Comparative biology of different plant pathogens to estimate effects of climate change on crop diseases in Europe

Jon S. West • James A. Townsend • Mark Stevens • Bruce D. L. Fitt

Accepted: 23 December 2011 /Published online: 15 January 2012 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ KNPV 2012

Abstract This review describes environmental factors that influence severity of crop disease epidemics, especially in the UK and north-west Europe, in order to assess the effects of climate change on crop growth and yield and severity of disease epidemics. While work on some diseases, such as phoma stem canker of oilseed rape and fusarium ear blight of wheat, that combine crop growth, disease development and climate change models is described in detail, climatechange projections and predictions of the resulting biotic responses to them are complex to predict and detailed models linking climate, crop growth and disease development are not available for many croppathogen systems. This review uses a novel approach of comparing pathogen biology according to 'ecotype' (a categorization based on aspects such as epidemic type, dissemination method and infection biology), guided by detailed disease progress models where available to identify potential future research priorities

J. S. West (⊠) · J. A. Townsend · M. Stevens · B. D. L. Fitt Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, England, UK e-mail: jon.west@rothamsted.ac.uk

M. Stevens Broom's Barn Research Centre, Higham, Bury St. Edmunds IP28 6NP, UK

Present Address: B. D. L. Fitt School of Life Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK for disease control. Consequences of projected climate change are assessed for factors driving elements of disease cycles of fungal pathogens (nine important pathogens are assessed in detail), viruses, bacteria and phytoplasmas. Other diseases classified according to 'ecotypes' were reviewed and likely changes in their severity used to guide comparable diseases about which less information is available. Both direct and indirect effects of climate change are discussed, with an emphasis on examples from the UK, and considered in the context of other factors that influence diseases and particularly emergence of new diseases, such as changes to farm practices and introductions of exotic material and effects of other environment changes such as elevated CO₂. Good crop disease control will contribute to climate change mitigation by decreasing greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture while sustaining production. Strategies for adaptation to climate change are needed to maintain disease control and crop yields in north-west Europe.

Keywords Climate change adaptation \cdot CO₂ emissions \cdot Food insecurity \cdot Plant pathogens \cdot Epidemics \cdot Invasive species

Introduction

Climate change affects plants in natural and agricultural ecosystems throughout the world and has the potential to affect food security and stability of food

supply, either by directly affecting crop productivity or by indirect effects such as exacerbating threats of pests and diseases (Beddington 2010; Garrett et al. 2006). Little work has been done on the effects of climate change on plant disease epidemics. It is now broadly accepted that climate change is occurring, and that many parts of the world will experience warmer conditions and more extreme weather events. There will also be substantial changes in precipitation with increased precipitation in the far northern, far southern and most equatorial latitudes, but drier in most other locations. Additionally, the average annual projections do not show that in some locations, there may be seasonal changes in precipitation (Stern 2007; Semenov 2009). For example, north-western Europe is projected to experience wetter winters but drier summers with little change in annual average rainfall. Western, northern and central Europe will have increased winter rainfall, while this will be reduced in southern Europe but projections for summer are for substantially reduced rainfall in southern and central Europe and slightly reduced rainfall in northern Europe (Anon. 2007). Generally, Europe will become warmer (Fig. 1) but this too is a generalisation with seasonal and regional differences. Eastern Europe will experience the greatest warming effect in winter leading to milder winters, and Western and Southern Europe will experience the greatest summer warming, leading to hotter summers (Anon 2007). Many of these projections average multiple simulation runs that individually indicate a wider range of weather extremes than at present due to altered circulation patterns (Anon 2007). The UK Government Office for Science (OSI Foresight) report considering future threats from animal and plant disease epidemics stressed the need for agriculture to develop optimal disease management strategies under predicted climate change scenarios (Anon 2006). Arable cropping systems face new or increased threats from pests and diseases. Weather is the main environmental influence on plant diseases and affects disease distribution, although other factors such as changes to the host crop distribution, intensity of cropping and farming practices can also greatly affect disease severity. Little work has been done to study how impacts of climate change on crops and their diseases interact to affect productivity; this is difficult to predict because interactions are complex and non-linear. Furthermore, the elevated concentration of atmospheric CO₂ that is a cause of climate change is also likely to directly affect crops and plant pathogens that cause crop diseases (Coakley et al. 1999; Gregory 2008; Fitt et al. 2011).



Fig. 1 Maps showing the background mean temperature ranges of Europe (colours) for the period 1961–1990 and location of cities in places that have their predicted temperature patterns for the end of the 21st century according to two climate models;

ARPEGE (**a**), and the HadRM3H (**b**) in an 'A2' global warming scenario (based on continued relatively high CO_2 emissions). Reproduced with permission from Kopf et al. (2008)

Although climate change threatens food security in many regions of the world including southern Europe (Beddington 2010; Stern 2007), it presents an opportunity, if managed correctly, to increase crop productivity in northern Europe (Barnes et al. 2010), with new arable crops and new tender vegetable and fruit crops potentially able to be grown outdoors on a wide scale. Climate change is a gradual and long-term phenomenon but it is necessary to identify potential threats and conduct new research into them to optimise surveillance and disease control schemes, develop new crop protection methods and select varieties with disease resistance able to operate in warmer climates.

Climate change globally may exacerbate the threat to food security posed by crop diseases, (Stukenbrock and McDonald 2008), which currently are estimated to cause losses of 16% of crop production worldwide (Oerke 2006). Such losses are particularly serious for subsistence farmers growing crops in marginal environments, particularly in Africa and Asia, who cannot afford to use crop protection chemicals and are most threatened by climate change (Schmidhuber and Tubiello 2007). To benefit from increased theoretical vield potential of crops due to climate change in northwestern Europe (Butterworth et al. 2010), to reduce the carbon footprint of food production (Berry et al. 2008; Mahmuti et al. 2009; Hughes et al. 2011; Carlton et al. 2012) and to maintain yields in areas where climate will reduce yield potential, it will be necessary to enhance crop protection to avoid losses due to pests and diseases.

There is increasing emphasis on breeding crop varieties with durable resistance to major pathogens but this can take 10-25 years (Angus and Fenwick 2008). Despite this, arable crops still have a relatively high level of flexibility to avoid or overcome any new disease problems as they arise, compared to systems such as orchards and forests (Shaw and Osborne 2011). Diseases, as one of the main production constraints for farmers, require consideration for control by a range of methods such as cultural practices, more resistant varieties and crop protection products. Farmers and agrochemical companies face a challenge of knowing what new diseases they will face in future, when EU legislation means that fewer approved chemical control options will exist and resistance to available fungicides may be a greater problem (Cools and Fraaije 2008).

It is desirable to use fungicides only when they are needed as part of Integrated Pest Management (IPM). However, when used correctly, fungicides have a relatively low carbon footprint in their use but substantially increase yields (Berry et al. 2008; Mahmuti et al. 2009; Hughes et al. 2011; Carlton et al. 2012). The use of fungicides is likely to increase in order to maintain yields if recommendations are adopted to reduce the environmental impact of arable food production by reducing nitrogen applications, i.e. by enhancing disease control while fertilizer application is reduced (Gregory 2008; Paveley et al. 2008). Recommendations are needed to help target both disease resistance breeding programmes and development of fungicides against future disease threats and to optimise fungicide application timings under altered crop growth patterns. Decreased yields as a result of disease would otherwise mean that crops have to be grown on larger areas [releasing CO₂ that is sequestered in established grassland and increasing nitrogen use; Gregory 2008; Carlton et al. 2012], thereby impeding strategies to mitigate climate change. Efficient crop production releases surplus land for both wildlife and biofuel crops, with a consequential reduction in green-house gas emissions associated with food production compared to low-input systems. This review aims to provide a better understanding of direct and indirect effects of climate change on crop diseases in Europe to help direct future research.

Environmental factors influencing crop disease epidemics

Plant disease occurs when three factors combine: a susceptible host, sufficient effective pathogen inoculum and suitable environmental conditions. Globally, farmers are able to reduce inoculum of plant pathogens by using a range of integrated crop protection practices, such as crop debris management (by removal, grazing, burning or burial by tillage), paddy-field creation, crop rotation, intercropping and companion planting to reduce inoculum production or to separate crops from sources of inoculum including insect vectors. Choice of varieties that are resistant to certain pathogens affects host susceptibility, while the main agronomic factor altered by the farmer's actions is application of crop protection products, such as fungicides, to protect the crop at particular growth stages.

However, although some outdoor vegetable crops may be protected with plastic sheeting, for broad-acre arable crops, the farmer has no direct control over the weather, which is the main environmental factor influencing arable crop disease. Changes in the weather are likely, therefore, to result in changes in the occurrence and severity of crop diseases.

In particular, the weather can directly affect plant diseases by influencing spatial and temporal dispersal of propagules, synchrony of pathogen propagules with sensitive crop growth stages, frequency of suitable infection conditions (most fungal plant pathogens require wetness or high humidity for infection), host resistance (some resistance genes are temperature sensitive), speed of disease development (pathogen growth and for polycyclic pathogens-number of disease cycles) and pathogen survival (frost periods, length of intercrop period, etc.), which affects whether the disease is epidemic following importation of propagules from elsewhere, endemic or absent. Climate change may also have indirect effects due to the inclusion in arable rotations of alternative crops that can act as hosts for certain pathogens, e.g. maize, a host to Fusarium graminearum, which also affects wheat, as maize is likely to increase in crop area in western Europe due to: (i) use of cultivars that are adapted to cooler climates than those where maize was traditionally grown, (ii) climate change, and (iii) demand for animal feed and biofuel (West et al. 2011).

In addition to altering climate, changes in atmospheric gas concentrations can encourage diseases since increasing ozone and CO2 can reduce resistance expression (Gregory et al. 2009) and elevated CO_2 can increase pathogen fecundity, leading to enhanced rates of pathogen evolution (Chakraborty and Datta 2003; Coakley et al. 1999). In contrast, increased CO₂ was reported to increase pathogen latent periods (duration between infection and sporulation), which would reduce epidemic rates. Increased CO2 was also reported to increase resistance of barley to Blumeria graminis (hordei) (Chakraborty et al. 1998; Coakley et al. 1999). Further research on the effects of increased CO_2 on plant disease epidemics using free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE) systems is needed (Luck et al. 2011). In the 1970s, few would have predicted a considerable reduction in the incidence of Septoria nodorum (Stagnospora nodorum) on wheat and a similarly rapid increase in the incidence of Septoria tritici (Mycosphaerella graminicola) in Europe, yet this occurred, due not to climate change but to other environmental changes, principally a reduction in atmospheric SO_2 concentrations (Shaw et al. 2008). Environment- and particularly climate-change, has been predicted to lead to an altered geographic distribution of crop hosts and their pathogens as well as changes in host pathogen interactions and yieldloss relationships (Coakley et al. 1999).

These environmental changes are likely to affect both polycyclic (pathogens with many cycles of infection per season) and monocyclic pathogens (pathogens with a single period of infection per cropping season; Fig. 2). Increased inoculum production per infection, increased pathogen aggressiveness (or altered host resistance) and increased infection success of polycyclic pathogens is likely to produce an epidemic described by curve (a) i.e. an increased epidemic rate. Enhanced survival of inoculum e.g. reduced degradation and grazing of crop debris in the intercrop period (summer), or increased winter survival of foliar pathogens, is likely to result in curve (b) compared to the baseline hypothetical polycyclic disease epidemic curve (c). In contrast, changes in crop and pathogen development may cause inoculum production and susceptible crop growth stages to coincide more (curve, d) or less (curve, e).

Consideration of climate change effects on crop diseases and particularly newly emerging infectious diseases (EIDs), should be put into context alongside a brief review of other factors that influence the



Fig. 2 Progress of disease epidemics with time after inoculation for hypothetical diseases; (a) polycyclic disease with rapid rate of spread, (b) polycyclic disease with same rate of spread as (c) but founding inoculum availability advanced by time period Δt , (c) polycyclic disease, (d) monocyclic disease epidemic (coinciding with susceptible crop growth stage or suitable infection conditions), (e) monocyclic epidemic with inoculum availability delayed leading to a decrease in disease incidence due to disease escape

emergence of new diseases. According to Anderson et al. (2004), introduction is the most, or in one case, second most important driver for emergence of new diseases in different pathogen groups (fungi, bacteria, viruses and phytoplasmas). Weather conditions were found to be a major influencing factor for bacterial and fungal plant EIDs, and although direct effects of climate were reported as relatively unimportant for plant EIDs that are caused by viruses, changes in vector populations (which are often due to climate) were reported as the most important influence after pathogen introduction. Interestingly, although agricultural changes (intensification, diversification, changed practices e.g. introduction of minimal-tillage) were identified as important driving factors of plant EIDs caused by fungi and viruses, they were not mentioned as drivers of bacterial diseases. Anderson et al. (2004) introduced the term 'pathogen pollution' to describe the anthropogenic movement of pathogens resulting in a pathogen crossing a boundary that previously provided geographical or ecological separation. As a result, there may be heightened impact of introduced pathogens on naïve susceptible host populations. Given the predicted continued increase in global air travel and trade volume, the number of introduced emerging diseases is also likely to increase. Because climate change will enable plants and pathogens to survive outside their historic ranges, Harvell et al. (2002) predicted an increase in the number of invasive pathogens. For example, range expansion of grey leaf blight of maize, caused by the fungus Cercospora zeaemaydis, was first noted during the 1970s, and subsequently became the major cause of maize yield loss in the USA. Brown and Hovmøller (2002) described instances where introduction of infected plant material (followed by local dispersal of spores) and long-distance airborne dispersal of spores had spread diseases to new continents. If key climatic conditions for survival and establishment of a disease are known, it is possible to use climate-matching tools such as NAPPFAST (Magarey et al. 2007), BIOCLIM (Busby 1991), HABITAT (Walker and Cocks 1991) or CLIMEX (Sutherst and Maywald 1985) to map locations where those conditions are met in order to identify locations where increased surveillance is advised and mitigating control measures researched. For example, Karnal bunt, caused by Tilletia (Neovossia) indica, which infects wheat, rye and triticale, is favoured by cool weather, rainfall and high humidity at the time of wheat ear emergence. The risk of establishment in Europe was estimated by Sansford et al. (2008) in part by applying a published karnal bunt disease model; they showed that conditions during the ear emergence or heading period (from just before anthesis, \sim May and June) were favourable for infection and disease development in many places in Europe.

Effects of climate change on crop growth and yield in north-western Europe

Climate change is likely to have direct effects on crop growth. According to UKCIP climate projections, the date of onset of wheat anthesis in the UK would advance (by approximately 2 weeks by the 2050s; Fig. 3) and the date of maturity for harvest will advance by 3 weeks (Semenov 2009). Similarly research predicts flowering to advance by 9 days and harvest date to advance by 10 days in north France in the near future (2020-2060) (Bancal and Gate 2011). 'Mediterranean-type' wheat varieties, which respond to different environmental cues determining the time of flowering, typically flower 2 weeks earlier than current northern European varieties. Adoption of this kind of cultivar to northern Europe to avoid heat stress at flowering could advance the time of flowering by at least another week, e.g. to mid-May in southern England. Oilseed rape, which currently flowers in mid-April to May in central England and slightly later in Scotland, would flower up to 3 weeks earlier following mild winter weather. In considering effects of climate change on crop diseases, it is important to incorporate the effects of climate change on crop growth, to avoid making over-simplified, unreliable predictions (Butterworth et al. 2010; Madgwick et al. 2011).

In addition to altered temperature, an associated increase in atmospheric CO_2 concentrations is predicted to increase crop productivity (Gregory 2008; Goudriaan and Zadoks 1995). Changes in crop phenotype are predicted to include reduced density of stomata and increased crop canopy size and canopy density. Consequentially increased canopy humidity was suggested to promote a range of foliar pathogens (Manning and von Tiedemann 1995), although the reduced density of stomata, a result of elevated CO_2 concentration (Bettarini et al. 1998), may offset this



Fig. 3 Maps of Great Britain showing; **a** prevalence of wheat cropping (<25% (*green*), 25–40% (*vellow*) and >40% (*red*) of the area) and terrain unsuitable for arable agriculture (*brown*). The map also shows 14 met-station sites within the arable area used to give representative weather in different regions (details in Madgwick et al. 2011 supplementary information). Wheat and arable

increase for pathogens that infect via leaf stomata rather than directly through the cuticle. Modelling predicts that enhanced atmospheric CO_2 will offset the earlier harvest date so that wheat yields will increase by 10–17.5% in England and Wales by the 2050s (based on cvs Avalon and Mercia) (Semenov 2009). Similarly oilseed rape yield (in the absence of disease) is predicted to increase by 10% in England and up to 15% in Scotland (Butterworth et al. 2010).

Direct effects of climate change on fungal and oomycete crop diseases

Coakley et al. (1999) and Harvell et al. (2002) predicted some general effects of climate change on plant pathogens. In temperate locations, milder winters and particularly higher night-time temperatures will enable increased winter survival of plant pathogens. Generally warmer temperatures in winter and throughout the growing season will accelerate insect vector and pathogen life cycles, increasing virus and phytoplasma

area information were from www.hgca.com/cerealsmap/version9. swf.; **b** Average dates of anthesis (growth stage 65), for winter wheat cv. Consort projected by the wheat growth model Sirius, for baseline (1960–1990) and 2050s High CO₂ emission scenarios. The maps were produced by spatial interpolation between the 14 sites (\bullet)

transmission and sporulation and infection efficiency of fungal foliar pathogens. A review of climate change effects on plant diseases by Garrett et al. (2006) highlighted potential effects at different scales due to factors such as elevated temperatures (which can reduce host resistance), changes in precipitation (which often influences infection conditions) and increased storm events (which influences dispersal of many pathogens). The review considered effects of changes in crop phenotype and maturity in relation to increasing or decreasing disease severity (e.g. changes in occurrence of infection conditions through altered canopy density or changes to host susceptibility). In addition, changes in crop growth or yield potential will occur and are likely to affect strategies for disease control and other crop production methods. Various methods are possible to assess likely effects of climate change on crop diseases. These are to use: (i) detailed modelling of each individual crop-pathogen-projected climate system; (ii) inoculated outdoor and controlled environment experiments; (iii) comparison of disease occurrence in locations of the world with similar climates to that projected for other locations; and (iv) expert knowledge, survey data and weather-related crop disease models reported in the literature, which could be interpreted and applied to comparable systems that lack published models but were assessed to exhibit similar biology or 'ecotype' and described in detail later. There were very few other locations found to match the climate of north-western Europe due largely to topographical and maritime effects (i.e. iii above) and it would take an enormous project to conduct new experiments on every plant-pathogen system (i.e. ii above) so the approach used here was to review detailed combined models (i above) where available to aid interpretation of information assessed in method iv.

Detailed modelling approaches that combine future climate simulations, crop growth models and disease models have been developed for phoma stem canker of oilseed rape (Evans et al. 2008, 2010; Butterworth et al. 2010) for fusarium head blight of wheat (Madgwick et al. 2011), and recently for both septoria leaf spot and brown rust of wheat (Gouache et al. 2011). For canker of oilseed rape, a weather-influenced oilseed rape growth model (STICS, Brisson et al. 2003) and weather-based disease forecasting models were combined with 30 runs (30 years of daily weather data based on projected climate) (Semenov 2009) per chosen date and climatechange scenario, to produce quantitative risk assessments (Butterworth et al. 2010). The combination of climate scenarios and crop model predicted that climate change will increase yield of fungicide-treated oilseed rape crops in Scotland by up to 0.5 t/ha (15%) and by 0.15 t/ha (5%) in England (Butterworth et al. 2010). However, in fungicide-untreated crops of moderate disease susceptibility, the combination of climate scenarios, crop growth, disease development and yield loss models predicted that climate change will increase yield losses from phoma stem canker to up to 50% (1.5 t/ha) in southern England (Butterworth et al. 2010). The size of losses was predicted to be greater for winter oilseed rape cultivars that are susceptible than for those that are resistant to the phoma stem canker pathogen Leptosphaeria maculans.

For fusarium ear blight of wheat (head blight or scab), a similar method used the SIRIUS wheat growth model (Jamieson et al. 1998; Jamieson and Semenov 2000) to predict dates of key growth stages (anthesis and harvest) for different arable-crop growing locations of the UK using projected climate data. This provided an

estimation of revised anthesis date around which a weather-based epidemiological model was used to predict disease risk for each location using projected climate data per chosen date and climate-change scenarios (Madgwick et al. 2011). The incidence of fusarium ear blight was related to rainfall during anthesis and temperature during the preceding 6 weeks. It was projected that, with climate change, wheat anthesis dates will be approximately 2 weeks earlier than at present so the rain-related risk of infection at anthesis did not decrease, as would have been predicted if anthesis had remained in mid-June (rainfall for the UK is projected to be almost unchanged in May but substantially reduced in June). Due to wetter and warmer conditions in spring, the model predicted a slight increase in severity of fusarium ear blight epidemics by the 2050s, particularly in southern England (Madgwick et al. 2011). This predicted slight increase reflects purely the weatherrelated risk. Increased maize cultivation, which is likely to substantially increase production of inoculum of F. graminearum, is an additional indirect climate-related factor that is likely to cause a much greater increase in severity of fusarium ear blight (West et al. 2011).

Research to predict effects of climate change on the wheat disease, septoria leaf spot, in France concluded that predictions were difficult due to contradictory effects of mild weather promoting inoculum build-up over winter but drier weather reducing infection of final leaves in late-spring (Gouache et al. 2011). The early stages of disease are likely to be enhanced because the intercrop survival of the pathogen is favoured by dry summers, (Shaw et al. 2008) and because infection success (of spores) is promoted by milder winter weather (>7°C) (Pietravalle et al. 2003; te Beest et al. 2009). It seems clear that these factors are likely to lead to an increase in this disease on leaves at the base of the plant over winter and early spring. However, Gouache et al. (2011) concluded that in France, despite some advancement of wheat phenology, declining spring rainfall events will reduce spread of this disease onto the final leaf layers. It should be noted that in the event of a wet spring, there may be capacity for this disease to be more severe than would be expected currently. For the UK, according to the HadRM3 scenario for the 2050s, (Semenov 2009), rainfall is predicted to reduce below the current baseline (1960–1990 monthly average) only from May onwards, which will be only just before emergence of the flag leaf for crops advanced to flower in late May (Semenov 2009) so rather than a reduction in disease predicted in France there is expected to be little change in this disease on upper leaves in the UK. This illustrates how differences in predicted rainfall patterns between neighbouring countries of Europe can be significant.

In the case of brown rust, Gouache et al. (2011) reported that in France, as for Septoria, a contradiction between slightly reduced wetness periods but slightly warmer conditions, which each diminish and promote infection respectively, necessitated a detailed modelling approach. They concluded that there would be little change in this disease with a possible slight reduction for late-sown crops at some sites and a slight increase in disease for early maturing varieties at some sites.

To assess risks that climate change will increase severity or range for current or new diseases of major arable crops in north-western Europe, likely responses to projected climate of nine key fungal diseases were evaluated by review of the literature, which included each pathogen's biology and epidemiology plus interpretation of published weather-based disease models against predicted climate and current disease distribution maps (Table 1). In some cases, as described above in Gouache et al. (2011), the projected climate was considered to promote one aspect of a pathogen's lifecycle but reduce another aspect. As another example, stem canker of oilseed rape, caused by Leptosphaeria maculans, warmer, drier summers would delay the release of inoculum in the autumn (which would reduce final disease severity) but increased thermal time over winter and spring would increase pathogen development in the stem (which would increase final disease severity). The few published studies that have modelled in detail the combined effects of altered climate on both crop growth and disease development, provided improved resolution about which biological aspects were likely to override others. This was reinforced by examining past data from disease surveys and field experiments in different years or locations, and/or consultation with experts to aid the assessment. In the case of stem canker, the increased thermal time outweighed effects of delayed inoculum release and the disease severity was predicted to increase on untreated susceptible crops (Butterworth et al. 2010). In some cases, it was not possible to determine which of two contradictory factors would outweigh the other and so a degree of uncertainty may be expressed or a qualification added as to what would occur under certain weather patterns.

Consideration of biological traits affecting the epidemiology of different diseases [e.g. epidemic type (mono- or polycyclic), dissemination method, infection condition requirements, latent period response to temperature) and the timing of key events such as sporulation or infection] was used to categorise the nine fungal diseases in Table 1 into seven 'ecotypes' (Table 2). Other existing diseases and potential new diseases (currently present on the crop in other climates) could then be categorised as similar to one of these eco-types. The main classifying factors used were: epidemic type, dissemination method combined with time of initial or primary infection (wind-dispersed after rain (ascospores), wind dispersed dry (e.g. spores of powdery mildews and rusts), splash dispersed (e.g. conidia of Septoria tritici (Mycosphaerella graminicola)), insect vectored, seed or soil-borne.

Information about weather-crop growth interactions produced as part of the detailed study of canker of oilseed rape and fusarium of wheat (Butterworth et al. 2010; Madgwick et al. 2011) and more recent studies on septoria and brown rust (Bancal and Gate 2011; Gouache et al. 2011) was used to define important climate change effects on crop growth (e.g. timings of key growth stages), [i.e. effects of both altered crop growth stages and projected weather were assessed for each disease]. Although these evaluations were substantiated against crop disease data from different locations and seasons, consideration was also given to the successive occurrence of several seasons of weather of the type predicted for the future. It is thought that several successive favourable seasons would allow build-up of inoculum to cause more disease than would occur in a single favourable season (Turner 2008).

Application of this approach to other diseases of similar ecotypes in north-western Europe concluded that most rain-splashed, polycyclic foliar fungal diseases are predicted to increase in severity due to more epidemic cycles, greater plant biomass, denser canopies, and wetter conditions for most of the vegetative crop growth period. Some, however, may reduce slightly due to drier conditions at the end of the growing season (late spring and early summer) which will reduce severity on upper leaves of wheat for example but not on earlier maturing barley. Cercospora of beet

Table 1 Evaluation c	of impacts of clir.	nate change on nine co	ontrasting funga	l diseases of north-western European	broad-acre crops	
Pathogen	Host(s)	Disease	Epidemic type	Key epidemiological features		
(.041 addination)				Main dissemination	Infection and adaptability	Prediction
Mycosphaerella graminicola (1)	Wheat	Septoria leaf blotch	Polycyclic	Airborne ascospores then rain- splashed conidia (autumn-spring)	Dry summers increase inoculum survival. Mild, wetwinters favour initial disease but drier ate spring will reduce final severity Hichly adantable	Little change (UK) Slight decrease (France)
Rhynchosporium secalis (1)	Barley	Leaf blotch or scald	Polycyclic	Either seed-borne or rain-splashed conidia (auturn/spring)	Favoured by wet spring weather. Preference for cool temperatures. Low adaptability	Little change
Puccinia triticina (2)	Wheat Barley?	Brown rust	Polycyclic	Airborne uredospores (mostly spring)	Mild winters and dry springs favour severe epidemics (e.g. 2007). High adaptability	Sporadic
Blumeria graminis (2)	Wheat Barley	Powdery mildew	Polycyclic	Airborne conidia (mostly spring)	Mild winters and warm, humid springs favour severe epidemics. High adaptability	Sporadic
Fusarium graminearum (3)	Wheat Maize	Ear blight	Effectively monocyclic	Splash dispersed conidia/air-borne ascospores (spring)	Warm spring and rain just before and during anthesis increases risk as does maize cultivation Hiob adamtability	Slight increase
Leptosphaeria maculans (4)	Oilseed rape	Phoma stem canker	Primarily Monocyclic	Airborne ascospores, rain-splashed conidia (autumn-winter)	Warm winters favour severe epidemics. Currently good cv resistance available. Hich adamability	Moderate increase
Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (5)	Oilseed rape, legumes & vegetables	Stem rot	Monocyclic	Air-borne ascospores (spring)	Epidemic severe if ascospore release, petal fall and rainfall coincide. Closer rotations currently increasing risk. Moderate adaptability	Little change
Verticillium longisporum (6)	Oilseed rape	Verticillium	Monocyclic	Soil-borne mycelium Spores long-lived	Infection occurs in autumn; disease develops only when there is a hot, dry spring. Moderate adaptability	Slight increase
Cercospora beticola (7)	Sugar beet	Cercospora	Polycyclic Rain-splashed conidia (summer)	Seed-borne (spring) Moderate adaptability. Dry summers will reduce epidemics slightly. High adaptability	Favoured by warm, wet spring weather	Reduced but promoted by irrigation

Disease type	Example(s)	Prediction
Winter-spring foliar-infecting polycyclic rain-splashed fungus (epidemics often initiated by air-borne ascospores at start of cropping season)	Mycosphaerella graminicola, Rhynchosporium secalis, Selenophoma donacis, Pyrenophora tritici-repentis (Drechslera tritici-repentis), Pyrenophora teres f. teres (Drechslera teres), Alternaria brassicae,	little change (with a few exceptions—e.g. cool-preferring <i>Pyrenopeziza</i> . <i>brassicae</i> will decrease)
As above but summer-infecting	Cercospora betticola	decrease due to drier summer conditions
Dry air-dispersed polycyclic foliar fungus	Puccinia triticina, Puccinia graminis, Puccinia striiformis, Blumeria graminis, Erysiphe cruciferarum, Bortytis spp.	Sporadic—capacity for more severe and less severe seasons
Upper leaf and ear/flower infecting fungus	Fusarium & Giberella spp, Claviceps purpurea, Phaeosphaeria nodorum, Tilletia tritici, Tilletia controversa, Ustilago nuda, Alternaria spp., Cladosporium spp., Pyrenophora graminea [Drechslera graminea]	Little change except an increased risk for F. graminearum, Urocystis agropyri, Tilletia (Neovossia) indica and Ramularia collo-cygni
Monocyclic root and stem-infecting fungus (above-ground autumn-winter infection)	Leptosphaeria maculans, Oculimacula yallundae, Cochliobolus sativus	Increase in severity and yield loss per unit of disease
As above (above-ground spring infection)	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	Little change in incidence or severity, slight increase in yield loss per unit of disease
As above (root infecting)	Verticillium longisporum, Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici, Thanatephorus cucumeris [Rhizoctonia solani], Ceratobasidium cereal [Rhizoctonia cerealis], Omphalina pyxidata, Fusarium oxysporum, Plasmodiophora brassicae	Varied/unknown response w.r.t. disease severity, probable increase in yield loss per unit of disease.
		Monographella nivalis [Microdochium nivale] and Typhula incarnate should reduce due to reduced winter snow cover.
Insect vectored virus	BYDV	increase
Soil-borne virus	Wheat soilborne mosaic Barley mosaic	Little change—depending on rainfall at location
Phytoplasma (insect vectored)	Aster yellows	increase

Table 2 Summary of predicted effects of climate change on arable crop diseases in north-western Europe classified into different 'ecotypes'

(Cercospora betticola) is an exception, classed into a different ecotype (7), since it infects and damages leaves of beet much later in the year (June-September), a period that is predicted to be much drier throughout north-western Europe (Gladders et al. 2001; Pietravalle et al. 2003; Shaw et al. 2008; Lovell et al. 2004; te Beest, et al. 2009; Willis et al. 2006; Vereijssen et al. 2007). Disease will also be reduced if longer intercrop periods promote disease escape due to ascospore release before emergence of the following crop. In other cases, typically necrotrophs, which survive saprohytically, drier summer conditions may reduce the breakdown of crop debris (reduced activity of detritivorous invertebrates) and therefore increase inoculum availability, the release of which may also be synchronised with crop emergence to increase disease severity.

For dry/air-dispersed biotrophic foliar fungal pathogens (ecotype 2), since crop growth stages will advance to earlier in the year, it is likely that epidemics will continue but they may be more sporadic due to effects of droughts in the previous summer (inoculum may reduce if grasses and cereal volunteers suffer from drought conditions). Epidemics become severe when dry clear daytime weather in spring allows sporulation and dispersal and these conditions typically promote dew films at night, which allows infection. This weather combination is not likely to change in frequency very much during the key spring period, April-May in the UK, where rainfall is predicted to be similar to current (baseline) levels. By late spring in the UK, dew periods overnight are shorter but temperatures warmer and so different temperature preferences for infection by different rust species (and powdery mildews) mean that epidemics of at least one or other of them will be sustained well into the grain filling period. Generally for this ecotype, better winter survival will lead to earlier epidemics and possibly more late-spring sunshine hours and more plant biomass will also increase sporulation, particularly of Puccinia striiformis (vellow or stripe rust of wheat). It is therefore likely that there will generally be a moderate increase in severity of these diseases but with large differences from year to year (Roche et al. 2008; Milus et al. 2009; van den Berg and van den Bosch 2007; te Beest et al. 2008; Shaw and Osborne 2011; Smith et al. 1988). This includes an increased risk of black stem rust (Puccinia graminis), which is currently a rare visitor to northern Europe and epidemics are caused by air-borne spores blown from south west Europe and north Africa, usually occurring too late to establish a damaging epidemic and inhibited by relatively cool weather. However, race Ug99, has a lower temperature optimum than other races and since it has recently spread from central to southern Africa, it is now exposed to air currents that are likely to spread it to new areas including the Middle East, south Asia and ultimately north America and Europe (Ronnie Coffman, Cornell University; www.nature.com/news/2010/ 100526/full/news.2010.265.html). For the present, epidemics are likely to remain rare and occur too late in the season to be a problem in northern Europe in most years but parts of southern Europe are under threat. Northern parts could be affected if the Ug99 race establishes in southern Europe.

Little change is expected for upper leaf or ear/ flower infecting fungi (ecotype 3), due to predicted drier conditions in late spring and summer but an advancement of crop growth stages. Two exceptions are *F. graminearum*. which may increase due to an indirect effect of increased maize cultivation, increasing the pathogen population and Ramularia leaf spot of barley (*Ramularia collo-cygni*), which may be exacerbated by heat stress (West et al. 2011; Parry et al. 1995; Shaw et al. 2008; Smith et al. 1988).

A common feature of monocyclic root and steminfecting pathogens (ecotypes 4, 5 and 6) is that the effect of disease on yield is likely to be exacerbated by increased summer heat and drought stress on the host. Increased transpiration demand in hotter weather will mean that infected plants may suffer sufficient stress to induce senescence at lower disease severities than at present and hence, yield-loss relationships will change adversely per unit of disease. In addition, we predict an increase in disease development for autumn and winter-infecting root and stem pathogens (ecotype 4) due to increased thermal time (Evans et al. 2008; Butterworth et al. 2010). Kauserud et al. (2010) reported that between 1960 and 2007, there was a trend towards spring-fruiting fungi releasing spores on average 18 days earlier over the study period. Most species studied were basidiomycetes but if similar responses occurred with ascomycetes, a pathogen such as Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, which causes stem or white rot of oilseed rape and a wide range of vegetable crops, is likely to release spores in synchrony with earlier flowering of crops like oilseed rape. Hence no change is expected for spring-infecting root and stem pathogens (ecotype 5) as both pathogens and crop will advance in development. For soil-borne pathogens (ecotype 6), there is a great deal of uncertainty about the likely impact of climate change because little information is currently available and further research is suggested.

Direct effects of climate change on viruses, bacteria and phytoplasmas

Generally longer periods of migration and feeding activity of vectors, caused by warmer conditions and longer growing seasons, will favour many insectvectored virus diseases on a wide range of crops. An increased incidence of aphid-vectored viruses is predicted to occur, due to either increased winter survival of aphids or their earlier spring migration (Harrington and Stork 1995). Already, mild winters have been associated with increases in barley yellow dwarf virus (BYDV) in cereals and in virus diseases of sugar beet (Harrington and Stork 1995).

New vectors or new crops may facilitate recombination of new virus diseases onto crops since many viruses are able to recombine to produce new types of virus. This process is likely to increase due to climate change, which will increase the range of different insect vectors, which may encounter viruses from different host plants for the first time. An example of this has occurred recently in Brazil due to the introduction of the B-biotype of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), which facilitated the vectoring of viruses present in different native plants onto cultivated tomato crops in which they recombined to produce new virus diseases (Fernandes et al. 2008). New or increased use of existing crops such as maize and sunflower may increase the spread of viruses. Maize for example, is a host to a large number of viruses that can also crossinfect wheat, such as wheat spot mosaic and wheat streak mosaic and African cereal streak virus.

Warmer soils will affect soil-borne viruses because vectors will be able to infect crops at earlier growth stages and these diseases will have greater impact on development and yield. Symptoms and yield-loss may also be exacerbated by heat and drought.

Currently bacteria are of little importance in temperate arable crops but they can affect some vegetable or horticultural crops particularly in the south of Europe. *Xanthomonas spp.* (e.g. *X. campestris* on brassicas) affect oilseed rape in warm and wet European countries such as Portugal, causing non-vascular leaf spot or vascular black rot. This pathogen is seed and soil borne and rain-splashed with infection via hydathodes or wounds. It is probably underreported in many parts of Europe. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *maculicola* causes pod rot of oilseed rape but is rare and considering drier conditions are projected to occur from May, it is likely to remain rare.

Phytoplasmas, like virus diseases, are probably under reported. Many are vectored by insects and so there is potential for an increase in their importance due to climate change, similarly to our prediction for insect-vectored viruses. A 16SrI phytoplasma has been previously reported affecting winter oilseed rape in the Czech Republic (Bertaccini et al. 1998). An outbreak in Greece was reported and 16S rDNA sequence showed 100% identity with that of coneflower phyllody phytoplasma (EU333394) from the group 16SrI, '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris' (Maliogka et al. 2009).

Indirect effects of climate change on crop diseases

Climate change can indirectly affect crop diseases through the adaptation strategies that it may induce, including altered crop rotations, different farming practices and different crop types cultivated (e.g. changes between winter and spring types) (Barnes et al. 2010). Recent work has demonstrated that changes in cropping practice from spring to autumn-sown crops, such as for linseed can have large effects on diseases; e.g. the disease pasmo (*Mycosphaerella linicola*) became very severe on winter (autumn-sown) linseed when it was introduced into the UK although it had not been a problem on the spring linseed crops grown previously (Perryman et al. 2009). These differences between winter and spring crops may occur because spring crops escape exposure to most of the primary inoculum (often released in autumn) or have fewer disease cycles in their shorter growing season.

Climate change may indirectly affect the efficacy of control strategies due to factors such as a decrease in frequency of suitable spray conditions for autumn and winter spray applications and an increased likelihood of water-logging over winter, preventing the use of farm machinery. More rapid leaf production in autumn and spring would reduce the period of protection conferred by a fungicide spray as active chemicals on leaves are diluted by leaf expansion and as new, unsprayed leaves unfold. Additionally there are likely to be subtle changes in the rate of breakdown of applied agrochemicals under slightly warmer temperatures. The greatest changes are likely to be a need to respond to earlier disease epidemics, particularly those caused by polycyclic foliar pathogens, rather than relying on the currently accepted crop growth-stage regulated application dates. Due to changes in crop canopy densities and milder winters that will advance both crop growth and disease epidemics, late winter-early spring sprays could increase in importance. Leaf production of cereals in mid-late spring may also become so rapid that the timings of leaf three and flag leaf sprays will need revision in order to achieve costeffective/optimal protection. Further research is needed to evaluate effects of climate and other environmental changes on biological control and useful effects of naturally-occurring microbes on phyllosphere and rhizosphere pathogens.

Increased CO_2 concentrations will lead to denser crop canopies, which may slightly encourage a range of foliar diseases (rusts, powdery and downy mildews, and leaf blotch or spots) but in contrast, a lower density of stomata may slightly reduce infection efficiency by those pathogens that infect via stomata. A current knowledge gap exists as to the effect of increased CO_2 concentrations on various aspects of pathogens' lifecycles. Increased CO_2 may have various positive and negative direct effects on plant pathogens (systems studied so far have tended to show higher fecundity but longer latent periods). Further research using FACE systems is needed to investigate combined effects of climate change and enhanced CO_2 on plant diseases (Eastburn et al. 2011).

New crops (e.g. maize in north-western Europe) could increase common wheat pathogens such as Fusarium graminearum. Sunflower may be introduced to north-western Europe and this new crop may escape crop-specific diseases at first but will still be prone to generalists such as Sclerotinia sclerotiorum particularly where known field-crop hosts such as oilseed rape, peas, and carrots are currently grown. To avoid heat and drought stress, it may become more common in southern areas of Europe to switch from winter crops (i.e. sown in autumn and harvested the following summer) to grow frost tolerant spring varieties (i.e. not needing vernalisation) over the winter and harvested in late spring. This may also cause unexpected changes to disease epidemics. However, many current diseases may on average change in importance only very slightly in Europe as regions of production of particular crops move northwards.

Concluding discussion

To guide government and industry strategies for adaptation to climate change in the light of the food security debate, it is essential to consider effects on crop diseases (Boonekamp 2011). There is an urgent need to predict which diseases are likely to increase in importance, but this requires the construction of coupled crop-diseaseweather interaction models (Butterworth et al. 2010; Madgwick et al. 2011; Gouache et al. 2011). The categorisation of diseases into different 'ecotypes' provides a simple way to assess which of a multitude of diseases may increase in importance (Tables 1 and 2), based on direct effects of weather on the dispersal, epidemic type and changes to the occurrence of weather conditions affecting disease epidemiology at key times, along with indirect effects such as changes to crop rotation. However, this approach provides an indication but should not be considered as a substitute for a more detailed assessment of the predicted effects of climate change on important crop diseases. The general approach of categorising ecotypes, suggests that many known diseases will on average change in importance only very slightly if at all in north-western Europe as regions of production of particular crops will tend to move northwards. However, where crops remain in their original geographical range, particularly at the southern parts of

their distribution, generally warmer conditions (increased thermal time) will exacerbate insect vectored diseases (many viruses and phytoplasmas) and those root and stem diseases that first infect hosts during the autumn and winter, such as stem canker of oilseed rape (Leptosphaeria maculans), and eyespot (Oculimacula acuformis and Oculimacula yallundae; Helgardia acuformis and Helgardia herpotrichoides) of wheat. In contrast, spring-infecting root and stem pathogens are not likely to change significantly as their development is likely to advance to mirror advances in crop development (Table 2). However for these stem and rootinfecting diseases, there may still be a detrimental effect of climate change if increased transpiration stress later in the season combined with root and stem disease will induce earlier senescence in the crop, which will exacerbate yield losses per unit of disease. Increased transpiration stress, heat or drought stress is also likely to increase yield losses per unit of disease for foliar diseases that promote water loss from leaves.

General reviews cannot easily take into consideration all the complexities of specific crop-pathogen-weather interactions, which may be contradictory for different aspects of the disease cycle, nor variations in predicted climate, which due to an element of chance, may be altered as new information arises or may change significantly over short distances. Additionally, more extreme or variable weather may make some diseases (e.g. rusts and powdery mildew) more sporadic. The sporadic nature of epidemics of dry-dispersed obligate foliar diseases (rusts and powdery mildew or cereals) for example is likely to be due to greater winter survival in mild winters, which will enhance epidemics while dramatic reductions in pathogen populations will follow severe summer droughts, which will kill 'green bridge' volunteers and wild grasses. Epidemics of these obligate pathogens will therefore depend on combinations of favourable and unfavourable summer and winter weather over more than one season. In contrast, summer droughts will not affect most necrotrophs, which survive saprophytically, and reduced destruction of crop residues in dry summer weather, may result in increased inoculum production in the autumn. An improved understanding of both crop cultivation and pathogen survival and maturation is therefore important for development of disease-progress models to predict effects of climate change.

Adaptability of pathogens to climate change can be considered using the approach reported by McDonald and Linde (2002) and also as a key determinant of an organism's likely success under climate change, as discussed by Davis et al. (2005). Adaptability of pathogen species is difficult to predict but will be enhanced by sexual, polycyclic and air-dispersed life-cycle stages. However, introductions of new pathogens adapted to new conditions (Anderson et al. 2004; Flood 2010), changes in farm practices including new crops grown (Barnes et al. 2010), and complexities of climate change projections and the biotic responses to them (Semenov and Stratonovitch 2010) makes these predictions of the future impact of climate change on plant diseases relatively uncertain. Therefore it is essential for government and industry to invest in future food security by maintaining capability to monitor crops for diseases and identify new diseases (Shaw and Osborne 2011; Barnes et al. 2010).

Evaluation of possible future plant disease threats is made more difficult by the high level of uncertainty about future technological developments and socioeconomic factors that will influence future agricultural practices in general (Coakley et al. 1999). For example, changes to legislation (EC No 1107/2009 repeal of directive 91/414/EEC) will decrease the number of approved, effective fungicides for use on crops in the EU (Clarke et al. 2008). Although research is underway to improve disease control with reduced chemical inputs as part of IPM (e.g. EU Pure project FP7/2007-2013. FP7-265865), other approaches include sustainable intensive agriculture, in which inputs are used to maximise crop yields in order to produce food on less land area with implications for reducing the carbon cost of food production (Hughes et al. 2011). Another possible technological development is the use of genetically modified crops, which could include traits to resist certain diseases. Use of this technology is currently restricted in Europe to use as a research tool in carefully controlled lab conditions to understand disease resistance and it is not clear whether this situation will change in the immediate future. However other sophisticated breeding methods, such as markerassisted breeding, could be used to quicken resistance breeding targeted to those diseases identified as likely to increase.

In developing strategies for adaptation to climate change, it will be particularly important to breed new varieties that are resistant to pathogens when the crops are grown at higher temperatures since in certain cases, warmer temperatures reduce components of disease resistance (Zhu et al. 2010). In particular, plant breeders and pre-breeding researchers need to be able to access collections of host genotypes with as much diversity as possible in order to allow a response to new diseases that may emerge. For disease control based on effective host resistance, a greater emphasis on monitoring crops nationally for resistance breakdown and potentially a mechanism to coordinate deployment of resistance sources may be needed to combat the elevated speed of adaptation by pathogen populations, particularly for polycyclic pathogens. Shaw and Osborne (2011) put forward the plausible argument that to respond to unpredictable and potentially sudden changes in severity of diseases, maintenance of publicly funded prebreeding and research programmes is essential. They add that these research programmes should aim to maintain a wide genetic diversity for each crop species, rather than to concentrate on preserving accessions with traits currently thought to be useful.

The general predictions made in this review about effects of climate change on epidemic severity and control methods for a wide-spectrum of arable crop diseases in north-western Europe suggest that most diseases will not alter substantially due to climate change alone, provided that good crop protection practices are followed. The predictions, for the first time, suggest classes of disease that are likely to increase in severity as a practical guide to aid adaptation to climate change by the research community, growers, advisors, breeders, the agrochemical industry and policymakers. Climate change in north-west Europe offers the opportunity of increasing crop productivity (Butterworth et al. 2010) and diversifying cropping systems, and emphasises the need to produce arable crops with minimum emissions of greenhouse gases, while maintaining a secure and stable food supply (Berry et al. 2008; Mahmuti et al. 2009; Carlton et al. 2012).

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful for the funding and information provided by HGCA and the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, for the Sustainable Arable LINK project CLIMDIS (LK09111) with contributions from Simon G. Edwards; Judith A. Turner; David Ellerton; Andrew Flind; John King; Julian Hasler; C. Peter Werner; Chris Tapsell; Sarah Holdgate; Richard Summers; Bill Angus, and John Edmonds. Rothamsted Research is an institute of the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (Bioenergy and Climate Change ISPG). We thank colleagues and collaborators, including Neal Evans, Michael Butterworth, James Madgwick and Mikhail Semenov, who have contributed to the work reviewed in this paper.

References

- Anderson, P. K., Cunningham, A. A., Patel, N. G., Morales, F. J., Epstein, P. R., & Daszak, P. (2004). Emerging infectious diseases of plants: pathogen pollution, climate change and agrotechnology drivers. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19, 535–544.
- Angus, W. J., Fenwick, P. M. (2008). Using genetic resistance to combat pest and disease threats R&D Conference 'Arable Cropping in a Changing Climate', 23–24 January 2008, Belton Woods, Lincolnshire, P21–27.
- Anonymous (2006). Foresight. Infectious diseases: Preparing for the future. London: Office of Science & Innovation.
- Anonymous (2007) IPCC fourth assessment report: Climate change 2007 http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ ar4/wg2/en/ch12s12-3.html#12-3-1.
- Bancal, M.-O., Gate, P. (2011). Advantages and vulnerabilities of agricultural crops faced with climate change. In N. Brisson, F. Levrault (Eds.) Climate change, agriculture and forests in France: simulations of the impacts on the main species: The Green Book of the CLIMATOR project 2007–2010 part C (The Crops) (p 336). ADEME.
- Barnes, A. P., Wreford, A., Butterworth, M. H., Semenov, M. A., Moran, D., Evans, N., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2010). Adaptation to increasing severity of phoma stem canker on winter oilseed rape in the UK under climate change. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 148, 683–694.
- Beddington, J. (2010). Food security: contributions from science to a new and greener revolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 365, 61–71.
- Berry, P. M., Kindred, D. R., & Paveley, N. D. (2008). Quantifying the effects of fungicides and disease resistance on greenhouse gas emissions associated with wheat production. *Plant Pathology*, 57, 1000–1008.
- Bertaccini, A., Vorácková, Z., Vibio, M., Fránová, J., Navrátil, M., Špak, J., & Nebesárová, J. (1998). Comparison of phytoplasmas infecting winter oilseed rape in the Czech Republic with Italian *Brassica* phytoplasmas and their relationship to the aster yellows group. *Plant Pathology*, 47, 317–324.
- Bettarini, I., Vaccari, F. P., & Miglietta, F. (1998). Elevated CO2 concentrations and stomatal density—observations from 17 plant species growing in a CO2 spring in central Italy. *Global Change Biology*, 4, 17–22.
- Boonekamp, P. (2011). Plant diseases ignored in climate change debate. Public Service Review. *European Science and Technology 10*, (in press).
- Brisson, N., Gary, C., Justes, E., Roche, R., Mary, B., Ripoch, D., Zimmer, D., Sierra, J., Betuzzi, P., Burger, P., Bussière, F., Cabidoche, Y. M., Cellier, P., Debaeke, P., Gaudillère, J. P., Hénault, C., Maraux, F., Seguin, B., & Sinoquet, H. (2003). An overview of the crop model STICS. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 18, 309–332.
- Brown, J. K. M., & Hovmøller, M. S. (2002). Aerial dispersal of pathogens on the global and continental scales and its impact on plant disease. *Science*, 297, 537–541.
- Busby, J. R. (1991). BIOCLIM—a bioclimate analysis and prediction system. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, 6, 8–9.
- Butterworth, M. H., Semenov, M. A., Barnes, A., Moran, D., West, J. S., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2010). North-south divide;

contrasting impacts of climate change on crop yields in Scotland and England. *Journal of the Royal Society, Inter-face*, 7, 123–130.

- Carlton, R. R., West, J. S., Smith, P., Fitt, B. D. L. (2012). A comparison of GHG emissions from UK field crop production under selected arable systems with reference to disease control. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, (this issue).
- Chakraborty, S., & Datta, S. (2003). How will plant pathogens adapt to host plant resistance at elevated CO₂ under a changing climate? *New Phytologist*, *159*, 733–742.
- Chakraborty, S., Murray, G. M., Magarey, P. A., Yonow, T., O'Brien, R., Croft, B. J., Barbetti, M. J., Sivasithamparam, K., Old, K. M., Dudzinski, M. J., Sutherst, R. W., Penrose, L. J., Archer, C., & Emmett, R. W. (1998). Potential impact of climate change on plant diseases of economic signi[®]cance to Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 27, 15–35.
- Clarke, J., Wynn, S., Twining, S., Berry, P., Cook, S., Ellis, S., Gladders, P. (2008). HGCA research review No. 70 pesticide availability for cereals and oilseeds following revision of Directive 91/414/EEC; effects of losses and new research priorities; www.hgca.com.
- Coakley, S. M., Scherm, H., & Chakraborty, S. (1999). Climate change and plant disease management. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 37, 399–426.
- Cools, H. J., & Fraaije, B. A. (2008). Are azole fungicides losing ground against Septoria wheat disease? Resistance mechanisms in *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Management Science*, 64, 681–684.
- Davis, M. B., Shaw, R. G., & Etterson, J. R. (2005). Evolutionary responses to changing climate. *Ecology*, 86, 1704– 1714.
- Eastburn, D. M., McElrone, A. J., & Bilgin, D. D. (2011). Influence of atmospheric and climatic change on hostpathogen interactions. *Plant Pathology*, 60, 54–69.
- Evans, N., Baierl, A., Semenov, M. A., Gladders, P., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2008). Range and severity of a plant disease increased by global warming. *Journal of the Royal Society*, *Interface*, 5, 525–531.
- Evans, N., Butterworth, M. H., Baierl, A., Semenov, M. A., West, J. S., Barnes, A., Moran, D., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2010). The impact of climate change on disease constraints on production of oilseed rape. *Food Security*, 2, 143–156.
- Fernandes, F. R., de Albuquerque, L. C., Giordano, L. D. B., Boiteux, L. S., de Avila, A. C., & Inoue-Nagata, A. K. (2008). Diversity and prevalence of Brazilian bipartite begomovirus species associated to tomatoes. *Virus Genes*, 36, 251–258.
- Fitt, B. D. L., Fraaije, B. A., Chandramohan, P., & Shaw, M. W. (2011). Impacts of changing air composition on severity of arable crop disease epidemics. *Plant Pathology*, 60, 44–53.
- Flood, J. (2010). The importance of plant health to food security. *Food Security*, *2*, 215–231.
- Garrett, K. A., Dendy, S. P., Frank, E. E., Rouse, M. N., & Travers, S. E. (2006). Climate change effects on plant disease: genomes to ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 44, 489–509.
- Gladders, P., Paveley, N. D., Barrie, I. A., Hardwick, N. V., Hims, M. J., Langton, S., & Taylor, M. C. (2001). Agronomic and meteorological factors affecting the severity of leaf blotch caused by Mycosphaerella graminicola in

commercial wheat crops in England. Annals of Applied Biology, 138, 301-311.

- Gouache, D., Roche, R., Pieri P., Bancal, M.-O. (2011). Evolution of some pathosystems on wheat and vines, section B5. In N. Brisson, F. Levrault (Eds.) Climate change, agriculture and forests in France: simulations of the impacts on the main species: The Green Book of the CLIMATOR project 2007–2010 part C (The Crops) (p 336). ADEME.
- Goudriaan, J., & Zadoks, J. C. (1995). Global climate change: modelling the potential responses of agro-ecosystems with special reference to crop protection. *Environmental Pollution*, 87, 215–224.
- Gregory, P. J. (2008). Mitigating climate change: energy, carbon and nitrogen on the farm R&D Conference 'Arable Cropping in a Changing Climate', 23–24 January 2008, Belton Woods, Lincolnshire, P12–20.
- Gregory, P. J., Johnson, S. N., Newton, A. C., & Ingram, J. S. I. (2009). Integrating pests and pathogens into the climate change/food security debate. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60, 2827–2838.
- Harrington, R., Stork, N. E. (1995). Insects in a changing environment: 17th Symposium of the Royal Entomological Society, 7–10 September 1993 at Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden. pp 535.
- Harvell, C. D., Mitchell, C. E., Ward, J. R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A. P., Ostfeld, R. S., & Samuel, M. D. (2002). Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. *Science*, 296, 2158–2162.
- Hughes, D. J., West, J. S., Atkins, S. D., Gladders, P., Jeger, M. J., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2011). Effects of disease control by fungicides on greenhouse gas emissions by UK arable crop production. *Pest Management Science*. doi:10.1002/ ps.2151.
- Jamieson, P. D., & Semenov, M. A. (2000). Modelling nitrogen uptake and redistribution in wheat. *Field Crops Research*, 68, 21–29.
- Jamieson, P. D., Semenov, M. A., Brooking, I. R., & Francis, G. S. (1998). Sirius: a mechanistic model of wheat response to environmental variation. *European Journal* of Agronomy, 8, 161–179.
- Kauserud, H., Heegaard, E., Semenov, M. A., Boddy, L., Halvorsen, R., Stige, L. C., Sparks, T. H., Gange, A. C., & Stenseth, N. C. (2010). Climate change and springfruiting fungi. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences.*, 277, 1169–1177.
- Kopf, S., Ha-Duong, M., & Hallegatte, S. (2008). Using maps of city analogues to display and interpret climate change scenarios and their uncertainty. *Natural Hazards Earth System Science*, 8, 905–918.
- Lovell, D. J., Hunter, T., Powers, S. J., Parker, S. R., & Van den Bosch, F. (2004). Effect of temperature on latent period of septoria leaf blotch on winter wheat under outdoor conditions. *Plant Pathology*, 53, 170–181.
- Luck, J., Spackman, M., Freeman, A., Trebicki, P., Griffiths, W., Finlay, K., Chakraborty, S. (2011). Climate change and diseases of food crops. *Plant Pathology 60*, 113–121.
- Madgwick, J. W., West, J. S., White, R. P., Semenov, M. A., Townsend, J. A., Turner, J. A., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2011). Impacts of climate change on wheat anthesis and fusarium ear blight in the UK. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, *130*, 117–131.

- Magarey, R. D., Fowler, G. A., Borchert, D. M., Sutton, T. B., Colunga-Garcia, M., & Simpson, J. A. (2007). NAPPFAST: an internet system for the weather-based mapping of plant pathogens. *Plant Disease*, *91*, 336–345.
- Mahmuti, M., West, J. S., Watts, J., Gladders, P., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2009). Controlling crop disease contributes to both food security and climate change mitigation. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 7, 189–202.
- Maliogka, V. I., Tsialtas, J. T., Papantoniou, A., Efthimiou, K., & Katis, N. I. (2009). First report of a phytoplasma associated with an oilseed rape disease in Greece. *Plant Pathology*, 58, 792.
- Manning, W. J., & von Tiedemann, A. (1995). Climate change: potential effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2), ozone (O₃), and ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation on plant diseases. *Environmental Pollution*, 88, 219–245.
- McDonald, B. A., & Linde, C. (2002). Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 40, 349–379.
- Milus, E. A., Kristensen, K., & Hovmøller, M. S. (2009). Evidence for increased aggressiveness in a recent widespread strain of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* causing stripe rust of wheat. *Phytopathology*, 99, 89–94.
- Oerke, E. C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. Journal of Agricultural Science, 144, 31–43.
- Parry, D. W., Jenkinson, P., & McLeod, L. (1995). Fusarium ear blight (scab) in small-grain cereals—a review. *Plant Pathology*, 44, 207–238.
- Paveley, N., Kindred, D., Berry, P., Spink, J. (2008). Can disease management reduce greenhouse gas emissions? *R&D Conference 'Arable Cropping in a Changing Climate'*, 23–24 January 2008, Belton Woods, Lincolnshire, P38–6.
- Perryman, S. A. M., Gladders, P., & Fitt, B. D. L. (2009). Occurrence and effects of pasmo (*Mycosphaerella linicola*) on linseed in the UK. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 154, 19–32.
- Pietravalle, S., Shaw, M. W., Parker, S. R., & van den Bosch, F. (2003). Modeling of relationships between weather and Septoria tritici epidemics on winter wheat: a critical approach. *Phytopathology*, *93*, 1329–1339.
- Roche, R., Bancal, M. O., Gagnaire, N., Huber, L. (2008). Potential impact of climate change on brown wheat rust: a preliminary study based on biophysical modelling of infection events and plant-pathogen interactions. Aspects of Applied Biology 88: Effects of Climate Change on Plants: Implications for Agriculture, pp 135–142.
- Sansford, C. E., Baker, R. H. A., Brennan, J. P., Ewert, F., Gioli, B., Inman, A., Kinsella, A., Magnus, H. A., Miglietta, F., Murray, G. M., Porta-Puglia, A., Porter, J. R., Rafoss, T., Riccioni, L., & Thorne, F. (2008). The new Pest Risk Analysis for *Tilletia indica*, the cause of Karnal bunt of wheat, continues to support the quarantine status of the pathogen in Europe. *Plant Pathology*, *57*, 603–611.
- Schmidhuber, J., & Tubiello, F. N. (2007). Global food security under climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 19703–19708.
- Semenov, M. A. (2009). Impacts of climate change on wheat in England and Wales. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, 6, 343–350.
- Semenov, M. A., & Stratonovitch, P. (2010). The use of multimodel ensembles from global climate models for impact

assessments of climate change. Climate Research, 41, 1-14.

- Shaw, M. W., & Osborne, T. M. (2011). The geographic distribution of plant pathogens in response to climate change. *Plant Pathology*, 60, 31–43.
- Shaw, M. W., Bearchell, S. J., Fitt, B. D. L., & Fraaije, B. A. (2008). Long-term relationships between environment and abundance in wheat of *Phaeosphaeria nodorum* and *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. New Phytologist, 177, 229–238.
- Smith, I. M., Dunez, J., Lelliott, R. A., Phillips, D. H., & Archer, S. A. (1988). European handbook of plant diseases. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Stern, N. (2007). *The economics of climate change: The stern review*. UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Stukenbrock, E. H., & McDonald, B. A. (2008). The origins of plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 46, 75–100.
- Sutherst, R. W., & Maywald, G. F. (1985). A computerised system for matching climates in ecology. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 13, 281–299.
- te Beest, D. E., Paveley, N. D., Shaw, M. W., & van den Bosch, F. (2008). Disease-weather relationships for powdery mildew and yellow rust on winter wheat. *Phytopathology*, 98, 609–617.
- te Beest, D. E., Shaw, M. W., Pietravalle, S., & van den Bosch, F. (2009). A predictive model for early-warning of Septoria leaf blotch on winter wheat. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 124, 413–425.

- Turner, J. A. (2008). Tracking changes in the importance and distribution of diseases under climate change. R&D Conference 'Arable Cropping in a Changing Climate', 23–24 January 2008, Belton Woods, Lincolnshire, P68–77.
- van den Berg, F., & van den Bosch, F. (2007). The elasticity of the epidemic growth rate to observed weather patterns with an application to yellow rust. *Phytopathology*, 97, 1512–1518.
- Vereijssen, J., Schneider, J. H. M., & Jeger, M. J. (2007). Supervised control of Cercospora leaf spot in sugar beet. *Crop Protection*, 26, 19–28.
- Walker, P. A., & Cocks, K. D. (1991). HABITAT: a procedure for modelling a disjoint environmental envelope for a plant or animal species. *Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters*, 1, 108–118.
- West, J. S., Holdgate, S., Townsend, J. A., Edwards, S. G., Jennings, P., Fitt, B. D. L. (2011). Impact of climate change on severity of fusarium ear blight on wheat in the UK. (In press) doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2011.03.003.
- Willis, J. C., Bohan, D. A., Choi, Y. H., Conrad, K. F., & Semenov, M. A. (2006). Use of an individual-based model to forecast the effect of climate change on the dynamics, abundance and geographical range of the pest slug *Deroceras reticulatum* in the UK. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 1643–1657.
- Zhu, Y., Qian, W. Q., Hua, J. (2010). Temperature modulates plant defense responses through NB-LRR proteins. *PLoS Pathogens*, Pages: e1000844.