# Variations of PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in Wuhan, China

Qi Feng · Shengjun Wu · Yun Du · Xiaodong Li · Feng Ling · Huaiping Xue · Shuming Cai

Received: 13 October 2009 / Accepted: 15 June 2010 / Published online: 14 July 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract Concentrations of PM10 (particulate matter as a key urban air pollutant) were obtained from nine monitor stations within the city of Wuhan for analysis after an intensive observational data collection period that commenced in January 2006 and concluded in December 2008. According to our data, PM<sub>10</sub> pollution intensified and reached a high alert level of air pollution during the month of November each year. It remained at a high alert level until the following April where it again decreased to a low alert level during the summer months. During the winter and spring months, the occurrence rate (in percent) of PM<sub>10</sub> was five to eight times higher (high alert level) than measurements detected during the summer months. The effects of intrinsic factors (pollution sources) and remote preconditions (dust storm propagation and formation of secondary aerosol) on severe PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations

Q. Feng · S. Cai School of Resource and Environmental Science,

Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Q. Feng e-mail: fengqi@asch.whigg.ac.cn

Q. Feng · S. Wu (⊠) · Y. Du · X. Li · F. Ling · H. Xue · S. Cai Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China e-mail: wsj@asch.whigg.ac.cn in Wuhan are first analyzed. After which, suggestions to reduce  $PM_{10}$  pollutants are provided.

Keywords PM<sub>10</sub> pollutants · Sources · Wuhan

# Introduction

The capital of Hubei Province, Wuhan (latitude 29°58' to 31°22' and longitude 113°41' to 115°05') is located in the eastern region of the province that lies within central China (Fig. 1a). The Yangtze River, the third longest river in the world, meets Hanshui, its largest tributary, in Wuhan where it divides the city into three parts: Hankou, Wuchang, and Hanyang, namely, the "Three Towns of Wuhan" (Fig. 1b). Hankou, located on the northern bank of the Yangtze River, is the metropolitan area that hosts government organizations, shopping centers, and residential districts. Wuchang, on the southern bank of Yangtze River, is where tertiary schools, scenic spots as well as heavy industrial and high technology zones are located. Hanyang, on the western plain, is comprised of residential areas, automobile factories, and scenic spots. The population of Wuhan is approximately 8.58 million, and its total area is 8,494 km<sup>2</sup>. Wuhan boasts a subtropical humid monsoon climate dominated by hot and humid summers each year. The average daily temperature in July is 37.2°C where the maximum often





exceeds 40°C. Seasonal climatic variations match that of the East Asian monsoon climatic region since the city is located on the eastern region of the Jianghan Plain (where above surface height ranges from 20 to 100 m). Surrounding Wuhan is the Exi mountainous region (where the above surface height ranges from 1,000 to 3,000 m) in the west, Tongbai Mountain (where the above surface height ranges from 200 to 1,000 m) in the north, Dahong Mountain (where the above surface height ranges from 200 to 1,000 m) in the northwest, Dabie Mountain (where the above surface height ranges from 800 to 1,000 m) in the east, and Mufu Mountain (where the above surface height ranges from 200 to 1,000 m) in the south (Fig. 2). Wuhan is ranked first among major Chinese cities in water resources due to areas of water bodies within its territory totaling 25.8%. East Lake (Fig. 1a), the largest lake in China located within a cityscape, covers an area of 33 km<sup>2</sup>. Wuhan is one of the biggest junctions of land, water, and air transportation in China being the political, economic, and cultural center of Hubei Province.

Several investigations have previously been carried out to study urban environmental and atmospheric pollutants in certain Chinese megacities (Zhou et al. 2007; Chu et al. 2008; Chana and Yao 2008; Chan et al. 2005; Chau et al. 2002; Chang and Lee 2008). Wuhan, currently undergoing rapid urbanization and social and economical development, is facing increasing pressure in



Fig. 2 Topography of Hubei Province

terms of environmental protection and the restoration of its natural environment from anthropogenic destruction like other metropolitan cities within China. Recent research has indicated that the major sources of air pollution in Wuhan derive from automobile exhaust and the use of coal for domestic cooking, heating, and industrial processes (Qian et al. 2007). The topographic characteristics (Fig. 2) make Wuhan a passage for freezing air going down to the south and the east. Moreover, weather changes are fierce in Wuhan. Due to these diffused conditions, PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations experience seasonal variation characteristics (Li et al. 2004).

The air quality standard issued (promulgated) by the Environmental Protection Agency of China (EPA-China) focuses on smaller particles that are likely responsible for adverse health effects because of their ability to reach the lower regions of the respiratory tract. The PM<sub>10</sub> standard for ambient air establishes threshold levels for the concentration of the particles with a diameter of 10 µm or less (up to 10 µm). The EPA-China health-based national air quality standard for  $PM_{10}$  is 0.04 mg m<sup>-3</sup> (annual mean) and  $0.05 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$  (daily concentration; Table 1). Major concerns in human health from exposure to  $PM_{10}$ include effects on breathing and the respiratory system, damage to lung tissue, cancer, and premature death. The elderly, children, and people with chronic lung disease, influenza, and asthma are especially sensitive to the effects of particulate matter. The annual mean second-level and third-level air pollution criteria for  $PM_{10}$  is 0.10 and 0.15 mg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively (Table 1). The daily mean second-level and third-level air pollution criteria for  $PM_{10}$  is 0.15 and 0.25 mg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively (Table 1). The Chinese EPA alerts the Wuhan population when the  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are reaching the second-level criteria.

For this study, particulate air pollution in Wuhan was investigated by means of intensive measurements of  $PM_{10}$  from January 2006 to December 2008, applying air quality monitoring systems as well as associated meteorological observations. Figure 1b identifies the locations of nine sampling stations where St-1 to St-9 measured  $PM_{10}$  concentrations and St-M measured meteorological records. The objectives of this study were to detect detailed temporal and spatial

**Table 1** Air quality standards for annual/daily mean  $PM_{10}$ pollutant concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter)from the Chinese National Environmental ProtectionAgency

| Level of criterion | Annual | Daily |  |  |
|--------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| 1                  | 0.04   | 0.05  |  |  |
| 2                  | 0.10   | 0.15  |  |  |
| 3                  | 0.15   | 0.25  |  |  |

variability of PM<sub>10</sub> to evaluate air quality objectively and quantitatively, to analyze pollutant sources, and to discover favorable meteorological conditions for pollutant dispersion. The comparison between observed PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations and EPA-China criteria gives the level of the particulate pollution. Linking the meteorological observations with the measured PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations may help in detecting the different causes of the particulate pollution. The temporal and spatial variability of the PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations are presented in the "PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring and statistics" section. The possible causes for particulate pollution are discussed in the "Source discussion" section and the conclusions and suggestions are given in the "Conclusions and suggestions" section.

### PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring and statistics

Data was taken from the Wuhan Environmental Protection Bureau (WEPB) and the Wuhan Meteorological Bureau (WMB). WEPB air quality data were collected at observational stations (St-1 to St-9) from January 2006 to December 2008.

Airborne  $PM_{10}$  at each observational station was collected by a tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM) 1400a. The sampling system was placed on the roof of a 3-m-tall building, resulting in an effective inlet height above the ground of 4.5 m. At the exit of the  $PM_{10}$  inlet, the design flow (16.67 L min<sup>-1</sup>) was isokinetically split into a 3.0-L min<sup>-1</sup> main flow and a 13.67-L min<sup>-1</sup> bypass flow, which is sent to the TEOM sensor unit and the automatic cartridge collection unit, respectively.

The TEOM 1400a monitor collected particles from the main flow continuously on a Tefloncoated borosilicate glass fiber filter mounted on the tip of a glass element that oscillates in an applied electric field. The resonant frequency of the element decreases as mass accumulates on the filter, directly measuring the inertial mass. Temperatures were maintained at a constant value, typically 50°C in this study, to minimize thermal expansion of the tapered element. Readings of mass concentrations were logged every 6 min and averaged over 1 h. WMB routine meteorological data were collected concurrently at St-M.

The data capture for all stations and all months ranged between 90% and 99%. Measurements confirmed that the total temporal mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration exceeded or reached the second-level annual mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration criterion (0.10 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) at all stations (Table 2). Among all measurements, St-6, located within a heavy industrial area, showed the highest concentration values (0.13 mg m<sup>-3</sup>).

Figure 3 illustrates the monthly mean, the minimum, and the maximum  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter) at all nine stations as well as the urban average. The monthly maximum urban average in January was calculated by the following equation:

$$M_{\text{maxJ}} = \text{MAX}\left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{9} \text{St}_{i,1}}{9}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{9} \text{St}_{i,2}}{9}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{9} \text{St}_{i,31}}{9}\right\}$$
(1)

where  $M_{\text{maxJ}}$  is the urban average monthly maximum in January (in milligrams per cubic meter) and  $St_{i,1}$  is the PM<sub>10</sub> value of station *i* on January 1 (in milligrams per cubic meter).

The three dashed horizontal lines represent the first-level (bottom), second-level (middle), and third-level (top) criterions of the annual mean concentrations (Table 1). Monthly mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations exceeded the first-level annual

Table 2 Locations of observational stations and total temporal mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter)

| Site | Location                                  | PM <sub>10</sub> |
|------|---|------------------|
| St-1 | Suburb residential area                   | 0.11             |
| St-2 | East Lake Park                            | 0.10             |
| St-3 | Residential area in Hanyang               | 0.12             |
| St-4 | Residential area in Hankou                | 0.12             |
| St-5 | Commercial and shopping center            | 0.12             |
| St-6 | Heavy industry area                       | 0.13             |
| St-7 | Yangtze Bank Park                         | 0.11             |
| St-8 | Electrical and mechanical industrial zone | 0.13             |
| St-9 | High technology industrial zone           | 0.12             |
| St-M | Residential area in Hankou                |                  |





🖄 Springer

| Table 3   PM <sub>10</sub> concentration |          | St-1 | St-2 | St-3 | St-4 | St-5 | St-6 | St-7 | St-8 | St-9 | Urban   |
|--|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| (in milligrams per cubic                 | <u>.</u> | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | average |
| meter) in March 2006                     | Max      | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.27    |
|  | Min      | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07    |
|  | Mean     | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.17    |
| Table 4   PM <sub>10</sub> concentration |          | St-1 | St-2 | St-3 | St-4 | St-5 | St-6 | St-7 | St-8 | St-9 | Urban   |
| (in milligrams per cubic                 |          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | average |
| meter) in July 2008                      | Max      | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12    |
| · -                                      | Min      | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04    |
|  | Mean     | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07    |

Table 5Month mean  $PM_{10}$  (in milligrams per cubic meter) concentration of urban average from 2006 to 2008

|      | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  | Apr  | May  | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sep  | Oct  | Nov  | Dec  |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 2006 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| 2007 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| 2008 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.16 |

| Table 6   Occurrence rate       | 2007 | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| (in percent) of $PM_{10}$ alert | St-1 | 55  | 30  | 10  | 27  | 37  | 11  | 0   | 0   | 17  | 30  | 50  | 43  | 26    |
| between the days with           | St-2 | 42  | 26  | 3   | 20  | 37  | 15  | 0   | 0   | 3   | 20  | 7   | 27  | 17    |
| PM <sub>10</sub> concentration  | St-3 | 74  | 52  | 23  | 30  | 50  | 19  | 0   | 3   | 27  | 43  | 43  | 30  | 33    |
| exceeding the daily             | St-4 | 52  | 44  | 10  | 23  | 50  | 15  | 0   | 0   | 7   | 30  | 43  | 53  | 27    |
| second-level criterion          | St-5 | 61  | 33  | 13  | 33  | 53  | 7   | 0   | 0   | 7   | 30  | 43  | 46  | 28    |
| and the total days of           | St-6 | 81  | 52  | 35  | 37  | 60  | 15  | 0   | 3   | 17  | 33  | 57  | 40  | 36    |
| observation of 2007             | St-7 | 55  | 48  | 10  | 13  | 30  | 11  | 0   | 0   | 7   | 33  | 43  | 37  | 24    |
|                                 | St-8 | 61  | 52  | 26  | 33  | 50  | 26  | 0   | 0   | 13  | 33  | 40  | 40  | 31    |
|                                 | St-9 | 58  | 26  | 10  | 30  | 47  | 22  | 0   | 0   | 17  | 27  | 43  | 43  | 27    |

**Table 7** Occurrence rate(in percent) of  $PM_{10}$  alertfor four seasons

| Season | Spring (271         | Summer (263        | Fall (267          | Winter (264        |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|        | observational days) | observational day) | observational day) | observational day) |
|        | Mar, Apr, May       | Jun, Jul, Aug      | Sep, Oct, Nov      | Dec, Jan, Feb      |
| St-1   | 26                  | 4                  | 24                 | 33                 |
| St-2   | 18                  | 3                  | 15                 | 27                 |
| St-3   | 32                  | 5                  | 28                 | 41                 |
| St-4   | 34                  | 4                  | 22                 | 41                 |
| St-5   | 32                  | 3                  | 30                 | 41                 |
| St-6   | 41                  | 6                  | 35                 | 46                 |
| St-7   | 25                  | 4                  | 16                 | 38                 |
| St-8   | 39                  | 8                  | 28                 | 45                 |
| St-9   | 24                  | 7                  | 31                 | 38                 |
| Mean   | 30                  | 5                  | 26                 | 39                 |
|        |                     |                    |                    |                    |

mean  $PM_{10}$  standard (0.05 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) at all times and at all stations within the urban area of Wuhan. And in winter, the monthly mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration might exceed the annual mean third-level  $PM_{10}$  standard (0.15 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) at most of the stations.

Taking March 2006 as an example due to it being the month that experienced the highest levels of PM<sub>10</sub> pollution in the 3-year study period, the monthly mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentration was greater than the annual mean third-level criterion  $(0.15 \text{ mg m}^{-3})$  at most stations except for St-2 located in East Lake Park. Furthermore, the maximum PM<sub>10</sub> concentration was higher than the daily mean third-level criterion (0.25 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) in all stations except for St-2 (Table 3). The reason for the exception was the excellent location for St-2, which is located in East Lake Park which is surrounded by dense trees, so it is not easily influenced by  $PM_{10}$  pollution event and its  $PM_{10}$ concentrations were always the lowest among the nine stations.

During the 3-year study period, the minimum monthly mean appeared in July. Nearly all stations reached the minimum monthly mean in July except for St-7 (located in the Yangtze Bank Park) in 2008 (Fig. 1). The reason for the exception may be caused by sand from the river bank with dry wind as local source. The maximum, minimum, and mean values for July 2008, the month in which the least amount of  $PM_{10}$  pollution was detected throughout the 3-year study period, are provided in Table 4.

The monthly mean urban average  $PM_{10}$  concentration exceeded the annual mean first-level criterion at all times. The annual mean secondlevel criterion was exceeded or reached during 28 months of the 3-year study period, including 7 months in which the annual mean third-level criterion was exceeded or reached (Table 5). The maximum monthly mean urban average  $PM_{10}$ concentration appeared in March 2006.

The occurrence rate of  $PM_{10}$  (high alert level) is the percentage between the number of days that  $PM_{10}$  concentrations exceeded the daily secondlevel criterion and the total number of observational days (Table 6). Percentage either did or did not exceed 40% at most stations during the months of December and January. Certain stations exceeded 60% such as St-3 (residential area) and St-6 (heavy industrial area) in December 2006; St-3 and St-5 (commercial areas) as well as St-6 and St-8 (electrical and mechanical industrial areas) in January 2007; and St-8 and St-9 (high technological industrial areas) in December 2008.

High-level  $PM_{10}$  pollution occurred more frequently during winter (39%) and spring (30%; Table 7). The occurrence rate of  $PM_{10}$  (high alert level) was much smaller during summer months (5%). In winter, pollution ratios exceeded 40% at St-3, St-4, St-5, St-6, and St-8. The stations St-3, St-4, and St-5 are situated near the inner ring road (Fig. 1b) of Wuhan, in an area that combines commercial and residential functions with high population density. St-6 and St-8 are located in an area with heavy industry situated outside the ring road. For all seasons, the pollution ratios are much lower at St-2 located in the East Lake Park.

#### Source discussion

### Local sources

Coal consumption by industry and residents in Wuhan is one of the important sources for the pollution by  $PM_{10}$  particulates. One point source of this type of particulate is located in the north-eastern corner of the urban area in which lies the heavy industrial zone harboring both iron and steel smelts. Due to coal burning for industrial use in the neighborhood, St-6 has, during most of the time, the highest  $PM_{10}$  alert ratio of all the nine stations. The zone additionally experienced high concentrations in winter since coal is used as a local source of heating.

Automobiles and road dust are two other sources of  $PM_{10}$  pollution where maximum concentrations are reached during daytime hours when automobile traffic peaks (between 0700 and 0900 and between 1600 and 1900; Li et al. 2007). The number of automobiles in Wuhan increased from 703,481 in 2006 to 818,626 in 2008 (Wuhan Statistical Yearbook). Meanwhile, automobiles, including the 20,000 illegally operated vehicles that fall short of the emissions standard the most, may enter into the city center. These sources are responsible for the higher  $PM_{10}$  alert ratio



Fig. 4 Hourly  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter) at St-5 on working days and nonworking days in summer (*left*) and winter (*right*)

at stations St-3, St-4, and St-5 compared to St-1 (Table 6).

Figure 4 shows data for working days and nonworking days in summer (from June 2007 to August 2007) and winter (from December 2006 to February 2007), which gives an example of a vehicle emission-dominated episode. High concentrations of traffic-generated pollutants were observed in St-5 (located at a commercial and shopping center). The data are typified by the twin diurnal peaks in concentration of  $PM_{10}$ , indicative of vehicle-derived pollution.

Dust from city construction projects is another source of  $PM_{10}$  pollution. Using St-8 in the electrical and mechanical industrial zone as an example, the total occurrence rate (in percent) of  $PM_{10}$  is highest (21%) in February 2006. The lack of dust reduction initiatives from nearby road activity as well as residential construction during this period made St-8 the most polluted one. We can see more detail in Fig. 5.

When a windy day appears with dry air in spring, road dust and dust from city construction or bared land were easily blown up into the air to make high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations. As shown in Table 8, March 19, 2006 has the highest  $PM_{10}$  pollution in March 2006 (see Fig. 8).

Celebrations that include fireworks displays are another major local  $PM_{10}$  pollution source. This is especially true during the Spring Festival Holiday (SFH, Chinese New Year) where it is tradition for people to set off fireworks. For various reasons, fireworks were banned in Wuhan from 1994 to 2006. Starting in 2007, however, fireworks were allowed to be set off in specified places in Wuhan during the Spring Festival. The SFHs were celebrated from January 28 to February 12 in 2006, from February 17 to March 4 in 2007, and from February 6 to February 21 in 2008. Records show



Fig. 5 Hourly  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter) at St-8 which is affected by road constructions compared with St-5, which has no construction activities nearby in February 2006

| Table 8 PM <sub>10</sub> concentrations and       meteorology conditions       concerts 10, 2000 and | Occurring<br>time | Daily PM <sub>10</sub><br>concentrations<br>(mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) | Daily relative<br>humidity<br>(%) | Daily wind<br>speed<br>(m s <sup>-1</sup> ) | Daily<br>temperature<br>(°C) |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| March 25, 2007   | 3/19/2006         | 0.27  | 52                                | 1.4   | 13.4                         |
|  | 3/25/2007         | 0.27  | 74                                | 1.5   | 17.7                         |

that  $PM_{10}$  pollution was higher for the most part during the 2008 SFH than it was for the 2006 SFH. There were 5 days in total when the daily mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration of the urban average exceeded or reached the daily second-level criterion during the 2008 SFH. In contrast, there was only 1 day each year where this occurred during the 2006 and 2007 SFH.

The highest pollution levels were observed at St-4 within the residential area of the city center. During the SFH period of 2008, the daily mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations of St-4 exceeded or reached the second-level criterion for 6 days. The highest value appeared at the second day of SFH, when even the daily third-level criterion was exceeded.

Figure 6 illustrates the hourly  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at St-4 (residential area in Hankou) during the 2007 (February 17 to February 18, 2007) New Year's Eve and February 20 to February 21, 2007. From the graph, we can see that high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations caused by fireworks displays would persist for several hours with adverse meteorological conditions leading to poor dispersion, such as the combination of low wind speed.

#### Remote preconditions

Dust storms often occur in northern China during the spring. Table 9 lists selected dust events in northern China that occurred in March 2006 and March 2007. Research has shown that dust storms over northwestern China may extend into the northern Pacific Ocean, Japan, and Korea (Duce et al. 1980). Severe dust storms can even travel across the Pacific Ocean and reach the western coast of North America as was the case on April 18, 1998 (Wang et al. 2003). The primary sources of dust storms are the Gobi Desert that lies within Mongolia and northern China and the Taklimakan Desert in western China (Sun et al. 2001).

Though Wuhan is far from north China, its air quality can still be influenced by dust storms



**Fig. 6** Hourly PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter) and wind speed (in meters per second) at St-4 which is affected by fireworks displays during February 17 to February 18, 2007 (*left*) and February 20 to February 21, 2007 (*right*)

**Table 9**Dust stormstatistics in northernChina in March 2006and March 2007

| Occurring time | Wind velocity $(m s^{-1})$ | Least<br>visibility (m) | Number of stations in north and<br>northwest China where the<br>dust storm has been observed |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 3/9/2006       | 13–21; max, 23             | <100                    | 33   |
| 3/12/2006      | 10-20; max, 23             | <300                    | 10   |
| 3/10/2006      | 11-25; max, 28             | <100                    | 26   |
| 3/26/2006      | 10–15; max, 18             | <100                    | 16   |
| 3/27/2006      | 11–15; max, 18             | <100                    | 8  |
| 3/31/2006      | 11–16; max, 18             | <300                    | 8  |
| 3/27/2007      | 12-16; max, 20             | <300                    | 6  |
| 3/28/2007      | 13–17; max, 20             | <300                    | 7  |
| 3/30/2007      | 11–24; max, 28             | <200                    | 30   |
| 3/31/2007      | 12–23; max, 27             | <100                    | 32   |

under certain conditions. Taking April 2, 2007 as an example, dust storm from the north desert with dry strong wind makes the  $PM_{10}$  concentrations of all nine stations exceed the daily mean secondlevel criterion. This is a typical high concentrations process caused by remote source during the daytime. Figure 7 illustrates the process of hourly mean  $PM_{10}$  change and correlative meteorology. A sharp increase of  $PM_{10}$  appeared and stayed for several hours with high wind speed and low relative humidity on the specific day.

As described in the " $PM_{10}$  monitoring and statistics" section, the maximum monthly mean



Fig. 7 Hourly  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (in milligrams per cubic meter), wind speed (in meters per second), and relative humidity (in percent) of April 2, 2007

 $PM_{10}$  concentration of the urban average appeared in March 2006. Occurrences of high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are associated with the propagation of dust storms. Daily  $PM_{10}$  concentrations of the urban average over all nine stations in March 2006 (the record of March 20 was missing) and March 2007 are illustrated separately in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. Dust storms from northern China are marked by the black diamonds and vertical dash-dotted lines. Three horizontal dashed lines refer to the first-level (top), second-level (middle), and third-level (bottom) criterions of daily mean concentrations. Associated observational data are provided and listed in Table 10.

A recent report from the WEPB shows that daily mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations reached 0.41 mg m<sup>-3</sup> on April 26, 2009 and 0.57 mg m<sup>-3</sup> on April 25, 2009. These values are the highest detected since 2000. Both of these severe pollution events were the result of dust storms originating from northern China (monthly report of Wuhan air quality, 2009; see more detail in Table 10).

A conclusion can be drawn from the above evidence. When the air is dry, strong winds blowing over the northern and northwestern deserts cause the propagation of dust storms and, consequently, produce high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in Wuhan during the spring. Dust storms from the northern regions of China are, therefore, a major remote source of  $PM_{10}$  pollution in Wuhan.

Ammonium salts are one of the major components of  $PM_{10}$  in some Chinese area during spring, and the formation of secondary aerosol is responsible for the high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (Cao et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2002, 2008). 0.3

0.1 -

0

PM<sub>10</sub>(mg m³) 0.2



3/29/06





3/19/06

3/9/06

Table 10 Daily meteorological and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations of typical days

|           | Mean PM <sub>10</sub> | Total         | Daily wind   | Relative      |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
|           | concentrations        | precipitation | speed        | humidity      |
|           | $(mg m^{-3})$         | (mm)          | $(m s^{-1})$ | (%)           |
| 3/9/2006  | 0.20                  | 0             | 1.3          | Not available |
| 3/10/2006 | 0.24                  | 0             | 1.1          | Not available |
| 3/11/2006 | 0.25                  | 0             | 2.2          | Not available |
| 3/12/2006 | 0.15                  | 0             | 3.3          | 57            |
| 3/26/2006 | 0.20                  | 0             | 1.0          | 58            |
| 3/27/2006 | 0.20                  | 0             | 1.2          | 62            |
| 3/31/2006 | 0.17                  | 0             | 1.2          | 65            |
| 3/27/2007 | 0.09                  | 0.5           | 1.0          | 78            |
| 3/28/2007 | 0.10                  | 0             | 1.3          | 65            |
| 3/30/2007 | 0.14                  | 0             | 1.7          | 68            |
| 3/31/2007 | 0.17                  | 0             | 1.9          | 74            |
| 4/25/2009 | 0.57                  | 0             | 1.4          | 55            |
| 4/26/2009 | 0.41                  | 0             | 1.0          | 42            |

Wuhan is located in Jianghan Plain (see Fig. 2); this plain is one of the largest rice production bases of China. In this plain, fields are always over fertilized to improve rice production, so that the needs of the nearby cities and provinces are met. Data from the Wuhan Statistical Yearbook shows that the use of nitrogenous fertilizer for nearby field is 332.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2006, 334.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2007, and 325.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2008.

So it is possible that the formation of secondary aerosol (formation of ammonium salts) has some effect on the  $PM_{10}$  pollution in spring in Wuhan. Especially during spring, at conditions of moderate temperature and high humidity range (Stelson and Seinfeld 1982), the formation of secondary aerosol caused high  $PM_{10}$  concentration in Wuhan. This would make March 25, 2007 highest in  $PM_{10}$  pollution in March 2007 (see Table 8 and Fig. 9).

## **Conclusions and suggestions**

Observational studies from January 2006 to December 2008 demonstrate that heavy  $PM_{10}$  pollution was more serious during winter and spring months. March 2006 was the most severely polluted month with a monthly mean 0.17 mg m<sup>-3</sup>  $PM_{10}$  concentration. Frequencies of high-level  $PM_{10}$  pollution occurrences during all four seasons were 30% in spring, 5% in summer, 26% in fall, and 39% in winter.

Topographic features of the Wuhan metropolitan area make particulate pollution possible both in winter and spring. Both local and remote sources account for this pollution. Local pollution sources include industrial and residential coal burning, automobiles that mostly fall short of the emission standard, road dust, dust from city construction projects, and fireworks displays during the SFH celebrations. On the other hand, mountain breezes from remote areas contributed to the effect of particulate pollutants sinking into the Jianghan Plain, which caused severe pollution during dry days in the spring. Data analysis shows that dust storms occur often in northern and northwestern China and lead to higher PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in Wuhan. Another potential source is the formation of secondary aerosol from nearby fertilized fields, which has some effect on the  $PM_{10}$  pollution in spring. The maximum  $PM_{10}$  concentration of 0.17 mg m<sup>-3</sup> was observed in March 2006.

The reduction in  $PM_{10}$  concentrations is an urgent air quality control issue that must be addressed in Wuhan. This may be achieved by (1) improving the efficiency of industrial and residential coal burning, (2) introducing street sweeping and washing (Chang et al. 2005) or road sweeping (Gertler et al. 2006) before high traffic hours, (3) banning vehicles that seriously fall short of the emission standard, (4) restricting fireworks displays, (5) preventing dust during roadwork and construction, and (6) forecasting dust storm events.

**Acknowledgements** This study was jointly supported by the Wuhan Science and Technology Bureau of Wuhan TSP and UHI program no. 200860423209 and the Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.  $PM_{10}$  data for this study were provided by the Wuhan Environmental Protection Bureau; the meteorological data were provided by the Wuhan Meteorological Station; and the dust storm data were provided by the China Meteorological Data Serving Service System (http://cdc.cma.gov.cn). The authors would like to express their appreciation to three anonymous reviewers for their constructive and insightful comments.

# References

- Cao, J. J., Shen, Z. X., Chow, J. C., Qi, G. W., & Watson, J. G. (2009). Seasonal variations and sources of mass and chemical composition for PM<sub>10</sub> aerosol in Hangzhou, China. *Particuology*, 7, 161–168.
- Chan, C. Y., Xu, X. D., Li, Y. S., Wong, K. H., Ding, G. A., Chan, L. Y., et al. (2005). Characteristics of vertical profiles and sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and carbonaceous species in Beijing. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(28), 5113–5124.
- Chana, C. K., & Yao, X. H. (2008). Air pollution in mega cities in China. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(1), 1–42.
- Chang, S. C., & Lee, C. T. (2008). Evaluation of the temporal variations of air quality in Taipei City, Taiwan, from 1994 to 2003. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 86(4), 627–635.
- Chang, Y. M., Chou, C. M., Su, K. T., & Tseng, C. H. (2005). Effectiveness of street sweeping and washing for controlling ambient TSP. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(10), 1891–1902.
- Chau, C. K., Tu, E. Y., Chan, D. W. T., & Burnett, J. (2002). Estimating the total exposure to air pollutants for different population age groups in Hong Kong. *Environment International*, 27(8), 617–630.

- Chu, P. C., Chen, Y. C., Lu, S. H., Li, Z. C., & Lu, Y. Q. (2008). Particulate air pollution in Lanzhou China. *Environment International*, *34*(5), 698–713.
- Duce, R. A., Unni, C. K., Ray, B. J., Prospero, J. M., & Merrill, J. T. (1980). Long-range atmospheric transport of soil dust storm from Asia to the tropical North Pacific: Temporal variability. *Science*, 209(4464), 1522–1524.
- Gertler, A., Kuhns, H., Abu-Allaban, M., Damm, C., Gillies, J., Etyemezian, V., et al. (2006). A case study of the impact of winter road sand/salt and street sweeping on road dust re-entrainment. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(31), 5976–5985.
- Li, L., Wei, W. H., Wei, J., Wang, Z. C., & Yang, C. (2004). Air pollution–meteorological condition relationship in Wuhan City. *Journal of Hubei Meteorology*, 23(3), 18–22 (in Chinese).
- Li, L., Wei, H. M., Wei, J., Jiang, M. L., & Wang, F. F. (2007). Daily change characteristics of PM10 in Wuhan. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 30(1), 39–41 (in Chinese).
- Qian, Z. M., He, Q. C., Lin, H. M., Kong, L. L., Liao, D. P., Dan, J. J., et al. (2007). Association of daily causespecific mortality with ambient particle air pollution in Wuhan, China. *Environmental Research, Section A*, 105(3), 380–389.

- Stelson, A. W., & Seinfeld, J. H. (1982). Relative humidity and temperature dependence of the ammonium nitrate dissociation constant. *Atmospheric Environment*, 15(5), 983–992.
- Sun, J. M., Zhang, M. Y., & Liu, T. S. (2001). Spatial and temporal characteristics of dust storms in China and its surrounding regions, 1960–1999: Relations to source area and climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(D10), 10325–10333.
- Wang, G. H., Huang, L. M., Gao, S. X., Gao, S. T., & Wang, L. S. (2002). Characterization of water-soluble species of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> aerosols in urban area in Nanjing, China. *Atmospheric Environment*, *36*, 1299–1307.
- Wang, H. L., Zhuang, Y. H., Wang, Y., Sun, Y. L., Yuan, H., Zhuang, G. S., et al. (2008). Long-term monitoring and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> in Beijing, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 20, 1323– 1327.
- Wang, S. G., Wang, J. Y., Zhou, Z. J., Shang, K. Z., Yang, D. B., & Zhao, Z. S. (2003). Regional characteristics of dust events in China. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*, 13(1), 35–44.
- Zhou, K., Ye, Y. H., Liu, Q., Liu, A. J., & Peng, S. L. (2007). Evaluation of ambient air quality in Guangzhou, China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 19(4), 432–437.