

# **The characteristics of ambient air quality in urban forest areas and other urban areas of Fuzhou city, China**

Weicong Fu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> · Yuxuan Qiao<sup>5</sup> · Chenxi Que<sup>1</sup> · Hongkui Chen<sup>6</sup> · Emily Dang<sup>3</sup> · **Jianwen Dong<sup>1</sup> · Shuangyi Lin7**

Received: 1 June 2020 / Accepted: 7 September 2021 / Published online: 9 October 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

# **Abstract**

Air quality directly relates to human health and economic and social sustainable development. In this study, we aim to explore the characteristics of the air pollutant in urban forest areas (UFA) and the relationship of the air pollutant between UFA and other urban areas (OUA). As one of the major vegetated spaces in urban area, UFA are places that can provide better air quality to the public. To investigate the characteristics of the ambient air pollutants in UFA, six monitoring stations in Fuzhou collected six criteria of air pollutant data (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>) between May 1st, 2014 and April 30th, 2017. To reveal the characteristics of the air quality in the UFA and compare the diference between UFA and OUA, variations of air pollutant concentrations, attainment rates, major air pollutants (MAP), and the air pollutant source were analyzed. Results showed that compared to the most cities in China, the six criteria air pollutants concentrations were relatively low in Fuzhou; the annual average attainment rate in UFA was higher than in OUA; in all seasons, except winter,  $PM_{10}$  was the most frequent MAP in UFA, followed by  $PM_{2.5}$  and O3, and the correlations of the MAP between UFA and OUA, indicated that the source of air pollution came from the urban areas of Fuzhou city. Monitoring and analyzing the variations of the ambient air quality in UFA are therefore of considerable signifcance to the public, as well as to green space managers, designers and urban policymakers.

**Keywords** Air pollution · Ambient air quality · Conditional probability function · Major air pollutants · Urban forest areas

# **1 Introduction**

Quiet spaces, beautiful forest landscapes, good air quality, comfortable microclimates and close proximity to nature are benefts of UFA to the public. UFA provide people with recreational spaces that are very diferent from OUA. Therefore, UFA are often the primary

 $\boxtimes$  Weicong Fu weicongfufj@163.com

Co-frst-authors: Weicong Fu, Yuxuan Qiao, Chenxi Que.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

destination for the public to engage in exercises, recreational activities and general relaxation (WolchByrne & Newell, [2014\)](#page-17-0). These activities in UFA are important contributors to public health (Akpinar, [2016](#page-16-0); Chiang & Li, [2019;](#page-16-1) Ekkel & de Vries, [2017;](#page-16-2) Li et al., [2019;](#page-16-3) Mytton et al., [2012](#page-17-1); Ngulani & Shackleton, [2019;](#page-17-2) Sugiyama et al., [2018](#page-17-3)).

As the primary urban green space in China, UFA have strongly been supported and promoted by the Chinese central government (Liu, [2018;](#page-17-4) Wang, [2016\)](#page-17-5). The "13th Five-Year Plan" proposal of the central government and the "Several Opinions of the Central Committee on Strengthening the Management of Urban Planning and Construction" listed the construction of urban forests as an important point, marking that management and construction of the UFA have become a focus in the national development strategy (Liu, [2018;](#page-17-4) Wu, et al. [2017;](#page-17-6) Ye, Z. and Qie, G.F, [2017](#page-17-7)). Prioritized functions of urban forests include, among other, improving the landscape quality, reducing the heat island efect, maintaining ecological stability and improving urban ecological diversity (Liu, [2018](#page-17-4); Wang, [2016;](#page-17-5) Wu, et al. [2017](#page-17-6); Ye, Z. and Qie, G.F, [2017\)](#page-17-7). In the most rapidly industrializing countries, including China, air pollution has become one of the major problems that afect public health and concern urban policymakers. UFA are increasingly becoming the most important outdoor spaces for the public to escape from urban areas with air pollutants (Guo et al., [2019;](#page-16-4) Lin, Kroll, Nowak, & Greenfeld, [2019\)](#page-16-5). As a result, reducing urban air pollutants or creating areas with better air quality is one of the main functions of UFA in China. The public visits UFA to pursue spaces with better air quality for relaxation, exercises and social activities (Ekkel & de Vries, [2017;](#page-16-2) Guo et al., [2019;](#page-16-4) Wolch et al., [2014](#page-17-0)). However, air pollutants are highly difused, and the concentration of air pollutants in UFA is receiving public attention (Fitzky et al. 2019; Jim et al. 2009; Manes et al. 2008). The public are concerned about whether air pollution afects the air quality of UFA, what are characteristics of air pollutants and what is the current quality of air.

Monitoring and analyzing the spatial and temporal variations of the ambient air quality in urban areas, especially in UFA, can provide evidence that inform policymakers, designers and managers, and help the public to decide the best locations and timing for visits. Studies have revealed air quality variations between cities in diferent urban areas and spatial variations in specifc areas of the urban areas, which have brought some practical results to the public (Chang et al., [2015](#page-16-6); Leung et al., [2011](#page-16-7); Selmi et al., [2016;](#page-17-8) Song et 1stal. [2016](#page-17-9); Viippola et al., [2018](#page-17-10); Wu, Schwab, Yang, Zheng, & Yuan, [2015a;](#page-17-11) Yan et al., [2016;](#page-17-12) Zhang et al., [2019;](#page-17-13) Zhao et al., [2018](#page-17-14)). Zhao et al. [\(2018](#page-17-14)) studied the spatial and temporal patterns of air pollutants in the cities in southwest China (Zhao et al., [2018](#page-17-14)). Some scholars have also identifed the variation characteristics of pollutant concentrations in different urban areas. Yan et al. ([2016\)](#page-17-12) revealed the temporal and spatial characteristics of atmospheric pollutants in urban areas of Beijing, by exploring the variation of air quality, major air pollutants and by analyzing the infuences of meteorological factors (Yan et al.,. [2016\)](#page-17-12). Studies on the characteristics of the air pollutants in urban green spaces have also been carried out, though most of these have focused on the analysis of the characteristics of pollutant concentration in green spaces, such as the retention of air pollutants on the surface of leaves (Leung et al., [2011](#page-16-7); Selmi et al., [2016\)](#page-17-8), or the concentration of green space and road pollutants (Viippola et al., [2018\)](#page-17-10). To date, few studies have analyzed the air quality variations in UFA and compared air quality in UFA and OUA simultaneously, in order to provide evidence for better decision making.

Fuzhou, a subtropical monsoon city located southeastern China (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), is considered as one of the most important cities in the Western Taiwan Strait Economic Zone (WTSEZ). Similar to the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, the Pearl River Delta and the Yangtze River Delta, WTSEZ is supported by the Chinese central government to explore a new urban



**Fig. 1** Location of Fuzhou city **a**; positions of the air pollutants monitoring stations (red) and meteorological stations (green) in Fuzhou city **b**. (ZY and WSB in CCA, SD and YQX in SUA, KA in EUA, GS in UFA)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>construction model of urban development (Niu et al., [2013](#page-17-15); Wu, Schwab, Yang, Zheng, & Yuan, [2015b](#page-17-11); Zhang et al., [2013\)](#page-17-16). The urban development and construction processes in Fuzhou, including the development of urban forests, will serve as a model for the OUA in southeast China (Xu, Chen, Chen, Zhang, He, & Zhao et al. 2012; Xu et al., [2013;](#page-17-17) Zhang et al., [2013\)](#page-17-16). Understanding the variations of the six criteria air pollutants (the criteria air quality of Chinese government) in UFA and OUA will be of great signifcance to the public, manager, designer and policymakers.

A comprehensive understanding of the characteristics of UFA and OUA can help the public to have suitable recreation and policymakers air pollution management plans. The current study aims to 1) compare the air quality of UFA and the OUA and to answer the question on whether the air quality in UFA is better than OUA; and reveal: 2) the temporal variations of the major air pollutants (MAP) in the UFA and compare the variation with the monitoring sites set in OUA; 3) the attainment rates of the air quality in the monitor sites of UFA; 4) the MAP in UFA; and 5) the inter-correlation of the air pollutants, meteorological factors and provide a comprehensive understanding of the air pollution characteristics of UFA.

# **2 Materials and methods**

### **2.1 Data**

### <span id="page-2-1"></span>**2.1.1 Air pollutant data**

Air pollutant data from May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017 were obtained from the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC, [http://www.cnemc.cn/\)](http://www.cnemc.cn/). CNEMC controls the quality of air quality data and is respected as an authority for environmental data in China. In order to study the impact of UFA on air quality in diferent urban areas, six monitoring sites were set up in diferent urban areas, in Fuzhou (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), which were designed by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China. Among them, two sites are in the central city areas (CCA is defned as the areas inside the frst ring road), two sites are in suburban areas (SUA is defned as the areas outside the frst ring road and outside the second ring road), one site is in the exurban areas (EUA is defned as the areas outside the second ring road) and one site is located in the UFA.

Each site contained automatic air pollutant monitoring systems that automatically measured the ambient air pollutants concentrations every hour. The automatic air pollutants monitoring system (collection unit, calibration device, analytical unit, measurement unit, data collection and transport unit and other accessory equipment) were used to monitor the air pollutants per minute. China Environmental Protection Standards (CEPS, [http://www.](http://www.es.org.cn/download/) [es.org.cn/download/](http://www.es.org.cn/download/)) is used to assure and control the quality of air pollutant data. The sites were designed as a mix of urban and background sites, with most of the sites in urban area, and a few in suburban and exurban areas as background sites. The micro-oscillating balance method and the beta-ray absorption method were used to measure the concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ . The chemiluminescence method was used to measure the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>; the ultraviolet fluorescence method was used to measure the concentrations of  $SO<sub>2</sub>$ , and the UV (Ultraviolet)-spectrophotometry method was used to measure the concentrations of  $O_3$ . The non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) and the gas filter correlation infrared absorption method were used to measure the concentrations of CO. Wang et al. ([2014\)](#page-17-18) described the compositions of the automatic air pollutants monitoring systems and the methods used to measure the air pollutants concentrations in great detail (Wang et al., [2014\)](#page-17-18).

### **2.1.2 Meteorological data**

Meteorological hourly data from May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017 were obtained through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of America (NOAA: [https://www.](https://www.climate.gov) [climate.gov](https://www.climate.gov)) and National Meteorological Information Center (NMIC: <http://data.cma.cn>). Additional data gathered included wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD), temperature (T), cloud coverage rate (CR), air pressure (AP), relative humidity (RH) and rainfall (RF). NOAA and NMIC are both institutions that provide high-quality and reliable data, with NOAA being considered a global authority for meteorological data sets and NMIC being considered a national authority. We further checked and rectifed suspicious and incorrect data manually, and after examination, the rate of correct data approached 100% (Fu et al., [2018\)](#page-16-8).

### **2.2 Method**

We utilized five statistical approaches to investigate the variations of the ambient air quality in diferent urban areas of Fuzhou during May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017. The statistical approaches of spatial variations, attainment rate, major air pollutants (MAP), correlations (between air pollutants and meteorological factors) and conditional probability function are explained in Sects. [2.2.1](#page-3-0), [2.2.2](#page-4-0), [2.2.3,](#page-5-0) [2.2.4](#page-5-1) and [2.2.5](#page-5-2), respectively.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2.2.1 The spatial variations of the air pollutants between UFA and OUA**

To contrast the diferences among air pollutant levels in UFA and OUA, we analyzed the spatial variations of the air pollutants in Fuzhou. Based on CNEMC and CEPS, we divided the six monitoring stations into four categories: CCA, SUA, EUA and UFA (as mentioned in Sect. [2.1.1](#page-2-1)). To examine the spatial variations during the study period, we analyzed the mean value of the six air pollutants concentrations, the AR and the

|                     |    | $PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$ $PM_{2.5} (\mu g/m^3)$ $SO_2 (\mu g/m^3)$ $NO_2 (\mu g/m^3)$ $CO (mg/m^3)$ $O_3 (\mu g/m^3)$ |    |    |     |
|---------------------|----|--|----|----|-----|
| Grade I             | 40 |  | 20 | 40 | 100 |
| Grade II $\quad 70$ |    | 35   | 60 | 40 | 160 |

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 1** The concentration standards of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $CO$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  set by CAAQS

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Table 2** fAQI and the correspondingly limited concentrations of each pollutant ( $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , CO, SO<sub>2</sub>,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>3</sub>$ )

| <b>IAQI</b>  | $PM_{10}$    | $PM_{2.5}$   | SO <sub>2</sub> |              |              | NO <sub>2</sub> |                | $_{\rm CO}$  |              |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
|              | Daily        | Daily        | Daily           | Hourly       | Daily        | Hourly          | Daily          | Hourly       | Daily        |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\overline{0}$  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\overline{0}$  | $\overline{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 50           | 50           | 35           | 50              | 150          | 40           | 100             | 2              | 5            | 160          |
| 100          | 150          | 75           | 150             | 500          | 80           | 200             | $\overline{4}$ | 10           | 200          |
| 150          | 250          | 115          | 475             | 650          | 180          | 700             | 15             | 35           | 300          |
| 200          | 350          | 150          | 800             | 800          | 280          | 1200            | 24             | 60           | 400          |
| 300          | 420          | 250          | 1600            |              | 565          | 2340            | 36             | 90           | 800          |
| 400          | 500          | 350          | 2100            |              | 750          | 3090            | 48             | 120          | 1000         |
| 500          | 600          | 500          | 2620            |              | 940          | 3840            | 60             | 150          | 1200         |

MAP at each site. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to determine whether there were signifcant dissimilarities of the air impurities among the six locations.

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**2.2.2 AR and MAP**

AR is defned as the rates of hours where all the concentration of the six air pollutants are below the Grade I value set by CAAQS (Table [1\)](#page-4-1). We computed the AR of the six monitoring sites and analyzed the diference between them.

AQI was used to defne the air quality level, fuctuating from 0 to 500. To compute the AQI, the initial stage was to compute the fAQIs for the six criteria air pollutants, respectively. The highest value of the six criteria air pollutants was termed as AQI. The formula of fAQI is expressed as:

$$
fAQI_a = \frac{fAQI_{Hi} - fAQI_{Lo}}{TP_{Hi} - TP_{Lo}} (C_a - TP_{Lo}) + fAQI_{Lo}
$$
 (1)

where  $f A Q I_a$  and  $C_a$  are  $A Q I$  and the concentration of one of the six criteria air pollutants.  $TP_{Hi}$  and  $TP_{Lo}$  are the nearest level of the C<sub>a</sub> (TP<sub>Hi</sub> is the highest one,  $TP_{LO}$  is the lowest one) (Table [1\)](#page-4-1). fAQI<sub>Hi</sub> and fAQI<sub>Lo</sub> are equivalent to fAQI of the TP<sub>Hi</sub> and TP<sub>Lo</sub> (Table [2](#page-4-2)).

MAP was used to determine which air pollutants contributed more to air quality dilapidation. It was defned based on the Air Quality Index (AQI) scheme, using absorptions of specifc contaminants. The air pollutants which showed maximum concentration in terms of AQI was then termed as the MAP.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**2.2.3 Temporal variations of air quality in UFA**

Temporal variations of the air quality in UFA can be used to indicate the ideal time to visit the UFA. During the study period, monthly and diurnal variations of the concentrations of MAP were revealed to demonstrate the temporal characteristics of air quality.

#### <span id="page-5-1"></span>**2.2.4 Correlations analysis**

To obtain a comprehensive understanding of the air pollutants in UFA, we calculated the correlations of the MAP between CCA, SUA, EUA and UFA, and the correlations between meteorological factors and MAP. For the simplicity and efectiveness of R language, R was used to examine the Pearson correlation coefficients.

#### <span id="page-5-2"></span>**2.2.5 The source of the air pollutants in UFA**

The conditional probability function (CPF) was applied to analyze the source of the air pollutants in UFA. Air pollutants data obtained from CNEMC, and the meteorological data from NOAA and NMIC were used to compute the directions of pollution sources of the UFA. CPF<sub> $\Delta\theta$ </sub> was computed using the following:

$$
\text{CPF}_{\Delta\theta} = \frac{c_{\Delta\theta}}{d_{\Delta\theta}}
$$

where  $c_{\Delta\theta}$  is the number of occurrences from the current air sector  $\Delta\theta$  that had surpassed an inception measure, and  $d_{\Delta\theta}$  is the aggregate figure of information from the identical breeze direction. The sources are probable to be positioned the directions laterally. In this study, we computed the possibility that the contaminant absorptions emanating from a given airstream subdivision ( $\Delta\theta = 10^{\circ}$ ) surpassed the standard rate for the major pollutants at the UFA in Fuzhou city. We used the software R to analyze these data.

### **3 Results**

#### **3.1 Air pollutants in Fuzhou city**

The mean concentrations of the six criteria air pollutants in Fuzhou city during the study period are shown in Table [3](#page-6-0) and Fig. [2.](#page-6-1) During the study period, the concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO and O<sub>3</sub> in Fuzhou were 5.93 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, 28.62 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, 0.70 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and 56.35 μg/ m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. These results were below the Grade I standard, set by CAAQS. However, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> were relatively high. The concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the six monitoring stations were all found to be below the Grade II standard.  $PM_{10}$  in Gushan and Yangqiaoxilu were 34.93  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 49.41 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, which was lower than the Grade I standard, but the other four monitoring sites, i.e., Kuaian, Shida, Wushibeilu and Ziyang had slightly exceeded the Grade I standard set by CAAQS. When compared to other cities in China (e.g., Beijing, Xi'an, Changsha, Zhengzhou and Hangzhou which are the provincial capital cities, like Fuzhou, with the highest economies and populations in their provinces (Wang et al., [2014\)](#page-17-18)), our results showed that the air quality in Fuzhou city was relatively better.

Spatial variation of air pollutants found in Fuzhou city is shown in Fig. [2](#page-6-1). In UFA, the average concentrations of all six air pollutants, except  $O_3$ , were significantly lower than in

 $\Box$  CCA

| <b>Sites</b>  | Region category | AQI   | PM <sub>2.5</sub><br>$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> | $PM_{10}$<br>$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> | SO <sub>2</sub><br>$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> | NO <sub>2</sub><br>$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> | $O_3$<br>$\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> | $_{\rm CO}$<br>mg/m <sup>3</sup> |
|---|-----------------|-------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Gushan (GS)   | <b>UFA</b>      | 42.13 | 23.21                                       | 34.93                               | 5.02                                      | 15.36                                     | 77.95                           | 0.58                             |
| Kuai'an (KA)  | <b>EUA</b>      | 51.83 | 29.08                                       | 55.21                               | 6.63                                      | 29.78                                     | 48.19                           | 0.64                             |
| Shida (SD)  | <b>SUA</b>      | 53.44 | 31.38                                       | 55.31                               | 7.28                                      | 30.14                                     | 56.67                           | 0.71                             |
| Wusibeilu (WSB)   | <b>CCA</b>      | 51.01 | 28.21                                       | 55.95                               | 5.52                                      | 32.94                                     | 51.01                           | 0.73                             |
| Yangqiaoxilu (YQX)  | <b>SUA</b>      | 48.06 | 26.79                                       | 49.41                               | 5.40                                      | 30.02                                     | 53.59                           | 0.75                             |
| Ziyang (ZY)   | <b>CCA</b>      | 52.16 | 27.72                                       | 57.16                               | 5.86                                      | 33.94                                     | 50.64                           | 0.80                             |
| Average   | <b>CCA</b>      | 51.59 | 27.97                                       | 56.56                               | 5.69                                      | 33.44                                     | 50.83                           | 0.77                             |
| Average   | <b>SUA</b>      | 50.75 | 29.09                                       | 52.36                               | 6.34                                      | 30.08                                     | 55.13                           | 0.73                             |
| Average   |                 | 49.66 | 27.62                                       | 51.49                               | 5.93                                      | 28.62                                     | 56.35                           | 0.70                             |
| d the concentrations of $SO_5$ , $RMO$ and $PM_{2.5}$ (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) and $CO$ (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br>보 |                 |       |   |                                     |   |   |                                 | UFA<br><b>EUA</b><br><b>SUA</b>  |

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** The concentrations (mass  $\pm$  standard deviation) of the air pollutants in the six monitoring sites in Fuzhou city, from May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 2** The mean concentrations of the air pollutants in UFA, EUA, SUA and CCA of Fuzhou city

**PM10** 

OUA.  $O_3$  was highest in the UFA, followed by the EUA, CCA and SUA. The concentrations of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CO$ , also showed significant spatial characteristics, i.e.,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CO$  were highest in the CCA, follow by the SUA, EUA and UFA.  $SO_2$  was highest in SUA and EUA, followed by the CCA and UFA.  $PM_{2.5}$  was highest in EUA, followed by SUA, CCA and UFA, but the difference was small.  $PM_{10}$  was higher in CCA and SUA than the EUA and UFA.

 $SO<sub>2</sub>$ 

Air pollutants

N<sub>02</sub>

 $O<sub>3</sub>$ 

 $\infty$ 

# <span id="page-6-2"></span>**3.2 AR of air quality and the MAP in UFA and OUA of Fuzhou**

AOI

**PM2.5** 

Based on CAAQS, the AR in UFA was higher than EUA, followed by SUA and CCA (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). The annual average AR was highest for the monitoring site of Gushan (in UFA) (64.12%), followed by Yangqiaoxi (in SUA) (51.03%), Ziyang (in CCA) (44.52%), Kuai'an (in EUA) (43.94%) Wusibei (in CCA) (42.67%) and Shida (in SUA) (42.22%). The AR also revealed diferent temporal pattern in the urban areas. Generally, the AR in the warm seasons (summer and fall) was higher than that in the cold seasons (spring and winter). In UFA and EUA, autumn was the season with the highest AR. CCA (ZY station and WSB station), also showed the same pattern, e.g., the highest AR appeared in fall, followed by summer, winter and spring. For SUA (SD station and YQX station), the highest AR was in summer during June 2014 to February 2015, but in fall AR was the highest in fall during March 2016 to February 2017. The seasonal variations in CCAs and SUA had signifcant



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Seasonal characteristics of the attainment rates in the six stations in Fuzhou city from May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017. ZY and WSB in CCA, SD and YQX in SUA, KA in EUA, GS in UFA

amplitude, with the AR in warm seasons being almost twice as high as that in cold seasons. In addition, the annual mean AR of Fuzhou from 2014 to 2017 showed a slight increase.

 $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  were the most frequent three MAP in Fuzhou (PM<sub>10</sub> was the most frequent MAP, followed by  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ ) (Fig. [4](#page-7-1)). CO, NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> occurred as the MAP were much less frequent. The frequencies of the MAP also showed spatial and temporal variations, e.g.,  $O_3$  as MAP was more frequent in UFA than OUA. For temporal variation, we found that in summer and fall,  $PM<sub>2.5</sub>$  as MAP was less frequent than that in spring and winter. In addition, during warm seasons, the  $O<sub>3</sub>$  occurred as the MAP more frequently than in cold seasons. (Fig. [4](#page-7-1)).

#### **3.3 Temporal variation in the major air pollutants in UFA**

#### <span id="page-7-2"></span>**3.3.1 The MAP in UFA**

As mentioned above, the most frequent 3 MAP in the UFA was  $PM_{10}$  (with a rate of 16.24%), PM<sub>2.5</sub> (with a rate of 11.16%), and  $O_3$  (with a rate of 2.76%). We compiled the fractions of the 3 MAP in the four seasons (Table [4\)](#page-8-0). In all seasons except winter,  $PM_{10}$ was the most frequent MAP in the UFA, followed by  $PM_{2,5}$  and  $O_3$ . In winter, the most frequent MAP was  $PM_{2.5}$ , (accounting for 19.89%). While  $PM_{10}$  was second (with a rate of 15.27%), and  $O_3$  being third (with a rate of 0.10%). To an extent in the summer,  $PM_{10}$ 



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Non-attainment rates and the MAP in the six stations of Fuzhou city. (The non-attainment rate is defned as the rates of hours where the concentration of the air pollutants exceed the Grade I value of CAAQS. "PM<sub>10</sub>-PM<sub>2.5</sub>" and "PM10-O3" means these two air pollutants exceed the standard at the same)

|        | $PM_{2.5}$ (%) | $PM_{10}(\%)$ |          | $SO_2(\%)$ $NO_2(\%)$ $CO(\%)$ $O_3(\%)$ |                |      | $PM_{10}$ -PM <sub>25</sub> (%) | No attain-<br>ment rates<br>(%) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|----------|--|----------------|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Spring | 16.76          | 17.49         | 0.03     | $\mathbf{0}$                             | $\Omega$       | 3.92 | 0.56                            | 38.82                           |
| Summer | 2.70           | 20.46         | 0.02     | $\theta$                                 | $\theta$       | 3.95 | 0.24                            | 27.41                           |
| Autumn | 5.27           | 11.72         | $\Omega$ | $\overline{0}$                           | $\overline{0}$ | 3.09 | 0.28                            | 20.40                           |
| Winter | 19.89          | 15.27         | $\Omega$ | $\Omega$                                 | $\theta$       | 0.10 | 0.58                            | 35.85                           |
| Yearly | 11.16          | 16.24         | 0.01     | $\overline{0}$                           | $\theta$       | 2.76 | 0.41                            | 30.62                           |

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 4** The rate of the air pollutants as the MAP (%) in UFA from May 1st, 2014 to April 30th, 2017. (The non-attainment rate is defned as the rate of hours where the concentration of the air pollutants exceeds the Grade I value of CAAQS)

was the most frequent MAP (with a rate of 20.46%), with  $O_3$  (with a rate of 3.95%) in second, and  $PM<sub>2.5</sub>$  being third (with a rate of 2.70%).

#### **3.3.2 Monthly variation of the major air pollutants in UFA**

Temporal variations of air quality in UFA is very important to the public, as it helps them decide the best time to visit urban green spaces. We show the temporal variations of the most frequent 3 MAP ( $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{25}$  and  $O_3$ ) and AQI in Fig. [5.](#page-8-1) As shown in Fig. [5](#page-8-1), the three major air pollutants and AQI showed distinct monthly peaks and seasonality. The peak concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  occurred in the cold seasons, and low concentrations of air pollutants occurred in the summer and autumn. As the AQI is the most afected by PM10 and PM2.5, the value of AQI also showed a similar monthly variation, e.g., higher in the warm seasons and lower in the cool seasons.  $O_3$  showed the opposite monthly characteristics when compared to the other MAP, with high concentration appearing in warm seasons and low concentration in the cold seasons.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Temporal variation of AQI and the concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  in the UFA, Fuzhou, China from May 2014 to April 2017

### **3.3.3 Diurnal variation of the major air pollutants in UFA**

For the three most frequent MAP ( $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ , as described in Sects. [3.2](#page-6-2) and Sect. [3.3.1](#page-7-2)), diurnal variations of hourly mean concentrations were analyzed (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0). Generally, the diurnal characteristics of AQI and the concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ were similar in the four seasons: with two peaks at noon and at nightfall, and two valleys at the late afternoon and early morning, which generally exhibited a fat "M" shape. However, their amplitudes had large discrepancies between warm seasons and cold seasons. The daily trends of  $O_3$  concentrations in UFA were almost similar among the four seasons with the peak value at noon and afternoon (10:00–18:00) and the valley value at early morning (6:00–8:00) and midnight (23:00–1:00). In addition, the weather during summer 2015 was mainly cloudy and rainy, which meant less sunshine and the concentration of  $O_3$ , being similar to the values found during winter 2014.

### **3.4 Correlations between the major air pollutants in UFA**

### **3.4.1 Correlations of the MAP between CCA and SUA, EUA, UFA**

The relationship of AQI and the concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  between CCA and UFA, SUA, EUA during the study periods were analyzed (Fig. [7\)](#page-11-0). The relationship of AQI, the concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  between CCA and SUA had higher coefficient of determination, followed by the value between CCA and EUA or UFA. This indicated that the relationship of AQI,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  between CCA and SUA was signifcantly higher than the relationships between CCA and UFA, EUA. The relationship of  $PM_{2.5}$  between CCA and SUA had higher coefficient, followed by the value between CCA and EUA, UFA. AQI,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  between CCA and SUA with coefficient higher than 0.80 were signifcantly better than that between CCA and EUA, CCA, UFA. This suggested that the sources of air pollution in UFA were inside the urban areas of Fuzhou city.

### **3.4.2 Correlation between the air pollutants**

Pearson correlation coefficients (R) were also analyzed (Table [5](#page-11-1)).  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  showed significant positive correlation with all other air pollutants, indicating that  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $SO_2$  had similar sources. CO and  $NO_2$  showed significant positive correlation with  $PM_{10}PM_{2.5}$  and SO<sub>2</sub>, and significant negative correlation with O<sub>3</sub>.

The Pearson correlation coefficient values with  $**$  indicates a p value  $<0.01$ .

### **3.4.3 Correlation between air pollutants and meteorological data**

Overview of the meteorological data are shown in Table [6](#page-11-2). R value between the mete-orological factors and the air pollutants in UFA are shown in Table [7.](#page-12-0) Generally,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and CO showed negative correlations with WS, T, CR and RF, and positive correlations with AP, RH. The R values of the correlation between  $O_3$  and WS,



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Diurnal and monthly variations of the concentrations of MAP in UFA of Fuzhou, during May 2014 and April 2017 **a** PM<sub>2.5</sub>, **b** PM<sub>10</sub>, **c** O<sub>3</sub> and **d** AQI

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Relationships of **a** AQI, **b** PM<sub>2.5</sub>, **c** PM<sub>10</sub> and **d** O<sub>3</sub> between city central area (CCA) and suburban areas (SUA), exurban areas (EUA) and urban forest areas (UFA) in Fuzhou during May 1st, 2014 and April 30th, 2017.  $\mathbb{R}^2$  means coefficient of determination





<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Table 6** Overview of meteorological data

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Table 5** Correlations of the criteria air pollutants in UFA

|                 | WS        | T         | <b>CR</b> | AP        | <b>RH</b> | RF        |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Air pollutants  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| $PM_{2.5}$      | $-0.16**$ | $-0.24**$ | $-0.14*$  | $0.14*$   | $0.16**$  | $-0.10*$  |
| $PM_{10}$       | $-0.09*$  | <b>NS</b> | <b>NS</b> | $0.52**$  | $0.25**$  | $-0.15*$  |
| $_{\rm CO}$     | $-0.15**$ | $-0.09*$  | $0.13*$   | <b>NS</b> | $0.14*$   | <b>NS</b> |
| SO <sub>2</sub> | <b>NS</b> | $-0.22**$ | <b>NS</b> | <b>NS</b> | $0.31**$  | <b>NS</b> |
| NO <sub>2</sub> | $-0.37**$ | $-0.26**$ | <b>NS</b> | <b>NS</b> | $0.22**$  | <b>NS</b> |
| $O_3$           | $0.30**$  | <b>NS</b> | $-0.43**$ | <b>NS</b> | $0.57**$  | $-0.38**$ |

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Table 7** Correlations between air pollutants and meteorological factors in UFA

\*means the p value <0.01, \* means significant at  $p < 0.05$  and NS means no significant correlation

CR, RH and RF were 0.30, -0.43, 0.57 and -0.38, respectively. In this study, AP showed no significant correlation with the air pollutants, except with  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ .

### **3.5 Efect sources of the air pollutants in UFA**

The CPF plots of the most frequent 3 MAP (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>) during the study period are shown in Fig. [8](#page-12-1), which revealed the potential sources of the 3 MAP in UFA. The CPF plots of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  showed similar patterns, which exhibited that  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  may be affected by the same factors.  $PM_{10}$  exhibited a slightly different pattern with  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ , which meant the source of  $PM_{10}$  may be different for  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ . Generally, higher values of the 3 MAP concentrations had similar direction (i.e., southerly direction), which was signifcantly associated with the pollutant emissions from higher population density areas, e.g., CCA and SUA.

# **4 Discussion**

# **4.1 Overview of air quality in Fuzhou city**

During the study period, it was found that the air quality in Fuzhou was relatively better when compared to other cities in China (Wang et al., [2014](#page-17-18)). In Fuzhou, the annual mean concentrations of the six criteria air pollutants, except PMs, were relatively low (according



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 8** CPF plots of **a** PM<sub>2.5</sub>, **b** PM<sub>10</sub> and **c** O<sub>3</sub> in UFA, from May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2014 to April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2017

to CAAQS, the concentrations were below the Grade I standard), which indicated that  $SO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , CO and  $O<sub>3</sub>$  had limited negative influence on public health. The concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  were below the Grade II standard. The reasons for low concentration of air pollutants in Fuzhou could be the following: 1) the industry in Fuzhou city is mainly light industry (Light industry accounts for 67.90% of industry), which means low levels of industry sourced air pollutants [\(http://tjj.fuzhou.gov.cn/zz/fztjnj/2020tjnj/zk/indexch.htm\)](http://tjj.fuzhou.gov.cn/zz/fztjnj/2020tjnj/zk/indexch.htm); 2) the urban areas of Fuzhou are located along the river and ocean; 3) the urban areas of Fuzhou are located in a subtropical climate with high WS and high frequency of RF, which creates condition for diluting air pollutants; and 4) the forest coverage rate in Fuzhou city is one of the highest among cities in China (Chinese yearbook, 2016).

### **4.2 Air quality in UFA of Fuzhou city**

#### **4.2.1 Air quality in UFA is better than OUA**

The air pollutants, except  $O_3$ , were found to be lower in UFA than in OUA. Our results, to some extent, support the conclusion that UFA have better air quality (Akpinar, [2016](#page-16-0); Chen et al., [2019](#page-16-9); Jayasooriya et al., [2017](#page-16-10); Mytton et al., [2012](#page-17-1)). Studies of different research scales and study methods revealed similar results, e.g., Chen (2019) studied fve Chinese megacities and revealed that higher green space coverages displayed lower  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations at the neighborhood scale (Chen et al., [2019](#page-16-9)). However, studies in Australia, USA, and some European countries found that urban forests have the highest percentage uptake for  $O_3$  when compared to the total pollutant uptake, which differed from our results (Sicard et al. 2018; Jayasooriya et al., [2017](#page-16-10)). This can be explained as follows: 1) Urban forests have their limit in the deposition capability. In Fuzhou city, even though the air quality is higher than the most cities in China, the air pollutant concentration is still higher than that in cities in developed countries. This indicates that, in order to mitigate the air pollution in urban areas, urban forest can be a solution, but should not be seen as the sole solution. 2) Studies have stated that biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) emitted by certain plant species increased the  $O_3$  concentration (Denning, [2006\)](#page-16-11).  $O_3$  formation requires BVOCs, NOx and radiation energy and some time (Calfapietra et al. 2013). Thus,  $O_3$  formation due to BVOCs might happen in a kilometer or more distance from the monitor station. In contrast, the higher  $O_3$  concentrations in UFA that are sometimes observed (Cohen et al. 2014) may simply result from trapping air pollutants below the canopy of the trees (Li et al. 2018). Some observations also reported lower  $O_3$  in parks and forests because BVOCs might be not so reactive (García-Gómez et al. 2016).

#### **4.2.2 The attainment rate and major air pollutants in UFA**

The major air pollutant in UFA was  $PM_{2,5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$ , similar to what has been found by previous studies in other cities in China (Guo et al., [2019;](#page-16-4) Wang et al., [2014;](#page-17-18) Yan et al., [2016\)](#page-17-12) and the Santiago Metropolitan Region (Chile) (Escobedo & Nowak, [2009\)](#page-16-12), i.e., all cities facing serious air pollution. The MAPs in UFA of Fuzhou were signifcantly different than the areas of Strasbourg (France) (Selmi et al., [2016](#page-17-8)) and ten North American cities (Nowak et al., [2013](#page-17-19)), all of which have higher air quality. Previous studies found that in Fuzhou, the primary factor contributing to air pollution in urban areas was vehicular emission, which was also the source afecting the air quality in UFA (Wu et al., [2015a;](#page-17-11) Xu, Chen, Chen, Zhang, He, & Du et al. 2012; Xu et al., [2012a,](#page-17-20) [2012b](#page-17-21); Xu et al., [2013](#page-17-17)).

#### **4.2.3 Temporal variation of the MAP in UFA**

The monthly variation of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and CO showed similar patterns (Fig. [2](#page-6-1)), refecting the efects of meteorological factors and anthropogenic emissions, e.g., fast winds and frequent rain in the summer blows off and cleans up the air pollutants, which leads to higher air quality. Similarly, airfow from the ocean and forest areas bring higher air quality and cleans up air pollutants in Fuzhou (Niu et al., [2013](#page-17-15); Wu et al., [2015a](#page-17-11); Xu et al.,  $2013$ ,  $2012a$ ,  $2012b$ ).  $O_3$  formation rates depend on the peroxide concentration and intensity of solar radiation (Bai,  $2014$ ; Guo et al.,  $2019$ ). In UFA,  $O_3$  showed an opposite seasonal variation, with the highest concentration occurring in warm seasons and the lowest in cold seasons. In the warm seasons, under the conditions of strong sunlight,  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration was relatively high; in cold seasons, under the conditions of weak sunlight, the  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration was relatively low. This indicated that the concentration of peroxide was relatively high in UFA. Solar radiation intensity, terrain and air pollutants have been found to impact the variations of  $O_3$  concentrations(Escobedo & Nowak, [2009;](#page-16-12) Guo et al., [2019;](#page-16-4) Jayasooriya et al., [2017;](#page-16-10) Leung et al., [2011](#page-16-7); Ma, Ban, Wang, & Li, [2020;](#page-17-22) Mytton et al., [2012;](#page-17-1) Selmi et al., [2016;](#page-17-8) Wang et al., [2014;](#page-17-18) Yan et al., [2016;](#page-17-12) Bai, [2014\)](#page-16-13). In addition, high  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations in UFA reflected local resident emissions, while transportation emissions and industry emissions affected the concentrations of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  lingering in UFA.

For daily variation, due to air pollutant deposition and less human activity, the frst valley (low concentration of air pollutants) occurred in the early morning. The second valley appeared in the late afternoon (at around 17:00) due to the relatively high temperature and low humidity, which was a condition for the air pollutants to disperse and become diluted (Niu et al., [2013](#page-17-15); Wang et al., [2014;](#page-17-18) Xu et al., [2012a](#page-17-20), [2012b;](#page-17-21) Yan et al., [2016;](#page-17-12) Zhao, Zhang, Xu, & Zhao, [2011\)](#page-17-23). Correspondingly, peaks of the pollutants appeared around 9:00–10:00 and  $\sim$  13:00, the peak time for vehicle emissions which showed that the bimodal peaks of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  appeared at similar times, which reflected that the pollutant sources may be similar between  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ . Additionally, the amplitude of  $PM_{2.5}$  was closer to the vehicle emission times than that of  $PM_{10}$ , which indicated that compared to  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was influenced greatly by vehicle emissions in UFA during the study periods.

### **4.3 Correlation analysis**

The results between the concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and CO showed significant positive correlation in UFA. This means that to some extent, the sources of air pollutants in UFA are similar. Proper control of pollution sources will signifcantly improve air quality. Studies in other Chinese cities showed similar results (Chang et al., [2015;](#page-16-6) Deng et al. 2014; Fu et al., [2018](#page-16-8); Guo et al., [2019](#page-16-4); Leung et al., [2011](#page-16-7); Niu et al., [2013;](#page-17-15) Wang et al., [2014](#page-17-18); Xu et al., [2012a,](#page-17-20) [2012b](#page-17-21); Xu et al., [2012a,](#page-17-20) [2012b;](#page-17-21) Xu et al., [2013](#page-17-17); Yan et al., [2016;](#page-17-12) Zhang et al., [2013](#page-17-16); Zhang et al., [2019](#page-17-13); Zhao et al., [2011;](#page-17-23) Zhao et al., [2018;](#page-17-14) Zhu et al., [2020\)](#page-18-0). However in this study, the R value between PMs and other air pollutants was lower than that found in other studies. This may be because the formation of PMs in UFA was impacted by the meteorological factors (i.e., T, sunlight, RH, WS and AP) (Akpinar, [2016;](#page-16-0) Leung et al., [2011;](#page-16-7) Selmi et al., [2016;](#page-17-8) Sugiyama et al., [2018\)](#page-17-3) revealed the relationship between AQI and meteorological factors at diferent stages of development also showed the similar result.

#### **4.4 Efect sources of the air pollutants in UFA**

Studies have found that agricultural activities, vehicle emissions, cooking and industrial activities are the sources of air pollutants in most urban areas over the world (Chen et al., [2019;](#page-16-9) Fu et al., [2018;](#page-16-8) Guo et al., [2019](#page-16-4); Niu et al., [2013;](#page-17-15) Nowak et al., [2013;](#page-17-19) Xu et al., [2012a,](#page-17-20) [2012b](#page-17-21); Xu et al., [2012a](#page-17-20), [2012b](#page-17-21); Zhang et al., [2013](#page-17-16)). Deng et al. (2014) found that industry activity and vehicle emissions played a primary role of the top 3 MAP concentrations in Fuzhou (Deng et al. 2014). In our study, the CPF plots of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$ (top 3 MAPs) in UFA indicated the sources were in the CCA and SUA, much in line with the fndings of previous studies (Xu et al., [2012a](#page-17-20), [2012b](#page-17-21); Chen et al., [2019](#page-16-9); Nowak et al., [2013;](#page-17-19) Ma et al. 2019). In Fuzhou, resident densities in the CCA and SUA were higher than the EUA and UFA, which may concentrate residents' emissions and result in air pollutant sources largely occurring in the CCA and SUA (Chang et al., [2009](#page-16-14); Wang et al., [2014;](#page-17-18) Xu et al., [2012a](#page-17-20), [2012b;](#page-17-21) Xue et al., [2015\)](#page-17-24) Furthermore, the complex topography (UFA surround the urban areas of Fuzhou) also signifcantly impacted the three major air pollutant distributions in the UFA of Fuzhou(Deng et al. 2014; Wu et al., [2015a](#page-17-11); Xu et al., [2012a](#page-17-20), [2012b;](#page-17-21) Xu et al., [2012a,](#page-17-20) [2012b](#page-17-21)).

### **4.5 Limitations**

The current study aimed to compare the air quality of UFA and OUA and reveal the characteristics of the air quality in the UFA. However, this study did not defne pollution removal rates. In future, related studies need to compare the air pollutants between adjacent CCA and UFA sites at diferent places and the sites of UFA (e.g., tree density, size or species).

# **5 Conclusions**

In the current study,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $CO$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  levels were investigated at six monitoring stations in Fuzhou between May 1st, 2014 and April 30th, 2017. As the diferent monitoring sites are set in diferent urban areas of Fuzhou, this study aimed to reveal a comprehensive understanding of the ambient air quality in the UFA of Fuzhou city by analyzing the diferences of the six air pollutants between UFA and OUA. Results showed that compared to the most other cities in China, the concentrations of criteria air pollutants were relatively lower in Fuzhou city. This is because the industry in Fuzhou city is mainly light industry; Fuzhou is located along the river and ocean; Fuzhou is located in a subtropical climate with high WS and high frequency of RF, and the forest coverage rate in Fuzhou city is one of the highest among cities in China. During the study period, air quality in UFA was indeed higher than OUA. Policies to improve urban air quality should focus on the control of pollution sources in urban and suburban areas in the CCA and SUA. The concentration of  $O_3$  in UFA was higher than OUA. The reasons may be as follows: Urban forests have their limit in the deposition capability;  $O_3$  diffuses slowly and the surrounding mountains affect the diffusion of  $O_3$ , more studies will be necessary. The correlations of the MAP between UFA and OUA, suggested that the source of air pollution was inside the urban areas of Fuzhou city.

This study reveal a comprehensive understanding of the concentrations of air pollution in UFA and OUA. The results showed that air pollution in urban areas caused by multiple pollutants, and the air pollution shows great spatial and temporal divergence in urban areas. Region-oriented air pollution management plans are highly suggested. Further investigation should focus on the spatial variations in the UFA, as this will provide more in-depth understanding of the role of, e.g., green space composition, diferent types of vegetation and tree species composition on the mitigation of air pollutants.

**Acknowledgements** We thank the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of America (NOAA) for the dataset used in our research.

**Author Contribution** WF, JD and YQ conceived and designed the study; WF, CCK, ZC and HC analyzed the data and discussed the results; YL, QL and XX discussed the results, and WF and YQ wrote the paper.

**Funding** This research was funded by Research project for young and middle-aged teachers in Fujian (NO. JAT190147) and Public Interest Program of Chinese Ministry of State Forestry (NO.201404301; 201404315).

# **Declarations**

**Conficts of interest** The authors declared that they have no confict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Akpinar, A. (2016). How is quality of urban green spaces associated with physical activity and health? *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 16*, 76–83.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Bai, J. (2014). Isoprene and its energy role in the atmospheric photochemical processes. *Advances in Geosciences, 04*(05), 319–334.
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Chang, D., Song, Y., & Liu, B. (2009). Visibility trends in six megacities in China 1973–2007. *Atmospheric Research, 94*(2), 161–167.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Chang, Y. H., Zou, Z., Deng, C. R., Huang, K., Collett, J. L., Lin, J., et al. (2015). The importance of vehicle emissions as a source of atmospheric ammonia in the megacity of Shanghai. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 15*(23), 34719–34763.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Chen, M., Dai, F., Yang, B., & Zhu, S. (2019). Efects of neighborhood green space on PM2.5 mitigation: Evidence from fve megacities in China. *Building and Environment, 156*, 33–45.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Chiang, Y., & Li, D. (2019). Metric or topological proximity? The associations among proximity to parks, the frequency of residents' visits to parks, and perceived stress. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 38*, 205–214.
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Denning, D. W. (2006). The link between fungi and severe asthma: A summary of the evidence. *European Respiratory Journal, 27*(3), 615–626.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Ekkel, E. D., & de Vries, S. (2017). Nearby green space and human health: Evaluating accessibility metrics. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 157*, 214–220.
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Escobedo, F. J., & Nowak, D. J. (2009). Spatial heterogeneity and air pollution removal by an urban forest. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 90*(3–4), 102–110.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Fu, W., Liu, Q., Konijnendijk Van Den Bosch, C., Chen, Z., Zhu, Z., Qi, J., et al. (2018). Long-term atmospheric visibility trends and their relations to socioeconomic factors in Xiamen City, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15*(10), 2239.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Guo, Y., Su, J. G., Dong, Y., & Wolch, J. (2019). Application of land use regression techniques for urban greening: An analysis of Tianjin, China. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 38*, 11–21.
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Jayasooriya, V. M., Ng, A. W. M., Muthukumaran, S., & Perera, B. J. C. (2017). Green infrastructure practices for improvement of urban air quality. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 21*, 34–47.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Leung, D. Y. C., Tsui, J. K. Y., Chen, F., Yip, W., Vrijmoed, L. L. P., & Liu, C. (2011). Efects of Urban vegetation on Urban air quality. *Landscape Research, 36*(2), 173–188.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Li, D., Chiang, Y., Sang, H., & Sullivan, W. C. (2019). Beyond the school grounds: Links between density of tree cover in school surroundings and high school academic performance. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 38*, 42–53.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Lin, J., Kroll, C. N., Nowak, D. J., & Greenfeld, E. J. (2019). A review of urban forest modeling: Implications for management and future research. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening,* 43, 126366.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Liu, M. (2018). On the Features and focuses of forest city initiative in China. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University ( Social Sciences), 17*, 32–37.
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>Ma, R., Ban, J., Wang, Q., & Li, T. (2020). Statistical spatial-temporal modeling of ambient ozone exposure for environmental epidemiology studies: A review. *Sci Total Environ,* 701, 134463.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Mytton, O. T., Townsend, N., Rutter, H., & Foster, C. (2012). Green space and physical activity: An observational study using Health Survey for England data. *Health & Place, 18*(5), 1034–1041.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Ngulani, T., & Shackleton, C. M. (2019). Use of public urban green spaces for spiritual services in Bulawayo, Zimbabwe. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 38*, 97–104.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Niu, Z., Zhang, F., Chen, J., Yin, L., Wang, S., & Xu, L. (2013). Carbonaceous species in PM2.5 in the coastal urban agglomeration in the Western Taiwan Strait Region China. *Atmospheric Research, 122*, 102–110.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Nowak, D. J., Hirabayashi, S., Bodine, A., & Hoehn, R. (2013). Modeled PM2.5 removal by trees in ten U.S. cities and associated health efects. *Environmental Pollution, 178*, 395–402.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Selmi, W., Weber, C., Rivière, E., Blond, N., Mehdi, L., & Nowak, D. (2016). Air pollution removal by trees in public green spaces in Strasbourg city, France. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 17*, 192–201.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Song, Y., Wang, X., Maher, B. A., Li, F., Xu, C., Liu, X., et al. (2016). The spatial-temporal characteristics and health impacts of ambient fne particulate matter in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 112*, 1312–1318.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Sugiyama, T., Carver, A., Koohsari, M. J., & Veitch, J. (2018). Advantages of public green spaces in enhancing population health. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 178*, 12–17.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Viippola, V., Whitlow, T. H., Zhao, W., Yli-Pelkonen, V., Mikola, J., Pouyat, R., et al. (2018). The efects of trees on air pollutant levels in peri-urban near-road environments. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 30*, 62–71.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Wang, C. (2016). Some Issues of Forest City Cluster Construction in China. *Journal of Chinese Urban Forestry, 14*, 1–6.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Wang, Y., Ying, Q., Hu, J., & Zhang, H. (2014). Spatial and temporal variations of six criteria air pollutants in 31 provincial capital cities in China during 2013–2014. *Environment International, 73*, 413–422.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough.' *Landscape and Urban Planning, 125*, 234–244.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Wu, H.J., et al. (2017) Status Quo, Challenges and Strategies of National Forest City Development in China. Forest Resource Management, 14–19.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Wu, S., Schwab, J., Yang, B., Zheng, A., & Yuan, C. (2015a). Two-years PM2.5 observations at four urban sites along the coast of southeastern China. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 15*(5), 1799–1812.
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>Xu, L., Chen, X., Chen, J., Zhang, F., He, C., Du, K., et al. (2012a). Characterization of PM10 atmospheric aerosol at urban and urban background sites in Fuzhou city China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 19*(5), 1443–1453.
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>Xu, L., Chen, X., Chen, J., Zhang, F., He, C., Zhao, J., et al. (2012b). Seasonal variations and chemical compositions of PM2.5 aerosol in the urban area of Fuzhou China. *Atmospheric Research, 104–105*, 264–272.
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Xu, L., Yu, Y., Yu, J., Chen, J., Niu, Z., Yin, L., et al. (2013). Spatial distribution and sources identifcation of elements in PM2.5 among the coastal city group in the Western Taiwan Strait region China. *Science of the Total Environment, 442*, 77–85.
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>Xue, D., Li, C., & Liu, Q. (2015). Visibility characteristics and the impacts of air pollutants and meteorological conditions over Shanghai, China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment,* 187(6).
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Yan, S., Cao, H., Chen, Y., Wu, C., Hong, T., & Fan, H. (2016). Spatial and temporal characteristics of air quality and air pollutants in 2013 in Beijing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23*(14), 13996–14007.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Ye, Z., & Qie, G. F. (2017). The macro perspective and strategic thinking of forest city construction in China. *Forestry Economics, 2017*(39), 20–22.
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Zhang, F., Xu, L., Chen, J., Chen, X., Niu, Z., Lei, T., et al. (2013). Chemical characteristics of PM2.5 during haze episodes in the urban of Fuzhou. *China. Particuology, 11*(3), 264–272.
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Zhang, J., Tong, L., Peng, C., Zhang, H., Huang, Z., He, J., et al. (2019). Temporal variability of visibility and its parameterizations in Ningbo, China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences, 77*, 372–382.
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>Zhao, P., Zhang, X., Xu, X., & Zhao, X. (2011). Long-term visibility trends and characteristics in the region of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei China. *Atmospheric Research, 101*(3), 711–718.
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Zhao, S., Yu, Y., Yin, D., Qin, D., He, J., & Dong, L. (2018). Spatial patterns and temporal variations of six criteria air pollutants during 2015 to 2017 in the city clusters of Sichuan Basin, China. *Science of the Total Environment, 624*, 540–557.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>Zhu, Z., Qiao, Y., Liu, Q., et al. (2020). The impact of meteorological conditions on air quality index under diferent urbanization gradients: A case from Taipei. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 23*, 3994–4010.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# **Authors and Afliations**

Weicong Fu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> · Yuxuan Qiao<sup>5</sup> · Chenxi Que<sup>1</sup> · Hongkui Chen<sup>6</sup> · Emily Dang<sup>3</sup> · **Jianwen Dong<sup>1</sup> · Shuangyi Lin7**

- <sup>1</sup> College of Landscape Architecture, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Forest Resources Management, Urban Forestry Research in Action, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- <sup>3</sup> Collaborative for Advanced Landscape Planning, Faculty of Forestry, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Forestry, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- <sup>5</sup> Zhengzhou Agricultural and Forestry Science Institute, Zhengzhou 450000, Henan, China
- <sup>6</sup> School of Clinical Medicine, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou 350122, Fujian, China
- <sup>7</sup> Xiamen Greening Center, Xiamen 350122, Fujian, China