Identification and validation of a core set of microsatellite markers for genetic diversity analysis in watermelon, *Citrullus lanatus* Thunb. Matsum. & Nakai

Haiying Zhang · Hui Wang · Shaogui Guo · Yi Ren · Guoyi Gong · Yiqun Weng · Yong Xu

Received: 2 June 2011/Accepted: 31 October 2011/Published online: 6 November 2011 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

Abstract Watermelon, Citrullus lanatus Thunb. Matsum. & Nakai is an important vegetable crop worldwide. Due to its narrow genetic base, detection and utilization of the genetic variations, cultivar identification and increasing genetic diversity are some important tasks for watermelon breeders. Molecular markers, especially microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are playing increasingly important roles for these purposes. In the present study, a core set of 23 highly informative SSR markers was developed for watermelon genetic diversity analysis. Based on whole genome sequencing of 17 watermelon inbred lines, we identified 3.9 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) which were used to construct a SNP-based dendrogram for the 17 lines. Meanwhile, from the sequenced genome, 13,744 SSRs were developed, of which 704 were placed on a high-resolution watermelon linkage map. To develop the core set SSR markers, 78 of the 704 mapped SSRs

were selected as the candidate markers. Using the SNPbased dendrogram as calibration, 23 SSR markers evenly distributed across the genome were identified as the core marker set for watermelon genetic diversity analysis. Each marker was able to detect 2-7 alleles with polymorphism information content values ranging from 0.45 to 0.82. The dendrograms of 17 watermelon lines based on SNPs, the base set of 78 SSRs and the core set of 23 SSRs were highly consistent. The utility of this core set SSRs was demonstrated in 100 commercial watermelon cultivars and elite lines, which could be placed into six clusters that were largely consistent with previous classification based on morphology and parentage data. This core set of SSR markers should be very useful for genotyping and genetic variation analysis in watermelon.

Keywords *Citrullus lanatus* · Fingerprinting · Genetic diversity · Microsatellites · Watermelon

H. Zhang · H. Wang · S. Guo · Y. Ren ·
G. Gong · Y. Xu (⊠)
National Engineering Research Center for Vegetables,
Beijing Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences,
Beijing 100097, China
e-mail: xuyong@nercv.org

Y. Weng

Introduction

Watermelon, *Citrullus lanatus* Thunb. Matsum. & Nakai is an important vegetable fruit crop. Total yield in 2009 was over 100 million tons accounting for 10.7% of total vegetable production (FAO Statistics 2009, http://www.fao.org/). China is currently the top watermelon producer with 68.2 million tons in 2009

USDA-ARS Vegetable Crops Research Unit, Horticulture Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53719, USA

which was 67.7% of the world production, Due to its economic and nutraceutical importance, watermelon is gaining more popularity throughout the world (Padmavathi et al. 2010). Each year, a large number of varieties are being released and commercialized (Wehner 2002). However, genetic diversity within watermelon cultivars is low. For example, Levi et al. (2001b) found high genetic similarity values (92–99%) among watermelon cultivars, which make them especially vulnerable to attacks by the large numbers of diseases of insect pests of watermelon. Therefore, in China, there are increasing interests from both growers and watermelon breeders in introducing new germplasm from different geographical regions to enhance watermelon genetic diversity.

Watermelon belongs to the genus Citrullus (family Cucurbitaceae) and is the only cultivated species in this genus (Bisognin 2002). It is believed to have originated in Africa (Simmonds 1979) but is now widely spread throughout the world. The primary gene pool for watermelon breeding includes three major forms: C. lanatus var. lanatus (C. l. var. lanatus hereinafter), C. l. var. citroides and C. colocynthis (Jeffrey 2001). Citrullus lanatus var. lanatus includes both wild and cultivated types (Jeffrey 2001). Citrullus lanatus var. citroides, the cow-melons (Tsamma and citron types) are useful as rootstocks for their disease resistances (Dane and Lang 2004; Thies et al. 2010). *Citrullus colocynthis*, the bitter apple grown for medicinal purposes, is considered a likely ancestor of watermelon (Burkill 1985; Navot and Zamir 1987; Jarret et al. 1997). All of them are valuable genetic resources in watermelon improvement.

Assessment of genetic variation is important for not only watermelon breeding but also efficient management and protection of germplasm resources (Krishna et al. 2004). Meanwhile, cultivar identification is necessary for protecting breeders' rights. Detection and utilization of the genetic variation and cultivar identification are some important tasks for watermelon breeders.

Traditional evaluation of genetic variation and germplasm management is based on a set of morphological descriptors, which, however, are limited and often influenced by environments. In addition, many modern varieties and hybrids are phenotypically less distinct making morphological evaluation more difficult. It is usually difficult to classify more than 200 accessions at one time based solely on their morphological characteristics. Therefore, the development of reliable methods is necessary to allow for the assessment of genetic diversity and cultivar identification (Naito et al. 2008).

Over the years, a number of molecular marker systems have been used for watermelon genetic diversity and cultivar identification studies including isozymes (Navot and Zamir 1987), random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Williams et al. 1990), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Vos et al. 1995), sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) (Li and Quiros 2001), and high frequency oligonucleotides targeting active genes (HFO-TAG) (Levi et al. 2010). Analysis using isozymes (Navot and Zamir 1987) and RAPD markers (Levi et al. 2000; Harris et al. 2008) revealed low DNA polymorphism among cultivated watermelons. For example, of 1,309 RAPD markers, only 75 were polymorphic (5.9%) between two watermelon breeding lines (Harris et al. 2008). Other marker systems such as AFLP (Che et al. 2003; Levi et al. 2004), SRAP (Levi et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2008), EST-PCR (Levi et al. 2009) and HFO-TAG (Levi et al. 2010) produced slightly higher polymorphism among watermelon cultivars than the RAPD markers, but all in general were not breeder friendly. Results from these genetic assays were hardly comparable due to lack of a common core set of reference genotypes and the use of different marker systems. Therefore, it is desirable to develop a more robust, efficient and cost effective marker system that allows integration and amalgamation of data sets gathered at different times and in different laboratories.

Among all marker systems, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (Coryell et al. 1999) is the best marker for marker-based studies. However, at present, the high cost associated with SNP genotyping prevents it from wide use by most watermelon breeding programs. It is especially not practical for small laboratories in developing countries; a gap exists between SNP discovery and reduction to practice (Appleby et al. 2009). At present, a marker of choice is microsatellite or simple sequence repeat (SSR) (Tautz 1989). There are a number of advantages for using SSRs such as their co-dominant and multi-allelic nature, highly reproducibility (Oliveira et al. 2006; Ibrahim et al. 2010), which is very suitable for accession discrimination and assessment of genetic variation (Ebana et al. 2008).

In watermelon, SSRs have recently been used for germplasm characterization (Jarret et al. 1997; Guerra-Sanz 2002; Mujaju et al. 2010), evaluation of genetic diversity (Padmavathi et al. 2010), germplasm management (Zhang et al. 2010), cultivar identification (Levi et al. 2009), and genetic mapping (Levi et al. 2006). For cultivar identification, evaluation of genetic diversity or whole genome genetic mapping studies, full coverage of representative SSRs is needed. In the real world, it is not practical and economic to test hundreds of SSRs (Varshney et al. 2008). Identification of a core set of representative markers with adequate resolving power and genome coverage might be a method of choice for this purpose.

Compared with many other crops (Xie et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2009; Ebana et al. 2008; Federico et al. 2008), watermelon genome-wide SSRs are limited. However, this situation is changing rapidly due to technology development, especially in whole plant genome sequencing. In 2008, The National Engineering Research Center for Vegetables (NERCV) of Beijing, China and its collaborators initiated the International Watermelon Genome Project to sequence the watermelon genome. Using the next-generation Illumina Genome Analyzer (GA) sequencing technology, an elite East Asian ecotype watermelon inbred line 97103 (C. l. var. lanatus) was sequenced and a draft genome assembly is near completion (Xu et al. manuscript in preparation). To explore genetic diversity for watermelon improvement, we also re-sequenced the genomes of 16 additional watermelon genotypes including three plant introduction (PI) lines of C.l. var. citroides, two PIs and ten cultivars of C. l. var. lanatus, and one PI of C. colocynthis. This effort has led to genome-wide discovery of SSRs and SNPs. So far, 3,889,080 SNPs and 13,744 SSRs have been detected. Using these markers, a high-density watermelon genetic map was developed. These resources provide us unprecedented opportunities to explore the watermelon genome for breeding purposes.

The objective of this study was to develop a core set of microsatellite markers that can be used for evaluation of genetic diversities of watermelon collections. We first built a dendrogram of the 17 watermelon genotypes with 3.9 million SNPs. Using this dendrogram as a calibration, we identified a core set of 23 SSRs from 704 SSRs that have been mapped in our high-resolution genetic map. The utility of this core set of SSRs was further demonstrated in 100 commercial cultivars and elite lines.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Two sets of materials were employed in this study. The first set included 17 *Citrullus* genotypes with diverse genetic backgrounds and horticultural traits, which have been used in whole genome re-sequencing in the senior author's lab. The characteristics of these 17 lines are summarized in Table 1. They were chosen as representatives of the watermelon collection with maximum diversity.

The second set included 100 commercial cultivars and elite lines which were used to assess the potential utility of the core set SSR markers developed in this study. Twenty of these lines were closely related based on their pedigrees. The names and seed sources of these 100 accessions are provided in Table 2.

SNP discovery through whole genome resequencing in watermelon

We conducted whole genome sequencing and assembly of an elite East Asian ecotype watermelon inbred line 97103 using Illumina GA (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, USA) at Beijing Genome Institute (BGI)-Shenzhen. The whole genome was sequenced at 107.4 \times coverage of the 97103 haploid genome (430 Mbp), and the assembly contained 184 N90 scaffolds (Xu et al. manuscript in preparation). This 97103 watermelon draft genome assembly was used as reference for SNP discovery.

An additional 16 genotypes (Table 1) were re-sequenced at $6.3-18.8 \times$ depth each using the Illumina GA platform. All high quality (HQ) GA reads of these 16 watermelon lines were aligned against the 97103 draft reference genome using SOAPaligner (Li et al. 2009a) with the following criteria: if an original read could not be aligned to the reference sequence, the first nucleotide at the 5' end and two nucleotides at the 3' end were removed and the modified read was realigned to the reference again. If there was no alignment, two more nucleotides at 3' end were removed from the sequence. This procedure was repeated until alignment was achieved or until the read

| Table | 1 Characteri | istics of 17 w | /atermelon 1 | ines used for whol | e genome re- | -sequencing and genetic divers | ity analysis | | | | |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line # | Line name | Taxonomy status ^a | Ecotype ^b | Seed Source | Fruit shape | Rind features | Fruit weight(kg) | Soluble solid content (Brix) | Flesh color | Taste | Disease resistance* |
| GS1 | 97103 | CII | EA | NERCV, China | Round | Medium green with narrow, medium dark green stripes | 5.03 | 11.1 | Pink | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS2 | RZ-900 | CII | AM | Rijk Zwaan Co, The Netherlands | Round | Light green with netted | 5.30 | 10.9 | Red | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS3 | RZ-901 | CII | EA | Rijk Zwaan Co, The Netherlands | Round | Light green with narrow, medium dark green stripes | 4.87 | 8.3 | Red | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS4 | Sugarlee | CII | AM | NERCV, China | Round | Light green with narrow, medium dark green stripes | 4.90 | 10.4 | Pink | Crisp and sweet | AN, race 1; Fw races 0 and 1 |
| GS5 | JX-2 | CII | EA | NERCV, China | Round | Medium green with narrow, medium dark green stripes | 3.07 | 10.5 | Pink | Crisp and sweet | Fw races 0 and 1 |
| GS6 | JLM | CII | EA | NERCV, China | Round | Medium green with very narrow, medium dark green stripes | 2.65 | 10.3 | Yellow | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS7 | JXF | CII | EA | NERCV, China | Elongated | Medium green with very narrow, medium dark green stripes | 1.57 | 10.8 | Red | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS8 | XHBFGM | CII | EA | NERCV, China | Round | Light green with netted | 2.22 | 10.8 | Red | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS9 | Calhoum Gray | CII | AM | NERCV, China | Elongate | Light green with netted | 3.70 | 8.5 | Red | Crisp and sweet | Fw races 0 and 1 |
| GS10 | Black Diamond | CII | AM | NERCV, China | Round | Dark green | 3.75 | 9.4 | Red | Crisp and sweet | |
| GS11 | PI296341- FR | Clc | | Cape Province, South Africa | Round | Medium green with solid stripes | 1.65 | 0.7 | White | Tough and bitter | Fw races 0, 1, and 2 |
| GS12 | PI595203 | CII | EG | Georgia, USA | Round | Light green with solid strips | 1.90 | 1.7 | White | Tough and not sweet | ZYMV, PRSV, WMV |
| GS13 | PI386019 | C_{C} | | Iran | Round | Light green with intermittent stripes | 0.09 | 0.9 | White | Tough and bitter | PM, SL |
| GS14 | P1482271 | CII | EG | Zimbabwe | Round | Dark green with hazy, broad, medium dark green stripes. | 2.00 | 7.0 | Pink | Soft and little sweet | PM |

D Springer

| Line # | Line name | Taxonomy status ^a | Ecotype ^b | Seed Source | Fruit shape | Rind features | Fruit weight(kg) | Soluble solid content (Brix) | Flesh color | Taste | Disease resistance* |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| GS15 | PI482303 | Clc | | Zimbabwe | Oblong | Medium green with solid stripes | 3.05 | 1.4 | White and yellow | Tough and bitter | RKN |
| GS16 | PI482276 | Clc | | Zimbabwe | Round | Dark green with solid strips | 0.74 | 0.7 | White | Tough and not sweet | GSB |
| GS17 | Sy-904304 | CII | AM | Syngenta Seeds Inc., USA | Elongate | Light green with wide stripe | 8.04 | 0.0 | Red | Crisp and sweet | Fw race 1 and AN |
| * <i>AN</i> a watern | nthracnose; F | w fusarium v virus; ZYMV | wilt; GSB g zucchini ye | ummy stem blight ellow mosaic potyv | ; <i>PM</i> Powde /irus | rry mildew; <i>PRSV</i> papaya ring | spot virus; R | KN root knot ne | ematode; S | W silverleaf wh | itefly; WMV |
| a Cll (| C. lanatus var. | . lanatus, Cle | C. lanatus | var. citroides, Cc | C. citroides | | | | | | |

 Table 1
 continued

EA East Asian, AM American, EG Egusi

2

333

length was shorter than 27 bp. The average sequencing depth and coverage was calculated using the alignment results.

For SNP detection among the 17 watermelon lines, the following three steps were taken. (1) The computer program SOAPSNP (Li et al. 2009b) was used to calculate the likelihood of each individual's genotype based on the alignment results. A Bayesian model was applied to calculate the probability of individual's genotypes with the actual data; (2) All the individual likelihood files were integrated to produce a pseudogenome for each site in all 17 samples by maximum likelihood estimation (MLE). Sites meeting criteria in copy number, sequencing depth, quality score and minor allele counts were kept for the following rank sum test adjustment. SNPs passing the rank sum test (P > = 0.005) were fixed as members of the HQ SNP set; (3) Base types were allocated back to each individual based on genotypes of HQ SNPs and each individual likelihood file. The genotype with the highest likelihood was chosen as the consensus genotype in each individual. Overall, of the total 64.2 Gb genome DNA sequences generated, 84.4% were aligned to the reference genome. The average sequencing depth was $10.2 \times$ and 95.8% of the reference genome was covered by the re-sequenced reads. In total 3,889,080 SNPs were detected across the genomic sequences among the 17 genotypes. The details of resequencing data for the 16 lines are shown in Table 3.

Strategies to develop a core set of SSR markers in watermelon

To identify a core set of SSR markers that can be used for evaluation of genetic diversity in the majority of watermelon collections, we first developed a dendrogram of 17 re-sequenced watermelon lines based on the nearly 3.9 million SNPs, which was then used as a model for validating the efficacy of SSR markers selected. Bioinformatic analyses of the 97103 draft genome identified 13,744 SSRs, 3,759 insertion and deletion (InDel) polymorphisms, and 584 structure variation (SV) markers. These markers were used for the construction of a high-density watermelon linkage map using 103 F_8 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from 97103 × PI 296341-FR (Ren et al. manuscript in preparation). Line 97103 belongs to *C.l.*var. *lanatus* and PI 296341-FR is an accession of

 Table 2
 List of watermelon cultivars or breeding lines genotyped by SSR markers

| Table 2 continued | Table | 2 | continued |
|-------------------|-------|---|-----------|
|-------------------|-------|---|-----------|

| Line 4 Line name FP45 Zaojia FP1 Mujing FP46 Green Yi FP2 Jingxin No.1 FP47 Improved Zaojia FP3 988 FP48 C2 FP4 ZhongZi Jingxin FP49 Mushuang FP5 Jingxin No.2 FP50 Shuangxing FP6 WB917 FP51 Shuangxing FP7 Jingxin No.3 FP52 Huaxin - 1 FP8 WB302 FP53 Huaxin - 2 FP9 Jingxin No.4 FP54 Wigui FP11 DzJ Jingxin FP55 Fugui FP12 Jingxin No.5 FP57 New 102 FP14 Jingxin No.5 FP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.5 FP57 Mushuag FP14 Jingxin No.5 FP57 Mushuag FP14 Jingxin No.5 FP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.5 FP57 Jingxin FP15 < | typed by SSR markers | | Line #. | Line name |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| PH1 Mujing PF45 Za0ja FP2 Jingxin No.1 FP47 Improved Zaojia FP3 98R FP47 Improved Zaojia FP4 ZhongZi Jingxin FP47 Mushuang FP5 Jingxin No.2 FP50 Fp51 Shuang FP6 WB917 FP52 Huaxin-1 Shuang FP7 Jingxin No.3 FP52 Huaxin-1 Shuang FP8 WB302 FP53 Huaxin-2 Shuang FP90 Jingxin No.4 FP55 Fugui Silian FP11 WB217 FP56 Weloig Siliang FP12 Jingxin No.5 FP57 New102 FP13 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP50 Huaxin FP15 LM FP61 Muxiu FP14 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP15 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang <td< th=""><th>Line #.</th><th>Line name</th><th>ED46</th><th>7</th></td<> | Line #. | Line name | ED46 | 7 |
| PP2 Jingxin No.1 PP40 Unternational (P147) PP2 Jingxin No.1 PP44 C2 PP3 Q8R PP48 C2 PP4 ZhongZi Jingxin PP49 Musbuang PP5 Jingxin No.2 PP50 Fushuang PP6 WB907 PP51 Shuangsing PP7 Jingxin No.3 PP52 Huaxin-1 PP8 WB302 PP53 Huaxin-2 PP9 Jingxin No.4 PP54 Mugui PP10 DaZi Jingxin PP55 Weilong PP11 WB217 PP56 Weilong PP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New 102 PP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET PP58 HuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 HuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.7-1 P60 Worite PP15 LM PP60 Warag PP14 Jingxin No.8-1 PP63 Jingxin PP22 WB102 <td>FP1</td> <td>Muiing</td> <td>FP45 ED46</td> <td>Zaojia</td> | FP1 | Muiing | FP45 ED46 | Zaojia |
| p3 p4 p14 mp1000 Langla PP4 2008Z PP49 Mushuang PP5 Jingxin No.2 PP50 Pushuang PP6 WB917 PP51 Shuang xing PP7 Jingxin No.3 PP52 Huaxin-1 PP8 WB302 PP53 Huaxin-2 PP1 Jingxin No.4 PP54 Mugui PP10 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New102 PP11 WB217 PP56 Welong PP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New102 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP50 HuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP50 HuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP50 HuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.7-1 PP61 Muxiu PP17 VB303 PP62 Jingxiu PP19 Jingxin No.7-2 PP64 Fuwang PP20 WB108 PP65 Jinglin PP21 Jingxin No.8-1 </td <td>FP2</td> <td>Jingxin No.1</td> <td>FF40 ED47</td> <td>Improved Zacija</td> | FP2 | Jingxin No.1 | FF40 ED47 | Improved Zacija |
| PP4ZhongZl JingxinPF49MakhungPF5Jingxin No.2PF50KushungPF6WB917PF51ShushungPF7Jingxin No.3PF52Huaxin-1FR8WB302PF53Huaxin-2PF9Jingxin No.4PF54MuguiPF10DaZi JingxinPF55FuguiPF11WB217PF56WeilongPF12Jingxin No.5PF57Nevl02PF13AU-SWEET-SCARLETPF58FeldungJingxin No.1PF14Jingxin No.6PF99HuangJingxin No.1PF15LMPF60WorkicPF16K200PF61MuxingPF17WB357PF62JingxinPF18Jingxin No.7-1PF63MuWangPF20WB303PF65JinglinPF21WB305PF66FilanPF22WB102PF08TianhuangPF24Jingxin No.8-1PF09Ta00PF25Jingxin No.8-2PF07TiaglanPF24Jingxin No.8-2PF07TiaglanPF25Ka10PF71T40051PF26MuFENGPF71Ta0051PF27ShePF72ShPF28Ka10PF74MingS8PF33Guofeng-1PF73WB105PF24Guofeng-1PF74MingS6PF34Guofeng-1PF74MingS6PF35Guofeng-1PF74MingS6PF34Guofeng-1PF74 <t< td=""><td>FP3</td><td>98R</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | FP3 | 98R | | |
| PP5 Jingxin No.2 PP50 Fukunang PP6 WB917 PP51 Shuangxing PP7 Jingxin No.3 PP52 Huxin-1 PP8 WB302 PP53 Huxin-2 PP9 Jingxin No.4 PP54 Mugui PP10 Dzi Jingxin PP55 Fugui PP11 WB217 PP56 Weilong PP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New102 PP13 AUSWET-SCARLET PP58 FuHuangJingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 HuangJingxin No.1 PP15 LM PP60 Wowine PP15 LM PP60 Wowine PP14 Jingxin No.7-2 PP64 Muwang PP17 WB303 PP66 Fulan PP20 WB303 PP66 Fulan PP21 WB303 PP66 Fulan PP22 WB19 PP67 JingLan PP22 WB19 PP67 JingLan <td>FP4</td> <td>ZhongZi Jingxin</td> <td>FP40</td> <td>C2 Mushuong</td> | FP4 | ZhongZi Jingxin | FP40 | C2 Mushuong |
| Production Producting Producting PP6 WB917 PP51 Shuangying PP7 Jingxin No.3 PP52 Huaxin-1 PP8 WB302 PP53 Huaxin-2 PP10 DaZi Jingxin PP55 Fugui PP11 WB217 PP56 Weilong PP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New102 PP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET PP58 Fufuang/Ingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 Huang/Ingxin No.1 PP15 LM PP60 Worite PP16 Muxiu PP61 Muxiu PP17 WB357 PP62 Jingxiu PP18 Jingxin No.7-1 PP63 MuWang PP19 Jingxin No.7-2 PP64 Pwang PP20 WB303 PP65 Jinglia PP21 WB198 PP66 Fulan PP22 WB190 PP67 Jinglia, P16 PP22 Jingxin No.8-1 PP69 | FP5 | Jingxin No.2 | FF49 ED50 | Fushuang |
| PP1 Instant PP31 Stantargy PP7 Jingxin No.3 PP52 Huxxin-1 FP8 WB302 PP53 Huxxin-2 FP9 Jingxin No.4 PP54 Mugui FP10 Da2J Jingxin PP55 Fugui FP11 WB217 PP56 Weilong FP11 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New102 FP12 Jingxin No.5 PP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.7-1 FP60 Muwang FP17 WB303 FP65 Jingxin FP20 WB102 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB102 FP66 Fulan FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T4009 FP25 | FP6 | WB917 | FFJU ED51 | Fushuang |
| Ing. Name PP32 Ind.Min-1 PF8 WB202 PP53 Huaxin-2 FF9 Jingxin No.4 FP54 Migui FP10 DzJ Jingxin PP55 Fugui FP11 WB217 FP56 Weilong FP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New 102 FP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET FP58 FulhangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP59 HuangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP60 Wowite FP16 LM FP60 Wowite FP17 WB37 FP62 Jingxin FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 JingLin FP11 Jingxin No.8-2 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP25 Jingkin No.8-2 FP70 | FP7 | Jingxin No 3 | FP51 FP52 | Shuangxing |
| PPS PPSS PPSS PPSS FPP Jingxin No.4 PPS4 Mugui FP10 DaZi Jingxin PPS5 Fugui FP11 WB217 PPS6 Weilong FP11 Jingxin No.5 PPS7 New 102 FP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET PPS8 Fultuang/ingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FPS9 Huang/ingxin No.1 FP15 LM PP60 Wewrite FP16 K209 FP61 Muxiu FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxin FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Fulan FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB103 FP67 JingLan FP22 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T351 FP24 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 | FP8 | WB302 | FP32 | Huaxin-1 |
| Product Product Product Magan PP10 Dzi Jingxin Product Product Product PP11 WB217 Product Product Product PP12 Jingxin No.5 Product Product Product PP14 Jingxin No.5 Product Product Product Product PP14 Jingxin No.6 Product Pro | FP9 | lingxin No 4 | FP53 | Huaxin-2 |
| Product Magnation Profis Fragmanic PP11 WB217 PP56 Weilong PP12 Jingxin No.5 PP57 New 102 PP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET PP58 Fuluang/ingxin No.1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 Haang/ingxin No.1 PP15 LM PP60 Wbwrite PP16 K209 PP61 Muxiu PP17 WB357 PP62 Jingxiu PP19 Jingxin No.7-1 PP63 MuWang PP20 WB303 PP65 Jinglin PP21 WB102 PP66 Fulan PP22 WB102 PP67 Jingl.n PP22 WB102 PP67 Jingl.n PP22 WB102 PP69 T409 PP22 WB102 PP67 Jingl.n PP24 Jingxin No.8-1 PP69 T409 PP25 Jingxin No.8-2 P77 Jingling-1 PP26 MuFENG FP73 Jingling-1 <td>FP10</td> <td>DaZi Jingxin</td> <td>FP54</td> <td>Mugui</td> | FP10 | DaZi Jingxin | FP54 | Mugui |
| H1 H21 H25 H25 Weitong FP12 Jingxin No.5 FP57 New I02 FP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET FP58 FuHangJingxin No.1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP59 HaangJingxin No.1 FP15 LM FP60 Waxia FP16 K209 FP61 Muxia FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxia FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jinglin FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB192 FP67 Jinglan FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 Ta09 FP24 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP71 T409351 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP73 Migfs8 FP24 Jingxin No.8-2 FP73 Migfs8 FP25 Guofeng- | FP11 | WB217 | FP55 | Fugui |
| Int_ Ing.In No.5 PEY New IO2 FP13 AU-SWEET-SCARLET FP58 FuHuangJingxin No. 1 FP14 Jingxin No.6 FP59 HuangJingxin No. 1 FP15 LM FP60 Wbwrite FP16 K209 FP61 Muxiu FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxiu FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB159 FP67 Jinglan FP22 WB159 FP67 Jinglan FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP71 T409351 FP26 MuFENG FP72 SD FP25 Jingxin No.7 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 Ming58 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP75 | FD12 | lingvin No 5 | FP56 | Weilong |
| No.5 No.5 PP58 Puluang/ingxin No. 1 PP14 Jingxin No.6 PP59 Huang/jingxin No. 1 PP15 LM PP60 Wbwrie PP16 K209 PP61 Muxiu PP17 WB357 PP62 Jingxiu PP19 Jingxin No.7-1 PP63 MuWang PP19 Jingxin No.7-2 PP64 Fuwang PP20 WB303 PP65 Jingli PP21 WB198 PP66 Fulan PP22 WB192 PP66 Tanhuang PP24 Jingxin No.8-1 PP69 T409 PP25 Jingxin No.8-1 PP69 T409 PP24 Jingxin No.8-2 PP70 T351 PP25 Jingxin No.8-2 PP70 T351 PP26 MuFENG PP71 T409351 PP27 Sbe PP73 Jingling-1 PP28 Guofeng-1 PP75 Jingling-2 PP31 Guofeng-3 PP77 | ED12 | ALL SWEET SCADLET | FP57 | New102 |
| H14 Jugani Acob P459 HuangIngxin No.1 FP15 LM FP60 Wbwrite FP16 K209 FP61 Muxia FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxin FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuvang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB192 FP68 Tianhuang FP22 WB192 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP75 Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-4 FP78 Jingling-4 < | ED14 | AU-SWEET-SCARLET | FP58 | FuHuangJingxin No. 1 |
| Fr15 LM FP60 Wbwrite FP16 K209 FP61 Muxiu FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxiu FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB192 FP68 Tianhuang FP23 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP73 Jingling-1 FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-3 FP31 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP32 Guofeng-4 FP79 Fumo FP33 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo | ED15 | Jingxin No.0 | FP59 | HuangJingxin No.1 |
| Pr10 N209 PP61 Muxia FP17 WB357 FP62 Jingxiu FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP62 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB192 FP67 JingLan FP22 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuENG FP75 Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-3 FP32 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP33 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP35 T326 FP80 Sunagna | FF1J ED16 | LWI K200 | FP60 | Wbwrite |
| F17 $WB53$ $FP62$ Jingxin FP18 Jingxin No.7-1 $FP63$ MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 $FP63$ Jingli FP20 $WB303$ $FP65$ Jingli FP21 $WB198$ $FP666$ Fulan FP22 $WB192$ $FP67$ JingLan FP22 $WB102$ $FP68$ Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 $FP69$ T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 $FP70$ T351 FP26 MuFENG $FP71$ T409351 FP27 She $FP72$ SD FP28 K210 $FP73$ Jingling-1 FP29 $FuFENG$ $FP74$ Ming58 FP30 Guofeng-1 $FP75$ Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-3 $FP77$ $WB505$ FP33 Guofeng-5 $FP79$ $Fumo$ FP34 Guofeng-5 $FP79$ Seedless higaxinNo.1 FP35 T326 | FP10 ED17 | K209 | FP61 | Muxiu |
| Fr18 Jingxin No.7-1 FP63 MuWang FP19 Jingxin No.7-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB199 FP67 JingLan FP23 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 Sb FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 Ming58 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-3 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-4 FP33 Guofeng-5 FP79 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP34 Guobao FP81 Seedless JingxinNo.1 FP35 T326 FP80 Shuangma | FP1/ | WB357 | FP62 | Jingxiu |
| PP19 Jngxin No. /-2 FP64 Fuwang FP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB159 FP67 JingLan FP23 WB102 FP68 Tahuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-3 FP32 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-4 FP78 Jingling-4 FP34 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP35 T326 FP80 Shangma FP36 Guobao FP81 Seedless JingxinNo.1 FP37 Mu948-1 FP83 SelfHei | FP18 | Jingxin No.7-1 | FP63 | MuWang |
| PP20 WB303 FP65 Jingli FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB159 FP67 JingLan FP23 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 Ming58 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-3 FP31 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP34 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP35 T326 FP80 Sungma FP36 Guobao FP81 Seedless JingxinNo.1 FP37 Mu948-1 FP83 SelfHei FP39 F94 Seedless lingxinNo.1 FP37 | FP19 | Jingxin No.7-2 | FP64 | Fuwang |
| FP21 WB198 FP66 Fulan FP22 WB159 FP67 JingLan FP23 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 Td09 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 Td09351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 MingS8 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-3 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-4 FP32 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP34 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP35 T326 FP80 Suangma FP36 Guobao FP81 Seedless JingxinNo.1 FP37 Mu948-1 FP82 Heimi FP38 Fu94 Seedless hei FP39 FP39 948 FP84 Seedless hei | FP20 | WB303 | FP65 | Jingli |
| FP22WB159FP67JingLanFP23WB102FP68TianhuangFP24Jingxin No.8-1FP69T409FP25Jingxin No.8-2FP70T351FP26MuFENGFP71T409351FP27SheFP72SDFP28K210FP73Jingling-1FP29FuFENGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP34GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83Seedless heiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41YiFP88Improved YinyihaoFP44YiFP89Improved Yinyihao | FP21 | WB198 | FP66 | Fulan |
| PP23 WB102 FP68 Tianhuang FP24 Jingxin No.8-1 FP69 T409 FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 Ming58 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-3 FP32 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-4 FP78 Jingling-4 FP34 Guofeng-5 FP79 Funo FP35 T326 FP80 Shuagma FP36 Guobao FP81 Seedless JingxinNo.1 FP37 Mu948-1 FP83 SelfHei FP39 948 FP84 Seedless hei FP39 948 FP85 MuXin FP40 Mu948-2 FP86 FuXin <td>FP22</td> <td>WB159</td> <td>FP67</td> <td>JingLan</td> | FP22 | WB159 | FP67 | JingLan |
| FP24Jingxin No.8-1FP69T409FP25Jingxin No.8-2FP70T351FP26MuFENGFP71T409351FP27SheFP72SDFP28K210FP73Jingling-1FP29FuFENGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuagmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP39Hu948-1FP82HeimiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP87XinyihaoFP44YiFP89Improved FuxinFP44YiFP80Improved Xinyihao | FP23 | WB102 | FP68 | Tianhuang |
| FP25 Jingxin No.8-2 FP70 T351 FP26 MuFENG FP71 T409351 FP27 She FP72 SD FP28 K210 FP73 Jingling-1 FP29 FuFENG FP74 Ming58 FP30 Guofeng-1 FP75 Jingling-2 FP31 Guofeng-2 FP76 Jingling-3 FP32 Guofeng-3 FP77 WB505 FP33 Guofeng-4 FP78 Jingling-4 FP34 Guofeng-5 FP79 Fumo FP35 T326 FP80 Shuangma FP37 Mu948-1 FP82 Heimi FP38 Fu948-1 FP83 SelfHei FP39 948 FP84 Seedless hei FP40 Mu948-2 FP85 MuXin FP41 Fu948-2 FP86 FuXin FP41 Fu948-2 FP86 FuXin FP43 T2 FP88 Improved Fuxin | FP24 | Jingxin No.8-1 | FP69 | T409 |
| FP26MuFENGFP71T409351FP27SheFP72SDFP28K210FP73Jingling-1FP29FuFENGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SetfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP86MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Yinyihao | FP25 | Jingxin No.8-2 | FP70 | T351 |
| FP27SheFP72SDFP28K210FP73Jingling-1FP29FuFNGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FunoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP86MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP44YiFP89Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP26 | MuFENG | FP71 | T409351 |
| FP28K210FP73Jingling-1FP29FuFENGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP86MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP27 | She | FP72 | SD |
| FP29FuFENGFP74Ming58FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP86MuXinFP41F048-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinvihao | FP28 | K210 | FP73 | Jingling-1 |
| FP30Guofeng-1FP75Jingling-2FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP86MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP29 | FuFENG | FP74 | Ming58 |
| FP31Guofeng-2FP76Jingling-3FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP30 | Guofeng-1 | FP75 | Jingling-2 |
| FP32Guofeng-3FP77WB505FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP89Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP31 | Guofeng-2 | FP76 | Jingling-3 |
| FP33Guofeng-4FP78Jingling-4FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP32 | Guofeng-3 | FP77 | WB505 |
| FP34Guofeng-5FP79FumoFP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP33 | Guofeng-4 | FP78 | Jingling-4 |
| FP35T326FP80ShuangmaFP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP34 | Guofeng-5 | FP79 | Fumo |
| FP36GuobaoFP81Seedless JingxinNo.1FP37Mu948-1FP82HeimiFP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP35 | T326 | FP80 | Shuangma |
| FP37 Mu948-1 FP82 Heimi FP38 Fu948-1 FP83 SelfHei FP39 948 FP84 Seedless hei FP40 Mu948-2 FP85 MuXin FP41 Fu948-2 FP86 FuXin FP42 948-2 FP87 Xinyihao FP43 T2 FP88 Improved Fuxin FP44 Yi FP89 Improved Xinyihao | FP36 | Guobao | FP81 | Seedless JingxinNo.1 |
| FP38Fu948-1FP83SelfHeiFP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP37 | Mu948-1 | FP82 | Heimi |
| FP39948FP84Seedless heiFP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP38 | Fu948-1 | FP83 | SelfHei |
| FP40Mu948-2FP85MuXinFP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP39 | 948 | FP84 | Seedless hei |
| FP41Fu948-2FP86FuXinFP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP40 | Mu948-2 | FP85 | MuXin |
| FP42948-2FP87XinyihaoFP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinyihao | FP41 | Fu948-2 | FP86 | FuXin |
| FP43T2FP88Improved FuxinFP44YiFP89Improved Xinvibao | FP42 | 948-2 | FP87 | Xinvihao |
| FP44 Yi FP89 Improved Xinvibao | FP43 | Τ2 | FP88 | Improved Fuxin |
| | FP44 | Yi | FP89 | Improved Xinvihao |

 Table 2 continued

| Line #. | Line name |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| FP90 | Fengle No.1 ^a |
| FP91 | Zhengkang No.8 ^a |
| FP92 | Heishuai ^a |
| FP93 | Jubao No ^a .1 |
| FP94 | Tian Niu ^a |
| FP95 | Fengle No.5 ^a |
| FP96 | Aomi 001 ^b |
| FP97 | Dongmi 001 ^b |
| FP98 | Seedless guangxi ^c No.3 |
| FP99 | Seedless Indian |
| FP100 | FuJX |

Seed source seeds of all materials were provided by NERCV, China except the following

^a Fengle Seed Co.China

^b Northeast Agriculture University, China

^c Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

C.l. var. *citroides*. The high-resolution map includes 704 SSRs, 219 InDels and 36 SVs spanning ~ 800 cM. The 704 SSRs were the starting marker set for developing the core set of SSRs. Our objective

was to eliminate sequentially the SSR markers with low power of discrimination to get a final combination of markers that can be use for genetic diversity analysis.

The 704 SSRs that were polymorphic between 97103 and PI 296341-FR were further tested for polymorphism between two elite cultivated watermelon lines 97103 and Sugarlee (Crall and Alstrom 1983). 97103 is East Asian ecotype whereas Sugarlee is a North American ecotype. The underlying reasoning for this was that watermelon has a narrow genetic base (Levi et al. 2001a, b). Consequently, markers that were polymorphic between the two cultivated elite lines would likely be more useful for genetic diversity studies for other cultivated lines. As a result, 78 SSRs that were polymorphic between 97103 and Sugarlee were identified.

Next, a unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) dendrogram (Sneath and Sokal 1973) was built using the 78 SSRs for the 17 watermelon genotypes (Table 1) to evaluate their ability to differentiate these watermelon lines. Since the 78 SSRs provided the same resolution in resolving these 17 lines, they were used as candidates for selection of the core SSR set. Dendrograms were built by sequentially decreasing the number and varying the combinations

Table 3 Polymorphisms of 16 Citrullus genotypes as compared with the watermelon line 97103 based on whole genome re-sequencing

| Line name | Sequencing depth $(\times)^a$ | Genome coverage% | # of SNPs ^b | # Indels ^c | Structural variations |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| JX-2 | 9.17 | 98.37 | 36581 | 5525 | 4684 |
| JLM | 9.16 | 98.38 | 51045 | 9177 | 4811 |
| JXF | 9.17 | 98.52 | 56403 | 11517 | 5197 |
| Calhoum Gray | 9.15 | 98.50 | 68968 | 13681 | 5169 |
| PI 482271 | 7.17 | 96.73 | 60978 | 30983 | 3853 |
| Black Diamond | 9.00 | 98.39 | 75784 | 15792 | 5053 |
| XHBFGM | 9.28 | 98.52 | 70517 | 22401 | 5760 |
| Sugarlee | 9.20 | 98.52 | 78897 | 27380 | 5536 |
| RZ-900 | 18.51 | 99.05 | 103595 | 33612 | 5148 |
| RZ-901 | 18.79 | 99.15 | 131257 | 31235 | 5869 |
| Sy-904304 | 12.99 | 98.14 | 126276 | 39250 | 5286 |
| PI 595203 | 9.27 | 97.74 | 132517 | 58064 | 2973 |
| PI 482303 | 6.41 | 89.46 | 520595 | 177658 | 8169 |
| PI 296341-FR | 12.5 | 91.80 | 1448864 | 171641 | 7742 |
| PI 386019 | 6.30 | 82.26 | 1662809 | 73458 | 7987 |
| PI 482276 | 6.75 | 89.33 | 1663039 | 190415 | 8990 |
| | | | | | |

^a Expressed in haploid genome equivalent of the watermelon genome

SNPs single nucleotide polymorphisms, InDel insertion/deletion

of SSRs. A final core set was determined based on the following criteria: (1) The SSR-based UPGMA dendrogram resembled the SNP-based dendrogram; (2) Polymorphic information content (PIC) value was over 0.45; (3) all SSRs evenly distributed across the watermelon genome with at least one marker from each linkage group; (4) easy to use in PCR assay (clear PCR product under standard reaction conditions); (5) each SSR detected a single locus. Eventually, 23 SSRs meeting these criteria were selected. Information (marker name, primer sequences, PIC) of all 23 SSR markers is listed in Table 4. Lastly, the utility of this core set of 23 SSRs was validated in 100 commercial cultivars and elite lines.

SSR marker analysis

All tested watermelon lines were grown in the greenhouse at 25/18°C day/night temperatures. For each line, young tender leaves were collected from three 15-day old seedling plants and pooled, and stored at -80°C for DNA isolation following Levi and Thomas (1999).

Each 15 µl PCR reaction mixture contained 20 ng template DNA, 4 µM each of the left and right primers, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM each of dNTPs, 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase in $1 \times$ PCR buffer (Takara Company, China). The PCR reaction started with 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 55°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 90 s with a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The PCR products were analyzed using 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in $1 \times$ TBE buffer. The gel was stained with silver staining using the SILVER SEQUENCE DNA Sequencing System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Data analysis

For genetic diversity analysis of SNP data, individual SNPs were used to calculate genetic distances between watermelon lines. The p-distance between two individuals i and j (Tamura et al. 2004) was defined as follows.

$$D_{ij} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} d_{ij}^{I}$$

where L is the length of the region in which HQ SNPs were identified.For A/C alleles at position l,

0, if genotypes of the two individuals are AA and AA

 $d_{ij}^{1} = \begin{cases} 0.5, \text{ if genotypes of the two individuals are AA and AC} \\ 0.5, \text{ if genotypes of the two individuals are AC and AC} \\ 1, \text{ if genotypes of the two individuals are AA and CC} \end{cases}$

The neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987) was then used to construct the dendrogram on the basis of the distance matrix calculated by the software MEGA5 (Tamura et al. 2011). Bootstrap values were calculated in 1,000 replicates.

Polymorphic SSR markers were scored for the presence or absence of the corresponding bands among the tested accessions. Stutter and background bands were excluded. The scores '1' and '0' indicated the presence and absence of the bands, respectively. The polymorphism information content (PIC) of each marker was calculated according to Smith et al. (1997) as follows: PIC = $1 - \Sigma P_i^2$, where *Pi* is the band frequency of the ith allele.

Genetic similarities between the 17 re-sequenced genotypes were calculated using Nei and Li's coefficients index (Nei 1977) with the Freetree software (Pavlicek et al. 1999). The dendrogram was constructed with the same software using UPGMA. The robustness of nodes was inferred by bootstrap analysis of 1,000 replicates.

The dendrogram of all 117 watermelon lines based on 23 SSR markers was constructed using software, Powermarker v3.0 (Liu and Muse 2005; http://statgen. ncsu.edu/powermarker/), and visualized with Dendroscope (Huson et al. 2007; http://www.dendroscope.org).

Results and discussion

Polymorphisms among 17 *Citrullus* genotypes based on whole genome re-sequencing

The whole genomes of 16 watermelon lines were resequenced with the Illumina GA platform with $6.3-18.8 \times$ genome coverage. Alignment of the these genome sequences with the 97103 reference draft genome revealed DNA-level sequence polymorphisms which included SNPs, Indels and other structural changes. Details of polymorphisms of each genotype as compared with 97103 are summarized in Table 3. Among these re-sequenced lines, JX-2 and 97103 had the lowest polymorphism (46,790); whereas PI 482276 and 97103 had the highest (186244, Table 3). In total 3,889,080 SNPs were

| | c | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------------|-----------|
| SSR marker | Forward primer sequence | Reverse primer sequence | LG* | Scaffold | PIC value |
| BVWS00948 | TCAAACCGACTGCCATATCA | AGCTTGTCTTCCTGGCCTTT | LG1 | Scaffold162 | 0.63 |
| BVWS00155 | TGGATCATTTGACAGATTTAGCGA | CATCACAGTTAACGATCACAAGGC | LG1 | Scaffold180 | 0.63 |
| BVWS00297 | ACAACTTTGATTGATTGCACGATG | AAGTGAAAGACCCTTTTCCCCAAAC | LG2 | Scaffold1630 | 0.69 |
| BVWS00314 | GAGGAGAATCGGTTCTTGGACATA | TTGAGCATCCTTGGGGACTATCATT | LG2 | Scaffold94 | 0.60 |
| BVWS00048 | TCAAAGGTTTGCCCTAAATGAAA | TGCTGATCTCCCATTCTTAACCTC | LG3 | Scaffold660 | 0.55 |
| BVWS00208 | GCAAAGATTGTCTATGAAGCAGCA | GCTCATTGGCTTCTTGAATCTGTT | LG4 | Scaffold170 | 0.64 |
| BVWS01734 | AAATTACATCTTAAATGCGCC | GGAACATTGACTTCAATCAGCA | LG4 | Scaffold25 | 0.74 |
| BVWS00441 | TGGTTGAAATCAATAAAAAGTGAA | TGGATGTTTTTGGCATTTGA | LG5 | Scaffold93 | 0.69 |
| BVWS00658 | TTAGCCTAAGCAAGGGTTTTT | AAGTACACATTTTAAACAATCAATCCA | LG5 | Scaffold368 | 09.0 |
| BVWS00106 | TGGCCTAGAAGATTATTGAGCTGC | CATTATCACATGGCAGATAATGGAAA | LG5 | Scaffold108 | 0.69 |
| BVWS00233 | AAACCATGATTTTACAGGGGGATCA | TTTCTGTCTTCTTTTGACCAATGC | PG6 | Scaffold260 | 0.73 |
| BVWS01897 | TTCTTGAAACTCAACCCTCAAA | AAAGCGTGTCGAGTGTGAGA | LG6 | Scaffold44 | 0.70 |
| BVWS00433 | TCTTTTAAGTTTTGAGGGGGGAGAGC | TTCCCAAGCTAGCCTTTTCA | LG7 | Scaffold111 | 0.82 |
| BVW100170 | AACGCACGATAGTTAGAAGG | TGACTAATTAAACTACACTCAGACT | LG7 | Scaffold505 | 0.45 |
| BVWS00369 | TGAGAAAATGGAAGATGCAAATGA | TTCTTCTCACTCTCCTAAGATTTTGC | LG8 | Scaffold349 | 0.73 |
| BVWS00826 | ATGGTTCATTTTCACGTTCG | AAAATCAAGCAAAGAACAACAT | LG8 | Scaffold12 | 0.56 |
| BVWS00209 | TGCTTCAAAATCTATTCACAATTTGC | TTCTTGGTTTCGGGTTTCTTTACA | LG9 | Scaffold390 | 0.79 |
| BVWS00333 | TGTTGAGATTCTTTGATTTCAACTGT | TGGGTCAAAGTATTTTTGCTTTTT | LG9 | Scaffold7 | 0.73 |
| BVWS01843 | CCCCCGCCAAAATTAAAA | CACCCGTGTAAAGGTGGTAAA | LG9 | Scaffold109 | 0.63 |
| BVWS02048 | TCTGTGTGGATGCAAATGGT | GCTAATCGAGCCCAGTTACG | LG10 | Scaffold300 | 0.67 |
| BVWS00236 | CTTGAGCATTTGGCTTCCTAGTGT | GTCAAAATGTCCTTTGATTCCCAA | LG10 | Scaffold659 | 0.62 |
| BVWS00228 | GGAAGAGTGAGGTGATAAATCAATATGT | AATTGGCCCAAATATCCATATGAC | LG11 | Scaffold31 | 0.74 |
| BVWS00839 | TTCCACACCAAGGAGGTAGG | CATGTCATTCGATAAGCAGAAA | LG11 | Scaffold116 | 0.53 |
| | | | | | |

Table 4 Information of 23 core set SSR markers for watermelon genetic diversity analysis

* LG linkage group

detected across the genomic sequences among the 17 genotypes.

SNP-based genetic diversity among 17 *Citrullus* genotypes

Based on 3,889,080 SNPs across 17 re-sequenced watermelon genotypes, a UPGMA dendrogram was built (Fig. 1a), which clearly separated 17 Citrullus genotypes into two branches. The first branch was C. colocynthis, and the second one belonged to C. lanatus, which further split into two sister clades of var. citroides and var. lanatus. The var. lanatus subclade had three clusters. The two wild accessions (PI 595203, PI 482271) formed the first and the second cluster, respectively. The third cluster had the cultivated types, which had two sub clusters: the East Asian ecotype and the American ecotype. The East Asian ecotype sub-cluster included 97103, JX-2, JLM, JXF, RZ-901 and XHBFGM, while the American ecotype sub cluster was composed of Black Diamond, Calhoun Gray, Sugarlee, RZ-900 and Sy-904304 (Fig. 1a).

This SNP-based dendrogram was consistent with previous classifications of these 17 lines based on morphological and parentage data (Wehner 1999a, b, 2002). For example, Calhoun Gray, Black Diamond and Sugarlee all belong to the American ecotype although they have different fruit characteristics. Calhoun Gray, derived from Calhoun Sweet \times Charleston Gray,

produces elongated fruit resembling to that of Charleston Gray. Black Diamond produces globular fruit with dark green skin which is similar to that of Calhoun Sweet. Calhoun Gray and Black Diamond were closely clustered likely due to their common parent Calhoun Sweet in the pedigrees. Calhoun Gray and Sugarlee (derived from Texas W5, Summit, Charleston Gray, Fairfax, Crimson Sweet, and Graybell) also shared a common parentage with Charleston Gray. These three cultivars of the American ecotype showed close genetic similarity based on SNP clustering (Fig. 1a). Similarly, cultivars of East Asian ecotype 97103 and JX-2 appeared to be closely related. Both were derived from same parent with mid-sized globular fruit and pink flesh. These results also showed that the cultivated watermelons are genetically distant from C. l. var. citroides and C. colocynthis. The genetic distance between C. lanatus and C. colocynthis was greater than that between C. l. var. citroides and C. colocynthis which was consistent with early findings (Levi et al. 2001a).

Evaluation of genetic diversity among 17 *Citrullus* genotypes using 78 SSRs

From our whole genome mapping effort (Ren et al. manuscript in preparation), we identified 704 poly-morphism SSRs between two parental lines, 97103 of *C.l.* var. *lanatus* and PI 296341-FR of *C.l.* var.



Fig. 1 Dendrograms of 17 watermelon lines based on 3,889,080 SNP loci (a), 78 SSR markers (b), and 23 core set SSR markers (c). Significant bootstrap value after re-sampling data for 1,000 times is shown at each node of the dendrogram

citroides. These 704 SSRs were subjected to polymorphism screening between two elite cultivated watermelon lines 97103 (East Asian ecotype) and Sugarlee (American ecotype), and 78 polymorphic SSRs were identified.

Genetic diversity was evaluated with the 78 SSRs among the 17 *Citrullus* genotypes with whole genome re-sequencing data (Table 1). In total 285 alleles were detected ranging from 2 to 7 alleles and an average of 3.7 alleles per locus. The PIC value ranged between 0.11 and 0.82 with an average of 0.60.

Of the 285 alleles, 57, 22 and 32 were unique to var. *lanatus*, var. *citroides* and *C. colocynthis*, respectively. Forty-eight alleles were shared between var. *lanatus* and var. *citroides*; 22 shared between var. *lanatus* and *C. colocynthis* and 21 between *C. colocynthis* and var. *citroides*. Of the 57 polymorphic alleles in var. *lanatus*, 10 presented in the *Citrullus* wild types and 47 in the cultivated watermelons. Among the 47 cultivar-specific alleles, there were no polymorphic alleles that only existed in East Asian ecotype lines or only in American ecotype lines suggesting a narrow genetic background of cultivated watermelons.

Cluster analysis using UPGMA based on similarity coefficients confirmed the genotypic relationships among the 17 *Citrullus* lines (Fig. 1b). This 78 SSR-based UPGMA dendrogram was the same as the one developed from 3.9 million SNPs suggesting that these SSRs were highly representative of the water-melon genome, had high resolving power and were as effective for genetic analysis as the original 3.9 million SNPs. (Fig. 1b).

Development and validation of a core set watermelon SSRs for genetic diversity analysis

Using the procedure and criteria described early ("Materials and methods" section) we were able to identify 23 SSRs as the core set for genetic diversity analysis in watermelon. Detailed information of the 23 SSR markers is summarized in Table 4. The 23 SSRs detected 97 alleles among the 17 watermelon lines (Table 1) ranging from 2 to 7 alleles per marker and an average of 4.2 alleles per locus. The PIC value ranged from 0.45 to 0.82 with the average of 0.66. These markers were largely evenly distributed across the genome. Each linkage group had at least two markers

except Linkage Group 3 with only one marker (Table 4).

The 23 SSRs in the core set seem to be highly representative and informative which was evidenced from the following two observations. First, the correlation coefficient between genetic similarity matrices of the core set (23 SSRs) and the base set (78 SSRs) was highly significant (r = 0.96, P < 0.005). Second, the dendrogram based on the core set 23 SSRs among the 17 watermelon lines (Table 1; Fig. 1c) was identical to that created with 78 SSR markers (Fig. 1b), which in turn was largely consistent with the dendrogram based on 3.9 million SNPs. In all three dendrograms (Fig. 1), the majority of the branches were supported by high bootstrapping values.

We attempted to further reduce the number of SSRs in the core set by selecting only one marker from each linkage group (11 in total) with high PIC value (on average 0.66) to construct a UPGMA dendrogram. Although the resulting dendrogram of the 17 genotypes (not shown) was largely consistent with their classification based on morphological and parentage data, Calhoun Gray, the typical American ecotype was placed in a clad with watermelon lines of East Asian ecotype, which was not correct. Bootstrapping values of some nodes were very low. This suggested that the core set of 23 SSR markers was suitable and sufficient to discriminate the 17 *Citrullus* genotypes at the species level.

The high consistency of clusters based on the three sets of marker data (3.9 million SNPs, 78 SSR base set and 23 SSR core set) clearly support our idea of establishing a smaller set of SSRs that capture the majority of genetic diversity in *Citrullus*. Of the 11 linkage groups, each LG had at least two SSRs except LG3 which had only one marker (BVWS00048, Table 4). On our high-resolution genetic map, 74 SSR loci were mapped in LG3, but only one SSR showed polymorphism between the two elite lines 97103 and Sugarlee. The reason for this is unknown, and may worth further investigation.

Evaluating the utility of core set SSRs

In order to assess the utility of the core set SSR markers, we conducted clustering analysis with the 23 SSRs on 100 additional commercial cultivars and elite breeding lines of watermelon (Table 2). A UPGMA dendrogram was constructed for all 117 entries which



Fig. 2 UPGMA phenogram of 117 watermelon accessions (17 from Table 1 and 100 from Table 2) based on the 23 core set SSR markers. The 117 test accessions were in six distinct clusters. *Color codes blue C. colocynthis; red C. lanatus* var.

is shown in Fig. 2. The resulting dendrogram had six distinct clusters, which belonged, respectively, to *C. colocynthis* (blue color), *C. l.* var. *citroides* (red color); wild watermelon *C. l.* var. *lanatus* (black color); American ecotype or its derivatives of *C. l.* var. *lanatus* (25 entries, green color), East Asia ecotype or its derivatives (51 accessions, pink color), and lastly a hybrid or intermediate ecotype (yellow color). The 35

citroides; black wild type of *C. lanatus* var. *lanatus*; green American ecotype or its derivatives of *C. lanatus* var. *lanatus*; yellow the intermediate ecotype; pink East Asian ecotype or its derivatives of *C. lanatus* var. *lanatus*. (Color figure online)

accessions in the hybrid/intermediate ecotype were mostly the offspring of crosses between the American and East Asian ecotypes. This observation suggested that the accessions belonging to the American and East Asian ecotypes appeared to have relatively wider genetic distances from each other.

Some morphologically very similar watermelon lines could be differentiated with this core set SSR markers. For example, GS1 (97103) and FP3 (98R) had the coefficient of similarity of 90%, but their morphology and growth habits were almost identical. On the other hand, some accessions, such as FP29 (Fu Feng), FP41 (Fu948-2) and FP43 (T2) shared all the alleles detected by the 23 core set SSR markers. These accessions also exhibited similar morphology and growth habits and have been previously speculated to be synonymous. In total, nine such accessions (7.7%) showed no genetic differences detected by this core set SSRs, all of which happened to be elite lines developed by NERCV.

The genetic variations in watermelon between East Asian and American ecotypes were rarely explored previously. SNP and core set SSR data from the present study clearly demonstrated a high level of genetic variation between the two ecotypes. Our SNP analysis revealed that the SNP frequency among lines of East Asian ecotype were at 0.25-0.36 SNP per kilobases (kbp), which was 0.44-0.47/kbp within American ecotype lines (data not shown). The coefficient of similarity among East Asian ecotype lines was 65-90%, which was 63-88% within American ecotype genotypes. These observations indicated that American ecotype watermelon lines had a higher level of genetic diversity than that of the East Asian ecotype ones. This may be explained by the origin of the accessions tested. The American ecotype lines were collected (Zhang et al. 2010) from different places while the East Asian ecotype lines were derived from limited parental materials with high degree of inbreeding over a long period of time. Some preselected lines from a few crosses were exclusively clustered with the local ones, possibly related to maintaining the quality and characteristic of the old varieties. The results also indicated the possibility to use the American ecotype watermelons to enhance the genetic diversity in Chinese breeding programs.

Conclusion

From whole genome sequencing and high resolution genetic mapping, we identified a core set of 23 highly informative and representative, evenly distributed SSR markers in watermelon, which should have wide use in germplasm management, variety identification, parental material selection and genetic pool assessment in watermelon breeding. Acknowledgments This work was supported by funds from Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, China (D08070500690803, D111100001311002, KJCX201101010, Z09090501040902 and 5100001); The Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2009BADB8B02, 2010DFB33740, 30972015, 31171980 and 2010AA10A107), and the Ministry of Agriculture of China (CARS-26).

References

- Appleby N, Edwards D, Batley J (2009) New technologies for ultra-high throughput genotyping in plants. In: Somers DJ et al (eds) Methods in molecular biology. Plant Genomics, Humana Press, pp 19–39
- Bisognin DA (2002) Origin and evolution of cultivated cucurbits. Ciência Rural Santa Maria 32(5):715–723
- Burkill HM (1985) The useful plants of West Tropical Africa, vol 1, 2nd edn. Richmond, Surrey, UK, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- Che KP, XU Y, Liang CY, Gong GY, Weng ML, Zhang HY, Jin DM, Wang B (2003) AFLP fingerprint and SCAR marker of watermelon core collection. Acta Bot Sinica 45:731–735
- Coryell VH, Jessen H, Schupp JM, Webb D, Keim P (1999) Allele-specific hybridization markers for soybean. Theor Appl Genet 98:690–696
- Crall JM, Alstrom GW (1983) 'Sugarlee' watermelon. HortScience 18:496–497
- Dane F, Lang P (2004) Sequence variation at cpDNA regions of watermelon and related species: implications for the evolution of *Citrullus* haplotypes. Am J Bot 91:1922–1929
- Ebana K, Kojima Y, Fukuoka S, Nagamine T, Kawase M (2008) Development of mini core collection of Japanese rice landrace. Breed Sci 58:281–291
- Federico LIL, Voort AV, Osborn TC (2008) Development of a set of public SSR markers derived from genomic sequence of a rapid cycling *Brassica oleracea* L genotype. Theor Appl Genet 117:977–985
- Guerra-Sanz JM (2002) *Citrullus* simple sequence repeats markers from sequence databases. Mol Ecol Notes 2:223–225
- Harris KR, Wechter WP, Lanini B, Vivoda E, Levi A (2008) In search of markers linked to Fusarium wilt race 1 resistance in watermelon. HortScience 43:1238
- Huang S, Li R, Zhang Z, Li L, Gu X, Fan W, Lucas WJ, Wang X, Xie B, Ni P, Ren Y, Zhu H et al (2009) The genome of the cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. Nat Genet 41:1275–1281
- Huson DH, Richter DC, Rausch C, Dezulian T, Franz M, Rupp R (2007) Dendroscope: an interactive viewer for large phylogenetic trees. BMC Bioinform 8:460
- Ibrahim AA, Mohammad AB, Haseeb AK, Ahmad HAIF, Ali AAIH, Ali HB, Mohammad AlS, Mohammad S (2010) A brief review of molecular techniques to assess plant diversity. Int J Mol Sci 11:2079–2096
- Jarret RL, Merrick LC, Holms T, Evans J, Aradhya MK (1997) Simple sequence repeats in watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai]. Genome 40:433–441
- Jeffrey C (2001) Cucurbitaceae. In: Hanelt P (ed) Mansfeld's encyclopedia of agricultural and horticultural crops, vol 3. Springer, pp 1510–1557
- Krishna GK, Zhang J, Burow M, Pittman R, Delikostadinov S, Lu Y, Puppala N (2004) Genetic diversity analysis in

valencia peanut(*Arachis hypogaea* L.) using microsatellite markers. Cell Mol Biol Lett 9:685–697

- Levi A, Thomas CE (1999) An improved procedure for isolation of high quality DNA from watermelon and melon leaves. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep 22:41–42
- Levi A, Thomas CE, Keinath AP, Wehner TC (2000) Estimation of genetic diversity among *Citrullus* accessions using RAPD markers. Acta Hortic 510:385–390
- Levi A, Thomas CE, Keinath AP, Wehner TC (2001a) Genetic diversity among watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* and *Citrullus colocynthis*) accessions. Genet Resour Crop Evol 48:559–566
- Levi A, Thomas CE, Wehner TC, Zhang X (2001b) Low genetic diversity indicates the need to broaden the genetic base of cultivated watermelon. HortScience 36:1096–1101
- Levi A, Thomas CE, Newman M, Reddy OUK, Zhang X (2004) ISSR and AFLP markers sufficiently differ among American watermelon cultivars with limited genetic diversity. J Am Soc Hort Sci 129:553–558
- Levi A, Thomas CE, Trebitsh T, Salman A, King J, Karalius J, Newman M, Reddy OUK, Xu Y, Zhang X (2006) An extended linkage map for watermelon based on SRAP, AFLP, SSR, ISSR and RAPD markers. J Am Soc Hort Sci 131:393–402
- Levi A, Wechter WP, Davis AR (2009) EST-PCR markers representing watermelon fruit genes are polymorphic among watermelon heirloom cultivars xharing a narrow genetic base. Plant Genet Resour 7:16–32
- Levi A, Wechter wp, Harris-Shultz KR, Davis AR, Fei Z (2010) High frequency oligonucleotides in watermelon expressed sequenced tag-unigenes are useful in producing polymorphic polymerase chain reaction markers among watermelon genotypes. J Amer Hort Sci 135:369–378
- Li G, Quiros CF (2001) Sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP), a new marker system based on a simple PCR reaction: its application to mapping and gene tagging in *Brassica*. Theor Appl Genet 103:455–461
- Li R, Yu C, Li Y, Lam TW, Yiu SM, Kristiansen K, Wang J (2009a) SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. Bioinformatics 25:1966–1967
- Li R, Li Y, Fang X, Yang H, Wang J, Kristiansen K, Wang J (2009b) SNP detection for massively parallel wholegenome resequencing. Genome Res 19:1124–1132
- Liu KJ, Muse SV (2005) PowerMarker: an integrated analysis environment for genetic marker analysis. Bioinformatics 21:2128–2129
- Mujaju C, Sehic J, Werlemark G, Garkava-Gustavsson L, Faith M, Nybom H (2010) Genetic diversity in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) landraces from Zimbabwe revealed by RAPD and SSR markers. Hereditas 147:142–153
- Naito Y, Suzuki S, Iwata Y, Kuboyama T (2008) Genetic diversity and relationship analysis of peanut germplasm using SSR markers. Breed Sci 58:293–300
- Navot N, Zamir D (1987) Isozyme and seed protein phylogeny of the genus *Citrullus* (Cucurbitaceae). Plant Syst Evol 156:61–67
- Nei M (1977) F-statistics and analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Ann Hum Genet 41:225–233
- Oliveira EJ, Pádua JG, Zucchi MI (2006) Origin, evolution and genome distribution of microsatellites. Genet Mol Biol 29:294–307
- Padmavathi N, Tomason YR, Jeong J, Ponniah SK, Karunathilake A, Levi A, Perumal R, Reddy UK (2010) Genetic

reticulation and interrelationships among *Citrullus* species as revealed by joint analysis of shared AFLPs and speciesspecific SSR alleles. Plant Genet Resour 8:16–25

- Pavlicek A, Hrda S, Flegr J (1999) FreeTree-freeware program for construction of phylogenetic trees on the basis of distance data and bootstrap/jackknife analysis of the tree robustness. Application in the RAPD analysis of the genus *Frenkelia*. Folia Biologica (Praha) 45:97–99
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425
- Simmonds NW (1979) Principles of crop improvement. Longman Group Ltd. pp 277
- Smith JS, Chin CL, Shu H et al (1997) An evaluation of the utility of SSR loci as molecular markers in maize (*Zeamays* L.): comparisons with data from RFLP and pedigree. Theor Appl Genet 95:163–173
- Sneath PHA, Sokal RR (1973) Numerical taxonomy. Freeman & Co, San Francisco, p 573
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S (2004) Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:11030–11035
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol. doi:10.1093/ molbev/msr121
- Tautz D (1989) Hypervariability of simple sequences as a general source for polymorphic DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 17:6463
- Thies JJ, Hassell R, Kousik C, Olson S, Levi A (2010) Grafting for managing southern root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* incognita, in watermelon. Plant Dis 94:1195–1199
- Varshney RK, Thiel T, Sretenovic-Rajicic T, Baum M, Valkoun J, Guo P, Grando S, Ceccarelli S, Graner A (2008) Identification and validation of a core set of informative genic SSR and SNP markers for assaying functional diversity in barley. Mol Breed 22:1–13
- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, Fritjers A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kupier M, Zabeau M (1995) AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res 23:4407–4414
- Wehner TC (1999a) Vegetable cultivar descriptions for North America, list 24, 1999. HortScience 34:763–806
- Wehner TC (1999b) Vegetable cultivar descriptions for North America, list 25, 1999. HortScience 34:957–1012
- Wehner TC (2002) Vegetable cultivar descriptions for North America, list 26, 2002. HortScience 37:15–78
- Williams JGK, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA (1990) DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucleic Acids Res 18:6531–6535
- Xie R, Li X, Chai M, Sibg L, Jia H, Wu D, Chen M, Chen K, Aranzana MJ, Gao Z (2010) Evaluation of the genetic diversity of Asian peach accessions using a selected set of SSR markers. Sci Hort 125:622–629
- Zhang AP, Wang XW, Zhang YL, Zhao L, Xu MJ (2008) SRAP analysis for the genetic diversity of watermelon variety resources. Chin Agric Sci Bull 4:115–120
- Zhang FX, Luan FS, Sheng YY (2010) Analysis of genetic diversity on different ecological watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. et Nakai) germplasm using SSR markers. Ch Veg 14:36–43