## RESEARCH



# **Natural radionuclides and radiological risk assessment in the stream and river sediments of a high background natural radiation area Kanyakumari, India**

**Thennaarassan Natarajan · Sarata Kumar Sahoo · Kazumasa Inoue · Hideki Arae · Tatsuo Aono · Masahiro Fukushi**

Received: 26 November 2023 / Accepted: 12 February 2024 / Published online: 1 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

**Abstract** The Kanyakumari coast is known to be a high background natural radiation area due to the placer deposits of heavy minerals such as ilmenite, monazite, and rutile. The Kanyakumari river sediments that could be the source of the elevated amounts of natural radionuclides in the coastal sands have been studied in this paper. The activity concentrations of primordial radionuclides  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $^{40}$ K were determined using high-purity germanium (HPGe) gamma-ray spectrometry. The mean activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K were found to be 75 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , 565 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , and 360 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , respectively. The mean absorbed dose rate was 395 nGy h<sup>-1</sup>. Radiological hazard parameters were studied and compared with the world average values. The contribution of  $^{232}$ Th to the total dose rate was found to be higher than that of the two other radionuclides.

T. Natarajan · K. Inoue · M. Fukushi Department of Radiological Sciences, Graduate School of Human Health Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Arakawa-Ku, Tokyo 116-8551, Japan

T. Natarajan  $\cdot$  S. K. Sahoo ( $\boxtimes$ )  $\cdot$  H. Arae  $\cdot$  T. Aono Institute for Radiological Sciences, National Institutes for Quantum Science and Technology (QST), Inage-Ku, Chiba 263-8555, Japan e-mail: sahoo.sarata@qst.go.jp

T. Aono

Radioecology Unit, Fukushima Institute for Research, Education and Innovation (F-REI), Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

The high mean ratio of  $232 \text{Th}/226 \text{Ra}$  suggested an enrichment of  $^{232}$ Th and the occurrence of  $^{226}$ Ra leaching due to an oxidizing environment. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out for the radionuclides in order to discriminate the source of the sediments. This study provides new insights into the distribution of natural radionuclides in sediments of rivers and streams.

**Keywords** Natural radionuclides · River sediments · Thorium · Radiological hazard parameters · Absorbed dose rate · Gamma spectrometry

## **Introduction**

Naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) existing in sufficient quantity with their decay products are signifcant sources of radiation exposure for the human population. These NORMs can be found across the earth's crust causing terrestrial environmental radioactivity. The external exposure to humans is mainly due to the primordial radionuclides of the <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th decay series as well as <sup>40</sup>K (UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation), [2000\)](#page-16-0). A mitochondrial DNA mutation associated with the exposure to natural radioactivity has been reported for the human inhabitants along the Chavara-Neendakara coast of Kerala, India (Forster et al., [2002](#page-15-0)). Such type of data escalates the importance to understand the



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Kanyakumari district maps **a** showing rivers and ◂streams and **b** showing sediment sampling locations  $(n=44)$ (Generated using ArcGIS 10.6 software) (Numeric value in the river Sample ID are assigned from low to high for the catchment area to the mouth of the river)

distribution of NORMs in the environment in association with radiological hazards and human health risks.

Regions with enormous amounts of the primordial radionuclides associated with rocks, soil, and sand are identifed as high background natural radiation areas (HBNRAs). There are a few well-known HBN-RAs; however, the sources of natural radionuclides vary according to the local geology and geological processes. For example, in Ramsar, Iran, and Niška Banja, Serbia, these radionuclides are associated with travertine formations; in the Abu Rusheid area of Egypt, they are linked to mylonitic rocks with U mineralization; and in the Dornogobi Province of southeastern Mongolia, the presence of U deposits close to the ground surface has resulted in high dose rates (Ghiassi-nejad et al., [2002;](#page-15-1) Omori et al., [2019;](#page-15-2) Sahoo et al., [2023](#page-16-1); Sakr et al., [2023](#page-16-2)). In coastal regions of India, high background natural radiation is associated with beach placer deposits of heavy minerals (density  $(\rho)$ >2.9 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) such as ilmenite, monazite, rutile, and zircon (Mohanty et al., [2003](#page-15-3); Veerasamy et al., [2020\)](#page-16-3). Especially the thorium-bearing mineral monazite is considered to be the highest contributor to the background radiation in the beach sands of India (Mohanty et al., [2003;](#page-15-3) Singh et al., [2007](#page-16-4); Veerasamy et al., [2020](#page-16-3)).

The streams and rivers of these coastal areas play a major role in the formation of beach placer deposits. The hinterland high-grade metamorphic and igneous rocks are weathered and eroded by the streams and rivers, and the detritus composed of sand, silt, clay, and heavy minerals is carried and deposited in a variety of coastal environments including deltas, barrier islands, lagoons, foreshore, and backshore. The sediments are then reworked by waves, tides, longshore currents, and winds leading to an efective sorting of the mineral grains based on their size and density (Van Gosen et al., [2014\)](#page-15-4). For instance, the Rushikulya River in Odisha erodes the Eastern Ghat Mobile Belt (EGMB) composed of rock types such as khondalite, charnockite, granite gneiss, and pegmatites and the river is believed to be the source of heavy minerals and high background natural radioactivity along Chhatrapur beach (Sulekha Rao & Misra, [2009;](#page-16-5) Veerasamy et al., [2020](#page-16-3)). Similarly, sediments of the Bentota River in Sri Lanka have been found to be rich in monazite and that has resulted in forming seasonal monazite-rich beach sand deposits along the Kaikawala and Beruwala coastal regions (Rupasinghe et al., [1983](#page-16-6)).

River sediments (mainly as sand) also have an essential role in construction projects as an important mixture component for building materials in Tamil Nadu, India (Ramasamy et al., [2011\)](#page-16-7). The activity concentration of radionuclides and radiological hazard parameters have been estimated and found to be equal to or less than the world average values in some sediments of the major rivers of Tamil Nadu (Narayana et al., [2016](#page-15-5); Ramasamy et al., [2011;](#page-16-7) Thangam et al., [2020](#page-16-8)). Natural radionuclide distribution in the coastal sands and soils of the Kanyakumari HBNRA region has been extensively studied (Malathi et al., [2005;](#page-15-6) Natarajan et al., [2023a](#page-15-7); Punniyakotti & Ponnusamy, [2018](#page-16-9)). However, there is little information about natural radionuclide data in river sediments from this area.

Rivers are a possible source for the high radioactivity observed along the Kanyakumari coastal area. Therefore, in this study, the sediments from rivers and streams of Kanyakumari were analyzed for the activity concentration of natural radionuclides and the radiological hazard parameters were estimated based on data of the natural radionuclides. In addition to it, spatial distribution maps of the natural radionuclides and dose rate were generated to visualize the distribution pattern.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Study area

Kanyakumari is located at the southernmost tip of India and has two perennial rivers, the Tamiraparani (TR) and the Pazhaiyar (PR). The TR originates as the Kothaiyar River on the Agastiar Hills of Western Ghats and flows on the western slopes for a short distance before taking a southwesterly direction. The Paraliyar River originating from the same hills flows in a southwesterly direction and unites with the Kothaiyar River near Moovattumugam to flow as the TR until it joins the Arabian Sea near Thengapattinam without forming any delta (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)a). The PR originating from the Mahendragiri Hills fows on the easterly slopes before taking a southeasterly direction for 30 km to enter the Arabian Sea near Manakudi by forming an estuary. The Kothaiyar, Paraliyar, and TR collectively flow for a length of 60 km from the foothills of the catchment area to reach the mouth (the place where the river enters the sea) and they are grouped as the TR in this study (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b).

Kanyakumari receives rainfall from both southwest and northeast monsoons which benefts the rivers; however, most of its precipitation is due to the cyclonic activities in the Bay of Bengal (CGWB, CGWB (Central Groundwater Board of India), [2008](#page-15-8)). The geology of Kanyakumari is comprised of Trivandrum Block (TB) and Nagercoil granulites (NG) of the Southern Granulite Terrain and the composition of these rocks is discussed in detail elsewhere (Rajesh et al., [2011](#page-16-10); Santosh et al., [2003](#page-16-11)). The catchment area of the rivers includes Precambrian crystalline rocks of charnockites, khondalites, and migmatite gneisses. The rivers erode these rocks which are tonalite-granodiorite in composition with apatite, ilmenite, monazite, and zircon as major accessory minerals (Rajesh et al., [2011](#page-16-10)). Along with these two major rivers, there are a few small seasonal streams (SS) with a length not exceeding 20 km which form and enter the Arabian Sea. All these streams might once have been the channels of rivers like the TR and Paraliyar as there is evidence for river migration such as oxbow lake (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). The width of these stream channels exceeds 100 m in some places and these channels are primarily used for agricultural activities since most of Kanyakumari is rough terrain, unsuited to farming (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0), b). Figure [3](#page-5-0)c shows the cross section at a SS sampling location indicating the river depositional sequence.

#### Sample preparation

Sediment samples were collected from the foothills of the catchment area to the mouth of the rivers at 18 locations for the TR and at 14 for the PR. Sediment samples were also collected at 12 locations for the SS among the agricultural lands (Table [1](#page-6-0)). All the sampling locations were within latitude N 8.114 to 8.446 and longitude E 77.161 to 77.488 and sampling was done during March 2022 (summer season). For the TR and PR, the interval between each sampling location along the main channel was approximately maintained at 2 km. Since both rivers have tributaries joining them, sediment samples from those tributaries were also collected, respectively (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)). The SS sediment samples were collected from the branches before and after confuence (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)). The samples from each location were collected at a depth of 0–5 cm using a Wildco® hand core sediment sampler and stored in a labelled polyethylene bag before being transported to the laboratory in Japan. The sediment samples were brought to Japan after acquiring proper permission from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries based on plant protection laws. In the laboratory, each sediment sample was frst air-dried and then oven-dried at  $105$  °C for 24 h until complete removal of moisture had been realized. Plant root materials and rock fragments were removed by passing the dried samples through a 2-mm sieve. The samples were packed and sealed in U8 cylindrical containers  $(d=48 \text{ mm}, h=58 \text{ mm})$  and left for 4 weeks to attain secular equilibrium among the U series radionuclides.

## Instrumentation and calibration

A coaxial P-type high-purity germanium (HPGe) detector (CANBERRA GX4018) with a range of 0–4000 keV was used for gamma spectrometry measurements. Energy and efficiency calibration of the HPGe detector was carried out using a multinuclide standard source supplied by the Japan Radioisotope Association (JRIA) with gamma energies ranging from 60 to 1333 keV and the overall uncertainty was found to be less than 10%. The precision of the method was checked using the reference material Irish Sea Sediment IAEA – 385. The sample counting time was pre-set at 80,000 s. <sup>214</sup>Pb (351.99 keV) and <sup>214</sup>Bi (609.31 keV) were considered for the estimation of  $^{226}$ Ra activity concentration.  $^{208}$ Tl (583.14 keV) and  $^{228}$ Ac (911.20 keV) peaks were considered for the calculation of 232Th, assuming that the daughter radionuclides are in radioactive equilibrium with parent radionuclides and  $^{40}K$  (1460.8 keV) was considered for the direct estimation of  ${}^{40}$ K activity concentration (Natarajan et al., [2023a\)](#page-15-7). The minimum detection levels (MDL) for  $^{214}Pb$  and  $^{214}Bi$  were  $2 \pm 1$  Bq  $kg^{-1}$  and  $2 \pm 1$  Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , <sup>208</sup>Tl and <sup>228</sup>Ac



**Fig. 2** Satellite image of an oxbow lake adjacent to the Tamiraparani River demonstrating migration of the river (Google Earth Version 9.191.0.0)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>were  $3\pm 2$  Bq  $kg^{-1}$  and  $3\pm 1$  Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , and the MDL for <sup>40</sup>K was  $11 \pm 5$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The specific activities (Bq  $kg^{-1}$ ) were calculated using Eq. [\(1](#page-4-1)).

$$
A = \frac{C}{p_{\text{wte}}} \tag{1}
$$

Here,  $A$  is the specific activity,  $C$  is the net count above the background, *p* is the absolute emission probability, *w* is the dry weight of sample (kg), *t* is the measurement time (80,000 s), and  $\varepsilon$  is the absolute efficiency of the detector (Hassan et al.,  $2010$ ).

Spatial analysis

<span id="page-4-1"></span>The spatial distribution maps were prepared using ArcGIS software (v 10.6). The inverse distance weighted (IDW) method in the software Spatial Analyst Tool was used to determine the values for unsampled locations. The IDW method presumes that every measured point has an effect that decreases with distance and the nearest value is considered rather than the farthest away one. Although inverse distance weighting (IDW), ordinary kriging (OK), and ordinary co-kriging (OCK)



**Fig. 3** Satellite images of **a** streams and **b** agricultural activities on the old river channels (Google Earth Version 9.191.0.0). **c** Cross section at a stream sampling location showing the river depositional sequence

<span id="page-5-0"></span>methods are more commonly used, for this study, the IDW method was employed since it has been found to be the best conventional interpolation technique with some measure of certainty and predictive accuracy (Li & Heap,  $2011$ ). The boundary for the spatial distribution starts from the foothills, from where the frst sampling location was found.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>





Radiological hazard parameters

The radiological hazard parameters such as absorbed dose rate (*D*), annual effective dose equivalent ( $AEDE$ ), and radium equivalent ( $Ra_{eq}$ ) were estimated based on the activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $40K$  in order to assess the radiological risk of the river and stream sediments. The radiological efects are directly related to *D (nGy h−1)* and *D* was calculated using Eq. ([2\)](#page-7-0) suggested by the UNSCEAR (UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation), [2000](#page-16-0)).

$$
D = 0.462C_{\text{Ra}} + 0.604C_{\text{Th}} + 0.0417C_{\text{K}} \tag{2}
$$

Here,  $C_{\text{Ra}}$ ,  $C_{\text{Th}}$ , and  $C_K$  are the activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $^{40}$ K, respectively.

The river sediments are mainly used as materials to be mixed with cement for building construction. As people spend more time indoors than outdoors, the annual dose to any individual can be assessed by calculating the annual efective dose equivalent (*AEDE*) outdoors and indoors by Eqs.  $(3)$  $(3)$  and  $(4)$  $(4)$  as given by the UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation) [\(2000](#page-16-0)).

$$
AEDE_{\text{out}} = D \times 8760 \times 0.2 \times 0.7 \times 10^{-6}
$$
 (3)

$$
AEDE_{\text{in}} = D \times 8760 \times 0.8 \times 0.7 \times 10^{-6}
$$
 (4)

Here,  $AEDE_{\text{out}}$  and  $AEDE_{\text{in}}$   $(mSv y^{-1})$  are for the outdoor and indoor effective dose equivalents, respectively; *D* is the absorbed dose rate;  $0.7$  Sv Gy<sup>-1</sup> is used to convert the absorbed dose rate  $(nGy \ h^{-1})$  to the annual effective dose equivalent. Finally, 0.2 and 0.8 are the occupancy factors of outdoors and indoors.

Radium equivalent activity  $(Ra_{eq})$  is a widely used hazard index. This single index allows assessment of the exposure to radiation since the radionuclides  $226$ Ra,  $232$ Th, and  $40$ K are not uniformly distributed in the river and stream sediments.  $Ra_{\text{ea}}$  can be calculated using Eq. [\(5](#page-7-3)) (UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation), [2000\)](#page-16-0) and it is expressed in *Bq kg−1*.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
Ra_{\text{eq}} = C_{\text{Ra}} + 1.43C_{\text{Th}} + 0.077C_{\text{K}}
$$
 (5)

Here,  $C_{\text{Ra}}$ ,  $C_{\text{Th}}$ , and  $C_{\text{K}}$  are the activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $^{40}$ K, respectively.

## **Results and discussion**

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>Activity concentration

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>Activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K (Bq  $kg^{-1}$ ) of the river and stream sediments are given in Table [1.](#page-6-0) The activity concentrations of  $226Ra$ ,  $232Th$ , and  $^{40}$ K (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) for the TR sediment samples  $(n=18)$  were in the range of 18 to 386 with a mean of  $100$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, 39 to 2362 with a mean of 773 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 65 to 632 with a mean of 340 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , respectively. The activity concentrations of  $^{226}Ra$ ,  $^{232}Th$ , and  $^{40}$ K (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) for the PR sediment samples  $(n=14)$  ranged from 4 to 91 with a mean of 33 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, 12 to 1372 with a mean of 313 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 209 to 718 with a mean of 512 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ . The activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K (Bq  $kg^{-1}$ ) for the SS sediments (*n*=12) ranged from 12 to 368 with a mean of 95 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , 69 to 2163 with a mean of 557 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , and 28 to 659 with a mean of 211 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ . The mean activity concentrations of <sup>232</sup>Th (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) of both rivers and stream sediments were found to be relatively higher than the world average value of 45 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> as well as the Indian average value of 68 Bq  $kg^{-1}$  (UNSCEAR, [2008](#page-16-12); Punniyakotti & Ponnusamy, [2018\)](#page-16-9). In case of 226Ra activity concentration, the TR and SS sediments were found to be higher than the world average value of 33 Bq kg−1 and Indian average value of 28 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the mean <sup>226</sup>Ra activity concentration of PR sediments was normal. However, the mean 40K activity concentration was a little higher than the world average value of 412 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> only for the PR sediments.

The mean activity concentration of radionuclides followed the order of  $^{232}Th > ^{40}K > ^{226}Ra$  in both TR and SS sediments, whereas it was  ${}^{40}K>^{232}Th>^{226}Ra$ for the PR sediments. In the river and stream sediments, <sup>226</sup>Ra activity concentration was lower than that of  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$  K;  $^{226}$ Ra is known to be preferentially incorporated into the aqueous phase by means of alpha recoil, and this could be a possible reason for the depletion of  $^{226}$ Ra relative to  $^{232}$ Th in the river and stream sediments (Powell et al., [2007](#page-15-11)). The soils of the Odisha HBNRA were suspected to be the cause of the increased U concentration in the groundwater (Veerasamy et al.,  $2023$ ). The river water may also feed the groundwater by infuent fows during high flow times. Water is an essential component for the survival of life and the people of Kanyakumari rely more on the groundwater for freshwater needs (Raja et al., [2021](#page-16-14)). However, the average uranium concentration in the groundwater of Kanyakumari was found to be 2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> which was less than the permissible limit recommended by the WHO (30  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) and the Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB) of India  $(60 \mu g L^{-1})$  (Raja et al., [2021\)](#page-16-14).

The high activity concentration of  ${}^{40}$ K may be owing to the presence of light silicate and felsic groups of minerals as  ${}^{40}$ K mainly has a terrestrial origin (Natarajan et al., [2023a](#page-15-7)). The high concentrations of  ${}^{40}$ K and  ${}^{232}$ Th compared to  ${}^{226}$ Ra have also been observed in other river sediments of Tamil Nadu such as the Cauvery, Ponnaiyar, and Thamirabarani (Tirunelveli) Rivers (Narayana et al., [2016](#page-15-5); Ramasamy et al., [2011;](#page-16-7) Thangam et al., [2020](#page-16-8)). A similar observation was reported in the Nile River (Egypt) sediments and it was stated that the clay content might be a contributing factor for the high activity concentrations of  ${}^{40}K$ , while conversely the lower concentrations were associated with sandy content (El-Gamal et al., [2007](#page-15-12)). The major rock types present in the catchment area of the TR and PR might also influence the activity concentrations of  $^{226}Ra$ ,  $^{232}Th$ , and  $40K$  since they are rich in heavy minerals like ilmenite, monazite, and zircon as the major accessory phase. Natural radiation levels as high as 45,000 nGy h−1 have been reported in hinterland Putteti syenite rock units and the western part of the Kanyakumari district also exhibited a prominent radioactivity zone (Singh et al., [2007](#page-16-4)).

The spatial distributions of the radionuclides <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K are shown in Fig. [4a](#page-9-0), b, and c, respectively. A heterogenous distribution of radionuclides was seen. The background radiation levels in the Kanyakumari district were mainly associated with the <sup>232</sup>Th because of the presence of thorium-bearing minerals. The spatial distribution map of Fig. [4b](#page-9-0) indicated that the very high concentrations of  $^{232}$ Th were limited to the western part of this district.

The world average ratios for  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{40}$ K, and  $^{226}Ra^{40}K$  are 0.86, 0.08, and 0.09, respectively (UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation), [2000](#page-16-0)) . The mean  $^{232}$ Th/<sup>226</sup>Ra,  $^{232}$ Th/<sup>40</sup>K, and  $^{226}$ Ra/<sup>40</sup>K ratios of TR and PR sediments were 6.98, 5.99, and 0.68 and 8.10, 0.94, and 0.08, respectively, and for SS sediments, the ratios were 5.65, 6.20, and 1.10, respectively. <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>40</sup>K ratios were considerably higher than the world average values, and that showed the dominance of the Th-rich minerals in the sediments. The mean  $^{226}Ra/^{40}K$  ratio of the PR sediments was slightly lower than the world average due to the predominance of light minerals over U-rich minerals and the elevated 232Th/226Ra of TR, PR, and SS sediments could indicate the leaching of  $226$ Ra due to an oxidizing environment (Khan et al., [2022](#page-15-13)).

## Radiological risk assessment

The absorbed dose rate  $(D)$  in air at 1 m above the ground was estimated using Eq. [\(2](#page-7-0)) and it ranged from 50 to 1518 nGy h<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 527 nGy  $h^{-1}$  for TR sediment samples, from 28 to 880 nGy  $h^{-1}$ with a mean of 226 nGy  $h^{-1}$  for PR sediment samples, and from 52 to 1478 nGy h<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 390 nGy h<sup>-1</sup> for SS sediment samples. The mean absorbed dose rates of river and stream sediments were found to be relatively higher than the world average value of 58 nGy  $h^{-1}$  reported by the UNSCEAR [\(2008](#page-16-12)). The spatial distribution of the absorbed dose rate is shown in Fig. [4d](#page-9-0). The comparison of the activity concentrations of natural radionuclides  $226Ra$ ,  $232Th$ , and  $^{40}$ K (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) and absorbed dose rate (nGy h<sup>-1</sup>) for the river and stream sediment samples from Kanyakumari with some of the river sediments from other locations in India (Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Odisha)



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Spatial distribution maps of activity concentrations of  $\mathbf{a}^{226}$ Ra,  $\mathbf{b}^{232}$ Th, and  $\mathbf{c}^{40}$ K. **d** Absorbed dose rate distribution map (Generated using ArcGIS v 10.6)

as well as a few other countries like Bangladesh, China, Egypt, Nigeria, Slovak Republic, Thailand, and United States are given in Table [2.](#page-10-0)

The radiological hazard parameters such as  $Ra_{eq}$ (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>),  $AEDE_{\text{out}}$  (mSv y<sup>-1</sup>), and  $AEDE_{\text{in}}$  (mSv y−1) were also estimated along with the absorbed dose rate using Eqs. [3,](#page-7-1) [4](#page-7-2) and [5](#page-7-3). The *Ra*eq for the TR sediments ranged from 110 to 3574  $\overrightarrow{Bq}$  kg<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 1230 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ ; for the PR sediments, it ranged from 57 to 2070 Bq  $kg^{-1}$  with a mean of 520 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ ; and for the SS sediment samples, it ranged from 120 to 3465 Bq  $kg^{-1}$  with a mean of 910 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ . The mean  $Ra_{eq}$  of all the sediment samples was found to be  $920$  Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , which was relatively higher than the UNSCEAR world aver-age value of 370 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. [5](#page-10-1)). The annual effective dose equivalent outdoor (*AEDE*<sub>out</sub>) and indoor (*AEDE*in) values for the river and stream sediment samples from Kanyakumari were in the range of 0.03 to 1.9 mSv y<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 0.5 mSv y<sup>-1</sup> and 0.1 to 7.4 mSv y<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 1.9 mSv y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both the *AEDE*<sub>out</sub> and *AEDE*<sub>in</sub> were higher than the world average values of 0.07 mSv  $y^{-1}$  and 0.45 mSv  $y^{-1}$  (UNSCEAR, [2008\)](#page-16-12).

The absorbed dose rate as well as the activity concentration of radionuclides in the river and stream sediments of Kanyakumari were high relative to all the river sediments except for the  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th activity concentrations of the Rushikulya and Mahanadi Rivers in Odisha (Table [2](#page-10-0)). The reported high concentrations of titanium (Ti) and cerium (Ce) in the Rushikulya and Mahanadi River sediments have been attributed to the presence of heavy minerals such as ilmenite (Ti dominant) and monazite (Ce dominant) (Mohanty et al., [2023](#page-15-14)). Our recent studies on the beach sands of Kanyakumari determined that the dose rate was mainly from 232Th and the high concentrations of Ti and Ce in beach sands propounding

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 2** Comparison of activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K and absorbed dose rate of the present study with sediments of other rivers of India and of other countries

River and country	Mean activity concentration (Bq $kg^{-1}$ )				Absorbed dose rate $(nGy h^{-1})$	Reference
	$238$ U	$\overline{^{226}}Ra$	232Th	$^{40}{\rm K}$		
Brahmaputra River, Bangladesh		43	82	871	106	Khan et al. (2022)
Wei River, China		22	33	833	65	Lu et al. (2008)
Nile River, Egypt	16	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	13	200	24	El-Gamal et al. $(2007)$
Ogun River, Nigeria		13	12	500	64	Jibiri & Okeyode $(2012)$
Dudvah River, Slovak Republic		33	44	587	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	Frantisek et al. (2008)
Chao Phraya River, Thailand	60		65	432	85	Santawamaitre et al. (2011)
Reedy River (South Carolina), United States	38	21	45	609	63	Powell et al. $(2007)$
Rushikulya River (OD) <sup>a</sup> , India	100 <sup>b</sup>		540 <sup>b</sup>			Mohanty et al. $(2023)$
Mahanadi River (OD) <sup>a</sup> , India	330 <sup>b</sup>	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$2345^{\rm b}$	-	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	Mohanty et al. $(2023)$
Kallada River (KL) <sup>a</sup> , India		49	88	423	95	Venunathan et al. (2016)
Thamirabarani River (TN) <sup>a</sup> , India		41	52	838	85	Thangam et al. $(2020)$
Cauvery River (TN) <sup>a</sup> , India		32	61	144	58	Narayana et al. (2016)
Ponnaiyar River (TN) <sup>a</sup> , India		6	59	401	55	Ramasamy et al. (2011)
Beach sands, Kanyakumari, India		402	3970	160	2635	Natarajan et al. (2023a)
Tamiraparani River, Kanyakumari, India		100	773	339	527	Present study
Pazhaiyar River, Kanyakumari, India		33	313	512	226	Present study
Streams, Kanyakumari, India		95	557	211	289	Present study
World average	33	32	45	412	58	<b>UNSCEAR (2008)</b>

<sup>a</sup>KL Kerala, *OD* Odisha, *TN* Tamil Nadu. <sup>b</sup>Values converted from µg g<sup>−1</sup> to Bq kg<sup>−1</sup> as per IAEA, [1990](#page-15-16)

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Radium equivalent activity for the sediment samples from Kanyakumari



the natural radiation were mainly due to ilmenite and monazite (Natarajan et al., [2023a](#page-15-7), [2023b](#page-15-15)).

The respective absorbed dose rates for <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th had a strong positive correlation  $(R^2=0.78)$ 

and 0.99) which may be due to the similar origins. The contribution of  $232$ Th to the absorbed dose rate was 87%, which was similar to the contribution for the Kanyakumari beach sands (Natarajan et al.,

[2023a\)](#page-15-7). The highest  $^{232}$ Th activity concentration was for sample TR-6 (Table [1](#page-6-0)), which was Paraliyar River bed sediment collected in close proximity to the Perunchanai Dam (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)). The  $^{226}$ Ra and  $^{232}$ Th activity concentrations of samples TR-7 and TR-8 sharply decreased compared to TR-6 values whereas for TR-9 sample, the activity concentrations were somewhat lower compared to TR-6. There was no gradual increase or decrease in the activity concentration from the foothills of the catchment area reaching the mouth of the river rather; the  $^{226}$ Ra and 232Th activity concentrations fuctuated highly unlike the activity concentrations of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $40K$  in the sediments of the Ponnaiyar River (Ramasamy et al.,  $2011$ ). Flow velocity of a river has a direct relationship to the sediment carrying capacity; when the fow velocity decreases, the river loses its ability to carry the heavy minerals frst and a normal graded bedding depositional sequence is followed (sand at the bottom and mud at the top) (Earle, [2019\)](#page-15-20). Bedrock exposure in the river channel may cause sediments to deposit in pockets and river erosional features like potholes were witnessed in upstream areas of rivers fowing in Kanyakumari. These are some of the factors that could control the uneven distribution of radionuclides along the river channels.

On the other hand, almost all the SS sediment samples from the small streams flowing adjacent to the agricultural lands showed an elevated activity concentration of  $^{232}$ Th as well as the absorbed dose rate than UNSCEAR world average values (Table [1](#page-6-0)). Soil samples from other parts of Kanyakumari also showed a high-level activity concentration of  $^{232}$ Th and an absorbed dose rate (Malathi et al., [2005](#page-15-6)). Similar observations paved a way to study the fate of radionuclides in food crops grown in the Kanyakumari region, since the radionuclides can transfer from soil to plant by root uptake. Major foods in the diet of Kanyakumari residents include rice and tapioca and the Th activity concentrations in both were reported to be higher than the activity concentrations of other radionuclides (Shanthi et al., [2009\)](#page-16-17). For an adult in this region, the daily radionuclide intake and daily dose through ingestion of food crops were nearly 127.7 Bq day<sup>-1</sup> and 2.3 µSv day<sup>-1</sup> which were relatively higher when compared to values for ingestion of food crops grown in areas where the background radiation is low (Shanthi et al., [2009](#page-16-17)).

## Statistical approach

The standard deviation values were similar to or higher than the mean value for the activity concentration of the radionuclides as well as for the radiological hazard parameters, and this indicates a low degree of uniformity of the distribution of radionuclides. The overall kurtosis of  ${}^{40}K$  was found to be platykurtic, suggesting a near uniform distribution, while the leptokurtic nature of the activity concentrations of  $^{226}$ Ra and 232Th and the absorbed dose rate shows more var-iance with infrequent extreme deviations (Fig. [6\)](#page-12-0).

The skewness shows the asymmetry of the probability distribution. The  ${}^{40}$ K activity concentration of the river and stream sediments showed a normal symmetrical distribution (Fig.  $6c$  $6c$ ). However, the <sup>226</sup>Ra and 232Th and absorbed dose rates were right-skewed with an asymmetric tail extending towards the values that were more positive. Based on the frequency distributions, about 75% of the activity concentration of  $226Ra$ ,  $232Th$ , and  $40K$  for the collected samples were under 106 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , 685 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , and 586 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)a, b, c), whereas 50% of the absorbed dose rates were in the range of 115 to 485 nGy  $h^{-1}$  (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)d).

The principal component analysis (PCA) is one of the most powerful mathematical tools to reduce the dimensionality of data sets and it helps in understanding the correlation of the diferent variables which could lead to source discrimination. To carry out the PCA and for an easy interpretation, varimax rotation using Kaiser normalization was applied which helps in maximizing the component loadings variance and eliminating invalid components (Dragović & Onjia, [2006\)](#page-15-21). The factor analysis supports extracting the eigenvectors from the correlation matrix and the two significant components obtained are given in Table [3.](#page-12-1) The scree plot using eigenvalues for the sediment samples is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-13-0) The sharp falloff from the frst to the second component (Fig. [7](#page-13-0)) indicated that the frst component (PC 1) accounted for most of the data variability (Kumar et al., [2012](#page-15-22)). About 97.15% of the total variance was explained by these two components, PC 1 accounted for 86.80% and PC 2 accounted for 10.35%. The PC 1 was distinctive due to the positive loading of  $226$ Ra and  $232$ Th, whereas PC 2 was controlled by the positive loading of  ${}^{40}$ K (Table [3](#page-12-1)).

Figure [8](#page-13-1) shows the effect of components PC 1 and PC 2 on the radionuclides  $226Ra$ ,  $232Th$ , and



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Frequency distributions of activity concentrations of **a** <sup>226</sup>Ra, **b** <sup>232</sup>Th, and **c**.<sup>40</sup>K. **d** Absorbed dose rate frequency distribution  $(n=44)$ 

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Table 3** Varimax rotated components of the variable

Variable	Component 1	Component 2	
$^{226}Ra$	0.37	0.05	
$^{232}$ Th	0.40	0.08	
40 <sub>K</sub>	$-0.23$	0.97	

 $^{40}$ K of sediments from selected sampling locations as the factor score. The factor score can distinguish the infuence of each radionuclide; here, PC 1 was the loading of  $226Ra$  and  $232Th$  and PC 2 was influenced by  $40K$ . The highest PC 1 scores can be seen in the sediment samples collected upstream for the TR (TR-3,  $-4$ , and  $-6$ ) (Fig. [8a](#page-13-1)), and that shows these sediment samples were enriched with  $^{226}$ Ra and  $232$ Th. Most of the sediment samples from the PR had high PC 2 scores (Fig. [8](#page-13-1)b) due to the direct infuence of the low activity concentration of  $^{226}$ Ra and the high activity concentration of  $40K$ . Nearly all SS sediment samples showed similar factor scores for both PC 1

and PC 2 except SS–2 (Fig. [8](#page-13-1)c), which was controlled by the high  $232$ Th activity concentration (Table [1](#page-6-0)). Figure [9](#page-14-0) shows the cluster plot generated for the sediment samples using the PCA results. The sediment samples from the rivers and seasonal streams are grouped separately and the ellipses represent the 95% confdence level. The overlapping of all three groups might indicate a similar origin for the sediments.

## **Conclusion**

The activity concentrations of the natural radionuclides  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th, and  $^{40}$ K and their associated risk parameters were evaluated for river and stream sediment samples collected in the Kanyakumari HBNRA. The present investigation, the frst of its kind for the Kanyakumari area, revealed that the mean activity concentrations of 226Ra and 232Th for the sediments were relatively higher than the UNSCEAR world average values. The radiological hazard indices

<span id="page-13-0"></span>



<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 8** Factor score of sediments from **a** TR, **b** PR, and **c** SS (TR, Tamiraparani River; PR, Pazhaiyar River; SS, seasonal stream)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Principal component analysis (PCA) with the cluster of radionuclides (TR, Tamiraparani River; PR, Pazhaiyar River; SS, seasonal stream)



such as absorbed dose rate (*D*),  $Ra_{eq}$ ,  $AEDE_{out}$ , and  $AEDE$ <sub>in</sub> were estimated and the means of all these indices were found to be higher than the UNSCEAR world average values. The high  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{226}$ Ra ratio in the sediments could explain the removal of  $^{226}Ra$  in the particulate phase due to the terrestrial oxidizing environment and the high ratios supported the presence of Th-bearing minerals. Due to the presence of clay minerals, the  $^{232}$ Th/<sup>40</sup>K ratio of PR sediments was relatively lower than the TR and SS sediments; however, the  $^{232}$ Th/<sup>40</sup>K ratio was higher than the world average. The results of the multivariate statistical analysis of the sediments were able to explain the uneven distribution of the radionuclides, even though the sources of the sediments were similar. Based on these fndings, the Kanyakumari sediments were concluded to be enriched with <sup>232</sup>Th and that had a signifcant infuence on the natural radioactivity of the area. This study is expected to be useful in understanding whether these river sediments pose any radiological risk when they are used for construction purposes. The results can help in understanding the source of natural radionuclides deposited along the Kanyakumari coast, and a geochemical and mineralogical study would provide further details.

**Acknowledgements** Thennaarassan Natarajan is thankful to the Tokyo Metropolitan Government, Tokyo, Japan, for the award of the doctoral fellowship "Tokyo Human Resources Fund for City Diplomacy" for study at Tokyo Metropolitan University. Thennaarassan Natarajan also thanks the Institute for Radiological Sciences, National Institutes for Quantum Science and Technology (QST) Chiba, Japan, for the award of QST Research Assistant. All authors thank Dr. R. Kalaivanan, Dr. M. Sridharan, and Mr. S. Harikrishnan, Pondicherry University, for their help during feld work and sample collection.

**Author contribution** Thennaarassan Natarajan: methodology, software, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation, writing—reviewing and editing. Sarata Kumar Sahoo: methodology, investigation, supervision, formal analysis, validation, resources, funding acquisition, writing—reviewing and editing. Kazumasa Inoue: supervision, resources, funding acquisition, writing—reviewing and editing. Hideki Arae: formal analysis, validation. Tatsuo Aono: writing—reviewing and editing. Masahiro Fukushi: writing—reviewing and editing.

**Funding** This research was partially supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) core-to-core program (Grant Number: JPJSCCB20210008).

**Data availability** The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethical approval** Not applicable.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-15-8"></span>CGWB (Central Groundwater Board of India) (2008). District groundwater brochure Kanyakumari district, Tamil Nadu*.* Accessed 8 July 2023. <http://cgwb.gov.in/node/1446>.
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Dragović, S., & Onjia, A. (2006). Classifcation of soil samples according to their geographic origin using gamma-ray spectrometry and principal component analysis. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 89*(2), 150–158. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.05.002) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.05.002)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Earle, S. (2019). *Physical geology*, 2nd edn. BC campus, British Columbia. Retrieved from [https://opentextbc.ca/physi](https://opentextbc.ca/physicalgeology2ed/) [calgeology2ed/](https://opentextbc.ca/physicalgeology2ed/)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>El-Gamal, A., Nasr, S., & El-Taher, A. (2007). Study of the spatial distribution of natural radioactivity in the upper Egypt Nile River sediments. *Radiation Measurements, 42*(3), 457–465. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2007.02.054) [02.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2007.02.054)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Forster, L., Forster, P., Lutz-Bonengel, S., Willkomm, H., & Brinkmann, B. (2002). Natural radioactivity and human mitochondrial DNA mutations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99*(21), 13950–13954. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.202400499>
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Frantisek, D., Maria, B., & Durecova, A. (2008). Intercomparison exercise on the determination of radionuclides in sediment from the Dudvah river. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 66*(11), 1706–1710. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprad](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2007.11.021) [iso.2007.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2007.11.021)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Ghiassi-nejad, M., Mortazavi, S. M., Cameron, J. R., Niroomand-rad, A., & Karam, P. A. (2002). Very high background radiation areas of Ramsar, Iran: Preliminary biological studies. *Health Physics, 82*(1), 87–93. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200201000-00011) [doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200201000-00011](https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200201000-00011)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Van Gosen, B.S., Fey, D.L., Shah, A.K., Verplanck, P.L., & Hoefen, T.M. (2014). Deposit model for heavy-mineral sands in coastal environments. In Mineral deposit models for resource assessment; *U.S. Geological Survey Scientifc Investigations Report*; U.S. Geological Survey: Reston, VA, USA. <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20105070L>
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Hassan, N. M., Ishikawa, T., Hosoda, M., Sorimachi, A., Tokonami, S., Fukushi, M., & Sahoo, S. K. (2010). Assessment of the natural radioactivity using two techniques for the measurement of radionuclide concentration in building materials used in Japan. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 283*, 15–21. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0050-6) [s10967-009-0050-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0050-6)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency), (1990). Construction and use of calibration facilities for radiometric feld equipment. IAEA 1990 *Technical Reports Series No. 309,* Vienna, Austria.
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Jibiri, N. N., & Okeyode, I. C. (2012). Evaluation of radiological hazards in the sediments of Ogun river. *South-Western Nigeria. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 81*(2), 103– 112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2011.10.002>
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Khan, R., Islam, H. M. T., Apon, M. A. S., Islam, A. R. M. T., Habib, M. A., Phoungthong, K., Idris, A. M., & Techato, K. (2022). Environmental geochemistry of higher radioactivity in a transboundary Himalayan river sediment (Brahmaputra, Bangladesh): Potential radiation exposure and health risks. *Environmental Science and Pollution*

*Research, 29*, 57357–57375. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19735-5) [s11356-022-19735-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19735-5)

- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Kumar, A., Joshi, V. M., Mishra, M. K., Karpe, R., Rout, S., Narayanan, U., Tripathi, R. M., Singh, J., Kumar, S., Hegde, A. G., & Kushwaha, H. S. (2012). Distribution, enrichment and principal component analysis for possible sources of naturally occurring and anthropogenic radionuclides in the agricultural soil of Punjab state. *India. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 150*(1), 71–81. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncr366) [10.1093/rpd/ncr366](https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncr366)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Li, J., & Heap, A. D. (2011). A review of comparative studies of spatial interpolation methods in environmental sciences: Performance and impact factors. *Ecological Informatics, 6*(3–4), 228–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2010.12.003) [2010.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2010.12.003)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Lu, X., Zhang, X., & Wang, F. (2008). Natural radioactivity in sediment of Wei River, China. *Environmental Geology, 53*, 1475–1481. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-007-0756-0) [s00254-007-0756-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-007-0756-0)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Malathi, J., Selvasekarapandian, S., Brahmanandhan, G. M., Khanna, D., Meenakshisundaram, V., & Mathiyarsu, R. (2005). Study of radionuclide distribution around Kudankulam nuclear power plant site (Agastheeswaram taluk of Kanyakumari district, India). *Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 113*(4), 415–420. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/nch472) [rpd/nch472](https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/nch472)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Mohanty, A. K., Das, S. K., Van, K. V., Sengupta, D., & Saha, S. K. (2003). Radiogenic heavy minerals in Chhatrapur beach placer deposit of Orissa, southeastern coast of India. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 258*, 383–389. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026202224700>
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Mohanty, S., Khan, R., Tamim, U., Adak, S., Bhunia, G. S., & Sengupta, D. (2023). Geochemical and Radionuclide studies of sediments as tracers for enrichment of beach and alluvial placers along the eastern coast of India. *Regional Studies in Marine Science, 63*, 103003. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2023.103003) [1016/j.rsma.2023.103003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2023.103003)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Narayana, Y., Kaliprasad, C. S., & Sanjeev, G. (2016). Natural radionuclide levels in sediments of cauvery riverine environment. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 171*(2), 229–233. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncw064>
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Natarajan, T., Inoue, K., & Sahoo, S. K. (2023b). Rare earth elements geochemistry and  $^{234}U/^{238}U$ ,  $^{235}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratios of the Kanyakumari beach placer deposits: Occurrence and provenance. *Minerals, 13*(7), 886. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/min13070886) [org/10.3390/min13070886](https://doi.org/10.3390/min13070886)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Natarajan, T., Sahoo, S.K., Nakajima, T., Veerasamy, N., Yamazaki, S., Inoue, K., & Ramola, R.C. (2023). Distribution of  $226$ Ra,  $232$ Th and  $40$ K in Kanyakumari beach placer deposits along Tamil Nadu coast, India. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-023-08940-2) [10.1007/s10967-023-08940-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-023-08940-2)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Omori, Y., Sorimachi, A., Gun-Aajav, M., Enkhgerel, N., Munkherdene, G., Oyunbolor, G., Shajbalidir, A., Palam, E., & Yamada, C. (2019). Gamma dose rate distribution in the Unegt subbasin, a uranium deposit area in Dornogobi Province, southeastern Mongolia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26*, 33494–33506. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06420-3) [10.1007/s11356-019-06420-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06420-3)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Powell, B. A., Hughes, L. D., Soreefan, A. M., Falta, D., Wall, M., & DeVol, T. A. (2007). Elevated concentrations of

primordial radionuclides in sediments from the Reedy River and surrounding creeks in Simpsonville, South Carolina. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 94*(3), 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.12.013>

- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Punniyakotti, J., & Ponnusamy, V. (2018). Environmental radiation and potential ecological risk levels in the intertidal zone of southern region of Tamil Nadu coast (HBRAs) India. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 127*, 377–386. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.026) [doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.026)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Raja, V., Sahoo, S. K., Sreekumar, K., & Neelakanta, M. A. (2021). High background radiation places and spatial distribution of uranium in groundwater of monazite placer deposit in Kanniyakumari district, Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 328*, 925–939. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-021-07727-7>
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Rajesh, H. M., Santosh, M., & Yoshikura, S. (2011). The Nagercoil charnockite: A magnesian, calcic to calc-alkalic granitoid dehydrated during a granulite-facies metamorphic event. *Journal of Petrology, 52*(2), 375–400. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egq084) [doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egq084](https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egq084)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Ramasamy, V., Suresh, G., Meenakshisundaram, V., & Ponnusamy, V. (2011). Horizontal and vertical characterization of radionuclides and minerals in river sediments. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 69*(1), 184–195. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.07.020) [doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.07.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.07.020)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Rupasinghe, M. S., Gocht, W., & Dissanayake, C. B. (1983). The genesis of thorium-rich monazite placer deposits in Sri Lanka. *Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka, 11*(1), 99–110.<https://doi.org/10.4038/jnsfsr.v11i1.8405>
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Sahoo, S. K., Zunic, Z. S., Veerasamy, N., Natarajan, T., Zhukovsky, M., Jovanovic, P., Veslinovic, N., Janicijevic, A., Onischenko, A., Yarmoshenko, I., & Ramola, R. C. (2023). Distribution of radionuclides and associated radiological risk assessment of soils from Niška Banja, Serbia. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-023-09017-w>
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Sakr, S., Inoue, K., Mohamed, A., Ahmed, A. A., ElFeky, M. G., Saleh, G. M., Kamar, M. S., Arae, H., Aono, T., & Sahoo, S. K. (2023). Distribution of natural radionuclides in NORM samples from North Abu Rusheid area. *Egypt. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 266*, 107240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2023.107240>
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Santawamaitre, T., Malain, D., Al-Sulaiti, H. A., Mathews, M., Bradley, D. A., & Regan, P. H. (2011). Study of natural radioactivity in riverbank soils along the Chao Phraya river basin in Thailand. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research - Section A, 652*(1), 920–924. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.10.057) [doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.10.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.10.057)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Santosh, M., Yokoyama, K., Biju-Sekhar, S., & Rogers, J. J. W. (2003). Multiple tectonothermal events in the granulite blocks of Southern India revealed from EPMA dating: Implications on the history of Supercontinents. *Gondwana Research, 6*(1), 29–63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X\(05\)70643-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X(05)70643-2)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Shanthi, G., Maniyan, C. G., Raj, G. A. G., & Kumaran, J. T. T. (2009). Radioactivity in food crops from high background radiation area in southwest area. *Current Science, 97*(9), 1331–1335.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Singh, H. N., Shanker, D., Neelakandan, V. N., & Singh, V. P. (2007). Distribution patterns of natural radioactivity and delineation of anomalous radioactive zones using in situ radiation observations in Southern Tamil Nadu. *India. Journal of Hazardous Material, 141*(1), 264–272. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.118) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.118](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.118)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Sulekha Rao, N., & Misra, S. (2009). Sources of monazite sand in southern Orissa beach placer, eastern India. *Journal of the Geological Society of India, 74*, 357–362. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-009-0140-7) [org/10.1007/s12594-009-0140-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-009-0140-7)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Thangam, V., Rajalakshmi, A., Chandrasekaran, A., & Jananee, B. (2020). Measurement of natural radioactivity in river sediments of Thamirabarani, Tamil Nadu, India using gamma ray spectroscopic technique. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 102*(2), 422–433. [https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2020.17228](https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2020.1722815) [15](https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2020.1722815)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation) (2008) Sources and efects of ionizing radiation. Annex B - Exposures of the public and workers from various sources of radiation, Vol. 1, UNSCEAR. (2008). Report. United Nations, New York
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation) (2000) Sources and efects of ionizing radiation. Annex B - Exposures from the Natural Radiation Sources Vol. 1, 84 – 141, UNSCEAR 2000 Report, United Nations, New York
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Veerasamy, N., Sahoo, S. K., Inoue, K., Arae, H., & Fukushi, M. (2020). Geochemical behavior of uranium and thorium in sand and sandy soil samples from a natural high background radiation area of the Odisha coast, India. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27*, 31339–31349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09370-3>
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Veerasamy, N., Kasar, S., Murugan, R., Inoue, K., Natarajan, T., Ramola, R. C., Fukushi, M., & Sahoo, S. K. (2023).  $^{234}U/^{238}U$  disequilibrium and  $^{235}U/^{238}U$  ratios measured using MC-ICP-MS in natural high background radiation area soils to understand the fate of uranium. *Chemosphere, 323*, 138217. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138217) [sphere.2023.138217](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138217)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Venunathan, N., Kaliprasad, C. S., & Narayana, Y. (2016). Natural radioactivity in sediments and river bank soil of Kallada river of Kerala, South India and associated radiological risk. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 171*(2), 271–276. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncw073>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.