## RESEARCH



# **Integrated assessment of pollution status of MSW sites: a case study of Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron, Akwa Ibom State, southern Nigeria**

**Abraham Christopher Udoh ·**  Anthony Effiong Usoro · **Augustine Ifeanyi Chinwuko**

Received: 17 October 2023 / Accepted: 16 March 2024 / Published online: 26 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

**Abstract** One of the main causes of contaminated groundwater in emerging nations is improper trash disposal in urban areas, which affects the level of groundwater contamination caused by contaminants of municipal solid waste (MSW) origin within the three local government headquarters in Akwa Ibom State, southeastern Nigeria. The main thrust of this research survey is to assess the level of groundwater contaminations and their consequences. The research used statistical data generated from the Electrical Resistivity Survey (ERS) in combination with hydrogeochemical investigations. Analysis of variance of resistivity between Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron was carried out. The test result indicated signifcant difference in contamination among the three cities. This was followed by a *t*-test between each pair of dump and control sites in the three cities. The test results showed signifcant diference between each control and dumpsite. The results showed that leachate layer conductivity is always higher than that of the layer

A. C. Udoh  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Geology, Akwa Ibom State University, Mkpat Enin, Nigeria e-mail: abrahamudoh@aksu.edu.ng

A. E. Usoro Department of Statistics, Akwa Ibom State University, Mkpat Enin, Nigeria

A. I. Chinwuko Department of Geophysics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

above it. All water samples from boreholes close to the dumpsites were identifed by hydrogeochemical analysis to exhibit pH (3.70–4.15) lower than the permissible limit of the WHO; few water samples exhibit increased electrical conductivity (EC), cadmium and total dissolved solids (TDS). Similarly, the bacteriological analyses indicated a high level of microbial load due to the waste dump. Formations found in boreholes close to the dumpsite have litho-correlations which depict intercalations of comparatively impermeable and porous materials. The fndings reveal that leachate (contaminate) travels slowly downward, allowing for physical, chemical and biological processes to flter out impurities before they get to the aquifer. It is recommended that no new water supply wells should be placed in areas of abnormally low resistivity and physicochemical and bacteriological parameters, until the reasons for these values are properly assessed.

**Keywords** Groundwater · Pollution · Resistivity · Test statistics · Dumpsite

## **Introduction**

In underdeveloped nations, improper garbage disposal is a signifcant source of pollution (Ariyo et al., [2013;](#page-18-0) Olayinka & Olayiwola, [2001](#page-19-0); Tijani et al., [2004](#page-19-1)). The infltration of rainwater into landflls and the biological and chemical breakdown of the wastes produce leachates that contain considerable suspended particles, varying in organic and inorganic contents (Mosuro et al., [2017](#page-19-2)). Strong waste landfills comprise essential components of the soil's hydrological system and represent a signifcant threat to groundwater and downstream surface water (Dahlin et al., [2010\)](#page-18-1). The hydrodynamic behaviour of solid waste dumps must consequently be understood and quantifed. High concentrations of things including heavy metals, fertilizers and organic compounds in landflls raise the possibility of environmental damage. The amount and quality of water that seeps through the landfll and reaches the surroundings determine the pollution load to the ecosystem. According to Christensen et al. [\(1992](#page-18-2)), the primary local environmental problem connected to solid waste dumps is the discharge of leachates into the surrounding groundwater and surface waters. Yes, leakage from MSW sites is frequently accompanied by high ion concentrations and, as a result, extremely low resistivities. This makes geoelectrical imaging technologies particularly attractive for mapping the three-dimensional extent of contamination surrounding landflls (Bernstone & Dahlin, [1999\)](#page-18-3). Our environmental concern in waste dumps lies in assessing the pollution threat they pose since they may contain hazardous substances.

Among all the geophysical techniques applied to characterise aquifers, electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) is among the most widely used ones, mostly due to the sensitivity of hydrologically pertinent amounts (Grunhut et al., [2018\)](#page-18-4). The electrical conductivity of any polluting agent would difer from that of water; therefore, geoelectrical techniques might be helpful to identify and track the development of contamination in the aquifer.

Waste management has become an issue in Nigeria and other developing nations (Agunwamba, [1998](#page-18-5); Ogwueleka, [2009\)](#page-19-3). In contemporary urban cities, it is common to see large trash dumps that need treatment surrounded by residential areas. Heavy metals are the predominant contaminants in the majority of these waste sites; nonetheless, it is frequently unknown how much contamination is there (Ogwueleka, [2009\)](#page-19-3). Groundwater contamination under and beside trash disposal sites occurs when rain falls and travels through the landfll, creating a waste fuid known as leachate that can infltrate through the unsaturated zone and bring contaminated water to the water table (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). The leachate may contain every imaginable



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Conceptual diagram of leachate migration from a landfll and open dumps. Source: World Health Organization (2006)

inorganic and organic component that could deteriorate the quality of the groundwater and provide a major health concern to the neighbourhood.

Akwa Ibom State (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), like other parts of Nigeria, faces serious problems with disposal of wastes and contamination. Dumping garbage in the open dumpsites is a low-cost way, and it will remain the main way of getting rid of waste for the foreseeable future (Jegede et al., [2012](#page-18-6)). Open dumping is the most typical method of trash disposal in poor nations (Rushbrook, [1997;](#page-19-4) Visanathan et al., [2003\)](#page-19-5).

The description of these wastes is typically not good, and dangerous wastes may be disposed of together with non-hazardous garbage. Dumpsites are typically unmanaged, which poses serious risks to people's health and the environment (Pugh, [1997\)](#page-19-6). Open waste dumping sites usually lack trustworthy natural or man-made barriers, which makes leaching of toxins into groundwater a problem, especially for trash placed in erosion gullies and ravines (as in Uyo), many of which extend to below the groundwater table. The study's subjects (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0) are people who live in the 754,067-person Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron local government areas (Nigeria Population Commission (NPC), [2006\)](#page-19-7); 90% of their water consumption is based on groundwater. Elevated urbanisation population growth has accelerated issues with the disposal and collection of both liquid and solid wastes. Each year, the importation of packaged consumer goods increases the number of non-biodegradable materials in use. Pollution caused by commercial waste and the dumping of harmful substances and sewage both contribute signifcantly to marine pollution <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Map of Akwa Ibom State showing the local government of the study areas (Modifed after Ite et al., [2017](#page-18-7))



and erosion of the coast. Man-made numerous chemicals, some of which are very harmful, can be challenging to recycle and expensive to destroy. The majority of wastes, whether hazardous or not, are just thrown into the next government-owned area that is available. The frequent use of harmful agricultural chemicals in regions where these can subsequently cause damage is possibly more hazardous to sources of groundwater and rivers. Freshwater sources near agricultural areas can have contaminated groundwater.

Groundwater moves through aquifers, which are often subhorizontal layers of permeable rocks such as porous sands and sandstones, but including crystalline rocks with interconnected fractures and fssures. The top of the water-saturated volume is termed the water table. Aquifers are vulnerable to surface contamination in the recharging regions, such as the sea. It may take years before contamination reaches an extraction point and much longer thereafter to eliminate it; so, monitoring for contamination is another important task (Musset and Khan Aftab, [2000](#page-19-8)).

#### **Locations of the study**

Akwa Ibom State is in the southeastern part of Nigeria, located between latitudes 4°30ʹ and 5°30ʹ N and longitudes  $7^{\circ}30'$  and  $8^{\circ}20'$  $8^{\circ}20'$  $8^{\circ}20'$  E (Fig. 2). The State is bounded on the east by Cross River State; on the northeast and north by Cross River and Abia States; on the west and southwest by Abia and Rivers States; and on the south by the Atlantic Ocean, with a 129-km maritime coastline which extends from Ikot Abasi in the west to Oron in the east. The study areas, viz., Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron, are located in the central, northwest and southeast parts of the State respectively (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). Uyo dumpsite is a ravine adjoining Udo and Eka streets and University of Uyo, and has been in operation before 1989 (Figs. [3a](#page-3-0), b and [10](#page-8-0)a). The maps of these locations are shown in Figs. [3](#page-3-0), [4](#page-4-0) and [5](#page-4-1) respectively.

Ikot Ekpene dumpsite has been in operation for about 22 years (Figs. [4](#page-4-0) and [10](#page-8-0)b), while Oron dumpsite has been in operation since the early nineties (Figs.  $5$  and [10](#page-8-0)c).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



#### **Geology and hydrogeology of the study area**

The geology of the Akwa Ibom area has been extensively studied by several authors (Reyment, [1965](#page-19-9); Short & Stäublee, [1967;](#page-19-10) Murat, [1970;](#page-19-11) Kogbe, [1989](#page-19-12); Wright, [1989](#page-19-13)). As presented in these publications, the area is underlain by late Cretaceous to Quaternary sediments (Fig. [6\)](#page-5-0).

The lowermost sediment is lateritic sandstone with minor shale of the Maastrichtian Nsukka Formation. Throughout the Palaeocene to Early Eocene, a thick shale intercalated with sandstone and limestone was deposited (Imo Shale Formation), while in the middle of the Eocene, semi-consolidated sandstone, siltstone and minor shale were deposited (Bende-Ameki Formation). Since the Miocene-Oligocene, grit and sand with intercalations of clay and lignite beds were deposited, constituting the Ogwashi-Asaba Formation (Fig. [6](#page-5-0)). Overlying this formation is a thick sequence of gravel, sand, silt and clay (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-0), constituting the Benin Formation also known as the Coastal Plain Sands and alluvial ridges.

The area has three hydrostratigraphic units, namely the Alluvial Deposit, Coastal Plain Sand and Lower Sand Aquifers (Edet, [1993;](#page-18-8) Esu et al., [1999\)](#page-18-9). The Coastal Plain Sand (Benin Formation) aquifer is the most extensive and is separated from the Lower Sand aquifer by the Imo Shale aquiclude. The aquifer is composed of sand, gravel and sandstone with <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Google Map of Ikot Ekpene showing the position of the dumpsite and

control site







<span id="page-4-1"></span>

clay intercalations. The thickness of the aquifer varies between 35 m in the north and 200 m in the south. The aquifer is considered to be semi-confned or unconfned, especially in the southern parts of the area. These characteristics result in good porosity and efective permeability for efective retention and mobility of groundwater and other liquid substances within the subsurface (Nwankwo & Emujakporue, [2012](#page-19-14)). The main groundwater flow direction (Figs.  $8$  and  $9$ ) is from north to south into the Atlantic Ocean. There are also

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Geological map of Akwa Ibom State (adapted from Edet et al. [2014\)](#page-18-10)



variations in the northeast-southwest and northwesteast directions into the Imo River and the Cross River.

## **Methods of the study**

#### Geoelectric survey

The Schlumberger configuration was used with current electrode spacing (AB/2) ranging between a minimum value of 1.5 m and a maximum value of 150 m in some areas with enough space and 80 m in some places with limited space (Fig.  $10a-c$  $10a-c$ ). The potential electrode spacing (MN/2) ranged between a minimum value of 0.5 m and a maximum value of 30 m for areas with much space and 10 m for areas with limited space. The Schlumberger array was used to collect vertical electrical sounding (VES) data along profle lines with survey stations placed at equal intervals (30 m) apart. Four-point multiple vertical electrical sounding (MVES) such as the Schlumberger array run at constant station interval along a profle is synonymous with electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) and gives subsurface layered resistivity images in two dimensions when modelled. The advantage of this survey type is that it allows the subsurface variation of resistivity values to be modelled both vertically and laterally, thus giving a clear resistivity image of the subsurface in 2D. Vertical electrical sounding (VES)—also called depth sounding or sometimes electrical drilling—is used when the subsurface approximates to a series of horizontal layers, each with a uniform but diferent resistivity (Musset and Khan Aftab, [2000](#page-19-8)). As the distance between the current electrodes is increased, the depth to which <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Lithologic profles of boreholes near Uyo dumpsite (depth in metres)



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 8** Cross-section showing the direction of leachate flow across Uyo dumpsite (Udoh et al., [2021](#page-19-15))

the current penetrates is increased. For a depth sounding, measurements of the resistance  $(\partial V/I)$ are made at the shortest electrode separation and then at progressively larger spacings. At each electrode separation, a value of apparent resistivity  $(\rho_a)$  is calculated using the measured resistance in conjunction with the appropriate geometric factor for the electrode confguration and separation being used. The resistivity data was then used to generate the statistical data used for this research.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Cross-section showing the direction of leachate flow across Oron dumpsite (Udoh et al., [2021](#page-19-15))



#### Statistical method

## *Variance of resistivity in Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites*

In this section, we consider analysis of variance proposed for this work. This is a parametric test that compares the mean efects of more than two populations or sample distributions. Here, we have three dumpsites, namely Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron, and this explains the reason to adopt the analysis of variance. Moreover, the justifcation for the proposed analysis of variance is predicated upon the fact that the data collected have met the underlying conditions or assumptions for the use of analysis of variance test. These conditions include the following: (i) the data collected from the three dumpsites are independent; (ii) the data are normally distributed; and (iii) variances of the distributions are homoscedastic (Gujarati & Porter, [2009\)](#page-18-11). To conduct the analysis of variance test, estimations of parameters, such as sum of squares due total, sum of squares due to treatment and sum of squares due to error, are useful input measures.

#### <span id="page-7-1"></span>*Estimation of parameters*

Total sum of squares, 
$$
SS_T = \sum X_{ij}^2 - SS_\mu
$$
 (1)

$$
SS_{\mu} = \frac{T^2}{ab} \tag{2}
$$

Sum of squares due to treatments,  $SS_t = \frac{\sum T_i^2}{b} - SS_\mu$  (3)

Sum of squares due to error, 
$$
SS_e = \sum X_{ij}^2 - \frac{\sum T_i^2}{b}
$$
 or  $SS_e = SS_T - SS_t$  (4)

where  $SS_{\mu}$  is the sum of squares due to mean, *a* represents the number of treatments (study towns) and *b* represents the number of responses.

Here, we present a statistical method for resistivity analysis for the three dumpsites, namely Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron. The specifc method proposed for the analysis is a two-sample *t*-test statistic for each pair of the dumpsites. Table [1](#page-10-0) displays analysis of variance method for statistical measures and test of signifcance in the three dumpsites.

#### *Statement of hypothesis*

**H<sub>0</sub>:** Resistivity at Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites is the same.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 10 a** Map showing (i) the sounding points and (ii) pictures of the Uyo dumpsite (Udoh et al., [2021](#page-19-15)). **b** Map showing the sounding points and pictures of the Ikot Ekpene dumpsite. **c** Map showing the sounding points and pictures of the Oron dumpsite







**H1**: Resistivity at Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites is not the same.

## **Decision rule** *H*<sub>0</sub> is rejected if  $F_{calculated} > F_{tabulated}$ .

*Two‑sample t‑test for control and dumpsites*

The test statistic is presented as

$$
t = \frac{\overline{x}_c - \overline{x}_d}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_c} + \frac{1}{n_d}}}
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

where 
$$
s_p = \sqrt{\frac{(n_c-1)S_c^2 + (n_d-1)S_d^2}{n_c + n_d - 2}}
$$

 $\bar{x}_c$  and  $\bar{x}_d$  are the resistivity means at the control and dumpsites respectively.

 $S_c^2$  and  $S_d^2$  are the resistivity variances at the control and dumpsites respectively.

 $n_c$  and  $n_d$  are the resistivity sample sizes at the control and dumpsites respectively.

#### (a) *Statement of hypothesis*

 $H_0$ : There is no significant difference in the resistivity analysis between the control and dumpsites.

 $H_1$ : There is a significant difference in the resistivity analysis between the control and dumpsites.

#### **Fig. 10** (continued)





(b) *The distribution of t and test criterion*

i. Distribution:  $t \sim t_{n_c+n_d-2,1-\alpha}$ . ii. Test criterion: Accept  $H_0$  if  $t_{calculated} \leq t_{tabulated}(t_{n_c+n_d-2,1-\alpha});$  otherwise, reject.

$$
SS_T = \sum X_{ij}^2 - SS_\mu
$$
  
= 17,310,939 - 9334343.72  
= 7,976,595.28

$$
SSt = \frac{\sum T_i^2}{b} - SS_{\mu}
$$
  
= 12944107.2 - 9334343.72  
= 3, 609, 763.48

## **Analysis and results**

Analysis of variance estimates and results

$$
\sum X_{ij}^2 = 125.554^2 + 111.941^2 + 93.861^2 + \dots + 574.596^2
$$
  
= 17,310,939.

$$
SS_{\mu} = \frac{T^2}{ab} = \frac{560060623}{3 \times 20} = 9,334,343.72
$$

 $SS_e = SS_T - SS_t = 7976595.28$  $-3609763.48 = 4,366,831.8$ 

 $F_{calculated} = 23.56, F_{tabulated} = F_{2,57, 0.05} = 2.39.$ 

**Observation and decision** From Table [2,](#page-10-1) it is observed that  $F_{calculated} > F_{tabulated}$ . H<sub>0</sub> is rejected.

#### **Fig. 10** (continued)





<span id="page-10-0"></span>



## <span id="page-10-1"></span>**Table 2** ANOVA results



Hence, resistivity at the dumpsites of Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites is not the same.

Resistivity analysis of control and dumpsite

## *Uyo control and dumpsite*

Basic statistical measures are as follows:

 $\bar{x}_c = 619$  and  $\bar{x}_d = 102.7, S_c^2 = 669, 124$  and  $S_d^2 = 102, 201, n_c = 25$  and  $n_d = 21$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(25-1)(669124) + (21-1)(102201)}{25 + 21 - 2}} = 641.4294
$$

From Eq.  $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$ , we have

$$
t = \frac{619 - 102.7}{641.4292\sqrt{\frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{21}}} = 2.7
$$

 $t_{n_a+n_a-2,1-\alpha}$  =  $t_{44,0.95}$  = 1.679

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ . H<sub>0</sub> is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the control site is greater than the resistivity at the dumpsite in Uyo.

#### *Ikot Ekpene control and dumpsite*

Basic statistical measures are as follows:

 $\bar{x}_c = 1342.6$  and  $\bar{x}_d = 693.5, S_c^2 = 7,569$  and  $S_d^2 = 178,084, n_c = 22$  and  $n_d = 20$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(22-1)(7569) + (20-1)(178084)}{22 + 20 - 2}} = 297.5964,
$$

$$
t = \frac{1342.6 - 693.5}{297.5964\sqrt{\frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{20}}} = 7.0598
$$

$$
t_{n_c + n_d - 2, 1 - \alpha} = t_{40, 0.95} = 1.684.
$$

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ . H<sub>0</sub> is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the control site is greater than the resistivity at the dumpsite in Ikot Ekpene.

#### *Oron control and dumpsite*

Basic statistical measures include the following:

 $\bar{x}_c = 711.3$  and  $\bar{x}_d = 397.1, S_c^2 = 50, 338.5$  and  $S_d^2 = 43,237.5, n_c = 24$  and  $n_d = 20$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(24-1)(50338.5) + (20-1)(43237.5)}{24 + 20 - 2}} = 149.3875,
$$

$$
t = \frac{711.3 - 397.1}{217.0855\sqrt{\frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{20}}} = 4.70
$$

 $t_{n+m-2,1-\alpha}$  =  $t_{40,0.95}$  = 1.682.

Environ Monit Assess (2024) 196:397

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ .  $H_0$ is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the control site is greater than the resistivity at the dumpsite in Oron.

Resistivity analysis of two locations

*Statement of hypothesis*

**H<sub>0</sub>**: Resistivity at two dumpsites is equal.  $H_1$ : Resistivity at one dumpsite is greater than the other.

*Uyo and Ikot Ekpene dumpsites*

Basic statistics are:

 $\bar{x}_{IK} = 693.5$  and  $\bar{x}_{UVO} = 102.7, S_{IK}^2 = 178,084$  and  $S_{\text{UVO}}^2 = 10, 180.81, n_{\text{IK}} = 20 \text{ and } n_{\text{UVO}} = 21$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(20 - 1)(178,084) + (21 - 1)(10,180.81)}{20 + 21 - 2}} = 303.2817
$$

From Eq.  $(1)$  $(1)$ , we have

$$
t = \frac{693.5 - 102.7}{303.2817\sqrt{\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{21}}} = 6.23
$$

$$
t_{n_{lk}+n_{Uyo}-2,1-\alpha} = t_{39,0.95} = 1.685.
$$

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ . H<sub>0</sub> is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the Ikot Ekpene dumpsite is greater than the resistivity at the Uyo dumpsite.

ii. Uyo and Oron dumpsites

Basic statistics:

 $\bar{x}_{\text{Oron}} = 397.1$  and  $\bar{x}_{\text{UYO}} = 102.7, S_{\text{Oron}}^2 = 43237.5$ and  $S_{\text{UVO}}^2 = 10, 180.81, n_{\text{Oron}} = 20$  and  $n_{\text{UVO}} = 21$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(20 - 1)(43237.5) + (21 - 1)(10, 180.81)}{20 + 21 - 2}} = 162.1275
$$

Therefore,

$$
t = \frac{397.1 - 102.7}{162.1275\sqrt{\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{21}}} = 5.8119
$$

 $t_{n_{Orm}+n_{Uvo}-2,1-\alpha}$  =  $t_{39,0.95}$  = 1.685.

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ .  $H_0$ is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the Oron dumpsite is greater than the resistivity at the Uyo dumpsite.

iii. Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites

Basic statistics:

 $\bar{x}_{IK} = 693.5$  and  $\bar{x}_{Oron} = 397.1$ ,  $S_{IK}^2 = 178,084$  and  $S_{\text{Oron}}^2 = 43237.5$ ,  $n_{\text{IK}} = 20$  and  $n_{\text{Oron}} = 20$ 

$$
s_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(20 - 1)(178,084) + (20 - 1)(43237.5)}{20 + 20 - 2}} = 332.6571.
$$

Therefore,

$$
t = \frac{693.5 - 397.1}{332.6571\sqrt{\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20}}} = 2.8176
$$
  

$$
t_{n_{1k} + n_{0ron} - 2, 1 - \alpha} = t_{38,0.95} = 1.685.
$$

**Observation and decision**  $t_{calculated} > t_{tabulated}$ .  $H_0$ is rejected. Hence, resistivity at the Oron dumpsite is greater than the resistivity at the Uyo dumpsite.

#### Hydrogeochemical analyses

The hydrogeochemical methodology within the study involves the collection of twelve (12) diferent underground water samples with the aid of clean water bottles, rinsed with ethanol and rinsed several times with incoming sample before the water was collected, to ensure that microbes are eliminated from the bottle and also to forestall the interference of the ethanol with the sample. A cotton wool soaked with ethanol is used to clean the tap and burn around the tap while collecting the sample. When the bottle is filled, it was capped and sealed around with masking tape. It was then preserved in a cooler with ice blocks and taken to the laboratory of Akwa Ibom State Water Company within 2 days for analyses. The collected samples were analysed for the physicochemical and microbiological characteristics standard practice of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Furthermore, the analysis of the anions was performed with an ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometer, and the analysis of the cations was performed with an atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS). The titrimetric method was used to analyse a few parameters. Mercury-in-glass thermometers were used to measure temperature at in situ in the feld, while pH and turbidity were measured in the feld at in situ using portable pH metres and turbid metres.

## **Results and discussion**

#### Statistical results

Analysis of variance of resistivity between Uyo, Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites showed a signifcant diference, as the null hypothesis of equality of the three dumpsites was rejected. The *t*-tests carried out for Uyo control and dumpsite, between Ikot Ekpene control and dumpsite and between Oron control and dumpsite showed signifcant diferences. These test results showed the efects of dumpsites on resistivity. Also, the *t*-tests carried out between each pair of Uyo and Ikot Ekpene dumpsites, between Uyo and Oron dumpsites and between Ikot Ekpene and Oron dumpsites revealed statistical signifcance. Hence, no each pair of the dumpsites has the same resistivity effects.

#### *Physiochemical result*

The physiochemical analysis shows that in Oron, borehole water near the dumpsite had lower temperature than that situated away from the dumpsite, temperatures being 25.7 °C and 31 °C respectively. High temperatures in water favour microbial activity. In Uyo, a borehole sited near the dumpsite had temperatures between 22.7 and 29.4 °C. Boreholes sited away from dumpsite (Table [3\)](#page-13-0) show variable temperatures of 31.7 °C for Calabar Itu Road, 26.1 °C for Ewet Housing and 22.9 °C for Akpabio Street. In Ikot Ekpene, water sample from the Ikot Ekpene club shows ambient temperature of 22.9 °C and the borehole is sited close to the dumpsite. For Ikot Abia Idem in Ikot Ekpene local government area, the borehole is sited away from the dumpsite and shows an ambient temperature of 26.0 °C. In this research, it is observed that areas away from the dumpsite have almost the same range of temperature with those close to the dumpsite.

In addition, all samples near the dumpsite show very low pH (3.70–4.15) and this is attributed to

<span id="page-13-0"></span>



**Table 3** (continued)



*WHO*, World Health Organization

Key symbols: *DS*, water sample from boreholes proximal to dumpsite; *BD*, below the detection limit

organic decomposition of waste and infltration and percolation of by-products into the various locations near the dumpsite. It is observed that the sample from the Akpabio Street has the lowest pH being 3.26 which is highly acidic; all other samples have pH of about 5.0 which is a refection of the natural water quality within Akwa Ibom State. The pH of the water is perhaps the best sign of leachate pollution; these pH values range from 3.7 to 4.2. According to Martins and Idowu  $(2020)$  $(2020)$ , low pH values may cause considerable amounts of clay minerals to dissolve and release abnormally high silica (and alumina) levels into the water, which is the main cause of the interpretation issue. Low pH values are also attributed to humic acid from decaying vegetative matter. Drinking low pH water (<4.0) could lead to redness and irritation of the eyes (WHO, [1996\)](#page-19-18). Therefore, it is recommended that neutralising flter containing calcite or ground limestone be used to raise the pH of the groundwater before use by the population (Edet, [2017](#page-18-12)).

Furthermore, some areas albeit away from the dumpsite as Ikot Abia Idem have an iron level of 0.64 mg/L which is high and over the WHO's permitted limit, which states that specifcation for iron concentration in water should be 0.3 mg/L. However, all areas near the dumpsite show iron content within the permissible limit of 0.3 mg/L. High iron content in water decolourizes water and makes it unft for drinking.

Most of the samples within and outside the dumpsite show calcium hardness content within specifcation of the WHO. Magnesium hardness is observed in high concentrations over the WHO's permitted limit, as seen in DS1 being 80 mg/L and DS2 being 96 mg/L. This perhaps may have occurred naturally in the water as seen in S1, being 100 mg/L in Table [4.](#page-15-0) Magnesium has no known negative health impact. Also, barium occurs in most water as a natural element in the terrain. Barium is responsible for most respiratory problems resulting in issues such as hypertension and heart attack. The result of the analysis shows that samples DS3 and DS4 have high amount of barium above the permissible limit of the WHO being 0.7 mg/L maximum. Nevertheless, the result of the analysis shows that most of the heavy metals were found in concentrations within the permissible limit

<span id="page-15-0"></span>



**Table 4** (continued)



*WHO*, World Health Organization

Key symbols: *DS*, water sample from boreholes proximal to dumpsite; *BD*, below the detection limit

of the WHO. However, samples DS3 and DS4 show high level of cadmium above the permissible limit of the WHO. Cadmium is toxic to man and the kidney is the main target organ for cadmium toxicity (W.H.O., [2017\)](#page-19-16). Cadmium enters the food chain through crops or water. The uptake of cadmium by roots depends mainly on the soil pH. The presence of cadmium in groundwater has been monitored in particular in certain intensively farmed regions (Kovalevsky et al., [2004\)](#page-19-19). Moreover, the fndings reveal that samples DS3 and DS4 have a significant amount of oxygen that has been dissolved and there is no health-based guideline value recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO, [2017](#page-19-16)), though very high levels of dissolved oxygen may exacerbate corrosion of metal pipes (Martins & Idowu, [2020](#page-19-17)).

#### Bacteriological analysis

The results of the analysis show that, with the exception of S4, every sample had high levels of bacterial contamination, with counts of *Escherichia coli* and total coliforms above the WHO's permitted limit. *Escherichia coli* is present in very high

<span id="page-17-1"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>

<span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span>numbers in human and animal faeces and is rarely found in the absence of faecal pollution, although there is evidence for growth in tropical soils. The objective of zero *E. coli* per 100 mL of water is the goal for all water supplies and should be the target even in emergencies (W.H.O., [2008](#page-19-20)) (Table [5,](#page-17-0) [6,](#page-17-1) [7](#page-17-2) and [8\)](#page-17-3). To preserve people's health, extensive borehole treatment must be carried out due to the signifcant health concerns involved.

The most frequent and ubiquitous health concern associated with drinking water is microbial contamination; therefore, maintaining control over it is always crucial (WHO, on guidelines for drinking water quality, [2008\)](#page-19-20). Illnesses brought on by contaminated drinking water have a significant financial impact to human health. Better drinking water quality has considerable health benefits (WHO, [2008](#page-19-20)).

#### **Summary and conclusion**

Domestic and industrial activities produced million tons of wastes that were dumped in the three solid waste dumping sites. Other municipal and liquid wastes were also placed in these dumps. Leachate, generated by rainfall and surface water percolating through the waste, has polluted adjacent aquifers, the principal source of drinking water for the local community surrounding the dumpsites. The hydrogeochemical characteristics of leachate from dumpsites were determined in order to ascertain its potential ability to contaminate groundwater resources by using water samples. Experiments on water of surrounding boreholes of the dump indicated higher total dissolved solids (TDS), a lower pH and higher electrical conductivity (EC). Earth resistivity, measured at the surface, has the potential to noninvasively detect this contaminated groundwater.

Conventional drilling and direct sampling methods have limitations in terms of spatial coverage, the size of the sampled volume and the sampling density even if they can generate exceptionally accurate data. But when dealing with contaminated locations where accurate characterisation is essential, all direct sampling procedures have the potential to spread contaminants, posing a risk to both personnel and the environment.

### **Recommendations**

This study hereby proposes a strategy for averting a future garbage crisis that receives nearly unanimous approval, at least in theory, in the face of opposition from citizens and environmentalists to all sorts of open dumps and incinerators: recycling. From a local perspective, recycling initiatives decrease the demand for additional landflls and incinerators by reducing the amount of waste that must be disposed of. In a broader sense, recycling protects natural resources like trees and metal-bearing ores while also reducing energy use.

**Acknowledgements** We acknowledge Akwa Ibom State Ministry of Environment, the State Waste Management Board and Ikot Ekpene Club for accesses granted during the data acquisition of this present research.

**Author contribution** Abraham Udoh was responsible for the acquisition of the original feld geophysical (electrical resistivity) and hydrogeochemical data. Anthony Usoro generated statistical data from the geophysical data and Ifeanyi Chinwuko carried out hydrogeochemical interpretation. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** This research was entirely funded by the primary/ corresponding author (Abraham Udoh). There is no external funder and hence no funder ID.

**Data availability** The results/data/figures in this manuscript have not been published elsewhere, nor are they under consideration (from me or my contributing author) by another publisher.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** I declare that all of the data and material are owned by the authors and no permissions are required.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-5"></span>Agunwamba, J.C. (1998). Solid waste management in Nigeria: Problems and issues (In): Jegede, S.I., Iserhien-Emekeme, R.E., Iyoha, A., and Amadasun, C.V.O. (2013). Nearsurface investigation of groundwater contamination in the Regolith Aquifer of Palladan, Zaria, using borehole log and tomography techniques. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology 6*(4), 537–544.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Ariyo, S.O., Omosanya, K.O., & Oshinloye, B.A. (2013). Electrical resistivity imaging of contaminant zone at Sotubo dumpsite along Sagamu-Ikorodu Road, Southwestern Nigeria. In: Mosuro, G.O., Omosanya, K.O., Bayewu, O.O., Oloruntola, M.O., Laniyan, T.A., Atobi, O., Okubena, M., Popoola, E., and Adekoya, F. (2017). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability to leachate infltration using electrical method. *Springer: Applied Water Science 7*, 2195–2207.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Bernstone, C. And Dahlin, T. (1999). Assessment of two automated DC resistivity data acquisition systems for landfll location surveys: Two case studies. In: Dahlin, T., Rosqvist, H., and Leroux, V. (2010). Resistivity – IP mapping for landfll applications, First Break, Vol.28:8, pp.101–105. 2010 EAGE [www.frstbreak](http://www.firstbreak).
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Christensen, T. H., Cossu, R., & Stegmann, R. (1992). *Landfll of waste*. Elsevier Science Publisher Ltd.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Dahlin, T., Rosqvist, H., & Leroux, V. (2010). Resistivity – IP mapping for landfll applications, First Break, 28(8)101– 105. 2010 EAGE [www.frstbreak.org](http://www.firstbreak.org)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>Edet, A. E. (2017). Hydrogeology and groundwater evaluation of a shallow coastal aquifer, southern Akwa Ibom State (Nigeria). *Springer, Applied Water Science, 2017*(7), 2397–2412.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., & Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 2014*(6), 193–201.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>Edet, A.E. (1993). Groundwater quality assessment in parts of eastern Niger Delta. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., and Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection 6,*193–201.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>Esu, E.O., Okereke, C.S., and Edet, A. E. (1999). A regional hydrostratigraphic study of Akwa Ibom State. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., and Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection 6*, 193–201.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>Grunhut, V., Bongiovanni, M. V., & Osella, A. (2018). Using surface-downhole ERT for detecting contaminants in deep aquifers due to exploitation of oil reservoirs: European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers (EAGE). *Near SurfaceGeophysics, 16*(5), 559–571.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics* (5th ed.). The McGraw-Hill Series.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>Ite, A. E., Ogunkunle, C. O., Obadimu, C. O., Asuaiko, E. R., & Ibok, U. J. (2017). Particulate matter and staff exposure in an air-conditioned office in Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria. *Journal of Atmospheric Pollution, 5*(1), 24–32.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>Jegede, S. I., Ujuanbi, O., Abdullahi, N. K., & Iserhien-Emekeme, R. E. (2012). Mapping and monitoring of leachate

plume migration at an open waste disposal site using noninvasive methods. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences, 4*(1), 26–33.

- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Kogbe, C.A. (1989). Geology of Nigeria. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., and Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection 6*, 193–201.
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>Kovalevsky, V.S., Kruseman, G.P, & Rushton, K.R. (2004). Groundwater studies – An international guide for hydrogeological investigations. IHP – VI, Series on Groundwater No. 3. UNESCO, 2004.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>Martins, O., Idowu, O. (2020). Essentials of practical water quality studies. University Press PLC, Ibadan- Nigeria, 424 PP.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>Mosuro, G. O., Omosanya, K. O., Bayewu, O. O., Oloruntola, M. O., Laniyan, T. A., Atobi, O., Okubena, M., Popoola, E., & Adekoya, F. (2017). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability to leachate infltration using electrical method. *Applied Water Science, 2017*(7), 2195–2207. Springer.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>Murat, R.C. (1970). Stratigraphy and paleogeography of Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary in the Southern Nigeria. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., and Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater flow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Pro‑ tection 6*, 193–201.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Musset, A. E., & Khan Aftab, M. (2000). *Looking into the Earth*. Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>Nigeria Population Commission (NPC). (2006). *Population census*. [https://nationalpopulation.gov.ng/census-enume](https://nationalpopulation.gov.ng/census-enumeration) [ration](https://nationalpopulation.gov.ng/census-enumeration)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>Nwankwo, C. N., & Emujakporue, G. O. (2012). Geophysical method of investigating groundwater and sub-soil contamination – A case study. *American Journal of Environmental Engineering, 2*(3), 49–53.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>Ogwueleka, T. C. (2009). Municipal solid waste characteristics and management in Nigeria. *Iran J Environ Health Sci Eng, 6*(3), 173–180.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Olayinka, A. I., and Olayiwola, M.A. (2001). Integrated use of geoelectrical imaging and hydrochemical methods in delineating limits of polluted surface and groundwater at a landfll site in Ibadan Area, South West Nigeria. In: Mosuro, G.O., Omosanya, K.O., Bayewu, O.O., Oloruntola, M.O., Laniyan, T.A., Atobi, O., Okubena, M., Popoola, E., and Adekoya, F., (2017). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability to leachate infltration using electrical method. *Springer: Applied Water Science 7*, 2195–2207.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>Pugh, M. (1997). The path to affordable landfills. *Journal of Waste Management, 1999*, 58–59.
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Reyment, R.A. (1965). Aspects of the geology of Nigeria. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B., Okereke, C., and Nganje, T. (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of

Environ Monit Assess (2024) 196:397

the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection 6*, 193–201.

- <span id="page-19-4"></span>Rushbrook, P. (1997). Encouraging better landflling in middleand lower-income countries. Proc. Sardina 97, Sixth International Landfll Symposium, Italy.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Short, K. C., & Stäublee, A. J. (1965). Outline of geology of Niger Delta. *Amer Assoc Petrol Geol Bullet, 51*, 761–779.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Tijani, M.N., Jinno, K., & Horoshiro, Y. (2004). Environmental impact of heavy metals distribution in water and sediments of Ogunpa River, Ibadan Area, Southwestern Nigeria. In: Mosuro, G.O., Omosanya, K.O., Bayewu, O.O., Oloruntola, M.O., Laniyan, T.A., Atobi, O., Okubena, M., Popoola, E., and Adekoya, F. (2017). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability to leachate infltration using electrical method. *Springer: Applied Water Science 7*, 2195–2207.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>Udoh, A. C., Chinwuko, A. I., Onwuemesi, A. G., Anakwuba, E. K., Oyonga, A. O., & Usman, A. O. (2021). Impact of Solid waste on groundwater quality in selected dumpsites in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria, using resistivity and hydrochemical data. *Bulletin of the Mineral Research and Exploration, 2021*(164), 231–249.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Visanathan, C., Trankler, J., Basnayake, B.F.A., Chiemchaisri, C., Joseph, K., & Gonming, Z. (2003). Landfll management in Asia – Notations about future approaches to appropriate and sustainable solutions. Proc. Sardina 03, Ninth International Landfll Symposium, Italy.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>World Health Organization. (1996). pH in Drinking water. Guidelines for drinking water quality. *Health criterion and other supporting information* (Vol. 2, 2nd ed.)*.* WHO.
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>World Health Organization, W.H.O. (2008). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, Vol. 1. Recommendations, 3rd ed. WHO Library Cataloguing- in- publication Data.
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>World Health Organization, W.H.O. (2017). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, (4th Edition), incorporating the First Addendum. Geneva.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Wright, J.B. (1989). Review of the origin and evolution of the Benue Trough in Nigeria. In: Edet, A. E., Abdelaziz, R., Merkel, B. Okereke, C., and Nganje, T., (2014). Numerical groundwater fow modeling of the Coastal Plain Sand Aquifer, Akwa Ibom State, SE Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection 6*, 193–201.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.