



Analysis of genetic effects on a complete diallel cross test of *Pinus koraiensis*

Deyang Liang · Biying Wang · Shuanglin Song · Jingyuan Wang ·
Lianfu Wang · Qi Wang · Xuanbai Ren · Xiyang Zhao

Received: 12 December 2018 / Accepted: 3 April 2019 / Published online: 10 April 2019
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Abstract Thirty-four full-sib *Pinus koraiensis* families were used to evaluate and identify elite *P. koraiensis* material. Tree height (*H*) and diameter at breast height (dbh) were assessed. The results from variance analyses showed that familial variance sources for different traits in different growth years were extremely significantly different. The average phenotypic variation coefficients of *H*, dbh and volume (*V*) among families in different growth years ranged from 7.57 to 15.70, from 10.37 to 12.89 and from 24.44 to 28.13%, respectively. The family heritabilities of all traits ranged from 0.910 to 0.990, which are high values. A significant and positive correlation was observed among all traits, with values ranging from 0.43 to 0.99. According to the analyses of general and special combining ability, female

parents F4 and F2 and male parents M7 and M13 had high levels of general combining ability for all three traits evaluated. Families PK05 (F9 × M14) and PK06 (F2 × M14) showed the highest and the lowest specific combining ability values in all traits evaluated. Using the comprehensive multiple-traits method to evaluate the families by traits in the 18th growth year at the rate of < 10%, we selected families PK40, PK05 and PK22 as elite families; the genetic gains for these families in *H*, dbh and *V* were 14.43, 11.29 and 24.72%, respectively. This study provides materials and basic theoretical knowledge that can be used to improve seed orchards and develop special hybrid seed orchards.

Keywords *Pinus koraiensis* · Full-sib family · Variation · Heritability · Genetic gain

Deyang Liang, Biying Wang and Shuanglin Song have contributed equally to this work.

D. Liang · B. Wang · X. Zhao (✉)
State Key Laboratory of Tree Genetics and Breeding,
School of Forestry, Northeast Forestry University,
Harbin 150040, People's Republic of China
e-mail: zhaoxyphd@163.com

S. Song · J. Wang · L. Wang · Q. Wang
Forest Cultivation Center, Linjiang Forestry Bureau of
Jilin, Linjiang 134600, People's Republic of China

X. Ren
Forestry Technical Advice Station of Jilin Province,
Changchun 130000, People's Republic of China

Introduction

Pinus koraiensis is one of the most important native and economically valuable tree species in northeastern China, as well as in the far eastern region of Russia and on the Korean peninsula (Barnes et al. 1992). This tree species is fond of light although it grows normally under poor light conditions (Yang 2013). For centuries, *P. koraiensis* has been extensively used as a major source of timber and for natural remedies and edible pine nuts because of the excellent properties of

its wood and the nutritional value of the pine nuts (Imbs et al. 1998; Nergiz and Donmez 2004). The economic importance of *P. koraiensis* has resulted in it being the focus of many studies, with various researchers analyzing its growth traits (Han et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2002), leaf traits (Liu et al. 2015b), flowering characteristics (Wang et al. 2007), wood properties (Liang et al. 2016), photosynthetic index (Sun et al. 2016), sap flow (Moon et al. 2016), nutritional components of the seed (Azad et al. 2009) and net primary productivity (Fang et al. 2016).

P. koraiensis has proven to be difficult to propagate by cuttage, tissue culture and other asexual propagation methods (Zhao et al. 2018), resulting in seed orchards being the principal method used to improve *P. koraiensis*. Several seed orchards were established in northeastern China in the 1960s, and a large number of seeds have been produced at each seed orchard since the beginning of the 21st century (Xia et al. 2016). Although several variance analysis studies have been conducted on the selection of *P. koraiensis* families (Zhang et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 2018), the primary focus of these studies was half-sib families; the effects of the male parent were seldom investigated and analyzed. In this study, 34 *P. koraiensis* full-sib families were used as study material, and the traits tree height and diameter at breast height in different growth years were investigated and evaluated. This primary aim of this study was to provide materials and basic theoretical knowledge that can be used to improve *P. koraiensis* seed orchards and establish second-generation seed orchards.

Materials and methods

Experimental sites

The experiments were performed at the Naozhi Forestry Seed Orchard (41°05'N, 126°06'E) located in the western hillsides of Changbai Mountain, Linjiang City, Jilin Province, northeastern China. The temperate monsoon climate of the region features an average frost-free season and a mean annual precipitation, mean annual temperature and mean elevation of 128 days, 744 mm, 8.2 °C and 510 m a.s.l., respectively.

Materials and experimental design

The experimental material consisted of 34 *P. koraiensis* full-sib families (Table 1), all of which were selected from the local provenance. Control pollination was conducted in the spring of 1998. Seeds were collected in the autumn of 1999 and sown in 2000. The experimental design consisted of three blocks planted in 2004 with 4-year-old seedlings of each family using a completely randomized block design in row plots. Each row contained 12 trees, inter-tree distance was 2 m, and inter-row spacing was 3 m.

Method

Tree height (H) and diameter at breast height (DBH) of all living and unbroken trees were measured in the autumn of 2009 (10th growth year), 2011 (12th growth year), 2013 (14th growth year), 2015 (16th growth year) and 2017 (18th growth year). The volume (V) of a single tree was calculated using the method of Zhang et al. (2016) as follows:

$$V = (H + 3)_{g1.3} f \quad (1)$$

where f is the experimental form factor of 0.33 and $g1.3$ is a cross sectional area of stem at 1.3 m height.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 19.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The significance of fixed effects was tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) F tests. Variation among families in the same year was analyzed by ANOVA according to Hansen and Roulund (1997) using Eq. (2):

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha\beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where y_{ij} is the performance of an individual of family i within block j , μ is the overall mean, α_i is the family effect ($i = 1, \dots, 34$), β_j is the block effect ($j = 1, \dots, 3$), $\alpha\beta_{ij}$ is the random effect of family i within block j and ε_{ij} is the random error.

Genotypic (σ_g^2) and phenotypic (σ_p^2) variances were calculated by ANOVA using the mean square and the following formulas (Metougl et al. 2017):

Table 1 Name and parents of the 34 *Pinus koraiensis* families used in the study

| | Female parent | | Male parent | | | | | |
|----|---------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | M7 | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 | M13 | M14 | |
| F2 | PK14 | PK29 | PK40 | PK19 | – | PK34 | PK06 | |
| F3 | PK22 | – | PK33 | PK09 | PK17 | PK07 | – | |
| F4 | PK25 | PK37 | – | PK49 | – | – | – | |
| F6 | PK23 | – | PK50 | – | – | PK24 | PK20 | |
| F7 | – | PK02 | PK31 | PK16 | – | PK30 | PK42 | |
| F8 | – | PK21 | PK12 | PK26 | PK08 | PK39 | PK46 | |
| F9 | – | – | PK44 | PK15 | PK47 | PK01 | PK05 | |

$$\sigma_y^2 = \frac{A - B}{r}, \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_p^2 = \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2, \tag{4}$$

where A is the mean square between families ($A = \sigma_\epsilon^2 + r\sigma_y^2$) and B is the mean square within families ($B = \sigma_\epsilon^2$); r is the number of replications.

The general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) were calculated using the following formulas according to Chen and Shen (2005):

$$GCA_i = \bar{X}_i - \bar{X}. \tag{5}$$

$$SCA_{ij} = X_{ij} - \bar{X}.. - GCA_i - GCA_j \tag{6}$$

The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV, %) was estimated using the following formula (Jonah et al. 2011):

$$PCV = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{p}{\bar{X}}}}{\bar{X}} \times 100 \tag{7}$$

where \bar{X} is the mean value of a growth characteristic among all families.

The estimation of heritability (h^2) of a character for the families was calculated using Eq. (8) (Hansen and Roulund 1997):

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_b^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2} \tag{8}$$

where σ_A^2 is the additive genetic variance component between families, σ_b^2 is the block variance and σ_ϵ^2 is the error variance component.

The phenotypic correlation coefficient (r_A) was calculated using Eq. (9) (Fernando et al. 2016):

$$r_A(xy) = \frac{\sigma_{a(xy)}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{a(x)}^2 \cdot \sigma_{a(y)}^2}} \tag{9}$$

where $\sigma_{a(xy)}$ denotes the phenotypic covariance between traits of x and y, and $\sigma_{a(x)}^2$ and $\sigma_{a(y)}^2$ denote the phenotypic variance of trait x and y, respectively.

The comprehensive evaluation was conducted with the method of Q_i value evaluation where Q_i was calculated using Eq. (10) (Liu et al. 2015a, b):

$$Q_i = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n a_i} \tag{10}$$

where $a_i = X_{ij}/X_{jmax}$, Q_i is the comprehensive valuation value of family i, X_{ij} is the mean of a character, X_{jmax} is maximum value of a character, and n is the number of the evaluation index.

The estimated genetic gain was calculated using Eq. (11) (Silva et al. 2008):

$$\Delta G = (h^2 W / \bar{X}) \times 100\% \tag{11}$$

where ΔG is the genetic gain of a trait for the families, h^2 is the heritability of the trait, W is the difference in the average values of the traits between the selected families and all families (i.e. the selection difference) and \bar{X} is the mean value of the growth characteristic among all families.

Results

Analysis of variance

The ANOVA results are shown in Table 2. These results reveal that there were extremely significant

Table 2 Analysis of variance of the traits height, diameter at breast height and volume of *Pinus koraiensis* in different growth years

| Age (growth year) | Traits | Variance source | df | SS | MS | F-statistic | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|-----------------|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| 10th | H | Family | 33 | 206.07 | 6.24 | 101.33 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 2.14 | 1.07 | 17.54 | 0.000 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 4.49 | 0.07 | 1.10 | 0.296 |
| | DBH | Family | 33 | 40.37 | 1.22 | 45.18 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 1.40 | 0.70 | 25.85 | 0.000 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 3.25 | 0.05 | 1.82 | 0.001 |
| | V | Family | 33 | 8.72 × E−05 | 2.64 × E−06 | 23.67 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 2.62 × E−06 | 1.31 × E−06 | 11.74 | 0.000 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 9.57 × E−06 | 1.40 × E−07 | 1.30 | 0.086 |
| 12th | H | Family | 33 | 264.17 | 8.01 | 80.50 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.85 | 0.429 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 8.65 | 0.13 | 1.32 | 0.075 |
| | DBH | Family | 33 | 52.34 | 1.59 | 39.95 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.78 | 0.39 | 9.82 | 0.000 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 5.02 | 0.08 | 1.92 | 0.000 |
| | V | Family | 33 | 2.37 × E−04 | 7.18 × E−06 | 18.42 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 1.84 × E−06 | 9.20 × E−07 | 2.35 | 0.098 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 3.33 × E−05 | 5.10 × E−07 | 1.30 | 0.088 |
| 14th | H | Family | 33 | 309.43 | 9.38 | 57.36 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.81 | 0.41 | 2.48 | 0.086 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 24.18 | 0.37 | 2.24 | 0.000 |
| | DBH | Family | 33 | 61.44 | 1.86 | 32.47 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 1.05 | 0.350 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 7.92 | 0.12 | 2.09 | 0.000 |
| | V | Family | 33 | 4.90 × E−04 | 1.48 × E−05 | 12.66 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 1.04 × E−06 | 5.20 × E−07 | 0.44 | 0.642 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 1.01 × E−04 | 1.53 × E−06 | 1.31 | 0.079 |
| 16th | H | Family | 33 | 395.95 | 12.00 | 62.38 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.766 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 21.22 | 0.32 | 1.67 | 0.004 |
| | DBH | Family | 33 | 77.54 | 2.35 | 31.70 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 3.03 | 0.051 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 13.56 | 0.21 | 2.77 | 0.000 |
| | V | Family | 33 | 1.05 × E−03 | 3.20 × E−05 | 11.32 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 8.85 × E−05 | 4.42 × E−06 | 1.57 | 0.211 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 2.63 × E−04 | 3.98 × E−06 | 1.41 | 0.036 |
| 18th | H | Family | 33 | 425.76 | 12.90 | 56.10 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.59 | 0.553 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 75.14 | 1.14 | 4.95 | 0.000 |
| | DBH | Family | 33 | 83.74 | 2.54 | 33.42 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.59 | 0.554 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 9.24 | 0.14 | 1.84 | 0.001 |
| | V | Family | 33 | 1.47 × E−03 | 4.47 × E−05 | 11.08 | 0.000 |
| | | Block | 2 | 4.50 × E−06 | 2.25 × E−06 | 0.56 | 0.573 |
| | | Family × block | 66 | 3.40 × E−04 | 5.15 × E−06 | 1.28 | 0.101 |

Significance values > 0.05 showed no significant difference, 0.05 > Significance values > 0.01 showed significant difference and significance values < 0.01 showed extremely significant difference among variance source, respectively

df, Degree of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, mean squares

^aH, Height of tree (m); DBH, diameter at breast height (cm); V, volume of tree (m³)

Table 3 Genetic and variation parameters of the traits height, diameter at breast height and volume in different years

| Age (growth year) | Traits | Average | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum | PCV | h^2 |
|-------------------|----------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| 10th | <i>H</i> | 5.31 | 0.83 | 3.47 | 6.90 | 15.70 | 0.990 |
| | DBH | 2.87 | 0.37 | 1.84 | 3.57 | 12.89 | 0.978 |
| | <i>V</i> | 0.0018 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 | 0.0029 | 27.78 | 0.958 |
| 12th | <i>H</i> | 6.63 | 0.94 | 4.53 | 8.43 | 7.57 | 0.988 |
| | DBH | 3.51 | 0.42 | 2.34 | 4.28 | 11.97 | 0.975 |
| | <i>V</i> | 0.0032 | 0.0009 | 0.0012 | 0.0049 | 28.13 | 0.946 |
| 14th | <i>H</i> | 7.84 | 1.02 | 5.57 | 9.84 | 13.01 | 0.983 |
| | DBH | 4.09 | 0.45 | 2.82 | 4.90 | 11.00 | 0.969 |
| | <i>V</i> | 0.0048 | 0.0013 | 0.0019 | 0.0072 | 27.08 | 0.921 |
| 16th | <i>H</i> | 9.18 | 1.15 | 6.88 | 11.59 | 12.52 | 0.984 |
| | DBH | 4.78 | 0.51 | 3.28 | 5.65 | 10.67 | 0.968 |
| | <i>V</i> | 0.0074 | 0.0019 | 0.0031 | 0.0106 | 25.68 | 0.912 |
| 18th | <i>H</i> | 9.92 | 1.20 | 7.33 | 12.30 | 12.10 | 0.982 |
| | DBH | 5.11 | 0.53 | 3.60 | 6.03 | 10.37 | 0.970 |
| | <i>V</i> | 0.0090 | 0.0022 | 0.0037 | 0.0129 | 24.44 | 0.910 |

Units for traits are as given in Table 1

PCV, Phenotypic coefficient of variation (%) h^2 , estimation of heritability

differences among families in different growth years. There was also a significant difference among blocks in different traits at the 10th growth year, but with the exception of DBH at the 12th growth year, there are no significant differences among blocks for any traits. There were no significant differences for most family \times block effects.

Genetic and variation parameters

The genetic and variation parameters of *H*, DBH and *V* among the different families are shown in Table 3. The average *H*, DBH and *V* ranged from 5.31 to 9.92 m, from 2.87 to 5.11 cm and from 0.0018 to 0.0090 m³ (from 10th to 18th year), respectively. The PCVs of *H*, DBH and *V* ranged from 7.57 to 15.70%, 10.37 to 12.89% and 24.44 to 27.78%, respectively, and the values showed decreased trends with increasing age. The heritability values of all the traits in different growth years were > 0.91 .

Correlation analysis

Correlations among *H*, DBH and *V* in different growth years are shown in Table 4. In the same growth year, the correlation coefficients between *H* and DBH

ranged from 0.46 (16th growth year) to 0.51 (12th growth year), those between *H* and *V* ranged from 0.65 (10th growth year) to 0.73 (18th growth year) and those between DBH and *V* ranged from 0.85 (10th growth year) to 0.93 (18th growth year), respectively. In all, there were extremely significant positive correlations among all growth traits (0.43–0.94) in the same or different growth year.

General combining ability

The results of the analysis of GCA of the different parents are shown in Table 5. Female parents F4 and F2 had a high level of GCA for all three traits evaluated, while female parent F9 had the lowest level of GCA for all three traits evaluated. Interestingly, female parent F3 had a negative GCA value for *H* (-0.069) but the highest value for DBH (0.229) and a relatively higher value for *V* ($7.241 \times E-04$). For the male parents, M7 and M13 had a higher level of GCA in all three traits evaluated, while male parent M12 had the lowest level of GCA in all three traits. Also, interestingly, male parent M11 had the highest GCA value for *H*, but negative GCA values for DBH (-0.107) and *V* ($-5.882 \times E-06$).

Table 4 Correlation coefficients for the traits height, diameter at breast height and volume in different years

| Age (growth year) | Traits | 10th growth year | | | 12th growth year | | | 14th growth year | | | 16th growth year | | | 18th growth year | | |
|-------------------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----|---|
| | | H | DBH | V | H | DBH | V | H | DBH | V | H | DBH | V | H | DBH | V |
| 10th | DBH | 0.48** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | V | 0.65** | 0.85** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12th | H | 0.50** | 0.71** | 0.71** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DBH | 0.50** | 0.94** | 0.51** | 0.51** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14th | V | 0.71** | 0.93** | 0.72** | 0.87** | 0.73** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | H | 0.50** | 0.50** | 0.71** | 0.52** | 0.93** | 0.50** | | | | | | | | | |
| 16th | DBH | 0.48** | 0.94** | 0.50** | 0.94** | 0.72** | 0.86** | | | | | | | | | |
| | V | 0.70** | 0.93** | 0.71** | 0.94** | 0.72** | 0.50** | 0.71** | | | | | | | | |
| 18th | H | 0.50** | 0.72** | 0.51** | 0.51** | 0.73** | 0.50** | 0.71** | 0.47** | | | | | | | |
| | DBH | 0.45** | 0.91** | 0.47** | 0.91** | 0.47** | 0.91** | 0.47** | 0.91** | 0.47** | | | | | | |
| 18th | V | 0.68** | 0.93** | 0.69** | 0.93** | 0.70** | 0.93** | 0.71** | 0.91** | 0.71** | 0.91** | | | | | |
| | H | 0.52** | 0.73** | 0.53** | 0.53** | 0.74** | 0.51** | 0.72** | 0.72** | 0.48** | 0.71** | 0.71** | | | | |
| 18th | DBH | 0.43** | 0.92** | 0.45** | 0.91** | 0.45** | 0.92** | 0.45** | 0.92** | 0.45** | 0.92** | 0.45** | 0.46** | | | |
| | V | 0.67** | 0.94** | 0.68** | 0.94** | 0.69** | 0.94** | 0.69** | 0.94** | 0.69** | 0.92** | 0.69** | 0.73** | 0.93** | | |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 5 Analysis of the height, diameter at breast height and volume general combining ability of different parents

| Traits | Female parent | GCA | Male parent | GCA | |
|--------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------|
| H | F 4 | 0.920 | M 11 | 0.697 | |
| | F 2 | 0.570 | M 13 | 0.463 | |
| | F 8 | 0.342 | M 7 | 0.006 | |
| | F 3 | − 0.069 | M 9 | − 0.039 | |
| | F 6 | − 0.339 | M 10 | − 0.190 | |
| | F 7 | − 0.427 | M 14 | − 0.507 | |
| | F 9 | − 0.879 | M 12 | − 1.050 | |
| | DBH | F 3 | 0.229 | M 7 | 0.233 |
| | | F 2 | 0.186 | M 13 | 0.135 |
| F 4 | | 0.106 | M 14 | 0.057 | |
| F 8 | | 0.095 | M 10 | 0.021 | |
| F 6 | | − 0.095 | M 9 | − 0.040 | |
| F 7 | | − 0.147 | M 11 | − 0.107 | |
| F 9 | | − 0.407 | M 12 | − 0.450 | |
| V | F 2 | $8.941 \times E-04$ | M 7 | $6.941 \times E-04$ | |
| | F 4 | $8.441 \times E-04$ | M 13 | $6.608 \times E-04$ | |
| | F 3 | $7.241 \times E-04$ | M 14 | $1.041 \times E-04$ | |
| | F 8 | $4.108 \times E-04$ | M 11 | $- 5.882 \times E-06$ | |
| | F 7 | $- 4.759 \times E-04$ | M 9 | $- 5.588 \times E-05$ | |
| | F 6 | $- 7.559 \times E-04$ | M 10 | $- 1.725 \times E-04$ | |
| | F 9 | $- 1.716 \times E-03$ | M 12 | $- 1.989 \times E-03$ | |

GCA, General combining ability

Specific combining ability

The results of the SCA of the different parents are shown in Table 6. For *H*, family PK05 (F9 × M14) had the highest SCA value (2.999), followed by PK40 (F2 × M10, 1.783) and PK34 (F2 × M13, 1.350). PK25 (F4 × M7), PK33 (F3 × M10), PK01 (F9 × M13) and PK06 (F2 × M14) had lower SCA values − 1.002, − 1.178, − 1.381 and − 2.592, respectively. For DBH, PK05 also had the highest SCA value (0.963), followed by PK37 (F4 × M9, 0.696) and PK31 (F7 × M10, 0.519). PK33 (F3 × M10), PK49 (F4 × M11), PK34 (F2 × M13) and PK02 (F7 × M9) had lower SCA values, 0.587, − 0.596, − 0.628 and − 1.320, respectively. For *V*, PK05 had the highest SCA value ($5.06E-03$), followed by PK37 ($2.66E-03$) and PK22 (F3 × M7, $2.53E-03$). PK14 (F2 × M7), PK06 (F2 × M14), PK33 (F3 × M10) and PK02 (F7 × M9) had lower SCA values, $- 2.34E-03$, $- 2.35E-03$, $- 2.71E-03$ and $- 4.32E-03$, respectively.

Multitrait comprehensive evaluation and genetic gain

Using the of multiple-traits comprehensive method, we evaluated all families for *H*, DBH and *V* in the 18th growth year, at the selected rate of 10%, and selected families PK40, PK05 and PK22 as elite families (Table 7). PK40, PK05 and PK22 had an average *H* of 11.46 m, an average DBH of 5.82 cm and an average *V* of 0.277 m^3 ; these values were higher than the total average by 15.46, 12.34 and 24.96%, respectively, and the genetic gain was 14.43, 11.29 and 24.72%, respectively.

Discussion

Analysis of variance

Understanding genetic variation within populations, families or clones is very important in terms of gaining

Table 6 Analysis of the height, diameter at breast height and volume specific combining ability of different parents

| Family | Combination | SCA | | |
|--------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | | H | DBH | V |
| PK01 | F9 × M13 | - 1.381 | 0.055 | - 1.00E-03 |
| PK02 | F7 × M9 | - 0.151 | - 1.320 | - 4.32E-03 |
| PK05 | F9 × M14 | 2.999 | 0.963 | 5.06E-03 |
| PK06 | F2 × M14 | - 2.592 | - 0.210 | - 2.35E-03 |
| PK07 | F3 × M13 | 0.409 | 0.289 | 1.46E-03 |
| PK08 | F8 × M12 | 0.332 | 0.179 | 5.23E-04 |
| PK09 | F3 × M11 | - 0.905 | - 0.419 | - 2.07E-03 |
| PK12 | F8 × M10 | 0.212 | - 0.143 | - 2.94E-04 |
| PK14 | F2 × M7 | - 0.982 | - 0.486 | - 2.34E-03 |
| PK15 | F9 × M11 | - 0.365 | - 0.203 | - 1.03E-03 |
| PK16 | F7 × M11 | - 0.557 | 0.397 | 5.26E-04 |
| PK17 | F3 × M12 | 0.932 | 0.424 | 1.61E-03 |
| PK19 | F2 × M11 | 0.177 | 0.474 | 2.06E-03 |
| PK20 | F6 × M14 | - 0.221 | - 0.149 | - 9.04E-04 |
| PK21 | F8 × M9 | - 0.459 | 0.048 | - 4.11E-04 |
| PK22 | F3 × M7 | 0.816 | 0.461 | 2.53E-03 |
| PK23 | F6 × M7 | 0.087 | - 0.215 | - 5.94E-04 |
| PK24 | F6 × M13 | - 0.271 | - 0.167 | - 6.61E-04 |
| PK25 | F4 × M7 | - 1.002 | - 0.186 | - 1.29E-03 |
| PK26 | F8 × M11 | 0.965 | 0.285 | 1.84E-03 |
| PK29 | F2 × M9 | - 0.907 | 0.336 | 4.06E-04 |
| PK30 | F7 × M13 | 0.667 | 0.225 | 8.59E-04 |
| PK31 | F7 × M10 | - 0.640 | 0.519 | 7.93E-04 |
| PK33 | F3 × M10 | - 1.178 | - 0.587 | - 2.71E-03 |
| PK34 | F2 × M13 | 1.350 | - 0.628 | - 1.31E-03 |
| PK37 | F4 × M9 | 0.113 | 0.696 | 2.66E-03 |
| PK39 | F8 × M13 | 0.028 | 0.364 | 1.57E-03 |
| PK40 | F2 × M10 | 1.783 | 0.215 | 2.32E-03 |
| PK42 | F7 × M14 | 0.257 | 0.113 | 1.62E-03 |
| PK44 | F9 × M10 | - 0.008 | 0.049 | - 6.75E-05 |
| PK46 | F8 × M14 | - 0.452 | - 0.349 | - 1.77E-03 |
| PK47 | F9 × M12 | - 0.658 | - 0.520 | - 1.55E-03 |
| PK49 | F4 × M11 | 0.227 | - 0.596 | - 1.99E-03 |
| PK50 | F6 × M10 | 0.633 | 0.086 | 8.73E-04 |

F, Female parent; M, male parent; SCA, specific combining ability

a better understanding of the structure of experimental materials (Safavi et al. 2010). In this context, ANOVA is one of the most important methods used to estimate the extent of variability in breeding research (Zhao

et al. 2015). In this study, we found significant differences among families in all three of the investigated traits in different growth years, which indicates that it is possible and feasible to identify excellent families (Liang et al. 2018). The source of variation in the blocks effect showed no significance after the 14th growth year in different traits, suggesting that the edaphic conditions of different blocks were similar and that the variation was mainly caused by genotype.

Genetic variance parameters

The coefficients of variation and heritability are the most important genetic and variation parameters in tree genetics and breeding (He et al. 2011). PCV, which denotes the discrete degree of groups, is an important index of plant selection within breeding programs (Ren et al. 2010). In the present study, PCVs of the different traits investigated ranged from 7.57 to 28.13%, indicating a wide range of phenotypic performance in different growth years. The PCVs of *H* (range 7.57–15.70%) were similar to those of DBH (range 10.37–12.89%); however, they were lower than the PCVs of *V* (range 24.44–28.13%) in different growth years, which indicates that evaluating and selecting families using *V* at young ages is a reasonable strategy. To the contrary, from the 10th growth year to the 18th growth year, the PCVs of *H*, DBH and *V* showed a downward trend, similar to the trends observed in previous studies on *P. koraiensis* (Zhang et al. 2016) and Masson Pine (Zhang et al. 2013) but different from the trend observed by Zhao et al. (2013) in poplar. It is possible that different tree species, environments and ages have different growth trends and patterns, leading to different variation trends in different growth years (Xia et al. 2016). The heritability can reflect the ability of a trait to be passed on to offspring (Jiang et al. 2018). Traits are more stable when the heritability is higher and, in addition, the environmental effect will be smaller (Seyed 2011). In this study, the heritabilities of all traits ranged from 0.910 to 0.990, which indicates high heritability, in agreement with the results from other studies on *P. koraiensis* (Wang et al. 2007) and *Larix olgensis* (Yin et al. 2016). High PCV and heritability values indicate that the traits would be less influenced by environmental effects. The results were beneficial for the selection and evaluation of elite families (Maniee et al. 1998).

Table 7 Multi-character comprehensive evaluation of *P. koraiensis* families

| Family | Q_i | Family | Q_i | Family | Q_i |
|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| PK40 | 1.71 | PK17 | 1.58 | PK08 | 1.52 |
| PK05 | 1.70 | PK49 | 1.58 | PK20 | 1.50 |
| PK22 | 1.69 | PK21 | 1.58 | PK44 | 1.49 |
| PK37 | 1.68 | PK50 | 1.58 | PK42 | 1.49 |
| PK26 | 1.68 | PK25 | 1.57 | PK06 | 1.48 |
| PK19 | 1.68 | PK16 | 1.56 | PK15 | 1.47 |
| PK07 | 1.67 | PK24 | 1.55 | PK33 | 1.47 |
| PK39 | 1.66 | PK23 | 1.54 | PK01 | 1.46 |
| PK34 | 1.65 | PK31 | 1.54 | PK02 | 1.38 |
| PK30 | 1.64 | PK14 | 1.54 | PK47 | 1.31 |
| PK29 | 1.59 | PK09 | 1.53 | | |
| PK12 | 1.59 | PK46 | 1.52 | | |

See section [Statistical analysis](#) for explanation of Q_i

Correlation analysis

Phenotypic correlation reflects the relationship among different traits, and the age–age correlation of growth traits is an important parameter for early selection (Goncalves et al. 2005). In this study, an extremely significant, positive correlation ($P < 0.01$) was found among H , DBH and V in different growth years, similar to the correlation observed in previous studies on *Pinus radiata* (Matheson et al. 1994) and poplar (Zhao et al. 2013). This correlation indicates that a strong correlation also existed between H , DBH and V with relatively little influence from environmental factors. In addition, the phenotypic correlation coefficients among H , DBH or V in different growth years ranged from 0.43 to 0.93; these are similar to but higher than the phenotypic correlation coefficients reported for *Castanopsis fissa* (Zhong et al. 2015), Masson pine (Zeng et al. 2013) and larch (Sun et al. 2016). Our results may suggest that the slow growth rate of *P. koraiensis* and the higher age–age correlation coefficient could provide an effect indicative of anticipated mature growth, which would provide a benefit in terms of early selection of slow-growing tree species and could also shorten the breeding cycle (Jesus and Adams 1992; Kumar and Lee 2002; Greaves et al. 1997; Hannrup and Ekberg 1998; Osorio et al. 2003).

Combining ability analysis

For trees, especially coniferous tree species, it is difficult for breeders to improve even a single trait by crossing due to long life cycles. Information on GCA and SCA is critically important when the aim is to estimate crossbreeding effects in tree breeding (Owusu et al. 2017). The selection of appropriate parents can generate elite families which can enhance breeding effects and shorten the breeding period (Sluder 1996). GCA is determined by the additive gene action of the parental genotype that is passed to the offspring (Qi 2010). In this study, female parents F4 and F2 showed higher GCA values in traits H , DBH and V , which indicated that with these two clones as female parents, the offspring will grow well in terms of these traits. The male parents M7 and M13 showed higher GCA values in different growth traits, which indicates that these two clones should be considered as male parents in the next step of the breeding program (Youngkoo and Scott 2011). The SCA effect primarily reflects differences in the gene frequencies between the parents (Viana et al. 2013). It is the deviation of the expected result based on the average performance of the hybrid combination and its parents, and it is affected by external environmental conditions. In our study, although SCA could not be stably inherited between the parents and offspring, the results can serve as a guide to the utilization of heterosis and the breeding of hybrids in breeding programs (Rumpunen and Kviklys 2013). In this study, PK05 (F 9 × M14)

had the highest SCA values of all the investigated traits, which indicates that strong heterosis appeared with a cross of these two parents. We therefore suggest that F9 and M14 could provide excellent materials for establishing a special hybrid seed orchard (Chen and Shen 2005)

Multitrait comprehensive evaluation and genetic gain

There are many different methods for selecting elite materials depending on different breeding targets (Zhao et al. 2016). *P. koraiensis* is one of the most important commercial tree species throughout Asia. Growth traits are the most important characteristic for evaluating *Pinus* families. Comprehensive evaluation methods have been developed to select for multiple characteristics in order to cultivate plant types with a strong integrated ability (Yin et al. 2017). However, in a study of *Populus deltoids*, Guan et al. (2005) found that too many characteristics lead to low genetic gains in individual characteristics and to unclear selection targets. In the present study, we investigated three traits, namely height, diameter at breast height and volume, to evaluate in each family. At the selected rate of 10%, families PK40, PK05 and PK22 were selected as elite families, whose genetic gains of *H*, *DBH* and *V* were 14.43, 11.29 and 24.72%, respectively. These values are lower than those reported in other studies on *P. koraiensis* (Wang et al. 2007). One explanation for this difference may be the experimental materials themselves, which were obtained by controlled pollination, and all the parents were superior plant materials that were selected by natural distribution (Harry and Leopoldo 2011). The elite families that were selected could be used in applied studies to improve variety, and the elite parents could be used to establish an improved seed orchard (Wang et al. 2000).

Conclusion

Due to long breeding and rotation cycles, the selection of superior families in *P. koraiensis* is very important for efforts designed to enhance genetic gain and improve breeding efficiency. In this study, 34 *P. koraiensis* full-sib families were used as breeding material, and the *H*, *DBH* and *V* of different single

trees were investigated. Three elite families and four elite parents were selected based on analysis of the combining ability and comprehensive evaluation according to three growth traits. The elite parents could be used to establish improved seed orchards and special hybrid seed orchards. The elite families could be used in applied studies to improve variety. This study provides the materials and basic theoretical knowledge that can be used to improve seed orchards and develop special hybrid seed orchards.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge The National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0600601) for their support of this research.

References

- Azad MA, Bae JH, Kim JS, Lim JK, Song KS, Shin BS, Kim HR (2009) Isolation and characterization of a novel thermostable α -amylase from Korean pine seeds. *New Biotechnol* 26(3):143–149
- Barnes BV, Xu ZB, Zhao SD (1992) Forest ecosystems in an old-growth pine-mixed hardwood forest of Changbai mountains preserve in northeastern China. *Can J For Res* 22:144–160
- Chen XY, Shen XH (2005) Forest tree breeding. Higher Education Press, Beijing
- Fang Q, Wang Y, Shao XM (2016) The effect of climate on the net primary productivity (NPP) of *Pinus koraiensis* in the Changbai Mountains over the past 50 years. *Trees* 30(1):281–294
- Fernando PG, James HR, Oliver F, Randi F, Brian JS, Richard S, Robert S, Mark FD, David BN (2016) Analysis of the genetic variation in growth, ecophysiology, and chemical and metabolomic composition of wood of *Populus trichocarpa* provenances. *Tree Genet Genomes* 15:965–972
- Goncalves P, Bortoletto N, Cardinal A, Gouvea L, Costa R, Moraes M (2005) Age-age correlation for early selection of rubber tree genotypes in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. *Genet Mol Biol* 28:758–764
- Greaves BL, Borralho NM, Raymond CA, Evans R, Whiteman PH (1997) Age-age correlation in, and relationships between basic density and growth in *Eucalyptus nitens*. *Silv Genet* 46(50):264–270
- Guan LH, Pan HX, Huang MR, Shi JS (2005) Research on growth and wood properties joint genetic improvement of new clones of *Populus deltoides* (I-69) \times *P. euramericana* (I-45). *J Nanjing For Univ* 29(2):6–10
- Han S, Lee SJ, Yoon TK, Han SH, Lee J, Kim SH, Wang J, Cho MS, Son Y (2015) Species-specific growth and photosynthetic responses of first-year seedlings of four coniferous species to open-field experimental warming. *Turk J Agric For* 39(2):342–349
- Hannrup B, Ekberg I (1998) Age-age correlations for tracheid length and wood density in *Pinus sylvestris*. *Can J For Res* 28(9):1373–1379

- Hansen J, Roulund H (1997) Genetic parameters for spiral grain, stem form, pilodyn and growth in 13 years old clones of sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). *Silv Genet* 46:107–113
- Harry XW, Leopoldo S (2011) Effect of selection method on genetic correlation and gain in a two-trait selection scheme. *Aust For* 74(1):36–42
- He GP, Xu YQ, Qi M, Shen FQ, Zhang JZ, Luo WJ (2011) Genetic variation and individual plant selection of main economic traits of progenies from the second-generation seed orchards of Chinese fir. *For Res* 24(1):123–126
- Imbs AB, Nevshupova NV, Pham LQ (1998) Triacylglycerol composition of *Pinus koraiensis* seed oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 75(7):865–870
- Jesus VH, Adams WT (1992) Age-age correlations and early selection for wood destiny in young coastal Douglas-fir. *For Sci* 38(2):467–478
- Jiang GY, Jiang LP, Song SL, Wang JY, Wang Q, Wang LF, Zhang P, Zhao XY (2018) Genetic variance analysis and excellent fruit-timber families selection of half-sib *Pinus koraiensis*. *Bull Bot Res* 38(5):775–784
- Jonah P, Aliyu B, Jibung G, Abimiku O (2011) Phenotypic and genotypic correlation in bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* [L.] Verdc) in Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *World J Agric Sci* 7(3):298–303
- Kumar S, Lee J (2002) Age-age correlation and early selection for end of rotation wood density in radiata pine. *For Genet* 9(4):323–330
- Liang DY, Jin YZ, Zhao GH, Dong YH, Leng WW, Chen CL, Wang H, Zhao XY (2016) Variance analyses of growth and wood characteristics of 50 *Pinus koraiensis* clones. *J Beijing For Univ* 38(6):51–59
- Liang DY, Ding CJ, Zhao GH, Leng WW, Zhang M, Zhao XY, Qu GZ (2018) Variation and selection analysis of *Pinus Koraiensis* clones in northeast China. *J For Res* 29(3):611–622
- Liu MR, Yin SP, Si DJ, Shao LT, Li Y, Zheng M, Wang FW, Li SC, Liu GF, Zhao XY (2015a) Variation and genetic stability analyses of transgenic *TaLEA poplar* clones from four different sites in China. *Euphytica* 2:331–342
- Liu ZL, Chen JM, Jin GZ, Qi YJ (2015b) Estimating seasonal variations of leaf area index using litterfall collection and optical methods in four mixed evergreen-deciduous forests. *Agric For Meteorol* 209:36–48
- Maniee M, Kahrizi D, Mohammadi R (1998) Genetic variability of some morpho-physiological traits in durum wheat (var.). *Afr J Biotechnol* 9(30):4687–4691
- Matheson A, Spencer DJ, Magnussen D (1994) Optimum age for selection in *Pinus radiata* using basal area under bark for age-age correlations. *Silvae Gen* 43:352–357
- Metougul ML, Mokhtari M, Maughan PJ, Jellen EN, Benlhabib O (2017) Morphological variability, heritability and correlation studies within an argan tree population (*Argania spinosa* (L.) Skeels) preserved in situ. *Int J Agric For* 7:42–51
- Moon M, Kim T, Park J, Cho S, Ryu D, Suh S, Kim HS (2016) Changes in spatial variations of sap flow in korean pine trees due to environmental factors and their effects on estimates of stand transpiration. *J Mt Sci* 13(6):1024–1034
- Nergiz C, Donmez I (2004) Chemical composition and nutritive value of *Pinus pinea* L. seeds. *Food Chem* 86:365–368
- Orosio LF, White TL, Huber DA (2003) Age-age and trait-trait correlation for *Eucalyptus grandis* hill ex maiden and their implications for optimal selection age and design of clonal trails. *Theor Appl Genet* 106(4):735–743
- Owusu GA, Nyadanu D, Obeng-Antwi K, Amoah A, Danso FC, Amissah S (2017) Estimating gene action, combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and agronomic traits in extra-early maturing yellow maize single-crosses under three agro-ecologies of Ghana. *Euphytica* 213(12):287
- Qi YZ (2010) Combining ability and cluster analysis of some maize inbred lines. *J Southwest Univ (Nat Sci Ed)* 32(2):19–25
- Ren HD, Yao XH, Kang WL, Li S, Wang KL, Duan FW (2010) Genetic variation and early selection or provenance and families of *Acacia mearnsii*. *Sci Silv Sin* 3:153–160
- Rumpunen K, Kviklyns D (2013) Combining ability and patterns of inheritance for plant and fruit traits in Japanese quince (*Chaenomeles japonica*). *Euphytica* 132(2):139–149
- Safavi SA, Pourdad SA, Mohmmad T, Mahoud K (2010) Assessment of genetic variation among safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) accessions using agro-morphological traits and molecular markers. *J Food Agric Environ* 8:616–625
- Seyed MS (2011) Estimation of genetic parameters related to morphological traits in poplar clones. *Am J Sci Res* 27:105–110
- Silva F, Pereira MG, Ramos HC, Damasceno J, Pereira T, Gabriel A, Viana AP, Ferregueti GA (2008) Selection and estimation of the genetic gain in segregating generations of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). *Crop Breed Appl Biotechnol* 8:1–8
- Sluder ER (1996) Two-stage selection in slash pine produces good gains in fusiform rust resistance. *South J Appl For* 20(3):143–147
- Sun YR, Zhu JJ, Sun QJ, Yan QL (2016) Photosynthetic and growth responses of *Pinus koraiensis* seedlings to canopy openness: implications for the restoration of mixed-broad leaved korean pine forests. *Environ Exp Bot* 129:118–126
- Viana J, DeLima R, Mundim G, Conde A, Vilarinhl A (2013) Relative efficiency of the genotypic value and combining ability effects on reciprocal recurrent selection. *Theor Appl Genet* 126:889–899
- Wang GY, Ning YP, Jin JH, Zhang SH, Yi HB (2000) Study on the establishment technique of seed orchard for korean pine improved generations. *J Northeast For Univ* 3(28):68–69
- Wang HM, Xia DA, Wang WJ, Yang SW (2002) Genetic variations of wood properties and growth characters of korean pines from different provenance. *J For Res* 13(4):277–280
- Wang YX, Dong YH, Wu PL, Han YX, Wu DH, Wu ZZ (2007) Effect analysis of establishing seedling seed orchard of *Pinus koraiensis*. *Jilin For Sci Technol* 36(1):1–5
- Xia H, Zhao GH, Si DJ, Yin SP, Li Y, Zheng M, Zhao XY (2016) Construction and management technology of tree seed orchard in China. *J West China For Sci* 45(2):46–51
- Yang Y (2013) Breeding technology of korean pine seedling in northern Liaoning province. *Friend Farm* 24:91
- Yin SP, Zhao GH, Xia H, Sun XY, Pan YY, Wang FW, Li SC, Zhao XY (2016) Progeny test of half-sibs families and excellent families selection in *Larix olgensis*. *J Southwest For Univ* 36(1):64–69

- Yin SP, Xiao ZH, Zhao GH, Zhao X, Sun XY, Zhang Y, Wang FW, Li SC, Zhao XY, Qu GZ (2017) Variation analyses of growth and wood properties of *Larix olgensis* clones in China. *J For Res* 28(4):687–697
- Youngkoo C, Scott RA (2011) Combining ability of seed vigor and seed yield in soybean. *Euphytica* 112(2):145–150
- Zeng LH, Zhang Q, He BX, Lian HM, Cai YL, Wang YS, Luo M (2013) Age trends in genetic parameters for growth and resin-yielding capacity in masson pine. *Silv Genet* 62:7–18
- Zhang F, Wang G, Ning Y, Zhang S, Niu Z, Wang X (2003) The genetic test of fast-growing characters and hereditary selection for height growth of *Pinus koraiensis* filial generation. *J Northeast For Univ* 31:68–69
- Zhang Q, Zeng LH, He BX, Lian HM, Cai YL (2013) Age changes and genetic analysis of resin-yield capacity of open-pollinated families of masson pine. *Sci Silv Sin* 01:48–52
- Zhang Z, Zhang HG, Zhang L (2016) Age variation in productivity and family selection of open-pollinated families of korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*). *Bull Bot Res* 36(2):305–309
- Zhao GH, Leng WW, Zhang T, Xu LS, Jin X, Feng L, Li HB, Zhao XY (2018) Variance analysis of growth traits of 51 *Pinus koraiensis* seedlings families. *Bull Bot Res* 38(4):590–596
- Zhao XY, Li Y, Zhao L, Wu RL, Zhang ZY (2013) Analysis and evaluation of growth and adaptive performance of white poplar hybrid clones in different sites. *J Beijing For Univ* 35:7–14
- Zhao XY, Xia H, Wang XW, Wang C, Liang DY, Li KL, Liu GF (2015) Variance and stability analyses of growth characters in half-sib *Betula platyphylla* families at three different sites in China. *Euphytica* 208(1):173–186
- Zhao XY, Xia H, Wan XW, Wang C, Liang DY, Li KL, Liu GF (2016) Variance and stability analyses of growth characters in half-sib *Betula platyphylla* families at three different sites in China. *Euphytica* 208:173–186
- Zhong ZK, Liang SH, Wang YL, Zhang Q (2015) Early growth performance of superior families's progenies of *Castanopsis fissa* in north Guangdong. *Guangdong For Sci Technol* 31(6):35–41

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.