ORIGINAL PAPER

Diminishing importance of elaiosomes for acacia seed removal in non‑native ranges

Daniel Montesinos¹[®] [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2893-0878) Marta Correia¹ · Sílvia Castro¹ · Kristine French² · **Susana Rodríguez‑Echeverría1**

Received: 20 June 2018 / Accepted: 29 September 2018 / Published online: 3 October 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

Abstract

Myrmecochorous plants produce seeds with lipid-rich appendages (elaiosomes) which act as a reward for seed-dispersing ants. Seed dispersal is important for exotic species, which often need to establish new mutualistic interactions in order to colonize new non-native habitats. However, little is known about the importance of elaiosomes for seed removal in many of their non-native ranges. We studied ant–seed interactions of elaiosome-bearing and elaiosome-removed seeds of the Australian trees *Acacia dealbata* and *Acacia longifolia* in order to assess the relative importance of elaiosomes for seed removal between their native (Australia) and non-native (Portugal) ranges. In Portugal, we also studied the cooccurring native plant species with myrmecochorous seeds, *Pterospartum tridentatum* and *Ulex europaeus,* across three contiguous levels of acacia invasion: control (i.e. no acacia), low, and high acacia tree density. Acacia seeds were successfully removed by ants in their non-native region by a diversifed assemblage of ant species, even in sites where native plants interacted with only one specialized ant species. In the invaded range, diminishing relative importance of elaiosomes was associated with changes in the ant community due to acacia invasion, and for *A. dealbata,* ant species richness decreased with increasing acacia tree density. Although seed removal was high for both acacia species, the importance of elaiosomes was proportionally lower for *A. dealbata* in the non-native region. Native plant species experienced signifcant reductions in seed removal in areas highly invaded by acacia, identifying another mechanism of displacement of native plants by acacias.

Keywords Biogeography · Invasive · Myrmecochory · Elaiosome relative interation index · eRII

 \boxtimes Daniel Montesinos danimontesinos@gmail.com

¹ Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, Calçada Martim de Freitas, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal

² School of Biological Sciences, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

Introduction

Biotic interactions are essential components of invasive success, either by releasing invasive species from costly interactions in the native range, or by establishing novel beneficial interactions in their non-native ranges (Traveset and Richardson [2014\)](#page-20-0). Mutualisms are essential for the success of numerous invasive exotic plants (Richardson et al. [2000](#page-19-0); Traveset and Richardson [2006](#page-20-1)), and invasive plants can establish many forms of mutualisms that can increase nutrient acquisition, pollination, or seed dispersal, which are crucial for their success in colonizing new areas (Davidson and Morton [1984;](#page-18-0) Auld [1986](#page-17-0); Willson and Traveset [2000;](#page-20-2) Richardson et al. [2000;](#page-19-0) Whitney [2002](#page-20-3); Jensen and Six [2006\)](#page-18-1). In fact, the introduction of mutualists from the invader's native range has been reported to trigger invasion success in the non-native ranges of some introduced species (Richardson et al. [2000\)](#page-19-0). Regardless, invasive plants can establish novel mutualisms by taking advantage of native mutualistic networks already present in the invaded regions (Simberloff and Von Holle [1999;](#page-19-1) Richardson et al. [2000](#page-19-0)). Exotic plants usually integrate easily into mutualistic networks because these are often be dominated by generalists (Traveset and Richardson [2011\)](#page-20-4), and invasives tend to preferentially interact with generalists both in their native and non-native ranges (Aizen et al. [2008](#page-17-1); Bartomeus et al. [2008;](#page-17-2) Ferrero et al. [2013](#page-18-2); Traveset and Richardson [2014;](#page-20-0) Montesinos et al. [2016\)](#page-19-2). As a result, native generalist mutualists can become more common after invasions, which in turn can have a negative efect on native plants with more specialized interactions (Traveset and Richardson [2006](#page-20-1)).

Myrmecochory, seed dispersal by ants, is an important type of mutualistic interaction found in more than 11,000 species, or about 4.5% of all plant species (Lengyel et al. [2010\)](#page-18-3). Seeds of numerous plant species possess elaiosomes: protein and lipid rich seed appendages that constitute an important reward for ants in exchange for seed dispersal services (Gorb and Gorb [1999;](#page-18-4) Willson and Traveset [2000;](#page-20-2) Giladi [2006;](#page-18-5) Lengyel et al. [2009;](#page-18-6) Fokuhl et al. [2012\)](#page-18-7). Up to 90% of myrmecochorous plant species are native to the Southern hemisphere, while only 10% are native to the Northern hemisphere (Gómez and Espadaler [1998;](#page-18-8) Lorenzo et al. [2010a](#page-18-9); Lengyel et al. [2010](#page-18-3)). The presence of myrmecochorous mutualisms in the northern hemisphere is known to facilitate ant seed dispersal for myrmecochorous plant species introduced from the Southern hemisphere (Pemberton and Irving [1990;](#page-19-3) Richardson et al. [2000;](#page-19-0) Jensen and Six [2006\)](#page-18-1), and invasive plants have been found to establish myrmecochorous mutualisms in their non-native ranges with both native and nonnative ants (Smith [1989;](#page-19-4) Bossard [1991](#page-17-3); Jensen and Six [2006](#page-18-1); Alba-Lynn and Henk [2010](#page-17-4)). Furthermore, invasive ants sometimes interact preferentially with invasive plants, synergistically strengthening their success in their non-native regions (Prior et al. [2015\)](#page-19-5).

Plant invasions regularly lead to signifcant changes in the composition and function of the native disperser community (French and Major [2001;](#page-18-10) Traveset and Richardson [2006](#page-20-1)), involving cascading efects along the food web which can result in reduced seed dispersal and recruitment of native plant species (Sallabanks [1993](#page-19-6); Williams and Karl [2002](#page-20-5)). In addition to changes in mutualistic interactions, invasive species frequently develop locally adapted traits as a response to the diferent environmental conditions found in their non-native ranges (Hierro et al. [2005\)](#page-18-11). Plant traits can differ among different areas within a single non-native region, showing, in some cases, diferences between the expanding invasion edges and mature invaded areas (Phillips et al. [2006,](#page-19-7) [2007;](#page-19-8) Lankau et al. [2009](#page-18-12); Montesinos et al. [2012\)](#page-19-9). Recent studies showed that acacias from non-native populations were investing more resources into seed mass than acacias from native populations, but proportionally less resources in elaiosomes than their conspecifcs in the native range (Correia et al.

[2016\)](#page-17-5). Our study aims to detect potential implications for seed removal of these changes in resource allocation.

Acacia dealbata Link and *A. longifolia* (Andr.) Willd. are among the 22 species of Australian acacia listed as invaders in diferent parts of the world (Richardson et al. [2011;](#page-19-10) Rejmánek and Richardson [2013\)](#page-19-11). In Europe, Portugal is one of the most afected countries by the invasion by Australian acacias with large natural and seminatural areas covered by these species (De Almeida and Freitas [2006;](#page-18-13) Lorenzo et al. [2010a;](#page-18-9) Marchante et al. [2010](#page-19-12)). Factors that are likely to infuence success include the establishment of below-ground mutualisms in invaded areas (Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. [2009;](#page-19-13) Rodríguez-Echeverría [2010\)](#page-19-14); larger seed production than native species, which are able to accumulate in persistent soil seed banks (Marchante et al. [2010;](#page-19-12) Correia et al. [2014\)](#page-17-6); allelopathy (Lorenzo et al. [2010b,](#page-18-14) [c](#page-19-15); Aguilera et al. [2015](#page-17-7)); changes in soil properties (Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. 2013 ; enemy release from pre-dispersal seed predators (Correia et al. 2016); and myrmecochory, which is known to be important for acacia invasion success in South Africa (Holmes [1990](#page-18-15); French and Major [2001](#page-18-10)), and has been reported to be present in Southern Europe (Montesinos et al. [2012\)](#page-19-9). Myrmecochory is important because seeds moved away from the parent plant are able to efectively colonize new areas and to avoid seed predation (Willson and Traveset [2000;](#page-20-2) Giladi [2006;](#page-18-5) Lach et al. [2010\)](#page-18-16).

One way to experimentally assess the relative importance of elaiosomes for seed removal is to compare seed removal rates from elaiosome-bearing seeds with seeds to which the elaiosome has been manually removed. Such experiments invariably show the importance of elaiosomes, but can also be informative about diferences in their relative importance across environmental conditions (Hughes and Westoby [1992](#page-18-17); Castro et al. [2009;](#page-17-8) Bas et al. [2009;](#page-17-9) Montesinos et al. [2012](#page-19-9)). In this study, we quantifed not only seed removal, but also the relative importance of elaiosomes in the establishment of seed–ant interactions along increasing tree densities of the two acacia species in the non-native range of Portugal, and compared it with seed removal in their native range in Australia. Specifcally, our goals were: (1) to assess myrmecochory and the relative importance of acacia elaiosomes in the native range of Australia and in the non-native range of Portugal; (2) to quantitatively describe the ant community composition at each site; and (3) to assess the impact of acacia invasion in seed removal by ants for native plant species along a gradient of acacia tree density, from highly dense acacia patches to control areas free of acacia.

Methodology

Study species

Acacia dealbata and *A. longifolia* are perennial trees native to Australia, and invasive in several regions of the world, including Southern Europe, South Africa, South East Asia, and North and South America (Richardson et al. [2011;](#page-19-10) Rejmánek and Richardson [2013](#page-19-11)). Trees have a lifespan of 20–50 years (Richardson et al. [2011\)](#page-19-10). Both species were introduced in Portugal early in the twentieth century as ornamental trees or as forestry species for soil stabilization (De Almeida and Freitas [2006](#page-18-13); Lorenzo et al. [2010a\)](#page-18-9) but, after expanding out of their introduction sites during the last decade, are nowadays two of the most invasive species in Portugal (Lorenzo et al. [2010a](#page-18-9); Marchante et al. [2010;](#page-19-12) Gibson et al. [2011](#page-18-18)). *Acacia dealbata* inhabits mountain ranges and road sides of continental regions, whereas *A. longifolia* inhabits coastal sand dunes (De Almeida and Freitas [2006;](#page-18-13)

Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. [2009;](#page-19-13) Lorenzo et al. [2010a](#page-18-9); Buscardo et al. [2010\)](#page-17-10). Both acacia species disperse seed in summer in their native range and non-native range of Portugal (Castroviejo [2001;](#page-17-11) Pellow et al. [2009\)](#page-19-17).

Study sites

In Portugal *A. dealbata* was studied in the mountainous area of Lousã (40.102568, −8.233698); while *Acacia longifolia* was studied at the secondary dunes of Tocha (40.328420, −8.807237, datum WSG84). Both sites experience sub-humid Mediterranean climate. The Lousã population is located 70 km inland from Tocha; the mean annual precipitation is 752 mm and mean monthly temperatures range from 3.0 °C in January to 17.6 °C in August, with an annual mean of 9.2 °C. Vegetation is composed mostly by a natural mixed oak forest dominated by *Quercus canariensis* Willd.*, Castanea sativa* Mill.*, P. pinaster* Aiton, but with large patches of expanding *A. dealbata* populations; with an understory dominated by *Erica australis* L.*, E. arborea* L., *Pterospartum tridentatum* Willk. and *Lithodora fruticosa* Griseb. Tocha's mean annual precipitation is 948 mm and mean monthly temperature ranging from 10.2 \degree C in January to 20.2 \degree C in June, with an annual mean of $16.2 \degree C$. The population is found on a sand dune system. Vegetation is composed of sown and planted trees of *Pinus pinaster* and *A. longifolia*, and characteristic sand dune species of herbs and small shrubs such as *Corema album* (L.) D. Don ex Steud.*, Halimium halimifolium* Willk.*, Cistus salviifolius* Boiss.*, Cytisus grandiforus* DC.*, Cytisus striatus* (Hill) Rothm.*, Euphorbia paralias* L.*, Ulex europaeus* L., and *Erica australis* L.

In Australia, *A. dealbata* was studied nearby Point Hut Pond District Park, Canberra (−35.452037, 149.075166); while *A. longifolia* was studied at Puckeys Estate Reserve, Wollongong (−34.399254, 150.903614). Point Hut Pond presents a dry continental climate, with an average annual precipitation of 616 mm, and mean monthly temperatures ranging from 5.7 °C in July to 20.6 °C in July, with an annual mean of 13.1 °C. The population is located in a relatively well conserved area. Vegetation is composed by *A. dealbata* trees and several species of *Eucalyptus*, and numerous understory species, including *Hardenbergia violacea*, and species of the genus *Banksia*, *Danthonia*, and *Themeda*. Puckeys State has an oceanic climate, with an average annual precipitation of 1321 mm, and mean monthly temperatures ranging from 12.6 °C in July to 21.7 °C in January, with an annual mean of 17.5 °C. The population occurs in a moderately well-preserved sand dune system. Vegetation is composed by naturally occurring *A. longifolia* and *Eucalyptus botryoides* trees, *Leptospermum laevigatum* shrubs, and by characteristic sand dune species, including species of the genera *Spinifex* and *Banksia.*

Experimental set‑up

In each of the two non-native sites in Portugal, each dominated by one of the studied acacia species, we randomly selected during 2013 up to ten observation points, separated by at least 20 m from each other, within each of three types of areas: control areas, where no acacia trees were present in a 25 m radius; low acacia densities, where *A. dealbata* density was 0.30 trees m−2 and *A. longifolia* was 0.13 trees m−2; and high acacia tree density, with *A. dealbata* densities of 5.40 trees m⁻² and *A. longifolia* densities of 1.00 tree m⁻² (N=30 observation points per site in Portugal). With this design, we aimed to have a representative sampling of a gradient of invasion within each site, from control uninvaded areas, to the center of highly invaded patches within each site. In the native sites of Australia, during December 2012, we proceeded similarly but with only one kind of area, representing a typical acacia population for each species. *Acacia dealbata* tree density was 3.31 trees m−2 at Point Hut and *A. longifolia* tree density was 0.91 trees m−2 at Puckeys (N=10 observation points in Australia). Thus, for both acacia species, density of Australian populations were just slightly lower than "high density" Portuguese sites. Tree densities were calculated based on a 100 m² survey (ten 10×1 m transects) across each area and site.

We could fnd at least one plant species with elaiosome-bearing seeds dispersing synchronously with acacia for each Portuguese site. Those species were from the Fabaceae *Pterospartum tridentatum* at the mountain area of Lousã, invaded by *A. dealbata;* and *Ulex europaeus* at the sand dunes of Tocha, invaded by *A. longifolia*. In Australia, we could not fnd any other plant species dispersing elaiosome-bearing seeds synchronously with acacias. At each study site, we collected abundant fresh seed both from the acacia and from the co-occurring native species, and manually removed the elaiosome from half of the seeds, to be used immediately in subsequent experiments and observations, coinciding with both species natural seed dispersal phenology.

Seed removal rates

In order to assess the importance of the elaiosome for seed removal by ants we set up a seed removal experiment. We prepared Petri dishes by boring three 5 mm holes in three equidistant lateral points, separated by 5 cm and in direct contact with the ground in order to allow access to the interior of the dish only to ants. In Portugal, we set up two sets of paired Petri dishes, one for each seed species (i.e. acacia, and the native species present at each Portuguese site). Each dish contained either 10 elaiosome-bearing seeds or 10 elaiosome-removed seeds from a single species. Paired Petri dishes were placed in 10 observation points within each density area in Portugal (total of 60 dishes per seed species and site, $N = 120$), and in each acacia population in Australia (total of 20 dishes). After 48 h we counted the remaining seeds at each Petri dish. Untransformed seed removal rates were analyzed with Generalized Linear Models with Poisson distribution of errors in SPSS 19 (IBM [2010\)](#page-18-19). For each region, seed removal per 48 h was the response variable for each species, and elaiosome presence and acacia density were fxed factors.

Relative importance of elaiosomes for seed removal

Ant interactions with seeds can be defned as either granivorous or myrmecochorous, and although it is difficult to assess their relative importance in natural conditions, it is commonly accepted that the diference in seed removal rates between elaiosome-bearing and elaiosome-removed seeds is a good indicator of the relative importance of myrmecochory (Willson and Traveset [2000\)](#page-20-2). This can be expressed as:

$$
M_{ri} = I_e - I_{ne}
$$
 (1)

where M_{ri} is the relative importance of myrmecochory and I_e and I_{ne} represent the number of observed interactions with elaiosome bearing or elaiosome-removed seeds, respectively. However, this indicator is based on counts and would impede adequate comparison among diferent experiments or species, like the ones used in this work.

Consequently, we used a proportional term in order to produce a normalized index which would be fully comparable, and that overcomes the poor statistical properties of ratios (Hedges et al. [1999\)](#page-18-20). Specifcally, we adapted the Relative Interaction Indexes (RII) (Armas et al. [2004](#page-17-12)) to assess the relative importance of these appendages across regions and environmental conditions for seed dispersal. The index was originally conceived to assess the sign (competition/facilitation) of plant–plant interactions based on continuous biomass variables, whereas ant–seed interactions are typically recorded as counts. We adapted RII to necessarily take into account the maximum number of possible ant–seed interactions, i.e. the number of seeds with or without elaiosome ofered to ants. Therefore, we used a Relative Interaction Index adapted to elaiosome-seed removal (eRII) as:

$$
eRII = (I_e/I_{eo}) - (I_{ne}/I_{neo})
$$
\n(2)

in which I_{eq} and I_{neq} represent the number of elaiosome-bearing or elaiosome-removed seeds offered in each observational unit. Mathematically, the index can range from −1 to 1, with negative and positive values indicating lower or higher relative importance of myrmecochory, respectively. However, in real cases we should never expect a higher number of interactions for elaiosome-removed than for elaiosome-bearing seeds, since granivorous ants should not distinguish between them. Consequently, the index will range from zero to one in real feld studies. Values nearing zero will indicate a small relative importance of elaiosomes (e.g. because of a predominance of granivorous ants), and values nearing one will indicate a high importance of myrmecochory (e.g. because of the absence of granivorous ants, and the almost exclusive activity of myrmecochorous ants).

We used seed removal rates from the experiment described above to calculate eRII. Each pair of Petri dishes with either elaiosome-bearing or elaiosome-removed seeds $(N=10$ pairs), within each area ($N=3$ densities for Portugal; $N=1$ for Australia) and region ($N=2$ countries), was used to obtain an individual value for the eRII for each seed species, which was later analyzed statistically with R 3.1.2 (R Development Core Team [2010\)](#page-19-18) by means of Generalized Linear Models with Gaussian distribution, with eRII as the dependent variable, calculated from seed removal from each individual pair of Petri dishes. We compared diferences between native and invaded regions, using region and plant species as fxed factors. We also compared diferences between native and introduced species in Portugal, using acacia density as a fxed factor.

Ant species richness

We set up one pitfall trap at each observation point filled with a 1:2 mix of 90% alcohol and glycerin. Pitfall traps were removed after 48 h and all ant individuals found within the traps were identifed taxonomically (Shattuck [1999](#page-19-19); www.hormigas.org). We used elaiosomebearing and elaiosome-removed seeds to perform *cafeteria* experiments at the observation points, in which we ofered simultaneously four seeds of each plant species; two with their natural elaiosome, and two with the elaiosome-removed. Each group of four seeds was placed on the natural ground randomly, and visual observations were made in 10-min intervals at diferent locations within each site. We observed ants visiting the Petri dishes and counted an interaction when the ant species picked up a seed and tried to carry it away. We collected these ants for taxonomical identifcation and replaced the removed seed until the 10-min interval ended. We made observations from dawn to dusk on three diferent days for each site, and accumulated a total observation time of 293 and 525 min for *A. dealbata* sites in Portugal and Australia, respectively; and of 400 and 420 min for *A. longifolia* sites in Portugal and Australia, respectively. In order to assess that we had a sufficient and representative number of observations we created rarefaction curves using EstimateS 9.1.0

(Colwell [2013](#page-17-13)) with 500 randomizations with replacement. Curves showed saturation or near-saturation for all sites (Appendix 1: Fig. [3](#page-14-0)).

To describe the ant community for each area and site we calculated ant species richness, Shannon–Wiener diversity index (H'), Pielou's evenness index (J'), and α and $\beta_{\rm w}$ diversity. Shannon–Wiener diversity index was calculated as: $H' = \sum p_i (ln p_i)$, were p_i was the proportional abundance of each species; and Pielou's evenness index as: $J' = H'/H'$ max, with H'max being the maximum value of H′ for a given community, calculated as the natural logarithm of the total number of species present in that site. Pielou's J′ ranges from zero to one, with one indicating the highest possible evenness and zero the lowest. In order to account for abundance efects, evenness was also assessed with Hulbert's probability of specifc encounter, PIE (Hurlbert [1971\)](#page-18-21), which measures the chance that two individuals randomly sampled pertain to two diferent species. β diversity was used to assess the variation in species composition among sites, and provides an interesting link between ant diversity $(\alpha$ diversity) and the total species pool within the area (γ diversity) (Anderson et al. [2011\)](#page-17-14). We calculated the commonly used Whittaker's β diversity index B_w (Whittaker [1972\)](#page-20-6) in which $\beta_w = (\gamma/\alpha - 1)$, being γ the total number of ant species at the area and α the mean number of ant species interacting with seeds of each plant species. Standardized Sørensen's β diversity was also assessed with the procedure *beta.multi* of R's library *betapart* (Baselga and Orme [2012](#page-17-15)).

Results

Seed removal rates

In the *A. dealbata* site in Portugal, elaiosome-bearing seeds experienced signifcantly higher removal rates both for *A. dealbata* (Wald $\chi^2 = 14.06$, df = 1, $p \le 0.001$), and for *P. tridentatum* (Wald χ^2 =15.79, df=1, $p \le 0.001$). Seed removal rates were highest in areas with no acacias and lowest in areas with higher acacia densities both for *A. dealbata* (Wald χ^2 =78.19, df = 2, $p \le 0.001$) and for the native *P. tridentatum* (Wald χ^2 = 30.48, df = 2, $p \le 0.001$). Signifcant interactions between the efects of elaiosome presence and acacia tree density were found both for the invasive (Wald χ^2 = 6.42, df = 2, *p* = 0.040), and for the native seeds (Wald χ^2 = 12.13, df = 2, *p* ≤ 0.002), indicating that removal rates of elaiosome-bearing and elaiosomeremoved seeds varied diferently among diferent acacia densities. In Australia, the presence of elaiosomes had a similarly positive and signifcant efect on seed removal rates, but of a much larger magnitude that it did in the invaded Portugal (Wald χ^2 = 39.46, df = [1](#page-7-0), *p* ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 1).

Acacia longifolia presented a seed removal pattern resembling that of *A. dealbata* sites both in Portugal and in Australia, however, the importance of the presence of elaiosomes was of a higher magnitude both for the invasive acacia in the non-native range and for the co-occurring native *U. europaeus*. Elaiosome-bearing seeds experienced signifcantly higher removal rates both for *A. longifolia* (Wald χ^2 =258.93, df=1, $p \le 0.001$) and for the native *U. europaeus* (Wald χ^2 = 627.85, df = 1, $p \le 0.001$). Seed removal rates of acacia were signifcantly higher in areas with no acacias (control), and lowest in areas with higher acacia densities (Wald χ^2 =425.03, df=2, $p \le 0.001$). For the native *U. europaeus* removal rates were also lower in high acacia density areas (Wald χ^2 = 25.35, df = 2, *p*≤0.001) whereas control and low density areas experienced similar removal rates (Wald χ^2 =0.97, df = 2, *p* = 0.325). Significant interactions between elaiosome presence and acacia density both for the invasive (Wald χ^2 =62.23, df=2, *p* ≤0.001), and for the native (Wald χ^2 =64.26, df = 2, $p \le 0.001$), signposted for unequal effect of elaiosomes among

environments, driven by the large diferences in removal rates of elaiosome-bearing and elaiosome-removed seeds found in the high-density site. In Australia, elaiosome presence on *A. dealbata* seeds had a highly signifcant and important efect on seed removal rates, with a higher relative importance in Australia than in high density acacia stands in Portugal, but lower proportional importance than in control and low density Portuguese acacia stands (Wald χ^2 =36.88, df = 1, $p \le 0.001$) (Fig. [1\)](#page-7-0).

Relative importance of elaiosomes for seed removal

For sites with *A. dealbata* presence, eRII's significantly differed among sites (t=3.474; $df=62$; $p \le 0.001$). Tukey post hoc tests showed that the relative importance of elaiosomes was highest for Australian acacias, and lowest for Portuguese control sites and for high density acacia stands (*p*=0.003; *p*=0.025; *p*=0.009; Fig. [2](#page-9-0)).

For sites with *A. longifolia* presence, elaiosomes relative importance also presented signifcant diferences among groups (t=−2.371; df=68; *p*=0.021). However, Tukey post hoc test indicated that the only clearly diferent group was high density *A. longifolia* in Portugal, which presented the lowest value of all $(p=0.006; p<0.001; p=0.062; p=0.054;$ Fig. [2\)](#page-9-0).

Ant species richness

The combination of pitfall traps and direct observations in feld cafeteria experiments allowed us to identify 20 diferent ant species in Portugal and 13 ant species in Australia. Of those, the highest proportion of seed disperser ant taxa was found in Australia (63–78%), whereas myrmecochorous taxa in Portugal was similar among environments for *A. longifolia* (34–50%), but slightly higher in control areas (67%) for *A. dealbata* (vs. 50% in low and high density stands) (Tables [1,](#page-10-0) [2](#page-11-0)).

Within the invaded *A. dealbata* mountain site at Lousã, species richness, diversity, and evenness presented a descending cline of ant species richness, with a richer (γ) , more diverse (H′) and even (J′, PIE) ant community at control sites, where no acacia trees were present, and a poorer, less diverse and less even ant species community at high acacia density loca-tions (Table [2](#page-11-0)). In terms of beta diversity (β_w) the pattern was the reverse to that of diversity (H′), with more diverse environments presenting a more stable composition of ant species along the control areas, and a highly variable ant community among heavily invaded areas. However, Sørensen's standardized dissimilarity index showed that species turnover was very similar across environments, albeit with a tendency to increase in control and native areas. The Australian site of Point Hut presented values for all indices more similar to the intermediate values found for low acacia density areas in Portugal, even though Australian sites presented tree densities closer to those categorized as "high density" in Portugal.

Within the *A. longifolia* invaded sand dunes of Tocha, in Portugal, ants had similar species richness (γ), diversity index (H′), and evenness (J′, PIE), among acacia tree densities. Beta diversity (β_w) increased slightly as acacia tree density decreased, in a pattern contrary to that found for *A. dealbata*, although of a much lower magnitude. The Australian site of Puckeys presented a higher ant richness than the site in Portugal, with medium low diversity index (H') and evenness (J', PIE) due to the extraordinary importance of one ant species (*Rhytidoponera metallica*), which accounted for most of the ant observations across sampled areas within the population; this also resulted in a slightly higher beta diversity (β_W). Sørensen's standardized dissimilarity index β_{SOR} showed that species turnover was slightly lower in high and low density areas and slightly higher in control and native areas.

Fig. 2 Elaiosome removal relative interaction index (eRII; mean \pm SE) for sites with *A. dealbata* or *A. longifolia* presence. PT stands for Portugal. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among groups

Overall, the number of interactions per minute were many-fold higher in Australia than in Portugal for both acacia species. In the *cafeteria* experiments, numerous ant species interacted with seeds of both acacia species in Australia, but *Pheidole* spp. ants proved to be the most important seed dispersing genus of both acacia species, summing up to 80% of interactions for *A. dealbata*, and 42% for *A. longifolia* (Appendix 2, Table [3](#page-16-0), Fig. [4\)](#page-15-0). In the Portuguese site with *A. dealbata* all five ant species interacted with seeds from both native

 \mathcal{D} Springer

A. dealbata sites

Table 1 List of ant species observed at each location with either *A. dealbata* or *A. longifolia* presence

Letters within brackets indicate acacia tree densities where each ant species was observed in Portugal: (c) control (no acacia); (l) low density; (h) high acacia tree density. Asterisk (*) marks ant species for which we directly observed interactions with elaiosome-bearing seeds. The "x" sign indicates the ant species that interacted with elaiosome-bearing seeds but did not interact with elaiosome-removed seeds (i.e. strictly myrmecochorous). The sign "+" marks seed disperser ant genus according to Gómez and Espadaler ([2013\)](#page-18-22) (which does not consider exotic myrmecochorous exotic ants)

and invasive plant species, although seeds of the native plant *P. tridentatum* were removed mainly by the invasive ant *Linepithema humile*, which presented a *species strength* of 0.75, and a *species specifcity index* of 0.966 (Appendix 2, Table [4\)](#page-16-1). Quite diferently, in the site with *A. longifolia* in Tocha, seeds of the native *U. europaeus* were removed by just a single ant native species, *Themnothorax pardoi*, whereas *A. longifolia* seeds were removed by four diferent ant species, including *T. pardoi* which thus presented the highest *species strength*

(Appendix 2, Table [5\)](#page-16-2). Interestingly, we did not directly observe *T. pardoi* ants removing *U. europaeus* seeds from high density areas, but this or some other ant species must be removing those seeds, according to the seed removal data shown in Fig. [1](#page-7-0). Comparing the interaction between native species and acacias in Portugal, it is interesting to note that the native *P. tridentatum* was able to establish two times more interactions with seed dispersers than *A. dealbata* in Lousã, while in Tocha the opposite pattern was observed, with *A. longifolia* presenting more than twice as many interactions as *U. europaeus* (Appendix 2).

Discussion

Our observations support the generalized presence of ant–seed interactions for these acacia species in the non-native range, even in sites where native European species showed specialized interactions. Our results also showed a reduction in the relative importance of elaiosomes for seed removal of *A. dealbata* seeds in the non-native region and, for *A. longifolia*, only on densely invaded sites in Portugal. Seed removal rates by ants were many-fold higher in Australia than they were in Portugal for both acacia species, however, they were still an important asset to guarantee seed dispersal of acacia species in the nonnative region.

Myrmecochorous ant species prefer larger elaiosomes, and selective forces often favor increases in elaiosome size in order to attract high quality dispersers (Hughes and Westoby [1992;](#page-18-17) Mark and Olesen [1996;](#page-19-20) Leal et al. [2014](#page-18-23)). However, increased investment of resources in larger elaiosomes usually involves compensatory trade-ofs, typically in the form of smaller seeds or in a reduction in seed production (Mark and Olesen [1996;](#page-19-20) Leal et al. [2014](#page-18-23)). A recent study comparing seed and elaiosome size of *A. longifolia* and *A. dealbata* from Portugal and Australia showed a signifcant increase in seed size in Portugal, and a trend towards reducing the proportion of biomass allocated to elaiosomes (Correia et al. [2016\)](#page-17-5). Another study comparing *A. longifolia* from native and non-native ranges within Australia found that this species allocated proportionally less resources to

elaiosomes in the non-native ranges than in the native ones (Harris et al. [2016\)](#page-18-24). The present study sheds light on one of the potential selective forces that might be responsible for a shift in resource allocation trade-ofs, since our observations of seed removal showed that the diference in removal rates of elaiosome-bearing and elaiosome-removed seeds was proportionally lower in Portugal than in Australia, particularly in the high-density acacia stands in Portugal. Consequently, we could expect that selective forces will favor further shifts in resource allocation trade-ofs towards reduced proportional investments in elaiosome size in the non-native region of these acacia species, where increased seed size could ofer a more benefcial competitive advantage by increasing the success of seedling recruitment (Correia et al. [2016](#page-17-5)).

Seed removal rates for elaiosome-bearing seeds were higher than removal rates for elaiosome-removed seeds for all species and regions. We cannot confrm the fate of removed seeds, since ants can be either seed dispersers or granivorous seed eaters (Willson and Traveset [2000](#page-20-2)). Elaiosome-removed seeds are more likely to be removed by granivorous ants, while elaiosome-bearing seeds should attract both granivorous ants and mutualistic ant seed dispersers looking for an elaiosome reward (e.g. Bas et al. [2009](#page-17-9)). Furthermore, myrmecochorous interactions are sometimes dominated by one or few highly efective ant species (Gove et al. [2007;](#page-18-25) Manzaneda and Rey [2008](#page-19-21), [2009\)](#page-19-22). However, there are no clear boundaries between myrmecochorous and non-myrmecochorous ant species, and while some high quality seed disperser ant species consistently and efectively disperse seeds across long distances, other seed disperser ants eat the elaiosomes at point of discovery (Lach et al. [2010](#page-18-16)). Even strictly granivorous ants can play a benefcial role for seed dispersal, since seeds stored in their nests are protected from other predators and wild-fires, and can be abandoned and able to germinate (Bas et al. [2007](#page-17-16); Lach et al. [2010](#page-18-16)). Thus, most granivorous ant species often play a dual role as both dispersers and predators (Gómez and Espadaler [2013\)](#page-18-22). The important increase in the observed seed removal rates of elaiosome-bearing seeds, and our direct observations of ant–seed interactions with known seed disperser ant species, suggest that most of the elaiosome-bearing seeds were efectively dispersed. In Australia, manual removal of elaiosomes resulted in large and signifcant reductions in acacia seed removal rates by ants. In non-native Portugal, elaiosomes were also important, but the efect of elaiosome removal varied between acacia species and among acacia tree densities. Interestingly, seed removal rates on native Australian sites were similar to seed removal rates of control and low density non-native areas, even though acacia tree densities found in Australia were closer to tree densities of highly dense invaded areas. Thus, in the non-native region, seed removal was always higher in non-invaded areas, revealing a potential mechanism for the dispersal of acacia seeds into uninvaded areas.

Portuguese native plant species fared similarly to their co-occurring acacia species in terms of seed-removal rates, even though acacia seeds and their elaiosomes are more than twice as large as those of the studied native species (Castroviejo [2012](#page-17-17)). Diferences in removal rates along the acacia density gradient can be explained by diferences in the ant community composition, which is frequently driven by changes in the plant community due to invasions (Traveset and Richardson [2006\)](#page-20-1). Preliminary studies showed diferences in seed removal rates along environmental gradients for the same study system (Montesinos et al. [2012](#page-19-9)), but there was no information about the ant community responsible for that pattern. In the current study, we found that the ant community of the sand dunes invaded by *A. longifolia* was resilient to diferences in acacia tree density, but that there was a clear reduction in ant species richness with increasing *A. dealbata* tree density. Similar results had been previously reported for *A. saligna* invaded Fynbos in South Africa (French and

Major [2001](#page-18-10)). However, species richness should not always be regarded as beneficial per se, since some of the sites not invaded by acacias accounted with the presence of invasive ant species, and the presence of invasive ant species also has a deep impact on local ant community compositions which could act synergistically with the efect of plant invasions in still unpredictable ways.

Myrmecochory has been regarded as a generalized form of interaction, although evidence is contradictory. In numerous cases one single ant species can be responsible for most interactions, most likely due to intrinsic traits of the seeds more than the specifc ecological context, which tends to be highly variable at temporal and spatial scales (Gove et al. [2007;](#page-18-25) Manzaneda and Rey [2009](#page-19-22)). Australian sites presented manyfold more interactions per minute than Portuguese sites. *Acacia dealbata* showed a specialized pattern of interaction in the study site of Australia, where one single ant species was responsible for two-thirds of the observed interactions. In Portugal, the same acacia species was served by the same array of ant species as the native *P. tridentatum*. In contrast, *A. longifolia* was served by a diverse group of ant species both in the sites of Australia and in Portugal, however, the native *U. europaeus* was served by only one species in this site, demonstrating a very specialized pattern in contrast with the generalist pattern showed by the invasive tree in Portugal. Although these patterns are interesting, further investigation would be needed at a range of native and non-native sites to confrm the generality of our fndings.

Plants introduced into new geographical ranges usually encounter fewer dispersal barriers than those that arrive naturally (Traveset and Richardson [2006](#page-20-1)) and, certainly, seed dispersal mutualisms established by acacias in their non-native range guarantee essential dispersal services. Many factors may signifcantly contribute to the enormous success of these species in Portugal. For instance, acacias have been found to be highly competitive under low resource supply (Werner et al. [2009\)](#page-20-7), to successfully and advantageously establish belowground mutualisms in the invaded areas (Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. [2009,](#page-19-13) [2012;](#page-19-23) Rodríguez-Echeverría [2010\)](#page-19-14), to successfully use allelopathic compounds (Lorenzo et al. [2010b](#page-18-14), [c](#page-19-15)), and to produce massive amounts of seeds in the introduced range which are larger and escape pre-dispersal predation (Correia et al. [2014](#page-17-6)). This study reveals that myrmecochory is important in the non-native regions, and could contribute to the expansion of the invaders.

Overall, our study confrms the importance of elaiosomes for seed removal by ants both in the native and in the non-native regions. However, it also identifes diferences in its relative importance between Australia and Portugal and along gradients of acacia density. Our data also shows that invasion afects ant communities and dispersal of native species. Specifcally, ant communities change along acacia densities and are impoverished in some highly invaded areas, when compared to uninvaded areas, likely a consequence of abiotic and biotic modifcations derived from acacia invasion. Importantly, native plant species experience a signifcant reduction in seed dispersal services in highly invaded areas, signposting another mechanism of native plant displacement, and exemplifying the difficulty of natives to re-colonize already invaded areas.

Acknowledgements Thanks to Andreia Jorge for help in the lab and to Steve Shattuck and Xavier Espadaler for confrmation of ant identifcation. Research was Funded by the Portuguese *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) via Project Mutualnet (PCT/BIA-BEC/103507/2008), co-founding by the EU via QREN, COMPETE and FEDER (UID/BIA/04004/2013), and scholarships and Grants to DM (SFRH/ BPD/72595/2010; Starting grant IF/00066/2013), SC (Starting Grant IF/01267/2013), and SRE (Development Grant IF/00462/2013).

Compliance with ethical standards

Confict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Appendix 1: Rarefaction curves

See Fig. [3](#page-14-0).

Fig. 3 Rarefaction curves for ant–seed interactions observed at each of the study sites

Appendix 2: Interaction networks

See Fig. [4](#page-15-0) and Tables [3,](#page-16-0) [4](#page-16-1) and [5](#page-16-2).

A. dealbata sites

Fig. 4 Ant–seed mutualistic networks based on the observed interactions rates (seed removal) for sites with *A. dealbata* (top), or *A. longifolia* (below), on either Portugal (left), or Australia (right)

Portugal

Portugal

To visualize the relative strength of interactions on each site, we calculated ant–seed interaction networks for each of the two Portuguese sites with the statistical package "bipartite" on R 3.1.2 (Dormann et al. [2009\)](#page-18-26). For each network, we calculated the following indexes: connectance, indicative of the realized proportion of possible links, obtained by the total sum of links divided by the number of cells in the interaction matrix (Dunne et al. [2002\)](#page-18-27); nestedness (weighted NODF) indicating how the system is organized, with values closest to zero indicating high nestedness and values nearing 100 low nestedness (Rodriguez-Girones and Santamaria [2006](#page-19-24)); and network specialization index H2′, describing the level of specialization of the network, and ranging from zero (no specialization) to 1 (complete specialization) (Blüthgen et al. [2006\)](#page-17-18); animal robustness, measuring the sensitivity of the system to the loss of plant species; and plant robustness, measuring the sensitivity of the system to the loss of animal species (Memmott et al. [2004](#page-19-25); Burgos et al.

[2007\)](#page-17-19). For detailed descriptions of the diferent indexes used, see Dormann et al. [\(2009](#page-18-26)) and references therein. The study of interaction networks requires at least two species at each interaction level. Since we only had one plant species in Australia, we could only calculate interaction indexes for the Portuguese populations, for which two plant species were considered. However, we plotted interaction graphs for the Australian observations to quantitatively compare their interaction strength to those of Portugal.

References

- Aguilera N, Becerra J, Villaseñor-Parada C et al (2015) Efects and identifcation of chemical compounds released from the invasive *Acacia dealbata* Link. Chem Ecol 31:479–493. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2015.1050004) [org/10.1080/02757540.2015.1050004](https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2015.1050004)
- Aizen MA, Morales CL, Morales JM (2008) Invasive mutualists erode native pollination webs. PLoS Biol 6:e31. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060031>
- Alba-Lynn C, Henk S (2010) Potential for ants and vertebrate predators to shape seed-dispersal dynamics of the invasive thistles *Cirsium arvense* and *Carduus nutans* in their introduced range (North America). Plant Ecol 210:291–301.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-010-9757-2>
- Anderson MJ, Crist TO, Chase JM et al (2011) Navigating the multiple meanings of β diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. Ecol Lett 14:19–28.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01552.x>
- Armas C, Ordiales R, Pugnaire FI (2004) Measuring plant interactions: a new comparative index. Ecology 85:2682–2686.<https://doi.org/10.1890/03-0650>
- Auld TD (1986) Population dynamics of the shrub *Acacia suaveolens* (Sm.) Willd.: fre and the transition to seedlings. Aust J Ecol 11:373–385.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1986.tb01407.x>
- Bartomeus I, Vilà M, Santamaría L (2008) Contrasting efects of invasive plants in plant-pollinator networks. Oecologia 155:761–770.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0946-1>
- Bas JM, Oliveras J, Gómez C (2007) Final seed fate and seedling emergence in myrmecochorous Plants: efects of ants and plant species. Sociobiology 50:101–111. [www.csuchico.edu/biol/Sociobiology/](http://www.csuchico.edu/biol/Sociobiology/sociobiologyindex.html) [sociobiologyindex.html](http://www.csuchico.edu/biol/Sociobiology/sociobiologyindex.html)
- Bas JM, Oliveras J, Gómez C (2009) Myrmecochory and short-term seed fate in Rhamnus alaternus: ant species and seed characteristics. Acta Oecol 35:380–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2009.02.003>
- Baselga A, Orme CDL (2012) Betapart: an R package for the study of beta diversity. Methods Ecol Evol 3:808–812.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00224.x>
- Blüthgen N, Menzel F, Blüthgen N (2006) Measuring specialization in species interaction networks. BMC Ecol 6:9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-6-9>
- Bossard CC (1991) the role of habitat disturbance, seed predation and ant dispersal on establishment of the exotic shrub cytisus scoparius in California. Am Midl Nat 126:1–13.<https://doi.org/10.2307/2426145>
- Burgos E, Ceva H, Perazzo RPJ et al (2007) Why nestedness in mutualistic networks? J Theor Biol 249:307– 313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.07.030>
- Buscardo E, Rodríguez-Echeverría S, Martín MP et al (2010) Impact of wildfre return interval on the ectomycorrhizal resistant propagules communities of a Mediterranean open forest. Fungal Biol 114:628– 636. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2010.05.004>
- Castro S, Ferrero V, Loureiro J et al (2009) Dispersal mechanisms of the narrow endemic *Polygala vayredae*: dispersal syndromes and spatio-temporal variations in ant dispersal assemblages. Plant Ecol 207:359–372.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-009-9679-z>
- Castroviejo S (2001) Claves de Flora Iberica: plantas vasculares de la Península Ibérica e Islas Baleares. Editorial CSIC—CSIC Press, Madrid
- Castroviejo S (2012) Flora ibérica: plantas vasculares de la Península Ibérica e Islas Baleares. Real Jard¡n Botánico, C.S.I.C., Madrid
- Colwell RK (2013) EstimateS: statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. Version 9.1.0
- Correia M, Castro S, Ferrero V et al (2014) Reproductive biology and success of invasive Australian acacias in Portugal. Bot J Linn Soc 174:574–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12155>
- Correia M, Montesinos D, French K, Rodríguez-Echeverría S (2016) Evidence for enemy release and increased seed production and size for two invasive Australian acacias. J Ecol 104:1391–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12612>
- Davidson DW, Morton SR (1984) Dispersal adaptations of some Acacia species in the Australian arid zone. Ecology 65:1038–1051
- De Almeida JD, Freitas H (2006) Exotic naturalized fora of continental Portugal—a reassessment. Bot Complut 30:117–130
- Dormann CF, Fruend J, Bluethgen N, Gruber B (2009) Indices, graphs and null models: analyzing bipartite ecological networks. Open Ecol J 2:7–24
- Dunne JA, Williams RJ, Martinez ND (2002) Food-web structure and network theory: the role of connectance and size. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:12917–12922. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.19240](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192407699) [7699](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192407699)
- Ferrero V, Castro S, Costa J et al (2013) Efect of invader removal: pollinators stay but some native plants miss their new friend. Biol Invasions 15:2347–2358. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0457-4>
- Fokuhl G, Heinze J, Poschlod P (2012) Myrmecochory by small ants—benefcial efects through elaiosome nutrition and seed dispersal. Acta Oecol 38:71–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2011.09.007) [.2011.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2011.09.007)
- French K, Major RE (2001) Efect of an exotic *Acacia* (Fabaceae) on ant assemblages in South African fynbos. Austral Ecol 26:303–310.<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2001.01115.x>
- Gibson MR, Richardson DM, Marchante E et al (2011) Reproductive biology of Australian acacias: Important mediator of invasiveness? Divers Distrib 17:911–933. [https://doi.org/10.111](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00808.x) [1/j.1472-4642.2011.00808.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00808.x)
- Giladi I (2006) Choosing benefts or partners: a review of the evidence for the evolution of myrmecochory. Oikos 112:481–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14258.x>
- Gómez C, Espadaler X (1998) Myrmecochorous dispersal distances: a world survey. J Biogeogr 25:573–580
- Gómez C, Espadaler X (2013) An update of the world survey of myrmecochorous dispersal distances. Ecography (Cop) 36:1193–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.00289.x>
- Gorb EV, Gorb SN (1999) Dropping rates of elaiosome-bearing seeds during transport by ants (Formica polyctena Foerst.): implications for distance dispersal. Acta Oecol 20:509–518
- Gove AD, Majer JD, Dunn RR (2007) A keystone ant species promotes seed dispersal in a "difuse" mutualism. Oecologia 153:687–697.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0756-5>
- Harris CJ, Manea A, Moles AT et al (2016) Diferences in life-cycle stage components between native and introduced ranges of fve woody Fabaceae species. Austral Ecol. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12456>
- Hedges LV, Gurevitch J, Curtis PS (1999) The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. Ecology 80:1150–1156. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080%5b1150:TMAOR](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080%5b1150:TMAORR%5d2.0.CO;2) [R%5d2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080%5b1150:TMAORR%5d2.0.CO;2)
- Hierro JL, Maron JL, Callaway RM (2005) A biogeographical approach to plant invasions: the importance of studying exotics in their introduced and native range. J Ecol 93:5–15. [https://doi.org/10.11](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2004.00953.x) [11/j.1365-2745.2004.00953.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2004.00953.x)
- Holmes PM (1990) Dispersal and predation in alien *Acacia*. Oecologia 83:288–290
- Hughes L, Westoby M (1992) Efect of diaspore characteristics on removal of seeds adapted for dispersal by ants. Ecology 73:1300–1312.<https://doi.org/10.2307/1940677>
- Hurlbert SH (1971) The nonconcept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters. Ecology 52:577–586.<https://doi.org/10.2307/1934145>
- IBM (2010) IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows
- Jensen JM, Six DL (2006) Myrmecochory of the exotic plant, *Centaurea maculosa*: a potential mechanism enhancing invasiveness. Environ Entomol 35:326–331. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-35.2.326>
- Lach L, Parr CL, Abbott KL (2010) Ant ecology. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Lankau RA, Nuzzo V, Spyreas G, Davis AS (2009) Evolutionary limits ameliorate the negative impact of an invasive plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:15362–15367.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905446106>
- Leal LC, Neto MCL, de Oliveira AFM et al (2014) Myrmecochores can target high-quality disperser ants: variation in elaiosome traits and ant preferences for myrmecochorous Euphorbiaceae in Brazilian Caatinga. Oecologia 174:493–500.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-013-2789-2>
- Lengyel S, Gove AD, Latimer AM et al (2009) Ants sow the seeds of global diversifcation in fowering plants. PLoS ONE 4:e5480. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005480>
- Lengyel S, Gove AD, Latimer AM et al (2010) Convergent evolution of seed dispersal by ants, and phylogeny and biogeography in fowering plants: a global survey. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 12:43–55.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2009.08.001>
- Lorenzo P, González L, Reigosa MJ (2010a) The genus *Acacia* as invader: the characteristic case of *Acacia dealbata* Link in Europe. Ann For Sci 67:101. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest/2009082>
- Lorenzo P, Palomera-Pérez A, Reigosa MJ, González L (2010b) Allelopathic interference of invasive *Acacia dealbata* Link on the physiological parameters of native understory species. Plant Ecol 212:403– 412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-010-9831-9>
- Lorenzo P, Pazos-Malvido E, Reigosa MJ, González L (2010c) Diferential responses to allelopathic compounds released by the invasive *Acacia dealbata* Link (Mimosaceae) indicate stimulation of its own seed. Aust J Bot 58:546–553
- Manzaneda AJ, Rey PJ (2008) Geographic variation in seed removal of a myrmecochorous herb: infuence of variation in functional guild and species composition of the disperser assemblage through spatial and temporal scales. Ecography (Cop) 31:583–591.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2008.05345.x>
- Manzaneda AJ, Rey PJ (2009) Assessing ecological specialization of an ant–seed dispersal mutualism through a wide geographic range. Ecology 90:3009–3022. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25592842>
- Marchante H, Freitas H, Hofmann JH (2010) Seed ecology of an invasive alien species, *Acacia longifolia* (Fabaceae), in Portuguese dune ecosystems. Am J Bot 97:1780–1790. [https://doi.org/10.3732/](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000091) [ajb.1000091](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000091)
- Mark S, Olesen JM (1996) Importance of elaiosome size to removal of ant-dispersed seeds. Oecologia 107:95–101.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00582239>
- Memmott J, Waser NM, Price MV (2004) Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. Proc Biol Sci 271:2605–2611.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2909>
- Montesinos D, Castro S, Rodríguez-Echeverría S (2012) Invasive acacias experience higher ant seed removal rates at the invasion edges. Web Ecol 12:33–37. <https://doi.org/10.5194/we-12-33-2012>
- Montesinos D, Castro S, Rodríguez-Echeverría S (2016) Two invasive acacia species secure generalist pollinators in invaded communities. Acta Oecol 74:46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2016.06.002>
- Pellow BJ, Henwood MJ, Carolin RC (2009) Flora of the Sydney region: a complete revision, 5th edn. Sydney University Press, Sydney
- Pemberton RW, Irving DW (1990) Elaiosomes on weed seeds and the potential for myrmecochory in naturalized plants. Weed Sci 38:615–619
- Phillips BL, Brown GP, Webb JK, Shine R (2006) Invasion and the evolution of speed in toads. Nature 439:803.<https://doi.org/10.1038/439803a>
- Phillips BL, Brown GP, Greenlees M et al (2007) Rapid expansion of the cane toad (Bufo marinus) invasion front in tropical Australia. Austral Ecol 32:169–176. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01664](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01664.x) [.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01664.x)
- Prior KM, Robinson JM, Dunphy SA, Frederickson ME (2015) Mutualism between co-introduced species facilitates invasion and alters plant community structure. Proc Biol Sci 282:20142846. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2846) [org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2846](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2846)
- R Development Core Team (2010) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Found Stat Comput Vienna Austria 0. ISBN 3-900051-07-0
- Rejmánek M, Richardson DM (2013) Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species—2013 update of the global database. Divers Distrib 19:1093–1094.<https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12075>
- Richardson DM, Allsopp N, D'Antonio CM et al (2000) Plant invasions—the role of mutualisms. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 75:65–93
- Richardson DM, Carruthers J, Hui C et al (2011) Human-mediated introductions of Australian acacias—a global experiment in biogeography. Divers Distrib 17:771–787. [https://doi.org/10.111](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00824.x) [1/j.1472-4642.2011.00824.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00824.x)
- Rodríguez-Echeverría S (2010) Rhizobial hitchhikers from down under: Invasional meltdown in a plantbacteria mutualism? J Biogeogr 37:1611–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02284.x>
- Rodríguez-Echeverría S, Crisóstomo JA, Nabais C, Freitas H (2009) Belowground mutualists and the invasive ability of *Acacia longifolia* in coastal dunes of Portugal. Biol Invasions 11:651–661. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-008-9280-8) [org/10.1007/s10530-008-9280-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-008-9280-8)
- Rodríguez-Echeverría S, Fajardo S, Ruiz-Díez B, Fernández-Pascual M (2012) Diferential efectiveness of novel and old legume–rhizobia mutualisms: implications for invasion by exotic legumes. Oecologia 170:253–261.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2299-7>
- Rodríguez-Echeverría S, Afonso C, Correia M et al (2013) The effect of soil legacy on competition and invasion by *Acacia dealbata* Link. Plant Ecol 214:1139–1146.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-013-0238-2>
- Rodriguez-Girones MA, Santamaria L (2006) A new algorithm to calculate the nestedness temperature of presence-absence matrices. J Biogeogr 33:924–935. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01444.x>
- Sallabanks S (1993) Fruiting plant attractiveness to avian seed dispersers: native versus invasive Crataegus in Western Oregon. Madrono 40:108–116
- Shattuck S (1999) Australian ants. Their biology and identifcation. CSIRO Publishing, Sydney
- Simberloff D, Von Holle B (1999) Positive interactions of nonindigenous species: Invasional meltdown? Biol Invasions 1:21–32
- Smith JMB (1989) An example of ant-assisted plant invasion. Aust J Ecol 14:247–250. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1989.tb01433.x) [org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1989.tb01433.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1989.tb01433.x)
- Traveset A, Richardson D (2006) Biological invasions as disruptors of plant reproductive mutualisms. Trends Ecol Evol 21:208–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.01.006>
- Traveset A, Richardson DM (2011) Mutualisms : Key drivers of invasions … key casualties of invasions. Fifty years invasion Ecol. Leg. Charles Elt. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp 143–160
- Traveset A, Richardson DM (2014) Mutualistic interactions and biological invasions. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 45:89–113. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091857>
- Werner C, Zumkier U, Beyschlag W, Máguas C (2009) High competitiveness of a resource demanding invasive acacia under low resource supply. Plant Ecol 206:83–96. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1125](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-009-9625-0) [8-009-9625-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-009-9625-0)
- Whitney KD (2002) Dispersal for distance? *Acacia ligulata* seeds and meat ants Iridomyrmex viridiaeneus. Austral Ecol 27:589–595.<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2002.01216.x>

Whittaker RH (1972) Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon 21:213–251

- Williams PA, Karl BJ (2002) Birds and small mammals in kanuka (*Kunzea ericoides*) and gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) scrub and the resulting seed rain and seedling dynamics. N Z J Ecol 26:31–41
- Willson MF, Traveset A (2000) The ecology of seed dispersal. In: Fenner M (ed) Seeds the ecology of regeneration plant communities, 2nd edn. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 85–110