Breeding crops for reduced-tillage management in the intensive, rice–wheat systems of South Asia

A. K. Joshi · R. Chand · B. Arun · R. P. Singh · Rodomiro Ortiz

Received: 30 January 2006/Accepted: 20 July 2006/Published online: 30 August 2006 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2006

Abstract The importance of reduced tillage in sustainable agriculture is well recognized. Reduced-tillage practices (which may or may not involve retention of crop residues) and their effects differ from those of conventional tillage in several ways: soil physical properties; shifts in host–weed competition; soil moisture availability (especially when sowing deeply or under stubble); and the emergence of pathogen populations that survive on crop residues. There may be a need for genotypes suited to special forms of mechanization (e.g. direct seeding into residues) and to agronomic conditions such as

A. K. Joshi · B. Arun Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005, India

R. Chand Department of Mycology and Plant Pathology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005, India

R. P. Singh · R. Ortiz (⊠) Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo (CIMMYT), Apdo. Postal 6-641, C.P. 06600 Mexico D.F., Mexico e-mail:r.ortiz@cgiar.org allelopathy, as well as specific issues relating to problem soils. This article examines issues and breeding targets for researchers who seek to improve crops for reduced-tillage systems. Most of the examples used pertain to wheat, but we also refer to other crops. Our primary claim is that new breeding initiatives are needed to introgress favourable traits into wheat and other crops in areas where reduced or zero-tillage is being adopted. Key traits include faster emergence, faster decomposition, and the ability to germinate when deep seeded (so that crops compete with weeds and use available moisture more efficiently). Enhancement of resistance to new pathogens and insect pests surviving on crop residues must also be given attention. In addition to focusing on new traits, breeders need to assess germplasm and breeding populations under reduced tillage. Farmer participatory approaches can also enhance the effectiveness of cultivar development and selection in environments where farmers' links with technology providers are weak. Finally, modern breeding tools may also play a substantial role in future efforts to develop adapted crop genotypes for reduced tillage.

Keywords Conservation agriculture · Reduced tillage · Zero-tillage · Wheat improvement · Wheat breeding · Participatory varietal selection

Introduction

Reduced tillage is becoming popular among farmers around the globe. Due to the potential for enhanced productivity and cost savings, most leading agricultural institutions and governments are promoting reduced tillage. The practice is gaining popularity in rice-wheat cropping areas in South Asia (Hobbs 2001; Joshi et al. 2005), which at 14 million hectares cover around one-third of the total rice area and two-fifths of the total wheat area in India, Pakistan, Nepal, and Bangladesh, and account for some 30% of those nations' rice and wheat outputs (Hobbs and Morris 1996) and over half of the 24 million hectares of rice-wheat systems in the Asian subtropics (Ladha et al. 2000; Joshi et al. 2005). Continuous rice-wheat cropping in South Asia for several decades has led to declines in productivity and raised concerns about sustainability (Paroda et al. 1994; Hobbs and Morris 1996; Joshi et al. 2006). The recent adoption of resource-conserving practices is considered beneficial, but has also turned the attention of agricultural scientists to breeding strategies that address the new production circumstances.

Conventional tillage operations have three broad objectives: (i) to place seed in the soil, (ii) to break capillaries and aerate the soil, and (iii) to control weeds. Zero-tillage or reduced tillage does not involve these operations and presents growing plants with conditions that differ substantially from those of tilled soils, particularly where residues are retained.

How no-till is different from conventional tillage?

It has been suggested that no-till farming is more than just the elimination of ploughing; it involves developing a complete package of agro-ecologically sound management practices to fit the overall scheme of farm systems trends of specific regions (Lal et al. 2004). The concept challenges the scientific basis of ploughing as an original, universal method of soil preparation (Lal et al. 2004). From the viewpoint of plant breeding, reduced tillage and its effects differ from those of conventional tillage in many ways: (i) the micro-environment (soil structure, available moisture); (ii) the range of host-weed competition over years; (iii) the moisture regime for deep-sown seed or under stubble; (iv) different host-pathogen interactions/thresholds in the presence of stubble and other crop residues; (v) residue decomposition effects; (vi) abiotic stresses; (vii) types of mechanization (seed drills, bed planters); (viii) allelopathy effects; and (ix) crop performance in problem soils (e.g. salinity, with surface salt).

Suitable cultivars

In areas where reduced tillage is gaining popularity, farmers require cultivars adapted to the new practices (Joshi et al. 2004a, 2006). For surface seeding and zero-tillage planting, the cultivar should possess faster root development to enable rapid establishment of the crop (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005), thereby getting the seedling past an early, harsh environment and taking the best advantage of available soil moisture. The two leading abiotic stresses of wheat, heat and drought, are expected to intensify in future due to global warming and water scarcities. Much of South Asia's rice-wheat zones qualify as heat stressed, as defined by Fischer and Byerlee (1991), with mean daily temperatures above 17.5°C in the coolest month. In addressing this issue, breeders need to remain cognizant of the importance of assimilate availability during the rapid spike growth phase (Fischer 1985). Stress adaptive traits, extensive root systems, mediumtall to tall stature, and high tillering capacity, increase the potential for nutrient and water uptake, but do not necessarily increase yield (Blum 1996; Reynolds 2002).

Early studies failed to detect genotype \times tillage practice interactions (Dao and Nguyen 1989; Ditsch and Grove 1991)—likely a result of the small number of genotypes tested and perhaps the fact that they were bred under conventional tillage (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005). Recently, significant genotype \times tillage interaction was reported in tests involving diverse genotypes, requiring plant breeders to tailor cultivars to tillage systems (Sayre 2002; Klein 2003). In a comparison of a conventional cultivar (Janz) and a novel experimental line (Vigor 18) bred for high leaf vigour, Watt et al. (2005) found that the latter grew best in unploughed soil. They suggested faster root growth, different exudates promoting more beneficial rhizosphere microflora, or modified shoot responses as possible mechanisms for the superior growth of Vigor 18. Hence, vigorous genotypes may present an opportunity for increased productivity under reduced tillage (Watt et al. 2005). In a study at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, comparing 12 wheat lines under conventional and zero-tillage conditions for 3 years (2002-2004), cultivars PBW 443 and HD 2627 did not perform well under zerotillage, whereas cultivars HUW 468, HUW 234, and PBW 343 performed equally well under both tillage systems (Table 1). It is worth noting that both HUW 234 and PBW 343 were developed under conventional tillage and are known in India as widely adapted and currently occupy some two and six million hectares, respectively.

The tillage × genotype interactions discussed above suggest that cultivar development should be targeted to tillage requirements. Following this approach, wheat breeders of the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) have begun to select parental materials on the basis of performance under zerotillage (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005). The

Table 1 Yield performance of 12 wheat genotypes underzero-tillage and conventional sowing in 3 years of testing

Genotype	Treatments and (kg)	mean yield	Mean
	Conventional	Zero-tillage	
HUW 234	4,211.11	4,323.44	4,267.28
HUW 468	5,152.33	5,209.67	5,181.00
HUW 510	4,125.33	4,172.11	4,148.72
HUW 516	5,309.44	5,350.11	5,329.78
PBW 343	5,212.11	5,134.56	5,173.33
PBW 443	4,201.11	3,985.67	4,093.39
HD 2627	5,002.89	4,828.11	4,915.50
HD 2733	4,886.78	4,943.11	4,914.94
UP 2338	4,503.11	4,550.11	4,526.61
NW 1012	4,710.89	4,767.11	4,739.00
DBW 14	4,275.11	4,333.11	4,304.11
Raj 3765	3,943.56	3,985.11	3,964.33
Mean	4,627.81	4,631.85	

LSD_{0.05} for genotype main effects—42.51, treatment main effects—NS, genotype \times treatment combinations—60.12

137

In many regions, such as the eastern Indo-Gangetic plains of South East Asia, reduced tillage and residue retention are enabling farmers to sow wheat earlier than normal, when temperatures remain somewhat higher than the optimum 20°C. For such areas, wheat lines must possess early heat tolerance. Alternatively, mild vernalization could maintain cultivars in the vegetative growth phase when temperatures are higher. Likewise, it was observed that short-duration wheat cultivars performed poorly (drastically reduced tillering and biomass accumulation) if sown early. This is not the case with cultivars like PBW 343, considered late-maturing in the eastern Indo-Gangetic plains. Hence, there is need to produce cultivars with higher biomass and high grain yields, given the same nutrient input. This genetic improvement must come through both photosynthetic assimilation capacity and by partitioning of assimilates to promote high grain number and growth rate (Richards 1996; Reynolds et al. 2001). Another way to increase grain number in wheat will be to breed for multi-ovary florets with up to six kernels per flower (Chen et al. 1998), taking due care that this does not lead to very small grains.

farmers' fields.

As indicated above, physiological selection traits may also improve genetic yield potential in wheat. A study in a high-yielding environment in Mexico revealed that leaf photosynthetic rate, leaf conductance, and canopy temperature depression (CTD) were associated with yield gains in eight spring wheat lines, representing progress in yield potential between 1962 and 1988 (Fischer et al. 1998). These issues are equally relevant under reduced-tillage environments. In addition, physiological traits, including CTD, were strongly associated with performance in yield trials at a number of warmer wheat-growing locations worldwide (Reynolds et al. 1994). Physiologically selected traits for drought tolerance have been incorporated into a number of Australian wheat breeding programs, including higher transpiration efficiency, greater early vigour, and reduced tillering (Richards et al. 1996). Leaf traits such as erect leaf posture could also be useful under some conditions. Work at CIMMYT

with near isogenic lines of spring wheat showed that erect leaves were associated with higher grain number and increased transpiration (Araus et al. 1993). This trait was shown to reduce diseases such as spot blotch in South Asia (Joshi and Chand 2002) and reported to be useful under moisture stress (Innes and Blackwell 1983). In view of its importance, erect leaf was introgressed into the wheat germplasm base, and is present in some of CIMMYT's highest-yielding durum and common wheat lines (Fischer 1996).

Soil factors

The roots are the first and most important organ that nourishes the plant, but has been neglected by many plant breeders (Bais et al. 2001; Manske et al. 2001). In reduced tillage, soils may initially be more compact and unfavourable for root growth. Reduced root growth in high-strength soils may be partly responsible for patchy growth and losses in yield of direct-drilled wheat with surface straw retained (Cornish and Lymbery 1987; Kirkegaard et al. 1994). Plant breeders can address this issue by developing cultivars with increased root mass, able to handle soil physical resistance and harvest nutrients from deeper profiles. In a study at Banaras Hindu University, root biomass was measured in pot experiments for 12 wheat lines (Table 2). The plastic pots (60 cm height \times 30 cm diameter) were filled with 8 kg soil medium of two types (7.5:2:0.5 and 5:3:2 mixture of sand:silt:clay) to assess differences among genotypes under diverse growing media. Two cultivars (PBW 443 and HD 2627) that did not yield well under zero-tillage possessed significantly lower root biomass, whereas HUW 234, the most popular cultivar of the Northeastern Plains Zone of India, had a high root biomass (Table 2). Other cultivars showed similar root biomasses in the pot experiments.

In reduced tillage, soil is less disturbed; it is thus suggested that soil-root contact is improved and more suitable for the release of root exudates (organic acids, carbohydrates, amino acids, enzymes, alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids, and terpenoids) that promote rhizosphere microflora and can help protect the roots from pathogens

 Table 2 Root biomass of 12 wheat genotypes under zerotillage and conventional sowing in 3 years of testing

Genotype	Treatments a biomass (g)	and mean root	Mean
	Sand: silt:clay (7.5:2:0.5)	Sand:silt: clay (6:3:1)	
HUW 234	3.16	3.31	3.24
HUW 468	3.41	3.46	3.44
HUW 510	2.85	2.93	2.89
HUW 516	3.62	3.54	3.58
PBW 343	3.47	3.39	3.43
PBW 443	2.86	2.68	2.77
HD 2627	3.30	3.02	3.16
HD 2733	3.35	3.30	3.33
UP 2338	3.11	3.01	3.06
NW 1012	3.22	3.18	3.20
DBW 14	2.94	2.94	2.94
Raj 3765	2.98	2.83	2.90
Mean	3.19	3.13	

LSD_{0.05} for genotype main effects—0.08, treatment main effects—0.03, genotype \times treatment combinations—0.11

(Hocking 2001). There is a need to investigate lines and cultivars for this trait.

Water requirements

Crops are often grown in environments where water is a limiting factor, water use efficiency and reduction in soil evaporation are important considerations. Any increase in early seedling vigour should reduce evaporative losses (Richards 1992). If the crop duration is short, greater vigour is likely to increase final biomass and yield and may be an effective way to reduce weed growth. The available information on the variation and genetics of seedling emergence for wheat (Singh et al. 1998a) and oats (Radford and Key 1993) can be exploited for such purposes.

Among traits that contribute to increased seedling vigour, coleoptile length is the most important (Fick and Qualset 1976; Whan 1976). Short coleoptiles result in poor emergence, leading to poor crop establishment. In dry environments, farmers sowing into a declining moisture profile following rainfall often sow at greater depth (8–12 cm) to ensure seed contact with moisture (Paulsen 1987). Better emergence is achieved by sowing wheat with long coleoptiles.

The presence of dwarfing genes is associated with a significant reduction in coleoptile length (Allan et al. 1962; Feather et al. 1968; Fick and Qualset 1976) and poor emergence under deep sowing or stubble (Richards et al. 2001). Allan (1980) suggested that the accumulation of modifier genes which favour emergence could be important in breeding for better-emerging semi-dwarf wheat cultivars. Increased coleoptile length can be achieved by selecting within semi-dwarf germplasm (Beharev et al. 1998), but greater progress can be made using parents that are sensitive to gibberellic acid (GA), although short stature also needs to be sought (Rebetzke et al. 1999). Wheat cultivars and lines with long coleoptiles also tend to have large early leaves and more rapid rates of emergence, which together contribute to faster leaf area development (Richards et al. 2001). Trethowan et al. (2001) suggested the possibility of selecting within families carrying Rht1 and Rht2 dwarfing genes for potentially longer coleoptiles; they also suggested that wheat breeders should be able to select short stature, non-Rht1 or non-Rht2 hexaploid wheats (for example, those bred from Seri 82 and Culiacan 89) with better emergence characteristics, for environments where deep sowing into stored soil moisture is practiced.

Host-pathogen interactions

Despite the fact that surface residues constitute a principal source of inoculum, reduced tillage with residue retention has increased significantly throughout North America (Anonymous 1995) and many other countries. The effects of tillage on the development and severity of crop diseases vary, depending on the disease, type of tillage system, and the effectiveness of other disease management practices (Felton et al. 1978) (Table 3). Of particular concern are crop diseases favoured by cool and wet soils. The most troublesome diseases in high-residue systems are Fusarium head blight (Bai and Shaner 1994; Dill-Macky and Jones 2000) and tan spot (caused by Pyrenphora tritici repentis) (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005). On the other hand, increases in soil organic matter may favour friendly fungi such as Trichoderma (Harman et al. 2004).

s

Soil borneYariabilityvariabilityvariabilityvariabilityvariabilitySoil borneFacultative parasites:BroadLocallyVery high dependingPeriodicMay promote disease(seedling diseases, (seedling diseases, (seedling rot, damping off)Phythium, Sceleronium, MacrophominaLocallyVery high dependingPeriodicMay promote diseaseWith Facultative saprophyte: Fusarium oxysporum Examium oxysporum FrestiniHighDepends on scalePeriodicWery high dependingNetroph (foliar disease)Facultative saprophyte: Foxysporum fsp. fini Foxysporum fsp. fini Eacuttative saprophytePigeon peaHighDepends on scalePeriodicSurvive on host residueSheath blight of riceRhizocrania Anthononas oryzeePeaVery high dependingPeriodicSurvive on the residueSheath blight of riceRhizorrania outrai for on scaleVery high dependingPeriodicSurvive on the residueSheath blight of riceRhizorrania outrai for on scaleVery high dependingPeriodicSurvive on the residueSpot blotch of wheat and barleyBipolaris sorokinianaPeriodicSurvive on the residueSurvive on the residueSpot blotch of wheat and barleyBipolaris sorokinianaPeriodicSurvive on the residueMost specific and doSpot blotch of wheat and barleyBipolaris sorokinianaPeriodicSurvive on the residueMost specific and doSpot blotch of wheat and barleyBipolaris sorokinianaPeriodicS	Table 3 Effect of crop resid Disease	ues on the growth and reproductic Pathogen and its nature	on of pathogens of diffe Host range	trent crops gr Incidence	own in rice-wheat cropping a Spatial	reas of India Temporal	Effect of crop residue
Soil borne (seedling diseases, (seedling diseases, (seedling diseases, (seedling diseases, (seedling disease, (seedling disease, 		0	0		variability	variability	
collar rot, damping off)Phytopthora, Rhizoctonia, Scelerotium, MacrophominaHighDepends on scalein Eastern Indo- Gangetic plainsWiltFacultative saprophyte: Fauttative saprophyte: 	Soil borne (seedling diseases,	Facultative parasites: Phythium,	Broad	Locally high	Very high depending on scale and habitat	Periodic cycles of	May promote disease up to 15 November
WiltFacultative saprophyte:HighDepends on scalePeriodicSurvive on host residueFusarium udamPigeon peaand habitatcycles offor 2-4 years. NoFusarium oxysporumEusarium oxysporumChick peacycles offor 2-4 years. NoFisp. CiceriPigeon peaand habitatcycles offor 2-4 years. NoFisp. CiceriPieacycles offor 2-4 years. NodiseaseFisp. CiceriPeacycles offor 2-4 years. NoNecrotroph (foliar disease)Facultative saprophytePeacycles offor 1-2-4 years. NoNecrotroph foliar disease)Facultative saprophytePeacycles offor 1-2-4 years. NoSheath blight of riceRhizotroita solaniLentilVery high dependingPeriodicSurvival not detectedSheath blight of riceMaintonnas oryzaesheath blightvery blighton the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatBacterial blight of riceXamhomonas oryzaesheath blighton the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricemot specific, and doSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of riceSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of riceSpot blotch of wheatBipola	collar rot, damping off)	Phytopthora, Rhizoctonia, Scelerotium, Macrophomina				disease	in Eastern Indo- Gangetic plains
Fusarium udamPigeon peaand habitatcycles offor 2-4 years. NoFusarium oxysporumEnsarium oxysporumChick peaand habitatcycles offor 2-4 years. NoFusarium oxysporumExarium oxysporumChick peadiseasemultiplication on otherForyporum fsp. iniEr oxysporum fsp. iniLentilLentilF. oxysporum fsp. iniLentilLentilNerotroph dependingPeriodicSheath blight of riceRhizotonia solaniexcepthighon the scale and habitatcycles ofBacterial blight of riceRamthononas oryzaesheath blighton the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatBacterial blight of riceRamthononas oryzaesheath blighton the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatBacterial blight of riceRamthononas oryzaesheath blightno the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatBacterial blight of riceRamthononas oryzaesheath blightno the scale and habitatcycles ofon residues of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricepathogen of ricehighon the scale and habitatfor limited periods.Spot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricehighhighpathogen of riceSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of ricepathogen of ricehighpathogen of ricehighFillFillfillfillfillpathogen of r	Wilt	Facultative saprophyte:		High	Depends on scale	Periodic	Survive on host residue
Fusarium oxysporumChick peadiseasemultiplication on otherFisp. CiceriExoryporum fisp. pisiPeacrop residuesF. oxysporum fisp. pisiPeacrop residuesF. oxysporum fisp. liniLentilLentilSheath blight of riceRhizotonia solaniexceptBacterial blight of riceRhizotonia solaniexceptSheath blight of riceRhizotonia solaniexceptBacterial blight of riceRhizotonia solaniexceptSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of riceSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianaMost pathogens are host specific, and doAnd barleyBipolaris sorokinianapathogen of riceSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogens are host specific, and doAnd barleyBipolaris sorokinianapathogens are host specific, and doSpot blotch of wheatBipolaris sorokinianapathogens are host specific, and doAnd barleyBipolaris sorokinianapathogens are host specific, and doBipolarisBipolaris sorokinianapathogens are host specific, and doBipolarisBipolarisbipolarisBipolarisBipolarisBipolarisBipolarisBipolarisBipolarisBipolari		Fusarium udam	Pigeon pea		and habitat	cycles of	for 2–4 years. No
Isp. Ciceri Isp. Ciceri Crop residues F. oxysporum fsp. pisi Pea Construction F. oxysporum fsp. pisi Lentil Lentil F. oxysporum fsp. pisi Lentil Construction Sheath blight of rice Rhizotonia solari Restricted, Very Very high depending Periodic Survival not detected Bacterial blight of rice Rhizotonia solari except high on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Ranthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Ranthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Ranthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Brolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Ranthomonas oryzae pathogen of rice Most pathogens are Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Most pathogens are host specific, and do and barley Interet not infect other hosts		Fusarium oxysporum	Chick pea			disease	multiplication on other
F. oxysporum fsp. pisi Pea F. oxysporum fsp. lini Lentil Necrotroph (foliar diseases) Facultative saprophyte Restricted, Very Very high depending Periodic Survival not detected Sheath blight of rice Rhizoctonia solani except high on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Xanthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Nost pathogens are host pathogens are and barley Anle Bipolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Nost pathogens are		fsp. Ciceri					crop residues
F. oxysporum fsp. lini Lentil Necrotroph (foliar diseases) Facultative saprophyte Restricted, Very Very high depending Periodic Survival not detected Sheath blight of rice Rhizoctonia solani except high on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Xanthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Xanthomoras oryzae pathogen of rice Most pathogens are and barley Bipolaris sorokiniana pathogen of rice Most pathogens are host specific, and do		F. oxysporum fsp. pisi	Pea				
Necrotroph (foliar diseases) Facultative saprophyte Restricted, Very Very high depending Periodic Survival not detected Sheath blight of rice <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> except high on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice <i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i> sheath blight blight of rice <i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i> sheath blight py. <i>oryzae</i> pathogen of rice <i>Novel and Pady.</i> All pathogen and back of the residues Spot blotch of wheat <i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> and barley <i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> pathogen of rice host specific, and do not be residued barley <i>Bipolaris sorokiniana Prive and Pady. All barley Prive and Pady. All pathogen and Pady. All</i>		F. oxysporum fsp. lini	Lentil				
Sheath blight of rice Rhizoctonia solani except high on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Xanthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Xanthomonas oryzae sheath blight on the scale and habitat cycles of on residues of wheat Bacterial blight of rice Yanthomonas oryzae pathogen of rice survive on the residues Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana motion Most pathogens are and barley And barley host specific, and do	Necrotroph (foliar diseases)	Facultative saprophyte	Restricted,	Very	Very high depending	Periodic	Survival not detected
Bacterial blight of rice Xanthomonas oryzae sheath blight disease and paddy. All pathog Bacterial blight of rice ps. oryzae pathogen of rice survive on the residues Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana most pathogen of rice for limited periods. And barley And barley Most pathogen are host specific, and do not infect other hosts	Sheath blight of rice	Rhizoctonia solani	except	high	on the scale and habitat	cycles of	on residues of wheat
pv. oryzae pathogen of rice survive on the residues Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana for limited periods. and barley Most pathogens are host specific, and do not infect other hosts	Bacterial blight of rice	Xanthomonas oryzae	sheath blight			disease	and paddy. All pathoger
Spot blotch of wheat Bipolaris sorokiniana for limited periods. and barley Most pathogens are host specific, and do not infect other hosts		pv. <i>oryzae</i>	pathogen of rice				survive on the residues
and barley Most pathogens are host specific, and do not infect other hosts	Spot blotch of wheat	Bipolaris sorokiniana					for limited periods.
host specific, and do not infect other hosts	and barley						Most pathogens are
not intect other hosts							host specific, and do
							not intect other hosts

Pathogens that survive and multiply on crop residues may be promoted by reduced tillage. However, not all pathogens have broad host ranges nor survive on all types of crop residue. For example, Fusarium pathogens of pulses survive on residues of host crops, but not on rice or wheat. Spot blotch caused by Bipolaris sorokiniana, considered the most important disease of wheat in the eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains of India (Joshi et al. 2002, 2004b, c; Pandey et al. 2005), did not increase during a recent 3-year (2001-2004) survey of 172 farm fields growing cultivar HUW 234 across 39 villages in Varanasi, Mirzapur, and Chandouli Districts of eastern Uttar Pradesh (Table 4). This is a zone where zero-tillage of wheat is gaining momentum and covered about 100,000 ha in 2005. However, given the ability of pathogens to evolve rapidly, breeding programs must continually strengthen resistance levels in germplasm using suitable resistance sources. In this regard, a simple morpho-physiological marker can be helpful. One example is leaf tip necrosis associated with resistance to spot blotch (Joshi et al. 2004b) and genes Lr34 and Yr18 involved in slow rusting resistance to leaf rust (Puccinia triticina) and stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis), respectively (Dyck 1991; McIntosh 1992; Singh 1992a, b). In addition to disease incidence, reduced tillage may have effects on insect pests. Deep ploughing in summer kills many over-wintering insects by exposing them to high temperatures and birds. This does not happen in reduced tillage and may favour insect pests such as shoot borers (*Sesamia inferens* and *Scirpophaga incertulus*) in paddy.

Residue decomposition as a genetic trait

Crop residues are a tremendous natural resource (Kumar and Goh 2000). Decomposition of retained residues is influenced primarily by the environment and management factors (Parr and Papendick 1978; Tanaka 1986) and to a minor extent by the species (Smith and Peckenpaugh 1986) and cultivar type (Summerell and Burgess 1989). Several workers reported differences in residue decomposition due to differences in N, C/ N, lignin/N, and polyphenol/N ratios, even for the same species (Kumar and Goh 2000). Summerell and Burgess (1989) reported cultivar differences in the decomposition of wheat and barley straw, suggesting a potential for selection of cultivars with variable decomposition rates. Faster residue decomposition is preferred for most environments. However, in some warm wet situations, a slower rate of decomposition may be needed to maintain soil cover for a longer period. More studies are required to identify variation among genotypes under reduced tillage and residue retention.

Ecological and environmental factors

Heavy crop residues could allow less sunshine for emerging seedlings leading to greater retardation in growth compared with conventional tillage.

Year	District	No. of fields	AUDPC				Mean severit	y (%)
			Conventional	Zero-tillage	LSD _{0.05}	Conventional	Zero-tillage	LSD _{0.05}
2001-2002	Varanasi	7	1,349.29	1,237.86	NS	61.43	57.50	NS
2002-2003	Varanasi	11	1,174.09	1,135.00	NS	53.64	51.82	NS
2003-2004	Varanasi	14	1,353.21	1,057.14	205.8	62.86	53.57	7.99
2001-2002	Mirzapur	22	1,351.82	1,143.18	NS	61.36	55.45	NS
2002-2003	Mirzapur	24	1,185.21	1,023.13	141.7	55.42	50.00	NS
2003-2004	Mirzapur	33	1,189.55	1,175.30	NS	57.27	55.45	NS
2001-2002	Chandouli	12	1,209.58	1,092.08	NS	55.83	51.67	NS
2002-2003	Chandouli	21	1,461.67	1,253.81	182.3	63.81	57.62	6.01
2003-2004	Chandouli	28	1,340.36	1,316.61	NS	60.00	60.36	NS
Mean			1,289.01	1,267.09	NS	59.19	55.12	2.37

Table 4 Comparison of area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) and mean severity (%) of spot blotch in 172 fields of cultivar HUW 234 grown under zero-tillage and conventional sowings in 3 years of testing in eastern Uttar Pradesh, India

NS non-significant

Reduced tillage also affects soil temperatures (Unger and McCalla 1980), resulting in a cooler field in summer (Hatfield and Prueger 1996). Indirectly, this may benefit early sowing (October or early November) of wheat in the Indo-Gangetic Plains or in environments where optimal temperatures occur in the second half of November. It may also be beneficial for summer crops such as urd (*Vigna mungo*) and mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) in population-dense regions of South Asia, where cropping intensity needs to be increased for food security. Hence, cultivars that germinate well and produce vigorous seedlings under lower temperatures are desirable.

Crop residues create phytotoxic conditions for some crops (Cochrane et al. 1977; Lynch 1978). Under anaerobic conditions phytotoxic compounds (e.g. acetic acid and butyric acid) impair germination (McCalla and Haskins 1964; Guenzi and McCalla 1966; Rao and Mikkelson 1977; Wallace and Elliott 1979). This phytotoxicity is reported within as well as between crops. Therefore, seedling traits associated with resistance in to organic acids may also be targeted when improving crops for reduced-tillage/residue retention systems.

Agronomic requirements

Tillage is widely used to control weeds directly and by burying their seeds. Germination of many weed seeds is stimulated by exposure to light. In the presence of crop residues, only those weeds that can grow under diffuse light would flourish. Hence, changes in weed populations, at both the species and temporal levels, may occur under reduced tillage. As mentioned above, cultivars with faster emergence or displaying better competition are more desirable in both conventional and reduced/zero-tillage systems. However, they appear to be of greater importance in situations with more weeds and where tillage is not used for weed control. The yield performance of 12 diverse wheat lines grown under zero-tillage and conventional sowing with weed-managed and non-managed conditions for 3 years at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, is given in Table 5. In the weed-managed trial, both hand and chemical (2,4-D and isoproturon) weeding were

Table 5 Mean yield of 12 wheat lines in 3 years of testing (2002–2004) under weed-managed (WM) and non-managed conditions in conventional and zero-tillage plantings

Genotype	Conventional sov	wing		Zero-tillage sowi	ing		% Yield loss		
	Weed managed	Non-weed managed	Mean	Weed managed	Non-weed managed	Mean	Conventional sowing	Zero-tillage sowing	Mean
HUW 234	4,503.33	3,493.11	3,998.22	4,608.33	3,379.89	3,994.11	22.43	26.66	24.55
HUW 468	5,457.00	3,734.44	4,595.72	5,486.89	3,829.56	4,658.23	31.57	30.21	30.89
HUW 510	4,418.00	3,270.11	3,844.06	4,508.44	3,116.44	3,812.44	25.98	30.88	28.43
HUW 516	5,614.33	3,916.44	4,765.39	5,666.00	3,886.44	4,776.22	30.24	31.41	30.83
PBW 343	5,504.33	3,589.67	4,547.00	5,408.89	3,575.56	4,492.23	34.78	33.89	34.34
PBW 443	4,511.89	3,034.44	3,773.17	4,002.67	2,811.89	3,407.28	32.75	29.75	31.25
HD 2627	5,308.67	3,418.44	4,363.56	4,901.11	3,280.33	4,090.72	35.61	33.07	34.34
HD 2733	5,160.33	3,360.33	4,260.33	5,304.67	3,325.56	4,315.12	34.88	37.31	36.10
UP 2338	4,795.33	3,328.33	4,061.83	4,911.67	3,325.78	4,118.73	30.59	32.29	31.44
NW 1012	4,984.67	3,317.33	4,151.00	5,128.67	3,461.33	4,295.00	33.45	32.51	32.98
DB W14	4,545.33	3,333.56	3,939.45	4,694.67	3,201.56	3,948.12	26.66	31.80	29.23
Raj 3765	4,246.67	3,248.11	3,747.39	4,368.11	3,230.33	3,799.22	23.51	26.05	24.78
Mean	4,920.82	3,420.36	4,170.59	4,915.84	3,368.72	4,142.28	30.20	31.32	30.76
LSD _{0.05} , W	/M main effects-	-53.55, WM main effe	cts—64.38	, treat. main effe	octs—0.88; LSD _{0.05} , ge	motype m	ain effects—131.18, ge	notype main effects-	11

applied to keep the field almost free from weeds. In the non-managed trial, no weed control was used. Faster-growing lines, such as HUW 234 and Raj 3765, gave about 25% less yield in the presence of weeds, whereas the slow-growing line PBW 343 had a decline of about 35% (Table 5).

In the Indo-Gangetic Plains, zero-tillage has been highly beneficial in controlling Phalaris minor. However, in some cases, crop canopies at early growth stages may become restricted, so more weeds may be expected per unit area than in a ploughed field. For such situations (which may also occur under conventional tillage), genotypes with greater early vigour, or those exhibiting favourable allelopathy, may be selected (White et al. 1989; Weston 1996). Variation for early vigour was reported in wheat (Singh et al. 1998a; Richards and Lukacs 2001) and oats (Radford and Key 1993), and further improvement could be obtained by understanding and utilizing factors that contribute to greater vigour in barley (Richards et al. 2002). Several studies show that some crop cultivars are allelopathic and that their inhibitory effects on weeds apply under field conditions (Olofsdotter et al. 1999; Fujii 1993; Wu et al. 1999). For example, residues of rye and other small grain crops inhibit weed emergence and growth (Shilling et al. 1986), likely due to phytotoxic effects (Kumar and Goh 2000). There has been substantial recent progress toward identifying chemicals responsible for weed suppression (Rimando et al. 2001; Kato-Noguchi and Ino 2003; Wu et al. 2000) and understanding the genetics underlying allelopathy in rice (Olofsdotter et al. 1995; Jensen et al. 2001; Ebana et al. 2001) and wheat (Wu et al. 2003).

Mechanization issues

The sowing depth of seeds may vary under reduced tillage. In surface seeding, seeds are dispersed on the soil surface, whereas machine planting into crop residues may place seed at a lower depth than recommended. Hence, cultivars displaying better germination and growth under shallow or surface seeding would be more desirable. However, in drier conditions, the surface soil has increased mechanical impedance, which may affect seedling emergence (Benoit and Kiskham 1963). Banley et al. (1965) showed that a reduction in soil mechanical resistance increases the probability of root penetration.

The presence of mulch in the topsoil keeps it wetter; in most situations this is beneficial for seedling germination and growth. The Star (Punch) Planter, which penetrates surface mulch, works well for crops like rice, pulses, and maize, but can result in poor establishment of wheat, which performs better when drill-sown. This suggests a need to either modify the planter to deliver appropriate amounts of seed, or develop cultivars with many fertile tillers. The variability available in synthetic wheat lines may be utilized to develop such cultivars (Mujeeb-Kazi et al. 1996).

Problem soils

As human populations increase, problem soils in more marginal or unfavourable cropping areas are being brought into cultivation. Many may be hilly and subject to stresses such as high pH, micronutrient deficiencies, or high or low moisture. The cost savings of reduced tillage are expected to drive its adoption in such settings. Zerotilled crops perform better than conventionally tilled crops in saline soils in the eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains, but this may not be true for alkaline soils. Breeding cultivars tolerant to saline soils will continue to be an important target.

For direct seeded rice, farmers need cultivars that do not suffer from iron chlorosis or Zn or P deficiencies and which can germinate when deep seeded in moist soils. Genetic diversity in responses of wheat to deficient levels of soil micronutrients has been reported (Graham 1984, 1987, 1988a, b; Rerkase and Jamjod 1997). Variation and inheritance of such traits, or sets of traits, were investigated in soybean (Weiss 1943; Fehr 1982; Saxena and Chandel 1992), tomato (Epstein 1972), celery (Epstein 1972), rye (Graham 1984), wheat (Majumdar et al. 1990; Rengel 1992; Graham et al. 1992; Khabaz-Saberi et al. 1998; Cakmak et al. 2000), and barley (Graham 1988b; Genc 2003). Such information must be utilized for evaluation and introgression of favourable genes in crops under reduced tillage

where deep sowing is the only alternative for profitable production.

Breeding approaches

Nearly all crop cultivars now grown under reduced tillage were selected in conventionally tilled environments. Various reports suggest differences in the performance of cultivars in tilled and untilled soils (Brakke et al. 1983; Newhouse and Crosbie 1987; Triplett 1986). Kronstad et al. (1978) suggested that to develop cultivars with improved performance in reduced-tillage systems, the following should be considered: (i) growth factors influenced by tillage need to be identified; (ii) genetic variability for growth factors affected by tillage must be large enough to provide sufficient scope for selection; (iii) selection criteria to identify superior lines in segregating populations must be established; and (iv) progeny with improved characteristics for reduced tillage must possess all other desirable agronomic traits for an adapted and competitive cultivar. Francis (1991) outlined the dimensions of future cropping systems based on current trends and suggested that, for a reduced-tillage system having greater amounts of crop residues, possible plant breeding solutions would be to incorporate increased seedling vigour, early stress (cold) tolerance, and tolerance to eco-fallow/ zero-tillage planting.

Among the approaches to breed crops for reduced or zero-tillage, the simplest would be to grow segregating populations from crosses involving parents that adapt well under zerotillage and incorporate useful traits (better emergence characteristics, profuse tillering, and resistance to diseases common under zero-tillage) from other parents. The genetic variation created by such crossing, growing large populations of segregating generations, and selecting plants that combine desirable traits, should lead to the development of superior genotypes. However, for proper identification of segregants suitable for reduced tillage/residue retention, segregating generations need to be grown under the targeted practice. Selection under reduced-tillage conditions is practical since it does not carry associated disadvantages.

There is need to carefully assess profitability, before establishing a long-term breeding program for new environments such as zero- or reducedtillage systems (Francis 1990). Witcombe and Virk (2001) emphasized a low-cross-number strategy for inbreeding crops like wheat and rice, suggesting that a good approach would be to select and produce large segregating populations, thereby increasing the probability of recovering superior genotypes. Singh et al. (1998b) investigated the type of cross and selection scheme (pedigree, modified bulk, selected bulk, and nonselected bulk) in wheat and found few differences among schemes for grain yield or other traits. Although the four selection schemes did not show significant differences, the selected bulk scheme resulted in a larger number of advanced lines at a relatively low cost. Using simulation studies, Wang et al. (2003) showed that the selected bulk approach gave slightly better genetic gains than other selection schemes. Sowing segregating populations derived from selected bulks also appears attractive for zero-tillage plantings, because a large number of plants can be sown and allowed to compete among themselves as populations. It is also advisable to grow selected bulk segregating populations in conventional and zero-tillage in alternating generations to ensure that the resulting genotypes can be grown under both systems.

Among various methods, the single-backcross approach has been suggested as very effective in shifting a greater proportion of progenies in the segregating generations towards higher mean values, thereby enhancing the chance of getting superior lines (Singh and Huerta-Espino 2004). Following this approach, Singh et al. (2000) developed derivatives of the two most popular cultivars, PBW343 and Inqualab 91, in South Asia that not only carried high levels of oligogenic adult-plant, durable resistance to leaf rust and stripe rust, but also had superior grain yield potential. Using this approach, improvement of a desired trait should be possible without sacrificing other characteristics. Likewise, it was also possible to tailor superior genotypes for reduced-tillage conditions of rice-wheat cropping systems by focusing on the traits necessary for good performance. Two early-maturing lines, Inqualab 91*2/ Kukuna and Attila*2/Star/4/Sonoita/Trap#1/3/

Kauz*2/Trap//Kauz-improved versions of the widely grown cultivars Inqualab 91 and Attila-produced by the methodology described above had grain yields 15-20% above the best local check, HUW 234, when tested under zerotillage conditions at six locations of eastern Gangetic plains during 2005-2006, as well as similar superiority under conventional tillage at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (Fig. 1). Inqualab 91 is early-maturing, whereas Attila matures late in eastern India, but both have performed well under zero-tillage. Hence, a single-backcross program produced one line with increased yield potential and another that is significantly earlier, but with similar yield potential. These results indicate that the singlebackcross breeding approach is applicable to the development of lines with high yield under zero-tillage.

Several studies suggest new physiological tools can complement conventional wheat breeding programs (Fischer et al. 1998; Reynolds et al. 1998), helping to select important traits which are difficult to quantify in breeding materials and to target highly heritable traits that limit yield (Richards et al. 2002). The successful Veery lines produced in the early 1980s (Rajaram et al. 1990) resulted from a cross of a winter wheat parent containing a 1RS chromosome (1B/1R translocation from cereal rye). These Veery lines had outstanding yield potential and other physiological characteristics. One of them, 'Seri 82', was shown to have superior leaf photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and leaf greenness relative to a set of hallmark cultivars developed both before and after its release (Fischer et al. 1998). Through proper application of physiological criteria, selection for useful traits may be practiced in early segregating generations and in smaller populations, thereby reducing costs (Richards et al. 2002).

Modern genetic enhancement

Molecular tools, derived with increasing knowledge about the molecular and genomic bases of agronomic traits, can be applied to develop improved cultivars that enable producers to increase yields and quality, while reducing chemical inputs and production costs. The complete gene sequences of rice and Arabidopsis are known and our understanding of wheat and other crop genomes is improving (Varshney et al. 2005). This has facilitated the development of molecular markers for agronomic traits, particularly including simple sequence repeats (Röder et al. 1998), single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) (Rafalski 2002), and conserved orthologous sets of markers (Rudd et al. 2005). The most important use of markers is for indirect selection of linked traits.

Fig. 1 Performance of two CIMMYT-derived lines compared with local check HUW 234 under zero-tillage sowing at six locations and conventional sowing at one location (BHU, Varanasi) of the eastern Gangetic Plains, 2005–2006



Over 5,000 expressed sequence tags are available for more than 50 plant species (Rudd et al. 2005). In wheat, many microsatellites (Röder et al. 1998; Pestova et al. 2000; Gupta et al. 2002; Somers et al. 2004; Rudd et al. 2005) are publicly available and used for gene tagging, mapping, and phylogenetic studies. The current level of genome coverage provided by microsatellite markers in wheat (ca. one every 10-15 cM) is considered sufficient for genetic diversity studies (Huang et al. 2002) and for locating resistance genes. Koebner and Summers (2003) suggested that the current targets of molecular marker development for wheat breeding were resistance to Fusarium head blight, rusts, and viral diseases, and some of such genes have been mapped in wheat (McIntosh et al. 2005) for future breeding programs. Two quantitative trait loci (QTL) on chromosome 2B associated with seedling allelopathy in wheat against annual ryegrass (Lolium rigidum; Wu et al. 2003) were identified by their association with restriction fragment length polymorphisms, amplified fragment length polymorphisms, and microsatellites (SSR). Markers for resistance to Fusarium head blight and tan spot or seedling allelopathy would be of great use in developing cultivars for reduced-tillage environments. Markers for height genes other than GA-insensitive Rht1 and Rht2 (Ellis et al. 2005) are also expected to play a significant role in improving coleoptile length, a trait necessary for better seedling emergence.

In the development of molecular markers for rice improvement, four main-effect QTL located on three chromosomes were identified for allelopathy with weeds; the regions collectively accounted for 35% of the total phenotypic variation for the trait in the population studied (Jensen et al. 2001). The bacterial blight resistance gene Xa21 (Chen et al. 2000) was backcrossed into rice cultivars in China and India, as well as into elite IRRI lines (Chen et al. 2000; Sanchez et al. 2000; Singh et al. 2001). As added protection for this resistance, genes such as xa5 and Xa13 were combined with Xa21 using marker-assisted selection (MAS; Sanchez et al. 2000; Singh et al. 2001). Bacterial blight resistance was incorporated into hybrid rice using MAS (Chen et al. 2000; Cao et al. 2003). Recently, Hayashi et al.

(2005) developed PCR-based SNP for rice blast resistance genes at the *Piz* locus, and stressed the utility of SNP and small insertion/deletion polymorphisms (InDels) as DNA markers for genetic analysis and breeding of rice.

Markers for genes conferring resistance to cereal cyst nematode, root lesion nematode, crown rot, and tolerance to boron are now used routinely at CIMMYT (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005). Advanced CIMMYT lines with improved root health developed using MAS have been distributed globally in the Semi-arid Wheat Screening Nursery (Trethowan and Reynolds 2005). Likewise, somaclonal variation was used to breed new, high-yielding, early-maturing wheat lines with resistance to spot blotch under conventional tillage (Arun et al. 2003). Somaclones of HUW 234, the most widely grown wheat cultivar in the Northeastern Plains of India, were assessed at two sowing dates during 2001-2004 under conventional and zero-tillage at Banaras Hindu University. Stability analysis (Crossa et al. 2002) confirmed the superior performance of two variants (HUW 234-5-44 and HUW 234-5-346) (Fig. 2).

Useful knowledge about traits of potential relevance in improving wheat for conservation agriculture is expected to come from plant model systems, coupled with genomics research in Arabidopsis and comparative cereal genomics studies. "Tilling" (used here in the genetics sense) for functional analysis, is a well-established technique in barley (Caldwell et al. 2004) and is being developed for wheat (Slade et al. 2005). Wheat genes have significant homologies with 350 Arabidopsis thaliana genes; at least 25 of these are known to be essential for seed development in Arabidopsis (Drea et al. 2005). Attempts are being made to introduce the Arabidopsis DIR-1 gene into wheat, rice, and other crops in order to increase defense against fungal pathogens (Moffat 2000). Detailed studies have also led to the discovery of promoter regulatory elements, like the dehydration responsive element (DRE) or ABA-responsive element, involved in both dehydration and low temperature-induced gene expression in Arabidopsis (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki 1997), as well as identification of several key transcriptional factors with



Fig. 2 Stability analysis for six traits using the Site Regression Model involving eight somaclone variants (R_5) and four check cultivars (HUW 234, Sonalika, NW 1014, and Halna) tested for two planting dates over 3 years in conventional and zero-tillage sowings. Two variants (HUW 234-5-44 and HUW 234-5-346) proved superior to parent HUW 234. *Note.* For somaclonal variants of HUW

which they interact (Liu et al. 1998). Using information from *Arabidopsis*, a comparative study on the *DREB* gene was carried out in maize (Buuren et al. 2002). Transformation of durum and common wheats using the *Arabidopsis DREB* gene improved water stress tolerance (Pellegrineschi et al. 2002a, b). This kind of work should eventually benefit crop improvement programs, including research for rice–wheat cropping under reduced tillage.

Farmer participatory varietal selection

Genetic enhancement has heretofore employed standard hybridization, segregation, and whole plant selection primarily on research stations. Participatory varietal selection (PVS) provides a way to capture information about the performance of experimental cultivars under actual farm con-

234 abbreviated names were used as follows— HUW5173 = HUW 234-5-173; HUW5282 = HUW 234-5-282; HUW5301 = HUW 234-5-301; HUW5325 = HUW 234-5-325; HUW5341 = HUW234-5-341; HUW5345 = HUW 234-5-345; HUW5346 = HUW 234-5-346; HUW544 = HUW 234-5-44

ditions and farmer management, as well as obtaining a better appreciation of the traits and genotypes valued by farmers (Witcombe et al. 1996, 2001; Ortiz Ferrara et al. 2001; Joshi et al. 2005). Through PVS, farmers in the eastern Indo-Gangetic plains selected more profitable cultivars (Ortiz Ferrara et al. 2001). New wheat lines from CIMMYT and national research centres are being tested by farmers in the region under zero-tillage using PVS. This also appears to be a more reliable and faster way to disseminate new wheat cultivars. Of new cultivars made available to farmers, relatively few become adopted. In this direction, use of the single-backcross approach for targeted improvement of popular genotypes favours the conservative attitudes of farmers. However, widely adapted cultivars occupying large areas often become vulnerable to rust diseases. Incorporating durable resistance into such cultivars will help prevent major epidemics, while allowing farmers to continue with chosen cultivars that perform well under reduced or conventional tillage.

Conclusion

With the increasing adoption of resourceconserving practices like reduced tillage in the Indo-Gangetic Plains and elsewhere, crop breeding programs need to focus on developing cultivars that fit the new practices. Traits for this purpose should be included among breeding objectives for developing cultivars that enhance the profitability and sustainability of agro-ecosystems.

Acknowledgements The help rendered by Dr J. Crossa, Head, Biometrics and Statistics Unit, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico and Dr Rajender Parsad, Indian Agricultural Statistical Research Institute, New Delhi, India in the analysis of data is gratefully acknowledged. The authors acknowledge Mr Mike Listman for his editing.

References

- Allan RE (1980) Influence of semi-dwarfism and genetic background on stand establishment of wheat. Crop Sci 20:634–638
- Allan RE, Vogel OA, Peterson CJ (1962) Seedling emergence rate of fall-sown wheat and its association with plant height and coleoptile length. Agron J 54:347–350
- Anonymous (1995) Conservation impact, 13(9). Conserv Technol Information Ctr Newsl, West Lafayette, IN, USA
- Araus JL, Reynolds MP, Acevedo E (1993) Leaf posture, grain yield, growth, leaf structure and carbon isotope discrimination in wheat. Crop Sci 33:1273–1279
- Arun B, Joshi AK, Chand R, Singh BD (2003) Wheat somaclonal variants showing, earliness, improved spot blotch resistance and higher yield. Euphytica 132:235–241
- Bai G, Shaner G (1994) Scab of wheat: prospects for control. Plant Dis 78:760–766
- Bais HP, Loyola Vargas VM, Flores HE, Vivanco JM (2001) Root specific metabolism: the biology and biochemistry of underground organs. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 37:730–741
- Banley KP, Fassel DA, Graven EL (1965) The influence of soil strength on the penetration a loam by plant roots. Aust J Soil Res 3:69–79
- Beharev A, Cahaner A, Pinthus MJ (1998) Genetic correlations between culm length, grain yield and seedling elongation within tall (*rht1*) and semidwarf (*Rht1*) spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Eur J Agric 9:35–40
- Benoit GR, Kiskham D (1963) The soil surface conditions on evaporation of soil water. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc 27:495–498

- Blum A (1996) Yield potential and drought tolerance, are they mutually exclusive? In: Reynolds MP, Rajaram S, McNab A (eds) Increasing yield potential in wheat: breaking the barriers. Proceedings of the workshop, Cd. Obregon, Mexico, 28–30 March 1996. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 90–101
- Brakke JP, Francis CA, Nelson LA, Gardner CO (1983) Genotype by cropping system interactions in maize grown in a short-season environment. Crop Sci 23:868–870
- Buuren MLV, Salvi S, Morgnte M, Serhani B, Tuberosa R (2002) Comparative genomic mapping between a 754 kb region flanking *DREb1A* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and maize. Plant Mol Biol 48:741–750
- Cakmak I, Ozkan H, Braun HJ, Welch RM, Romheld V (2000) Zinc and iron concentrations in seeds of wild, primitive and modern wheats. Food Nutr Bull 21:401– 403
- Caldwell DG, McCallum N, Shaw P, Muehlbauer GJ, Marshall DF, Waugh R (2004) A structured mutant population for forward and reverse genetics in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Plant J 40:143–150
- Cao L, Zhuang J, Zhan X, Zeng K, Cheng S, Cao LY, Zhuang JY, Zhan D, Zheng KL, Cheng SH (2003) Hybrid rice resistance to bacterial blight developed by marker assisted selection. Chin J Rice Sci 17:184–186
- Chen TY, Skovmand B, Rajaram S, Reynolds MP (1998) Novel source of increased spike fertility in wheat multi-seeded flowers. Agronomy Abstracts. Agronomy Society of America, Madison, WI, USA, p 161
- Chen S, Lin XH, Xu CG, Zhang Q (2000) Improvement of bacterial blight resistance 'Minghui 63', an elite restorer line of hybrid rice, by molecular markerassisted selection. Crop Sci 40:239–244
- Cochrane VL, Elliot LF, Papendick RI (1977) The production of phytotoxin from surface crop residues. Soil Sci Am J 41:903–908
- Cornish PS, Lymbery JR (1987) Reduced early growth of direct drilled wheat in southern New South Wales: causes and consequences. Aust J Exp Agric 27:869– 880
- Crossa J, Cornelius PL, Yan W (2002) Biplots of linearbilinear models for studying crossover genotype × environment interaction. Crop Sci 42:619–633
- Dao TH, Nguyen HT (1989) Growth response of cultivars to reduced tillage in a continuous wheat cropping system. Agron J 81:923–929
- Dill-Macky R, Jones RK (2000) The effect of previous crop residues and tillage on *Fusarium* head blight of wheat. Plant Dis 84:71–76
- Ditsch DC, Grove JH (1991) Influence of tillage on plant population, disease incidence and grain yield of two soft red winter wheat cultivars. J Prod Agric 4:360– 365
- Drea S, Leader DJ, Arnold BC, Shaw P, Dolan L, Doonan JH (2005) Systematic spatial analysis of gene expression during wheat caryopsis development. Plant Cell 17:2172–2185
- Dyck PL (1991) Genetics of adult-plant leaf rust resistance in 'Chinese Spring' and 'Sturdy' wheats. Crop Sci 31:309–311

- Ebana K, Yan W, Dilday RH, Namai H, Okuno K (2001) Analysis of QTL associated with the allelopathic effect of rice using water-soluble extracts. Breed Sci 51:47–51
- Ellis MH, Rebetzke GJ, Azanza F, Richards RA, Spielmeyer W (2005) Molecular mapping of gibberellinresponsive dwarfing genes in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 111:423–430
- Epstein E (1972) Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives. Wiley and Sons, New York
- Feather JT, Qualset CO, Vogt HE (1968) Planting depth critical for short statured wheat varieties. Calif Agric 22:12
- Fehr WR (1982) Control of iron deficiency chlorosis in soybeans by plant breeding. J Plant Nutr 5:611–621
- Felton WL, Freeman DM, Fettel NA, Thomas JB (1978) Crop residue management tillage. In: Cornish PS, Pratley JE (eds) New directions in Australian agriculture. Inkata Press, Melbourne, Australia, pp 194– 221
- Fick GN, Qualset CO (1976) Seedling emergence, coleoptile length and plant height relationships in crosses of dwarf and standard height wheats. Euphytica 25:679–684
- Fischer RA (1985) Number of kernels in wheat crops and the influence of solar radiation and temperature. J Agric Sci (Camb) 105:447–461
- Fischer RA (1996) Wheat physiology at CIMMYT and raising the yield plateau. In: Reynolds MP, Rajaram S, McNab A (eds) Increasing yield potential in wheat: breaking the barriers. Proceedings of the workshop, Cd. Obregon, Mexico, 28–30 March 1996. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 150–166
- Fischer RA, Byerlee DB (1991) Trends of wheat production in the warmer areas: major issues and economic considerations. In: Wheat for the non-traditional warm areas. Proceedings of the conference, Iguazu, Brazil, 29 July–3 August 1990. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp. 3–27
- Fischer RA, Rees D, Sayre KD, Lu Z-M, Condon AG, Larqué-Saavedra A (1998) Wheat yield progress is associated with higher stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate, and cooler canopies. Crop Sci 38:1467–1475
- Francis CA (1990) Breeding hybrids and varieties for sustainable systems. In: Francis CA, Flora CB, King LD (eds) Sustainable agriculture in temperate zones. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, pp 24–54
- Francis CA (1991) Contribution of plant breeding to future cropping systems. In: Sleper DA, Barker TC, Bramel-Cox PJ (eds) Plant breeding and sustainable agriculture: considerations for objectives and methods. Special Publication Number 18. Crop Science Society of America, Madison, pp 83–93
- Fujii Y (1993) The allelopathic effect of some rice varieties. In: Allelopathy in control of paddy weeds. Tech Bull No. 134. ASPAC Food and Fertilizer Technology Centre, Taiwan, pp 1–6
- Genc Y, Shepherd KW, McDonald GK, Graham RD (2003) Inheritance of tolerance to zinc deficiency in barley. Plant Breed 122:283–284

- Graham RD (1984) Breeding for nutritional characteristics in cereals. Adv Plant Nutr 1:57–102
- Graham RD (1987) Triticale, a cereal for micronutrientdeficient soils. International Triticale Newsletter 1. University of New England, Armidale, NSW, Australia
- Graham RD (1988a) Development of wheats with enhanced nutrient efficiency: progress and potential. In: Klatt AR (ed) Wheat production constraints in tropical environments. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 305–320
- Graham RD (1988b) Genotypic differences in tolerance to manganese deficiency. In: Graham RD, Hannm RJ, Uren NC (eds) Manganese in soils and plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 261–276
- Graham RD, Ascher JS, Hynes SC (1992) Selecting zincefficient cereal genotypes for soils of low zinc status. Plant Soil 146:241–250
- Guenzi WD, McCalla TM (1966) Phenolic acids in oats, wheat, sorghum, and corn residues and their phytotoxicity. Agron J 58:303–304
- Gupta P, Balyan H, Edwards K, Isaac P, Korzun V, Röder M, Gautier MF, Joudrier P, Schlatter A, Dubcovsky J, De la Pena R, Khairallah M, Penner G, Hayden M, Sharp P, Keller B, Wang R, Hardouin J, Jack P, Leroy P (2002) Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 105:413–422
- Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M (2004) *Trichoderma* spp.: opportunistic avirulent plant symbionts. Nat Microbiol Rev 2:43–56
- Hatfield KL, Prueger JH (1996) Microclimate effects of crop residues on biological processes. Theor Appl Climatol 54:47–59
- Hayashi K, Hashimoto N, Daigen M, Ashikawa I (2005) Development of PCR-based SNP markers for rice blast resistance genes at the *Piz* locus. Theor Appl Genet 108:1212–1220
- Hobbs PR (2001) Tillage and crop establishment in South Asian rice–wheat systems: present and future options. In: Kataki PK (ed) The rice–wheat cropping system of South Asia: efficient production management. J Crop Prod 4:1–23
- Hobbs PR, Morris ML (1996) Meeting South Asia's future food requirements from rice-wheat cropping systems: priority issues facing researchers in the post-green revolution era. NRG Paper 96-01. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF
- Hocking PJ (2001) Organic acids exuded from roots in phosphorus uptake and aluminum tolerance of plant in acid soils. Adv Agron 74:63–97
- Huang X, Börner A, Röder M, Ganal M (2002) Assessing genetic diversity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm using microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 105:699–707
- Innes P, Blackwell RD (1983) Some effects of leaf posture on the yield and water economy of winter wheat. J Agric Sci (Camb) 101:367–376
- Jensen LB, Courtois B, Shen L, Li Z, Olofsdotter M, Mauleon R (2001) Locating genes controlling allelopathic effect against barnyardgrass in upland rice. Agron J 93:21–26

- Joshi AK, Chand R (2002) Variation and inheritance of leaf angle and its relationship with resistance to spot blotch in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Euphytica 123:221–228
- Joshi AK, Chand R, Arun B (2002) Relationship of plant height and days to maturity with resistance to spot blotch in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Euphytica 124:283–291
- Joshi AK, Chand R, Arun B (2004a) Wheat improvement in eastern and warmer regions of India: conventional and non-conventional approaches. A compendium of training program (26–30 December, 2003) NATP, Indian Council of Agricultural Research—Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India
- Joshi AK, Chand R, Kumar S, Singh RP (2004b) Leaf tip necrosis: a phenotypic marker associated with resistance to spot blotch disease in wheat. Crop Sci 44:792–796
- Joshi AK, Kumar S, Ortiz-Ferrara G, Chand R (2004c) Inheritance of resistance to spot blotch caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* in spring wheat. Plant Breed 123:213–219
- Joshi AK, Chand R, Chandola VK, Prasad LC, Arun B, Tripathi R, Ortiz-Ferrara G (2005) Approaches to germplasm dissemination and adoption—reaching farmers in the eastern Gangetic Plains. In: Proceedings of 7th international wheat conference, 27 November–2 December 2005, Mar del Plata, Argentina (in press)
- Joshi AK, Mishra B, Chatrath R, Ortiz Ferrara G, Singh RP (2006) Wheat improvement in India: emerging challenges. Proceedings of the international symposium on wheat yield potential: challenges to international wheat breeding, Ciudad Obregon, Sonora, Mexico, March 20–24, 2006. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF (in press)
- Kato-Noguchi H, Ino T (2003) Rice seedlings release momilactone B into the environment. Phytochemistry 63:551–554
- Khabaz-Saberi H, Graham RD, Rathjen AJ (1998) Inheritance of Mn efficiency in durum wheat. J Plant Nutr 22:11–21
- Kirkegaard JA, Angus JF, Gardner RA, Muller W (1994) Reduced growth and yield of wheat with conservation cropping. I. Field studies in the first year of the cropping phase. Aust J Agric Res 45:511–518
- Klein OA (2003) Trigoa adaptados a siembra directa: conocimientos y desconocimientos. In: Kohli MM, Diaz M, Castro M (eds) 'Estrategias y Metodologías Utilizados en el Mejoramiento de Trigo'. Seminario Internacional, La Estancuela, Uruguay. CIMMYT-INIA, Montevideo, Uruguay, pp 37–42
- Koebner RMD, Summers RW (2003) 21st century wheat breeding: plot selection or plate detection? Trends Biotechnol 21:59–63
- Kronstad WE, McCuistion WL, Swearingen ML, Qualset CO (1978) Crop selection for specific residue management systems. In: Oschwald WR (ed) Crop residue management systems. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 207–217
- Kumar K, Goh KM (2000) Crop residues and management practices: effects on soil quality, soil nitrogen dynamics, crop yield and nitrogen recovery. Adv Agron 68:197–319

- Ladha JK, Fischer KS, Hossain M, Hobbs PR, Hardy B (2000) Improving the productivity of rice-wheat systems of Indo-Gangetic Plains: a synthesis of NARS-IRRI partnership research. IRRI Discussion Paper No. 40. IRRI, Los Baños
- Lal R, Hansen DO, Hobbs P, Uphoff N (2004) Reconciling food security with environment quality through no-till farming. In: Lal R, Hobbs P, Uphoff N, Hansen DO (eds) Sustainable agriculture and the rice-wheat system, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, pp 495–512
- Liu Q, Kasga M, Hiroshi A, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (1998) Two transcription factors, *DREB1* and *DREB2*, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought-and low-temperatureresponsive gene expression, respectively, in *Arabid*opsis. Plant Cell 10:1391–1406
- Lynch JM (1978) Production and phytotoxicity of acetic acid in anaerobic soil containing plant residue. Soil Biol Biochem 10:131–135
- Majumdar ND, Rakshit SC, Borthakur DN (1990) Genetic effects on uptake of selected nutrients in some rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties in phosphorus-deficient soil. Plant Soil 123:117–120
- Manske GGB, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, Vlek PLG (2001) Techniques for measuring genetic diversity in roots. In: Reynolds MP, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, McNab A (eds) Application of physiology in wheat breeding. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 208–218
- McCalla TM, Haskins FA (1964) Phytotoxic substances from soil microorganisms and crop residue. Bacteriol Rev 28:161
- McIntosh RA (1992) Close genetic linkage of genes conferring adult-plant resistance to leaf rust and stripe rust in wheat. Plant Pathol 41:523–527
- McIntosh RA, Devos KM, Dubcovsky J, Rogers WJ, Morris CF, Apples R, Anderson OD (2005) Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2005 supplement. http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/ macgene/supplement2005
- Moffat AS (2000) Plant research: can genetically modified crops go 'greener'. Science 290:253–254
- Mujeeb-Kazi A, Rosas V, Roldan S (1996) Conservation of genetic variation of *Triticum tauschii* (Coss.) Schmalah. (*Aegilops squarrosa* non L.) in synthetic hexaploid wheats (*T. turgidum* L. s. lat. \times *T. tauschii*; 2n = 6x = 42, AABBDD) and its potential utilization for wheat improvement. Genet Resour Crop Evol 43:129–134
- Newhouse KE, Crosbie TM (1987) Genotype by tillage interactions of S1 lines from two maize synthetics. Crop Sci 27:440–445
- Olofsdotter M, Navarez D, Moody K (1995) Allelopathic potential in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm. Ann Appl Biol 127:543–560
- Olofsdotter M, Navarez D, Rebulanan M, Streibig JC (1999) Weed suppressing rice cultivars does allelopathy play a role? Weed Res 39:441–454

- Ortiz Ferrara G, Bhatta MR, Pokharel TP, Mudwari A, Thapa DB, Joshi AK, Chand R, Muhammad D, Duveiller R, Rajaram S (2001) Farmer participatory variety selection in South Asia. In: Research highlights of the wheat program 1999–2000. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 33–37
- Pandey SP, Kumar S, Kumar U, Chand R, Joshi AK (2005) Sources of inoculum and reappearance of spot blotch of wheat in rice-wheat cropping system in eastern India. Eur J Plant Pathol 111:47-55
- Paroda RS, Woodhead T, Singh RB (1994) Sustainability of rice-wheat production systems in Asia. FAO-Rapa Pub. 1994/11. Food and Agriculture Organization, Bangkok, Thailand
- Parr JF, Papendick RI (1978) Factors affecting the decomposition of crop residues by microorganisms. In: Oschwald WR (ed) Crop management residue systems. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, USA, pp 101–119
- Paulsen GM (1987) Wheat stand establishment. In: Heyne EG (ed) Wheat and wheat improvement, 2nd edn. Agronomy Monograph 13. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, USA, pp 384–389
- Pellegrineschi A, Brito RM, Velazquez L, Noguera LM, Pfeiffer W, McLean S, Hoisington D (2002a) The effect of pre-treatment with mild heat and drought stresses on the explant and biolistic transformation frequency of three durum wheat cultivars. Plant Cell Rep 20:955–960
- Pellegrineschi A, Ribaut JM, Trethowan R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Hoisington D (2002b) Progress in the genetic engineering of wheat for water-limited conditions. JIRCAS Working Report. JIRCAS, Tsukuba, Japan, pp. 55–60
- Pestova E, Ganal MW, Röder MS (2000) Isolation and mapping of microsatellite markers specific for the D genome of bread wheat. Genome 43:689–697
- Radford BJ, Key AJ (1993) Temperature affects germination, mesocotyl length and coleoptile length of oat genotypes. Aust J Agric Res 44:677–688
- Rafalski A (2002) Applications of single nucleotide polymorphism in crop genetics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5:94– 100
- Rajaram S, Villareal R, Mujeeb-Kazi A (1990) The global impact of 1B/1R spring wheats. Agron Abstract. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 105 pp
- Rao DN, Mikkelsen DS (1977) Effect of rice straw addition on production of organic acid in flooded soil. Plant Soil 47:306–311
- Rebetzke GJ, Richards RA, Fischer VM, Mickelson BJ (1999) Breeding long coleoptile, reduced height wheats. Euphytica 106:158–168
- Rengel Z (1992) Role of calcium in aluminum toxicity. New Phytol 121:499–513
- Rerkase B, Jamjod S (1997) Genetic variation in plant response to low boron and implications for plant breeding. In: Dell B, Brown PH, Bell RW (eds) Boron in soils and plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 169–180

- Reynolds MP (2002) Physiological approaches to wheat breeding, In: Curtis BC, Rajaram S, Gomez Macpherson H (eds) Bread wheat: improvement and production. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy, pp 118–140
- Reynolds MP, Acevedo E, Sayre KD, Fischer RA (1994) Yield potential in modern wheat varieties: its association with a less competitive ideotype. Field Crops Res 37:149–160
- Reynolds MP, Nagarajan S, Razzaque MA, Ageeb OAA (2001) Breeding for adaptation to environmental factors: heat tolerance. In: Reynolds MP, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, McNab A (eds) Application of physiology in wheat breeding. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 124–135
- Reynolds MP, Singh RP, Ibrahim A, Ageb OAA, Larqué-Saavedra A, Quick JS (1998) Evaluating physiological traits to complement empirical selection for wheat in warm environments. Euphytica 100:84–95
- Richards RA (1992) The effect of dwarfing genes in spring wheat in dry environment. II. Growth, water use and water use efficiency. Aust J Agric Res 43:529–539
- Richards RA (1996) Increasing the yield potential of wheat: manipulating sources and sinks. In: Reynolds MP, Rajaram S, McNab A (eds) Increasing yield potential in wheat: breaking the barriers. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 134–149
- Richards RA, Lukacs Z (2001) Seedling vigor in wheat—sources of variation for genetic and agronomic improvement. Aust J Agric Res 43:517–527
- Richards RA, Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, Mickelson BJ (1996) Targeting traits to increase the grain yield of wheat. In: Richards RA, Wrigley CW, Rawson HM, Rebetzke GJ, Davidson JL, Brettell RIS (eds) Proceedings of the 8th assembly, Wheat Breeding Society of Australia, Sydney, Australia, pp 54–57
- Richards RA, Condon AG, Rebetzke GJ (2001) Traits to improve yield in dry environments. In: Reynolds MP, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, McNab A (eds) Application of physiology in wheat breeding. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, pp 88–100
- Richards RA, Rebetzke GJ, Condon AG, van Herwaarden AF (2002) Breeding opportunities for increasing the efficiency of water use and crop yield in temperate cereals. Crop Sci 42:111–121
- Rimando AM, Olofsdotter M, Dayan FE, Duke SO (2001) Searching for rice allelochemicals: an example of bioassay-guided isolation. Agron J 93:16–20
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- Rudd S, Schoof H, Mayer K (2005) Plant markers—a database of predicted molecular markers from plants. Nucleic Acids Res 33:D628–D632
- Sanchez AC, Brar DS, Huang N, Li Z, Khush GS (2000) Sequence tagged site marker assisted selection for three bacterial blight resistance genes in rice. Crop Sci 40:792–797

- Saxena SC, Chandel AS (1992) Effect of zinc fertilization on different varieties of soybean (*Glycine max*). Indian J Agric Sci 62:695–697
- Sayre KD (2002) Management of irrigated wheat. In: Curtis BC, Rajaram S, Gomez Macpherson H (eds) Bread wheat: improvement and production. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy, pp 395–406
- Shilling DG, Jones LA, Worsham AD, Parker CE, Wilson RG (1986) Isolation and identification of some phytotoxic compounds from aqueous extracts of rye. J Agric Food Chem 34:633–638
- Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (1997) Gene expression and signal transduction in water stress response. Plant Physiol 115:327–334
- Singh RP (1992a) Association between gene Lr34 for leaf rust resistance and leaf tip necrosis in wheat. Crop Sci 32:874–878
- Singh RP (1992b) Genetic association of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr34* with adult plant resistance to stripe rust in bread wheat. Phytopathology 82:835–838
- Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J (2004) The use of 'singlebackcross, selected-bulk' breeding approach for transferring minor genes based rust resistance into adapted cultivars. In: Black CK, Panozzo JF, Rebetzke GJ (eds) Proceedings of the 54th Australian cereal chemical conference and 11th wheat breeders assembly, 21–24 September 2004, Canberra, Australia. Cereal Chemistry Division, RACI, North Melbourne, Vic, Australia, pp 48–51
- Singh AK, Singh BD, Dhari R, Joshi AK (1998a) Genetics of seedling emergence in wheat. Malays Appl Biol 27:119–126
- Singh RP, Rajaram S, Miranda A, Huerta-Espino J, Autrique E (1998b) Comparison of two crossing and four selection schemes for yield, yield traits, and slow rusting resistance to leaf rust in wheat. Euphytica 100:25–43
- Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rajaram S (2000) Achieving near-immunity to leaf rust and stripe rust in wheat by combining slow rusting resistance genes. Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung 35:133–139
- Singh S, Sidhu JS, Huang N, Vikal Y, Brar DS, Dhaliwal HS (2001) Pyramiding three bacterial blight resistance genes (*Xa5*, *Xa13* and *Xa21*) using marker-assisted selection into *indica* rice cultivar PR106. Theor Appl Genet 102:1011–1015
- Slade AJ, Fuerstenberg SI, Loeffler D, Steine MN, Facciotti D (2005) A reverse genetic, non-transgenic approach to wheat crop improvement by TILLING. Nat Biotechnol 23:75–78
- Smith JH, Peckenpaugh RE (1986) Straw decomposition in irrigated soil. comparison of twenty three cereal straws. Soil Sci Soc Am J 50:928–932
- Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 109:1105–1114
- Summerell BA, Burgess LW (1989) Decomposition and chemical composition of cereal straw. Soil Biol Biochem 21:551–559
- Tanaka D (1986) Wheat residue loss for chemical and stubble mulch fallow. Soil Sci Soc Am J 35:228–232

- Trethowan RM, Reynolds M (2005) Drought resistance: genetic approaches for improving productivity under stress. In: Proceedings of the 7th international wheat conference, 27 November–2 December 2005, Mar del Plata, Argentina
- Trethowan RM, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Crossa J, van Ginkel M (2001) Coleoptile length variation of nearisogenic *Rht* lines of modern CIMMYT bread and durum wheats. Field Crops Res 70:167–176
- Triplett GB (1986) Crop management practices for surface-tillage systems. In: Spraque MA, Triplett GB (eds) No-tillage and surface tillage agriculture. Wiley, New York, 131 pp
- Unger PW, McCalla TM (1980) Reduced tillage systems. Adv Agron 33:2–53
- Varshney RK, Graner A, Sorrells ME (2005) Genomeassisted breeding for crop improvement. Trends Plant Sci 12:621–630
- Wallace JM, Elliot LF (1979) Phytotoxins from anaerobically decomposing wheat straw. Soil Biol Biochem 11:325–330
- Wang J, van Ginkel M, Trethowan R, Ye G, DeLacy I, Podlich D, Cooper M (2003) Simulating the effects of dominance and epistasis on selection response in the CIMMYT wheat breeding program using QuCim. Crop Sci 44:2006–2018
- Watt M, Kirkegaard JA, Rebetzke GJ (2005) A wheat genotype developed for rapid leaf growth copes well with the physical and biological constraints of unplowed soil. Funct Plant Biol 32:695–706
- Weiss MG (1943) Inheritance and physiology of efficiency in iron utilization in soybeans. Genetics 28:252–268
- Weston LA (1996) Utilization of allelopathy for weed management in agroecosystems. Agron J 88:860–866
- Whan BR (1976) The emergence of semidwarf and standard wheat and its association with coleoptile length. Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husbandry 16:411– 416
- White I, Sully MJ, Melville MD (1989) Use and hydrological robustness of time-to-incipient-ponding. Soil Sci Soc Am J 29:1343–1346
- Witcombe JR, Virk DS (2001) Number of crosses and population size for participatory and classical plant breeding. Euphytica 122:451–462
- Witcombe JR, Joshi A, Joshi KD, Sthapit BR (1996) Farmer participatory crop improvement. I. Varietal selection and breeding methods and their impact on biodiversity. Exp Agric 32:445–460
- Witcombe JR, Joshi KD, Rana RB, Virk DS (2001) Increasing genetic diversity by participatory varietal selection in high potential production systems in Nepal and India. Euphytica 122:575–588
- Wu H, Pratley J, Lemerle D, Haig T (1999) Crop cultivation with allelopathic activity. Weed Res 39:171–180
- Wu H, Haig T, Pratley J, Lemerle D (2000) Distribution and exudation of allelochemicals in wheat. *Triticum* aestivum. J Chem Ecol 26:2141–2154
- Wu H, Pratley J, Ma W, Haig T (2003) Quantitative trait loci and molecular markers associated with wheat allelopathy. Theor Appl Genet 107:1477–1481