOD were detected using kits produced by the Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China). WT and transgenic tobacco seedlings  $(4 \times 2 \text{ cm})$  were treated with different concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM) for 3 d. Three biological replicates for each sample and at least ten seedlings were collected as one sample for each biological replicate.

For  $K^+$  and Na<sup>+</sup> content assays, WT and transgenic tobacco seedlings  $(4 \times 2$  cm) were treated with different concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM). Each sample was tested three times for each salt concentration, and the treatment time was three days. Tobacco plants were removed from the salt water and rinsed with distilled water. The above ground and underground parts were separated, weighed (into 0.5 g parts), and dried in the oven until constant weight. Then, we added 1 ml concentrated sulfuric acid and 1 ml 30% hydrogen peroxide and put the triangular bottles into boiling water for 1 h. Deionized water (50 ml) was added; a flter paper was spread on the funnel and the solution was slowly poured into the funnel at a constant volume for fltering. An atomic absorption instrument was used to determine its concentration. After all the samples were measured, a standard curve was constructed according to the concentration of the reference standard liquid. The  $K^+$ content=(concentration  $\times$  50 ml $\times$ 39)/0.5 g, and the Na<sup>+</sup> content=(concentration  $\times$  50 ml  $\times$  23)/0.5 g. Three biological replicates for each sample and at least 10 seedlings were collected as one sample for each biological replicate.

WT and transgenic tobacco were cultured in medium with NaCl concentrations of 0, 100, and 200 mM for 60 days. Leaf samples  $(1 \times 1$  cm) were cut from the same parts of the two materials along the main veins of the leaves. The material was quickly placed in a pre-cooled 3% glutaraldehyde fxation solution and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. Phosphate buffer  $(0.1 \text{ mol/l}, \text{pH } 7.2)$  was used to wash  $2-5$  times for 30 min each time. The cells were then immobilized with a 1% osmium acid solution for 2–4 h. Phosphate acid bufer  $(0.1 \text{ mol/l}, \text{pH } 7.2)$  was used to wash 2–5 times (for 30 min) each time) on an oscillating platform. Ethanol was used for dehydration: 30% ethanol  $\rightarrow$  50% ethanol  $\rightarrow$  70% ethanol  $\rightarrow$  80% ethanol  $\rightarrow$  90% ethanol (15 min for each)  $\rightarrow$  100% ethanol  $\rightarrow$  100% ethanol (30 min for each)  $\rightarrow$  epoxy propane (twice for 30 min). Acetone and incomplete resin (3:1) were used overnight. Tobacco leaves were then placed into an embedding plate for 2 h, polymerized in an oven at 60 °C for 12 to 24 h, and double-dyed with uranyl acetate and lead citrate for 20 min. Finally, the cells were observed and photographed under a transmission electron microscope (LSM 510, ZEISS).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software. All experiments were repeated at least three times, and the data are presented as means $\pm$ SD. Statistically signifcant variation was determined using Student's *t*-test, and  $\frac{*}{p}$  < 0.05 was considered as significant.

# **Results**

#### *SsDHN* **encodes an SKn‑type DHN**

*SsDHN* was obtained using homology cloning and 3′-RACE (Supplementary Figure S1). The full-length *SsDHN* cDNA was 847 bp, including a 61-bp 5′-untranslated region (UTR), a 169-bp 3′-UTR region and a 678-bp ORF (Supplementary Figure S2A). Analysis of the protein sequence using ExPASy ProtParam revealed the isoelectric point to be 5.22. The molecular weight was 32.377 kDa, and the overall hydrophilicity average (GRAVY) was 0.804, indicating that SsDHN is a hydrophobic protein.

The amino acid sequence was deduced from the cDNAcoding region of *SsDHN* and compared with the amino acid sequence encoded by the *DHN* genes of other plants. The results showed that there was a sequence of SSSSSSS-DEEGEEGDDEEKKK rich in serine and lysine (i.e., S and K residues) in the 130–160 amino acid region, and two K fragments of KIKEKLPG in the C-terminal 226–240 amino acids, suggesting that the SsDHN protein has two characteristic domains of DHN proteins which belongs to members of the SKn family. In addition to this homology, there were certain diferences involving DHN of *Suaeda salsa* and other species, which represents a manifestation of species diversity at the genetic level (Supplementary Figure S2B).

To further study the evolutionary relationship between SsDHN protein and DHNs from other plant species, *Suaeda salsa*, *Momordica charantia*, *Manihot esculenta*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Cofea arabica*, *Spinacia oleracea, Chenopodium quinoa*, *Atriplex canesce*ns, *Atriplex halimus*, *Capsella bursa-pastoris* and *Suaeda glauca* sequences were analyzed using ClustalX software and MEGA6.0. We observed that the amino acid coding sequence of *SsDHN* has a distant evolutionary relationship with *M. charantia* and a close evolutionary relationship with *S. oleracea*, which is the same as the result of sequence homology described above. These results indicated that the SsDHN protein could be grouped as an SKn type (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)).

## **Expression pattern of** *SsDHN* **in response to salt stress**

Next, we conducted a comprehensive set of experiments to functionally analyze SsDHN for its potential role in simulated salt stress. The temporal expression patterns of *SsDHN* were analyzed in the roots and leaves after 0–48 h of 300 mM NaCl treatment. Our data showed that the expression level of *SsDHN* frst increased and then decreased, and it reached its highest level at 12 h. With increasing treatment time, the expression level of *SsDHN* was higher than that under control growth conditions in leaves (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)A). Similarly, the expression level of *SsDHN*



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Phylogenetic relationships between SsDHN protein and DHNs from other plant species. The molecular phylogeny was constructed from a complete protein sequence alignment of DHNs using the

neighbor-joining method. The symbol "▲" shows the position of SsDHN in the phylogenetic tree

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Analysis of *SsDHN* expression in leaves (**A**) and roots (**B**) of *Suaeda salsa* under salt stress (300 mM NaCl) condition. *Actin* was used as an internal control. Data are means of triplicates from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate  $\pm$  SD. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences from the control (Student's *t*-test *p*-values,  $*$ *p* < 0.05)



in roots was higher than that under control growth conditions up to 24 h of treatment and decreased with prolonged treatment time (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)B). In general, the expression of the *SsDHN* gene was upregulated under salt stress. These results suggest that the *SsDHN* gene is salt-responsive.

# **Overexpression of** *SsDHN* **enhanced salt tolerance by increased germination rate and root length**

To further verify whether SsDHN is related to salt stress, *SsDHN* transcription was driven by the CaMV *35S* promoter,

and six overexpressing *SsDHN* transgenic lines were generated in tobacco plants. Among these lines, we chose three representative independent ones for further analysis (abbreviated as *SsDHN-*1, *SsDHN-2*, and *SsDHN-3*), and *SsDHN* transgenic lines were examined by RT-PCR, and Southern and western blotting (Supplementary Figure S3). With increasing salt concentrations, the germination rate of the seeds decreased gradually. In the absence of stress, the germination rate of the WT and *SsDHN*-OE transgenic line seeds reached 100%. Although the germination rate decreased with increasing salt concentration, the germination rate of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic seeds was relatively higher than that of WT, indicating that *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines exhibited greater salt resistance than WT (Fig. [3A](#page-7-0)).

We compared the root lengths of WT and overexpressing plants under salt concentrations of 0, 100, 200, and 300 mM for 20 d. The results showed that the root lengths of plants difered under diferent salt stress concentrations. Without salt stress, the root length of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was slightly less than that of WT with the same growth time. As the salt concentration increased, the root lengths of the WT and *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines decreased. However, the root lengths of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines were generally greater than that of WT plants under the same NaCl concentrations, showing higher salt tolerance than that of WT plants (Fig. [3B](#page-7-0), [C](#page-7-0)).

In MS medium with 200 mM NaCl, the leaves of WT and *SsDHN*-OE transgenic seedlings were particularly small and were severely dehydrated, curled, and wrinkled. WT leaves were also yellower than *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines. Overall, they showed resistance to salt stress and were able to grow. After transplanting to normal MS medium for one week, *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines returned to a normal growth state, while WT tobacco still exhibited leaf curl and wrinkling, which recovered completely after 10 days of growth (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). These results indicated that overexpression of *SsDHN* enhances tolerance to salt stress in tobacco.

# *SsDHN***‑OX plants enhanced salt resistance with increased osmotic adjustment, enhanced ROS scavenging and maintained K+/Na+ balance**

We investigated the relative conductivity, the MDA content, and the proline content of the WT SsDHN-OE transgenic line treated with diferent salt concentrations. The results indicated that the REC of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was lower than that of WT plants (Fig. [5A](#page-8-1)), indicating that overexpression of *SsDHN* in tobacco can enhance salt tolerance by decreasing relative conductivity. The MDA content of *SsDHN*-OE lines was signifcantly lower than that of WT lines under salt treatment (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)B), indicating that increased expression level of *SsDHN* relieves cellular membrane

damage. The proline content of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was higher than that of WT (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)C), indicating that *SsDHN*-OE plants have increased salt tolerance.

When plants suffer from salt damage, membrane lipid peroxidation occurs and ROS are produced. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) has an antioxidant capacity because it can remove superoxide anions. Therefore, we investigated SOD activity of WT and *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines treated with diferent salt concentrations. The activity of SOD in *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was higher than that in WT (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)D), indicating that overexpression of *SsDHN* in tobacco can enhance salt tolerance by increasing ROS scavenging capability.

The concentrations of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $K<sup>+</sup>$  in the leaves and roots of tobacco plants were determined. The results showed that the content of K+ in the leaves and roots of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic plants was signifcantly higher than that of WT under diferent NaCl concentrations (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)E). The content of Na+ in *SsDHN*-OE transgenic plants was lower than that in WT (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)F), indicating that the *SsDHN* gene can maintain the balance of intracellular  $K^+$  and Na<sup>+</sup> and protect plants from ion toxicity.

# **Enhanced salt resistance of** *SsDHN***‑OE plants with reduced damage to chloroplast membrane structures**

Chloroplasts are the most signifcant organelles that are afected by salt stress. Therefore, we observed changes in the chloroplast ultrastructure of WT and *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines under NaCl concentrations of 0, 100, and 200 mM for 2 months. The results showed that the chloroplasts were very clear and the distribution of chloroplast adherent to the wall without salt stress. The chloroplast structures of WT tobacco were severely damaged under salt stress of 100 mM NaCl, which was manifested by the swelling and deformation of chloroplasts, increased number of osmiophilic particles and starch grains, and swelling and deformation of matrix lamellae. However, when 100 mM salt stress was applied, chloroplast damage was also observed in the *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines, but the damage was not signifcant. WT plants did not grow under salt stress at 200 mM for two months. The chloroplast membrane structures of *SsDH*N-OE transgenic lines were damaged under 200 mM salt stress. Many starch granules are produced under high salt stress, which increases the concentration of the cytoplasm and maintains the normal absorption of water by cells, thereby alleviating water shortage under salt stress (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)). These structural changes involving chloroplasts were sufficient to identify that the *SsDH*N-OE transgenic lines were more tolerant to high salt concentrations than WT tobacco because of the presence of the *SsDHN* gene in the transgenic tobacco plants.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Salt stress analysis of *SsDHN* transgenic plants with respect to seedling root lengths and germination rates. **A** Seed germination rates were calculated for the WT and transgenic lines grown on MS solid medium containing diferent concentrations of NaCl (0, 100, 200, and 300 mM) for 20 days. **B** Photographs of WT and *SsDHN*-OX seed-

lings on half-strength MS medium or half-strength MS medium with NaCl (100, 200, 300 mM) for 20 days. **C** Seedling root lengths of WT and transgenic lines under NaCl stress after 20 days. Error bars indicate SD based on three replicates. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences from the WT (control, Student's *t*-test *p*-values, \**p*<0.05)



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Photographs of WT and transgenic lines with salt stress and recovery of tobacco seedlings. Thirty plants from the WT and from the transgenic lines were treated with 200 mM of NaCl, and then the seedlings were transferred to normal medium for recovery





<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Relative electrical conductivity (REC), malondialdehyde (MDA), proline, superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels, and the contents of K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> in WT and *SsDHN*-overexpressing tobacco plants under normal and salt stress conditions. **A** REC content of unstressed and stressed tobacco plants. **B** MDA content of unstressed and stressed tobacco plants. **C** Proline content of unstressed and stressed tobacco plants. **D** Enzymatic activity of SOD in tobacco

plants under normal and stressed conditions. **E** The content of Na<sup>+</sup> in tobacco plant leaves and roots under normal and stressed conditions.  $\mathbf{F}$  The content of  $\mathbf{K}^+$  in tobacco plant leaves and roots under normal and stressed conditions. All determinations were conducted on three biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD based on three replicates. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences from the WT (control, Student's *t*-test *p*-values,  $* p < 0.05$ )



**Fig. 5** (continued)

## **Discussion**

Salt stress is a major cause of poor plant growth and crop yield reduction (Bhagi et al., [2013\)](#page-11-7). Many studies have focused on functional genes that play key roles in salt tolerance, and which have attempted to elucidate the genetic and molecular basis for improving plant resistance. To date, several useful or positive genetic resources for salt stress have been identifed (Ventura et al., [2015\)](#page-13-9). In this study, a salt stress-related DHN from the halophyte *S. salsa*, named *SsDHN*, was identifed and characterized based on sequence analyses, gene expression patterns, transgenic overexpression assays, and other physiological and biochemical tests to explore the possible mechanisms of *S. salsa* in response to salt stress. Our results showed that SsDHN positively improved salt tolerance in tobacco.

Plant DHNs are a class of highly hydrophilic proteins that have been functionally characterized and identifed as being involved in responses to abiotic stresses such as drought, high salinity, and freezing (Hundertmark and Hincha, [2008](#page-12-23); Xu et al., [2014](#page-13-10); Zhu et al., [2014\)](#page-13-11). The deduced amino acid



sequence indicated that SsDHN shares typical DHN motifs. including an S-segment and two K-segments, similar to SKn-type DHNs of other plant species (Hara, [2010](#page-12-24)). In addition, *SsDHN* gene expression was significantly induced by salt stress, which is consistent with the upregulation of *DHN* gene expression in response to multiple hormones and abiotic stresses (Zhu et al., [2014;](#page-13-11) Liu et al., [2015;](#page-12-16) Aguayo et al., [2016](#page-11-8)). To elucidate the contribution of *SsDHN* to salt stress, transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing this gene were produced in our study. Our results showed that *SsDHN* transgenic lines were more tolerant to salt stress than WT plants. This enhanced tolerance was illustrated by higher seed germination and growth rates. Although the germination rate decreased as salt concentrations increased, the seed germination rate of the *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was higher than that of WT plants. Similarly, the root lengths of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines were generally longer than those of WT plants under the same NaCl concentrations, revealing greater tolerance than that of WT plants (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). These results are consistent with previous descriptions of DHN proteins (Cao et al., [2017](#page-11-6); Brini et al., [2007;](#page-11-5) Li et al.,



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Changes to chloroplast ultrastructure in WT and *SsDHN*-overexpressing tobacco plants under normal and NaCl stress conditions. WT plants did not grow under salt stress at 200 mM for two months.

Chl, chloroplast; GL, grana lamella; SL, stroma lamella; SG, starch granules; P, osmiophilic particles; CW, cell wall

[2017\)](#page-12-25). In MS medium with 200 mM NaCl, the leaves of WT and transgenic tobacco plants exhibited water loss and shrinkage, and the leaves of WT tobacco showed bleaching. When transferred to standard growth conditions, the transgenic lines showed more rapid recovery than WT plants. This diference in recovery linked to DHN function has been reported previously (Saavedra et al. [2006\)](#page-12-26). This indicates the importance of studying plant responses during stress treatment as well as during recovery conditions.

Physiological assessment showed that *SsDHN* overexpressing tobacco plants exhibited superior salt tolerance than WT. REC is an important tool for measuring osmotic stress tolerance in plants. The REC of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was lower than that of WT plants. MDA is the fnal product of lipid peroxidation caused by ROS and is, therefore, a key indicator of osmotic stress injury in plants (Moore and Roberts, [1998](#page-12-27)). Our research indicated that the MDA content in *SsDHN*-OE lines was signifcantly lower than that in WT plants under salt stress. Free proline commonly accumulates in cells under stress (Ben Rejeb et al., [2014\)](#page-11-9). Proline is both an osmoprotectant and an efective

non-enzymatic antioxidant that maintains cell viability and prevents oxidative damage otherwise caused by ROS. Elevated accumulation of proline was measured here in *SsDHN* overexpressing lines, indicating that *SsDHN* overexpression provided better protection by regulating proline metabolism to maintain the growth of plants under osmotic stress. The activities of antioxidant-related enzymes were also measured in this study. The SOD activity of transgenic plants was signifcantly higher than that of WT plants. Under salt stress, plants are easily poisoned by metal ions; therefore, maintaining the balance of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $K<sup>+</sup>$  in cells is key for plants to survive in salty environments (Bojórquez-Quintal et al., [2016](#page-11-10); Zepeda-Jazo et al., [2008](#page-13-12)). It has been reported that the increase in  $K^+$  content in plants under salt stress is often regarded as a manifestation of tolerance traits (Adem et al. [2014;](#page-11-11) Rascio et al. [2001;](#page-12-28) Hariadi et al. [2011](#page-12-29)). The levels of K+ in the leaves and roots of *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines were signifcantly higher than that of WT plants under diferent NaCl concentrations. In contrast, the content of Na+ in *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was lower than that in WT plants, indicating that *SsDHN* can promote ion isolation in the vacuoles of transgenic plants, prevent  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  poisoning, and promote  $K^+$  uptake. The resulting elevated vacuolar solute content enables greater water retention, allowing plants to survive under low soil water potential conditions.

The internal structure of plant cells is often closely associated with salt tolerance. The tissue structure of leaves is often diferent owing to diferent growth conditions. In recent years, the submicroscopic structures of plants have been studied under salt stress (Guntzer et al., [2012](#page-12-30); Anwaar et al., [2015\)](#page-11-12). However, there are few reports regarding changes to the submicroscopic structures of the leaves of *DHN* transgenic tobacco plants under salt stress. Due to diferences in the environmental conditions modulating plant growth, the morphological characteristics, structure, size, number, and distribution of chloroplasts will also change diferently, and such changes involving structural characteristics and physiological functions will be more prominent under stress (Vani et al., [2001](#page-13-13)). Therefore, these changes are often used as evidence for plant tolerance to adverse stress conditions. In this study, the chloroplast structural damage in *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines was signifcantly lower than that of WT tobacco plants (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)). Simultaneously, many starch grains were produced in *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines under high salt stress conditions, which increased cell fuid concentrations and kept cells absorbing water normally, thus alleviating the phenomenon of cell water shortage under salt stress. Taken together, these results indicated that *SsDHN*-OE transgenic lines had greater salt tolerance than WT tobacco.

In conclusion, we report the isolation and characterization of a *DHN* gene, *SsDHN*, from the halophyte *S. salsa*. Our research showed that the full-length *SsDHN* cDNA is 847 bp long and that SsDHN belongs to an SKn-type DHN. Expression of *SsDHN* in *S. salsa* was induced by salt stress. Ectopic expression of *SsDHN* in tobacco plants enhanced resistance to salt stress by increasing seedling root length, germination rate, protection of the cell membrane, maintenance of the  $K^+/Na^+$  balance, and reducing damage to chloroplast membranes. In summary, *SsDHN* may be a valuable resource for future crop improvement programs. Further studies should focus on the regulatory mechanisms surrounding *SsDHN* to better understand the molecular mechanisms of salt stress tolerance.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-022-00908-8>.

**Funding** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31070448) and the Liaoning Province '2021 Special Project of Central Government Guiding local scientifc technology development' (2021JH6/10500164). The funding bodies had no roles in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Data availability** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article.

## **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have not disclosed any competing interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Adem GD, Roy SJ, Zhou M, Bowman JP, Shabala S (2014) Evaluating contribution of ionic, osmotic and oxidative stress components towards salinity tolerance in barley. BMC Plant Biol 14:113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-113>
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Aguayo P, Sanhueza J, Noriega F, Ochoa M, Lefeuvre R, Navarrete D, Fernández M, Valenzuela S (2016) Overexpression of an SKn-dehydrin gene from Eucalyptus globulus and Eucalyptus nitens enhances tolerance to freezing stress in Arabidopsis. Trees 30:1785–1797.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-016-1410-9>
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Ahanger MA, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Alyemeni MN, Wijaya L, Ahmad P (2017) Plant responses to environmental stresses—from gene to biotechnology. AoB Plants 9:plx02. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plx025) [aobpla/plx025](https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plx025)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Anwaar SA, Ali S, Ali S, Ishaque W, Farid M, Farooq MA, Najeeb U, Abbas F, Sharif M (2015) Silicon (Si) alleviates cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) from zinc (Zn) toxicity stress by limiting Zn uptake and oxidative damage. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 22:3441– 3450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3938-9>
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Bartels D, Sunkar R (2005) Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Crit Rev Plant Sci 24:23–58. [https://doi.org/10.1080/0735268059](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680590910410) [0910410](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680590910410)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Bartwal A, Mall R, Lohani P, Guru SK, Arora S (2013) Role of secondary metabolites and brassinosteroids in plant defense against environmental stresses. J Plant Growth Regul 32:216–232. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-012-9272-x) [doi.org/10.1007/s00344-012-9272-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-012-9272-x)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Battaglia M, Olvera-Carrillo Y, Garciarrubio A, Campos F, Covarrubias AA (2008) The enigmatic LEA proteins and other hydrophilins. Plant Physiol 148:6–24. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.120725) [120725](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.120725)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Ben Rejeb K, Abdelly C, Savouré A (2014) How reactive oxygen species and proline face stress together. Plant Physiol Biochem 80:278–284.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.04.007>
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Bhagi P, Zhawar VK, Gupta AK (2013) Antioxidant response and *Lea* genes expression under salt stress and combined salt plus water stress in two wheat cultivars contrasting in drought tolerance. Ind J Exp Biol 51:746–757. [https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2013.22618](https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2013.2261819) [19](https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2013.2261819)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Bojórquez-Quintal E, Ruiz-Lau N, Velarde-Buendía A, Echevarría-Machado I, Pottosin I, Martínez-Estévez M (2016) Natural variation in primary root growth and K+ retention in roots of habanero pepper (*Capsicum chinense*) under salt stress. Funct Plant Biol 43:1114–1125.<https://doi.org/10.1071/FP15391>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Bray EA (1993) Molecular responses to water deficit. Plant Physiol 103:1035–1040.<https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.4.1035>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Brini F, Hanin M, Lumbreras V, Amara I, Khoudi H, Hassairi A (2007) Overexpression of wheat dehydrin DHN-5 enhances tolerance to salt and osmotic stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Rep 26:2017–2026.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0412-x>
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Cao Y, Xiang X, Geng M, You Q, Huang X (2017) Efect of HbDHN1 and HbDHN2 genes on abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 8:470.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00470>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Close TJ (1996) Dehydrins: emergence of a biochemical role of a family of plant dehydration proteins. Physiol Plant 97:795–803. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-3054.1996.970422.x>
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Close TJ (2010) Dehydrins: a commonalty in the response of plants to dehydration and low temperature. Physiol Plantarum 100:291– 296.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb04785.x>
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Graether SP, Boddington KF (2014) Disorder and function: a review of the dehydrin protein family. Front Plant Sci 5:576. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00576) [org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00576](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00576)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Grelet J, Benamar A, Teyssier E, Avelange-Macherel MH, Grunwald D, Macherel D (2005) Identifcation in pea seed mitochondria of a late-embryogenesis abundant protein able to protect enzymes from drying. Plant Physiol 137:157–167. [https://doi.org/10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.052480) [pp.104.052480](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.052480)
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Guntzer F, Keller C, Meunie JD (2012) Benefts of plant silicon for crops: a review. Agron Sustain Dev 32:201–213. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0039-8) [10.1007/s13593-011-0039-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0039-8)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Guo X, Zhang L, Zhu J, Liu H, Wang A (2017) Cloning and characterization of SiDHN, a novel dehydrin gene from *Saussurea involucrata* Kar. et Kir. that enhances cold and drought tolerance in tobacco. Plant Sci 256:160–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plant](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.12.007) [sci.2016.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.12.007)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Guo JR, Dong XX, Li Y, Wang BS (2020a) NaCl treatment markedly enhanced pollen viability and pollen preservation time of euhalophyte *Suaeda salsa* via up regulation of pollen developmentrelated genes. J Plant Res 133:57–71. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-019-01148-0) [s10265-019-01148-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-019-01148-0)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Guo JR, Lu CX, Zhao FC, Gao S, Wang BS (2020b) Improved reproductive growth of euhalophyte *Suaeda salsa* under salinity is correlated with altered phytohormone biosynthesis and signal transduction. Funct Plant Biol 47:170–183. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1071/Fp19215) [1071/Fp19215](https://doi.org/10.1071/Fp19215)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Hara M (2010) The multifunctionality of dehydrins: an overview. Plant Signal Behav 5:503–508. <https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.11085>
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Hariadi Y, Marandon K, Tian Y, Jacobsen SE, Shabala S (2011) Ionic and osmotic relations in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) plants grown at various salinity levels. J Exp Bot 62:185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq257>
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Hughes SL, Schart V, Malcolmson J, Hogarth KA, Martynowicz DM, Tralman-Baker E, Patel SN, Graether SP (2013) The importance of size and disorder in the cryoprotective efects of dehydrins. Plant Physiol 163:1376–1386. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.226803) [226803](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.226803)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Hundertmark M, Hincha DK (2008) LEA (Late embryogenesis abundant) proteins and their encoding gene in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Genome 9:118.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-118>
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Jin X, Cao D, Wang Z, Ma L, Tian K, Liu Y, Gong Z, Zhu X, Jiang C, Li Y (2019) Genome-wide identifcation and expression analyses of the LEA protein gene family in tea plant reveal their involvement in seed development and abiotic stress responses. Sci Rep 9:14123.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50645-8>
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Kirungu JN, Magwanga RO, Pu L, Cai X, Xu Y, Hou Y, Zhou Y, Cai Y, Hao F, Zhou Z, Wang K, Liu F (2020) Knockdown of Gh\_A05G1554 (GhDHN\_03) and Gh\_D05G1729 (GhDHN\_04) dehydrin genes, reveals their potential role in enhancing osmotic and salt tolerance in cotton. Genomics 112:1902–1915. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.11.003) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.11.003)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Kumar M, Lee SC, Kim JY, Kim SJ, Aye SS, Kim SR (2014) Overexpression of dehydrin gene, *OsDhn1*, improves drought and salt stress tolerance through scavenging of reactive oxygen species in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J Plant Biol 57:383–393. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-014-0487-1) [10.1007/s12374-014-0487-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-014-0487-1)
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Li Q, Zhang X, Lv Q, Zhu D, Qiu T, Xu Y, Bao F, He Y, Hu Y (2017) Physcomitrella patens dehydrins (PpDHNA and PpDHNC) confer

salinity and drought tolerance to transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Front Plant Sci 8:1316. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01316>

- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Liu H, Yu C, Li H, Ouyang B, Wang T, Zhang J, Wang X, Ye Z (2015) Overexpression of ShDHN, a dehydrin gene from *Solanum habrochaites* enhances tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in tomato. Plant Sci 231:198–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.12.006) [12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.12.006)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Liu Y, Wang L, Zhang T, Yang X, Li D (2017) Functional characterization of KS-type dehydrin ZmDHN13 and its related conserved domains under oxidative stress. Sci Rep 7:7361. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07852-y) [10.1038/s41598-017-07852-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07852-y)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)). Methods 25:402–408. [https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) [1262](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Lv A, Wen W, Fan N, Su L, Zhou P, An Y (2021) Dehydrin MsDHN1 improves aluminum tolerance of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) by afecting oxalate exudation from root tips. Plant J 108:441–458. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15451>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Malik AA, Veltri M, Boddington KF, Singh KK, Graether SP (2017) Genome analysis of conserved dehydrin motifs in vascular plants. Front Plant Sci 8:709.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00709>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Meng YC, Zhang HF, Pan XX, Chen N, Hu HF, Ha S, Khan A, Chen RG (2021) CaDHN3, a pepper (capsicum annuum L.) dehydrin gene enhances the tolerance against salt and drought stresses by reducing ROS accumulation. Int J Mol Sci. 22:3205. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22063205) [org/10.3390/ijms22063205](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22063205)
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Moore K, Roberts LJ (1998) Measurement of lipid peroxidation. Free Radic Res 28:659–671. [https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx020](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx0204s00) [4s00](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx0204s00)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Qiu H, Zhang L, Liu C, He L, Wang A, Liu HL, Zhu JB (2014) Cloning and characterization of a novel dehydrin gene, SiDhn2, from Saussurea involucrata Kar. et Kir. Plant Mol Biol 84:707–718. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0164-7>
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Rascio AM, Russo L, Mazzucco C, Plantani G, Nicastro G, Di Fonzo N (2001) Enhanced osmotolerance of a wheat mutant selected for potassium accumulation. Plant Sci 160:441–448. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(00)00404-0) [10.1016/s0168-9452\(00\)00404-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(00)00404-0)
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Saavedra L, Svensson J, Carballo V, Izmendi D, Wellin B, Vidal S (2006) A dehydrin gene in Physcomitrella patens is required for salt and osmotic stress tolerance. Plant J 45:237–249. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02603.x) [org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02603.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02603.x)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Saibi W, Feki K, Ben Mahmoud R, Brini F (2015) Durum wheat dehydrin (DHN-5) confers salinity tolerance to transgenic Arabidopsis plants through the regulation of proline metabolism and ROS scavenging system. Planta 242:1187–1194. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2351-z) [1007/s00425-015-2351-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2351-z)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Serrano R, Montesinos C (2003) Molecular bases of desiccation tolerance in plant cells and potential applications in food dehydration. Food Sci Technol Int 9:157–161. [https://doi.org/10.1177/10820](https://doi.org/10.1177/1082013203035518) [13203035518](https://doi.org/10.1177/1082013203035518)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Shen X, Wang Z, Song X, Xu J, Jiang C, Zhao Y, Ma C, Zhang H (2014) Transcriptomic profling revealed an important role of cell wall remodeling and ethylene signaling pathway during salt acclimation in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 86:303–317. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-014-0230-9) [doi.org/10.1007/s11103-014-0230-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-014-0230-9)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Song J, Wang BS (2015) Using euhalophytes to understand salt tolerance and to develop saline agriculture: suaeda salsa as a promising model. Ann Bot-London 115:541–553. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu194) [aob/mcu194](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu194)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Song J, Shi WW, Liu RR, Xu YG, Sui N, Zhou JC, Feng G (2017) The role of the seed coat in adaptation of dimorphic seeds of the euhalophyte Suaeda salsa to salinity. Plant Spec Biol 32:107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1442-1984.12132>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Sun Y, Liu L, Sun S, Han W, Irfan M, Zhang X, Zhang L, Chen L (2021) AnDHN, a dehydrin protein from Ammopiptanthus nanus, mitigates the negative efects of drought stress in plants. Front Plant Sci 12:788938. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.788938>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Sunilkumar G, Vijayachandra K, Veluthambi K (1999) Preincubation of cut tobacco leaf explants promotes Agrobacterium-mediated transformation by increasing vir gene induction. Plant Sci 141:51– 58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(98\)00228-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(98)00228-3)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30:2725–2729.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197>
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Umezawa T, Fujita M, Fujita Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2006) Engineering drought tolerance in plants: discovering and tailoring genes unlock the future. Curr Opin Biotechnol 17:113– 122.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2006.02.002>
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Vani B, Saradhi PP, Mohanty P (2001) Alteration in chloroplast structure and thylakoid membrane composition due to in vivo heat treatment of rice seedlings: correlation with the functional changes. J of Plant Physiol 158:583–592. [https://doi.org/10.1078/](https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00260) [0176-1617-00260](https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00260)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Ventura Y, Eshel A, Pasternak D, Sagi M (2015) The development of halophyte-based agriculture: past and present. Ann Bot 115:529– 540.<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu173>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Verma G, Dhar YV, Srivastava D, Kidwai M, Chauhan PS, Bag SK et al (2017) Genome-wide analysis of rice dehydrin gene family: its evolutionary conservedness and expression pattern in response to PEG induced dehydration stress. PLoS ONE 12:e0176399. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176399>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Vornam B, Gailing O, Derory J, Plomion C, Kremer A, Finkeldey R (2011) Characterisation and natural variation of a dehydrin gene in *Quercus petra*ea (Matt.) Liebl. Plant Biol 13:881–887. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00446.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00446.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00446.x)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Wang Y, Xu H, Zhu H, Tao Y, Zhang G, Zhang L, Zhang C, Zhan Z, Ma Z (2014) Classifcation and expression diversifcation of wheat

dehydrin genes. Plant Sci 214:113–120. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.10.005) [plantsci.2013.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.10.005)

- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Xu H, Yang Y, Xie L, Li X, Feng C, Chen J, Xu C (2014) Involvement of multiple types of dehydrins in the freezing response in loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica*). PLoS One 9:e87575. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087575) [1371/journal.pone.0087575](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087575)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Yang Y, He M, Zhu Z, Li S, Xu Y, Zhang C, Singer SD, Wang Y (2012) Identifcation of the dehydrin gene family from grapevine species and analysis of their responsiveness to various forms of abiotic and biotic stress. BMC Plant Biol 12:140. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-12-140) [1471-2229-12-140](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-12-140)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Zepeda-Jazo I, Shabala S, Chen Z, Pottosin II (2008) Na-K transport in roots under salt stress. Plant Signal Behav 3:401–403. [https://](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.6.5429) [doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.6.5429](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.6.5429)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Zhang QF, Li YY, Pang CH, Lu CM, Wang BS (2005) NaCl enhances thylakoid-bound SOD activity in the leaves of C-3 halophyte Suaeda salsa L. Plant Sci 168:423–430. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.09.002) [plantsci.2004.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.09.002)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Zhu W, Zhang L, Lv H, Zhang H, Zhang D, Wang X, Chen J (2014) The dehydrin wzy2 promoter from wheat defnes its contribution to stress tolerance. Funct Integr Genomics 14:111–125. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-013-0354-z) [doi.org/10.1007/s10142-013-0354-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-013-0354-z)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.