

# Fusarium in maize during harvest and storage: a review of species involved, mycotoxins, and management strategies to reduce contamination

M.I. Dinolfo  $\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{M}$ . Martínez  $\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ . Castañares  $\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{M}$ A.F. Arata

Accepted: 18 July 2022 /Published online: 23 July 2022  $\odot$  Koninklijke Nederlandse Planteziektenkundige Vereniging 2022

Abstract Maize is one of the most important crops for food and feed production worldwide. Many diseases affect maize kernels, reducing kernel quantity and quality. Fusarium species are the most important pathogens capable of producing diseases in maize, whose presence often results in mycotoxin contamination. These mycotoxins can produce severe diseases in consumers, leading to regulatory decisions on the maximum acceptable content of mycotoxins in consumer products. The aim of this study is to analyse the most important Fusarium species found in natural and storage conditions worldwide, and the associated mycotoxin content. Thus, the role of the mycotoxins, and the optimal conditions for fungal growth and mycotoxin production, have been analysed. Although maize hybrids with resistance against these diseases are not available yet, strategies that could be adopted to reduce the impact of Fusarium on maize crops are summarized in this review.

M.I. Dinolfo and M. Martínez contributed equally to this work.

M. Dinolfo  $(\boxtimes) \cdot M$ . Martínez  $\cdot$  E. Castañares  $\cdot$  A. Arata Laboratorio de Biología Funcional y Biotecnología (BIOLAB)-CICBA-INBIOTEC-CONICET, Facultad de Agronomía, UNCPBA, Av. República de Italia 780, Azul, 7300 Buenos Aires, Argentina e-mail: inesdinolfo@gmail.com

A. Arata

Centro de Investigaciones Integradas sobre Sistemas Agronómicos Sustentables (CIISAS), Facultad de Agronomía, UNCPBA, Av. República de Italia 780, Azul, 7300 Buenos Aires, Argentina

Keywords Crop management . Diseases . Fusarium . Maize · Mycotoxins

## Introduction

Maize importance and Fusarium presence

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important crops worldwide, with about 594 million tons (MT) produced worldwide, from 139 million hectares (ha) sown (FAO, [2020](#page-12-0)). The American continent is the main producer of maize, responsible for 50% of total maize production, distributed mainly in the USA, Brazil, and Argentina. The Asian continent is the second largest producer (31.5%), with China being the primary producer with 357 MT. Europe is the third highest producing continent (11.2%), followed by the African (6.9%) and Oceanic (0.1%) continents (FAO, [2020\)](#page-12-0). Maize is used mainly for animal and human food consumption, having a similar nutritional value to wheat but being much cheaper (Czembor et al., [2015](#page-11-0)). Moreover, the demand for maize has increased due to its use in ethanol production.

Maize is a monoecious plant belonging to the Poaceae family. Two phases can be distinguished during its growth: a vegetative and reproductive phase. The vegetative stage begins with the germination of maize seed and culminates with the appearance of the male flower. In contrast, the reproductive phase starts with the appearance of a female flower and finishes at physiological maturity.

During the different phenological stages, pathogens can affect this crop, negatively modifying the quantity and quality of maize kernels. Among the diseases that can reduce productivity and cause economic losses, those caused by fungi, mainly *Fusarium* spp., have a key role. Although some species of Fusarium can affect the roots, leaves, and stems of maize plants, here we focused on these species able to damage the ear and kernels.

Two diseases can affect maize kernels: Fusarium ear rot (FER) and Gibberella ear rot (GER), the occurrence of which depends primarily on climatic conditions.

## Fusarium ear rot

Fusarium ear rot, also known as pink ear rot or pink fusariosis, is mainly produced by species belonging to the Fusarium fujikuroi species complex (FFSC). The most predominant species is F. verticillioides, but F. proliferatum and F. subglutinans can also frequently be isolated (Logrieco et al., [2002](#page-13-0)). Although this disease is generally most prevalent in warmer regions, some reports suggest that F. verticillioides and F. proliferatum predominate in drier and warmer conditions, while *F. subglutinans* is more frequent in humid and cooler conditions (Goertz et al., [2010\)](#page-12-0).

The high incidence of *F. verticillioides* is associated with the different entry points of this pathogen into maize: a) systemic infection: during maize kernel germination and seedling development; b) cob infection: this is the most common entry point, through silk channels during flowering by airborne inoculum; c) mechanical damage: some insects feeding on maize plants help F. verticillioides entry (de la Torre-Hernández et al., [2014](#page-11-0)). Generally, infection via the systemic pathway does not require notable mould growth, which explains the presence of  $F$ . *verticillioides* in asymptomatic maize (De la Torre-Hernández et al., [2014](#page-11-0); Reid et al., [1999\)](#page-14-0). Moreover, *F. verticillioides* has the ability to detoxify antimicrobial compounds in maize, which can explain its presence over the other Fusarium spp. (Bacon et al., [2008](#page-10-0)).

Regarding F. verticillioides' growth conditions, the minimum temperature required for germination is 4 °C, while the minimum water activity (aw) is 0.86 (Czembor et al., [2015\)](#page-11-0). The classic starburst symptom on maize kernels is evidence of the presence of streaks on the pericarp radiating from the silk scar region of the kernels. Koehler ([1942](#page-13-0)) reported that this characteristic symptom is a result of the disintegration of pericarp cells by the fungi.

Fusarium verticillioides is one of the most important producers of fumonisin B mycotoxins (FBs). More than ten types of FBs have been identified and characterized, however three are the most prevalent: fumonisin B1 (FB1), fumonisin B2 (FB2), and fumonisin B3 (FB3), FB1 being the most toxigenic (Musser & Plattner, [1997](#page-14-0)). Among other things, these toxins are classified as group 2B carcinogens by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, [1993\)](#page-12-0). Additionally, they can cause leucoencephalomalacia in horses, pulmonary edema in swine, liver cancer in rats, and human oesophageal cancer (Marasas, [1996\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, the toxicity of FB1 in the human liver plays a key role during oesophageal cancer initiation, inducting oxidation damage and lipid peroxidation as initial stages (Gelderblom et al., [2001](#page-12-0)).

Fusarium proliferatum is a mycotoxin-producing, seed-borne pathogen, causing asymptomatic infection in maize kernels (Reyes Gaige et al., [2020](#page-14-0)). This pathogen is more frequently isolated from sorghum than maize, and is also present worldwide in other food crops, including rice, millet, and several fruits such as apples and pears (Bacon & Nelson, [1994](#page-10-0)). Fusarium proliferatum can produce several toxins in maize kernels, including FB1 and FB2, moniliformin (MON), fusarin C, and fusaric acid. This mycotoxin production occurs under field conditions, although it also may occur during the storage process (Bacon & Nelson, [1994](#page-10-0)). The optimal temperature for  $F$ . proliferatum growth is 15 °C at 0.97  $a_w$ , while a range of 15–30 °C at 0.97  $a_w$  is optimal for FB1 production (Marín et al., [1999](#page-13-0)).

Two morphologically similar Fusarium species, initially named F. subglutinans sensu lato, were separated into two new species: F. temperatum and F. subglutinans, depending on their ability to produce the mycotoxin beauvericin (BEA) (Scauflaire, Gourgue, & Munaut, [2011\)](#page-14-0). Moreover, these species can produce fusaproliferin (FP), MON, enniatins (ENNs), and FBs (Gromadzka et al., [2016](#page-12-0)). Tagele et al. [\(2019](#page-14-0)) have demonstrated that plants infected with F. temperatum produce more FB than those infected with F. subglutinans. These species have been found to cause seed rot, root rot, seedling blight, stalk rot, and ear rot of maize worldwide. Regarding their transmission, Wilke et al. ([2001](#page-15-0)) demonstrated seed transmission and systematic infection of maize plants by F. subglutinans.

Moreover, other species belonging to the FFSC, such as F. nygamai (BEA, fusaric acid, FBs producer) and F. ramigenum (BEA, MON, and ENNs producer), have been isolated from maize (Leslie & Summerell, [2006](#page-13-0); Stępień et al., [2019\)](#page-14-0).

## Gibberella ear rot

Gibberella ear rot (GER), also known as red ear rot or red fusariosis, is mainly produced by species belonging to the Fusarium sambucinum species complex (FSSC), mainly *F. graminearum*, however other species such as F. culmorum, F. crockwellense, and F. avenaceum can also be present (Logrieco et al., [2002](#page-13-0)). This disease is most prevalent in regions with frequent rainfall and moderate temperatures, as the silk channel is the most important infection pathway for F. graminearum. The disease is observed as pink to reddish-coloured mould on maize kernels, generally localized in the tip and spreading down the ear (Reid et al., [1999\)](#page-14-0).

Fusarium graminearum growth needs a minimum temperature of 10 °C and 0.935 aw (Czembor et al., [2015](#page-11-0)). The presence of F. graminearum is accompanied by its ability to produce mycotoxins such as B-trichothecenes: nivalenol (NIV), deoxynivalenol (DON), and 3- and 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3-ADON and 15- ADON, respectively) (Castañares et al., [2014\)](#page-11-0). Trichothecenes have effects on consumers causing vomiting and dietary disorders, and moreover can induce chronic effects such as immunosuppression, neurotoxicity, and teratogenicity (Piacentini et al., [2015\)](#page-14-0). F. graminearum is, additionally, one of the most important zearalenone (ZEN) producers. ZEN is a xenoestrogen with a chemical structure similar to natural estrogens, allowing it to bind with estrogen receptors, amplifying the estrogenic effects on consumers (Mahato et al., [2021](#page-13-0)). ZEN has also been categorized as a group 3 carcinogen (IARC, [1993](#page-12-0)).

Other species belonging to the FSSC that produce GER are F. boothi and F. meridionale, more adapted to mild temperatures and sensitive to low temperatures and water stress (Belizán et al., [2019\)](#page-10-0). Both species are of importance due to their ability to produce DON and NIV in maize kernels. Fusarium crockwellense has been reported in central and northern Europe, generally cor-related with the presence of F. poae (Aguín et al., [2014\)](#page-10-0). Fusarium poae is a pathogen that has been isolated sporadically from maize in Spain, never exceeding 4% of affected kernels (Aguín et al., [2014](#page-10-0)). This species is

one of the most important NIV producers and other mycotoxins such as BEA, MON, ENNs, and FUS-X, among others (Dinolfo & Stenglein, [2014\)](#page-11-0). Similarly, F. venenatum has been isolated at a low percentage from maize ears, being more frequently isolated from maize stalks (Scauflaire et al., [2011\)](#page-14-0). This species can produce type A trichothecenes. Fusarium brachygibbosum has been isolated from maize stalks in China, and from maize ears in Iran, and is able to produce ENNs and diacetoxyscirpenol (DAS) (Fallahi & Saremi, [2019;](#page-12-0) Shan et al., [2017\)](#page-14-0). Fusarium sambucinum has been mainly isolated from maize stalks at R6-maturity stages and, at a low percentage  $\left\langle 5\% \right\rangle$ , from maize ears in Belgium (Scauflaire et al., [2011](#page-14-0)). This species has been reported as producing BEA, DAS, ENNs, NEO, and T-2 mycotoxins (Laraba et al., [2021](#page-13-0)). Another species that belongs to the FSSC and has been isolated from maize is F. sporotrichioides, being particularly prevalent in central and southern Europe. This species has been found to produce DAS, ENNs, NEO, and T-2 in vitro (Laraba et al., [2021](#page-13-0); Scauflaire et al., [2011\)](#page-14-0).

## Other Fusarium species isolated from maize

Several species belonging to the Fusarium incarnatumequiseti species complex (FIESC), including F. equiseti and F. incarnatum, have also been isolated from maize (Table [1](#page-3-0)). These species have the ability to produce many different mycotoxins, including fusarochromanone (FUSCHR), ZEA, and several trichothecenes (DON, NIV, T-2 toxin, FUS-X, DAS, NEO, BEA, and MON) (Avila et al., [2019](#page-10-0)).

The Fusarium oxysporum species complex (FOSC) involves species of Fusarium with a cosmopolitan life cycle and with an important impact on agriculture, horticulture, human and animal health (McTaggart et al., [2021\)](#page-13-0). *Fusarium oxysporum* is the main species representing this complex able to produce fusaric acid, BEA, and FBs (López-Berges et al., [2013;](#page-13-0) O'Donnell et al., [2009](#page-14-0)).

Species belonging to the Fusarium tricinctum species complex (FTSC), such as F. arthrosporoides, F. avenaceum, F. acuminatum, and F. tricinctum, have also been found in maize (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Species of this complex are able to produce ENNs and MON (Senatore et al., [2021\)](#page-14-0).

Fusarium heterosporum was occasionally isolated on maize ears from Europe, particularly on latematuring hybrids late in the growing season. These isolates were able to produce high concentrations of

Country	Isolation year	Fusarium spp.	References
Africa		1999-2003 F. verticilliodes, F. proliferatum, F. incarnatum	Fandohan et al. (2005)
Argentina		1995–1996 F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum	Chulze et al. (2000)
		1997–1998 F. subglutinans, F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. equiseti, F. acuminatum, F. oxysporum, F. nygamai	Torres et al. $(2001)$
	2007	F. meridionale, F. boothi 2015–2017 F. verticillioides, F. subglutinans, F. graminearum, F. proliferatum, F. crockwellense	Sampietro et al. (2011) Castañares et al. (2019)
Belgium		2005-2007 F. graminearum, F. avenaceum, F. crockwellense, F. culmorum, F. poae, F. temperatum, F. arthrosporioides, F. proliferatum, F. tricinctum, F. equiseti, F. venenatum, F. verticillioides, F. heterosporum, F. oxysporum, F. torulosum, F. redolens, F. subglutinans, F. sporotrichioides, F. sambucinum, F. lateritium	Scauflaire et al. (2011)
Brazil		2016–2017 F. verticillioides, F. graminearum	Berghetti et al. (2020)
		2011-2012 F. verticillioides, F. temperatum, F. subglutinans, F. proliferatum, F. graminearum	Czembor et al. $(2015)$
	2011	F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum	Lanza et al. $(2014)$
China	2012	F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. graminearum, F. subglutinans	Qiu et al. (2015)
Germany		2006–2007 F. verticillioides, F. graminearum, F. proliferatum, F.crockwellense, F. subglutinans	Goertz et al. (2010)
Iran		2015-2016 F. subglutinans, F. temperatum, F. redolens, F. brachygibbosum, Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex (FIESC)	Fallahi and Saremi (2019)
Italy		1992-1993 F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum	Bottalico et al. (1995)
Nepal	1997	F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. graminearum, F. equiseti, F. incarnatum	Desjardins et al. (2000)
Peru		1987–1988 F. subglutinans, F. verticillioides, F. equiseti, F. graminearum, F. acuminatum, F. solani, F. oxysporum, F. culmorum	Logrieco et al. $(1993)$
Poland		1985–2014 F. graminearum, F. verticillioides, F. poae, F. subglutinans, F. avenaceum, F. crockwellense, F. proliferatum, F. tricinctum, F equiseti	Gromadzka et al. (2016)
		2013–2016 F. temperatum, F. subglutinans, F. ramigenum	Stepien et al. (2019)
Serbia	2018	F. graminearum, F. subglutinans, F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum	Krnjaja et al. (2020)
	2008	F. graminearum, F. subglutinans, F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum	Krnjaja et al. (2011)
Spain and France	1999	F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum F. graminearum, F. poae (both only from Spain maize)	Bakan et al. (2002)
Spain		2016-2018 F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. graminearum	García-Díaz et al. (2020)
		2007–2008 F. verticillioides, F. subglutinans, F. poae, F. proliferatum, F. oxysporum, F. crockwellense, F. equiseti, F. solani, F. culmorum	Aguín et al. (2014)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1 Species of *Fusarium* isolated from maize worldwide

ZEN and zearalenols (Bottalico et al., [1989\)](#page-11-0). As for Fusarium lateritium, this species was reported colonizing several substrates, which related to their presence in different regional agronomic practices (Leslie & Summerell, [2006\)](#page-13-0). Although F. lateritium is mainly reported in crops such as wheat (Ezekiel et al., [2008](#page-12-0)), this pathogen has occasionally been isolated from maize ears and stalks in a low proportion. F. lateritium has been reported as producing ENNs (Scauflaire et al., [2011\)](#page-14-0). Fusarium torulosum is a fungal pathogen infrequently encountered in maize ears (< 5%), occurring in a lower proportion in stalks during the R6-maturity stage (Scauflaire et al., [2011](#page-14-0)). F. torulosum produces ENNs, and also other molecules such as wortmannin and butenolide (Ryley et al., [2007;](#page-14-0) Scauflaire et al., [2011\)](#page-14-0). Recently, F. redolens was isolated at a low frequency (1.6%) in 182 maize samples from the main producing Iranian regions. These isolates produced ENNs and a high level of BEA (Fallahi & Saremi, [2019\)](#page-12-0). Fusarium solani, belongs to the Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC), is frequently isolated from soils acting as decomposers and has also been isolated from maize (Chehri et al., [2015](#page-11-0); Table 1).

The role of mycotoxins Much research has been carried out to identify which Fusarium species and resulting mycotoxin compounds are present in maize (Tables [1](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-5-0)). As observed above, F. verticillioides and FBs have been found worldwide (Table [2](#page-5-0)). However, some aspects of the plant-pathogen interaction and the role of mycotoxins in the plant remain to be elucidated.

Several studies have been carried out to test the hypothesis that high levels of production of FBs was related to high levels of pathogen virulence. For instance, Desjardins et al. ([1995](#page-11-0)) evaluated the role of FBs on maize seedlings by using FB-producing and FB-nonproducing F. fujikuroi isolates. The results demonstrated that FBs play a role in virulence, but production of FBs is not necessary or sufficient for virulence in maize seedlings. Jardine and Leslie ([1999](#page-12-0)) evaluated the aggressiveness of F. verticillioides against maize stalk under greenhouse conditions. All tested strains showed a broad range of stalk lesions, but these were not related to the production of FBs. Later, Desjardins and Plattner ([2000\)](#page-11-0) evaluated isolates of F. verticillioides able to produce FB1, FB2, FB3, and FB-nonproducing isolates, and their ability to cause infection in maize. Field assays were performed using two inoculation pathways: silk channel injection, and the application of inoculum to the seed at planting. The results showed that FBnonproducing isolates infect ears similarly to FBproducing isolates in both inoculation methods, indicating that FB1, FB2, and FB3 are not required for F. verticillioides to cause maize ear infection and ear rot. Contrary to these results, in 2008, Glenn et al. ([2008](#page-12-0)) demonstrated that FB production by F. verticillioides was necessary for developing foliar disease symptoms in maize seedlings by using a genetic approach targeting gene disruption. Moreover, the incidence and severity were likely dependent on the amount of FB produced.

Studies with a transgenic F. graminearum modified to produce trichothecenes were used to inoculate maize, and measured severity, kernel yield, DON content, and fungal biomass. The results showed that although this strain was less virulent, it continues to be pathogenic in maize, suggesting that trichothecenes can act as virulence factors favouring the spread of  $F$ . graminearum in this crop (Harris et al., [1999](#page-12-0)). The results contained discrepancies; therefore, the role of mycotoxins related to severity and aggressiveness continues to be uncertain. The use of pathogens modified to produce mycotoxins are being used as biocontrol agents against those mycotoxin-producing isolates. But, for this strategy to be a viable control source requires confirmation that the mycotoxin plays a role as virulence factor for the pathogen.

Factors involved in determining fungal incidence and mycotoxin production The importance of fungal presence and the subsequent mycotoxin production has led several countries to establish maximum levels for mycotoxins produced by Fusarium spp. in food and feed to ensure that their presence does not affect human and animal health. The maximum content (μg/kg) allowed for the main Fusarium mycotoxins in maize flours worldwide is summarized in Fig. [1](#page-6-0). According to the mycotoxin presence summarized in Table [2,](#page-5-0) some content exceeds these limits. For this reason, it is necessary to consider some of the factors, described below, that stimulate the fungal presence and mycotoxin production within the crop production pipeline.

### Kernel development and composition

Regarding the composition of maize kernels, Bluhm and Woloshuk ([2005](#page-11-0)) studied how kernel development and composition affects FB1 biosynthesis during colonization of the kernel by  $F$ . verticillioides. The results showed that the fungus grows well through all stages of development, reaching optimal growth in the dent and mature kernel stages. Moreover, they observed a higher level of FB1 in cultures grown in a liquid medium provided with amylopectin than those containing maltose, amylose, glucose, or dextran. Chulze et al. [\(1996\)](#page-11-0) analyzed the occurrence of Fusarium and FB content in Argentinean maize. Kernels at different growth stages were sampled from 45 to 105 days after flowering. F. subglutinans was the predominant species in the first samples, with a low FB content. Later, F. proliferatum was isolated more frequently than other *Fusarium* belonging to the same section, and the highest FB content was detected 75 days after flowering, at around physiological maturity. Finally, at harvest time, F. verticillioides was the most frequently isolated species, and the FB content was less than that found 75 days after flowering (Chulze et al., [1996](#page-11-0)). Later, Bush et al. [\(2004\)](#page-11-0) determined the kernels infected with F. verticillioides and FB contamination in harvested samples in eastern North Carolina. The results showed that the fungal presence and FBs contamination appeared near physiological kernel maturity when the kernel was in the dent stage (35–45% moisture) and

Country	Year	Mycotoxins	References			
		FBs	<b>DON</b>	<b>NIV</b>	<b>ZEN</b>	
Africa	2015-2016	990 (14347)	152 (1380)	14.2(35.7)	13.6 (146)	Ekwomadu et al. (2020)
Argentina	2017-2019		316.58 (856.50)			Castañares et al. (2019)
Belgium	2016-2018	131.8 (6293.5)	396.4 (5322.4)	748.7 (6776.3)	159.7 (2791.6)	Vandicke et al. (2019)
<b>Brazil</b>	2015-2016	373.50 (1190.33)	50.77 (90.54)		18.39 (59.87)	Czembor et al. $(2015)$
Germany	2006	2370 (20690)	1780 (19570)	160(4410)	70 (860)	Goertz et al. $(2010)$
Italy	2014 2015	5593 (8332) 10,993 (19371)	3669 (6818) 274 (670)	18.13 (37.8) 1.4(1.6)	2262.5 (3491) 41 (72)	Blandino et al. (2017)
Nepal	1997	2300 (6500)	2500 (3500)			Desjardins et al. (2000)
Poland	2011 2012	488.16 (856) 1567.25 (2531)	4902.9 (8380) 1511.33 (1829)		40.84 (67.77) 150.37 (232.75)	Bocianowski et al. (2019)
	2011-2012	375.50 (1190.33)	50.77 (90.54)		18.39 (59.87)	Czembor et al. (2015)
Russia	2016-2018	(9976)	(3300)		(3970)	Kokonenko et al. (2019)
Serbia	2013-20,195	$(4000)*$ $(60000)**$	$(1750)*$ $(8000)$ **		$(350)*$ $(4000)$ **	Kos et al. (2020)
	2018	794.98 (1202)	45.82 (64.67)			Krnjaja et al. (2020)
<b>USA</b>	1990	(48.5)				Shelby et al. (1994)
	1991	0.87(1.82)				Chamberlain et al. (1993)
	1998 1999	44.4 (85.5) 2.73(8.1)				Abbas et al. $(2006)$
	2001	48.3 (56.8)				

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 2 Quantification of the main Fusarium mycotoxins in maize worldwide. FB: fumonisins; DON: deoxynivalenol; NIV: nivalenol; ZEN: zearalenones. Mycotoxin content is expressed in μg/kg (maximum values between parentheses)

\*maize for human consumption; \*\*maize for animal consumption

increased until harvest. For this reason, early harvest (at greater than 25% kernel moisture) may be a potential strategy to reduce the level of FB contamination.

## Environmental conditions

In 2014, Cao et al. [\(2014](#page-11-0)) determined that the two critical periods for FB production were flowering and kernel drying. They also concluded that high rainfall and higher humidity around silking together with low temperatures limited F. verticillioides infection, while high temperatures increased the FB content. De la Campa et al. ([2005](#page-11-0)) developed a model to predict FBs contamination by considering weather conditions and insect damage. The results showed that environmental conditions around silking were found to be more critical for FB production than insect damage, while high temperatures (Tmax  $>34$  °C) and dry conditions (rain <2 mm) stimulate FB accumulation. In Italy, Maiorano et al. [\(2009\)](#page-13-0) developed a risk model named FUMAgrain involving the maize-F. verticillioides pathosystem based on maize development, F. verticillioides infection, FB production, and the damage caused by the European Corn Borer (Ostrinia nubilalis). Considering meteorological conditions, FUMAgrain provides risk alerts at the end of the flowering and maturation. García-Díaz et al. [\(2020](#page-12-0)) evaluated the presence of mycotoxins and their Fusarium producing species in three different stages during three consecutive seasons  $(2016–2018)$ . The results showed that *F. verticillioides*, F. proliferatum, and F. graminearum were the only species present, while FB was the only mycotoxin quantified. Moreover, high FB content was detected at the pre-harvest stage (seven days before harvest; 35% kernel moisture), mainly in the 2017 season, where humid (rainfall of 50 mm) and high temperatures (maximum of 42 °C) might have effected an increase in FB production. Furthermore, Ferreira Rosa Junior et al. [\(2019](#page-12-0)) showed that an environment with higher temperatures might increase FB production in hybrid maize.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 1 Maximum levels of Fusarium mycotoxins allowed in maize flours worldwide. References: blue, green, and red boxes represent the maximum tolerable for FBs, DON, and ZEN content, respectively. ND. Not detectable

Recently, Links et al. ([2020](#page-13-0)) evaluated the association of maize characteristics associated with resistance to F. verticillioides and FB accumulation in available commercial cultivars. For this purpose, 15 commercial cultivars were silk channel inoculated with F. verticillioides, and structural trait, physic-chemical properties, gene expression of defense-related genes, infection, and climate data variables were analysed. The results demonstrated that pH, N content, C/N ratio, peroxidase activity, and PR5 expression of each cultivar evaluated correlate positively with F. verticillioides infection. The kernel pH value strongly influences the presence of F. verticillioides and the production of the mycotoxins. Flaherty et al. [\(2003\)](#page-12-0) showed that low pH increases the presence of F. verticillioides, while acidic conditions favoured FB production. According to these results, several assays have been developed to understand the optimal requirements for FB production, suggesting that the optimal pH values ranged from 3 to 4, requiring good aeration for maximum FB production (Keller et al., [1997](#page-12-0)).

# Storage factors

Farmers usually store kernels using conventional hermetic bags or silos to ensure maize supply for several months. In this condition, the main biotic factor affecting kernel quality is the level of fungal presence, whose growth and mycotoxin production depend mainly on atmosphere, kernel moisture, pH, and temperature conditions. Africa is one of the countries that widely uses maize storage for human consumption, which has been the object of several types of research.

In 1996, samples from several small-holder farm storage units from different districts of Kenya were obtained to analyze the presence of Fusarium. Many species were found: F. verticillioides, F. subglutinans, F. graminearum, F. oxysporum, and F. solani. The concentration of FBs varied from 3600 to 11,600  $\mu$ g/kg, not quantifying FB in those samples that showed a lot of FB producing-F. verticillioides (Kedera et al., [1999\)](#page-12-0). These results demonstrated that the optimal conditions for fungal growth cannot be similar to those required by mycotoxin production. In Nigeria, Akoma et al. ([2019](#page-10-0)) collected 13 maize samples from various storage facilities, identifying the fungi present and mycotoxin production. Fusarium spp. were present in 27% of the samples. DON was detected in 92.3% of the samples with a mean value of 9.25 μg/kg, making it the most important mycotoxin found in the samples.

Similarly, the effect of three different structures used in Uganda to store maize kernels was evaluated. The kernel humidity, fungal presence, and the mycotoxins were measured. After two months of storage, the kernel humidity decreased from 19.2% to <14%. The *Fusari*um presence increased during the first two months but

decreased by the sixth month, while the FB content decreased over the storage period (Atukwase et al., [2012](#page-10-0)). Fandohan et al. ([2005](#page-12-0)) also demonstrated that FB content decreased over the six months of storage, and no differences were observed among the three different storage structures used. However, the evaluation of Fusarium spp. in maize in Ethiopia demonstrated that the occurrence of *Fusarium* increased after six months of storage (Negasa et al., [2019](#page-14-0)). Maize samples from household maize storage units in three different agroecological sites were obtained. Several Fusarium spp., mainly *F. verticillioides*, were found and FBs were recorded, with a maximum of 10,000 μg/kg in a sample (Kankolongo et al., [2009](#page-12-0)). In 2011, Eckard et al. [\(2011\)](#page-12-0) developed a survey to evaluate different Fusarium species and mycotoxin production in 17 samples of an entire plant used for silage in Switzerland. A total of 12 Fusarium species were identified, with F. sporotrichioides, F. graminearum, and F. verticillioides, being the most prevalent species isolated. DON was the main mycotoxin quantified with a mean of 1356 μg/kg, while NIV, 3-ADON, 15-ADON, HT-2, T-2, and ZEN were found in some samples.

The physiological stage of the crop at which samples are collected could determine not only the presence of a specific pathogen but also the mycotoxin detected. For instance, García-Díaz et al. ([2020](#page-12-0)) sampled maize kernels in Spain in three physiological stages: anthesis, harvest, and storage. F. verticillioides and F. subglutinans were found in pre-harvest and storage, while F. graminearum was only present in storage. The only mycotoxins detected were FBs in the anthesis and harvest stages, but their occurrence was not detected in storage for one year of the three evaluated. Recently, Carbas et al. ([2021](#page-11-0)) evaluated Fusarium spp. and mycotoxin production in maize from Portugal. The results showed the predominance of F. verticillioides over F. subglutinans, F. proliferatum, and F. graminearum at harvest and after six months of storage. Of the mycotoxins, only FBs were detected, increasing in their values by 20–40% during storage. It seems that storage conditions could stimulate the production of mycotoxins, but there are discrepancies regarding this conclusion. For instance, in Belgium, Vandicke et al. [\(2021\)](#page-14-0), monitoring maize silage, concluded that the average mycotoxin content reduced during storage. According to the authors, the different results obtained could be the product of poorly

conserved storage. Therefore, the entry of oxygen could modify the atmosphere inside the silage, thus favouring fungal colonization and subsequent mycotoxin contamination. In summary, the conditions that favour the presence of fungal and subsequent mycotoxins production in harvest time and storage have been described in Fig. [2.](#page-9-0)

## Tools to reduce Fusarium impact

As climatic conditions cannot be controlled, management strategies focused on the host could be used to mitigate the impact of the Fusarium species and their effect on the final products as described below (Fig. [2\)](#page-9-0).

Cultural practices One of the most important management practices to reduce *Fusarium* and subsequent mycotoxin production in maize is the crop rotation. Cotton and Munkvold ([1998](#page-11-0)) demonstrated that isolates of Fusarium species producing FER can survive at least 630 days on the surface or in buried maize residue. These results demonstrate the importance of intelligently evaluating the rotation of crops, considering that maize residue acts as a long-term source of inoculum for future crops. Similarly, Chang et al. [\(2020](#page-11-0)) showed that a maize/soybean relay-strip intercropping system could reduce and change the diversity and aggressiveness of Fusarium species.

The choice of sowing date is another important tool for reducing Fusarium presence. Berghetti et al. ([2020](#page-10-0)) demonstrated, in Brazil, that fungal incidence is lower earlier in the sowing season (September) compared to later in the season (December). In Italy, Blandino et al. [\(2017\)](#page-10-0) investigated the effect of the combination of sowing dates and maize hybrids on the occurrence of mycotoxins. The FBs content increased in the late sowing date.

Conventional and no-tillage systems have not shown differences in the incidence of FBs, but N fertilization increased its amount (Marocco et al., [2008](#page-13-0)). In Brazil, however, Sataque Ono et al. [\(2011\)](#page-14-0) demonstrated that FBs content correlates negatively with N content.

Another cultural practice that can increase the occurrence of Fusarium spp. is the choice of crop density. Krnjaja et al. [\(2019\)](#page-13-0) compared the fungal presence and mycotoxin production at three plant densities: 55,000 (PD1), 64,000 (PD2), and 75,000 (PD3) plants/ha. The occurrence of Fusarium spp. increased with plant density. Comparing PD1 and PD3, an increase in DON and FB content (from 132.06 μg/kg to 196.94 μg/kg and from 1012.69 μg/kg to 1260.25 μg/kg, respectively) were also observed.

Maize hybrid selection Although several cultural practices have been evaluated to reduce Fusarium presence and mycotoxin contamination, these are not efficient enough to control field infection, mainly because disease severity depends strongly on climatic conditions. Selection of maize hybrids is the primary tool to reduce Fusarium infection. Studies have shown that different maize hybrids develop different levels of Fusarium severity and mycotoxin content; hence selection of genotypes with the best features could reduce the effects of Fusarium on maize kernels and their subsequent mycotoxin contamination. Presello et al. ([2006\)](#page-14-0) evaluated a set of Argentinian maize in Ottawa, Canada, inoculated with F. verticillioides and F. graminearum to search for resistant germplasm. Those that showed the best behaviour were reevaluated in Pergamino, Argentina. The results demonstrated that the variable with most effect was the genotype, compared with the fungus or environment. Some maize components play a crucial role in the genotypic resistance against Fusarium. For example, Bily et al. ([2003](#page-10-0)) found that dehydromers of ferulic acid, the primary cell wall phenolic acids, may play an important role against F. graminearum. It has been shown that maize with high content of phlobaphenes, a phenolic compound of the pericarp, results in a decrease in mycotoxin accumulation (Landoni et al., [2020](#page-13-0)). Moreover, transgenic maize hybrids expressing cryIA genes that reduce kernel damage by insects reduce Fusarium infection, preventing one of the possible entryways of the fungus (Bacon et al., [2008](#page-10-0)). The use of Bt maize genotypes seems to reduce fungal growth and mycotoxin contamination (Bakan et al.,  $2002$ ; Magg et al.,  $2002$ ). Gasperini et al. ([2021](#page-12-0)) evaluated the fungal and mycotoxin presence in several of Brazil's genetically modified (GM) non-GM maize cultivars. The number of fungal species and quantity of mycotoxins were significantly lower in GM maize than non-GM maize. Another important trait to consider when selecting the maize hybrid is the kernel dry-down rate (KDD) which could decrease GER disease development (Kebebe et al., [2015](#page-12-0)).

Biological control Several biological control strategies have been shown to have positive effects on F. verticillioides endophytic growth and FB production.

The endophytic bacterium Bacillus subtilis occupies the F. verticillioides ecological niche within the plant, reducing its growth and subsequent mycotoxin accumulation (Bacon et al., [2001\)](#page-10-0). Cavaglieri et al. ([2005](#page-11-0)) evaluated bacterial populations from the maize rhizoplane and their capacity to inhibit F. verticillioides root colonization and FB1 accumulation in vitro. B. subtilis CE1 strain was the most effective in reducing F. verticillioides growth parameters and toxin production in greenhouse assays.

Trichoderma viridae, isolated from maize root, has also been used against  $F$ . *verticillioides*, suppressing radial extension of colonies on PDA plates as well as FB1 production (Yates et al., [1999\)](#page-15-0). It has also been demonstrated that treatment with Trichoderma agents has advantages for controlling pathogen infection and promoting maize seedling growth (Lu et al., [2020\)](#page-13-0).

Recently, the use of the biological control agent (BCA) Clonostachys rosea was demonstrated to be effective at suppressing the development and release of primary inoculum of F. graminearum from maize stalks (Gimeno et al., [2020](#page-12-0)).

The use of essential oils to inhibit fungal growth and mycotoxins has also been demonstrated. Perczak et al. [\(2020\)](#page-14-0) tested the capacity of cinnamon, palmarosa, orange, and spearmint essential oils against F. graminearum and F. culmorum, demonstrating that these could inhibit fungal growth and reduce mycotoxin production. Cinnamon and oregano essential oils have been shown to be effective against F. proliferatum and its FB production (Velutti et al., [2003\)](#page-15-0).

Chemical control Although research into the chemical control of epidemics of Fusarium species has mostly been carried out on wheat (Nicholson et al., [2004](#page-14-0)), fungicides can be an important tool for managing FER, GER, and mycotoxin contamination in maize kernels. In vitro studies have demonstrated that sufficiently high doses of carbendazim and prochloraz reduced both the growth rate of F. graminearum and 3-ADON production. However, low doses of thiabendizole, tebuconazole, and fluquinconazole increased mycotoxin production relative to mycelial growth (Matthies et al., [1999](#page-13-0)). In addition, Simpson et al. ([2001\)](#page-14-0) observed that the application of azoxystrobin in field trials may

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Diagram of the life cycle of Fusarium ear rot (FER), Gibberella ear rot (GER), and their interaction with host plant (Zea mays L.). Above: disease triangle composed by PH (pathogens), CC (climatic conditions), and PL (host plant). Below: management strategies such as biological control, cultural practices, and hybrid selection. References: (i)  $PH: Fv =$ F. verticillioides,  $Fs = F$ . subglutinans,  $Fp = F$ . proliferatum,  $Fg = F$ . graminearum,  $Fc = F$ . culmorum,  $Fa = F$ . avenaceum;

(ii) CC: RH = relative humidity,  $W = wind$ ,  $Pp = precipitations$ ,  $T =$  temperature, aw = water activity; (iii) PL:  $Co =$  conidia,  $ICR =$  infected crop residues, ow = infected residues that overwinter in the soil,  $I =$  spore-carrying insects, SH,  $\inf =$  systemic hyphae infection, IK = infected kernels, ST = kernel storage, Atm = atmosphere,  $KM =$  kernel moisture. (iv) Others:  $GM =$ genetically modified,  $KDD =$  kernel dry-down rate

stimulate the production of mycotoxins, increasing the risk of grain contamination in susceptible wheat cultivars. This result was due to the differential control of FHB pathogens, allowing greater colonization by toxigenic Fusarium species, increasing the levels of DON.

The effectiveness of fungicides in field conditions for maize crops depends on the active ingredients, doses, and timing of application (Eli et al., [2021](#page-12-0)), suggesting the need for an integrated approach (Ferrigo et al., [2016\)](#page-12-0). For instance, application of a mixture of azoxystrobin + cyproconazole  $(0,3 \text{ L/ha})$ , Priori Xtra®) and carbendazim (1 L/ha, Derosal®) at two days around flowering could reduce GER severity (Andriolli et al., [2016](#page-10-0)). In addition, Masiello et al. ([2019](#page-13-0)) evaluated in vitro 11 fungicides belonging to eight chemical classes with five different modes of action, selected from the most effective molecules used to control diseases caused by ascomycetes fungi. In artificially inoculated field trials, applications of prothioconazole (0.8 L/ha, Proline®) and thiophanate-methyl (1.25 L/ha, Enovit Methyl®) during flowering reduced contamination with F. graminearum (52% and 48%, respectively) and F. proliferatum (44% and 27%, respectively). However, none of the fungicides evaluated in field conditions was effective at controlling F. verticillioides. Recently, Eli et al. [\(2021\)](#page-12-0) reported that the application of a novel carboxamide (pydiflumetofen), conventional triazole fungicides, and mixtures at full silk under field conditions reduced GER symptoms and the accumulation of DON and DON-3-glucoside, but did not affect FB concentrations in the kernel. Considering the research reported for small grain cereals, more detailed studies are required to design chemical control strategies that minimize the risk of contamination with mycotoxins in maize kernels.

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Conclusion**

The importance of the *Fusarium* genus as a maize pathogen has been well established. The capacity of this species to produce mycotoxins is well documented, both in vitro and under natural conditions. However, some aspects of the role of these mycotoxins in the life cycle of the fungus and their effect on plants are still uncertain.

Storage of maize kernels is commonly practised worldwide, and monitoring the Fusarium presence and mycotoxin content within the storage process is necessary. Several countries have set maximum levels of Fusarium mycotoxins for consumers; however, there is a lack of legislation in most countries. In the coming years, maize production is likely to increase to produce food and feed worldwide. The presence of Fusarium spp. and mycotoxin contamination make it difficult to achieve these objectives without compromising food safety. Hence a significant effort in disease mitigation through crop management is necessary to reduce future risks, using some strategies described above.

Acknowledgements This research were supported by: Universidad Nacional del centro de la Provincia de Buenos. Programa de Fortalecimiento a la Ciencia y la Tecnología en las Universidades Nacionales. JOVIN-Proyectos Jóvenes Investigadores 2021/2022. FONCYT-SECYT PICT 0410/2020, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT).

# **Declarations**

Conflicts of interest/competing interests The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Abbas, H. K., Cartwright, R. D., Xie, W., & Shier, W. T. (2006). Aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination of corn (maize, Zea mays) hybrids in Arkansas. Crop Protection,  $25(1)$ , 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2005.02.009>
- Aguín, O., Cao, A., Pintos, C., Santiago, R., Mansilla, P., & Butrón, A. (2014). Occurrence of Fusarium species in maize kernels grown in northwestern Spain. Plant Pathology, 63(4), 946–951. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12151>
- Akoma, O. N., Ezeh, C. C., Chukwudozie, K. I., Iwuchuckwu, C. C., & Apeh, D. O. (2019). Fungal and mycotoxins contamination of stored maize in Kogi, northcentral Nigeria: An implication for public health. European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety, 9, 220–232. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.9734/EJNFS/2019/v9i330061) [org/10.9734/EJNFS/2019/v9i330061](https://doi.org/10.9734/EJNFS/2019/v9i330061)
- Andriolli, C. F., Trezzi Casa, R., Kuhnem, P. R., Bogo, A., Zancan, R. L., & Reis, E. M. (2016). Timing of fungicide application for the control of Gibberella ear rot of maize. Tropical Plant Pathology, 41(4), 264–269. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40858-016-0095-3) [org/10.1007/s40858-016-0095-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40858-016-0095-3)
- Atukwase, A., Kaaya, A. N., & Muyanja, C. (2012). Dynamics of Fusarium and fumonisins in maize during storage- A case of the traditional storage structures commonly used in Uganda. Food Control, 26(1), 200–205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.01.016) [foodcont.2012.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.01.016)
- Avila, C. F., Moreira, G. M., Nicolli, C. P., Gomes, L. B., Abreu, L. M., Pfenning, L. H., Haidukowski, M., Moretti, A., Logrieco, A., & Del Ponte, E. M. (2019). Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex associated with Brazilian rice: Phylogeny, morphology and toxigenic potential. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 306, 108267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108267>
- Bacon, C. W., Glenn, A. E., & Yates, I. E. (2008). Fusarium verticillioides: Managing the endophytic association with maize for reduced fumonisins accumulation. Toxin Reviews, 27(3–4), 411–446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15569540802497889>
- Bacon, C. W., & Nelson, P. E. (1994). Fumonisin production in corn by toxigenic strains of Fusarium moniliforme and Fusarium proliferatum. Journal of Food Protection, 57(6), 514–521. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-57.6.514>
- Bacon, C. W., Yates, I. E., Hinton, D. M., & Meredith, F. (2001). Biological control of Fusarium moniliforme in maize. Environmental Health Perspectives, 109(suppl. 2), 325– 332. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s2325>
- Bakan, B., Melcion, D., Richard-Molard, D., & Cahagnier, B. (2002). Fungal growth and Fusarium mycotoxin content in isogenic traditional maize and genetically modified maize grown in France and Spain. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50(4), 728–731. [https://doi.org/10.1021](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0108258) [/jf0108258](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0108258)
- Belizán, M. M. E., Gomez, A., Terán Baptista, Z. P., Jimenez, C. M., Sánchez, M., Catalán, C. A. N., & Sampietro, D. A. (2019). Influence of water activity and temperature  $i$ <sub>c</sub>on growth and production of trichothecenes of Fusarium graminearum sensu stricto and related species in maize grains. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 305, 108242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108242>
- Berghetti, J., Trezzi Casa, R., Coelho, A. E., Sangoi, L., Nascimento da Silva, F., Scheidt, B. T., Martins, F. C., & Ludwig, A. H. (2020). Grain quality of maize hybrids submitted to different sowing times and nitrogen rates. Revista de Ciencias Agroveterinarias, 19(1), 26–34. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5965/223811711912020026) [org/10.5965/223811711912020026](https://doi.org/10.5965/223811711912020026)
- Bily, A. C., Reid, L. M., Taylor, J. H., Johnston, D., Malouin, C., Burt, A. J., Bakan, B., Regnault-Roger, C., Pauls, K. P., Arnason, J. T., & Philogene, B. J. R. (2003). Dehydrodimers of ferulic acid in maize grain pericarp and aleurone: Resistance factors to Fusarium graminearum. Phytopathology, 93(6), 712–719. [https://doi.org/10.1094](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.6.712) [/PHYTO.2003.93.6.712](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.6.712)
- Blandino, M., Scarpino, V., Giordano, D., Sulyok, M., Krska, R., Vanara, F., & Reyneri, A. (2017). Impact of sowing time, hybrid and environmental conditions on the contamination of maize by emerging mycotoxins and fungal metabolites. Italian Journal of Agronomy, 12(3), 928. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2017.928) [org/10.4081/ija.2017.928](https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2017.928)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Bluhm, B. H., & Woloshuk, C. P. (2005). Amylopectin induces Fumonisin B1 production by Fusarium verticillioides during colonization of maize kernels. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions, 18(12), 1333–1339. [https://doi.org/10.1094](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-1333) [/MPMI-18-1333](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-1333)
- Bocianowski, J., Szulc, P., Waśkiewicz, A., Nowosad, K., & Kobus-Cisowska, J. (2019). Ergosterol and Fusarium mycotoxins content in two maize cultivars under different forms of nitrogen fertilizers. Journal of Phytopathology, 167(9), 516– 526. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.12842>
- Bottalico, A., Logrieco, A., Ritieni, A., Moretti, A., Randazzo, G., & Corda, E. P. (1995). Beauvericin and fumonisins B1 in preharvest Fusarium moniliforme maize ear rot in Sardinia. Food Additives and Contaminants, 12(4), 599–607. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02652039509374348>
- Bottalico, A., Logrieco, A., & Visconti, A. (1989). Fusarium species and their mycotoxins in infected corn in Italy. Mycopathologia, 107(2), 85–92. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00707543) [/BF00707543](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00707543)
- Bush, B. J., Carson, M. L., Cubeta, M. A., Hagler, W. M., & Payne, G. (2004). Infection and fumonisin production by Fusarium verticillioides in developing maize kernels. Phytopathology, 94(1), 88–93. [https://doi.org/10.1094](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.1.88) [/PHYTO.2004.94.1.88](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.1.88)
- Cao, A., Santiago, R., Ramos, A. J., Souto, X. C., Aguín, O., Malvar, R. A., & Butrón, A. (2014). Critical environmental and genotypic factors for Fusarium verticillioides infection, fungal growth and fumonisin contamination in maize grown in northwestern Spain. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 177, 63–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.02.004) [ijfoodmicro.2014.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.02.004)
- Carbas, B., Simoes, D., Soares, A., Freitas, A., Ferreira, B., Carvalho, A. R. F., Silva, A. S., Pinto, T., Diogo, E., Andrade, E., et al. (2021). Occurrence of Fusarium spp. in maize grain harvested in Portugal and accumulation of related mycotoxins during storage. Foods, 10(2), 375. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020375) [org/10.3390/foods10020375](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020375)
- Castañares, E., Alburquerque, D. R., Dinolfo, M. I., Fernandez Pinto, V., Patriarca, A., & Stenglein, S. A. (2014). Trichothecene genotypes and production profiles of Fusarium graminearum isolates obtained from barley cultivated in Argentina. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 179, 57–63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.03.024) [ijfoodmicro.2014.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.03.024)
- Castañares, E., Martínez, M., Cristos, D., Rojas, D., Lara, B., Stenglein, S. A., & Dinolfo, M. I. (2019). Fusarium species and mycotoxin contamination in maize in Buenos Aires province, Argentina. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 155(4), 1265–1275. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-01853-5) [01853-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-01853-5)
- Cavaglieri, L., Orlando, J., Rodríguez, M. I., Chulze, S., & Etcheverry, M. (2005). Biocontrol of Bacillus subtilies against Fusarium verticillioides in vitro and at the maize root level. Research in Microbiology, 156(5–6), 748–754. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2005.03.001>
- Chamberlain, W. J., Bacon, C. W., Norred, W. P., & Voss, K. A. (1993). Levels of fumonisin B1 in corn naturally contaminated with aflatoxins. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 31(12), 995–998. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915\(93](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915(93)90009-N) [\)90009-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915(93)90009-N)
- Chang, X., Ya, L., Naeem, M., Khaskheli, M. I., Zhang, H., Gong, G., Zhang, M., Song, C., Yang, W., Liu, T., & Chen, W. (2020). Maize/soybean relay strip intercropping reduces the occurrence of Fusarium root rot and changes the diversity of the pathogenic Fusarium species. Pathogens, 9(3), 211. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9030211>
- Chehri, K., Salleh, B., & Zakaria, L. (2015). Morphological and phylogenetic analysis of Fusarium solani species complex in Malaysia. Microbial Ecology, 69, 457–471. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-014-0494-2) [org/10.1007/s00248-014-0494-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-014-0494-2)
- Chulze, S. N., Ramirez, M. L., Farnochi, M. C., Pascale, M., Visconti, A., & March, G. (1996). Fusarium and fumonisin occurrence in Argentinian corn at different ear maturity stages. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 44(9), 2297–2801. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf950381d>
- Chulze, S. N., Ramirez, M. L., Torres, A., & Leslie, J. F. (2000). Genetic variation in Fusarium section Liseola from no-till maize in Argentina. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 66(12), 5312–5315. [https://doi.org/10.1128](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.12.5312-5315.2000) [/AEM.66.12.5312-5315.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.12.5312-5315.2000)
- Cotton, T. K., & Munkvold, G. P. (1998). Survival of Fusarium moniliforme, F. proliferatum, and F. subglutinans in maize stalk residue. Phytopathology, 88(6), 550-555. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1998.88.6.550) [org/10.1094/PHYTO.1998.88.6.550](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1998.88.6.550)
- Czembor, E., Stępień, L., & Waskiewicz, A. (2015). Effect of environmental factors on Fusarium species and associated mycotoxins in maize grain grown in Poland. PLoS One, 10(7), 30133644. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133644) [pone.0133644](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133644)
- De la Campa, R., Hooker, D. C., Miller, J. D., Schaafsma, A. W., & Hammons, B. G. (2005). Modelling effects of environment, insect damage and *Bt* genotypes on fumonisin accumulation in maize in Argentina and the Philippines. Mycopathologia, 159(4), 539–552. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-005-2150-3) [/s11046-005-2150-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-005-2150-3)
- De la Torre-Hernández, M. E., Sánchez-Rangel, D., Galeana-Sánchez, E., & Plasencia-de la Parra, J. (2014). Fumonisinas-síntesis y función en la interacción Fusarium verticillioides-maíz. Revista Especializada en Ciencias Químico-Biológicas, 17(1), 77–91.
- Desjardins, A. E., Manandhar, G., Plattner, R. D., Maragos, C. M., Shrestha, K., & McCormick, S. P. (2000). Occurrence of Fusarium species and mycotoxins in Nepalese maize and wheat and the effect of traditional processing methods on mycotoxin levels. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 48(4), 1377–1383. [https://doi.org/10.1021](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf991022b) [/jf991022b](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf991022b)
- Desjardins, A. E., & Plattner, R. D. (2000). Fumonisin B1 nonproducing strains of Fusarium verticillioides cause maize (Zea mays) ear infection and ear rot. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 48(11), 5773–5780. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000619k) [org/10.1021/jf000619k](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000619k)
- Desjardins, A. E., Plattner, R. D., Nelsen, T. C., & Leslie, J. F. (1995). Genetic analysis of fumonisin production and virulence of Gibberella fujikuroi mating population A (Fusarium moniliforme) on maize (Zea mays) seedlings. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 61(1), 79–86. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.61.1.79-86.1995) [org/10.1128/aem.61.1.79-86.1995](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.61.1.79-86.1995)
- Dinolfo, M. I., & Stenglein, S. A. (2014). Fusarium poae and mycotoxins: Potential risk for consumers. Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica, 49(1), 5–20.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Eckard, S., Wettstein, F. E., Forrer, H. R., & Vogelgsang, S. (2011). Incidence of Fusarium species and mycotoxins in silage maize. Toxins, 3(8), 946–967. [https://doi.org/10.3390](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins3080949) [/toxins3080949](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins3080949)
- Ekwomadu, T. I., Dada, T. A., Nieya, N., Gopane, R., Sulyok, M., & Mwanza, M. (2020). Variation of Fusarium free, masked, and emerging mycotoxin metabolites in maize from agriculture regions of South Africa. Toxins, 12(3), 149. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12030149) [org/10.3390/toxins12030149](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12030149)
- Eli, K., Schaafsma, A. W., Limay-Rios, V., & Hooker, D. C. (2021). Effect of pydiflumetofen on Gibberella ear rot and Fusarium mycotoxin accumulation in maize grain. World Mycotoxin Journal, 14(4), 495–512. [https://doi.org/10.3920](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2020.2638) [/WMJ2020.2638](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2020.2638)
- Ezekiel, C. N., Odebode, A. C., & Fapohunda, S. O. (2008). Zearalenone production by naturally occurring Fusarium species on maize, wheat and soybeans from Nigeria. Journal of Biological and Environmental Sciences, 2(6), 77–82.
- Fallahi, M., & Saremi, H. (2019). Mycotoxins produced by Fusarium species associated with maize ear rot in Iran. Journal of Medicinal Plants Biotechnology, 5, 13–24.
- Fandohan, P., Gnonlonfin, B., Hell, K., Marasas, W. F. O., & Wingfield, M. L. (2005). Natural occurrence of Fusarium and subsequent fumonisin contamination in preharvest and stored maize in Benin, West Africa. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 99(2), 173–183. [https://doi.org/10.1016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.012) [/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.012)
- FAO. (2020). Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura. [Internet]. 2020. Disponible en: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/visualize>. Accessed 22 July 2020
- Ferreira Rosa Junior, O., Sunti Dalcin, M., Nascimento, V. L., Haesbaert, F. M., de Souza Ferreira, T. P., Fidelis, R. R., de Almeida Sarmento, R., de Souza Aguiar, R. W., de Oliveira, E. E., & dos Santos, G. R. (2019). Fumonisin production by Fusarium verticillioides in maize genotypes cultivated in different environments. Toxins, 11(4), 215. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11040215) [org/10.3390/toxins11040215](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11040215)
- Ferrigo, D., Raiola, A., & Causin, R. (2016). Fusarium toxins in cereals: Occurrence, legislation, factors promoting the appearance and their management. Molecules, 21(5), 627.
- Flaherty, J. E., Pirttilä, A. M., Bluhm, B. H., & Woloshuk, C. P. (2003). PAC1, a pH-regulatory gene from. Fusarium verticillioides Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 69(9), 5222–5227. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5222-](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5222-5227.2003) [5227.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5222-5227.2003)
- García-Díaz, M., Gil-Serna, J., Vázques, C., Botia, M. N., & Patiño, B. (2020). A comprehensive study on the occurrence of mycotoxins and their producing fundi during the maize production cycle in Spain. Microorganisms, 8(1), 141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8010141>
- Gasperini, A. M., Garcia-Cela, E., Sulyok, M., Medina, A., & Magan, N. (2021). Fungal diversity and metabolomics profiles in GM and isogenic non-GM maize cultivars from Brazil. Mycotoxin Research, 37(1), 39–48. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-020-00414-8) [org/10.1007/s12550-020-00414-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-020-00414-8)
- Gelderblom, W. C. A., Abel, S., Smits, C. M., Marnewick, J., Marasas, W. J. O., Lemmer, E. R., & Ramljak, D. (2001). Fumonisin-induced hepatocarcinogenesis: Mechanism related to cancer initiation and promotion. Environmental Health

Perspectives, 109(suppl.2), 291–300. [https://doi.org/10.1289](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s2291) [/ehp.01109s2291](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s2291)

- Gimeno, A., Kägi, A., Drakopoulos, D., Bänziger, I., Lehmann, E., Forrer, H. R., Keller, B., & Vogelgsang, S. (2020). From laboratory to the field: Biological control of Fusarium graminearum on infected maize crop residues. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 129(3), 680–694. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14634) [org/10.1111/jam.14634](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14634)
- Glenn, A. E., Zitomer, N. C., Zimeri, A. E., Williams, L. D., Riley, R. T., & Proctor, R. H. (2008). Transformation-mediated complementation of a FUM gene cluster deletion in Fusarium verticillioides restores both fumonisin production and pathogenicity on maize seedlings. Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions, 21(1), 87–97. [https://doi.org/10.1094](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-1-0087) [/MPMI-21-1-0087](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-1-0087)
- Goertz, A., Zuehlke, S., Spiteller, M., Steiner, U., Dehue, H. W., Waalwijk, C., de Vries, I., & Oerke, E. C. (2010). Fusarium species and mycotoxin profiles on commercial maize hybrids in Germany. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 128(1), 101–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-010-9634-9>
- Gromadzka, K., Górna, K., Chelkowski, J., & Waskiewicz, A. (2016). Mycotoxins and related Fusarium species in preharvest maize ear rot in Poland. Plant Soil and Environment, 62(8), 348–354. <https://doi.org/10.17221/119/2016-PSE>
- Harris, L. J., Desjardins, A. E., Plattner, R. D., Nicholson, P., Butler, G., Young, J. C., Weston, G., Proctor, R. H., & Hohn, T. M. (1999). Possible role of trichothecene mycotoxins in virulence of Fusarium graminearum on maize. Plant Disease, 83(10), 954–960. [https://doi.org/10.1094](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.10.954) [/PDIS.1999.83.10.954](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.10.954)
- IARC. (1993). International agency for research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Vol. 56, Some Naturally Occurring Substances: Food Items and Constituents, Heterocyclic Aromatic Amines and Mycotoxins. IARCPress.
- Jardine, D. J., & Leslie, J. F. (1999). Aggressiveness to mature maize plants of Fusarium strains differing in ability to produce fumonisin. Plant Disease, 83(7), 690-693. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.7.690) [org/10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.7.690](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.7.690)
- Kankolongo, M. A., Hell, K., & Nawa, I. N. (2009). Assessment for fungal, mycotoxin and insect spoilage in maize stored for human consumption in Zambia. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 89(8), 1366–1375. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3596) [org/10.1002/jsfa.3596](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3596)
- Kebebe, A. Z., Reid, L. M., Zhu, X., Wu, J., Woldemariam, T., Voloaca, C., & Xiang, K. (2015). Relationship between kernel drydown rate and resistance to gibberella ear rot in maize. Euphytica, 201(1), 79–88. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1185-2) [/s10681-014-1185-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1185-2)
- Kedera, C. J., Plattner, R. D., & Desjardins, A. E. (1999). Incidence of Fusarium spp. and levels of fumonisins B1 in maize in western Kenya. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 65(1), 41–44. [https://doi.org/10.1128](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.1.41-44.1999) [/AEM.65.1.41-44.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.1.41-44.1999)
- Keller, S. E., Sullivan, T. M., & Chirtel, S. (1997). Factors affecting the growth of Fusarium proliferatum and the production of fumonisin B1: Oxygen and pH. Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology, 19(4), 305–309. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900466) [org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900466](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900466)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Koehler, B. (1942). Natural mode of entrance of fungi into corn ears and some symptoms that indicate infection. Journal of Agricultural Research, 64, 421–442.
- Kokonenko, G. P., Burkin, A. A., Zotova, E. V., & Smirnov, A. M. (2019). Mycological study of maize feed grain. Russian Agricultural Science, 45(4), 351–355. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068367419040074) [org/10.3103/S1068367419040074](https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068367419040074)
- Kos, J., Hajnal, E. J., Malachová, A., Steiner, D., Stranska, M., Krska, R., Poshmaier, B., & Sulyok, M. (2020). Mycotoxins in maize harvested in Republic of Serbia in the period 2012- 2015. Part 1: Regulated mycotoxins and its derivatives. Food Chemistry, 355, 129615. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.126034) [foodchem.2019.126034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.126034)
- Krnjaja, V., Levic, M., Stankovic, S., Bijelic, Z., Mandic, V., & Stojanovic, L. (2011). Occurrence of Fusarium species in maize grains for silage. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 27(3), 1235–1240. <https://doi.org/10.2298/BAH1103235K>
- Krnjaja, V., Mandic, V., Bijelic, Z., Levic, M., Petrovic, T., Stankovic, S., & Nikolic, M. (2020). Natural toxigenic fungal and mycotoxin occurrence in maize hybrids. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 36(1), 75–85. [https://doi.org/10.2298](https://doi.org/10.2298/BAH2001075K) [/BAH2001075K](https://doi.org/10.2298/BAH2001075K)
- Krnjaja, V., Mandic, V., Stankovic, S., Obradovic, A., Vasic, T., Lukic, M., & Bijelik, Z. (2019). Influence of plant density on toxicogenic fungal and mycotoxin contamination on maize grains. Crop Protection, 116, 126-131. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2018.10.021) [org/10.1016/j.cropro.2018.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2018.10.021)
- Landoni, M., Puglisi, D., Cassani, E., Borlini, G., Brunoldi, G., Comaschi, C., & Pilu, R. (2020). Phylobaphenes modify pericarp thickness in maize and accumulation of the fumonisin mycotoxins. Scientific Reports, 10(1), 1417. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58341-8>
- Lanza, F. E., Zambolim, L., Veras da Costa, R., Vieira Queiroz, V. A., Cota, L. V., da Silva, D. D., Coelho de Souza, A. G., & Figuereido, J. E. F. (2014). Prevalence of fumonisinproducing Fusarium species in Brazilian corn grains. Crop Protection, 65, 232–237. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.08.003) [cropro.2014.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.08.003)
- Laraba, I., McCormick, S. P., Vaughan, M. M., Geiser, D. M., & O'Donnell, K. (2021). Phylogenetic diversity, trichothecene potential, and pathogenicity within Fusarium sambucinum species complex. PLoS One, 16(1), e0245037. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245037) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245037](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245037)
- Leslie, J. F., & Summerell, B. A. (2006). The Fusarium Laboratory Manual. Blackwell Publishing.
- Links, S., van Zyl, K., Cassiem, A., Flett, B. C., Viljoen, A., & Rose, L. J. (2020). The association of maize characteristics with resistance to Fusarium verticillioides and fumonisin accumulation in commercial maize cultivars. World Mycotoxin Journal, 138(3), 367–379. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2019.2537) [org/10.3920/WMJ2019.2537](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2019.2537)
- Logrieco, A., Moretti, A., Altomare, C., Bottalico, A., & Carbonell Torres, E. (1993). Occurrence and toxicity of Fusarium subglutinans from Peruvian maize. Mycopathologia, 122(3), 185–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01103480>
- Logrieco, A., Mulé, G., Moretti, A., & Bottalico, A. (2002). Toxicogenic Fusarium species and mycotoxins associated with maize ear rot in Europe. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 597–609. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0001-7_1) [010-0001-7\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0001-7_1)
- López-Berges, M. S., Hera, C., Sulyok, M., Schafer, C., Capilla, J., Guarro, J., & Di Pietro, A. (2013). The velvet complex governs mycotoxin production and virulence of Fusarium oxysporum on plant and mammalian hosts. Molecular Microbiology, 87(1), 49–65. [https://doi.org/10.1111](https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12082) [/mmi.12082](https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12082)
- Lu, Z. x., Tu, G. p., Zhang, T., Li, Y. q., Wang, X. h., Zhang, Q. g., Song, W., & Chen, J. (2020). Screening to antagonistic Trichoderma strains and their application for controlling stalk rot in maize. Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 19(1), 145– 152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(19\)62734-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62734-6)
- Magg, T., Melchinger, A. E., Klein, D., & Bohn, M. (2002). Relationship between European corn borer resistance and concentration of mycotoxins produced by Fusarium spp. in grains of transgenic Bt maize hybrids, their isogenic counterparts, and commercial varieties. Plant Breeding, 121(2), 146–154. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.00659.x) [org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.00659.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.00659.x)
- Mahato, D. K., Devi, S., Pandhi, S., Sharma, B., Maurya, K. K., Mishra, S., Dhawan, K., Selvakumar, R., Kamle, M., Mishra, A. K., & Kumar, P. (2021). Occurrence, impact on agriculture, human health, and management strategies of Zearalenone in food and feed: A review. Toxins, 13(2), 92. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13020092>
- Maiorano, A., Reyneri, A., Sacco, D., Magni, A., & Ramponi, C. (2009). A dynamic risk assessment model (FUMAgrain) on fumonisin synthesis by Fusarium verticillioides in maize grain in Italy. Crop Protection, 28(3), 243-256. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2008.10.012) [org/10.1016/j.cropro.2008.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2008.10.012)
- Marasas, W. F. O. (1996) Fumonisins: history, world-wide occurrence and impact. In Jackson, L. S., DeVries, J. W., & Bullerman, L. B. (Eds.), Fumonisins in food (pp. 1-17).
- Marín, S., Magan, N., Belli, N., Ramos, A. J., Canela, R., & Sanchis, V. (1999). Two-dimensional profiles of fumonisin B1 production by Fusarium moniliforme and Fusarium proliferatum in relation to environmental factors and potential for modelling toxin formation in maize grain. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 51, 159–167.
- Marocco, A., Gavazzi, C., Pietri, A., & Tabaglio, V. (2008). On fumonisin incidence in monoculture maize under no-till, conventional tillage and two nitrogen fertilization levels. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 88(7), 1217–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3205>
- Masiello, M., Somma, S., Ghionna, V., Logrieco, A. F., & Moretti, A. (2019). In vitro and in field response of different fungicides against aspergillus flavus and Fusarium species causing ear rot disease of maize. Toxins, 11(1), 11. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11010011) [org/10.3390/toxins11010011](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11010011)
- Matthies, A., Walker, F., & Buchenauer, H. (1999). Interference of selected fungicides, plant growth retardants as well as piperonyl butoxide and 1-aminobenzotriazole in trichothecene production of Fusarium graminearum (strain 4528) in vitro. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz/Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 106(2), 198–212.
- McTaggart, A. R., James, T. Y., Shivas, R. G., Drenth, A., Wingfield, B. D., Summerell, B. A., & Duong, T. A. (2021). Population genomics reveals historical and ongoing recombination in the *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Studies in microbiology, 99, 100132. [https://doi.org/10.1016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2021.100132) [/j.simyco.2021.100132](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2021.100132)

- Musser, S. M., & Plattner, R. D. (1997). Fumonisin composition in cultures of Fusarium moniliforme, Fusarium proliferatum, and Fusarium nygami. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45(4), 1169–1173. [https://doi.org/10.1021](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf960663t) [/jf960663t](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf960663t)
- Negasa, F., Solomon, A., & Girma, D. (2019). Effect of traditional and hermetic bag storage structures on fungus contamination of stored maize grain (Zea mays L.) in Bako, Western Shoa, Ethiopia. African Journal of Food Science, 13(3), 57–64. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJFS2018.1778>
- Nicholson, P., Gosman, N., Draeger, R., & Steed, A. (2004). Control of Fusarium and aspergillus species and associated mycotoxins on wheat and maize. In meeting the mycotoxin menace. Wageningen academic publishers. Pp. 113-132. <https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-523-9>
- O'Donnell, K., Gueidan, C., Sink, S., Johnston, P. R., Crous, P. W., Glenn, A., Riley, R., Zitomer, N. C., Colyer, P., Waalwijk, C., Lee, T. . ., Moretti, A., Kang, S., Kim, H. S., Geiser, D. M., Juba, J. H., Baayen, R. P., Cromey, M. G., Bithell, S., … Sarver, B. A. J. (2009). A two-locus DNA sequence database for typing plant and human pathogens within the Fusarium oxysporum species complex. Fungal Genetics and Biology, 46, 936–948. [https://doi.org/10.1016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2009.08.006) [/j.fgb.2009.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2009.08.006)
- Perczak, A., Gwiazdowska, D., Gwiazdowski, R., Jus, K., Marchwinska, K., & Waskiewicz, A. (2020). The inhibitory potential of selected essential oils on Fusarium spp. growth and mycotoxins biosynthesis in maize seeds. Pathogens, 9(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9010023>
- Piacentini, K. C., Savi, G. D., Pereire, M. E. V., & Scussel, V. M. (2015). Fungi and the natural occurrence of deoxynivalenol and fumonisins in malting barley. Food Chemistry, 187, 204–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.04.101>
- Presello, D. A., Iglesias, J., Botta, G., Reid, L. M., Lori, G., & Eyhérabide, G. H. (2006). Stability of maize resistance to the ear rots caused by Fusarium graminearum and F. verticillioides in Argentinian and Canadian environments. Euphytica, 147(3), 403–407. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-005-9037-8) [005-9037-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-005-9037-8)
- Qiu, J., Xu, J., Dong, F., Yin, X., & Shi, J. (2015). Isolation and characterization of Fusarium verticillioides from maize in eastern China. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 142(4), 791–800. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0652-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0652-5) [5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0652-5)
- Reid, L. M., Nicol, R. W., Ouellet, T., Savard, M., Miller, J. D., Young, J. C., Stewart, D. W., & Schaafsma, A. W. (1999). Interaction of Fusarium graminearum and F. moniliforme in maize ears: Disease progress, fungal biomass, and mycotoxin accumulation. Phytopathology, 89(11), 1028–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1999.89.11.1028>
- Reyes Gaige, A., Todd, T., & Stack, J. P. (2020). Interspecific competition for colonization of maize plants between Fusarium proliferatum and Fusarium verticillioides. Plant Disease, 104(8), 2102–2110. [https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-](https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-09-19-1964-re)[09-19-1964-re](https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-09-19-1964-re)
- Ryley, M. J., Bourke, C. A., Liew, E. C. Y., & Summerell, B. A. (2007). Is Fusarium torulosum the causal agent of kikuyu poisoning in Australia? Australasian Plant Disease Notes, 2(1), 133–135. <https://doi.org/10.1071/DN07053>
- Sampietro, D. A., Diaz, C. G., Gonzalez, V., Vattuone, M. A., Ploper, L. D., Catalan, C. A. N., & Ward, T. J. (2011). Species diversity and toxigenic potential of Fusarium graminearum complex isolates from maize fields in Northwest Argentina. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 145(1), 359–364. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.12.021) [ijfoodmicro.2010.12.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.12.021)
- Sataque Ono, E. Y., Cunha Moreno, E., Ono, M. A., Rossi, C. N., Saito, G. H., Vizoni, E., Sugiura, Y., & Hirooka, E. Y. (2011). Effect of cropping systems and crop successions on fumonisin levels in corn from northern Parana state, Brazil. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 131(4), 653–660. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-011-9839-6>
- Scauflaire, J., Gourgue, M., & Munaut, F. (2011). Fusarium temperatum sp. nov. from maize, an emergent species closely related to Fusarium subglutinans. Mycologia, 103(3), 586– 597. <https://doi.org/10.3852/10-135>
- Scauflaire, J., Mahieu, O., Louvieaux, J., Foucart, G., Renard, F., & Munaut, F. (2011). Biodiversity of Fusarium species in ears and stalks of maize plants in Belgium. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 131(1), 51–69. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-011-9787-1) [/s10658-011-9787-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-011-9787-1)
- Senatore, M. T., Ward, T. D., Cappelletti, E., Beccari, G., McCormick, S. P., Busman, M., Laraba, I., O'Donnell, K., & Prodi, A. (2021). Species diversity and mycotoxin production by members of Fusarium tricinctum species complex associated with Fusarium head blight of wheat and barley in Italy. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 358, 109298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109298>
- Shan, L. Y., Cui, W. Y., Zhang, D. D., Zhang, J., Ma, N. N., Bao, Y. M., Dai, X. F., & Guo, W. (2017). First report of Fusarium brachygibbosum causing maize stalk rot in China. Plant Disease, 101(5), 837. [https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-16-](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-16-1465-PDN) [1465-PDN](https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-16-1465-PDN)
- Shelby, R. A., White, D. G., & Bauske, E. M. (1994). Differential fumonisin production in maize hybrids. Plant Disease, 78, 582–584.
- Simpson, D. R., Weston, G. E., Turner, J. A., Jennings, P., & Nicholson, P. (2001). Differential control of head blight pathogens of wheat by fungicides and consequences for mycotoxin contamination of grain. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 107(4), 421–431.
- Stępień, Ł., Gromadzka, K., Chełkowski, J., Basińska-Barczak, A., & Lalak-Kańczugowska, J. (2019). Diversity and mycotoxin production by Fusarium temperatum and Fusarium subglutinans as causal agents of pre-harvest Fusarium maize ear rot in Poland. Journal of Applied Genetics, 60(1), 113– 121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-018-0478-x>
- Tagele, S. B., Kim, S. W., Lee, H. G., & Lee, Y. S. (2019). Aggressiveness and fumonisin production of Fusarium subglutinans and Fusarium temperatum on Korean maize cultivars. Agronomy, 9, 88. [https://doi.org/10.3390](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9020088) [/agronomy9020088](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9020088)
- Torres, A. M., Reynoso, M. M., Rojo, F. G., Ramirez, M. L., & Chulze, S. N. (2001). Fusarium species (section Liseola) and its mycotoxins in maize harvested in northern Argentina. Food Additives & Contaminants, 18(9), 836–843. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030110046208>
- Vandicke, J., De Visschere, K., Ameye, M., Croubels, S., De Saeger, S., Audenaert, K., & Haesaert, G. (2021). Multi-mycotoxin contamination of maize silages in

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Flanders, Belgium: Monitoring mycotoxin levels from seed to feed. Toxins, 13(3), 202. [https://doi.org/10.3390](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13030202) [/toxins13030202](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13030202)

- Vandicke, J., De Visschere, K., Croubels, S., De Saeger, S., Audenaert, K., & Haesaert, G. (2019). Mycotoxins in Flanders fields: Occurrence and correlations with Fusarium species in whole-plant harvested maize. Microorganisms, 7(11), 571. [https://doi.org/10.3390](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7110571) [/microorganisms7110571](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7110571)
- Velutti, A., Sanchis, V., Ramos, A. J., Egido, J., & Marín, S. (2003). Inhibitory effect of cinnamon, clove, lemongrass, oregano, and palmarose essential oils on growth and

fumonisin B1 production by Fusarium proliferatum in maize grain. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 89(2–3), 145–154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(03\)00116-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00116-8)

- Wilke, A. L., Bronson, C. R., & Munkvold, G. P. (2001). Seed transmission and systemic infection by Fusarium subglutinans in maize. Phytopathology, 91, S95. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.6.S1) [org/10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.6.S1](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.6.S1)
- Yates, I. E., Meredith, F., Smart, W., Bacon, C. W., & Jaworski, A. J. (1999). Trichoderma viride suppresses fumonisin B1 production by Fusarium moniliforme. Journal of Food Protection, 62(11), 1326–1332. [https://doi.org/10.4315](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.11.1326) [/0362-028X-62.11.1326](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.11.1326)