Expressions and characterization of MuRFs, Atrogin-1, F-box25 genes in tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, in response to starvation



Walaa M. Shaalan • Nassr Allah Abd El-Hameid • Sabry S. El-Serafy • Mohamed Salem 💿

Received: 28 March 2019 / Accepted: 24 May 2019 / Published online: 12 June 2019 © Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Abstract Muscle accretion is affected by the difference between protein synthesis and its degradation. Studies on different species revealed that muscle proteolysis is mediated by different pathways including the ubiquitinproteasome pathway in which the ubiquitin protein ligases play an important role. These muscle atrophy associated ligases were not well studied in tilapia. In this study, we characterized the ubiquitin protein ligases MuRF1/2/3, Atrogin-1 and F-box25, members of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in tilapia, Oreochromis *niloticus*, and their expressions in the muscle of starved, fed, refed, and control fish. Sequences of these genes revealed presence of Ring finger, B-box, and Cos domains in all MuRF genes, as well as F-box domain in Atrogin-1 and F-box25 genes. Real-time qPCR data analysis showed that expression of MuRF1/2/3, Atrogin-1, F-box25, and proteasome complex genes was significantly upregulated in starved fish compared to fed fish. Concurrently, the proteasome activity was 1.7-folds elevated in the starved fish compared to fed fish. These results confirm the important role of these genes in muscle degradation and suggest potential usage as markers of muscle accretion in tilapia.

W. M. Shaalan · M. Salem (🖂) Department of Biology, Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN, USA e-mail: Mohamed.salem@mtsu.edu

W. M. Shaalan · N. A. A. El-Hameid · S. S. El-Serafy Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha, Egypt **Keywords** Tilapia · MuRFs · Atrogin-1 · F-box25 · Proteolysis · Proteasome

Introduction

Tilapia ranks as the second most cultivated fish in the world, after carp. Aquaculture of tilapia provides dietary sources of protein and minerals for millions of poor families in the world and is an important means of the economic and social improvements. Tilapia are among the most profitable and easiest fish to farm due to their fast growth, palatability, a short production interval of 6-8 months, and omnivorous low-cost dietary needs (feeds on phytoplankton, periphyton, and aquatic plants). Plant-based diets and fast growth reduce the likelihood that tilapia will accumulate environmental contaminants (Mzengereza 2016, Aanyu et al. 2017). Most tilapia that are consumed in North America and Europe come from Asia, Middle East, and South America. In America, Tilapia is considered as a new aquaculture species.

Muscle yield is an important trait affecting aquaculture profitability. Muscle yield decreases when muscle protein degradation increases compared to the protein synthesis (Bonaldo and Sandri 2013, Salem et al. 2005, Salem et al. 2006). In many cases, the rate of protein synthesis remained unchanged while the proteolysis that leads to muscle atrophy increases (Okamoto et al. 2011).

The membrane-bound lysosomal enzymes, calpain proteinases, and the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway enzymes are important proteolytic systems that are responsible for muscle degradation in mammals and fish (Lecker et al. 2004, Paneru et al. 2018). However, some reports indicated that contribution of the proteasome to total muscle protein turnover is lower in fish muscle than that in mammals (Seiliez et al. 2014). However, a large part of the muscle degradation comes from the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway which includes the ubiquitin-activating enzymes, ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes, and ubiquitin ligases (Fareed et al. 2006; Paneru et al. 2018). During ubiquitination, E1 (ubiquitinactivating enzymes) do a series of ATP-dependent enzymatic steps. E2 (ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes) bind to E3 (ubiquitin-ligating) which bind with the substrate protein to form E2-E3_substrate complex. This complex becomes ready to be recognized and degraded by the proteasome (Seiliez et al. 2008). Muscle RING finger (MuRF) and muscle atrophy atrogin-1/F-box protein-32 (F-box32) and F-box protein-25 (F-box25) are ubiquitin-ligating enzymes (Wang et al. 2011; Bodine et al. 2001). The genes encoding for the E3 enzymes have characteristic domains which are RING-finger domain, B-box zinc finger domain and Leucine-rich coiled-coil domain in MuRF genes and F-box domain in F-box genes, as well as the motif sequences that are conserved for the enzyme activity (Wang et al. 2011). The RING finger domain and B-box zinc finger domain are evolutionarily conserved and involved in proteinprotein interactions that mediate different functions as gene transcription, signal transduction, differentiation, morphogenesis, microtubule stabilization and ubiquitination (Borden 1998). In humans, one of the most important regulatory proteins in the ubiquitinproteasome proteolysis of muscle are MuRF1 and atrogin-1 proteins (Perera et al. 2012). Atrogin-1 and F-box25 play an important role in transferring ubiquitin molecules to the substrate protein marking it for degradation (Gomes et al. 2001). Previous studies showed that MuRF1 plays an important role in muscle hypertrophy and deletion of this gene can suppress muscle atrophy in mice (Bodine et al. 2001). Wang et al., (2011) suggested that MuRF genes have important role in muscle degradation as they find the expression of these genes were up-regulated in the starved rainbow trout fish. Nebo and coworkers reported increased RNA abundance of MuRF1 and atrogin-1 in fasted tilapia (Nebo et al. 2017). Also, loss of MuRF3 gene negatively affects the mice cardiac muscle function (Fielitz et al. 2007). Atrogin-1/F-box32 was up-regulated in several types of muscle degradation (Lecker et al. 2004; Clarke

et al. 2007). In fish, expression of F-box32 increases in starved rainbow trout and leads to muscle atrophy (Cleveland and Evenhuis 2010). It is noticed that the knowledge of the ubiquitin ligase enzymes in tilapia is limited. Therefore, this study was undertaken to identify and characterize genes of the ubiquitin ligases in tilapia and to examine their expression/activity under muscle catabolic conditions caused by starvation.

Material and methods

Experimental design

The experiments were approved by the MTSU institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC), protocol 17-3008. Fish fingerlings (1.4 g average weight) were obtained from Allin's company, Chianti, CT, USA and were acclimated for 15 days before the start of experiments. The study was carried out in two experiments (starved against fed and refed against control). Each experiment was conducted in triplicate tanks $(50.5 \times 25.5 \times 31.5 \text{ cm})$. Each tank contained 18 fish. In the first experiment, fish were either starved for 2 weeks or manually fed a commercial fish diet (provided by Allin's company) at a ratio of 5-7% of fish body weight twice per day for 7 days a week. In the second experiment, fish were starved for 2 weeks then fed for two extra weeks as mentioned before. The control group was fed for 4 weeks. Tanks were cleaned daily to get rid of feces and remaining food particles. Water quality parameters were measured daily and were maintained at temperature = 28-30 °C, pH = 7-7.2, ammonia = 0-0.25, nitrite = 0, and nitrate = 0. At the end of each experiment, fish were euthanized using an overdose of MS222 (Syndel USA, Ferndale, WA). Muscle samples were collected in liquid nitrogen and immediately stored at - 80 °C.

RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated from each fish (8 fish randomly selected/tank) using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The concentration of samples was measured using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific, Hudson, NH, USA) by measuring absorbance at 260 nm. Gel electrophoresis was performed to determine integrity of the RNAs.

cDNA library preparation

cDNA was prepared using a verso cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, Hudson, NH, USA) according to the manufacture's instruction using a random primer. The kit contains buffer to eliminate genomic DNA contamination. Concentrations of cDNA samples were measured using a Nanodrop.

Real-time qPCR

Real-time qPCR primers for MuRFs, Atrogin-1, Fbox25, and proteasome genes were designed using primer3 software (Kõressaar et al. 2018) and the cDNA sequence of each gene. The NCBI accession numbers of the cDNAs are presented in Table 1. qPCR was performed in duplicate using 80-fold diluted cDNA samples on Bio-Rad iCycler PCR detection system. Realtime qPCR reaction was performed using a SYBR green master mix (Thermo Scientific, Hudson, NH, USA) that was added to the cDNA templates at concentration of $0.006 \ \mu g/\mu l$ and the forward/reverse primers used were at a concentration of 10 μ M/ μ l (Ali et al. 2018). The denaturation step was done at 95 °C for 7.00 min followed by 40 amplification cycles that include denaturation at 95 °C for 0.1 min, annealing at 57-64 °C according to each gene's melting temperature, and final extension at 60 °C for 5 min. Tilapia β -actin gene was used as internal control for normalization (Yang et al. 2013). The quantification of PCR data was done using ΔCt method. ΔCT was calculated by subtracting CT of β actin from CT of the gene of interest. $\Delta\Delta$ CT was

Table 1 Primers used for qPCR analysis

calculated by subtracting Δ Ct of the control group (Fed or Control) from the treated group (starved or refed, respectively). Relative gene expression of the treated samples to the control samples was calculated by the formula of $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ (Paneru et al. 2018).

Proteasome activity assay

Tilapia muscle samples were homogenized in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing 1% triton then centrifuged for 20 min at 10,000 rpm, and the supernatant was retained (Fareed et al. 2006). The protein concentration was measured using a BCA assay kit according to the manufacture instructions (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The proteasome activity was measured using a 20S proteasome assay kit according to the manufacture protocol (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). A Suc-LLVY-AMC substrate was used to measure the activity (Meng et al. 1999). The fluorophore 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) was detected after cleavage from the substrate at 380 and 460 nm as excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively. The enzyme activity was normalized to the protein concentration and used to calculate fold change in activity between the experimental groups. Data analysis and fold change of the activity was calculated and presented using Microsoft Excel.

Gene sequence and protein analyses

Clustal W2 was used in aligning the protein sequences and BOXSHADE was used for printing and shading of

Gene name	Forward primer	Reverse primer	NCBI accession
F-box32	ATGCCTTTTCTCGGACAGGA	GTCGTCGGCTGTTGTCTTTT	XP 003443717.1
F-box25	TCCAGCGCTATTCAGGACTT	TCCACTCAAAGACGTCAGCT	XP 005454750.1
MuRF1	CAAGCACGTGTTCTCCTTCA	TCATATTTGCTGCGTGGGTA	XP_003458184.1
MuRF2	TGTGCAGAAAATGTGCCAAT	AACGTCCACCAGAGGTCAAC	XP_003443662.1
MuRF3	CCGTGTAGTGTGTGCGATTT	CTGCGACTGATGGTCAAGAA	XP_005464863.1
Proteasome 26S	CAAGCTGCTGAGCTCTTCCT	CAGGCCTCTTGAGAGCAATC	XP_003442732.1
Proteasome alpha 5	AAGGGTTTTTGGCTGAAGGT	CCTGGAACAATCTTCCCTCA	XP_003441568.1
Proteasome beta 3	ATGCTGAATGCAGTTGATCG	CTAGTCCATCCTGGCCTTCA	XP_003448021.2
Polyubiquitin	GCTGTTGTGTGAGCGTCATT	TTGGCTGTAGTCTTGCGATG	XP_019221483.1
β-actin	TCTCGGCTGTGGTGGTGAA	GACCCACACAGTGCCCATCT	XM_003455949

 Table 2
 Significant difference in body weight between groups in response to starvation and refeeding

Group	Average final body weight	Number of fishes
Starved	$0.839^{*} \pm 0.154$	18
Fed	5.621 ± 0.942	18
Refed	$3.236^* \pm 0.332$	18
Control	7.879 ± 1.039	18

*Represent the significant difference between the group with its respective control (starved vs fed and refed vs control. Data presented as mean \pm SD (n = 18). The average weight for all fish at the beginning of the experiment was 1.64 \pm 0.064, fish were randomly distributed between groups

the multiple-alignments (Sievers et al. 2011). Functional domains were predicted by aligning each gene with the same gene predicted in trout (Wang et al. 2011; Cleveland and Evenhuis 2010).

Fig. 1 Differential gene expression MuRF1, MuRF2, MuRF3, Atrogin-1, and F-box25 genes in starved versus fed and refed versus control groups. Gene expressions were determined by qPCR. The data were normalized using the β -actin gene. The expression of genes was presented using fold change between starved and fed groups and refed and control group \pm standard deviation where (*n* = 7) and (*p* ≤ 0.05)

Results and discussion

Changes in body weight with starvation and refeeding

After 2 weeks of starvation, fish average body weigh was significantly less than that of the fed fish, $0.839^* \pm 0.154$ g versus 5.621 ± 0.942 g (Table 2). In the second experiment where fish were starved for 2 weeks then fed for two extra weeks, fish body weight was significantly less $(3.236^* \pm 0.332 \text{ g})$ compared to the control group $(7.879 \pm 1.039 \text{ g})$ which was fed for 4 weeks $(7.879 \pm 1.039 \text{ g})$.

Relative gene expression of MuRF1/2/3, Atrogin-1 and F-box25

The results of qPCR revealed that expression of all tested MuRFs, Atrogin-1, and F-box25 genes were



Fig. 2 Differential gene expression of the 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 6, proteasome alpha5, proteasome beta3, and polyubiquitin genes in starved versus fed, refed, versus control groups. Gene expressions were determined by qPCR. The data were normalized using the βactin gene. The expression of genes was presented using fold change between starved and fed group and refed and control group ± standard deviation where n = 7) and $p \le 0.05$



significantly increased in the starved group compared to the fed group (respective control). The expression values of MuRF1/2/3 genes were upregulated by 4.6-, 4.2-, and 8.3-folds, respectively, in the starved fish compared to the fed fish (respective control, $p \le 0.05$). Meanwhile, the refed fish showed no significant difference in MuRF1/2/3, compared to the control as depicted in Fig. 1. Similarly, expression of Atrogin-1 and Fbox25 genes were drastically increased under starvation



Fig. 3 Effect of starvation on the proteasome enzyme activity in starved versus fed, and refed versus the control groups ($P \le 0.05$). The activity was expressed as relative fold change \pm SD

conditions as described in Fig. 1. Atrogin-1 was 85-fold upregulated in the starved group compared to the fed group and 8-fold in the refed group compared to the control group ($p \le 0.05$). F-box25 showed 8.7-fold increase in the starved group compared to the control group and 2.8-fold increase in the refed group compared to the control group ($p \le 0.05$).

Consistent with our data, previous studies on rainbow trout showed upregulation of MuRF genes in starved fish compared to the control fish (Wang et al. 2011). A recent study showed that tilapia exposed to starvation had more than tenfold expression increase in Atrogin-1 after 1, 2, and 3 weeks of starvation. Similarly, MuRF1 had 2-4-fold increases in starved fish compared to control group (Nebo et al. 2017). In Atlantic salmon, starved fish showed 47-, 8-, and 5-fold increases in Atrogin-1, MuRF1, and Fbox25, respectively (Tacchi et al. 2010). In mammals, starving rats for 51 h led to an increase in the Atrogin-1 level by 5.8-folds (Dehoux et al. 2004). Also, Atrogin-1 in human had 8.2and 9.8-folds increase in expression levels after 1 and 2 days of starvation, respectively (Jagoe et al. 2002). The conserved role of these genes in muscle atrophy suggests that these genes could be used to develop genetic markers for muscle accretion in tilapia (Salem et al. 2006, Macqueen et al. 2014, de Boer et al. 2007).

Fig. 4 Predominant expression of MuRF 1,2,3, Atrogin-1 and Fbox25 genes in muscle tissues. Three to four cDNA samples were used in RT-PCR amplification and one set of genes was presented



Several studies investigated the role of Atrogin and MURFs in regulating muscle growth and atrophy. Atrogin and MURFs target specific proteins with critical roles in muscle growth including MyoD and eIF3-f and ATP generation especially glycolysis. In addition, Atrogin and MURFs can target myofibrillar proteins including myosin-binding protein C (MyBP-C) and myosin light chains 1 and 2 (MyLC1 and MyLC2), and myosin heavy chain (MyHC). For review, see Bodine and Baehr 2014 (Bodine and Baehr 2014) who suggested that Atrogins controls protein synthesis, whereas MuRFs control protein degradation.

Relative gene expression of proteasome genes

Four genes chosen to represent the proteasome pathway showed concurrent upregulated expression in the

Fig. 5 Percentage of amino acid sequences homology of MuRF1,2,3, Atrogin-1, and Fbox25 genes in tilapia compared to different species starved and refed fish compared to the control groups (Fig. 2, $P \le 0.05$). Expression of the 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 6, proteasome alpha5, proteasome beta3, and polyubiquitin genes were 19.6-, 19.1-, 9.7-, and 16.8-folds upregulated, respectively, in the starved group compared to the fed group. The positive correlation in expression of MuRF genes, Atrogin, and F-box25 with the proteasome genes indicates active ubiquitin-proteasome proteolysis in muscle during starvation (Fig. 2). The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway is considered a major system responsible for the bulk proteolysis during muscle atrophy (Paneru et al. 2018).

Proteasome activity

Consistent with the starvation effect in increasing mRNA abundance of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway



		Ring finger domain
Murf1	1	MESLEKOLICPICLEMFTKPVV1LPCOHNLCRKCAN
Murf2	1	monlekolicpiclemftkpvvilpcohnlcrkcan
Murf3	1	MSFALGFKHPTAGSSGPGAGSGATMENLEKOLICPVCLEMFSKPVVILPCOHNLCRKCAN
Murf1	37	DIFQTSNPYL <mark>STR-</mark> SGS <mark>TVTSGGRFRCPSC</mark> RHEVVLDRHGVYGLQRNLLVENIIDMYKQ-
Murf2	37	DVFQ <mark>A</mark> SNPYLP <mark>TRSGS-LTSGGRFRCPSCR</mark> HEVILDRHGVYGLQRNLLVENIIDMFKQE
Murf3	61	DIFQSANPLWHSRGSSSATASGGRFRCPSCRHEVVLDRHGVYGLQRNLLVENIIDIYRQQ
		B-box domain
Murf1	95	GSTSKPVVEVKPEQPMCEEHEDEKINIYCVTCSAPTCSLCKVFGAHKDCEVAPLNDVF
Murf2	95	SSSSKPAPEKKEETPMCDIHEDEKINIYCVTHGVPTCSMCKVFGAHKDCEVAPLSSIY
Murf3	121	ESSRLVSMKPEQQQQQLMCEEHEDEKINIYCLSCQTPTCSMCKVFGQHRDCDVAPLGTVY
		Coiled- coil domain
Murf1	153	NKQKAELADCVSMLVGNNERIQAIVSQLEETCRAVDENGRRQKSKVCETFDHLFALLEEK
Murf2	153	Q <u>TK</u> KTELSDGIAMVVGNNDRMQGIISQLEEA <mark>CRAIEENS</mark> RRQKTLVCEKFDHLYSILEEK
Murf3	181	MRQKTELSDGIAILVASNDNVQAVISQME <mark>AI</mark> CR <mark>TIEENGQ</mark> RQREHLSGHFE <mark>RLV</mark> SILEER
Murf1	213	
Murf2	213	NOBOLVETWERGERUNYTOCI II CHARACTER CETTER CTOMPEDEMALELONIKALI
Murf3	213	KOELVGRUTTBEODEKTKKHVOSTITBOHSDHLEVGVUTVESGTOSMEEPHMPTFTOSAOATT
Mullo	211	
Murf1	273	LKIVECSDT <u>SHIDKVQHGYENMDH</u> ETADFEHQRRALS <u>NIDFIKLDEDEDD-DEDEC</u> EVKV
Murf2	273	KKTEBASSAAHIDKVELGYESMDHYKVDEKKEGKALRSIDELQDDEDEDEDEDEDAGAGAB
Murf3	301	EKMAVMARASKHOLPDIGUSSUSUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
Murf1	332	QTACSLAESQQAASAASAGLPANQQPPLSTATSAPLPEKALETTPPTSSETKSASPSTSN
Murf2	333	EGEGSQTVSGGAVSATSAQPSAPPQQ∛SSSPSAPTSTPSTSTPST
Murf3	359	LDF <mark>GS</mark> RF
Mar 61	200	
Muril	392	QTPPPLPLPAASPAPQSDLQSEAEDKDGPKHVFSFSWLNQK
Muri2		
Muri3		

Fig. 6 Multiple alignments of MuRF1,2, and 3 amino acids sequences of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Dark background indicates identical amino acids, gray background indicates same properties of different amino acids, and amino acids with no

background indicates different name and properties. The red box indicated the amino acid sequences of zinc finger-ring type domain. The green box indicates the B-box type zinc finger domain, and the blue box indicates cos domain (coiled coil)

genes, results of this study also showed that the proteasome enzyme activity was significantly higher in the starved fish muscle by 1.7 folds compared to the fed fish as illustrated in Fig. 3. The activity was also elevated in the refed group by 1.5 folds compared to the control group. Similar results were observed in rainbow trout fish that exhibited slight but significant increase in the hepatic proteasome activity after 3 weeks of starvation (Salem et al. 2007). MuRFs and Atrogin tissue distribution

Real-time qPCR was used to determine expression of the MuRF 1,2,3, Atrogin-1, and F-box25 in 10 tissues/ organs; white muscle, red muscle, heart, skin, gill, eye, kidney, liver, stomach, and intestine. All genes revealed predominant expression in muscle tissues, white muscle, red muscle, and heart as shown in Fig. 4. Similar results were reported in rainbow trout fish (Wang et al.

F-box25	1 MPFLCKDWRSPCWSWTKTEDCWKRIILEGDVL®DNNGEIDIKELCNGNNENLF
Atrogin-1/F-box	1 MPFLCCDWRSPCOSWVKTE®CWKKTTADDKNNNVSVOSFCKEAEEEESFNKENLL
F-box25 Atrogin-1/F-box	54 VGDVCELSTTKRKKDFYNNNTKSOFVFTDKWIYIOKESTKERHGYCTLGBALNRLDFSSA 56 LSFSYDMAAKKRKKDLMNNNTKVPYFHREKWIYVHKCSTKERHGYCTLGBAFNRLDFCSA F-box
F-box25 Atrogin-1/F-box	114 IODLRRENYVAKLFQLIARSQLTSLSGAAQKNYENILEKIVRKVLEDHYNPRLVKELLQD 116 IKDTRRENYVVRLLELIAKSQLESLSGVAQKNYMNILERVVQKVLDDQQNVRPIKELLQT domain
F-box25	174 ISSTEHSETIH-GRCVLVGNVNIWLCRLETIVKWQQEENNLQTPKQMCTGMSFSDLPLHM
Atrogin-1/F-box	176 LYVSICGUVQDMGKSVLVGNINIWVHRMENILQWQQQTDNIQINRPTNTGMTITDLPVSL
F-box25	233 ONKIIYKESDACDIINLGOATETTHILSENNTLWKKLCHEHESDRPFCRTUAITKNDNVD
Atrogin-1/F-box	236 OLNIMHRLSDGRDLVS <mark>LGO</mark> VCEELGTLTEDRLLWKKLC <mark>O</mark> YHETDROIRKRUMVSDKGHLE
F-box25	293 WKLMYETTOKHYEMKEQYGDTLHFCKHCSILEWKDSGHPCTANDPDSCLMPISPOHEIDL
Atrogin-1/F-box	296 WKK <mark>MYEKI</mark> SRC <u>YE</u> HREQYS <mark>DTLHFC</mark> THCHILFWKDINHPCTANNPESCTMSLSPODFINL
F-box25	353 FKF
Atrogin-1/F-box	356 FKF

Fig. 7 Multiple alignments of F-box25 and Atrogin-1 amino acids sequences of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Dark back-ground indicates identical amino acids, gray background indicates

2011, Cleveland and Evenhuis 2010) indicating important role of these genes in muscle physiology of fish.

Molecular characterization of MuRF1/2/3, Atrogin-1, and F-box25

Searching the GENBANK database, muscle degradation genes, such as MuRF genes, Atrogin-1, and Fbox25 were identified. Table 1 shows the accession numbers for MuRF 1, 2, and 3 cDNAs, where they were found to comprise of 2264, 2258, and 2335 nucleotide (nt) sequences, respectively. The longest ORFs were 432, 372, and 365 amino acids for MuRF1, 2, and 3, respectively. Amino acid sequence of tilapia MuRF1 shared variable homology percentages with different species including 52% with Maylandia zebra, 54% with Danio rario, 62% with Gallus gallus, and 63% with Homo sapiens. The amino acid identity similarities were higher for MuRF2 and MuRF3; 97% and 96% identical to Maylandia zebra, 80% and 64% identical to Danio rario, 67% and 63% identical to Gallus gallus, and 67% and 62% identical to Homo sapiens, respectively (Fig. 5).

Tilapia Atrogin-1/F-box32 and F-box25 cDNAs had 2459 and 3596 nt sequence. The longest ORFs were 358 and 355 amino acids for F-box32 and F-box25,

same properties of different amino acids, and amino acids with no background indicates different name and properties. The red box indicates the amino acid sequences of F-box domain

respectively. Amino acid sequences of F-box32 and F-box25 were 99% identical to *Maylandia zebra*, 86% and 75%, identical to *Danio rario*, 75% and 67% identical to *Gallus gallus*, and 74% and 66% identical to *Homo sapiens*, respectively (Fig. 5).

Protein functional domains for these genes were predicted. MuRF1/2/3 protein sequences contain functional Zinc finger domain comprising amino acids 9-65 in Murf1/2, and 34–90 in Murf3 as shown in Fig. 6. Zinc finger-Ring type domain is characterized by the presence of zinc atoms and finger-like protrusions that strongly bind enzymes with their substrates in the degradation process. This action affects the protein transcription, transduction, differentiation, and ubiquitination, that leads to substrate protein degradation (Wang et al. 2011; Witt et al. 2005). B-box Zinc finger domain contains amino acids 110-143 in MuRF1/2 and 138-171 in MuRF3 as presented in Fig. 6. B-box domain also is a protein-protein interaction domain (Borden 1998). Moreover, coiled-coil domain contains amino acids 145-187 in MuRF1/2 and 173–215 in MuRF3 as cleared in Fig. 6. The predicted coiled-coil domains are involved in association with microtubules (Spencer et al. 2000). On the other hand, Atrogin-1/F-box32 and F-box25 contain F-box domain that contain amino acids from 163 to 211 and 165 to

214, respectively, as indicated in Fig. 7. The predicted F-box domain can act with Leucine-rich repeats in protein-substrate interaction (Cleveland and Evenhuis 2010; Gomes et al. 2001).

Funding This project was funded by the Ministry of higher education, Egyptian government.

References

- Aanyu M, Ganda E, Richard D, Musimbi Constantine F, Ondhoro C (2017) Feeding chart for semi-intensive pond production of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed on a plant-based diet and economic performance
- Ali A, Al-Tobasei R, Kenney B, Leeds TD, Salem M (2018) Integrated analysis of lncRNA and mRNA expression in rainbow trout families showing variation in muscle growth and fillet quality traits. Sci Rep-Uk 8:12111. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-018-30655-8
- Bodine SC, Baehr LM (2014) Skeletal muscle atrophy and the E3 ubiquitin ligases MuRF1 and MAFbx/atrogin-1. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 307:E469–E484. https://doi. org/10.1152/ajpendo.00204.2014
- Bodine SC, Latres E, Baumhueter S, Lai VK, Nunez L, Clarke BA, Poueymirou WT, Panaro FJ, Na E, Dharmarajan K, Pan ZQ, Valenzuela DM, Dechiara TM, Stitt TN, Yancopoulos GD, Glass DJ (2001) Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. Science 294:1704–1708. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065874
- Bonaldo P, Sandri M (2013) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of muscle atrophy. Dis Model Mech 6:25–39. https://doi. org/10.1242/dmm.010389
- Borden KL (1998) RING fingers and B-boxes: zinc-binding protein-protein interaction domains. Biochem Cell Biol 76:351– 358
- Clarke BA, Drujan D, Willis MS, Murphy LO, Corpina RA, Burova E, Rakhilin SV, Stitt TN, Patterson C, Latres E, Glass DJ (2007) The E3 ligase MuRF1 degrades myosin heavy chain protein in dexamethasone-treated skeletal muscle. Cell Metab 6:376–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2007.09.009
- Cleveland BM, Evenhuis JP (2010) Molecular characterization of atrogin-1/F-box protein-32 (FBXO32) and F-box protein-25 (FBXO25) in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): expression across tissues in response to feed deprivation. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 157:248–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2010.06.010
- De Boer MD, Selby A, Atherton P, Smith K, Seynnes OR, Maganaris CN, Maffulli N, Movin T, Narici MV, Rennie MJ (2007) The temporal responses of protein synthesis, gene expression and cell signalling in human quadriceps muscle and patellar tendon to disuse. J Physiol 585:241–251. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.142828
- Dehoux M, Van Beneden R, Pasko N, Lause P, Verniers J, Underwood L, Ketelslegers JM, Thissen JP (2004) Role of the insulin-like growth factor I decline in the induction of atrogin-1/MAFbx during fasting and diabetes.

Endocrinology 145:4806–4812. https://doi.org/10.1210 /en.2004-0406

- Fareed MU, Evenson AR, Wei W, Menconi M, Poylin V, Petkova V, Pignol B, Hasselgren PO (2006) Treatment of rats with calpain inhibitors prevents sepsis-induced muscle proteolysis independent of atrogin-1/MAFbx and MuRF1 expression. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 290:R1589– R1597. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00668.2005
- Fielitz J, Van Rooij E, Spencer JA, Shelton JM, Latif S, Van Der Nagel R, Bezprozvannaya S, De Windt L, Richardson JA, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN (2007) Loss of muscle-specific RING-finger 3 predisposes the heart to cardiac rupture after myocardial infarction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:4377– 4382. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611726104
- Gomes MD, Lecker SH, Jagoe RT, Navon A, Goldberg AL (2001) Atrogin-1, a muscle-specific F-box protein highly expressed during muscle atrophy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:14440– 14445. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.251541198
- Jagoe RT, Lecker SH, Gomes M, Goldberg AL (2002) Patterns of gene expression in atrophying skeletal muscles: response to food deprivation. FASEB J 16:1697–1712. https://doi. org/10.1096/fj.02-0312com
- Kõressaar T, Lepamets M, Kaplinski L, Raime K, Andreson R, Remm M (2018) Primer3_masker: integrating masking of template sequence with primer design software. Bioinformatics. 34:1937–1938. https://doi.org/10.1093 /bioinformatics/bty036
- Lecker SH, Jagoe RT, Gilbert A, Gomes M, Baracos V, Bailey J, Price SR, Mitch WE, Goldberg AL (2004) Multiple types of skeletal muscle atrophy involve a common program of changes in gene expression. FASEB J 18:39–51. https://doi. org/10.1096/fj.03-0610com
- Macqueen DJ, Fuentes EN, Valdés JA, Molina A, Martin SaM (2014) The vertebrate muscle-specific RING finger protein family includes MuRF4 – a novel, conserved E3-ubiquitin ligase. FEBS Lett 588:4390–4397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. febslet.2014.10.008
- Meng L, Mohan R, Kwok BH, Elofsson M, Sin N, Crews CM (1999) Epoxomicin, a potent and selective proteasome inhibitor, exhibits in vivo antiinflammatory activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:10403–10408
- Mzengereza K (2016) Apparent Nutrient Digestibility of Plant Based Diets by Tilapia rendalli(Boulenger, 1896)
- Nebo C, Overturf K, Brezas A, Dal-Pai-Silva M, Portella MC (2017) Alteration in expression of atrogenes and IGF-1 induced by fasting in Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus juveniles. Int Aquat Res 9:361–372. https://doi.org/10.1007 /s40071-017-0182-1
- Okamoto T, Torii S, Machida S (2011) Differential gene expression of muscle-specific ubiquitin ligase MAFbx/Atrogin-1 and MuRF1 in response to immobilization-induced atrophy of slow-twitch and fast-twitch muscles. J Physiol Sci 61:537– 546. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12576-011-0175-6
- Paneru B, Ali A, Al-Tobasei R, Kenney B, Salem M (2018) Crosstalk among lncRNAs, microRNAs and mRNAs in the muscle 'degradome' of rainbow trout. Sci Rep-Uk 8:8416. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26753-2
- Perera S, Mankoo B, Gautel M (2012) Developmental regulation of MURF E3 ubiquitin ligases in skeletal muscle. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 33:107–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10974-012-9288-7

- Salem M, Nath J, Rexroad CE, Killefer J, Yao J (2005) Identification and molecular characterization of the rainbow trout calpains (Capn1 and Capn2): their expression in muscle wasting during starvation. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 140:63–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cbpc.2004.09.007
- Salem M, Kenney PB, Rexroad CE 3rd, Yao J (2006) Microarray gene expression analysis in atrophying rainbow trout muscle: a unique nonmammalian muscle degradation model. Physiol Genomics 28:33–45. https://doi.org/10.1152 /physiolgenomics.00114.2006
- Salem M, Silverstein J, Rexroad CE, Yao J (2007) Effect of starvation on global gene expression and proteolysis in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). BMC Genomics 8:328. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-328
- Seiliez I, Panserat S, Skiba-Cassy S, Fricot A, Vachot C, Kaushik S, Tesseraud S (2008) Feeding status regulates the polyubiquitination step of the ubiquitin-proteasomedependent proteolysis in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) muscle. J Nutr 138:487–491. https://doi. org/10.1093/jn/138.3.487
- Seiliez I, Dias K, Cleveland BM (2014) Contribution of the autophagy-lysosomal and ubiquitin-proteasomal proteolytic systems to total proteolysis in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) myotubes. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 307:R1330-R1337. https://doi.org/10.1152 /ajpregu.00370.2014
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, Mcwilliam H, Remmert M, Söding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal omega. Mol Syst Biol 7:539. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75

- Spencer JA, Eliazer S, Ilaria RL Jr, Richardson JA, Olson EN (2000) Regulation of microtubule dynamics and myogenic differentiation by MURF, a striated muscle RING-finger protein. J Cell Biol 150:771–784
- Tacchi L, Bickerdike R, Secombes CJ, Pooley NJ, Urquhart KL, Collet B, Martin SA (2010) Ubiquitin E3 ligase atrogin-1 (Fbox-32) in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar): sequence analysis, genomic structure and modulation of expression. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 157:364–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2010.08.004
- Wang J, Salem M, Qi N, Kenney PB, Rexroad CE, Yao J (2011) Molecular characterization of the MuRF genes in rainbow trout: potential role in muscle degradation. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 158:208–215. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2010.11.010
- Witt SH, Granzier H, Witt CC, Labeit S (2005) MURF-1 and MURF-2 target a specific subset of Myofibrillar proteins redundantly: towards understanding MURF-dependent muscle ubiquitination. J Mol Biol 350:713–722. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.05.021
- Yang CG, Wang XL, Tian J, Liu W, Wu F, Jiang M, Wen H (2013) Evaluation of reference genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of gene expression in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Gene 527:183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gene.2013.06.013

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.