RESEARCH ARTICLE

Genetic diversity in local cultivars of garden pea (*Pisum* sativum L.) conserved 'on farm' and in historical collections

Jenny Hagenblad · Erik Boström · Lena Nygårds · Matti W. Leino

Received: 7 March 2013/Accepted: 9 September 2013/Published online: 4 October 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Abstract During a national Swedish collection mission of vegetable varieties conserved 'on farm' more than 70 pea accessions were obtained, many of which had been grown locally for more than 100 years. In spite of a likely origin in the multitude of obsolete commercial pea varieties available on the Swedish seed market in the nineteenth century, the rediscovered local cultivars have lost their original names and cultivar identity while being maintained 'on farm'. To analyze genetic diversity in the repatriated material, 20 accessions were genotyped with twelve SSR markers and compared with 15 obsolete

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10722-013-0046-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

J. Hagenblad · E. Boström Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

J. Hagenblad · M. W. Leino IFM-Biology, Linköping University, 581 83 Linköping, Sweden

L. Nygårds

Programme for Diversity of Cultivated Plants, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, PO Box 57, 230 53 Alnarp, Sweden

M. W. Leino (⊠) Swedish Museum of Cultural History, 643 98 Julita, Sweden e-mail: Matti.Leino@nordiskamuseet.se cultivars kept in genebanks and 13 cultivars preserved as non-viable seeds collected in 1877–1918. Most of the local cultivars were genetically distinct from each other, and in only a few cases could a possible origin in a tested obsolete cultivar be suggested. These results reflect the wide diversity of pea cultivars present in Sweden during the nineteenth century. Both between and within accession genetic diversity was larger among the historical samples of obsolete cultivars compared to local cultivars and cultivars preserved in genebanks, indicating genetic erosion over time both in genebanks and during conservation 'on farm'. The constraints on identifying and verifying historical cultivars using genetic markers are discussed.

Keywords Cultivar identification · Genetic differentiation · Pea · *Pisum sativum* L. · SSRs

Introduction

Peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) have a long cultivation history in the Fennoscandian countries—Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden. From its centre of domestication in the Middle East (Zohary et al. 2012), peas were probably introduced in Fennoscandia at the same time as cereals, around 6,000 BP (Hjelmqvist 1979). Peas are cultivated both in agriculture (field peas) and horticulture (garden peas) and are used for both for fodder and food, either grown to full maturity or eaten as a fresh vegetable. Historical records

suggest that the biodiversity of peas cultivated in Sweden in the nineteenth century was very large (Osvald 1959; Svensson 2004). The field peas grown were mostly landraces, i.e. genetically diverse and locally adapted, but lacking formal crop improvement (Camacho-Villa et al. 2005). In contrast, garden peas were often commercial varieties, resulting from mass selections made in continental Europe or in Britain and marketed in large areas of Northern Europe (Osvald 1959). In a review of commercial seed catalogues from Sweden during the period 1850-1970 more than 200 varieties of garden pea were listed (Svensson 2004). The vast majority of these, however, disappeared from the market before the 1950s and can be considered historical or obsolete cultivars. Although many obsolete pea cultivars were preserved in genebanks such as the Nordic Genetic Resource Centre (NordGen) in Sweden and John Innes Centre in England, a large proportion of the obsolete cultivars seem to have been lost and become extinct.

In 2002 the Swedish national programme for plant genetic resources began to inventory and collect 'on farm'-preserved vegetable seed. At present, over 200 accessions have been gathered, and of these more than 70 accessions are peas (Nygårds and Leino 2013). Garden peas can be sub-classified according to a few significant characteristics: seeds being smooth or wrinkled and pods with (shelling peas) or without (edible podded) pod-wall sclerenchyma (Blixt 1977). The collected material consisted mostly of wrinkled shelling peas but other types were also found. The accessions that were assembled had been preserved in small home gardens as "family varieties" or "heirlooms" for 70 years or more. Most likely these varieties originated in the wealth of foreign cultivars marketed in Sweden during the nineteenth and early twentieth century. However, the original identities, i.e. cultivar names, have unfortunately been lost over time. Instead the accessions have been given new names by the farmers, most often associated with the locality where the material had been maintained or an earlier curator of the heirloom. Because of their origin and history we will, in this article, call these accessions local cultivars.

In a recent study of field pea landraces in Sweden high within and between accession genetic diversity was found, even though signs of genetic drift in 'on farm' preserved material was also indicated (Leino et al. 2013). Local cultivars of garden peas, however, differ from field pea landraces by having a cultivar origin, being maintained in small populations and with no regular seed exchange. The extent of genetic diversity, especially for European horticultural crops, preserved 'on farm' is largely unknown (Veteläinen et al. 2009). Thus, one objective of this study was to analyze the range of genetic diversity that could be found in local garden pea cultivars maintained 'on farm' in Sweden, a country with a highly industrialized agriculture.

We also aimed to genetically compare the newly collected material with obsolete cultivars with a known identity, to, if possible, identify the cultivar origin of the local cultivars. As genebank holdings only represent a small part of the cultivars present on the market in the nineteenth and early twentieth century we complemented extant genebank accessions with historical seed material, stored at Swedish museums for a century and including historical cultivars not existing as extant material. The results show how 'on farm' preserved material reflects the large diversity of garden peas once cultivated.

Materials and methods

Materials

Local cultivars were provided by the Nordic Genetic Resource Center (NordGen). These had been collected 'on farm' in Sweden during 2002-2004. Twenty accessions known to have been locally cultivated for more than 70 (and often more than 100) years were selected. Fifteen obsolete cultivars frequently marketed in Sweden during the late nineteenth century (Svensson 2004) and preserved in genebanks were provided from NordGen (NGB) and John Innes Center (JIC), Norwich, UK. In addition, seeds of eleven accessions of obsolete cultivars not existing as extant material, but as historical specimens were analyzed. Most historical seeds were taken from the Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry seed collection stored at the Swedish Museum of Cultural History (NM) (Leino et al. 2009). These seeds have since harvest in the late nineteenth or early twentieth century been stored in sealed glass containers at ambient temperature. They are thus non-viable, but do permit amplification of DNA markers by PCR (Leino et al. 2009). Additional historical seeds were provided

Table 1 Plant material used in the experiments and their genetic diversity

Name	Acc. nr.	Cultivar status	Material type	Subtype	Genetic diversity (h)	Total alleles	Private alleles
Saga	NGB17828	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.109	16	0
Stina	NGB17829	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.160	17	0
Farmor	NGB17832	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.344	25	0
Boaryd	NGB17833	Local cultivar	Extant	Edible podded	0.148	17	2
Bolum	NGB17834	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.067	14	0
Mormor Hannas	NGB17835	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.100	16	1
Svartbjörnsbyn	NGB17837	Local cultivar	Extant	Edible podded	0.202	20	1
Pjätteryd	NGB17838	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.111	16	0
Vallagården	NGB17839	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.148	18	1
Nybyggerud	NGB17840	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.175	17	1
Norrhult	NGB17841	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.068	15	0
Edsås	NGB17842	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.205	19	0
Nusnäsärt	NGB17843	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.273	22	1
Finas fina	NGB17845	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.130	17	0
Örshultsärt	NGB17846	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.053	14	0
Beda	NGB17850	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.100	15	0
Demans	NGB17851	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.142	18	2
Alunda	NGB17854	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.152	17	0
Hilda	NGB17856	Local cultivar	Extant	Edible podded	0.105	16	0
Mors stora	NGB17858	Local cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.173	17	1
Emerald Gem	JIC36	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.080	14	0
Gradus	ЛС303	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.105	16	0
American Wonder	ЛС318	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.109	16	1
Rapid	JIC662	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.073	14	0
Express	ЛС669	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.085	15	1
Champion of England	JIC1144	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.252	19	0
Henderson's first of all	JIC2247	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.235	20	2
Knight's marrow	JIC2462	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.080	15	1
Kungsärt	NGB13148	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Snap pea	0.147	16	0
Fenomen	NGB101332	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.262	21	0
Victoria	NGB102201	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.142	17	2
Buxbom de Grace	NGB102765	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Edible podded	0.090	15	0
Kelvedon Wonder	NGB102773	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.135	16	0
Stensärt	NGB102775	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, wrinkled	0.180	18	1
Prince Albert	NGB103066	Obsolete cultivar	Extant	Shelling, smooth	0.223	19	1
Blågrön engelsk	NM1293	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1896)	Shelling, smooth	0.327	21	2
Tysk moss	NM1294	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1896)	Shelling, smooth	0.280	20	2
Fürst Bismarck	NM1537	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1918)	Edible podded	0.238	20	0
Fairbeards Nonpareil	NM1541	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1918)	Shelling, wrinkled	0.415	24	1
Non plus ultra	NM1548	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1918)	Shelling, wrinkled	0.300	23	0
Engelsk Sabel	NM1551	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1918)	Edible podded	0.427	26	0
Witham Wonder	NM1555	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1918)	Shelling, wrinkled	0.280	22	1
Golden Drop	NM1836	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1865)	Shelling, smooth	0.475	33	1
Daniel O'Rourkes	NM2335	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1877)	Shelling, smooth	0.384	28	0
				0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			

Name	Acc. nr.	Cultivar status	Material type	Subtype	Genetic diversity (h)	Total alleles	Private alleles
Dicksons favourite	VÄ188	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1880)	Shelling, smooth	0.266	24	1
Laxtons prolific	VÄ201	Obsolete cultivar	Historical (1880)	Shelling, smooth	0.348	27	2

Table 1 continued

Donor accession names are indicated for the local cultivars that were collected 'on farm' between 2002 and 2004. Accession abbreviations refers to *NGB* accessions from the NordGen, *JIC* accessions from John Innes Centre, *NM* accessions from the KSLA historic seed collection at the Swedish Museum of Cultural History, *VÄ* accessions from Vänerborg museum. For the historic material, seed age is indicated within *parenthesis*

by the Vänersborg museum (VÄ). These seeds were displayed at an agricultural exhibition in Borås, Sweden in 1880 and have since been stored in sealed containers in room temperatures at Vänersborg museum (Johansson et al. 2003). Materials are summarized in Table 1.

DNA extraction and SSR analysis

From each accession DNA was isolated from five individuals (four in the case of the accessions JIC662, NGB102773 and NGB17850). For extant material DNA was extracted from young leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) or the E-Z 96 Plant DNA Kit (Omega Biotek Inc., Norcross, GA, US). The DNA in historical seeds is of low quantity and fragmented due to DNA degradation. To avoid contamination from fresh material DNA extractions from historical seeds were performed in a separate laboratory. The DNA was isolated from the single dry seeds using the FastDNA[®] Spin Kit and the FastPrep[®] Instrument (Qbiogene, Inc., CA, US), where homogenization procedures are performed in sealed tubes to further avoid risks of sample-to-sample contaminations. DNA was eluted in 100 µl of the supplied buffers. In each extraction series extraction blanks were performed in parallel.

SSR analysis was performed with twelve markers (Loridon et al. 2005) (Table 2). Markers were chosen to have a high polymorphic information content value, be well distributed over the genome, and, to accommodate for the fragmented nature of the DNA in historical seeds, to amplify rather short fragments (less than 400 bp). PCRs were run as described in Leino et al. (2009). Amplification products were analysed by capillary gel electrophoresis and confocal laser scanning on a MegaBACE 500 DNA Analysis System (Amersham Biosciences) or an ABI 3130xl Genetic

 Table 2
 Fragment sizes, chromosomal location and number of detected alleles for the SSR markers

SSR marker	Chromosome	Fragment size (bp)	Number of detected alleles	Genetic diversity (h)
AD147	1	317-349	13	0.813
AD83	2	275-287	5	0.295
AB109	2	332-386	14	0.814
AB141	3	139–235	14	0.481
AD73	3	240-292	15	0.800
AA278	3	166–178	5	0.334
AC58	5	216-258	11	0.742
AB130	5	211-247	13	0.673
AB71	6	158-182	13	0.805
AA200	6	214-236	7	0.642
AB122	7	318-340	12	0.738
B14	7	474–490	9	0.834

Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, US). Some individuals were run on both systems for all markers to allow for comparisons of the results from the two systems. Sizing of fragments was performed using the software MegaBACE Fragment Profiler 1.2. or GeneMapper version 4.0. The scoring of the individuals genotyped on both systems were compared to ensure that results from both systems were fully comparable and could be combined for further analyses.

Data analysis

Wright's F_{ST} (1951) and Nei's h were estimated according to Nei (1973) using purpose-written perl scripts. For the F_{ST} , significance values were determined by permutation tests (1,000 permutations). Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out with the software R (R Development Core Team 2007) using the *prcomp* command. In the PCA, data were analysed on an accession level where allele frequencies for each allele at each locus were calculated for the accessions analysed and treated as independent variables in the analysis. One-tailed, unpaired Student's *t* test and calculation of correlation coefficient were carried out using the T.TEST and CORREL functions in Microsoft Excel (v 14.3.6).

The software Structure v 2.2 (Pritchard et al. 2000; Falush et al. 2003) was used to analyze the results for Bayesian clustering of accessions. As peas were largely or completely homozygous we used a haploid setting, exchanging the few heterozygous loci with missing data. We further used a model with correlated allele frequencies among populations with no admixture. Non-amplifying markers were treated as missing data. The software was run with a burn-in length of 20000 iterations followed by 50000 iterations for estimating the parameters. This was repeated ten times for each K (the number of predetermined clusters) until the likelihood values for the runs no longer improved. The software CLUMPP v 1.1.1 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) was used to compare the results of individual runs and to calculate similarity coefficients and the average matrix of ancestry. In CLUMPP the FullSearch algorithm was used for comparing runs with K < 4, whereas the Greedy algorithm was used for higher Ks. The number of clusters observed in the dataset was also evaluated by calculating ΔK according to Evanno et al. (2005). Graphical representation of the results was obtained using the DISTRUCT software v 1.1 (Rosenberg 2004).

Results

We used twelve highly polymorphic SSR markers to perform DNA genotyping of five individuals in each accession studying three sets of materials: (1) newly collected local cultivars, (2) extant obsolete cultivars from genebank holdings and (3) obsolete cultivars available as historical specimens. Most markers amplified successfully in most individuals showing low presence of null alleles and sufficient preservation of DNA quality in the historical samples. In seven (three extant and four historical) out of the 237 analyzed individuals a quarter or more of the markers failed to amplify. One of the individuals of the historical accession NM1294 failed to amplify in more than half of the markers and this individual was subsequently removed from all further analysis. Failed amplification was more common in the historical samples than in the extant material (6.3 and 4.1 % of all loci respectively, *t* test, p < 0.05).

Genetic diversity

Within-accession genetic diversity across loci, h, ranged from 0.053 in the local cultivar NGB17846 to 0.475 in the historical accession NM1836 (Table 1) with an average genetic diversity of 0.194 (SD 0.101, median 0.156). Locus specific genetic diversity across all individuals studied ranged from 0.283 for AD83 to 0.833 for B14 (Table 2).

Although no accession was completely monomorphic, looking at each locus and accession separately more than half of the loci were invariable within a given accession. This meant that the total number of alleles across loci for each accession, ranging from 14 across the loci of JIC36 to 33 across the loci of NM1836 (Table 1), was strongly correlated (c = 0.913) with the genetic diversity of the accessions.

The historical accessions generally had higher within-accession genetic diversity than both the local cultivars (average 0.340 and 0.148 for historical accessions and local cultivars respectively, t test p < 0.001) and the genebank preserved cultivars (average 0.146 for genebank cultivars, t test p < 0.001). The genetic diversity of the local cultivars and the genebank preserved cultivars were, however, of the same magnitude (t test, p = 0.471).

Genetic relationship

To analyze the genetic relatedness of accessions, pairwise F_{ST} values between all accessions were calculated (Fig. 1, Table S1). Values ranged from 0.114 between the accessions NM1548 and NGB17829 to 0.873 between the accessions NM2462 and JIC36 with an average of 0.522 (SD 0.154). Of the 1,035 pairwise comparisons performed only 31 were non-significant (Fig. 1). Of these 25 were between pairs of shelling, wrinkled peas and five between pairs of shelling, smooth peas while the remaining five non-significant comparisons were between peas of different types.

The proportion of alleles shared between pairs of accessions ranged from no alleles between NM1293

and JIC36 to almost 90 % of the alleles between the local cultivars NGB17851 and NGB17854 (Fig. 1, Table S1). While no pairs of accessions shared all alleles for all loci 2.5 % of the accession pairs shared 75 % or more of their alleles. All of these were pairs of local cultivars.

No accession had more than two private alleles, that is alleles not found in any other accession, across all loci (Table 1). Seven accessions (two local cultivars, three extant commercial varieties and two historical varieties) had two private alleles and another 16 accessions (six local cultivars, five extant commercial varieties and five historical varieties) had a single private allele. The remaining accessions shared all their alleles with at least one more accession.

Comparing accessions pairwise, the average number of shared alleles was 0.358 (SD 0.165). If the local cultivars are indeed descendants of any of the obsolete cultivars they should share a high proportion of alleles with that cultivar. Using 75 % shared alleles as an arbitrary cut-off to identify the most similar pairs of accessions there were eleven instances where a local cultivar and a commercial variety had high allele sharing, three involving the accession NM1548, four involving NGB102775 and one involving NGB102773 (Fig. 1, Table S1). Some local cultivars (NGB17834, NGB17828 and NGB17835) had, however, high allele sharing with more than one commercial variety (Fig. 1, Table S1).

To visually describe the genetic similarities of different accessions we plotted the first two principal components of a PCA of the genetic diversity of the accessions (Fig. 2). PC1 and PC2 together explained only 15 % of the total genetic variation, but separated a group consisting almost exclusively of shelling, wrinkled peas from two other groups consisting of a mixture of pea types. Pairs of accessions in this shelling wrinkled group made up the majority of the non-significant FST values (24 out of 31) and all but one of the pairs of accessions with more than 75 % of their alleles shared between them, further showing the genetic homogeneity of this group. Neither of the different types of pea examined (shelling, wrinkled; shelling, smooth; edible podded; snap pea) clustered in a unique type group in the PCA. Instead accessions of the same type tended to fall in different groups.

The pattern observed in the PCA was mirrored in a structure analysis of the accessions (Fig. 3). ΔK values and the h values obtained in CLUMPP both indicated

that a clustering with K = 2 might best describe the data with some support also for a clustering with K = 8 (data not shown). At K = 2 the accessions clustered in two groups consistent with PCA along PC1 (Figs. 2, 3), one of which corresponded to the primarily shelling wrinkled group observed in the PCA but with the addition of the shelling wrinkled accessions NGB17841 and NGB17850. As in the PCA there was no consistent clustering of peas of the same subtype, neither at K = 2 nor at K = 8. Peas belonging to both groups were found among all three material types: historical, genebank maintained and local cultivar accessions. Peas clustering in the first group were, however, more common among the local cultivars than among the genebank maintained and historical accessions.

Discussion

The recent inventory of 'on farm' preserved local cultivars of vegetables in Sweden resulted in surprisingly many accessions repatriated. Home gardens were indeed recently suggested as hotspots for agrobiodiversity (Galluzzi et al. 2010). In countries with highly industrialized agriculture, such as Sweden, landraces grown on field scale are rare, and home gardeners are thus alternate guardians of crop biodiversity. We show here that local pea cultivars, maintained on a home garden scale, harbour genetic diversity not always covered by genebank collections. Interestingly, the accessions are mainly unique and genetically distinguishable from each other, suggesting that further search for local cultivars could result in more novel germplasm to be gathered.

In comparison with recently investigated Swedish field pea landraces (Leino et al. 2013), less genetic diversity is found among the garden peas (two-tailed *t* test, p < 0.01). The higher diversity of field pea is to be expected as they have typically been propagated in much larger population sizes than garden peas and also have a landrace, rather than cultivar, origin. The differences in population size and origin between field pea and garden pea were also reflected in the within accession genetic diversity which was significantly lower in garden pea than in field pea (0.193 vs 0.353 respectively, *t* test, p < 0.001).

The garden peas were, in spite of population size and origin, not completely genetically invariant



Pairwise FST		shared alleles		
0.1 - 0.2		0.7 - 0.9		
0.2 - 0.3		0.6 - 0.7		
0.3 - 0.4		0.5 - 0.6		
0.4 - 0.5		0.4 - 0.5		
0.5 - 0.6		0.3 - 0.4		
0.6 - 0.7		0.2 - 0.3		
0.7 - 0.8		0.1 - 0.2		
0.8 - 0.9		0.0 - 0.1		

Fig. 1 Genetic similarities between pairs of accessions. Pairwise F_{ST} values are shown below the diagonal and proportion of shared alleles between pairs of populations above the diagonal.

Darker colours indicate higher F_{ST} values and lower average proportion of shared alleles. Cells with non-significant F_{ST} values (all <0.2) are marked with borders



Fig. 2 Associations between populations revealed by the first two PCs of PCA. Part of the biplot with many closely positioned accessions is magnified in the lower *left* corner. *Colours* denote

showing that propagation has been through progeny batches rather than single seed or single individual descent. That this has been the case was confirmed by the seed donors who reported using pods from several individuals for seeding the next generation. The historical samples of obsolete cultivars had higher genetic diversity than both genebank maintained cultivars and local cultivars. Although the same cultivars were not compared, these results suggest that many obsolete cultivars were originally more diverse, but have become genetically eroded over time. The risk of losing within-accession diversity during genebank maintenance of peas has been reported previously (Cieslarová et al. 2010; Hagenblad et al. 2012; Leino et al. 2013). However, this study also suggests that 'on farm' conservation of the cultivars succeeded no better in maintaining high within-variety diversity than genebank conservation.

Principal component analysis and Structure analysis showed that peas of a given type were not genetically distinguishable from all other types of

different pea types: *green* = shelling, wrinkled, *blue* = shelling, smooth, *red* = edible podded, *black* = snap pea

peas. Instead clusters of genetically similar peas contained accessions of two or more different types. Traits defining pea types, such as smooth versus wrinkled seeds and presence/absence of pod-wall sclerenchyma are controlled by single loci (Blixt 1977), and can easily be crossed into a different genetic background. It is clear that already in the commercial pea varieties available in the 19th century, alleles for the traits used for type classification occurred in a variety of genetic backgrounds, either from crosses between types or through recurrent mutation. Many of the local cultivars were genetically similar, but local cultivars comprised the whole range of the genetic diversity found (Fig. 2).

Comparing the local cultivars with obsolete commercial varieties we found no cases where pairs of accessions were genetically identical. Given that neither of the accessions were monomorphic this should not be surprising as genetic drift even in the absence of selection and mutation, which cannot be ruled out, will lead to differentiation. A questionnaire



Fig. 3 *Bar* plot showing the assignment values of Bayesian clustering in the structure analysis. Each *vertical line* corresponds to one individual where the *colours* denote the cluster or

to the donors of the local cultivars showed that most cultivars had been regenerated every year meaning 100–200 generations for drift to operate.

Regardless of the presence of genetic drift we expect accessions with a shared origin to exhibit more genetic similarity than accessions of differing origin. Accessions with a shared origin should have low pairwise FST values (though not necessarily non significant), a high number of shared alleles and few alleles present in only one accession. None of our local cultivars fulfilled all these requirements when compared to the commercial varieties, but many of the local cultivars (NGB17828, NGB17829, NGB17834, NGB17835, NGB17840, NGB17845, NGB17854 and NGB17858) had low F_{ST}-values and a high number of shared alleles when compared with the historical seeds of the commercial variety NM1548, 'Non plus ultra'. Several of the local cultivars (NGB17828, NGB17829, NGB17839, NGB17843 and NGB17851) also had low F_{ST}-values and a high number of shared alleles when compared to NGB102775, 'Stensärt' and NGB17842 showed the same similarity when compared to NGB102773, 'Kelvedon Wonder'. These three cultivars ('Non plus ultra', 'Stensärt' and 'Kelvedon Wonder') where all marketed under a long time period and by many seed companies (Svensson 2004). Likely, at least some of the local cultivars originate from these historical cultivars, although they are not genetically identical. Noteworthy, several of the local cultivars were also by the seed donors named as "stensärt", beside their local names. 'Stensärt' is said to have originated in Sweden in the 1890s as a local selection of a foreign cultivar and was marketed on a large scale (Nygårds and Leino 2013).

clusters that individuals belong to. Grouping for two clusters (*blue* or *red*) has strongest support (see text) and is shown here

The difficulty of finding additional matches of local cultivars with obsolete cultivars with known identity reflects the vast cultivar diversity once present on the seed market. The likelihood that our sample of commercial cultivars would include the origin to the local cultivar tested here is low. Our study also stresses the problems with proving authenticity of seed accessions as old cultivars by comparisons with material from herbaria or genebanks. Previous attempts in rice (Kobayashi et al. 2006), beets (Poulsen et al. 2007) and lettuce (van de Wouw et al. 2011) have proved difficult. If the old cultivars originally are heterogenous, as shown here, the forces of drift and selection will alter the genetic diversity over time. In addition crossing, seed mixing and mutations can also occur.

Even in the absence of a cultivar identity the repatriated local cultivars can serve as an important source of genetic variation and several of the studied local cultivars showed a high proportion of novel alleles not detected in the extant genebank accessions. 25 % or more of the alleles detected in the local cultivars NGB17856, NGB17851, NGB17858, NGB17837 and NGB17833 were not detected in any of the genebank accessions. In addition the local cultivars NGB17833, NGB17838, NGB17846 and NGB17856 had high F_{ST} values compared to all other accessions (average $F_{ST} > 0.6$), in particular when compared to the extant accessions (average $F_{ST} > 0.67$ for all except NBG17856) highlighting these as particularly interesting for replenishing genebank genetic diversity.

The remaining newly collected local cultivars not investigated in this study will likely harbour additional

genetic variation. Our data further suggest that local cultivars of garden crops are surprisingly often unique and not only duplicates. Thus, continuous efforts to sample plant genetic resources from home gardens would result in more variation possible to exploit in breeding. Gathering of crop biodiversity is often associated with landraces cultivated in areas with non-industrialized agriculture. This study shows the importance of inventorying local cultivars conserved in home gardens also in countries with a highly developed agriculture.

Acknowledgments We thank Svein Solberg and Fredrik Ottosson at the Nordic Genetic Resource Centre (NordGen), Alnarp, Sweden; Mike Ambrose at John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK and Ann-Charlotte Öberg at Vänersborgs museum, Vänersborg, Sweden for kindly providing the seed material. This work was funded by the Lagersberg foundation, the Swedish Board of Agriculture and the Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry (KSLA).

References

- Blixt S (1977) The gene symbols of *Pisum*. Pisum Genetics Newsletter 9: Supplement
- Camacho-Villa TC, Maxted N, Scholten M, Ford-Lloyd B (2005) Defining and identifying crop landraces. Plant Gen Res 3:373–384
- Cieslarová J, Smýkal P, Dočkalová Z, Hanáček P, Procházka S, Hýbl M et al (2010) Molecular evidence of genetic diversity changes in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) germplasm after long-term maintenance. Genet Resour Crop Evol 58: 439–451
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol Ecol 14:2611–2620
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 164:1567–1587
- Galluzzi G, Eyzaguirre P, Negri V (2010) Home gardens: neglected hotspots of agro-biodiversity and cultural diversity. Biodivers Conserv 19:3635–3654
- Hagenblad J, Zie J, Leino MW (2012) Exploring the population genetics of genebank and historical landrace varieties. Genet Resour Crop Evol 59:1185–1199
- Hjelmqvist H (1979) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der prähistorischen Nutzpflanzen in Schweden. Opera Bot 47:1–58
- Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. Bioinformatics 23:1801–1806

- Johansson P, Aarsrud S, Öberg A-C (2003) Det underbara huset: Vänerborgs museum (The wonderful building: The Vänersborg Museum). Vänersborgs museums skriftserie, 1651-842X; 1
- Kobayashi A, Ebana K, Fukuoka S, Nagamine T (2006) Microsatellite markers revealed the genetic diversity of an old Japanese rice landrace 'Echizen'. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:499–506
- Leino MW, Hagenblad J, Edqvist J, Karlsson Strese E-M (2009) DNA preservation and utility of a historic seed collection. Seed Sci Res 19:125–135
- Leino MW, Boström E, Hagenblad J (2013) Twentieth-century changes in the genetic composition of Swedish field pea metapopulations. Heredity 110:338–346
- Loridon K, McPhee K, Morin J, Dubreuil P, Pilet-Nayel ML, Aubert G, Rameau C, Baranger A, Coyne C, Lejeune-Hènaut I, Burstin J (2005) Microsatellite marker polymorphism and mapping in pea (*Pisum sativum L.*). Theor Appl Genet 111:1022–1031
- Nei M (1973) Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 70:3321–3323
- Nygårds L, Leino MW (2013) Klint Karins kålrot och mor Kristins böna: om fröuppropets kulturarv (Klint Karin's swede and mother Kristin's bean: cultural heritage of the seed call). Swedish Univ Agric Sci, Alnarp
- Osvald H (1959) Akerns nyttoväxter (Agricultural utility plants). Stockholm
- Poulsen G, Holten C, von Bothmer R (2007) AFLP similarities among Danish cultivars of fodder beet (*Beta vulgaris* L. subsp. *vulgaris* var. *rapacea* Koch). Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:1105–1115
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959
- Rosenberg NA (2004) Distruct: a program for the graphical display of population structure. Mol Ecol Notes 4:137–138
- R Development Core Team (2007) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL. http://www.R-project.org
- Svensson K (2004) Trädgårdsärt och trädgårdsböna: kartläggning av de i Sverige marknadsförda sorterna 1850-1970 (Garden pea and garden bean: Inventory of varieties of the Swedish market between 1850-1970). Swedish Univ Agric Sci, Alnarp
- van de Wouw M, van Treuren R, van Hintum T (2011) Authenticity of old cultivars in genebank collections: a case study on lettuce. Crop Sci 51:736–746
- Veteläinen M, Negri V, Maxted N (2009) European landraces on-farm conservation, management and use. Bioversity Technical Bulletin No 15. Bioversity International, Rome
- Wright S (1951) The genetical structure of populations. Ann Eugen 15:323–354
- Zohary D, Hopf M, Weiss E (2012) Domestication of plants in the old world: the origin and spread of domesticated plants in south-west Asia, Europe, and the Mediterranean Basin, 4th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford