ORIGINAL PAPER

A simple, rapid, and quantifable system for studying adventitious root formation in grapevine

Xin Yu Chang¹ • Kai Zhang¹ • Yunzhang Yuan¹ • Peiyi Ni¹ • Jing Ma¹ • Hui Liu¹ • Siyu Gong¹ • Guo shun Yang¹ • **Miao Bai[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8842-0506)**

Received: 1 February 2022 / Accepted: 10 May 2022 / Published online: 24 May 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Abstract

Woody cutting is customarily utilized as a material in research on grape adventitious root formation (ARF). However, phenotypic heterogeneity caused by the complex background infuenced its use for molecular mechanism research of ARF of grape. The present study tested various types of explants from grape tissue culture plantlets and found that the whole leaf: blade with the petiole (LP) was the simplest unit that can easily form adventitious roots (ARs). LP explants which can be easily obtained, directly generate ARs via *de novo* organogenesis from the base of the petiole. Plantlet age, node position, blade size, the health condition of leaves, and light intensity have been demonstrated to afect the homogeneity of the ARF phenotype in LP. By controlling these parameters, selected LPs cultured on a medium with 6 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ agar and 10 g·L⁻¹ sucrose under dark conditions started rooting at 6–7 days after culture (DAC) and reached 100% rooting rate within 13–14 DAC. Using this system, the core role of auxin on ARF was verifed by exogenous application of indole butyric acid (IBA) and N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA). Strikingly, we found that light promoted ARF in the absence of sucrose, but inhibited ARF in the presence of sucrose $(10 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$, while a low concentration of 0.34 μ M NPA partially relieved the inhibition. Finally, this study confrmed that exogenous plant growth regulators (PGRs), including 6-benzyl aminopurine (6-BA), gibberellic acid 3 (GA_3) , and 2,4-epibrassinolide (EBR), significantly inhibited ARF. This simple, rapid, quantifable ARF research system provides a new approach to studying the factors infuencing the formation and development of grape adventitious roots and establishes a framework for investigating the mechanism of grape adventitious root induction and initiation.

Keywords Grape · Adventitious roots · Phenotypic heterogeneity · Petiole

Introduction

Grape (*Vitis* sp.) is one of the most economically important crops and occupies a pivotal position in the global fruit market. As perennial vines, the propagation of grapes is conventionally used by dormant hardwood cuttings that are taken

Communicated by Vaclav Motyka.

XinYu Chang, Kai Zhang and Yunzhang Yuan have contributed equally to this work.

 Miao Bai baimiao@hunau.edu.cn

¹ Engineering Research Center for Horticultural Crop Germplasm Creation and New Variety Breeding of Ministry of Education, College of Horticulture, Hunan Agricultural University, 410128 Changsha, Hunan, China

from the current season's canes. Cutting propagation guarantees characteristics of species or new varieties. In asexual propagation, adventitious root formation (ARF) is the premise of successful propagation (Stefens and Rasmussen 2016). However, adventitious roots are difficult to be induced in many grape species or varieties with important breeding utilization, such as *V. davidii*, *V. quinquangularis* (syn. *V. kiusiana*), and *V. amurensis* which are wild grapes native to East Asia (Shiozaki et al. [2013\)](#page-9-1). The *V. rotundifolia* (Muscadine) (Castro et al. [1994](#page-8-0)), *V. champinii, V. aestivalis* (Keeley et al. [2004](#page-9-2)), *V. berlandieri* (Kracke et al. [1981;](#page-9-3) Smart et al. [2003\)](#page-9-4), and some cultivars of *V. vinifera* are also known to be difficult to root from their woody cuttings.

In model plants, the formation of AR can be divided into three stages: induction, initiation, and expression (da Costa et al. [2013](#page-8-1); Joshi and Ginzberg [2021](#page-9-5)). It is essentially a regenerative process that involves reprogramming cells and changes in cell fate, and the primary induction signal is wounding (Xu [2018](#page-9-6)). Environmental conditions, exogenous application, and endogenous biochemical substances all have an important infuence on AR formation. Auxin plays a central role, and numerous inducible factors that respond to ARF will eventually be refected in the concentration, transport, or homeostasis changes of auxin (Gonin et al. [2019](#page-8-2)). ARF also depends to a large extent on the nutritional status of the mother plant, including minerals and carbohydrates, and sink establishment at the rooting zone (Chen et al. [2014](#page-8-3); Ahkami et al. [2013\)](#page-8-4). The presence of some inhibitors may also make ARF difficult to achieve in some species. In addition, ethylene, cytokinin, jasmonic acid, gibberellin, abscisic acid, brassinolide, strigolactone, and other biochemical substances may regulate the production of ARs through molecular processes and crosstalk. These substances may both promote and inhibit ARF, which appears to depend on hormone type, dose, or species (Bannoud and Bellini [2021](#page-8-5); Di Battista [2019](#page-8-6); Omoarelojie [2021](#page-9-7); Sharma et al. [2021](#page-9-8)).

In studies on grape adventitious roots, hardwood cuttings with dormant buds and greenwood leafy cuttings are usually utilized Jaleta [2019](#page-8-7); Kaur et al. [2002](#page-9-9); Thomas et al. [2003](#page-9-10); Thomas and Schiefelbein [2004](#page-9-11)). Nevertheless, cuttings are a highly complex system in which endogenous hormone levels, transport, dormancy, storage, and inhibitory compounds afect adventitious root formation and growth, all of which depend on pretreatment (Smart et al. [2003](#page-9-4)). Moreover, the sprouting of buds on cuttings may afect rooting (Zhou et al. [2020](#page-9-12); Smart et al. [2003\)](#page-9-4), and the acquisition of cuttings has seasonality. These factors make it difficult to investigate the function and molecular regulatory mechanism of the factors afecting ARF in grapes by using cuttings.

Blade or whole leaves of *Arabidopsis* were utilized for adventitious root regeneration studies (Chen et al. [2014](#page-8-3); Bustillo-Avendano et al. [2018](#page-8-8)), which is probably the smallest and simplest unit that can form ARs, and without the infuence of sprouting. Nevertheless, the system has not been applied to grapes. Referring to this method, we found that ARs were regenerated when whole grape leaves (leaf with petiole, LP) were used without any added nutrients and hormones. We described some internal factors affecting the ARF of LP in grapes. Using this system, the role of auxin, carbohydrate, light, and exogenous plant growth regulators has been tested on grape ARF.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and culture conditions

Nine-year-old *Vitis labruscana* × *Vitis vinifera* 'Shine Muscat' (SM) and 'Summer Black' (SB), which were planted in a research feld at Hunan Agricultural University (Changsha, China) were used in this experiment. Woody current canes with 3–5 nodes (N3, N4 and N5) were obtained from these vines in October 2020. Canes were then cut into single bud stem segments, placed into glass bottles with clear water, and cultured in a plant tissue culture chamber at 25℃ with a 16-h light (4000 lx, cool white fuorescent lamp) and 8-h dark photoperiod. Rooting data were recorded daily. The cutting with root $(>1$ mm) was classified as a rooted cutting.

The explants of single bud stem section with leaf (SBS-L), single bud stem section (SBS), whole leaf (called a leaf with petiole, LP), blade (B), petiole (P), and stem section (SS) were obtained from feld or tissue culture plants. The AR induction medium and culture conditions were changed according to the experiment. Without special instructions, the basic medium was 6 g·L⁻¹ plant agar without (A) or with 10 g·L⁻¹ sucrose (A+S), the pH value was adjusted to 5.8, and the culture conditions were 25℃ with 16 h photoperiod irradiance of 4000 lx (expressed by Light) or 24 h-dark (expressed by Dark).

In the feld, leaves on a 9-year-old grapevine or 2-yearold cutting propagation plant of 'Summer Black' were also used in this experiment. From the top to the base, leaves at diferent nodes were cultured on medium A under the culture conditions mentioned above. Grape tissue culture explants from varieties 'Vidal blanc' were preserved in our laboratory. The medium for propagation was the base of Woody Plant Medium (WPM) with $10 \text{ g} \cdot L^{-1}$ sucrose and $3 \text{ g} \cdot L^{-1}$ activated carbon (WPM+S+AC). With no special description, the LP was taken from the 45-60-day-old tissue culture plantlets.

Hormonal and inhibition treatments

Exogenous plant growth regulators (PGRs) were used to investigate the effects of different hormones on grape ARF. Exogenous auxin (indole butyric acid, IBA) and N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA), a polar auxin transport inhibitor, were utilized to investigate the key functions of auxin in ARF. Cytokinin (6-benzaminopurine, 6-BA), ethephon (ET), abscisic acid (ABA), gibberellin acid 3 $(GA₃)$, jasmonic acid methyl ester (MeJA), and 2,4-epibrassinolide (EBR) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Solarbio and used to investigate the efect on ARF in grapes.

ARF phenotype observation and analysis

The phenotype of ARF was determined by the appearance

of AR protrusions (at the expression stage) observed under a stereomicroscope (SZX7, Olympus). The proportion of explants with the ARF phenotype was defned as the rooting

Fig. 1 The phenotypic 100% 100% 100% (a) (b) **heterogeneity of cuttings and explants** Rooting rates of 80% $80%$ $80%$ hardwood cuttings of 'Summer Rooting rate
 $\overset{\circ}{}\,\,$ $\overset{\circ}{}\,\,$ $\overset{\circ}{}\,\,$ $\overset{\circ}{}\,\,$ Black' (SB) and 'Shine Muscat' 60% 60% (SM) were recorded daily (a and b). The rooting rates of SBS 40% 40% taken from diferent nodes of SB-N3 20% $SM-N3$ 20% tissue culture plants of 'Vidal SM-N4
SM-N4
SM-N5 SB-N4 blanc' were shown in (c) SB-N5 $0%$ $0%$ $0%$ **⊕ တိုက္ ကို ကို ကို သို ကို ကို ကို ကို** \mathcal{S}_{λ} ৵ ∿° م
م ვრ Qq చ Water, Light Water, Light WPM+S+AC, Light (DAC) (a) (b) SBS-L B (c) 100% (d) 100% $-$ SBS-L SBS-L 80% -LP 80% LP (h) shows the damage to leaves Rooting rate SBS Rooting rate SBS under high intensity for 24 h. 60% 60% Scale bars: 1 cm 40% 40% 20% 20% 0% $0%$ ৽ \sim \mathbf{v} δ ৻৬ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ λ \sim Ý. ৼ৽ ^° \mathbf{v} (DAC) (DAC) A, Light A, Dark (e) 100% 100% (f) - SBS-L 80% 80% -1 P Rooting rate Rooting rate ·SBS 60% 60% 40% 40% SBS-L 20% 20% -LP SBS ₩. $0%$ 0% \mathcal{N} $\lambda^{\mathbf{b}}$ κ κ e, \mathcal{O}_{λ} \mathcal{N} \sim \mathcal{S}_{λ} ē $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ ^Ջ Ġ λ ^Ջ (DAC) (DAC) A+S, Light A+S, Dark (g)

Fig. 2 Characteristics of AR formed on explants Rooting of diferent types of explants was displayed in (a). The arrow shows the growth of explants 7 days after root regeneration. The ARF process of LP was shown in (b). (c-f) showed rooting rates of diferent types of explants, which were cultured on medium A under light (c) or dark (d) conditions, and on medium A+S under light (e) or dark (f) conditions. The presence of ARs at the wounded site of the petiole was shown in (g).

rate, and the AR data curve was made by the dynamic change in rooting rate daily (day after culture, DAC) (Fig. S1).

Statistical analysis

Data values were statistically analyzed by ANOVA with Duncan's multiple range test, using SPSS Statistics 20 software (IBM). Signifcant diferences were collected with a 5% level of signifcance (P-value,0.05).

Results

Phenotypic heterogeneity of ARF in hardwood cuttings

The rooting of hardwood cuttings taken from nodes 3 to 5 (N3, N4, and N5) of Shine Muscat (SM) and Summer Black (SB) was investigated (Fig. [1](#page-2-1)(a) and (b)). Cuttings of the two cultivars were rooted after 22 DAC ($F = 22$ DAC). The ARF phenotype of SB was more consistent than SM, $U_{SB} =$ $90 \sim 98\%$, and $U_{SM} = 53 \sim 75\%$ at 38 DAC. The rooting rate of SM was diferent in nodes. The N5 was higher than N3 and N4. From the frst root induced to the stable rooting rate, S_{SB} and S_{SM} were taken for 16 days (S = 16 days). In addition, the standard deviation (E) of the rooting rate among the samples was large. Some of them were more than 22%. At the same time, there was also inconsistent rooting and sprouting in cuttings from the same node (Fig. S2).

Field and tissue culture materials were used to obtain different types of explants to study the phenotypic heterogeneity of ARF. Young and mature leaves (Blade or LP) were taken from top to base of current canes of SB and cultured on medium A or A with $0.48 \mu M$ IBA $(A+IBA0.48)$ in light. After 60 days, till the leaves were scorched, no ARs appeared (Fig. S3). Then, the SBS explants from diferent nodes of the tissue culture plant were utilized for calculating the heterogeneity of ARF. Results showed that the rooting rate of the first and second nodes $(N1 \sim N2)$ was low $(U=34.44 \sim 46.67\%)$, while the rooting rate of N3 ~ N4 and the terminal shoot (NT) was 80.00%~88.89% at 45 DAC (Fig. [1](#page-2-1)(c)). The heterogeneity of the N1 ~ N2 samples was larger than that of $N3 \sim N4$, with a partial error (E) of more than 15% (Fig. [1\(](#page-2-1)c)). These results indicated that explants from diferent nodes exhibit diferent rooting abilities.

ARF phenotype in light and exogenous carbohydrates

Six types of explants were selected from $N3 \sim N6$ of tissue culture plants, and these ARF phenotypes were compared (Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$). The explants were cultured on medium A in light and the results showed that the petiole (P) and stem segment (SS) did not regenerate roots at 60 DAC. The SBS-L, LP, and SBS began to root at 7–10 DAC, faster than the blade ($F = 11$ DAC). At 20 DAC, the highest rooting rate of explants was observed for LP (67.78%±14.99%); the rooting rate of SBS-L was 44.4% (44.44%±10.30%), and that of SBS was 10.0% (10.00% \pm [2](#page-2-0).70%) (Fig. 2(c)). However, only 1–2 explants of the blade (B) are rooted occasionally $(N>90)$ (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)(a)). Different types of explants were further cultured on medium A under dark conditions. After 20 DAC, only LP rooting was observed $(17.80\% \pm 15.00\%)$, and no rooting was observed until 30 DAC in other explants $(Fig. 2(d)).$ $(Fig. 2(d)).$ $(Fig. 2(d)).$

ARF phenotype in dark and exogenous carbohydrates

Explants were then cultured on medium $A + S$ under dark conditions. The results showed that P, B, and SS did not root at 30 DAC. However, after culturing for 45 days, 37.78% $(37.78\% \pm 22.19\%)$ $(37.78\% \pm 22.19\%)$ $(37.78\% \pm 22.19\%)$ of SS developed roots $((Fig. 2(a)),$ data not shown). These results indicate that neither leaves nor buds were necessary for ARF in this condition, while sugar and darkness were important factors promoting rooting. The rooting efficiency of SBS-L and LP on medium $A + S$ in dark was signifcantly greater than that of medium A in light, and almost all the explants of SBS-L and LP could root at 13 DAC ($U = 100\%$). The rooting rate of SBS also increased signifcantly and reached 73.33%±5.40% at 20 DAC (Fig. $2(f)$ $2(f)$).

Interestingly, when the explants were cultured on medium A+S in light, the rooting of SBS-L, SBS and LP were significantly slower than that in dark (Fig. $2(e)$ $2(e)$ and $2(f)$). The rooting rate of these explants was signifcantly reduced at 20 DAC, only 43.3%±8.20% for SBS-L and 10.00%±5.40% for SBS, and only 4.40%±4.20% for LP. Meanwhile, P and SS did not generate roots after continuous culture at 60 DAC, while B occasionally took root (Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$). These results suggest that light signifcantly inhibited the ARF of explants with a leaf in the presence of additional sucrose (10 $g \text{·} L^{-1}$), which was confirmed by subsequent experiments.

ARF phenotypic characteristics of grape LP

Wounding has been found as the primary factor inducing AR formation in LP explants. When the petiole was picked with tweezers, the middle part of the petiole was occasionally clipped, and ARs were formed on these wounded sits $(Fig. 2(g))$ $(Fig. 2(g))$ $(Fig. 2(g))$. With attention to the clamping force, LP-induced AR appears more frequently approximately 2 mm above the cut site, rather than at the base of the cut. Observing under the stereomicroscope, AR formation did not undergo callus formation but was direct *de novo* organogenesis from the petiole (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)(b)).

High light intensity inhibited ARF in grape LP

In an accidental culture process, we noticed that when the light intensity was ≥ 6000 lx, the leaves cultured on medium A were damaged to varying degrees within 12 h under 16 h-photoperiod conditions. Part of the leaf margin was burnt, which seriously afected rooting (from 67.78% reduced to 12.22% at 20 DAC), although injured LP could occasionally take root (Fig. $2(h)$ $2(h)$). Therefore, the light intensity was determined to be 4000 lx in the subsequent experiments.

Leaf size infuences the consistency of ARF formation in the LP explants

In tissue culture, the growth potential of the SBS propagated plantlets were not completely consistent at diferent ages, and the leaf size and growth state of the same plant at diferent nodes were also diferent. Usually, leaves at the basal nodes are small, and some of them may become brown and senile, while the middle and upper nodes are large and healthy, and the apical leaves are young and tender (Fig. $3(a)$ $3(a)$). These are different from the leaves of 12-day-old seedlings that are most suitable for ARF study in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by precisely controlling seedling age (Chen et al. [2014](#page-8-3)). Therefore, the blade size, expressed by length \times

Fig. 3 Control of LP explants and their efect on phenotypic heterogeneity of ARF The growth status of tissue culture plantlets was presented in (a). Arrows indicate nodes from the base up. The blue arrows indicate small leaves $(2 < L \times W)$, and the yellow arrows indicate aging or unhealthy leaves. The ARF characteristics of LP with diferent leaf sizes on medium A in dark or light were shown in (b). Diferent lowercase letters in each graph indicate significant differences ($p \le 0.05$). After controlling the leaf size $(2 < L \times W < 6)$ and node position (N3-N6), the rooting rates of LP were recorded under diferent cultural conditions (c-f)

width $(L \times W \text{ cm}^2)$, was analyzed for correlation with ARF capacity. In this study, the size $(L \times W)$ of healthy leaves of tissue culture plantlets ranged from 1 to 6. After culturing for 20 days in dark (D) on medium A, 17.80% LP could form ARs. Forty rooted (D-R) and unrooted (D-NR) LPs were randomly selected for statistical analysis, and it was shown that when the average $L \times W$ was less than 1.8 (median), most of the leaves had no spontaneous rooting ability. In LP, which could spontaneously root, the L×W was above 3.8. The same trend was observed in light culture (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)(b)). In addition, leaves with senescence at the base of the plant or withered patches at the leaf margin were found difficult to root under various conditions. These results suggest that leaf size and health status are important factors afecting the homogeneity of ARF.

Carbohydrate provision is a prerequisite in the formation of AR from LP

To further prove the importance of carbohydrates in ARF, N3-N6 nodes were selected according to the previous results, and the leaf size was controlled at $(2 < L \times W < 6)$. After controlling for blade size and node position (Controlled), the rooting rate of LP on medium A under dark conditions $(16.7\% \pm 7.80\%$ at 20 DAC) was not significantly diferent from that without control (Uncontrolled) (Fig. $3(c)$ $3(c)$). The rooting rate of LP with strict selection was increased (82.8%±6.80% at 20 DAC) under light culture on medium A compared with that without control, and the errors were signifcantly smaller during the rooting process (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)(d)). When sucrose $(10 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$ was supplemented in medium A, all healthy LP could root under dark conditions, and the rooting rate fnally reached 100% after 13 DAC (Fig. $3(e)$ $3(e)$). These results suggest that the amount of nutrients and hormones stored in healthy leaves (under dark conditions) and the ability to synthesize photosynthates and hormones as determined by leaf photosynthetic area (under

Fig. 4 The efects of auxin, sucrose, and light on ARF of grape LP The rooting rates of LP cultured on $A + S$ with 0.34, 3.36, or 10.09 µM NPA in dark or light were shown in (a) and (b), respectively. The rooting rate of LP cultured in A with 0.48 or 4.82 µM IBA under dark conditions was shown in (c), and the state of LP after rooting was presented in (d). The arrow shows where AR occurs at 30 DAC. Scale bars: 1 cm. The number and length distribution of ARs of LP on medium A and A with IBA cultured in the dark at 20 DAC were shown in (e). Diferent lowercase letters in each graph indicate signifcant differences ($p \le 0.05$). The rooting rate of LP cultured on A+S with 0.34, 3.36, or 10.09 µM NPA in light was shown in (f)

light conditions) determines the ARF ability. Therefore, leaf size and health status are the factors that need to be considered to afect the uniformity of ARF.

The importance of auxin supply in ARF of grape LP

In this study, grape LP could generate roots without adding exogenous auxin, suggesting that LP explants could provide endogenous auxin to meet the need for AR formation. On medium A+S, diferent concentrations of NPA $(0.34, 3.36,$ and $10.09 \mu M)$ were added and cultured under dark conditions. The results showed that the inhibition of ARF by NPA was related to concentration. With increasing concentration, the inhibitory efect of ARF became more obvious, and 10.09 µM NPA completely inhibited rooting (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(a)). On medium A, the light culture showed that 0.34 µM NPA had no noticeable inhibition of ARF, while 3.36 µM and 10.09 µM NPA could completely inhibit rooting (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(b)). IBA was added to the medium A at 0.48 and 4.82 µM, respectively, and cultured under darkness. The results showed that 0.48 μ M IBA significantly promoted ARF, and

the rooting rate stabilized to 78.90%±10.30% after 11 DAC, whereas 4.82μ M IBA (36.70% \pm 19.60%) had a less promot-ing effect on ARF than 0.[4](#page-5-0)8 μ M IBA (Fig. 4(c)). In addition, the adventitious roots produced after IBA treatment continuously were diferent from the control, showing an increase in the number of roots, rooting sites were not limited to the base of the petiole, and AR elongation slowed down (Fig. $4(d)$ $4(d)$ and $4(e)$).

Light inhibited rooting in the presence of exogenous sucrose

Higher plants can integrate multiple signal transduction pathways, such as light, auxin, and reactive oxygen, to fnely regulate adventitious root formation to adapt to changing environmental conditions (Bai et al. [2020](#page-8-9)). Light exerts a strong infuence on multiple aspects of the auxin system, controlling auxin level, transport, and responsiveness (Halliday et al. [2009](#page-8-10)). Sugar and light signal transduction is associated with auxin biosynthesis and root distribution changes (Garcia-Gonzalez et al. [2021\)](#page-8-11). On medium A and under light culture, leaves could produce photosynthetic

Fig. 5 Investigation of PGRs afecting ARF phenotype of

LP The rooting rate of LP under dark conditions on A+S with 4.40 μM 6-BA, 2.60 μM GA₃, 1.87 µM EBR or 3.75 µM ABA medium was shown in (a). The rooting rate of LP under dark conditions on medium A+S with GA_3 and/or IBA was shown in (b). The white arrow indicates ARs. The rooting rate of LP under dark conditions on A+S with ET 0.06, 0.59 or 5.88 µM medium was shown in (c). Rooting rates of LP on $A + S$ with MeJA 0.42 or 4.24 µM medium under dark and light conditions were shown in (d) and (e), respectively. The growth state of LP explants cultured on $A + S$ with $GA₃$ 0.03, 0.26 or 2.60 µM medium in dark was presented in (f)

products through photosynthesis, partially satisfying ARF (Fig. $3(d)$ $3(d)$). However, as mentioned above, damage to leaves caused by high light intensity will affect ARF (Fig. [2\(](#page-2-0)h)). In the medium supplemented with sucrose $(A+S)$, the light was controlled at 4000 lx to prevent damage from strong light. After 20 DAC, only a few LP explants (4.40–5.60%) rooted, and the initiation of rooting was signifcantly delayed $(F=11 \text{ DAC})$ (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(f)). Since the addition of sucrose may promote auxin synthesis, it is not clear whether the difficulty of rooting is due to the infuence of auxin homeostasis (possibly including high concentration or polar transport). Under light conditions, on the medium $A + S$ with 3.36 or 10.09 µM NPA (A+S+NPA3.36 and A+S+NPA10.09), LP did not root. However, LP in $A + S + NPA0.34$ can root (57.78%±8.75% at 20 DAC), which is signifcantly higher than the control $A + S$ (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(f)). Since NPA inhibits polar auxin transport, it is speculated that the difficulty of rooting A+S under light culture may be related to excessive polar auxin transport. In conclusion, light-induced inhibition of ARF of LP explants in grapes after sugar addition, while a low concentration of NPA partially relieved this inhibition, suggests that light and sugar signals may interact, but molecular biological evidence is needed.

Investigation of PGRs afecting ARF phenotype of LP

Exogenous hormones may promote or inhibit rooting and may be dose- or species-dependent (Bannoud and Bellini [2021](#page-8-5)). Based on the established LP study system for rapid and stable ARF phenotype identifcation, this study preliminarily investigated the effect of exogenous PGRs on grape ARF. Under dark conditions, LP explants could not root on medium $A + S$ with 2.60 μ M GA₃ or 4.40 μ M 6-BA. Meanwhile, 24-epibrassinolide (EBR) (1.87 µM) also showed signifcant inhibition of ARF, and ABA had no signifcant effect on ARF (Fig. $5(a)$ $5(a)$). The base of the LP petiole treated with 6-BA expanded significantly (Fig. S4). Lower concentrations of GA_3 (0.03 and 0.26 μ M) have also been found to inhibit rooting completely (Fig. [5\(](#page-6-0)f)). SBS, SBS-L, and woody cuttings were treated with GA_3 (0.26 μ M), and the rooting inhibition efect was also obvious. The results showed that GA_3 had a significant inhibitory effect on grape ARF. Studies have shown that the inhibition of ARF by GA may be due to the inhibition of auxin polar transport (Mauriat et al. [2014](#page-9-14)). Based on this, LP was cultured on A+S with GA_3 (0.26 μ M) and IBA (4.82 μ M) under dark conditions, and partial rooting of LP was found (Fig. [5\(](#page-6-0)b)). It indicates that IBA $(4.82 \mu M)$ could partially reduce the inhibitory effect of GA_3 (0.26 μ M).

Endogenous ethylene and jasmonic acid (JA) have been tested. After culturing on $A + S$ with 0.06, 0.59, or 5.88 μ M ethephon (ET) in dark, there were no signifcant efects on the ARF of grapes (Fig. $5(c)$ $5(c)$). The rooting rate was reduced after culture with MeJA (0.42 and 4.24 µM) on medium A+S under darkness (Fig. $5(d)$ $5(d)$). After being cultured on medium A with MeJA (0.42 and 4.24 μ M) in light, the rooting rate increased, but there was no signifcant diference. In addition, leaves treated with MeJA were uniformly yellow (Fig. S4), which may be related to the initiation of systemic defense by MeJA.

Discussion

When culture on medium $A + S$ in dark, grape LP could form AR within 6–7 days, and the rooting rate reached 100% at 1[3](#page-4-0)–14 DAC (Fig. $3(e)$). LP is the smallest and simplest ARF unit in grapes. Owing to the absence of exogenous mineral nutrients and hormones, and the absence of sprouting, the system eliminated other factors afecting ARF to the maximum extent and could screen key genes for AR induction and initiation more conveniently. We determined the conditions under which LP uniformly produces the ARF phenotype and verifed the infuence of related factors on ARF. This system can be used to study the factors afecting adventitious root formation, and it offers a better approach to studying adventitious root induction and initiation.

In plants, endogenous auxin and sugars are recognized as key infuencing factors of ARF. However, the relationship between the two factors is far from being explained. Under the premise of satisfying the carbohydrate supply, the utilization of NPA confrmed the key role of auxin in grape ARF. NPA is an inhibitor of auxin polar transport, and its concentration on grapes was determined to be greater than or equal to 10.09 µM, which can guarantee complete inhibition of LP rooting (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(a) and 4(b)). The rooting rate of LP was increased when IBA was added to medium A for continuous dark culture (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(c)). Moreover, the relationship between the effect of auxin on ARF and its concentration was confrmed by quantitative data.

The crucial role of sucrose and its relationship with the light on ARF were revealed in this paper. LP was difficult to root on medium without sucrose in dark, while it was easy to root on medium with $10 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ sucrose under dark conditions or without sucrose but under light conditions (Figs. [2](#page-2-0) and [3](#page-4-0)). Sucrose can affect auxin homeostasis in the form of signaling molecules (Rolland et al. [2006](#page-9-13)). However, it has yet to be studied whether sugar only serves as a carbon supply or whether it acts as a signaling molecule on ARF (Ahkami et al. [2013](#page-8-4); Bellini et al. [2014](#page-8-12)) summarized the effects of light on ARF, but based on existing studies, it remains difficult to distinguish the roles of light, carbohydrates, and auxin in ARF. The relationship between sugar, light, and auxin and their function in ARF were embodied in the experiment of this system. Sugar may afect the homeostasis of endogenous auxin together with light by afecting PIF (Sairanen et al. [2012](#page-9-15)), which may be the justifcation why light inhibits rooting in the presence of exogenous sugar. These hypotheses will be tested in future studies.

In application, AR can be induced from asexually propagated cuttings. However, when exogenous auxin is added and/or other culture conditions are combined, some species or varieties may fail to achieve the goal of rooting, suggesting that there may be other physiological factors or compounds that inhibit rooting in these plants (Wei et al. [2019\)](#page-9-16). Many studies support the hypothesis that endogenous cytokinin, gibberellin, brassinolide, and jasmonic acid are suppressors of ARF, but this varies depending on species or hormone type and concentration (Druege et al. [2019](#page-8-13); Ford et al. [2002](#page-8-14); Li [2021](#page-9-17); Niu et al. [2013](#page-9-18); Mauriat et al. [2014](#page-9-14); Mensuali-Sodi et al. 1995). In this study, the application of 6-BA, GA_3 , and EBR severely inhibited the rooting of grapes, while the function of MeJA on ARF is complex (Fig. [5](#page-6-0)).

Studies in model plants show that the various hormones and their crosstalk are extremely intricate, but this is not illustrative of the real situation in grapes. This study developed a simple and rapid LP system suitable for the study of AR in grapes, which can assist in explaining the particularity of ARF in grapes. LP materials from tissue culture are readily available. The ARF phenotype of the constructed system was easy to observe, and the rooting rate data were easy to quantify. The design of various processing can facilitate the data analysis, which is conducive to the detailed investigation of ARF infuencing factors. Based on these characteristics, we revealed some interesting efects of sucrose and hormone treatment on grape ARF. Much remains to be done, and the system will show its advantages.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10725-022-00838-5) [022-00838-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10725-022-00838-5).

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFD1200200), Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, China (No. 2021JJ30310), and Research Foundation of Education Department of Hunan Province, China (No. 19B278).

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation and data collection were performed by XYC, KZ, YZY, PYN, JM, HL, and SYG. Data analysis was performed by XYC and MB. The frst draft of the manuscript was written by GSY and MB and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

References

- Ahkami AH, Melzer M, Ghafari MR, Pollmann S, Ghorbani Javid M, Shahinnia F, Hajirezaei MR, Druege U (2013) Distribution of indole-3-acetic acid in *Petunia hybrida* shoot tip cuttings and relationship between auxin transport, carbohydrate metabolism and adventitious root formation. Planta 238(3):499–517. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-013-1907-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00425-013-1907-z)
- Bai Z, Zhang J, Ning X, Guo H, Xu X, Huang X, Wang Y, Hu Z, Lu C, Zhang L, Chi W (2020) A kinase-phosphatase-transcription factor module regulates adventitious root emergence in Arabidopsis root-hypocotyl junctions. Mol Plant 13(8):1162–1177. doi:[https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.002) [doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.002)
- Bannoud F, Bellini C (2021) Adventitious rooting in populus species: Update and perspectives. Front Plant Sci 12:668837. doi:[https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.668837) [doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.668837](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.668837)
- Bellini C, Pacurar DI, Perrone I (2014) Adventitious roots and lateral roots: similarities and diferences. Annu Rev Plant Biol 65:639– 666. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-035645](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-035645)
- Bustillo-Avendano E, Ibanez S, Sanz O, Sousa Barros JA, Gude I, Perianez-Rodriguez J, Micol JL, Del Pozo JC, Moreno-Risueno MA, Perez-Perez JM (2018) Regulation of hormonal control, cell reprogramming, and patterning during *de novo* root organogenesis. Plant Physiol 176(2):1709–1727. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1104/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00980) [pp.17.00980](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00980)
- Castro PRC, Melotto E, Soares FC, Passos IRS, Pommer CV (1994) Rooting stimulation in muscadine grape cuttings. Sci Agr 51(3):436–445. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1590/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0103-90161994000300009) [s0103-90161994000300009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0103-90161994000300009)
- Chen X, Qu Y, Sheng L, Liu J, Huang H, Xu L (2014) A simple method suitable to study de novo root organogenesis. Front Plant Sci 5:208. doi:[https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00208](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00208)
- da Costa CT, de Almeida MR, Ruedell CM, Schwambach J, Maraschin FS, Fett-Neto AG (2013) When stress and development go hand in hand: main hormonal controls of adventitious rooting in cuttings. Front Plant Sci 4:133. doi:[https://doi.org/10.3389/](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00133) [fpls.2013.00133](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00133)
- Di Battista F, Maccario D, Beruto M, Grauso L, Lanzotti V, Paolo Curir P, Monroy F (2019) Metabolic changes associated to the unblocking of adventitious root formation in aged, rooting-recalcitrant cuttings of *Eucalyptus gunnii* Hook. Plant Growth Regul 89:73– 82. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-019-00515-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10725-019-00515-0). Myrtaceae
- Druege U, Hilo A, Perez-Perez JM, Klopotek Y, Acosta M, Shahinnia F, Zerche S, Franken P, Hajirezaei MR (2019) Molecular and physiological control of adventitious rooting in cuttings: phytohormone action meets resource allocation. Ann Bot 123(6):929– 949. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcy234](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcy234)
- Ford YY, Taylor JM, Blake PS, Marks TR (2002) Gibberellin A3 stimulates adventitious rooting of cuttings from cherry (*Prunus avium*). Plant Growth Regul 37:127–133. doi:[https://doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020584627919) [1023/A:1020584627919](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020584627919)
- Garcia-Gonzalez J, Lacek J, Retzer K (2021) Dissecting hierarchies between light, sugar and auxin action underpinning root and root hair growth. Plants (Basel) 10(1):111. doi:[https://doi.org/10.3390/](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/plants10010111) [plants10010111](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/plants10010111)
- Gonin M, Bergougnoux V, Nguyen TD, Gantet P, Champion A (2019) What makes adventitious roots? Plants (Basel) 8(7):240. doi:[https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8070240](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/plants8070240)
- Halliday KJ, Martinez-Garcia JF, Josse EM (2009) Integration of light and auxin signaling. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 1(6):a001586. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001586](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001586)
- Jaleta A (2019) A Review on the efect of rooting media on rooting and growth of cutting propagated grape (*Vitis vinifera* L). World J Agric Soil Sci 3(4):1–8. doi:[https://doi.org/10.33552/](http://dx.doi.org/10.33552/wjass.2019.03.000567) [wjass.2019.03.000567](http://dx.doi.org/10.33552/wjass.2019.03.000567)
- Joshi M, Ginzberg I (2021) Adventitious root formation in cropspotato as an example. Physiol Plant 172(1):124–133. doi:[https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13305) [doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13305)
- Kaur S, Cheema SS, Chhabra BR, Talwar KK (2002) Chemical induction of physiological changes during adventitious root formation and bud break in grapevine cuttings. Plant Growth Regul 37:63– 68. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020355505105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020355505105)
- Keeley K, Preece JE, Taylor BH, Dami IE (2004) Effects of high auxin concentrations, cold storage, and cane position on improved rooting of *Vitis aestivalis* Michx. Norton cuttings. Am J Enol Viticult 55(3):265–268. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2004-0887.ch012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bk-2004-0887.ch012)
- Kracke H, Cristoferi G, Marangoni B (1981) Hormonal changes during the rooting of hardwood cuttings of grapevine rootstocks. Am J Enol Viticult 32(2):135–137. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2628(08)60296-7) [S0065-2628\(08\)60296-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2628(08)60296-7)
- Li SW (2021) Molecular bases for the regulation of adventitious root generation in plants. Front Plant Sci 12:614072. doi:[https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.614072) [org/10.3389/fpls.2021.614072](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.614072)
- Mauriat M, Petterle A, Bellini C, Moritz T (2014) Gibberellins inhibit adventitious rooting in hybrid aspen and Arabidopsis by afecting auxin transport. Plant J 78(3):372–384. doi:[https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12478) [org/10.1111/tpj.12478](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12478)
- Mensuali-Sodi A, Panizza M, Tognoni F (1995) Endogenous ethylene requirement for adventitious root induction and growth in tomato cotyledons and lavandin microcuttings in vitro. Plant Growth Regul 17:205–212. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00024727](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00024727)
- Niu SH, Li ZX, Yuan HW, Fang P, Chen XY, Li W (2013) Proper gibberellin localization in vascular tissue is required to regulate adventitious root development in tobacco. J Exp Bot 64(11):3411–3424. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert186](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert186)
- Omoarelojie LO, Kulkarni MG, Finnie JF, van Staden J (2021) Strigolactone inhibits hydrogen peroxide and plasma membrane H+- ATPase activities to downregulate adventitious root formation in mung bean hypocotyls. Plant Growth Regul 94:11–21. doi:[https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10725-021-00691-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s10725-021-00691-y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10725-021-00691-y)
- Rolland F, Baena-Gonzalez E, Sheen J (2006) Sugar sensing and signaling in plants: conserved and novel mechanisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57(1):675–709
- Sairanen I, Novak O, Pencik A, Ikeda Y, Jones B, Sandberg G, Ljung K (2012) Soluble carbohydrates regulate auxin biosynthesis via PIF proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24(12):4907-4916. doi:[https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.104794) [doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.104794](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.104794)
- Sharma M, Singh D, Saksena HB, Sharma M, Tiwari A, Awasthi P, Botta HK, Shukla BN, Laxmi A (2021) Understanding the intricate web of phytohormone signalling in modulating root system architecture. Int J Mol Sci 22(11):5508. doi:[https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms22115508) [org/10.3390/ijms22115508](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms22115508)
- Shiozaki S, Makibuchi M, Ogata T (2013) Indole-3-acetic acid, polyamines, and phenols in hardwood cuttings of recalcitrant-to-root wild grapes native to east asia: *Vitis davidii* and *Vitis kiusiana*. J Bot 2013:1–9. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/819531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/819531)
- Smart DR, Kocsis L, Andrew Walker M, Stockert C (2003) Dormant buds and adventitious root formation by vitis and other woody plants. J Plant Growth Regul 21(4):296–314. doi:[https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00344-003-0001-3) [org/10.1007/s00344-003-0001-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00344-003-0001-3)
- Stefens B, Rasmussen A (2016) The physiology of adventitious roots. Plant Physiol 170(2):603–617. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1104/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01360) [pp.15.01360](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01360)
- Thomas P, Lee MM, Schiefelbein J (2003) Molecular identifcation of proline-rich protein genes induced during root formation in grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) stem cuttings. Plant Cell Environ 26:1497– 1504. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01071.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01071.x)
- Thomas P, Schiefelbein JW (2004) Roles of leaf in regulation of root and shoot growth from single node softwood cuttings of grape (*Vitis vinifera*). Ann Appl Biol 144(1):27–37. doi:[https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00313.x) [org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00313.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00313.x)
- Wei K, Ruan L, Wang L, Cheng H (2019) Auxin-induced adventitious root formation in nodal cuttings of *Camellia sinensis*. Int J Mol Sci 20(19):4817
- Xu L (2018) De novo root regeneration from leaf explants: wounding, auxin, and cell fate transition. Curr Opin Plant Biol 41:39–45. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.08.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.08.004)
- Zhou Q, Gao B, Li WF, Mao J, Yang SJ, Li W, Ma ZH, Zhao X, Chen BH (2020) Effects of exogenous growth regulators and bud picking on grafting of grapevine hard branches. Sci Hort 264:109186. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109186](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109186)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.