RESEARCH ARTICLE



Variation of morphological traits among wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) landraces from two regions of the Algerian Sahara. Potential interest for wheat breeding

Sabrina Oumata[®] · Philippe Monneveux · Maria Zaharieva · Leila Mekliche-Hanifi · Jacques David

Received: 27 January 2022 / Accepted: 11 June 2022 / Published online: 3 July 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Abstract In the Saharan regions of Algeria wheat is cultivated by farmers in small areas of the oases. Saharan wheat landraces that are the result of natural and human selection in hostile environments for several centuries could represent an interesting material to improve abiotic stress tolerance in breeding programs. Indeed, a high level of drought, heat and salt tolerance has been reported in this germplasm. Very little information is however available on the morphological

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-022-01429-z.

S. Oumata (🖂)

Division des Ressources Phytogénétiques, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique d'Algérie, Avenue des frères Oudek, Hassen Badi, El-Harrach, Alger, Algeria e-mail: sabrinaoumata@hotmail.com

P. Monneveux · M. Zaharieva Ilheus, Bahia, Brazil

L. Mekliche-Hanifi

Laboratoire de Recherche, Maitrise de l'Eau en Agriculture, Ecole Nationale Supérieure Agronomique d'Alger, Algeria

J. David

UMR AGAP, CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France

characteristics of these landraces. In the present study, a total of 58 bread wheat landraces originating from ten oases of the Adrar and Tamanrasset regions were characterised, together with two commercial varieties, for 21 quantitative traits related to plant, spike, grain, glume and lemma. A wide morphological variation was noted among landraces, as well as differences related to their environments of origin. Altitude was a determining factor of variation, landraces originating from low altitude exhibiting shorter stems and less fertile tillers and producing more grain, compared to landraces originating from high altitude. The landraces of Adrar with very dense spike of normal type were opposed to the varieties with spikes of speltoid type originating from Tamanrasset. High heritability was recorded for thousand grain weight, number of grains per spike, number of fertile tillers per plant, spike length and spike width, suggesting the possibility of using these traits in wheat breeding programs.

Keywords Algerian Sahara · Altitude · Breeding · Heritability · Morphological traits · Oasis wheat

Introduction

Wheat cultivation in Algeria is concentrated in semiarid regions, characterized by irregular and insufficient rainfall and high temperature amplitudes. Drought, heat and frost are the main constraints limiting production (Benbelkacem 1996). Moreover, Schilling et al. (2020) and Mrabet et al. (2020) described a negative impact of climate change in North Africa. Algeria's geographical situation and climatic specificities make it very vulnerable to climate change (Sahnoune et al. 2013; Chourghal et al. 2016) reported that wheat yields would tend to decrease in the following years.

Saharan wheat landraces, resulting from natural and human selection for several centuries in hostile environments, could represent an interesting genetic material to meet these current and future agricultural concerns. In the Saharan oases, wheat is frequently facing drought problems because of the high evaporative demand (Sekkoum et al. 2012) and the limitation of water resources (Touitou and Abul Quasem 2018). High temperatures represents another stress as temperature can reach 45°C during the day. Finally, oases soils are frequently saline (Chevalier 1932). The wheat landraces of the Saharan oases, probably introduced long time ago and submitted during centuries to strong selection pressures related to these physical constraints, are expected to exhibit a high level of abiotic stresses tolerance (Zaharieva et al. 2014). A high level of tolerance to drought (Ducellier 1920; Toutain 1977), heat (Ducellier 1920; Rodriguez 1932; De Arana 1934) and salinity (Erroux 1952; Toutain 1977) was effectively reported in this germplasm. In-situ conservation and management of genetic resources carried out by farmers allowed maintaining varieties that could represent a valuable source of abiotic stress tolerance. The further use of this germplasm in breeding programs however requires morphological characterization. Ducellier (1929), Erroux (1962) and Oumata et al. (2020) reported huge differences among landraces for plant, spike and grain chracteristics, but the quantative variation of these traits has never been analyzed.

The objective of this study was consequently to (i) describe the variation for plants, spike, grains, glumes and lemmas traits, (ii) estimate their genetic variance and heritability, (iii) evaluate the distribution of this variation at different geographical scales (region, oasis) and (iv) analyze its relationship with agro-climatic conditions.

Materials and methods

Plant material

were compared to those of Anza and HD 1220, two commercial varieties widely cultivated in Algeria. Anza was selected by the Technical Institute of Field Crops (Institut Technique des Grandes Cultures, ITGC) of Sétif (Algeria) in 1998 from a variety from the United States. HD 1220 (or Hiddab) was selected by the same Institute in 1997 from material created at the International Wheat and Maize Improvement Center (Centro Internacional de Maiz y Trigo, CIMMYT) in Mexico. The Saharan wheat landraces were collected from farmers' fields in six oases of the Adrar region (Adrar, Bouda, Timmi, Tamantit, Tsabit and Timimoun), at altitudes comprised between 220 and 283 m, and in four oases of the Tamanrasset region (Abalessa, Ideles, In Amguel and Tamanrasset), at altitudes comprised between 889 and 1478 m (Table 1). These two regions are classified among the warmest of the Algerian Sahara. Low annual rainfall (74.0 mm) and high annual minimal and maximal temperatures (17.1 and 33.3°C, respectively) characterize the Adrar region. Negative temperatures are exceptionally registered in this location. The Tamanrasset region, located in the Hoggar Mountains, is characterized by slightly higher rainfall (139.7 mm) and lower minimal and maximal temperatures (15.2°C and 29.1°C, respectively), compared to the Adrar region. Absolute minimal temperatures over the 1980-2020 period were comprised between 1.7 and -19.5°C. Temperatures below 0°C are common in March and can occur in April and May.

Field experiment

The bread wheat landraces and commercial varieties were sown in November 2012 at the Adrar experimental station of the National Institute for Agricultural Research of Algeria (INRAA), located in South-West Algeria (27° 49' N, 00° 11' W, 278 m a.s.l.). The experimental site is located in a desert climate. Annual rainfall is less than 50 mm year⁻¹ and average temperature is around 25.4° C. The soil texture is sandy (92% sand). Irrigation was performed by submersion once a week. Fertilization was applied at the doses recommended by ITGC. Harvest was carried out at the end of April 2013.

The experimental design was a complete randomized block design with three replications. For each landrace collected, 15 spikes were randomly selected. Table 1List of the Saharanbread wheat landraceswith their code and origin(region, oasis and altitude)

	Local name	Code	Region	Oasis	Altitude (m)
1	Bahmoud	A08	Adrar	Timimoun	240
2	Bahmoud	TI01	Adrar	Timimoun	240
3	Bel Mabrouk	A18	Adrar	Tamantit	246
4	Chater	A23	Adrar	Timmi	235
5	El-Farh	A27	Adrar	Timmi	258
6	Moumna	A30	Adrar	Adrar	250
7	Guemh Lahmar	TI02	Adrar	Timimoun	240
8	Mekkaouiya	A43	Adrar	Timimoun	220
9	Mekkaouiya	A44	Adrar	Bouda	241
10	Oum M'rakba	A47	Adrar	Tsabit	255
11	Oum M' rakba	A48	Adrar	Adrar	250
12	Oum M'rakba	TI03	Adrar	Adrar	250
13	Sebaga	A53	Adrar	Adrar	258
14	Touatia	A62	Adrar	Timimoun	240
15	Zeghloul	A63	Adrar	Timimoun	220
16	Baida	T01	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	970
17	Baida	T02	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1427
18	Baida	T03	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042
19	Baida	T04	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	990
20	Baida	T05	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1478
21	Baida	T06	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1280
22	Baida	T07A	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1280
23	Baida	T07B	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1280
24	Baida	T08	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1427
25	Baida	T09	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042
26	Bent el Hamra	T11	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1379
27	Bent el Hamra	T12	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1379
28	Bent M'Barek	T13	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1283
29	Chouitar	T16	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1205
30	H'bircha	T18	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	990
31	Hamra	T19	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1280
32	Hamra	T21	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	994
33	Hamra	T23	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	1059
34	Hamra	T24	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1283
35	Hamra	T25	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042
36	Hamra	T26	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042
37	Hamra	T27	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1280
38	Hamra	T58	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1478
39	Hamra Lakhfifa	T28	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	918
40	Hanafi	T29	Tamanrasset	InAmguel	1042
41	Houiya	T30	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1418
42	Manga	T22	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	918
43	Manga	T31	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1418
44	Manga	T32	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1379
45	Manga	T33	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	1059
46	Manga	T34	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1379
47	Manga	T37	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042

Table 1 (continued)

	Local name	Code	Region	Oasis	Altitude (m)
48	Manga	T38	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	990
49	Manga	T39	Tamanrasset	In Amguel	1042
50	Manga	T41	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1478
51	Manga	T42	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	1059
52	Manga	T43	Tamanrasset	Tamanrasset	1283
53	Manga	T51	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	1059
54	Ras el Mouch	T50	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1418
55	Guemh	T54	Tamanrasset	Tamanrassset	1283
56	Skandria	T55	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	994
57	Skandria	T56	Tamanrasset	Abalessa	1059
58	Terouzi	T57	Tamanrasset	Ideles	1478

Table 2 Mean square value, mean value of regions, oases and Saharan bread wheat landraces

Traits	Mean Square Region $(df=1)$	Mean va	lue	Mean Square Oasis (df=9)	Mean Square Landrace	
		Adrar	Tamanrasset			df
Plant height	2864.40 ***	89.20	98.70	549.50 **	306.4***	56
Stem length	1830.70 ***	79.80	87.40	362.80 *	248.4 *	56
Number of fertile tillers per plant	1781.45 ***	10.51	18.06	348.12 ***	94.22***	56
Number of internodes	3.92 ***	4.34	4.69	0.55 ***	0.21***	56
Internodes length	65.76 ns	36.42	37.87	52.87 ns	48.07 **	56
Last internode length	2.21 ns	16.57	16.30	39.40 ns	33.3***	56
Spike length	3934.90 ***	87.30	100.60	1125.00 ***	532.14***	57
Face spike width	109.91 ***	14.79	12.56	29.82 ***	8.94***	57
Profile spike width	129.42 ***	13.97	11.54	32.38 ***	7.99***	57
Spike weight	41.13 ***	4.16	2.76	7.04 ***	1.65***	56
Number of spikelets per spike	21.50 *	20.80	2.76	6.21 *	5.65***	58
Number of grain per spike	4017.20 ***	74.00	60.20	937.80 ***	314.26***	56
Thousand grain weight	2066.64 ***	46.40	36.45	304.28 ***	67.77***	56
Grain length	5.95 ***	6.72	6.20	0.70 ***	0.17***	57
Grain width	3.27 ***	3.44	3.06	0.58 ***	0.27***	57
Grain thickness	2.66 ***	3.15	2.81	0.48 ***	0.10***	57
Glume length	1.14 ns	9.16	8.93	0.65 ns	0.78***	58
Glume width	0.40 *	4.87	4.73	0.23 ***	0.12***	58
Glume beak length	0.84 ns	1.85	2.04	0.40 ns	1.61***	58
Lemma length	1.18 *	10.47	10.24	0.36 ns	0.48***	58
Lemma width	0.14 ns	4.94	4.86	0.12 *	0.10***	58

MS : mean square, df : degree of freedom, significance level ns : non significant ; * : $p \le 0.05$;

** : $p \le 0.01$; *** : $p \le 0.001$

Morphological characterization

Five spikes were used in each replication. The grains of each spike were sown on a 1.5 m row with grain spacing of 15 and 20 cm between rows.

At maturity, plants were harvested and twentyone morphological traits were measured (Table 2). Measurements were performed on 5 plants per row.

Data analysis

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out on all the studied traits in order to analyse the distribution of the variation between regions, and among oases and landraces. The comparison of means was performed using the Fisher's PLSD (protected least significant difference) test at 5% ($P \le 0.05$). Pearson correlations were calculated to determine the existence and level of correlations ($p \le 0.05$) between the different traits studied. The data was analyzed using GenStat 15th edition. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was also performed on 55 Saharan landraces and 15 morphological traits of the plant (stem length, number of fertile tillers per plant, internodes length, number of internodes, and last internode length), spike (length, profile and face width, weight, number of spikelets and number of grains) and grain (length, width, thickness and weight) as well as a supplementary variable (altitude). The PCA was computed using the FactoMineR (Lê et al. 2008) and Factoshiny (Vaissie et al. 2017) packages in R software.

Genotypic variance, phenotypic variance and environmental variance were estimated respectively as $\sigma_{g}^{2} = [(MSG) - (MSE)] / r, \sigma_{p}^{2} = [\sigma_{g}^{2} + (\sigma_{e}^{2}/r)]$ and σ_{e}^{2} = error mean square, with MSG = mean square of landrace; MSE=error mean square; r=number of replications (blocks) (Singh and Chaudhary 1985). The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were calculated respectively as GCV = $[(\sqrt{\sigma_g^2} / \overline{X})] \times 100$ and PCV = $[(\sqrt{\sigma_p^2} / \overline{X})] \times 100$, with $\overline{X} =$ grand mean of a trait. The coefficients of variation was classified, according to Singh and Chaudhary (1985) as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%). Broad sense heritability (h_{hs}^2) was calculated as $h_{bs}^2 = [(\sigma_g^2) / (\sigma_P^2)] \times 100$, with $h_{bs}^2 = herit$ ability in broad sense, σ_{g}^{2} = genotypic variance and $\sigma_{\rm P}^2$ = phenotypic variance. Broad sense heritability was classified, according to Allard (1960) as low (0-30%), moderate (30-60%) and high (>60%).

Genetic advance as percentage of the mean (GAM) and genetic advance (GA) were calculated according to Johnson et al. (1955) as GAM (%) = (GA/ \overline{X}) x100 where GA=K (σ_P) h², with K=constant 2.06 at 5% selection pressure, σ_P =phenotypic standard deviation and \overline{X} = grand mean of a trait. Genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) was classified as low $(0 \le GAM \le 10)$; moderate $(10 \le GAM \le 20)$ and high $(GAM \ge 20\%)$.

Results

Effects of regions, oases and landraces on plant, spike and grain characteristics

Significant region and oasis effects were noted for the majority of the traits (Table 2). The coefficient of variation varied from low to high depending on the trait. The region effect was highly significant for plant height, stem length, number of fertile tillers per plant, number of internodes, spike length, spike width, spike weight, number of grains per spike, thousand grain weight, grain length, width and thickness. It was significant for the number of spikelets per spike, glume width and lemma length. No significant region effect was noted for the length of the internode, last internode length, glume length, lemma width and glume beak length. The oasis effect was highly significant for the number of fertile tillers per plant, number of internodes, length of the spike, spike width (face and profile), spike weight, number of grains per spike, thousand grain weight, grain length, width and thickness, and glume width. It was significant for plant height, stem length, number of spikelets per spike and lemma width. Significant differences were noted among landraces for all quantitative traits (Table 2).

Distribution of the variation for plant traits between regions and among oases and landraces

A significant variation in plant height was noted in the collected material and significant differences were recorded for this trait between the two prospected regions. Landraces from the Tamanrasset region were taller. The highest plants (115.45 cm) were observed in Manga T51 from the Abalessa oasis of the Tamanrasset region while the shortest plants (77.51 cm) were noted in Moumna A30 from the Adrar oasis. The longest stems were also observed in landraces collected in the Tamanrasset region. Manga T43 from the Tamanrasset oasis was characterized by the longest stem (101.57 cm). Among landraces, the shortest stems were recorded in those from the Tsabit oasis of the Adrar region. The commercial varieties Anza and HD 1220 showed the shortest stems (65.03 cm).

The number of fertile tillers per plant ranged from 5.81 for Moumna A30 from the Adrar oasis to 26.77 for Hamra T25 from the In Amguel oasis (Tamanrasset region) and Bent el Hamra T12 from the Tamanrasset oasis. Three groups of oases were distinguished, based on this trait. The first group, composed by the oases of In Amguel, Tamanrasset and Ideles (Tamanrasset region) included landraces with the highest number of fertile tillers per plant, followed by the group composed by landraces from the oases of Timimoun (Adrar region) and Abalessa (Tamanrasset region) with average values (Table 3). The lowest number fertile tillers per plant was observed in landraces from the Adrar region. Commercial varieties were characterized by low values (9.61 for HD 1220 and 14.09 for Anza).

Landraces originating from Tamanrasset region had the highest number of internodes per plant (4.69), while those originating from Tsabit (Adrar region) had the lowest ones. Among of the landraces from Tamanrasset region, Bent el Hamra T11 and Manga T41 had the highest number of internodes (4.99). The commercial variety HD 1220 has the lowest number of internodes per plant (3.93).

No significant differences were noted between the two regions and among oases for the length of the internodes. However, highly significant differences existed among landraces. The length of the internodes varied from 28.57 cm for Sebaga A53 from the Adrar oasis to 45.52 cm for Manga T42 and T31 from the Abalessa and Ideles oases, recpectively, both located in the Tamanrasset region.

Similarly, regions and oases did not differ significantly for last internode length, while highly significant differences were noted among landraces, even within a same oasis. Manga T42 had the longest last internode (23.58 cm) and Skandria T56 had the shortest (9.25 cm) while both landraces were collected in the Abalessa oasis of the Tamanrasset region. The commercial varieties showed values equal to or lower than the general mean (16.33 cm).

Distribution of the variation for spike traits between regions and among oases and landraces

The longest spikes were observed in landraces from the Tamanrasset region (100.60 mm) and, among them, in landraces from the Abalessa oasis (111.78 mm). Conversely, the shortest spikes (72.48 mm) were found in landraces from Tsabit (Adrar region). The length of the spike varied from 68.86 mm for Chater A23 from the Timmi oasis (Adrar region) to 139.65 mm for Manga T33 from the Abalessa oasis (Tamanrasset region). The commercial varieties HD 1220 and Anza showed average values of 112.41 mm and 102.87 mm, respectively (Table S1).

Landraces originating from the oases of the Adrar region, with the exception of those from the oasis of Timimoun, were characterized by the widest spike face. Moumna A30 from the oasis of Adrar has the widest spike face (18.19 mm). The narrowest spikes face (9.02 mm) was recorded in the oasis of Tamanrasset and in Bent el Hamra T12. The commercial varieties Anza and HD 1220 had a wide spike face (15.2 mm). Landraces originating from the oases of the Adrar region, with the exception of those from the oasis of Timimoun, were also characterized by the widest spike profile. The profile width of the spikes varied considerably among landraces, ranging from 8.98 mm for Bent el Hamra T12 originating from the Tamanrasset oasis to 17.91 mm for Mekkaouiya A44 from the Bouda oasis (Adrar region). The commercial varieties had narrow spike profile (less than the average of 12.11 mm).

Landraces from the Adrar region had the highest spike weight (4.16 g) and those from the Ideles, In Amguel and Tamanrasset oases in the Tamanrasset region had the lowest one. A wide variation for this trait was noted among landraces. Spike weight varied from 1.64 g for Bent el Hamra T12 to 5.60 g for Mekkaouiya A44. The commercial varieties Anza and HD 1220 had average weights (2.91 and 2.93 g, respectively).

Higher number of spikelets per spike (22.5) was observed in landraces from the Bouda oasis, compared to landraces from other locations. The highest number of spikelets per spike (25.0) was noted in Bent M'Barek T13 from the Tamanrasset oasis. The varieties HD 1220 and Anza were characterized by the lowest number of spikelets per spike (17.1 and 18.9, respectively).

The number of grains per spike varied considerably. Mekkaouiya A44 had the highest number of grains per spike (94.7) and Bent el Hamra T12 the lowest (41.3). Landraces from the Adrar region were among those with the highest number of grains per spike (74.0). Among them, those from the Bouda

		Tamanrasse	st				Adrar				
		Abalessa	Tamanrasset	Timimoun	In Amguel	Ideles	Adrar	Tamantit	Timmi	Bouda	Tsabit
Stem length 86.79 abc 89.24 a 86.71 abc 86.77 abc 87.77 ab 78.82 bcd 75.56 d 74.74 abcd 71.93 cdLast internode length 17.61 16.98 9.37 15.16 16.07 16.92 14.54 13.14 14.15 16.25 Internodes length 38.87 38.31 35.66 37.97 37.97 37.35 34.66 37.32 33.81 35.66 Number of finemodes 4.66 4.76 4.77 abc 4.77 abd 4.74 abc 4.75 a 4.76 4.75 aNumber of finemodes 11.17 a 106.8 95.37 b 95.69 95.77 b 4.68 ab 4.24 de 4.75 a 4.75 aNumber of fine lielles per plant 11.17 a 106.8 ab 95.77 b 11.91 c 12.29 b 17.36 d 77.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 aNumber of spikelets per spike 21.57 ab 11.91 c 12.02 c 44.61 b 57.36 b 77.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 a 15.76 bNumber of grain per spike 60.50 bbd 4.71 f 61.08 de 4.73 b 57.38 b 17.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 aNumber of grain per spike 21.56 ab 57.38 b 51.33 b 57.38 b 77.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 a 17.75 aNumber of grain per place 67.56 b 57.38 b 57.38 b 57.38 b 57.38 b 77.75 a 21.66 abNumber of grain per place 67.56 b 57.38 b	Plant height	101.24 a	99.09 ab	97.39 abc	96.6 abc	98.78 ab	88.07 cd	82.95 bcd	83.91 d	82.87 bcd	79.68 d
Last internode length17.6116.9819.3715.1616.0716.9214.5413.1414.1516.25Internodes length3.88738.339.5136.6937.9737.2534.6932.3333.8135.06Number of internodes4.664.764.77 ab4.77 ab4.68 ab4.24 de4.56 abcd4.45 cd4.22 de4.44 eNumber of fertile tillers per plant13.04 b9.38 a15.52 b19.6 a18.95 a7.8 c7.13 c8.3 c6.6 27.7 deNumber of fertile tillers per plant11.78 a10.6.8 ab95.57 b94.61 c8.3.4 cd79.78 cd77.3 d7.2.48 dNumber of grain per spike11.33 cd11.39 c11.99 c11.91 c11.91 c11.91 c11.91 c11.91 c17.75 abcd17.75 ab17.75 ab17.75 ab17.75 abNumber of grain per spike11.1.78 a10.64 d50.8 def57.3 bb21.4 abcd4.65 ab4.7.1 abcd7.7.1 abcdNumber of grain per spike51.7 db21.57 abc21.67 ab21.67 ab7.7.1 abcd27.3 ab21.9 abcdSpike weight3.3 tc21.67 ab21.67 ab21.67 ab27.4 abcd4.66 ab4.56 ab5.6 a2.41 abcdSpike weight3.3 tb21.7 bb21.87 abcd21.67 ab21.3 abcd2.1.7 abcd2.1.7 abcd2.1.6 abcdSpike weight3.3 tb21.67 ab2.74 ab2.74 ab2.74 ab2.74 ab2.71 abcd2.74	Stem length	86.79 abc	89.24 a	86.71 abc	86.05 abc	87.77 ab	78.82 bcd	73.22 bcd	75.56 d	74.74 abcd	71.93 cd
Internodes length 38.7 38.3 39.51 36.69 37.97 37.25 34.69 32.33 33.81 35.06 Number of internodes 4.6 bc 4.76 a 4.76 a 4.76 a 4.56 abcd 4.45 cd 4.22 de 4.04 eNumber of internodes 4.6 bc 4.76 a 4.76 a 4.77 ab 4.68 ab 4.24 de 4.56 abcd 4.22 de 4.04 eNumber of fertile tillers per plant 13.04 b 19.38 a 15.72 b 19.6 a 18.95 a 7.32 c 7.13 c 8.34 cd 4.22 de 4.04 eFace spike width 11.236 b 11.91 c 11.91 c 12.92 b 16.11 a 16.41 ab 17.73 ad 77.34 c 74.8 dNumber of spikeus per spike 21.55 be 12.92 be 12.92 b 16.31 a 16.11 a 16.43 ab 17.73 ad 77.34 c 74.8 dNumber of spikeus per spike 21.56 be 12.92 be 12.92 b 15.31 b 17.73 d 17.73 d 17.73 d 15.96 aNumber of grain per spike 65.5 be 54.71 f 61.08 de/ 22.54 d 56.3 de/ 4.74 b 56.3 de/ 4.74 beSpike weight 3.34 c 2.34 d 2.37 ab 2.46 d 4.55 ab 56.3 de/ 4.74 beSpike weight 3.34 c 2.34 d 2.37 ab 2.46 d 4.56 ab 56.3 de/ 4.74 beGrain length 6.12 be 6.73 d 2.56 d 4.53 ab 3.56 ab 3.56 ab 4.94	Last internode length	17.61	16.98	19.37	15.16	16.07	16.92	14.54	13.14	14.15	16.25
Number of internodes 4.6 bc 4.76 a 4.73 de 4.72 ab 4.68 ab 4.24 de 4.56 abcd 4.45 cd 4.22 de 4.04 eNumber of fertile tillers per plant 13.04 b 19.38 a 15.32 b 19.68 ab 55.75 b 19.68 ab 55.75 c 7.73 c 7.73 d 7.74 dSpike length $11.1.78$ a 106.86 ab 55.75 b 55.76 c 94.61 c 88.34 cd 79.78 cd 79.33 d 77.73 d 72.48 dFace spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.99 b 12.31 a 16.11 a 16.49 a 17.75 a 15.96 aProfile spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.96 b 21.53 ab 17.73 d 72.48 dProfile spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.70 b 12.33 bd 17.73 d 17.73 d 12.96 aProfile spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 c 11.96 c 12.56 ab 56.73 d 56.32 d 56.33 d 77.73 d 77.73 d 72.48 dSpike wight 31.34 c 21.57 ab 15.75 ab 15.75 ab 17.71 ab 72.48 d 72.48 dSpike wight 31.34 c 21.53 ab 21.63 ab 56.34 d 46.64 d 56.73 d 72.74 d 56.73 d 72.44 dSpike wight 31.34 c 58.71 df 81.73 ab 81.73 ab 81.73 ab 81.73 ab 74.12 acSpike wight <td< td=""><td>Internodes length</td><td>38.87</td><td>38.3</td><td>39.51</td><td>36.69</td><td>37.97</td><td>37.25</td><td>34.69</td><td>32.33</td><td>33.81</td><td>35.06</td></td<>	Internodes length	38.87	38.3	39.51	36.69	37.97	37.25	34.69	32.33	33.81	35.06
Number of fertile tillers per plant 13.04 b 19.38 a 15.52 b 19.6 a 18.95 a 7.8 c 7.13 c 8.3 c 6.62 c 7.5 c Spike length 111.78 a 106.86 ab 95.97 bc 95.67 c 94.61 c 88.34 cd 79.78 cd 79.33 d 77.73 d 72.48 d Face spike width 112.35 cd 104.1 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.02 c 14.67 b 15.75 ab 17.73 d 77.3 d 72.48 d Profile spike width 11.33 cd 104.1 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.02 c 14.67 b 15.75 ab 17.73 d 72.48 d Number of spike width 11.33 cd 104.1 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.02 c 14.67 b 15.7 ab 17.91 a 16.73 ab Number of spike width 11.33 cd 21.4 d 3.24 c 23.6 d 46.7 ab 56.7 a 21.6 dbc Spike width 3.34 c 3.34 c 3.24 ab 56.7 a 56.7 a 24.6 dc Spike width 3.21 db 2.74 ab 2.77 ab dc 27.	Number of internodes	4.6 bc	4.76 a	4.37 de	4.72 ab	4.68 ab	4.24 de	4.56 abcd	4.45 cd	4.22 de	4.04 e
Spike length111.78 a106.86 ab95.97 bc95.67 c94.61 c88.34 cd79.78 cd79.33 d77.73 d72.48 dFace spike width12.95 b11.39 c12.28 bc12.7 bb12.89 b16.11 a16.49 a17.75 a15.96 aProfile spike width11.33 cd10.41 d11.6 c11.91 c12.02 c14.67 b15.75 ab15.18 b17.71 a16.73 abProfile spike width11.33 cd10.41 d11.6 c11.91 c12.02 c14.67 b15.75 ab17.71 abc21.60 abcNumber of grain per spike21.57 abc21.57 abc19.86 bd22.35 abc21.62 abc21.67 abc21.67 abc21.60 abcNumber of grain per spike6.95 bd54.11 f61.08 def60.98 def58.77 df81.73 abc21.71 abc24.10 bcSpike weight3.34 c2.54 d3.24 c2.66 d4.63 ab4.66 ab56.a4.71 abc7.41 abccSpike weight3.34 c2.54 d3.24 c2.66 d4.63 ab4.66 ab56.a4.71 abc7.41 abccSpike weight3.34 c2.74 d3.24 c2.66 d4.63 ab4.66 ab56.a4.71 ab56.a4.24 bcGrain width3.21 bd2.96 d3.31 bd2.88 d3.56 abcd3.56 abcd3.58 ab3.56 abcd3.56 abcd3.58 ab3.56 abcdGrain width3.88 bc35.35 d3.33 ab3.48 bc3.57 abc3.58 ab3.56 abcd4.97 a8.95 a <tr< td=""><td>Number of fertile tillers per plant</td><td>13.04 b</td><td>19.38 a</td><td>15.52 b</td><td>19.6 a</td><td>18.95 a</td><td>7.8 c</td><td>7.13 c</td><td>8.3 c</td><td>6.62 c</td><td>7.5 c</td></tr<>	Number of fertile tillers per plant	13.04 b	19.38 a	15.52 b	19.6 a	18.95 a	7.8 c	7.13 c	8.3 c	6.62 c	7.5 c
Face spike width 12.95 h 11.30 c 12.32 b 12.39 b 16.31 a 16.11 a 16.49 a 17.75 a 15.96 aProfile spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 c 11.91 c 12.02 c 14.67 b 15.75 ab 15.18 b 17.91 a 16.73 abNumber of spikeles per spike 21.57 abc 21.56 abc 19.86 bd 22.35 abc 21.62 abc 21.63 abc 21.73 abc 22.74 abc 21.73 abc 21.73 abc 22.74 abc 21.63 abcNumber of grain per spike 66.95 bde $54.71f$ 61.08 def 60.98 def 58.77 df 81.73 abc 22.44 ab 20.77 abcd 22.54 a 21.06 abccSpike weight 3.34 c 2.54 d 3.24 c 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 5.6 a 4.24 bcGrain length 6.12 bc 6.22 bc 5.73 ab 2.162 abc 3.34 a 3.53 ab 3.66 a 3.56 abcdGrain width 3.21 bd 2.96 d 3.24 bd 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 5.6 a 4.24 bcGrain width 3.21 bd 2.96 d 3.24 bd 3.33 bd 3.4 a 3.33 ab 3.4 a 3.38 abThu width 3.21 bd 2.96 d 3.33 bd 3.33 ab 3.4 a 3.33 ab 3.66 a 3.58 ab 3.66 a 3.58 abGrain width 3.28 b 3.53 ab 3.66 a 3.58 ab 3.56 ac 4.97 a 4.97 aThousand grain weight	Spike length	111.78 a	106.86 ab	95.97 bc	95.67 c	94.61 c	88.34 cd	79.78 cd	79.33 d	77.73 d	72.48 d
Profile spike width 11.33 cd 10.41 d 11.6 cc 11.91 cc 12.02 cc 14.67 b 15.18 b 17.91 a 1673 ab Number of spikelets per spike 21.57 abc 21.56 abc 19.86 bd 22.35 abc 21.62 abc 21.53 abc 21.71 abc 22.13 abc 21.71 abc 21.57 abc 21.66 abc 4.67 ab 4.67 ab 4.717 abc 24.4 abc 20.77 abc 21.46 abc Number of grain per spike 66.95 bde 54.71 f 61.08 def 60.98 def 58.77 df 81.73 abc 22.61 ab 4.78 f 6.73 a 21.06 abc Spike weight 3.34 cc 2.54 d 3.24 cc 2.62 d 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 4.73 bc 6.71 abc 21.44 bc Grain width 3.34 cc 2.54 d 3.24 cc 2.62 d 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 4.74 bc 6.77 abc Grain width 3.21 bd 2.74 d 3.23 bd 3.13 bd 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 4.36 ab 4.67 ab Grain width 3.28 bc 2.74 d 2.73 d 2.86 d 4.73 bc 6.74 ab 4.95 ab Thousand grain weight $3.88 $	Face spike width	12.95 b	11.39 c	12.28 bc	12.7 b	12.89 b	16.31 a	16.11 a	16.49 a	17.75 a	15.96 a
Number of spikeles per spike 21.57 abc 1.56 abc 19.86 bd 22.35 abc 21.62 abc 21.57 abc 21.77 abc 22.54 abc 21.57 abc 21.57 abc 21.71 abc 22.54 abc 21.66 db 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 4.56 ab 4.71 abc 21.72 abc 74.12 abcSpike weight 3.34 c 2.54 d 3.24 c 2.62 d 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 4.56 ab 4.26 abcGrain length 6.12 bc 6.22 bc 6.78 a 6.16 c 6.23 bc 6.74 a 6.47 ab 6.62 a 6.67 a 4.24 bcGrain width 3.21 bd 2.96 c 3.2 bd 3.13 bd 2.97 c 6.74 ab 6.62 ab 3.58 ab 3.56 abcGrain width 3.21 bd 2.96 c 3.2 bd 3.13 bd 2.97 c 6.74 ab 6.74 ab 6.67 abcGrain width 3.21 bd 2.96 c 3.2 bd 3.13 bd 2.88 c 6.74 ab 3.58 ab 3.56 abcThousand grain weight 3.88 bc 3.57 db 3.53 ab 3.56 ab 3.58 ab 3.56 abc 6.73 abcThousand grain weight 3.88 bc 3.53 db 3.56 ab 3.58 ab 3.56 ab 3.58 ab 3.56 ab 4.95 abThousand grain weight 8.77 ab 8.77 ab 2.82 cd 4.74 ab 6.67 ab 4.97 ab $4.$	Profile spike width	11.33 cd	10.41 d	11.6 c	11.91 c	12.02 c	14.67 b	15.75 ab	15.18 b	17.91 a	16.73 ab
Number of grain per spike 66.95 bde 54.71 f 61.08 def 60.98 def 58.77 df 81.73 abc 82.61 ab 78.17 abc 94.72 a 74.12 abcSpike weight 3.34 c 2.54 d 3.24 c 2.02 d 2.66 d 4.63 ab 4.66 ab 4.56 ab 5.6 a 4.24 bcGrain length 6.12 bc 6.12 bc 6.16 c 6.23 bc 6.74 a 6.47 ab 6.67 a 6.88 a 6.67 aGrain length 5.12 bc 5.23 bc 5.73 bc 3.53 ab 3.56 ab 3.56 abcd 4.66 ab 3.56 ab 3.56 abcdGrain thickness 3.21 bd 2.96 c 3.23 bd 2.37 ab 3.53 ab 3.66 a 3.58 ab 3.56 abcdGrain thickness 2.88 c 2.78 d 2.82 cd 3.22 bd 3.33 ab 3.48 a 3.53 ab 3.56 abcdThousand grain weight 38.85 bc 35.35 d 40.65 b 35.23 d 36.68 cd 48.79 a 49.74 a 50.67 a 49.31 a 48.95 aThousand grain weight 8.79 at 8.72 at 9.07 at 8.73 ab 3.56 abcd 50.67 a 49.31 a 48.95 aGlume length 8.79 at 8.72 at 9.77 at 8.84 abcdef 4.69 ace 4.97 at 49.74 a 50.67 a 49.31 a 48.95 aGlume vidth 8.79 at 8.72 at 9.27 at 8.72 at 9.27 at 8.72 at 9.07 at 9.5 at 9.66 Glume vidth 1.92 at 1.64	Number of spikelets per spike	21.57 abc	21.56 abc	19.86 bd	22.35 abc	21.62 abc	21.53 abcd	22.44 ab	20.77 abcd	22.5 a	21.06 abcd
Spike weight $3.34c$ $2.54d$ $3.24c$ $2.62d$ $2.66d$ $4.63ab$ $4.66ab$ $4.56ab$ $5.6a$ $4.24bc$ Grain length $6.12bc$ $6.22bc$ $6.78a$ $6.16c$ $6.23bc$ $6.74a$ $6.67a$ $6.88a$ $6.67a$ Grain width $3.21bd$ $2.96e$ $3.2bde$ $3.13bde$ $2.97e$ $3.57abc$ $3.58ab$ $3.68ac$ $6.87a$ $6.67a$ Grain width $3.21bd$ $2.96e$ $3.2bde$ $3.13bde$ $2.97e$ $3.57abc$ $3.58ab$ $3.68ac$ $6.74a$ $3.58ab$ $3.66ac$ Thousand grain weight $38.85bc$ $35.35d$ $40.65b$ $35.23d$ $2.82cd$ $3.23ab$ $3.4a$ $3.35ab$ $3.3ab$ Thousand grain weight 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 $9.74a$ $5.067a$ $49.31a$ $48.95a$ Glume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.07 Glume weidth $4.87abcd$ $4.74abcdefg$ $4.84abcdef$ $4.69aceg$ $4.93abcd$ $4.93abcd$ $4.95abcde$ $4.95abcde$ Glume weidth 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 10.32 10.54 $4.9abcde$ $4.9ab$	Number of grain per spike	66.95 bde	54.71 f	61.08 def	60.98 def	58.77 df	81.73 abc	82.61 ab	78.17 abc	94.72 a	74.12 abcd
Grain length $6.12 \mathrm{bc}$ $6.22 \mathrm{bc}$ $6.78 \mathrm{a}$ $6.16 \mathrm{c}$ $6.23 \mathrm{bc}$ $6.74 \mathrm{a}$ $6.47 \mathrm{ab}$ $6.62 \mathrm{a}$ $6.88 \mathrm{a}$ $6.67 \mathrm{a}$ Grain width $3.21 \mathrm{bd}$ $2.96 \mathrm{e}$ $3.2 \mathrm{bde}$ $3.13 \mathrm{bde}$ $2.97 \mathrm{e}$ $3.57 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.58 \mathrm{ab}$ $3.66 \mathrm{a}$ $5.83 \mathrm{ab}$ $3.56 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.56 \mathrm{abcd}$ Grain thickness $2.88 \mathrm{c}$ $2.74 \mathrm{d}$ $2.89 \mathrm{c}$ $2.78 \mathrm{d}$ $2.82 \mathrm{cd}$ $3.57 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.58 \mathrm{ab}$ $3.66 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.53 \mathrm{ab}$ $3.43 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.53 \mathrm{ab}$ Thousand grain weight $38.85 \mathrm{bc}$ $35.35 \mathrm{d}$ $40.65 \mathrm{bb}$ $2.82 \mathrm{cd}$ $48.79 \mathrm{a}$ $49.74 \mathrm{a}$ $3.35 \mathrm{abc}$ $3.38 \mathrm{abc}$ Glume length $8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.07$ $9.6 \mathrm{cd}$ Glume width $4.87 \mathrm{abcd}$ $4.54 \mathrm{abcdefg}$ $4.84 \mathrm{abcdef}$ $4.69 \mathrm{aceg}$ $4.93 \mathrm{abc}$ $4.95 \mathrm{abc}$ Glume beak length $1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.02 2.02 2.07 2.23 1.7$ $1.7 \mathrm{cmma}$ $1.7 \mathrm{cmma}$ Lemma length $1.92 1.88 1.63 10.66 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5$ $1.7 \mathrm{cd}$ $4.93 \mathrm{abc}$ Jume width $4.98 \mathrm{abc}$ $4.9 \mathrm{abc}$ $4.93 \mathrm{abc}$ $4.95 \mathrm{abc}$ $4.95 \mathrm{abc}$ Glume beak length $1.92 10.5 10.56 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5$	Spike weight	3.34 c	2.54 d	3.24 c	2.62 d	2.66 d	4.63 ab	4.66 ab	4.56 ab	5.6 a	4.24 bc
Grain width 3.21 bd 2.96 3.2 bde 3.13 bde 2.97 e 3.57 abc 3.58 ab 3.66 a 3.58 ab 3.56 ab 3.56 ab 3.56 abGrain thickness 2.88 c 2.74 d 2.89 c 2.78 d 2.82 cd 3.22 b 3.33 ab 3.4 a 3.53 ab 3.53 abThousand grain weight 38.85 bc 35.35 d 40.65 b 35.23 d 36.68 cd 48.79 a 49.74 a 50.67 a 49.31 a 48.95 aGlume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.6 Glume width 4.87 abcd 4.74 abcdefg 4.84 abcdef 4.69 aceg 4.93 abcd 4.95 abc 4.95 abcGlume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 1.92 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 1.7 Lemma width $4,98$ abc $4,9$ abcde $4,9$ abc 5.03 a 5.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma width $4,98$ abc $4,9$ abcde $4,93$ abcde 5.03 a 5.07 2.23 1.7	Grain length	6.12 bc	6.22 bc	6.78 a	6.16 c	6.23 bc	6.74 a	6.47 ab	6.62 a	6.88 a	6.67 a
Grain thickness $2.88c$ $2.74d$ $2.89c$ $2.78d$ $2.82cd$ $3.22b$ $3.33ab$ $3.4a$ $3.35ab$ $3.38ab$ Thousand grain weight $38.85bc$ $35.35d$ $40.65b$ $35.23d$ $36.68cd$ $48.79a$ $49.74a$ $50.67a$ $49.31a$ $48.95a$ Glume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 $8.79a$ $49.74a$ $50.67a$ $49.31a$ $48.95a$ Glume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.66 Glume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 1.92 10.26 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width $4,98abc$ $4,69bdf$ $4,9abc$	Grain width	3.21 bd	2.96 e	3.2 bde	3.13 bde	2.97 e	3.57 abc	3.58 ab	3.66 a	3.58 ab	3.56 abcd
Thousand grain weight 38.35 bc 35.35 d 40.65 b 35.23 d 36.68 cd 48.79 a 49.74 a 50.67 a 49.31 a 48.95 aGlume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.6 Glume width 4.87 abcd 4.54 e 4.74 abcdefg 4.84 abcdef 4.69 aceg 4.93 abcd 5.00 a 4.98 ab 4.85 abcd 4.95 abcGlume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 10.32 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width $4,98$ abc $4,69$ bdf $4,87$ abcde $4,9$ abc $5,03$ a 5 abc $4,85$ abcdef $4,9$ abc	Grain thickness	2.88 c	2.74 d	2.89 с	2.78 d	2.82 cd	3.22 b	3.33 ab	3.4 a	3.35 ab	3.38 ab
Glume length 8.79 8.72 9.07 8.84 9.22 9.27 8.83 9.07 9.5 9.6 Glume width 4.87 abcd 4.54 4.74 abcdefg 4.84 abcdef 4.69 accg 4.93 abcd 5.00 4.98 ab 4.85 abcde 4.95 abcGlume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 10.32 10.26 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width 4.98 abc 4.69 bdf 4.87 abcde 4.9 abc 5.03 5 abc 4.85 abcdef 4.9 abc	Thousand grain weight	38.85 bc	35.35 d	40.65 b	35.23 d	36.68 cd	48.79 a	49.74 a	50.67 a	49.31 a	48.95 a
Glume width 4.87 abcd 4.54 e 4.74 abcdefg 4.89 abcdef 4.99 abcd 5.00 a 4.98 ab 4.85 abcde 4.95 abc Glume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 10.32 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width 4,98 abc 4,69 bdf 4,87 abcde 4,9 abc 5,03 a 5 abc 4,85 abcdef 4,9 abc	Glume length	8.79	8.72	9.07	8.84	9.22	9.27	8.83	9.07	9.5	9.6
Glume beak length 1.92 1.88 1.63 2.04 2.21 1.92 2 2.07 2.23 1.7 Lemma length 10.32 10.26 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width 4,98 abc 4,69 bdf 4,87 abcde 4,9 abc 4,87 abcde 5,03 a 5 abc 4,85 abcdef 4,9 abcd	Glume width	4.87 abcd	4.54 e	4.74 abcdefg	4.84 abcdef	4.69 aceg	4.93 abcd	5.00 a	4.98 ab	4.85 abcde	4.95 abc
Lemma length 10.32 10.26 10.47 10.06 10.33 10.65 9.83 10.5 10.5 10.5 Lemma width 4,98 abc 4,69 bdf 4,87 abcde 4,9 abcde 5,03 a 5 abc 4,85 abcdef 4,9 abcde	Glume beak length	1.92	1.88	1.63	2.04	2.21	1.92	2	2.07	2.23	1.7
Lemma width 4,98 abc 4,69 bdf 4,87 abcde 4,9 abc 4,87 abcde 5,03 a 5 ab 5 abc 4,85 abcdef 4,9 abcd	Lemma length	10.32	10.26	10.47	10.06	10.33	10.65	9.83	10.5	10.5	10.5
	Lemma width	4,98 abc	4,69 bdf	4,87 abcde	4,9 abc	4,87 abcde	5,03 a	5 ab	5 abc	4,85 abcdef	4,9 abcd

oasis exhibited the highest values (94.7). The commercial varieties showed mean values of 58.2 for Anza and 54.9 for HD 1220.

Distribution of the variation for grain traits between regions and among oases and landraces

Landraces with the highest thousand-grain weight originated from the Adrar region (46.40 g). Among them, those from Timmi, Tamantit, Bouda, Tsabit and Adrar had heavier grains. Landraces from the oases of Tamanrasset and In Amguel had the lowest thousand-grain weight (35.23 g). Thousand grain weight ranged from 26.97 g for Hanafi T29 from the In Amguel oasis (Tamanrasset region) to 55.26 g for Chater A23 from the Adrar oasis. Anza and HD 1220 presented respectively average values of 39.05 and 41.16 g.

The longest grains were observed in landraces from the oases of the Adrar region (6.72 mm) and the shortest grains in landraces from the In Amguel oasis of the Tamanrasset region (6.16 mm). Zeghloul A63 from the Timimoun oasis (Adrar region) had the longest grains (7.35 mm) and Bent el Hamra T13 from the Tamanrasset oasis the shortest ones (5.94 mm). Anza and HD 1220 had fairly long grains (6.31 and 6.43 mm).

The landraces from the Timmi oasis (Adrar region) had the largest grains (3.66 mm) and those from the oases of Ideles and Tamanrasset (Tamanrasset region) the narrowest (2.96 mm). The widest grains (3.82 mm) were observed in Chater A23 from the Timmi oasis (Adrar region). Anza, T50, T57 and T54 have the narrowest grains (2.34 mm) (Table S1).

The landraces from the oases of the Adrar region had, in average, thickest grains (3.15 mm) than those from the oases of the Tamanrasset region (2.81 mm). Chater A23 and El-Farh A 27 from the Timmi oasis had the thickest grains (3.51 mm) and Hanafi T29 from In Amguel the thinnest ones (2.53 mm). Grain thickness of the commercial varieties HD 1220 and Anza was 2.66 and 2.69 mm, respectively.

Distribution of the variation for glume and lemma traits between regions and among oases and landraces

Regions and oases did not significantly differ for the length of the glume. However, highly significant differences existed among landraces. The length of the glume varied from 7.95 mm for Baida T09 from In Amguel (Tamanrasset region) to 11.06 mm for Zeghloul A63 from Timminoun (Adrar region).

Significant variations between regions and oases were noted for the width of the glume. Landraces from the Tamantit oasis (Adrar region) had, in average, the widest glumes (5 mm). Manga T22 from the Abalessa oasis (Tamanrasset region) however presented the highest value for this trait (5.10 mm). The narrowest glumes were recorded in the Tamanrasset oasis landraces (4.54 mm). Hanafi T29 from In Amguel oasis had the narrowest glumes (3.95 mm). The varieties Anza and HD 1220, with a width of 4.80 mm, were grouped together.

No significant differences were found between the two regions and among the oases for the beak length trait. Nevertheless, significant differences among landraces were recorded. The longest glume beak (7.4 mm) was observed in the commercial variety HD 1220. Among Saharan wheat landraces, the highest value (3.4 mm) was found in Ras el Mouch T50 from the Ideles oasis of the Tamanrasset region. The shortest beak (1.05 mm) was observed in Mekkaouiya A43 from the Timimoun oasis of the Adrar region.

No significant differences were noted between oases for the length of the lemma. However, significant differences ($P \le 0.001$) among landraces were observed. The commercial variety HD 1220 as well as Zeghloul A63 from the Timimoun oasis (Adrar) were characterized by the longest lemma length (11.50 mm). The shortest lemma (7.95 mm) was noted in Baida T09 from In Amguel oasis (Tamanrasset).

The widest lemmas were observed in landraces from the Adrar oasis (4.94 mm). The narrowest (4.69 mm) were found in the Tamanrasset oasis. The commercial variety HD 1220 presented the widest lemmas (5.65 mm) while the narrowest (4.20 mm) were noted in Bent el Hamra T12 from the Tamanrasset oasis.

Correlations among traits

The phenotypic correlations among the different traits are presented in Table 4. Thousand grain weight was highly positively correlated with weight spike, number of grains per spike, spike widths (face and profile), grain length, grain width and thickness, glume width and width lemma and highly negatively associated with number of fertile tillers per plant and

SW V 1,000 SP 0,912**** TU 0,913**** TU 0,933**** L 0,033**** L 0,033**** L 0,033**** L 0,033**** L 0,033**** L 0,033****	NGP 1 1.000 0.672**** -0.875**** -0.375*** -0.308* -0.308* -0.407*** -0.475*** -0.475*** -0.475*** -0.130* 0.664*** 0.547*** 0.547***	GW 1.000 -0.786*** -0.323* -0.083 0.088 0.088 0.718*** 0.738****	NTP 1.000 0.408*** 0.131 0.131 0.032 0.508*** 0.508***	STL 1.000 0.849***	=		S	ц	WP SV	VF	SS	RL	RW 0	BRT	D TE	W BI	LG LL		I W
 V 1,000 P 0.912**** P 0.912**** P 0.933*** P - 0.933*** T - 0.388** - 0.134* - 0.134 I - 0.025 - 0.033 	1.000 0.672**** - 0.875**** - 0.375*** - 0.375** - 0.275* - 0.275* - 0.17** 0.664*** 0.664***	1.000 -0.786*** -0.323* -0.323* -0.083 0.088 -0.067*** -0.253 0.718***	1.000 0.408*** 0.408*** 0.131 0.032 0.508*** 0.508***	1.000 0.849**** 0.735***															
 p. 0.912*** y.W. 0.881*** T0.938*** T0.388** -0.134 L0.134 L0.251*** -0.053 	1.000 0.672*** -0.875*** -0.308* -0.308* -0.275* -0.275* -0.407*** 0.664***	1.000 -0.786*** -0.323* -0.323* -0.088 0.088 -0.667*** -0.253 0.718***	1.000 0.408*** 0.131 0.032 0.508*** 0.508**** 0.508****	1.000 0.849*** 0.735***															
 W 0.881*** T -0.933*** T -0.388** -0.134 -0.134 L -0.025 - 0.083 	0.672*** -0.875*** -0.475*** -0.308* -0.308* -0.407*** -0.407*** 0.407*** 0.664*** 0.664***	1.000 -0.786*** -0.323* -0.083 0.088 -0.667*** -0.567*** 0.738***	1.000 0.408*** 0.131 0.032 0.508**** 0.508**** 0.001 - 0.570****	1.000 0.849*** 0.735***															
TP -0.933*** TL -0.9338** -0.134 -0.134 L -0.134 -0.134 -0.025 L -0.063	-0.875**** -0.475**** -0.308* -0.208* -0.215* -0.407*** -0.407*** 0.64****	$\begin{array}{l} -0.786^{***}\\ -0.786^{***}\\ -0.323^{*}\\ -0.083\\ 0.088\\ -0.667^{***}\\ -0.253\\ 0.718^{***}\\ 0.738^{***}\end{array}$	1.000 0.408*** 0.131 0.032 0.508**** 0.001 - 0.570****	1.000 0.849*** 0.735***															
L -0.388** -0.134 -0.134 L -0.025 - -0.551*** - -0.083	 - 0.475**** - 0.308* - 0.308* - 0.275* - 0.407*** 0.664**** 0.847*** 	- 0.323* - 0.083 0.088 - 0.667*** - 0.253 0.718*** 0.718***	0.408*** 0.131 0.032 0.508**** 0.001 - 0.570****	1.000 0.849*** 0.735***															
- 0.134 L - 0.025 - 0.551****	- 0.308* - 0.275* - 0.407** - 0.130 0.664*** 0.847***	- 0.083 0.088 - 0.667*** - 0.253 0.718***	0.131 0.032 0.508*** 0.508*** 0.001 - 0.570***	0.849*** 0.735***															
L -0.025 -0.551***	- 0.275* - 0.407** - 0.130 0.664*** 0.847***	0.088 - 0.667**** - 0.253 0.718***	0.032 0.508*** 0.508*** 0.001 - 0.570***	0.735***	1.000														
- 0.551***	- 0.407** - 0.130 0.664*** 0.847***	- 0.667*** - 0.253 0.718*** 0.738***	0.508*** 0.001 -0.570*** -0.782***		0.942^{***}	1.000													
- 0.083	- 0.130 0.664*** 0.847***	- 0.253 0.718*** 0.738***	0.001 - 0.570*** - 0.782***	0.274*	0.001	-0.178	1.000												
	0.664*** 0.847***	0.718*** 0.738***	- 0.570*** - 0.782***	0.489^{***}	0.617***	0.554^{***}	0.199	1.000											
VP 0.678***	0.847***	0.738^{***}	-0.782^{***}	- 0.543***	-0.491^{***}	-0.404^{**}	- 0.475***	- 0.737***	1.000										
VF 0.829***	10000			-0.545^{***}	- 0.385**	-0.348^{**}	-0.496^{***}	-0.386^{**}	0.806***	1.000									
S 0.135	0.40/**	- 0.087	-0.108	-0.141	-0.370**	- 0.479***	0.318*	-0.201	0.285^{*}	0.124	1.000								
3L 0.578***	0.423**	0.642***	- 0.492***	-0.412^{**}	- 0.205	-0.081	-0.613^{***}	- 0.284*	0.511***	0.566***	- 0.268*	1.000							
RW 0.781***	0.727^{***}	0.740^{***}	-0.738^{***}	-0.290*	- 0.100	0.038	- 0.482***	- 0.031	0.503^{***}	0.617^{***}	0.096	0.298*	1.000						
RT 0.846***	0.677***	0.926^{***}	-0.763^{***}	-0.355^{**}	- 0.156	-0.025	-0.563***	- 0.322*	0.775***	0.782***	- 0.027	0.543^{***}	0.680^{***}	1.000					
0.151	0.108	0.125	- 0.123	- 0.097	0.074	-0.008	- 0.207	- 0.026	0.174	0.413^{**}	-0.407^{**}	0.397^{**}	-0.049	0.206	1.000				
W 0.540***	0.404^{**}	0.621^{***}	- 0.462***	-0.031	0.125	0.208	-0.331*	- 0.029	0.432**	0.438^{***}	- 0.008	0.177	0.594^{***}	0.596***	0.098	1.000			
G - 0.115	- 0.073	-0.167	0.098	-0.013	- 0.064	-0.194	0.054	- 0.032	0.011	0.155	-0.180	0.118	-0.398^{**}	- 0.009	0.536***	-0.070	1.000		
0.229	0.121	0.229*	- 0.225	- 0.056	0.147	0.141	-0.380*	0.214	0.007	0.301 *	-0.491^{***}	0.527^{***}	0.064	0.161	0.692^{***}	0.001	0.275*	1.00	0
V 0.530***	0.498^{***}	0.545***	-0.485^{***}	-0.084	0.042	0.050	-0.268*	- 0.105	0.465^{***}	0.534^{***}	0.223	0.118	0.511^{***}	0.530^{***}	0.166	0.807*** -	- 0.036	0.01	7
JT - 0.672***	- 0.519***	- 0.759***	0.595***	0.380**	0.159	-0.040	0.602^{***}	0.326^{*}	-0.569***	- 0.559***	0.160	- 0.686***	- 0.593***	- 0.679***	- 0.005	-0.361^{**}	0.207 -	0.136	- 0.
egree of free	dom = 53.	$\alpha 0.05 =$	0.2663.	$\alpha 0.01 =$	0.3454.0	x 0.001 = 0).4326												
W: spike wei	ght; NGP:	number	of grair	is per sp	ike; TGV	V: thousar	id grains	weight;	NTP: nu	nber of 1	ertile till	ers per p	lant; STJ	: stem l	ength; I	L: intern	nodes l	engt	h;]
ternode leng	th; NI: nu	umber of	interno	des; SL:	spike le	ngth; SWI	P: spike v	vidth (pr	ofile); S'	VF: spik	e width (face); N	SS: num	ber of sp	ikelets	per spike	S; GRL	: gra	Ē.

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

number of internodes. Stem length, internodes length and last internode length were closely associated. However, Manga T22 and Manga T42 from the Abalessa and Ideles oases, respectively, showed a slightly higher last internode to stem height ratio (data not shown). The number of grains per spike showed a highly significant positive association with spike width, grain width, grain thickness, lemma width and highly significant negative association with number of fertile tillers per plant and stem length. Highly significant negative correlations were noted between the number of fertile tillers per plant and spike width, grain length, grain width, grain thickness, glume width, lemma width. The number of fertile tillers per plant and the number of internodes were highly significantly positively associated.

Relationship between the morphology of landraces and altitude of their origin

Altitude was highly significantly and negatively correlated with spike weight, number of grains per spike, thousand weight grains, spike width, grain length, grain width and thickness grain, and significantly positively associated with stem length, number of fertile tillers per plant, number of internodes and spike length (Table 4).

The first two principal components of the Principal Component Analysis explained 72.3% of the total variation (Table 5). The first component (PC1) explained 51.17% and the second component (PC2) 21.14% of this variation. The first axis was positively correlated with spike weight, number of grains per spike, thousand grain weight, spike profile width, spike face width, grain length, grain width, grain thickness, and negatively with the number of fertile tillers per plant, stem height, number of internodes, and altitude (Fig. 1). PC1 mainly opposed landraces from the Adrar region (low altitudes) which more grains per spike, and higher spike weight and thousand grains weight, compared to the landraces from the Tamanrasset region (high altitudes) (Fig. 2). The landraces from low altitudes were also characterized by wider spikes (face and profile), very long, wide and thick grains, short stems and a low number of fertile tillers per plant and internodes. Landraces from Adrar (low altitudes) Bel Mabrouk A18, Chater A23,

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5
Eigen value	7.68	3.17	1.55	0.87	0.49
% of variance	51.17	21.14	10.37	5.82	3.26
Cumulative %	51.17	72.32	82.68	88.50	91.76
Traits					
PEP	0.92	0.28	0.22	- 0.06	0.09
NGE	0.87	0.02	0.42	- 0.10	0.12
PMG	0.88	0.35	- 0.10	0.13	- 0.06
NTP	- 0.86	- 0.28	- 0.27	0.19	- 0.05
HTG	- 0.62	0.58	0.17	0.41	0.15
LEN	- 0.42	0.85	0.09	0.21	0.12
LCE	- 0.30	0.92	-0.04	0.17	- 0.02
NEN	- 0.62	- 0.37	0.43	0.04	0.11
LGE	- 0.42	0.60	0.42	- 0.49	0.06
LAE	0.86	- 0.25	- 0.11	0.39	0.03
EPE	0.92	- 0.05	0.04	- 0.01	0.10
NEP	0.15	- 0.52	0.70	0.29	0.18
LGG	0.65	0.14	- 0.50	- 0.19	0.43
LAG	0.74	0.30	0.29	- 0.04	-0.41
EPG	0.88	0.23	- 0.06	0.21	-0.08
ALT	- 0.72	- 0.22	0.26	- 0.01	0.03

El-Farh A27, El Moumna A30, Mekkaouiya A44, Oum Mrakba A47, Sebaga A53 and Oum Mrakba Ti03 were opposed to Bent-Hamra T11, Bent el Hamra T12 and Manga T43 from the oasis of Tamanrasset. The second axis was positively correlated to internodes, last internode and spike lengths, and negatively correlated to the number of spikelets per spike. It opposed landraces from Tamanrasset with long spikes, a reduced number of spikelets and long internodes and last internode as Manga T22, T31, T32, T42 and T51, to the landraces Bent M'Barek T13, Skandria T56, Houiya T30 and Baida T09 also originating from Tamanrasset.

Traits heritability

Genetic parameters and variance for the 21 traits are presented in Table 6. Genotypic variance ranged from 0.04 (width lemma) to 250.14 (spike length) and phenotypic variance ranged from 0.05 (thickness grain, width lemma) to 266.07 (spike length). Both Fig. 1 Graphical representation of the correlations between the quantitative variables of Saharan bread wheat landraces and the first two axes of the principal component analysis. SW: spike weight; NGP: number of grains per spike; TGW: thousand grains weight; NTP: number of fertile tillers per plant; STL: stem length; IL: internodes length; LIL: last internode length; NI: number of internodes; SL: spike length; SWP: spike width (profile); SWF: spike width (face); NSS: number of spikelets per spike; GRL: grains length; GRW: grain width; GRT: grain thickness; ALT: altitude



1.0 **AIL** ΊL STL SL 0.5 TGW W GRT GRI NGP 0.0 ŝw SWP NTE NSS -0.5 -1.0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 5.0 T31 T42 T32 _T22 T51 2.5 T16 Ti01 T39 A43Ti02 _Ti03 _A62 _A48 Ă08 A47 A23 A30 T12 0.0 A44 T43 19 ^DT28 A18 ^ъто4^ъто2 T7023 T06 T24 ^DA53 T50 ^DT08 -2.5 ^DT03 T280 T09 **₽13**6 -5 0 5

the phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variance were low to high. Broad sense heritability ranged from 38.8% (stem length) to 94.0% (spike length). It was high (>60\%) for number of internodes, number

Table 6 Genetic parameters and variance for 21 traits of Saharan bread wheat landraces

Trait	Range	Mean	σ²g	σ²p	$h^{2}_{bs}(\%)$	GCV	PCV	GA	GAM
						(%)	(%)		(%)
Plant height	77.51-115.45	95.78	50.00	102.13	48.96	7.38	10.55	10.19	10.64
Stem length	65.03-101.57	84.8	32.13	82.90	38.76	6.68	10.74	7.27	8.57
Internodes length	28.57-45.52	37.35	7.23	16.02	45.14	7.20	10.72	3.72	9.96
Number of internodes	3.89-4.99	4.59	0.05	0.07	71.43	4.87	5.76	0.39	8.50
Last internode length	9.25-23.58	16.33	5.86	11.10	52.76	14.82	20.40	3.62	22.17
Number of fertile tillers per plant	5.81-26.77	15.99	28.58	31.41	91.00	33.43	35.05	10.51	65.73
Spike length	68.86–139.65	97.24	250.14	266.07	94.01	16.26	16.77	31.59	32.49
Spike width (face)	9.02-18.19	13.27	3.95	4.47	88.26	14.98	15.93	3.84	28.94
Spike width (profile)	8.98-17.91	12.11	3.68	4.00	91.99	15.84	16.52	3.79	31.30
Number of spikelets per spike	17.14-24.98	21.41	2.08	2.85	73.11	6.74	7.89	2.54	11.86
Spike weight	1.64-5.60	31.1	0.69	0.83	83.64	2.67	2.93	1.57	5.05
Number of grains per spike	41.34–94.72	63.45	120.18	157.13	76.48	17.28	19.76	19.75	31.10
Thousand grains weight	26.97-55.26	39.03	28.14	33.89	83.03	13.59	14.92	9.96	25.52
Length grain	5.94-7.35	6.34	0.08	0.09	88.24	4.46	4.73	0.55	8.68
Width grain	2.34-3.82	3.14	0.08	0.14	59.26	9.01	11.92	0.46	14.65
Thickness grain	2.53-3.55	2.89	0.05	0.05	90.00	7.74	7.74	0.41	14.19
Length glume	7.95–11.06	9.03	0.32	0.39	80.77	6.26	6.92	1.04	11.52
Width glume	3.95-5.10	4.77	0.05	0.06	75.00	4.69	5.14	0.38	7.97
Beak length of the glume	1.05-7.40	2.08	0.73	0.81	90.06	41.08	43.27	1.67	80.29
Length lemma	9.50-11.50	10.32	0.15	0.24	62.50	3.75	4.75	0.63	6.10
Width lemma	4.20-5.65	4.89	0.04	0.05	70.00	4.09	4.57	0.32	6.54

 σ_{g}^{2} genotypic variance, σ_{p}^{2} phenotypic variance, h^{2}_{bs} (%) broad sense heritability, GCV (%) genotypic coefficients of variation, PCV (%) phenotypic coefficients of variation, GA genetic advance, GAM genetic advance as percentage of the mean

of fertile tillers per plant, spike length, width and weight, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, thousand grain weight, grain length and thickness, glume length and width and glume beak length. Genetic advance as percentage of mean ranged from low (5.05) for spike weight to high (80.3%) for glume beak length. Genetic advance as percentage of mean was high (>20) for last internode length, number of fertile tillers per plant, spike length, spike width, number of grains per spike, thousand grains weight and beak length of the glume.

Discussion

A huge variation was observed among landraces for all the studied traits. These results confirmed previous studies from Ducellier (1920, 1929) and Erroux (1952, 1958, 1962 and 1991) which emphasized the high number of forms grown in the Saharan oases. A high number of botanical forms associated to different morphological characteristics has also been reported by Zaharieva et al. (2014) and Oumata et al. (2020). This morphological variation is likely to be due to the high diversity of wheats introduced in the oasis as well as from interspecific crosses (Zaharieva et al. 2015). Compactoid wheat is expected to derive from crosses between bread wheat and compact wheat (T. aeastivum ssp. compactum (Host) MacKey). The oldest remains of compact wheat were found in the site of Tell Ramad in Syria (7,000 BCE) (Renfrew 1969). The presence of this sub-species was reported in Egypt from the 5th Millenium BCE (site of Merimdah Beni Salame) (Renfrew 1969) and was cultivated in this country probably until 2,500 BCE (site of Afyeh) (Chowdhury and Buth 1971). Saharan speltoid forms have many traits in common with spelt wheat (Triticum spelta L.). The speltoid wheat landraces present in the Saharan oasis could consequently have originated from intermediate forms, with semi-adherent glumes and spikes difficult to thresh, already reported in Turkestan, Iran and Afghanistan by Vavilov (1987).

Internode, glume and glume beak length did not vary between regions and among oases. Conversely, a high genetic variance was observed for plant height, stem length, number of fertile tillers per plant, spike length, number of grain per spike and thousand grains weight, suggesting a broad variation for these traits. Biomass and grain yield related traits like plant height, number of fertile tillers per plant, spike weight, spike length, number of grains per spike and thousand grain weight highly differed between regions and among oases. The distribution of this variation between the two considered regions and among oases is likely to be due to the selection made by farmers among these introductions, according to the specific climatic conditions of the environment (Pecetti and Damania 1996).

Saharan wheat landraces are generally tall. Farmers in the oases are highly interested by long stems, as straw is generally used for livestock feeding (Erroux 1962). Some few short statured forms were found, in agreement to Zhukovsky (1964). Some Saharan landraces have heavy grains, compared to commercial varieties. Erroux (1962) already reported high thousand grain weight in the Saharan landraces Fartass and El Hamra. In the present study, high thousand grain weight was mainly noted in landraces from the Adrar region, as already reported by Merdas (2012). The number of grains per spike was high, in good agreement with observations of Ducellier (1920), Erroux (1962) and Merdas (2012) in Algeria and Ciferri and Garavini (1941) in Libya. Interestingly, among the landraces, number of grains per spike and thousand-grain weight were positively associated.

Several associations between the traits related to the yield components were noted, some of them having been reported in other conditions. Stem length was significantly and negatively correlated with thousand grain weight as reported by Mahmood and Shahid (1993). The number of spikelets per spike was positively correlated with the number of grains per spike, in accordance with Akram et al. (2008) and Baye et al. (2020). However, spike length was not correlated with yield components in contrast to what was reported by Ali et al. (2008), Uddin et al. (2015) and Xhulaj et al. (2019). This is likely to be due to the presence, among Saharan wheat, of two differents forms, with different spike compacity and fertility.

Principal component analysis revealed that the morphological variation was influenced by altitude.

Landraces originating from the Adrar region (low altitudes) are opposed to landraces originating from the Tamanrasset region (high altitudes). Climatic factors of these regions could have contributed in a nonnegligible proportion in the arrangement of this intra and inter-regional variability (Oumata et al. 2020). Interestingly, Saharan landraces from low altitudes oases of Adrar had short stems and less fertile tillering but very wide, short and heavy spikes with more grains per spike and higher thousand-grain weight. These landraces included the compactoid landrace Bel Mabrouk previously mentioned by Erroux (1962) for its high yield. According to Sattar et al. (2010) and Altuhaish et al. (2014) reduced plant height and number of tillers in low altitude areas could be due to higher temperatures. Conversely, landraces from the high altitude Tamanrasset oases were tall, with fertile and had long lax spikes. They included the speltoid landraces El Hamra and Manga. Erroux (1962) already distinguished within the Saharan landraces, "speltoid" and "compactoid" forms differing for their spike characteristics.

The phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient variance (GCV) for plant height, stem length, internodes length, last internode length, number of grains per spike and thousand grain weight, suggesting that environmental factors strongly influenced the expression of these agronomical traits. Sareen et al. (2018) found similar results for thousand grain weight. Spike weight, grain length and thickness, glume length and lemma width were much less affected by environmental conditions. Moderate heritability was observed for plant height, stem length and internodes length. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean were recorded for number of fertile tillers per plant, spike length and width, number of grains per spike, thousand grain weight and beak length of the glume. This indicated that the genotypic component was highly responsible for the total variation and suggested that these traits could be easily transmitted by selection from parents to the progeny. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was also reported for both traits by number of grains per spike and thousand grain by Meena et al. (2014), Balkan (2018), Farooq et al. (2019) and Yacoubi et al. (2020). It was also noted for number of grains per spike by Balkan (2018) and for thousand grain weight by Singh et al. (2018).

Oumata et al. (2020) reported that the maintenance of highly diverse landraces by oases farmers meets social, economic, cultural and environmental needs. These landraces also represent a tremendous source of germplasm for breeding programs. In addition to their earliness (Erroux 1962) and tolerance to abiotic stresses (Zaharieva et al. 2014), the oases wheats exhibit a serie of useful morphological traits.

Landraces from the Adrar region could represent a particularly valuable source of germplasm to improve number of grains per spike and thousand grain weight in breeding programs. Mekkaouiya A44 from the Bouda oasis and Chater A23 from the Adrar oasis appear to be promising progenitors to increase number of grains per spike and thousand grain weight, respectively. Both traits are of interest to improve yield in modern varieties (Munir et al. 2007; Khan and Hassan 2017). Moreover, the use of these traits in breeding programs should be facilitated by their high heritability coupled with high genetic advance.

Genetic advance as percentage of mean was also high for last internode length, a trait that was reported to be closely associated to yield under drought stress conditions (Modarresi et al. 2010; Schnyder 1993; Wang et al. 2001) considered that last internode has an important role in CO^2 assimilation. Ehdaie et al. (2006) and Merah et al. (2018) mentioned that remobilization of photosynthates stored in last internode has an important participation in grain filling under terminal drought conditions. Mursalova et al. (2015) and Farooq et al. (2018) suggested the use of this trait as an indirect selection criterion for improving drought tolerance.

Manga T22 and Manga T42 from the Abalessa and Ideles oases, respectively, which had a high last internode to stem height ratio could be used for manipulating this trait in breeding programs. The Manga landraces were reported to have a good lodging resistance (Erroux 1962, 1991) and to be resistant to wind and birds attacks (Oumata et al. 2020).

Conclusions

The study confirmed the high variation of morphological traits in wheat landraces from the Saharan oases, a consequence of crosses that occurred over time between different forms (an even different species) introduced in these environments. High heritability and genetic advance were recorded among Saharan oases landraces for yield components like spike fertility and thousand grain weight, suggesting a possible use of this germplasm to improve those traits in breeding programs. It also emphasizes the key role of Saharan farmers in the maintenance and conservation of wheat adapted to the harsh conditions of the oases and the importance of improving our knowledge of this germplasm that could help to mitigate the effects of climate change on the wheat production.

Funding The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other supports were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Akram Z, Ajmal SU, Munir M (2008) Estimation of correlation coefficient among some yield parameters of wheat under rainfed conditions. Pak J Bot 40(4):1777–1781
- Ali Y, Atta BM, Akhter J, Monneveux P, Lateef Z (2008) Genetic variability, association and diversity studies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. Pak J Bot 40(5):2087–2097
- Allard RW (1960) Principle of plant breeding. Wiley, New York, p 264
- Altuhaish AAK, Miftahudin, Trikoesoemaningtyas, Yahia S (2014) Field Adaptation of Some Introduced Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L,) Genotypes in two altitudes of tropical Agro-Ecosystem environment of Indonesia. HAYATI J Biosci 21(1):31–38
- Balkan A (2018) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in M ₂₋₄ generations of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Turk J Field Crops 23(2):173–179
- Baye A, Berihun B, Bantayehu M, Derebe B (2020) Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield-related traits in advanced bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) lines. J Cogent Food Agric 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2020.1752603
- Benbelkacem A (1996) Adaptation of cereal cultivars to extreme agroecologic environments of North Africa. Field Crops Res 45(1–3):49–55
- Chevalier A (1932) Ressources végétales du Sahara et de ses confins nord et sud. Museum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, Laboratoire d'Agronomie Coloniale (in French)
- Chourghal N, Lhomme JP, Huard F, Aidaoui A (2016) Climate change in Algeria and its impact on durum wheat. Reg Envriron Chang 16(6):1623–1634

- Chowdhury KA, Buth GM (1971) 4,500-year-old plant remains from Egyptian Nubia. Proc Indian Natn Sci Acad 38(1–2):55–71
- Ciferri R, Garavini M (1941) I cereali dell'Africa Italiana. III. I frumenti oasicoli del Sahara libico. Bibliotheca Agraria Coloniale 19, Regio Istituto Agronomico per l'Africa Italiana, Firenze (in Italian)
- De Arana M (1934) El Instituto de cerealicultura y los nuevos tipos de trigo. Agricultura 67:437–448 (in Spanish)
- Ducellier L (1929) Céréales recueillies par le Dr. R. Maire au cours de sa mission au Hoggar. Bull Soc Hist Nat Afr Nord 20:221–226 (in French)
- Ducellier L (1920) Les blés du Sahara, Bibliothèque du Colon de l'Afrique du Nord no 4, Alger (in French)
- Ehdaie B, Alloush GA, Madore MA, Waines JG (2006) Genotypic variation for stem reserves and mobilization in wheat. I. Postanthesis changes in internode dry matter. Crop Sci 46(2):735–746
- Erroux J(1952) Les blés des oasis, Bull Soc des Agriculteurs d'Algérie no 567 (in French)
- Erroux J(1958) Note sur les blés des oasis du Sahara Algérien, Bull Soc Hist Nat Afrique du Nord no 49 (in French)
- Erroux J (1962) Les blés des oasis Sahariennes, Mémoire no 7, Université d'Alger. Institut de Recherches Sahariennes. (in French)
- Erroux J (1991) Blé. In: Camps G (ed) Encyclopédie Berbere, Edi sud, Aubenas, pp 1526–1536 (in French)
- Farooq MU, Cheema AA, Ishaaq I, Zhu J (2018) Correlation and genetic component studies for peduncle length affecting grain yield in wheat. Int J of Advanced and Appl Sci 5(10):67–75
- Farooq MU, Ishaaq I, Maqbool R, Aslam I, Naqvi Smta, Mustafa S (2019)) Heritability, genetic gain and detection of gene action in hexaploid wheat for yield and its related attributes. AIMS Agric Food 4(1):56–72
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE (1955) Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron J 47:314–318
- Khan SA, Hassan G (2017) Heritability and correlation studies of yield and yield related traits in bread wheat. Sarhad J Agric 33(1):103–107
- Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. J Stat Softw 25(1):118
- Mahmood A, Shahid M (1993) inheritance and interrelation studies of some quantitative characteristics in wheat (*Triti*cum aestivum L.). Pak J of Agric Res 14(2):121–125
- Meena HS, Kumar D, Prasad SR (2014) Genetic variability and character association in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian J Agric Sci 84(4):487–491
- Merah O, Evon P, Monneveux P (2018) Participation of green organs to grain filling in *Triticum turgidum* var durum Grown under Mediterranean conditions. Int J Mol Sci 19(56). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19010056
- Merdas S (2012) Caractérisation cytogénétique et morphophysiologique de quelques génotypes de blés sahariens. MSc, ENSA Alger, Algérie. (in French)
- Modarresi M, Mohammadi V, Zali A, Mardi M (2010) Response of wheat yield and yield related traits to high temperature. Cereal Res Com 38(1):23–31
- Mrabet R, Savé R, Toreti A, Caiola N, Chentouf M, Llasat MC, Mohamed AAA, Santeramo FG, Sanz-Cobena A,

Tsikliras A (2020) Food. In: Climate and environmental change in the Mediterranean Basin – Current situation and risks for the future. First Mediterranean Assessment Report, Cramer W, Guiot J, Marini K (eds), Union for the Mediterranean, Plan Bleu, UNEP/MAP, Marseille, France, 26pp

- Munir M, Chowdhry MA, Malik TA (2007) Correlation studies among yield and its components in bread wheat under drought conditions. Int J Agri Biol 9:287–290
- Mursalova J, Akparov Z, Ojaghi J, Eldarov M, Belen S, Gummadov N, Morgounov A (2015) Evaluation of drought tolerance of winter bread wheat genotypes under drip irrigation and rain-fed conditions. Turk J Agric Forestry 39:817–824
- Oumata S, David J, Mekliche-Hanifi L, Kharsi M, Zaharieva M, Monneveux P (2020) Oasis wheats of the South of Algeria: landraces, cultural practices and utilization. Genet Resour Crop Evol 67:325–337
- Pecetti L, Damania AB (1996) Geographic variation in tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *turgidum*, convar. *durum*) landraces from two provinces in Ethiopia. Genet Resour Crop Evol 43:395–407
- Renfrew JM (1969) The archaeological evidence for the domestication of plants: methods and problems. In: Uoko PJ, Dimbley GW (eds) The domestication and exploitation of plants and animals. Gerald Duckworth and Co Ltd, London
- Rodriguez T (1932) Notas zootecnicas. La Semana Veterinaria 801:300–301 (in Spanish)
- Sahnoune F, Belhamel M, Zelmat M, Kerbachi R (2013) Climate change in Algeria: vulnerability and strategy of mitigation and. adaptation Energy Procedia 36:1286–1294
- Sareen S, Bhusal N, Singh G, Tyagi BS, Tiwari V, Singh GP, Sarial AK (2018) Genetics of grain yield and its components in wheat under heat stress. Cereal Res Comm 46(3):448–459
- Sattar A, Cheema MA, Farooq M, Wahid MA, Wahid A, Babar BH (2010) Evaluating the performance of wheat cultivars under late sown conditions. Int J Agric Bio 4:561–565
- Schilling J, Hertig E, Tramblay Y (2020) Climate change vulnerability, water resources and social implications in North Africa. Reg Environ Change 20(15). https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10113-020-01597-7
- Schnyder H (1993) The role of carbohydrate storage and redistribution in the source-sink relations of wheat and barley during grain filling-a review. New Phytol 23(2):233–245
- Sekkoum K, Fouzi Tlhi M, Cheriti A, Bourmita Y, Belboukhari N, Boulenouar N, Taleb S (2012) In: Ning RY (ed) Water in Algerian Sahara: environmental and health impact. Advancing Desalination, Intertec Open, Rijeka, Croatia, pp 197–216
- Singh RK, Chaudhary BD (1985) Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetics Analysis. Ludhiana, Kalyani
- Singh G, Kumar P, Kumar R, Gangwar LK (2018) Genetic diversity analysis for various morphological and quality traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Appl and Nat Sci 10(1):24–29
- Touitou M, Abul Quasem AA (2018) Climate change and water resources in Algeria: vulnerability, impact and adaptation strategy. Econ and Env Studies 18(1):411–429

- Toutain G (1977) Elements d'agronomie saharienne. De la recherche au développement. INRA-GRET, Paris, p 276. (in French)
- Uddin F, Mohammad F, Ahmed S (2015) Genetic divergence in wheat recombinant inbred lines for yield and yield components. Acad J Agric Res 3(10):303–307
- Vaissie P, Monge A, Husson F (2017) Perform Factorial Analysis from « FactoMineR » with a Shiny Application. R package version 1.0.6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/web/ packages/Factoshiny/Factoshiny.pdf
- Vavilov NI (1987) Proizhojdenie i geografia kulturnyh rasteniy (Origin and geography of cultivated plants). Nauka, Leningrad. (in Russian)
- Wang ZM, Wei AL, Zheng DM (2001) Photosynthetic characteristics of non-leaf organs of winter wheat cultivars differing in ear type and their relationship with grain mass per ear. Photosynthetica 39(2):239–244
- Xhulaj D, Elezi F, Hobdari V (2019) Interrelationships among traits and morphological diversity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) accessions in base collection of Plant Genetic Resources Institute. Albania Acta Agriculturae Slovenica 113:n–1
- Yacoubi I, Nigro D, Sayar R, Masmoudi K, Seo YW, Brini F, Giove SL, Mangini G, Giancaspro A, Marcotuli I,

Colasuonno P, Gadaleta A (2020) New insight into the North-African durum wheat biodiversity: phenotypic variations for adaptive and agronomic traits. Genet Resour Crop Evol 67(2):445–455

- Zaharieva M, Bonjean A, Monneveux P (2014) Saharan wheats: before they disappear. Genet Resour Crop Evol 61:1065–1084
- Zaharieva M, Bonjean A, Monneveux P (2015) Alert: Saharan Oases wheat genetic resources in danger. In: Bonjean A, Angus WJ, Ginkel M (eds) The World Wheat Book, a history of wheat breeding, vol 3. Lavoisier Editor, Paris, pp 543–588
- Zhukovsky PM (1964) Kulturnye rasteniya i ikh sorodichi (Cultivated plants and their relatives). Kolos, Leningrad. (in Russian)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.