ORIGINAL PAPER

# **Efects of Protein‑Based Biopolymer on Geotechnical Properties of Salt‑Afected Sandy Soil**

**Houman Nouri · Pooria Ghadi[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2928-4153) · Hadi Fatehi · Nader Shariatmadari · Mohammad Saberian**

Received: 24 February 2022 / Accepted: 13 July 2022 / Published online: 26 July 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract Salt-affected soils cannot meet the needs of engineering projects due to their defciency in providing desirable geotechnical properties. Cement stabilization is widely used to improve the engineering properties of salt-afected soils, but cement has many backward effects, especially on the environment, limiting its application as a binder. This study evaluates the potential effects of salt on proteinbased biopolymer treated sand. The infuence of salt content, biopolymer content, and curing time on the strength and stifness development of salt-afected sand was explored with unconfned compressive strength (UCS) testing. The UCS results showed that an increase in casein biopolymer content led to an increase in the unconfned compressive strength and stifness; however, the addition of salt had a reverse efect on UCS results. By adding 2% casein solution, the compressive strength reached 1021.34 kPa, which is signifcantly greater than that of untreated soil

H. Nouri · P. Ghadir (⊠) · N. Shariatmadari Department of Geotechnics, School of Civil Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran 1684613114, Iran e-mail: pooria\_ghadir@civileng.iust.ac.ir

H. Fatehi School of Engineering and Built Environment, Griffith University, Nathan, QLD 4111, Australia

M. Saberian School of Engineering, RMIT University, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia

with a value close to zero. When the salt content rose from 0.5 to 10% (for 2% casein content), a substantial strength loss (more than 48%) was observed in the UCS value from 978 to 501 kPa. This might be due to the salt existence in soil which adversely afected the biopolymer connections by blocking the bonds and bridges with soil particles. This adverse efect was gradually mitigated by the biopolymer increment until adding 3.5% sodium caseinate, then a higher percentage of the biopolymer was involved in further enhancement of compressive strength. Microscopic observation revealed that sodium caseinate acted as a binding agent between soil particles, while salt disrupted the sodium caseinate performance. To evaluate the physical properties of the sandy soil, permeability and wind tunnel tests were conducted. The inclusion of sodium caseinate as a protein-based biopolymer resulted in lowering the hydraulic conductivity and increasing the erosion resistance of salt-affected sand. Curing time had positive efects on strength development, increasing the erosion resistance, and reducing the permeability. Overall, sodium caseinate could adequately improve the engineering properties of saltafected sand.

**Keywords** Protein-based biopolymer · Casein · Sodium caseinate · Salt-affected sand soil · Erosion resistance

# **1 Introduction**

Due to unrestrained emission of greenhouse gases, climate change has caused severe environmental problems such as desertifcation. Annually, 75 billion tons of fertile soil are degraded, costing around USD 42 billion (Middleton and Kang [2017](#page-14-0)). 44% of the total amount of soil degradation is accounted to wind erosion equal to around  $5.05 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup> of the earth's land, which is a crucial environmental issue against the advancement of the agricultural and livestock industries (Jiang et al. [2019](#page-14-1)). Wind erosion causes serious respiratory diseases, dust storms, disrupting commercial activities and transport, and deposits undesirable nutrients and salts (Middleton and Kang [2017\)](#page-14-0).

Although cement is the most consumed binder in civil construction, because of its practicality, high strength, and economic cost (Jahandari et al. [2019](#page-14-2); Miraki et al. [2021;](#page-14-3) Mohammadifar et al. [2022](#page-14-4)), there is a growing concern about its harmful impacts on the environment (Fatehi et al. [2018](#page-13-0); Ghadir and Ranjbar [2018;](#page-13-1) Jahandari et al. [2021](#page-14-5)). In two ways, cement production causes the emission of carbon dioxide; the frst way is related to the manufacturing process of clinker, and the second is about burning fossil fuels for making energy. The above-mentioned sources are responsible for  $5-8\%$  of global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions (Ghadir et al. [2021;](#page-13-2) Shariatmadari et al. [2021](#page-14-6)). Also, cement can increase soil pH in a negative way, restrain plant growth, and restructure groundwater quality (Chang et al. [2016;](#page-13-3) Smitha and Rangaswamy [2020\)](#page-14-7). Thus, the demand is rising for a new soil stabilizer to be compatible with the environment.

Salt-afected soils are a widespread problem across the world by encompassing about 952.2 million ha globally, especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Cherlet et al. [2015](#page-13-4)). From the geotechnical engineering point of view, saline soils pose major problems, such as diferential settlement, low compressive strength, and low shear strength (Al-Amoudi et al. [1995;](#page-13-5) Horpibulsuk et al. [2012\)](#page-13-6). The salt content of more than 3 wt.% was found to afect treated soil stability and slightly infuence the maximum dry density (Li et al. [2016](#page-14-8)). An investigation by Xing et al.  $(2009)$  $(2009)$ demonstrated that Cl− has a damaging efect on the strength of cement stabilized soil in both short and long terms (Xing et al. [2009](#page-14-9)). It has been indicated that a higher concentration of salt has an adverse efect on the elasticity modulus and compressive strength (Dingwen et al. [2013\)](#page-13-7). The negative influence of organic matter on the strength of lime- and cement-treated soil could be decreased by the presence of salt in the soil (Jiang and Ontisuka [2004](#page-14-10)).

In the past decade, biological materials and methods such as microbial and enzyme induced calcite precipitations, biogass generation, bacterial biostimulation, as well as biopolymers have gained everincreasing attention in geotechnical applications (Bahmani et al. [2019](#page-13-8); Hosseinpour et al. [2021](#page-13-9); Ramdas et al. [2020\)](#page-14-11).

Biopolymers are degradable types of polymeric materials that are naturally formed in the environ-ment (Chen et al. [2015;](#page-13-10) Plank [2005;](#page-14-12) Shariatmadari et al. [2020\)](#page-14-13). Biopolymers have vast applications in food, medical, cosmetic, and constructive sectors (Fatehi et al. [2021;](#page-13-11) Schwark [2009\)](#page-14-14). Using biopolymers in engineering dates back to ancient times, but with the advent of lignosulfonate in the 1920s, a new era of biopolymers was started in engineering (Fatehi et al. [2019](#page-13-12); Hataf et al. [2018;](#page-13-13) Plank [2005](#page-14-12)). Several biopolymers have been examined for soil improvement purposes. Cellulose (from the plant's group), with 1.5 trillion tons of generation per year, is the most plentiful organic polymer and has several prospects for soil reinforcement because of its gelation features (Maher and Ho [1994;](#page-14-15) Sivakumar Babu and Vasudevan [2008](#page-14-16)). Furthermore, Xanthan gum has been applied to enhance soil stifness, compressive strength, shear resistance, and altering dispersion characteristics of soil. Xanthan has shown to increase the compressive strength of soils to greater than 500% (Bonal et al. [2020;](#page-13-14) Fatehi et al. [2021](#page-13-11); Latif et al. [2016,](#page-14-17) [2017;](#page-14-18) Soldo and Miletić [2019](#page-14-19)). The incorporation of Beta-glucan and Xanthan gum into the silty soil improved erosion resistance to less than 1% (Chang et al. [2015](#page-13-15)). The hydraulic erosion also was improved to higher than 80% by using 0.5% xanthan gum and making a jelly layer on sand surface, which was more productive than employing 10% kaolinite clay. Also, the efficiency of other biopolymers, such as guar gum, chitosan, and sodium alginate, has been shown to improve the mechanical properties of soils (Arab et al. [2019](#page-13-16); Dehghan et al. [2019](#page-13-17); Khatami and O'Kelly [2013\)](#page-14-20).

Protein-based biopolymers are known as natural polymers produced from dairy products. Globally, about 1 kg of 5 kg milk is spoiled and mostly disposed to landflls, negatively afecting the environment (Chang et al. [2018\)](#page-13-18). Protein-based biopolymers, including casein and sodium caseinate, were utilized for strengthening sandy and silty soils. In this line, considerable growth was observed in the development of shear and compressive strengths. Higher than 600 kPa of compressive strength and 120 kPa undrained shear strength was obtained by employing only 1% of casein (Fatehi et al. [2018](#page-13-0)). Despite most of the polysaccharides, casein is not soluble in the water, and higher compressive strength under wet conditions was withstood by the casein-treated samples (Chang et al. [2018](#page-13-18); Fatehi et al. [2018](#page-13-0)).

Although some studies have been conducted to evaluate the feasibility of using biopolymers in geotechnical engineering, the potential efect of salt on biopolymer-stabilized soil has not still been investigated. Therefore, the goal of this research is to study how NaCl can afect the geotechnical and physical characteristics of sandy soil. In this line, a series of laboratory experiments were conducted to evaluate the engineering performance of sodium caseinate-treated salty-afected sandy soil.

#### **2 Materials and Methods**

#### 2.1 Soil Properties

The soil sample was obtained from the casting industry in Firoozkooh district, northeast of Tehran, Iran. The soil is known as "Firoozkooh sand (No.161)" in the country because of its wide applications in industries. The sand has a specifc gravity of 2.66, and it is classifed as poorly graded sand (SP) based on the Unifed Soil Classifcation System (USCS) ("ASTM D2487-17e1," ASTM [2017](#page-13-19)). The grain size distribution curve of sand is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The optimum moisture content and maximum dry density of the sand were obtained at 12.5 wt.% and  $1.62$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively, obtained from the modifed proctor compaction method based on ASTM D1557 ("ASTM D1557-12e1," ASTM [2012\)](#page-13-20). Table [1](#page-3-0) illustrates the physical properties of sand. The chemical composition of sand was determined by X-ray fuorescence (XRF) analysis, Table [2.](#page-3-1)



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Particle size distribution curve for the Firoozkooh sand (No. 161)

# 2.2 Sodium Caseinate Synthesis from the Bovine Milk

Casein constitutes approximately 80% of the total nitrogen in bovine milk (Huppertz et al. [2018\)](#page-13-21). Casein usage is not limited to dairy products, and it has a variety of applications in the plastics, glues, and paper glazing industries (Huppertz et al. [2018\)](#page-13-21). Casein has four main constituents that form casein micelles, with a diameter ranging from 50 to 300 nm (Holt et al. [2013\)](#page-13-22). Among constituents, k-casein has a determinant role in many properties of the particles, especially their stability against aggregation (Dalgleish [1998;](#page-13-23) Holt et al. [2013\)](#page-13-22). The method of obtaining casein from milk by isoelectric precipitation was developed by Huppertz et al. ([2018\)](#page-13-21). Acidifcation is the basis of the conversion of milk into curd and whey (De Kruif [1999\)](#page-13-24). The casein used in this study was extracted from bovine milk through stages of precipitation, dewheying, washing, and drying, Fig. [2a](#page-3-2) (Mulvihill and Ennis [2003\)](#page-14-21). Skim milk was preferred to achieve a better quality of casein; therefore, milk fat should be as low as possible (Mulvihill and Ennis [2003\)](#page-14-21). Casein itself is not a suitable paste for making a homogenous mixture (Fatehi et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0). Thus, 2% sodium hydroxide was added as a prevalent alkaline solution to form a pasty glue named sodium caseinate, Fig. [2](#page-3-2)b (Fatehi et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0). Sodium caseinate has some distinct features. Unlike casein, sodium caseinate is water-soluble (Mulvihill and Ennis [2003\)](#page-14-21). This prop sand

<span id="page-3-1"></span>sand



<span id="page-3-0"></span>

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 2** Casein solution synthesis stages: **a** casein biopolymer, **b** sodium caseinate





<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Table 3** Chemical composition of the salt

Chemical composition	Content $(\%)$
Sodium chloride (NaCl)	91
Sulfate	5
Potassium	2.5
Calcium	1.5

study used sodium caseinate (casein solution) for soil treatment. Figure [2](#page-3-2)a and b show the synthesized casein and casein solution used in this study.

# **3 Soil Stabilization and Characterization Tests**

3.1 Sample Preparation and Mechanical Characterization

In this study, the preparation of the soil-salt mixture was based on the International Standard ISO 11268 ("ISO 11268," ISO [1993\)](#page-14-22). In the frst step of sample preparation, the salt was dissolved with contents of 0.5, 2.5, 5, and 10 wt.% dry weight of sand in distilled water. NaCl was the dominant constituent of the salt, Table [3.](#page-3-3) In the second step, the soil was mixed with the solution. To ensure a homogenous soilchemical compound mixture, each sample was stirred meticulously for about fve minutes. In the third step, samples were kept in a sealed container at 20 °C for 15 days.

To evaluate the efects of sodium caseinate on the properties of salt-afected sand, sodium caseinate powder was dissolved in water in the next step, and subsequently mixed with the salted soil. Various sodium caseinate contents of 2, 3.5, 5, and 6.5 wt.% of the soil were adopted in this study. For preparing the specimens, the mixture was kept in the mold for three days, after which they were demolded. Afterward, the samples were air-dried at room temperature (25 $\pm$ 2 °C) and relative humidity of 40 $\pm$ 2% and tested after 7, 14 and 28 days.

# 3.2 Unconfned Compression Strength (UCS) Test

Unconfned uniaxial compression testing was performed following ASTM D2166 ("ASTM D2166- 16," ASTM [2016](#page-13-25)) using a universal testing machine on cylindrical samples with an inner diameter of 37 mm and a height/diameter ratio of 2.02. The axial strain rate was monitored at a rate of 0.5 mm/ min. Three samples were prepared and tested for all measurements. To evaluate the curing efect on unconfned compressive strength (UCS), the samples were cured and tested after 7, 14 and 28 days. Secant modulus of elasticity  $(E_{50})$  was used to demonstrate the elastic stifness of biopolymer-treated salt-afected sand by measuring the slope between the beginning and half of the failure stress. Table [4](#page-4-0) summarizes the testing samples for UCS, permeability and wind tunnel tests.

### 3.3 Permeability Test

Permeability tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM D5084 ("ASTM D5084-16a," ASTM [2016\)](#page-13-26) to determine the hydraulic conductivity of biopolymer-treated soils. Cylindrical samples with a diameter 70 mm \* height 140 mm were prepared for the permeability tests. To obtain a B value (Skempton) of 0.95 or greater for considering samples as fully saturated, a back pressure of 240 kPa, under efective stress of 10 kPa was applied and then increased. After this stage, the water was entered into the sample from a tank elevated at a specifc elevation to gratify the favorite hydraulic gradient. Time infuence was considered on treated soils based on long- and short-term curing (7 and 28 days) to determine the optimum cur-

# 3.4 Wind Tunnel Test

ing conditions (Table [4\)](#page-4-0).

Sand storm, as an outcome of wind erosion, releases sediment particles from the ground surface. Since bare land is most prone to sediment entrainment, these phenomena usually occur in arid and semiarid areas such as the middle east (Zhou et al. [2020](#page-14-23)). The wind erosion experiment was carried out in a straight line forcing a wind tunnel with a test section size of 1.5 (length)  $*$  0.8 (width)  $*$  0.8

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Wind tunnel test apparatus

(height) m, Fig. [3](#page-4-1). Steel boxes were used for this experiment (20 \* 15 \* 5 cm). For the fabrication of samples, a 4 cm layer of soil was placed on a tray, and a 1 cm layer of biopolymer-treated soil was placed as the upper layer. Salt and soil were mixed with biopolymer and then compacted on the tray. The samples were exposed to wind velocities of 50, 100, and 150 km/h for 5 min. Samples were placed in the central part of the tunnel that had a metallic hole to allow the installation of the samples. Sample preparation was based on the maximum and minimum strengths of the 28-day cured samples containing salt obtained from the UCS test. Furthermore, to compare the short-term and the long-term curing effects, the sample containing  $10\%$  salt and  $2\%$ casein solution was also tested after seven curing days (Table [4\)](#page-4-0). Therefore, the effects of biopolymer content, salt content, velocity, and curing time on the erosion resistance of the soil were investigated by a series of wind tunnel tests.

#### 3.5 FT-IR Analysis

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) testing was conducted using a Perkin Elmer System series 2000 spectrophotometer in a frequency range of 4000–400  $cm^{-1}$ , a resolution of 4  $cm^{-1}$ , and a scan speed of 0.5 cm/s to recognize the bands of casein solution. Aceton-washing was performed to pause the ongoing reaction in the sample. The potassium bromide (KBr) disc method was used for preparing the samples for FT-IR.

#### 3.6 Microscopic Analysis

Microscopic observation was conducted to assess the interactions of salt and sodium caseinate with soil particles. This analysis provides data about the size, shape, and aggregation of samples. To visualize the inter-particle structure, optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of untreated sand, salt-afected sand (10% salt), 6.5% sodium caseinate treated sand without salt, and 6.5% sodium caseinate treated soil that contains 10% salt at 28 days of curing were recorded using a Dino-Lite digital microscope and TESCAN VEGA instrument, respectively.

#### **4 Results and Discussion**

#### 4.1 FT-IR

FT-IR test was carried out for assessing the validity of synthesized sodium caseinate compared to the FT-IR spectrum of sodium caseinate in the previous studies (Zhao et al. [2018](#page-14-24)), Fig. [4](#page-5-0). O–H stretching vibration mode of sodium caseinate was observed in a wavenumber of 3430  $cm^{-1}$  (Zhao et al. [2018](#page-14-24)). Also, asymmetrical and symmetrical vibrations of C–H bonds showed absorption peaks in wavenumber of 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2820 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The absorption peak of sodium caseinate in wavenumber of 1680  $cm^{-1}$  could be related to the protein bands of amide I. Moreover, the stretching vibration of amide II was detected in a wavenumber of 1563 cm−1. Absorption peaks observed in the range of 1400–1500 cm−1 were in accordance with bending vibration of N–H bands in sodium caseinate structure. The absorption peak in the range of 1000–1300  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  was related to the bending vibration of C–H bonds. Besides, a wide peak in wavenumber of fewer than 700 cm<sup>-1</sup> was related to aromatic ring in sodium caseinate structure. The results of the FT-IR test verify the accurate synthesize of sodium caseinate in this study.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Comparison of FTIR spectra of sodium caseinate synthesized in this study and sodium caseinate synthesized in previous studies (Zhao et al. [2018](#page-14-24))

4.2 Interaction of Sodium Caseinate with Salt-Afected Soil

The optical image was used to grasp the efect of the casein solution on the soil more accurately. As shown in Fig. [5a](#page-6-0), depicting the optical image of untreated sand, the particles of sandy soil stand freely without cohesion in their natural states. Figure [5](#page-6-0)b indicates the compacted untreated salt-afected sand (for 10% salt content) after 28 days. It is evident that particles are in closer proximity in comparison to the intact state. Also, it can be observed from Fig. [5](#page-6-0)c and d, demonstrating the optical images of 28 days cured sodium caseinate-treated sand (6.5% sodium caseinate without salt) and sodium caseinate treated saltafected sand (6.5% sodium caseinate and 10% salt), respectively, that casein solution acted as a binder and caused particles to stick together (red circles as shown in Fig. [5c](#page-6-0) and d).

Among biopolymers, sodium caseinate has at least one connected amino acid containing nonpolar side chains that make protein-based biopolymers to be more resistant to water (Némethy and Scheraga [1962](#page-14-25)). When casein solution infltrates the soil, it begins to encompass and makes a smooth cover over soil particles, which results in the formation of inter-particle bonding as well as sodium caseinate-soil conglomerates (Chang et al. [2018](#page-13-18); Fatehi et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0). The most infuential factors in forming strong bindings between sodium caseinate and soil are the solution concentra-

tion, pH, and the type of interactions, such as Van der Waals bonds, hydrogen bonds, electrostatic interactions, and complex bonds between activated protein groups (Chang et al. [2018](#page-13-18); Fatehi et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0).

For sodium caseinate concentrations lower than 12%, there is comparatively a low viscous solution with Newtonian behaviour (Chang et al. [2018](#page-13-18)). But when the solution concentration exceeds 12%, casein solution behaves pseudoplastic, and stronger binding is expected to be formed (Chang et al. [2018](#page-13-18)). Casein is rich in amine groups, phosphate groups, and carboxylic acid, which can form bonds and bridges between soil particles and the ions through various mechanisms such as polar interaction (because of the hydrolysis of amino acid by the alkaline) and electrostatic interactions. The entry of alkaline into the casein chains leads to the increase in pH, formation of the complex structure of joining sodium to casein phosphate, and generating more charges so that strong bonds are formed.

When a salt-affected soil is a host for casein solution, the biopolymer is not able to act as efective as

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



before due to the presence of salt. The precipitated salt in the soil matrix prevents the biopolymer solutions from infltrating the soil freely, and a non-uniform biopolymer distribution might occur, as can be seen from Fig. [5](#page-6-0)d. Also, NaCl causes a reduction in pH of the casein solution, according to (Zhao and Corredig [2015](#page-14-26)). Furthermore, the addition of salt decreases the total phosphate contents, and the ions exchange reduces the number of available calcium ions, which results in the reduction of electrostatic charges in the caseinate solution structure so that fewer electrostatic and chemical interactions would be formed.

The SEM images were utilized to grasp the efect of the casein solution and salt on soil properties in a better way. Figure [6](#page-8-0) shows Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images of untreated and casein treated salt-affected sand (6.5% sodium caseinate and 10% salt) at 28 days of curing.). Because of the long polymeric chain in casein biopolymer, covalent bond, van der Waals forces, and hydrogen bonding could exist at the interface of the particle and casein. Casein solution interaction with saline soil particles has several phases. When casein reacts with sodium hydroxide, sodium caseinate is produced. Casein solution forms a sol (type of colloids). The sol coats soil's grains and provides more contact surfaces for soil particles (red circles as shown in Fig. [6](#page-8-0)b–d). After being spread on the surface, sol drenches the surfaces and adheres to particles. When water pours out of soil, solid protein remains, causing particles to cling to each other. As a matter of fact, polar interaction (due to hydrolysis of amino acid chains by sodium hydroxide) and hydrogen bonds (between particles and casein) are two major contributors to the saline soil improvement. It is worthy to be noted that after the treatment there is no obvious trace of salt particles in SEM images. Authors believe that the mentioned occurrence could be related to casein solution. When casein is added to saline soil, it might dissolve the salt. As salt content increases, it disrupts the casein solution performance and efficiency which results in a weaker glue-type agent.

# 4.3 Unconfned Compressive Strength and Secant Modulus of Elasticity

After treatment, the UCS of samples was evaluated in terms of salt content, biopolymer content, and curing time. In Fig. [7](#page-9-0), the UCS of the sodium caseinate treated specimens cured at 7, 14 and 28 days are compared. As it can be seen from Fig. [7a](#page-9-0), the incorporation of the casein solution increased the UCS of the soil samples regardless of the salt content; as the biopolymer content increased, considerable growth in UCS values was observed. By adding 2% casein solution, the compressive strength reached 1021.34 kPa, which is significantly greater than that of untreated soil with a value close to zero. Casein sticks the unbounded sand particles together through a process of coating and making bridges, so that most of the applied shear force is undergone by casein polymeric chains. Also, chemical interactions between the charged surfaces of fner particles and casein has a contribution to the increment of UCS strength (Chang et al. [2018;](#page-13-18) Fatehi et al. [2018](#page-13-0)).

When the salt was added to the biopolymer-soil mixture, the UCS strength decreased. This is due to the reason that the presence of salt reduced the attraction of soil particles to form a bond with casein solution gel. For instance, when the salt content rose from 0.5 to 10% (for 2% casein content), a substantial strength loss (more than 48%) was observed in the UCS value from 978 to 501 kPa, Fig. [7](#page-9-0)b. Figure [7c](#page-9-0) shows that by adding 6.5% casein solution, the compressive strength of the soil reached 2139.54 kPa, which was the highest strength achieved in UCS tests in the present study. In the case of constant salt and variable sodium caseinate content, the growth speed in UCS was much higher from 3.5 to 5% in comparison to lower amounts. This diference might be due to the salt existence in soil which adversely afected the biopolymer connections by blocking the bonds and bridges with soil particles. This adverse efect was gradually mitigated by the biopolymer increment until adding 3.5% sodium caseinate, then a higher percentage of the biopolymer was involved in further enhancement of compressive strength. But from 5 to 6.5%, the biopolymer content became less efective and reached the optimal content of efective biopolymer. The typical strength progression with curing time for the sample containing  $0.5\%$  salt and 2% biopolymer is shown in Fig. [8](#page-9-1). According to Fig. [8,](#page-9-1) it is obvious that curing time had a positive effect on sample strength development. The compressive strength of the biopolymer treated soil is highly dependent on the moisture content. The reason is that the presence of moisture delays the formation



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** SEM images of **a** untreated sand, **b**–**d** casein treated salt-afected sand (6.5% sodium caseinate and 10% salt) at 28 days of curing

of chemical bonds between biopolymer-biopolymer and biopolymer-soil and stronger biopolymer polymeric chains are formed in dry conditions. On the other hand, as poorly graded sand has negligible compressive strength, most of the strength in biopolymer treated sand is obtained from biopolymer bonding. Over time, the dehydration process leads to reduction in the moisture content and a higher compressive strength is expected to obtain. It is noteworthy that a considerable growth of compressive strength



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 7 UCS of salt-affected soil treated by various sodium caseinate contents after **a** 7 days, **b** 14 days, and **c** 28 days of curing

in samples was achieved on the 14th day of curing (96%), which indicates that before the 28th day of curing, most of the treatment process had elapsed.



<span id="page-9-1"></span>Fig. 8 A typical view of the effect of curing time on the UCS of soil containing 0.5% salt and 2% sodium caseinate

Figure [9](#page-10-0) shows a typical failure of soil specimens in UCS tests.

Figure [10](#page-10-1) illustrates the secant modulus of elasticity  $(E_{50})$  of samples before and after treatment on the 7th day of curing. As shown, a remarkable increase was achieved in the stifness of the salt-afected sand after biopolymer treatment By comparing Fig. [10a](#page-10-1) and b, it can be observed that casein solution content had a positive efect on increasing the stifness, although salt content acted in the reverse order. Overall, treatment by a higher content of biopolymer brought about a change in ductility and enhanced brittleness. This increase in stifness is because casein molecules are placed among sand and salt grains and limit their interactions. The binding capacity of the added biopolymer overcomes the negative impact of the existing salt and increases the stifness of the mixture by keeping the solid grains together (Chang et al.  $2018$ ; Varzi et al.  $2016$ ). Figure [11](#page-11-0) shows the stress–strain curve of sodium caseinate treated saltafected soil samples on the 7th day of curing. Table [5](#page-11-1) summarizes the mixture of soil samples in Fig. [11.](#page-11-0)

#### 4.4 Permeability

The effects of salt content and casein solution concentration on the permeability coefficient are shown in Fig. [12.](#page-11-2) Figure [12](#page-11-2)a demonstrates that the permeability coefficient was reduced as salt content increased. This is due to the fact that salt could fll the pores of the soil, although the reduction was not remarkable.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 9** A typical failure in UCS tests; **a** after test, **b** before test

It can be seen that adding the casein solution reduced the permeability, Fig. [12](#page-11-2)b. For instance, the permeability coefficient of soil containing  $0.5\%$  salt and 6.5% sodium caseinate on the 7th day of curing was  $1.70 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/s, which was significantly less than that of untreated salt-afected sand (with 0.5% salt) with the magnitude of  $8.8 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/s, Fig. [12a](#page-11-2) and b. The reduction in permeability is because the casein solution absorbs water and slows down water transport throughout the soil matrix with its water retention capability. Sodium caseinate biopolymer tends to absorb water because of its hydrophilic property and carrying negative charges. So, water and biopolymer molecules interact through diferent mechanisms leading to hydrogen bonding between hydroxide and hydrogen. Also, the absorbed water by dried



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 11** Stress–strain curve of sodium caseinate treated saltafected sand

biopolymer increases the flm volume existing in the soil mass pores which results in the reduction in coefficient of permeability. The results are in good agreement with previous studies that emphasized the clogging efects of viscous biopolymer hydrogels (Cabalar et al. [2017;](#page-13-27) Ivanov and Chu [2008](#page-14-28)). Furthermore, results showed that more salt and casein solution content (6.5% sodium caseinate and 10% salt) in soil did not lead to a further reduction in permeability. The accumulation of salt particles prevented the casein solution from acting as an efective binder. Moreover, a longer curing time generally achieved a lower permeability, as indicated in Fig. [12](#page-11-2)b. As an instance, it can be seen that the coefficient of permeability of 2% casein solution-mixed salt-afected soil (with 10% salt) reduced from  $3.1 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/s at 7 days of curing to  $11.8 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/s at 28 days of curing.

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span>**Table 5** Summary of the soil samples mixture in Fig. [10](#page-10-1)



\*SC, soil- casein with diferent percentage of casein (i.e. 2%, 3.5%, 5% or 6.5%)

\*\*SCS, soil-casein-salt with diferent percentage of casein (i.e. 2%, 3.5%, 5% or 6.5%) and salt (i.e. 0.5% or 10%)

<span id="page-11-2"></span>

### 4.5 Wind tunnel

Figure [13](#page-12-0) represents the results of wind erosion of samples at diferent velocities. Two diferent Y-axes were used in this fgure as it was not possible to indicate soil mass loss before and after treatment in one axis due to the signifcant diferences in their values. As seen, the salt-afected sample experienced a dramatic soil mass loss in the wind tunnel test at diferent velocities, but a signifcant reduction was observed in the soil mass loss by stabilization with casein solution. Although higher biopolymer concentration led to a decline in mass loss, 2% and 6.5% sodium caseinate content did not show a considerable diference in resistance against erosion. Thus, a small portion of casein solution content is sufficient to prevent a salt storm, which is more hazardous than a dust storm. Besides, in 7 days of curing, an acceptable performance against surface erosion was demonstrated by the treatment. Also, as expected, 28 days of curing had less soil mass loss than 7 days as biopolymer reached its maximum productivity by losing almost all the moisture. Soil mass loss in the sample of 2% casein solution-mixed salt-afected soil (with 10% salt and velocity of 150 km/h) was reduced from 0.84% for 7 days curing time to 0.26% after 28 days. In fact, added casein solution increased the soil's inter-particle strength. In other words, after drying, the soil surface formed a homogenous layer that was almost tough, without any cracks.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>

# **5 Conclusions**

In the current study, the physical and geotechnical properties of salt-afected soil stabilized with biopolymer (sodium caseinate) were evaluated by a series of laboratory explorations. The following conclusions can be obtained from the results of the tests.

- Optical images were used to visualize the effects of salt and sodium caseinate on the inter-particle structures of the soil. Results showed that casein solution was spread on saline soil particles and formed strong bonding, which caused interlocking between salt-afected soil particles.
- The results of the unconfined compression strength showed that substantial development of strength was achieved by the inclusion of sodium caseinate biopolymer in the salt-afected sand. An increase in sodium caseinate content led to an increase in the compressive strength of salt-afected sand, although when salt content increased, the UCS of salt-afected sand decreased. As time passed, casein solution-treated soil demonstrated a further increase in the UCS. The stifness of the samples was also increased considerably after treatment by casein solution to a level of at least 6 times higher compared to the untreated samples.
- A signifcant decrease in permeability was observed by adding the casein solution into the salt-afected soil regardless of the salt content. This could be attributed to the hydrophilic essence of the casein solution aided to slow down water transport by absorbing it. Permeability of the casein solution treated sand reduced by increasing the curing period, which indicates that longer curing time caused a further reduction in permeability because of the growth of the bonds.
- The wind tunnel test results indicated that the salt-afected sand experienced a signifcant soil mass loss at diferent velocities, but the inclusion of 2% casein solution was enough to form a wellstructured resistant layer on the soil surface that can withstand high wind velocity. Experiments also revealed that samples in the short-term curing demonstrated a considerable resistance against erosion.

Overall, the casein solution can be suitably used as **Fig.** 13 Wind tunnel test results and terms and alternative to cement to stabilize salt-affected soils **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to acknowledge the National Elites Foundation of Iran. Also, we would like to appreciate the efforts of Dr Afshin Asadi. This study was supported by Chem Concrete Pty Ltd (No. 120/3F1397) and Mat-Soil Company (No. 01A/2021).

**Funding** The authors have not disclosed any funding.

**Data availability** Enquiries about data availability should be directed to the authors.

### **Declarations**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Al-Amoudi OSB, Asi IM, EI-Naggar ZR (1995) Stabilization of an arid, saline sabkha soil using additives. Q J Eng Geol Hydrogeol 28(4):369–379
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Arab MG, Mousa R, Gabr A, Azam A, El-Badawy S, Hassan A (2019) Resilient behavior of sodium alginate-treated cohesive soils for pavement applications. J Mater Civ Eng 31(1):04018361
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>ASTM D1557-12e1 (ASTM 2012). In standard test methods for laboratory compaction characteristics of soil using modified effort (56,000 ft-lbf/ft3 (2700 kN-m/m3)). ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>ASTM D2166-16 (ASTM 2016) In standard test method for unconfned compressive strength of cohesive soil. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>ASTM D5084–16a (ASTM 2016) In standard test methods for measurement of hydraulic conductivity of saturated porous materials using a fexible wall permeameter. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>ASTM D2487-17e1 (ASTM 2017) In standard practice for classifcation of soils for engineering purposes (Unifed Soil Classifcation System). ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Bahmani M, Fatehi H, Noorzad A, Hamedi J (2019) Biological soil improvement using new environmental bacteria isolated from northern Iran. Environ Geotechn 1–13
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Bonal N, Prasad A, Verma A (2020) Use of biopolymers to enhance the geotechnical properties of coal mine overburden waste. Géotech Lett 10(2):179–185
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Cabalar A, Wiszniewski M, Skutnik Z (2017) Efects of xanthan gum biopolymer on the permeability, odometer, unconfned compressive and triaxial shear behavior of a sand. Soil Mech Found Eng 54(5):356–361
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Chang I, Im J, Cho G-C (2016) Introduction of microbial biopolymers in soil treatment for future

environmentally-friendly and sustainable geotechnical engineering. Sustainability 8(3):251

- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Chang I, Im J, Chung M-K, Cho G-C (2018) Bovine casein as a new soil strengthening binder from diary wastes. Constr Build Mater 160:1–9
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Chang I, Im J, Prasidhi AK, Cho G-C (2015) Efects of Xanthan gum biopolymer on soil strengthening. Constr Build Mater 74:65–72
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Chen R, Lee I, Zhang L (2015) Biopolymer stabilization of mine tailings for dust control. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 141(2):04014100
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Cherlet M, Reynolds J, Hutchinson C, Hill J, von Maltitz G, Sommer S, Fensholt R, Horion S, Shepherd G, Weynants M (2015) World atlas of desertifcation mapping land degradation and sustainable land management opportunities-introductory brochure
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Dalgleish D (1998) Casein micelles as colloids: surface structures and stabilities. J Dairy Sci 81(11):3013–3018
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>De Kruif C (1999) Casein micelle interactions. Int Dairy J 9(3–6):183–188
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Dehghan H, Tabarsa A, Latif N, Bagheri Y (2019) Use of xanthan and guar gums in soil strengthening. Clean Technol Environ Policy 21(1):155–165
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Dingwen Z, Libin F, Songyu L, Yongfeng D (2013) Experimental investigation of unconfned compression strength and stifness of cement treated salt-rich clay. Mar Georesour Geotechnol 31(4):360–374
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Fatehi H, Abtahi SM, Hashemolhosseini H, Hejazi SM (2018) A novel study on using protein based biopolymers in soil strengthening. Constr Build Mater 167:813–821
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Fatehi H, Bahmani M, Noorzad A (2019) Strengthening of dune sand with sodium alginate biopolymer. In: Geo-congress 2019: soil improvement
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Fatehi H, Ong DE, Yu J, Chang I (2021) Biopolymers as green binders for soil improvement in geotechnical applications: a review. Geosciences 11(7):291
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Ghadir P, Ranjbar N (2018) Clayey soil stabilization using geopolymer and Portland cement. Constr Build Mater 188:361–371
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Ghadir P, Zamanian M, Mahbubi-Motlagh N, Saberian M, Li J, Ranjbar N (2021) Shear strength and life cycle assessment of volcanic ash-based geopolymer and cement stabilized soil: a comparative study. Transp Geotech 31:100639
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Hataf N, Ghadir P, Ranjbar N (2018) Investigation of soil stabilization using chitosan biopolymer. J Clean Prod 170:1493–1500
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Holt C, Carver J, Ecroyd H, Thorn D (2013) Invited review: caseins and the casein micelle: their biological functions, structures, and behavior in foods. J Dairy Sci 96(10):6127–6146
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Horpibulsuk S, Suddeepong A, Chinkulkijniwat A, Liu MD (2012) Strength and compressibility of lightweight cemented clays. Appl Clay Sci 69:11–21
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Hosseinpour Z, Najafpour-Darzi G, Latif N, Morowvat M, Manahiloh KN (2021) Synthesis of a biopolymer via a novel strain of Pantoea as a soil stabilizer. Transp Geotech 26:100425
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Huppertz T, Fox P, Kelly A (2018) The caseins: structure, stability, and functionality. In: Proteins in food processing. Elsevier, pp 49–92
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>ISO 11268 (ISO 1993) In soil quality—efects of pollutants on earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*)—part 1: determination of acute toxicity using artifcial soil substrate
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Ivanov V, Chu J (2008) Applications of microorganisms to geotechnical engineering for bioclogging and biocementation of soil in situ. Rev Environ Sci Bio/technol 7(2):139–153
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Jahandari S, Saberian M, Zivari F, Li J, Ghasemi M, Vali R (2019) Experimental study of the efects of curing time on geotechnical properties of stabilized clay with lime and geogrid. Int J Geotech Eng 13(2):172–183
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Jahandari S, Tao Z, Saberian M, Shariati M, Li J, Abolhasani M, Kazemi M, Rahmani A, Rashidi M (2021) Geotechnical properties of lime-geogrid improved clayey subgrade under various moisture conditions. Road Mater Pavement Des 1–19
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Jiang C, Zhang H, Zhang Z, Wang D (2019) Model-based assessment soil loss by wind and water erosion in China's Loess Plateau: dynamic change, conservation efectiveness, and strategies for sustainable restoration. Glob Planet Change 172:396–413
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Jiang CML, Ontisuka K (2004) Infuence of humic acid and salt concentration on lime-stabilized ariake clays and microstructure research. Chin J Geotech Eng 27(2):281–286
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Khatami HR, O'Kelly BC (2013) Improving mechanical properties of sand using biopolymers. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 139(8):1402–1406
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Latif N, Horpibulsuk S, Meehan CL, Abd Majid MZ, Rashid ASA (2016) Xanthan gum biopolymer: an eco-friendly additive for stabilization of tropical organic peat. Environ Earth Sci 75(9):825
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Latif N, Horpibulsuk S, Meehan CL, Abd Majid MZ, Tahir MM, Mohamad ET (2017) Improvement of problematic soils with biopolymer—an environmentally friendly soil stabilizer. J Mater Civ Eng 29(2):04016204
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Li M, Chai S, Du H, Wang C (2016) Efect of chlorine salt on the physical and mechanical properties of inshore saline soil treated with lime. Soils Found 56(3):327–335
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Maher M, Ho Y (1994) Mechanical properties of kaolinite/ fber soil composite. J Geotech Eng 120(8):1381–1393
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Middleton N, Kang U (2017) Sand and dust storms: Impact mitigation. Sustainability 9(6):1053
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Miraki H, Shariatmadari N, Ghadir P, Jahandari S, Tao Z, Siddique R (2021) Clayey soil stabilization using alkali-activated volcanic ash and slag. J Rock Mech Geotech Eng
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Mohammadifar L, Miraki H, Rahmani A, Jahandari S, Mehdizadeh B, Rasekh H, Samadi P, Samali B (2022) Properties of lime-cement concrete containing various amounts of waste tire powder under diferent ground moisture conditions. Polymers 14(3):482
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Mulvihill D, Ennis M (2003) Functional milk proteins: production and utilization. In: Advanced dairy chemistry—1 Proteins. Springer, pp 1175–1228
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Némethy G, Scheraga HA (1962) Structure of water and hydrophobic bonding in proteins. I. A model for the
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>36(12):3382–3400 Plank J (2005) Applications of biopolymers in construction engineering. Biopolym Online Biol Chem Biotechnol Appl 10
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Ramdas VM, Mandree P, Mgangira M, Mukaratirwa S, Lalloo R, Ramchuran S (2020) Review of current and future biobased stabilisation products (enzymatic and polymeric) for road construction materials. Transp Geotech 100458
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Schwark F (2009) Infuence factors for scenario analysis for new environmental technologies–the case for biopolymer technology. J Clean Prod 17(7):644–652
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Shariatmadari N, Hasanzadehshooiili H, Ghadir P, Saeidi F, Moharami F (2021) Compressive strength of sandy soils stabilized with alkali-activated volcanic ash and slag. J Mater Civ Eng 33(11):04021295
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Shariatmadari N, Reza M, Tasuji A, Ghadir P, Javadi AA (2020) Experimental study on the efect of chitosan biopolymer on sandy soil stabilization. In: E3S Web of conferences
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Sivakumar Babu G, Vasudevan A (2008) Strength and stifness response of coir fber-reinforced tropical soil. J Mater Civ Eng 20(9):571–577
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Smitha S, Rangaswamy K (2020) Effect of biopolymer treatment on pore pressure response and dynamic properties of silty sand. J Mater Civ Eng 32(8):04020217
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Soldo A, Miletić M (2019) Study on shear strength of xanthan gum-amended soil. Sustainability 11(21):6142
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Varzi A, Raccichini R, Marinaro M, Wohlfahrt-Mehrens M, Passerini S (2016) Probing the characteristics of casein as green binder for non-aqueous electrochemical double layer capacitors' electrodes. J Power Sources 326:672–679
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Xing H, Yang X, Xu C, Ye G (2009) Strength characteristics and mechanisms of salt-rich soil–cement. Eng Geol 103(1–2):33–38
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Zhao T, Liu F, Duan X, Xiao C, Liu X (2018) Physicochemical properties of lutein-loaded microcapsules and their uptake via caco-2 monolayers. Molecules 23(7):1805
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Zhao Z, Corredig M (2015) Changes in the physico-chemical properties of casein micelles in the presence of sodium chloride in untreated and concentrated milk protein. Dairy Sci Technol 95(1):87–99
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Zhou Z, Zhang Z, Zou X, Zhang K, Zhang W (2020) Quantifying wind erosion at landscape scale in a temperate grassland: Nonignorable infuence of topography. Geomorphology 370:107401

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.