Human exposures to PAHs: an eastern United States pilot study

Ron Williams · Carry Croghan · P. Barry Ryan

Received: 14 July 2011 / Accepted: 15 March 2012 / Published online: 8 May 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

Abstract Personal exposure monitoring for select polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) was performed as part of the National Human Exposure Assessment Survey (NHEXAS) Pilot Study in Baltimore, MD and in four surrounding counties (NHEXAS-Maryland). An objective of this effort was to establish environmental exposure estimates for non-scripted subpopulations involved in their normal activities. Participants, children, and adults (ages 13-84) were randomly selected from urban, suburban, and rural areas near Baltimore. Twenty-four hour PM₁₀ sample collections (~5.8 m³) were performed using personal environmental monitors. Monitoring was performed for 47 households and 6 sampling Cycles during 1995-1996. A total of 233 personal air samples were available from the participants with eight PAHs speciated (e.g., chrysene, benzo(a)pyrene) as well as an aggregate grouping (total carcinogenic PAHs). Results indicate that ~50 % of the selected samples had detectable concentrations for 3 to 5 of the individual PAHs depending upon spatial setting. Noted differences were

R. Williams · C. Croghan National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, USA

P. B. Ryan (⊠) Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA e-mail: bryan@emory.edu observed between exposure concentrations from individuals living in rural areas as compared to urban/ suburban environments. Mean benzo(a)pyrene concentrations were observed to be 0.10 ng/m^3 across the entire sampling population. This represented a value well below the World Health Organization's 1.0 ng/m³ ambient air guideline for this PAH.

Keywords Personal exposure monitoring · PAHs · Particulate matter · NHEXAS

Introduction

The National Human Exposure Assessment Survey (NHEXAS) Pilot Studies were a series of human exposure surveys conducted in several geographical settings in the USA. Field sample collections began in 1995 and were completed in 1997. The goals for such an effort have been reported (Buck et al. 1995; Lebowitz et al. 1995; Pellizzari et al. 1995). These studies were performed in selected locations in Maryland, Arizona, and the Mid-West. Each study varied in cohort size from approximately 80 (Maryland) to 500 (Mid-West) individuals by locality. The studies focused on estimating individual exposures for subject populations living a non-scripted (real-world) lifestyle. The NHEXAS-Maryland participants were statistically selected with respect to gender, residential setting (urban, suburban, rural), and other factors (Buck et al. 1995). A repeated measures study design involving a variety of pollutant classes and media was employed and involved monitoring for pesticides, particulate matter (PM), and PM components (elements) among others for this cohort. Air, house dust, yard soil, drinking water, blood, urine, and duplicate-diet food collection were incorporated into the various sampling schemes. Specific analyses associated with personal exposures or biomarker levels of such pollutants as lead, chlorpyrifos, and copper among others associated with NHEXAS-Maryland have been reported (Egeghy et al. 2005; MacIntosh et al. 1999; MacIntosh et al. 2001; MacIntosh et al. 2000; Pang et al. 2002; Pang et al. 2001; Ryan et al. 2000; Ryan et al. 2001). Echols et al. (1999) have published on the time activities and demographics of this participant population.

One of the primary goals of this overall effort was to document the status and trends of human exposures to pollutants of potential health concern. One pollutant class of interest was the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Certain PAHs have been identified as known or suspected human carcinogens (e.g., benzo(a) pyrene) and are known to have multiple environmental sources (IARC 1983; Luch 2005). The IARC report describes their sources ranging from environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), automotive exhaust, coke oven emissions, and other combustion-related processes. Personal exposure concentrations linked to such sources have been reported (Binkova et al. 1995; Watts et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1997; Williams et al. 1999). While PAHs are common in the ambient environment, limited historical information has been available concerning non-occupational PAH concentrations and the variability of potential human exposures to this pollutant class.

Some of the objectives of the NHEXAS-Maryland PAH Pilot Study (hereby referred to as the "study") were to:

- Quantify individual personal exposures to PAHs and determine the variability of these exposures from a repeated measures (longitudinal) data collection scheme
- Examine the inter- and intrapersonal variability in the relationship between personal PAH exposures based upon exposure factors (e.g., geographical setting)
- Evaluate the methodologies used to collect field samples and perform laboratory analysis

Particulate matter air samples collected during the study were to be analyzed for a number of PAH

species and comparisons drawn between various sampling scenarios (settings). This paper reports a summary of the environmental findings.

Methods

Study design

Details concerning the overall NHEXAS-Maryland study (MacIntosh et al. 2001; MacIntosh et al. 2000; Ryan et al. 2001) have been reported. This design employed a random sample collection protocol with a repeated-measure approach. Retention of the participants over a 1-year period was attempted. Rural, suburban, and urban census tract stratification of the study population was a primary feature. Census tracts included in the recruiting were then secondarily stratified by being either predominantly minority (e.g., African-American) or predominantly non-minority (Caucasian). Recruitment was performed in four Maryland counties and the city of Baltimore. Only one individual per household was enrolled in the study population. A depiction of the study area is shown in Fig. 1. In brief, as of the 2000 Census, the City of Baltimore represented a mixture of both urban and suburban locations having a predominantly African-American population. Both Baltimore County and the Anne Arundel locations were characterized as being a mixture of urban and suburban neighborhoods and being predominantly Caucasian in population. Queen Anne's and Talbot counties were rural in nature and also predominantly Caucasian in population distribution. Personal environmental monitoring during six monitoring sessions (Cycles) for select PAHs took place from September 1995 to September 1996. These were defined as Cycle 1 (Sept 21-Dec 20, 1995), Cycle 2 (Jan 15-Feb 25, 1996), Cycle 3 (Feb 26-April 20, 1996), Cycle 4 (April 22-June 14, 1996), Cycle 5 (Jun 27-Jul 27, 1996), and Cycle 6 (Jul 29-Sep 18, 1996). Pang et al. (2001) have described the individual sample collection periods.

Echols et al. (1999) have reported a complete description of the participant demographics. A total of 79 participants were enrolled, of which 62 % was female. Age of participants ranged from 13 to 84 years with a mean of 45. The majority of enrollees were between the ages of 25 and 64 (81 %). Nearly 80 % of the study population was Caucasian. African-Americans





Fig. 1 NHEXAS Maryland study areas

represented only 19 % of the participant distribution. It should be noted that the statistics immediately above on minority/non-minority status differed significantly from census estimations where African-Americans were the overall dominant overall population group. This is because enrollment was not associated with a census-based (racial) population requirement. Potential participants living in a given study area were contacted randomly (based on their address) without regards to race. Households from suburban census tracts were the most highly represented (55.7 %). Only 16.5 % of the population lived in rural areas. The distribution of the analyzed samples from the rural, suburban, and urban participants was 21 %, 52 %, and 27 %, respectively. High school and college graduates made up 46.8 % and 43.0 %, respectively, of the overall study population. Nearly 88 % of the participants had annual household incomes greater than \$20,000 (\$15,500 being the recognized US household poverty level at that time).

Personal air monitoring was performed using 4 L per minute battery-powered samplers equipped with PM₁₀ personal environmental monitors (PEMs-MSP Inc, Minneapolis, MN). Numerous uses of these personal monitors and their subsequent gravimetric analysis have been reported (Rodes et al. 2001; Williams et al. 2003; Williams et al. 2000). Personal monitoring for PAHs using approaches similar to those employed here have been reported (Binkova et al. 1995; Watts et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1997; Williams et al. 1999). Environmental PAH methods employed for another NHEXAS-related study, the Minnesota Children's Pesticide Exposure Study (MNCPES), have been reported (Clayton et al. 2003; Lobscheid and McKone 2004). Participants were requested to wear monitors positioned in their breathing zones for a 24-h period which would equate to an air sample of $\sim 5.8 \text{ m}^3$. They were asked to wear the monitor continuously except while sleeping, changing of clothes or bathing. During such times, they were asked to maintain the monitor in close proximity (e.g., on a bedroom night stand).

Laboratory analysis

While more than 400 possible personal monitoring attempts could have occurred over the lifetime of the study based upon enrollment, a smaller number was actually performed. This was the result of some participants choosing not to consent to personal monitoring while still participating in other aspects of the study. In addition, a small percentage (<5 % of the collected personal air samples was voided due to quality assurance reviews (e.g., pump malfunction, run duration). Finally, a decision was made to analyze only the personal air samples for participants that had coincidental (matched) collections of blood, urine, soil, and other select NHEXAS specimens to leverage study resources. This ultimately resulted in a total of 233 personal air samples available for PAH analysis. These filter samples were received by the US EPA in late 1999 and then maintained at -30°C in the dark while awaiting laboratory processing which was completed in 2000. Impact of storage on PAH degradation of particulate matter filter samples in like manner to that described above have reported losses typically well under 12 % for periods as long as 12 years (Ambe and Mukai 1997; Cimberle et al. 1983) for benzo(a) pyrene. Even so, it is acknowledged that some minor PAH degradation might have occurred in the current samples between collection and analysis dates.

Eight PAHs were selected for recovery and analysis (Table 1). These PAHs are recognized as known or suspected human carcinogens (IARC 1983). PAH recovery from each filter was performed by three sequential 10 mL dichloromethane sonication extractions in borosilicate 15-mL vials equipped with Teflon lined caps. The total filter extract was reduced in volume to 5 mL under a stream of purified nitrogen gas. Each extract was then filtered (0.45 μ m) for extraneous particles and then placed under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas. Solvent reduction was continued just to the point of dryness at which reconstitution was performed using 0.5 mL of chromatography-grade acetonitrile (Burdick and Jackson, Muskegon, WI) to facilitate chemical analysis.

High pressure liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection (HPLC-FL) was conducted using a 20- μ L volume of each reconstitute injected onto a 5 μ m Supelcosil LC-PAH column (4.6 mm×25 cm— Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) maintained at 40°C. Descriptions of this approach have been previously reported (Watts et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1997). A solvent delivery system (Waters 510, Waters Corp, Milford, MA) along with a Hewlett-Packard LS-40 (Hewlett-Packard, Santa Clara, CA) or Waters 470 programmable fluorescence detector was employed for analyte separation and detection. Isocratic elution was performed using helium degassed 10:90 (ν/ν) H₂O/ACN at 1.5 mL/min. Each injection was performed

Table 1 Excitation/emission wavelengths and detection characteristics

РАН	Excitation (nm)	Emission (nm)	Retention time (min)	ILOD ^a (pg/µl)	ELOD ^b (ng/m ³)
Benzo(a)anthracene	265	380	5.1	0.1–1.0	0.01-0.15
Chrysene	265	380	5.7	0.1-0.8	0.01-0.07
Benz(b)fluoranthene	290	430	7.4	0.3-5.0	0.03-0.40
Benz(k)fluoranthene	290	430	8.6	0.2-1.7	0.01-0.15
Benzo(a)pyrene	290	430	10.4	0.2-1.3	0.02-0.11
Dibenzo(a,h,)anthracene	298	440	12.4	0.4–6.8	0.08-0.59
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	302	500	15.0	0.1-2.7	0.01-0.23
Indeno(cd)pyrene	302	500	16.1	0.3–3.5	0.01-0.30

^a Instrument limit of detection. Subject to change daily as function of fluorescence lamp intensity and quenching factors

^b Environmental limit of detection. Subject to change daily as a function of fluorescence lamp intensity and quenching factors

in duplicate, with the means used in analyte concentration determination. Precision error of repeated injections was very low. As an example, precision error was routinely $\leq 10\%$ for all PAHs when injection concentrations were ≥ 0.01 ng/µL. Analytical runs of field samples and blanks (method and solvent) were performed with calibration and audit samples interspersed throughout. Linear calibration curves made up of a minimum of 4 (0.07)to 0.58 ng/ μ L) or 6 (0.0005 to 0.50 ng/ μ L) points were employed and repeated three times over a normal 24h analysis run to establish the resulting algorithm for processing unknowns. Excellent linearity was established with the lowest R^2 value reported for any single PAH for any single calibration event being ≥ 0.985 . The vast majority of calibrations resulted in coefficients ≥ 0.999 being established for all PAHs.

Fluorescence detection

A time-programmable, wavelength-variable fluorescence detector was used to monitor for the PAHs. The strengths and limitations of this approach have been reported (Watts et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1997; Williams et al. 1999). Table 1 presents the excitation and emission wavelengths chosen to optimize individual PAH detection and specificity. The PAHs ranged from the four benzene-ringed benzo(a)anthracene to the much larger indeno(1,2,3cd)pyrene possessing six aromatic rings. Typical detection statistics are reported in Table 1. The instrument limit of detection (ILOD) ranged from 0.1 to 6.8 pg/µL dependent upon each PAH. This was the ability of the instrument itself to detect the PAHs of interest at a minimum of 2 times the signal/noise ratio. The environmental limit of detection (ELOD), representing the average environmental concentration needed during a 24-h sample collection to allow detection following normal sample processing, was typically on the order of $0.01-0.08 \text{ ng/m}^3$. This value was used as a relative benchmark of overall analytical sensitivity but not employed to actually censor data. Both the ILOD and ELOD were determined to vary on a day by day basis as a function of fluorescence lamp intensity and solvent quenching effects.

Quality assurance

A total of 10 filter sets spiked at three levels of concentration (0.1, 1.0, and 10 ng/filter) were prepared and used to determine analyte recovery. One set was analyzed during each analysis run. Over 30 method blanks were incorporated into the analyses. A National Institute of Standards and Technology reference PAH mix (NIST-1647c) was used to audit the analytical system for calibration performance. Values in Table 2 provide a description of the mean data quality indicators concerning filter blanks, audit samples, recovered filter spikes, and NIST comparisons. Filter blanks (>10 % of the analysis population) routinely had less than 0.3 pg/ μ L of an individual PAH present. This was equivalent to 150 pg/filter or a corresponding environmental PAH concentration of approximately 0.025 ng/m^3 for a nominal 24-h sample. Audit samples interspersed throughout the HPLC runs were observed to yield agreement ranging from 99 % to 103 % versus the calibration samples. Comparison of the NIST 1647c samples challenged against our calibration curve indicated agreement errors ≤9.3 %. Filter spikes yielded

 Table 2
 Mean quality assurance summary

РАН	Filter blank (pg/µl)	%QC sample ^a calibration agreement	% Spike ^b recovery (± STD)	% NIST1647c ^c agreement error
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	99	87±12.8	8.7
Chrysene	0.1	102	85±12.1	8.6
Benz(b)fluoranthene	0.2	102	94 ± 17.9	5.1
Benz(k)fluoranthene	0.1	102	81 ± 11.1	6.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.1	101	$78 {\pm} 7.7$	9.3
Dibenzo(a,h,)anthracene	0.2	102	85±11.3	8.4
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.1	103	83±7.4	2.5
Indeno(cd)pyrene	0.1	102	83±10.1	5.0

^a Direct comparison of a secondary QC sample analyzed during the run sequence to assess the validation of the daily calibration curve

^b Represents recovery of spiked solutions of a known PAH coated upon 37 mm Teflon filters, allowed to dry and then recovered by dichloromethane via sonication. Values represent mean % recoveries associated with all of the combined 0.1, 1.0, and 10 ng filter spikes recoveries combined and their overall standard deviation

^c Comparison of NIST-prepared PAH standards versus the in-house prepared calibration samples

mean recoveries ranging from 78 % (benzo(a)pyrene) to 94 % (benz(b)fluoranthene). No correction of field data was performed as a result of the laboratory control findings.

Statistical analysis

SAS version 9.2 (Statistical Analysis System, Cary, NC) was used in the data analysis. PAH pollutant concentrations detected above their ILOD were used without change. Non-detected PAHs (all those below the ILOD) were assigned a value of 0.0 ng/m³ to allow for their incorporation into analyses such as those involving univariate data descriptions. The percentage of the sample population having an individual PAH concentration above the respective ELOD was calculated by stratum as a general indication of the environmental concentrations observed during the field monitoring effort and the utility of the overall analytical approach. Values established were the simple ratios of the number of samples having a PAH with a concentration above the ELOD versus the total sample population (N=233), treated as a percentage.

Because the overall sample population distribution varied greatly by monitoring location (stratum), additional data treatment was required. A sample population-weighted least squares mean approach was employed. Least squares means were generated using a mixed model approach controlling for repeated measurements and adjusting for interactions between the repeats and the locations. Minority status was determined not to be a significant determinant in the development of the model and was also found to be confounded by location. It should be recognized that the NHEXAS population did not represent the minority census distributions of the study areas and thus any findings concerning minority status could be misrepresentative and therefore are not reported further. Components of the final model included the monitoring session (Cycle), participant identifier within a given stratum, stratum, and a Cycle/stratum interaction term. A covariance test was conducted on the resulting model.

Box plots defined by individual PAH and stratum were obtained as well as Spearman correlations between the various PAHs. The boxes in these plots represent the interquartile range and the line is the median value. The whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within a confidence interval based on a 1.5 times the interquartile range and values outside of the confidence interval are marked as asterisks. The weighted approach was used in the development of cumulative PAH exposure distribution plot estimates for individual PAH and select groupings to establish the percentage of the sample populations having potential PAH exposures above certain reported levels of health concern.

Results and discussion

A typical representation of HPLC response to a blank, field sample and calibration sample is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Chromatographic examples of a calibration sample, an unknown, and a method blank. 1 pyrene, 2 benz(a)anthracene, 3 chrysene, 4 benz(b)fluoranthene, 5 benz(k)fluoranthene, 6 benzo (a)pyrene, 7 dibenz(ah)anthracene, 8 benzo(ghi)perylene, 9 indeno(cd)pyrene. A change in plotting attenuation at the 14.0 min mark is responsible for the increased signal noise depicted in the plots. Pyrene was a component of the calibration sample but not incorporated into the results presented in this summary



Both benzo(ghi)perylene and indeno(cd)pyrene often had the poorest peak resolution in the isocratic scheme employed. Summary personal PAH exposures across all strata are presented in Table 3. The majority of these concentrations were determined to be $\leq 0.10 \text{ ng/m}^3$. Since environmental concentration of dibenzo(a,h)anthracene were low, assignment of 0.0 ng/m³ for those values below the ELOD resulted in calculated mean values for this PAH falling below the ELOD. It was not unusual for 30 % or more of the overall sampling population to have a given PAH concentration below the detection limit (Table 4), especially for samples associated with the rural stratum.

The magnitude and variability of individual PAH exposures by strata are presented in Fig. 3. The box and

whisker plots depict the interquartile range with medians for each PAH represented. Even though the interquartile range was often relatively small (e.g., benzo(a)pyrene concentrations between 0.0 and ~0.5 ng/m^3 for suburban subpopulations), personal exposure events with concentrations well above the 100th percentile were often observed. These possibly reflect the impact of human activity patterns and unknown environmental exposure factors on personal PAH exposure for one or more participants. The suburban and urban exposures typically exceeded those observed for rural participants.

Figure 4 provides cumulative distribution function plots (cumulative percent) of representative PAHs and also the summed totals across the various strata. The

 Table 3 Observed PAH concentrations across all strata and participants

^aEstimates established from the entire subject population (N= 233). Samples below the detection limit were valued at 0.0 ng/m³ in the calculations

^bMinima and maxima values represent the lowest or highest daily concentration observed for any sample from all participants and strata

РАН	Mean ^a (ng/m ³)	STD (ng/m ³)	Minimum ^b (ng/m ³)	Maximum ^b (ng/m ³)
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.17	0.59	0.01	4.3
Chrysene	0.08	0.30	0.01	2.8
Benz(b)fluoranthene	0.09	0.21	0.03	1.3
Benz(k)fluoranthene	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.10	0.25	0.02	1.6
Dibenzo(a,h,)anthracene	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.4
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.15	0.64	0.01	7.0
Indeno(cd)pyrene	0.10	0.28	0.01	21.0
Mean total carcinogenic	0.73	1.92	0.18	13.2

Table 4 Percentage of samples with PAH concentrations above the detection limit	РАН	Total %	Rural %	Suburban %	Urban %
	Benzo(a)anthracene	67.4	59.2	72.7	63.5
	Chrysene	67.4	49.0	73.5	69.8
	Benz(b)fluoranthene	65.7	47.0	71.1	69.8
	Benz(k)fluoranthene	71.2	53.1	76.9	74.6
	Benzo(a)pyrene	70.8	42.9	76.9	80.9
	Dibenzo(a,h,)anthracene	24.5	14.3	33.1	15.9
Detection limit (ELOD) defined	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	67.0	59.2	69.4	68.3
in Table 1. <i>N</i> =233 total samples across all stratum	Indeno(cd)pyrene	59.2	51.0	58.7	66.7

plots reveal that there is little difference between personal benzo(a)pyrene exposures for any of the stratum at the 50th percentile. It is not until approximately the 90th percentile that the urban population approaches exposure values of 1 ng/m³ for this PAH, a human health guideline value first established in Europe (WHO 1987). Further comparisons presented in Table 5 comparing stratum-weighted least squares mean



Fig. 3 Comparison of select PAH exposures (ng/m^3) by stratum. Rural, suburban, and urban sampling locations indicate the various personal monitoring subpopulations being compared. Concentrations depicted are in units of ng/m^3



Fig. 4 PAH exposure distributions across the various stratum as a function of cumulative percentage. The *Y*-axis depicts the population distribution percentage with the *X*-axis indicating the PAH concentration in units of ng/m^3

estimates reveal that only the rural and urban strata were close to being statistically different in mean total PAH concentrations (p=0.07). Participants from Cycle #2 (winter 1996) had much higher exposures to total carcinogenic PAHs in comparison to all other Cycles (Fig. 5). It should be noted that personal monitoring was not conducted for the first 4 weeks of this Cycle due to field support issues. In addition, a heavy snowfall (~30 in.) in the area disrupted the normal manner in which participants were sampled. Both of these events severely impacted the total number of participants involved in the monitoring for this time period and therefore likely affected the resulting weighted statistics in comparison to the other Cycles.

Spearman correlations between the various PAHs from urban stratum monitoring are presented in Table 6 as an example of PAH relationship characteristics. As a whole, correlations (R) between most of the PAHs generally exceeded 0.60. Benzo(a)pyrene and indeno(cd) pyrene were often found to be highly correlated with the other PAHs. Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene comparisons with the other PAHs generally resulted in very poor correlation (R<0.2), probably impacted by the large number of samples observed to have environmental concentrations below the detection limit for this PAH.

Even though findings from this study have their limitations, this pilot effort was able to obtain probability-based exposure data involving an environmental pollutant of high interest (PAH). Urban participants would appear to have had higher personal PAH exposures than participants living in rural areas that might have been even further resolved if a larger sampling population had been involved. Suburban and urban participants were observed to have similar exposures. Source apportionment has not been performed on this sample population and therefore no suggestion as to the PAH sources impacting the various monitoring strata is possible. Personal exposure to IARC-listed or suspected carcinogenic air pollutants were often observed here at concentrations well below 1 ng/m^3 . The US EPA has not established national air quality standards or safe reference concentrations for any of the PAHs discussed. However, the World Health Organization (1987) first suggested an ambient air standard of 1 ng/m³ for benzo(a)pyrene. The European Union has issued a directive to its collective members substantiating this same annual guideline (European Commission 2011). If applied to the exposure findings from the current study, less than 10 % of the NHEXAS-Maryland participants across all strata

Table 5 Testing for differences of total carcinogenic PAH by stratum

Variable 1	Variable 2	Difference estimate	SE	df	t value	p value
Rural	Suburban	-0.66	0.53	149	-1.26	0.21
Rural	Urban	-0.99	0.55	149	-1.82	0.07
Suburban	Urban	-0.32	0.37	149	-0.86	0.39

The difference estimate is the least squares mean concentration difference (ng/m³) between variable 1 and variable 2



Fig. 5 Distribution of total carcinogenic PAH (ng/m^3) by Cycle. The winter 1996 session (Cycle #2) was significantly impacted by a cold weather event

would be expected to meet or exceed this standard. Even so, the cited standard is ambient-based and the subpopulations studied here would surely have had unknown PAH exposure sources from non-ambient sources (e.g., environmental tobacco smoke, cooking aerosols, mobile sources). Such a consideration suggests that ambient levels were probably even less of an impact than the current distribution functions would indicate.

Studies conducted in the New York City area associated with the Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health (CCCEH) have reported on a variety of PAH exposure issues involving a number of cohorts (Miller et al. 2004; Narvaez et al. 2008; Rosa et al. 2011). Although the reported CCCEH studies lacked a true rural component, a number involved personal exposure monitoring and therefore provide an opportunity

 Table 6
 Spearman PAH correlations across the urban stratum

for comparison with the findings from the current study. Narvaez et al. (2008) reported total personal PAH exposures involving a cohort of pregnant minorities during 1998 through 2006. They summed the same PAHs as those we report. They observed annual mean averages ranging from approximately 16 (1998) to 2.5 ng/m³ (2006) with a pronounced decrease in concentration in the latter years. Our mean personal concentration of 0.7 ng/m^3 was lower than even their 2006 data year but this included our values associated with the rural locations. Even when we examined data from only our urban and suburban locations, potentially more spatially comparable to those in the CCCEH studies, our maximum total PAH concentration from any single personal monitoring event never exceeded 13.2 ng/m³. Miller et al. (2004) determined that environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposures did not impact total personal carcinogenic PAH exposures which averaged 3.6 ng/m³ from a cohort of more than 300 women monitored during their third trimester of pregnancy. They reported ETS exposures via questionnaire response. Questions pertaining to ETS exposures associated with our pilot study were collected but have yet to be incorporated into any analysis.

There has not been a systematic examination of PAH concentrations in the US. Wilson and Chuang (1991) conducted an eight-home pilot study involving indoor air monitoring. A larger cross-sectional study involving indoor and outdoor PAH concentrations associated with 125 California homes have been described (CARB 1994). They reported night time mean benzo(a)pyrene concentrations of 0.77 and 0.44 ng/m³, respectively. In addition, Morgan et al. (2004) performed indoor and outdoor PAH air

	B(a)A	Chrysene	B(b)F	B(k)F	B(a)P	Db(ah)A	B(ghi)P	I(cd)P
B(a)A	1	0.74	0.62	0.55	0.59	0.02	0.47	0.63
Chrysene		1	0.81	0.72	0.77	0.02	0.55	0.76
B(b)F			1	0.87	0.67	0.07	0.69	0.79
B(k)F				1	0.78	0.08	0.71	0.81
B(a)P					1	-0.05	0.52	0.75
Db(ah)A						1	0.17	0.13
B(ghi)P							1	0.66
I(cd)P								1

Benzo(a)A benz(a)anthrance, B(b)F benzo(b)fluoranthene, B(k)F benzo(k)fluoranthene, B(a)P benzo(a)pyrene, Db(ah)A dibenzo(ah) anthracene, B(ghi)P benzo(ghi)perylene, I(cd)P indeno(cd)pyrene

monitoring in a recent study involving a total of 257 North Carolina and Ohio preschool children. They reported median benzo(a)pyrene indoor (0.08 ng/m³) and outdoor (0.09 ng/m³) concentrations very similar to the mean personal value (0.10 ng/m³) we observed in the Maryland cohorts. The NHEXAS-related MNCPES analyses revealed median personal and outdoor benzo(a)pyrene exposures of 0.07 and 0.01 ng/m³, respectively.

A number of European and Asian studies have reported much higher environmental levels than those reported above or from the current study. Annual mean benzo(a)pyrene concentrations in European urban areas have been reported in the 1 to 10 ng/m³ range (WHO 2000). A personal monitoring study performed in Krakow, Poland (Edwards et al. 2010; Jedrychowski et al. 2003) has reported personal benzo(a)pyrene concentrations of 12 ng/m^3 . Additional work by this group on a cohort involving 344 pregnant, non-smoking women reported mean total carcinogenic PAH concentrations of $\sim 39 \text{ ng/m}^3$. This was a value more than 50-fold higher than that we observed. Coal and refuse burning are believed to have been the primary combustion sources associated with these exposures. Traffic police officers in Beijing, China were exposed to winter-time estimates of 82.1 ng/m³ of benzo(a)pyrene (Liu et al. 2007). Police officers in Florence, Italy, working in high density traffic areas, were estimated to have benzo (a)pyrene exposures ranging from 4.1 to 1.8 ng/m^3 depending upon observed traffic density (Perico et al. 2001).

Our pilot study represented an attempt to investigate personal PAH exposures in a randomized cohort. The sampling scheme allowed for a general comparison of personal exposures between rural, urban and suburban scenarios. Even so, these exposure estimates should not be considered fully transferrable to other localities due to the limited geographical area of the study design. The overall sample size was also limited and many PAHs were often determined to be present at concentrations below the analytical detection limit. Olson et al. (2008) have suggested that environmental concentrations of PAHs in urban areas of the USA are often so low that use of low flow rate personal monitoring might result in many measurements being below analytical detection limits. However, obtrusiveness and additional participant burden by use of larger monitors must be recognized as severe study handicaps in attempts to reduce measurement uncertainty in future efforts. Accurate measurements are needed if PAHs are to be used as organic markers in efforts to determine particulate matter sources. The methodology employed here (HPLC-FL) provided a relatively low cost means of obtaining PAH data with a high degree of daily laboratory output but might not have been able to provide the degree of specificity/detectability needed for source apportionment requirements. More expensive, but more informative gas chromatograph/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) techniques have recently been used to overcome some of these considerations (Morgan et al. 2004; Olson et al. 2008). Even using such techniques it would appear that it might be difficult to establish personal PAH exposure distributions accurately for some settings.

Acknowledgments The authors wish to acknowledge the work of Robert Clickner and the staff from Westat Inc., who were involved in the daily personal monitoring effort conducted throughout the pilot study. Laura Kildosher (North Carolina State University), Karen Hammerstrom (US EPA), and Stephen Hern (EPA-retired) are acknowledged for their contributions in executing the study. Carlton Witherspoon (Alion Science and Technology) is acknowledged for assisting with the HPLC analyses. David L. MacIntosh is acknowledged for his contribution to the design, implementation, and data management work for the NHEXAS studies. Lance Waller is acknowledged for providing part of Fig. 1. Data collection was supported by the US Environmental Protection Agency under cooperative agreement Number CR822038-1.

Disclaimer The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its Office of Research and Development wholly funded and managed the research described here. It has been subjected to the Agency's review and is approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation for use.

References

- Ambe, Y., & Mukai, H. (1997). Long term stability of benzo[a] pyrene in stored atmospheric particulate matter samples. *Chemosphere*, 34(9–10), 2023–2028.
- Binkova, B., Lewtas, J., Miskova, I., Lenicek, J., & Sram, R. (1995). DNA adducts and personal air monitoring of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in an environmentally exposed population. *Carcinogenesis*, 16(5), 1037–1046.
- Buck, R. J., Hammerstrom, K. A., & Ryan, P. B. (1995). Estimating long-term exposures from short-term measurements. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 5(3), 359–373.
- CARB. (1994). Indoor air concentrations of phthalates and PAHs in Southern California homes (Vol. 94-10). Sacramento, CA: California Air Resources Board. Research Notes.

- Cimberle, M. R., Bottino, P., & Valerio, F. (1983). Decomposition of benzo(a)pyrene deposited on glass-fiber filters and exposed to sunlight. *Chemosphere*, *12*(3), 317–324.
- Clayton, C. A., Pellizzari, E. D., Whitmore, R. W., Quackenboss, J. J., Adgate, J., & Sexton, K. (2003). Distributions, associations, and partial aggregate exposure of pesticides and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in the Minnesota Children's Pesticide Exposure Study (MNCPES). *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 13(2), 100–111. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500261.
- Echols, S. L., MacIntosh, D. L., Hammerstrom, K. A., & Ryan, P. B. (1999). Temporal variability of microenvironmental time budgets in Maryland. *Journal of Exposure Analysis* and Environmental Epidemiology, 9(5), 502–512.
- Edwards, S. C., Jedrychowski, W., Butscher, M., Camann, D., Kieltyka, A., Mroz, E., et al. (2010). Prenatal exposure to airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and children's intelligence at 5 years of age in a prospective cohort study in Poland. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(9), 1326–1331. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901070.
- Egeghy, P. P., Quackenboss, J. J., Sandra, C. C., & Ryan, P. B. (2005). Determinants of temporal variability in NHEXAS-Maryland environmental concentrations, exposures, and biomarkers. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 15(5), 388–397. doi:10.1038/ sj.jea.7500415.
- European Commission (2011). Air quality standards. http:// ec.europa/edu/environment/air/quality/standards.htm.
- IARC. (1983). Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. Part I. Chemical, environmental, and experimental data. In *Evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. IARC monographs.* Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- Jedrychowski, W., Whyatt, R. M., Camann, D. E., Bawle, U. V., Peki, K., Spengler, J. D., et al. (2003). Effect of prenatal PAH exposure on birth outcomes and neurocognitive development in a cohort of newborns in Poland. Study design and preliminary ambient data. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 16(1), 21–29.
- Lebowitz, M. D., O'Rourke, M. K., Gordon, S., Moschandreas, D. J., Buckley, T., & Nishioka, M. (1995). Populationbased exposure measurements in Arizona: a phase I field study in support of the National Human Exposure Assessment Survey. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 5(3), 297–325.
- Liu, Y. N., Tao, S., Yang, Y. F., Dou, H., Yang, Y., & Coveney, R. M. (2007). Inhalation exposure of traffic police officers to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) during the winter in Beijing, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 383(1–3), 98–105. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.05.008.
- Lobscheid, A. B., & McKone, T. E. (2004). Constraining uncertainties about the sources and magnitude of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) levels in ambient air: the state of Minnesota as a case study. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38 (33), 5501–5515. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.06.035.
- Luch, A. (2005). *The carcinogenic effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons*. London: Imperial College Press.
- MacIntosh, D. L., Hammerstrom, K., & Ryan, P. B. (1999). Longitudinal exposure to selected pesticides in drinking water. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 5(3), 575– 588.

- MacIntosh, D. L., Kabiru, C., Scanlon, K. A., & Ryan, P. B. (2000). Longitudinal investigation of exposure to arsenic, cadmium, chromium and lead via beverage consumption. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemi*ology, 10(2), 196–205.
- MacIntosh, D. L., Kabiru, C., Echols, S. L., & Ryan, P. B. (2001). Dietary exposure to chlorpyrifos and levels of 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol in urine. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 11(4), 279– 285. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500167.
- Miller, R. L., Garfinkel, R., Horton, M., Camann, D., Perera, F. P., Whyatt, R. M., et al. (2004). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, environmental tobacco smoke, and respiratory symptoms in an inner-city birth cohort. *Chest*, 126(4), 1071–1078. doi:10.1378/chest.126.4.1071.
- Morgan, M., Sheldon, L., & Croghan, C. (2004). A pilot study of children's total exposure to persistent pesticides and other persistent organic pollutnats (CTEPP). EPA/600/R-04/193. Research Triangle Park, NC: USEPA.
- Narvaez, R. F., Hoepner, L., Chillrud, S. N., Yan, B. Z., Garfinkel, R., Whyatt, R., et al. (2008). Spatial and temporal trends of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and other traffic-related airborne pollutants in New York City. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(19), 7330–7335. doi:10.1021/Es801273h.
- Olson, D. A., Turlington, J., Duvall, R. V., Vicdow, S. R., Stevens, C. D., & Williams, R. (2008). Indoor and outdoor concentrations of organic and inorganic molecular markers: Source apportionment of PM2.5 using low-volume samples. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(8), 1742–1751. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.035.
- Pang, Y., MacIntosh, D. L., & Ryan, P. B. (2001). A longitudinal investigation of aggregate oral intake of copper. *Journal of Nutrition*, 131(8), 2171–2176.
- Pang, Y., MacIntosh, D. L., Camann, D. E., & Ryan, P. B. (2002). Analysis of aggregate exposure to chlorpyrifos in the NHEXAS-Maryland investigation. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110(3), 235–240.
- Pellizzari, E., Lioy, P., Quackenboss, J., Whitmore, R., Clayton, A., Freeman, N., et al. (1995). Population-based exposure measurements in Epa Region-5—a phase-I field-study in support of the National Human Exposure Assessment Survey. Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology, 5(3), 327–358.
- Perico, A., Gottardi, M., Boddi, V., Bavazzano, P., & Lanciotti, E. (2001). Assessment of exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in police in Florence, Italy, through personal air sampling and biological monitoring of the urinary metabolite 1-hydroxypyrene. *Archives of Environmental Health*, 56 (6), 506–512. doi:10.1080/00039890109602899.
- Rodes, C. E., Lawless, P. A., Evans, G. F., Sheldon, L. S., Williams, R. W., Vette, A. F., et al. (2001). The relationships between personal PM exposures for elderly populations and indoor and outdoor concentrations for three retirement center scenarios. *Journal of Exposure Analysis* and Environmental Epidemiology, 11(2), 103–115.
- Rosa, M. J., Jung, K. H., Perzanowski, M. S., Kelvin, E. A., Darling, K. W., Camann, D. E., et al. (2011). Prenatal exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, environmental tobacco smoke and asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 105(6), 869–876. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2010.11.022.

- Ryan, P. B., Huet, N., & MacIntosh, D. L. (2000). Longitudinal investigation of exposure to arsenic, cadmium, and lead in drinking water. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 108 (8), 731–735.
- Ryan, P. B., Scanlon, K. A., & MacIntosh, D. L. (2001). Analysis of dietary intake of selected metals in the NHEXAS-Maryland investigation. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(2), 121–128.
- Watts, R., Lewtas, J., Stevens, R., Hartlage, T., Pinto, J., Williams, R., et al. (1994). Czech-United-States Epa Health Study—assessment of personal and ambient air exposures to Pah and organic mutagens in the teplice district of Northern Bohemia. *International Journal of Environmental and Analytical Chemistry*, 56(4), 271– 287.
- WHO. (1987). Air quality guidelines for Europe. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Publications, European Series No. 23, WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- WHO. (2000). Air quality guidelines for Europe. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Publications, European Series No. 91, WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Williams, R., Meares, J., Brooks, L., Watts, R., & Lemieux, P. (1994). Priority pollutant Pah analysis of incinerator emission particles using Hplc and optimized fluorescence detection. *International Journal of Environmental and Analytical Chemistry*, 54(4), 299–314.

- Williams, R., Watts, R., Hartlage, T., Phillips, L., Lewtas, J., Dobias, L., et al. (1997). Ostrava Human Exposure and Biomarker Study. USEPA/600/R-97-069. Research Triangle Park, NC: USEPA.
- Williams, R., Watts, R. R., Stevens, R. K., Stone, C. L., & Lewtas, J. (1999). Evaluation of a personal air sampler for twenty-four hour collection of fine particles and semivolatile organics. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 9(2), 158–166.
- Williams, R., Suggs, J., Zweidinger, R., Evans, G., Creason, J., Kwok, R., et al. (2000). The 1998 Baltimore Particulate Matter Epidemiology-Exposure Study: part 1. Comparison of ambient, residential outdoor, indoor and apartment particulate matter monitoring. *Journal of Exposure Analysis* and Environmental Epidemiology, 10(6 Pt 1), 518–532.
- Williams, R., Suggs, J., Rea, A., Leovic, K., Vette, A., Croghan, C., et al. (2003). The Research Triangle Park particulate matter panel study: PM mass concentration relationships. *Atmospheric Environment*, *37*(38), 5349–5363. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.019.
- Wilson, N., & Chuang, J. (1991). Indoor air levels of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and realted compounds in an eight-home pilot study. In M. Cooke, K. Loening, & J. Merrit (Eds.), *Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons: measurements, means and metabolism* (pp. 1053–1064). Columbus, Ohio: Battelle Press.