

## Fruit and vegetable intake and cause-specific mortality in the EPIC study

Max Leenders · Hendriek C. Boshuizen · Pietro Ferrari · Peter D. Siersema · Kim Overvad · Anne Tjønneland · Anja Olsen · Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault · Laure Dossus · Laureen Dartois · Rudolf Kaaks · Kuanrong Li · Heiner Boeing · Manuela M. Bergmann · Antonia Trichopoulou · Pagona Lagiou · Dimitrios Trichopoulos · Domenico Palli · Vittorio Krogh · Salvatore Panico · Rosario Tumino · Paolo Vineis · Petra H. M. Peeters · Elisabete Weiderpass · Dagrun Engeset · Tonje Braaten · Maria Luisa Redondo · Antonio Agudo · María-José Sánchez · Pilar Amiano · José-María Huerta · Eva Ardanaz · Isabel Drake · Emily Sonestedt · Ingegerd Johansson · Anna Winkvist · Kay-Tee Khaw · Nick J. Wareham · Timothy J. Key · Kathryn E. Bradbury · Mattias Johansson · Ildir Licaj · Marc J. Gunter · Neil Murphy · Elio Riboli · H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita

Received: 8 April 2014 / Accepted: 12 August 2014 / Published online: 26 August 2014  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

**Abstract** Consumption of fruits and vegetables is associated with a lower overall mortality. The aim of this study was to identify causes of death through which this association is established. More than 450,000 participants from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and

Nutrition study were included, of which 25,682 were reported deceased after 13 years of follow-up. Information on lifestyle, diet and vital status was collected through questionnaires and population registries. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CI) for death from specific causes were calculated from Cox regression models, adjusted for potential confounders. Participants reporting consumption of more than 569 g/day of fruits and vegetables had lower risks of death from diseases of the

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10654-014-9945-9) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

M. Leenders · P. D. Siersema · H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita  
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

M. Leenders (✉)  
Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University,  
P.O. Box 80177, 3508TD Utrecht, The Netherlands  
e-mail: m.b.leenders@uu.nl

H. C. Boshuizen  
Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University,  
Wageningen, The Netherlands

H. C. Boshuizen · H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita  
National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM),  
Bilthoven, The Netherlands

P. Ferrari · M. Johansson · I. Licaj  
International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

K. Overvad  
Section for Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Aarhus  
University, Aarhus, Denmark

K. Overvad  
Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital,  
Aalborg, Denmark

A. Tjønneland · A. Olsen  
Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

M.-C. Boutron-Ruault · L. Dossus · L. Dartois  
Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health  
(CESP), U1018, Nutrition, Hormones and Women's Health  
Team, Inserm, Villejuif France

M.-C. Boutron-Ruault · L. Dossus · L. Dartois  
UMRS 1018, Paris Sud University, Villejuif, France

M.-C. Boutron-Ruault · L. Dossus · L. Dartois  
Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

R. Kaaks · K. Li  
Department of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research  
Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

circulatory (HR for upper fourth 0.85, 95 % CI 0.77–0.93), respiratory (HR for upper fourth 0.73, 95 % CI 0.59–0.91) and digestive system (HR for upper fourth 0.60, 95 % CI 0.46–0.79) when compared with participants consuming less than 249 g/day. In contrast, a positive association with death from diseases of the nervous system was observed. Inverse associations were generally observed for vegetable, but not for fruit consumption. Associations were more pronounced for raw vegetable consumption, when compared with cooked vegetable consumption. Raw vegetable consumption was additionally inversely associated with death from neoplasms and mental and behavioral disorders. The lower risk of death associated with a higher consumption of fruits and vegetables may be derived from inverse associations with diseases of the circulatory, respiratory and digestive system, and may depend on the preparation of vegetables and lifestyle factors.

**Keywords** Fruits and vegetables · Mortality · Nutrition · Cancer · Cardiovascular disease · Respiratory disease

## Introduction

In 2003, the World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations recommended a daily intake of at least 400 g of fruits and vegetables per day to prevent diet-related chronic diseases [1]. Their report stated that fruit and vegetable consumption

convincingly decreases the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), whereas the inverse association with type 2 diabetes and cancer was deemed probable [1]. Recent epidemiological reviews observed a weaker, although clearly inverse association with the risk of stroke [2] and less evident associations with coronary heart disease [3], cancer [4], and type 2 diabetes [5].

Previous studies on the association of fruit and vegetable consumption with cause-specific mortality focused on cardiovascular [6–10], stroke [11], or ischemic heart disease mortality only [12, 13] and, overall, observed inverse associations. Studies that looked at cancer mortality showed inverse [14–16] or no associations [17, 18]. One previous study examined the association with death from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and observed an inverse association for fruit consumption [19].

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) is a cohort study, including over 500,000 participants followed since recruitment between 1992 and 2000. Previous analyses within EPIC showed a non-linear inverse association between fruit and vegetable consumption and all-cause mortality [20]. This study was aimed to identify causes of death through which this reduction in risk of death is established. By assessing which specific causes of death are (inversely) associated with fruit and vegetable consumption and examining differences between subgroups of the population, this study also aimed to hint at possible mechanisms responsible for the inverse association with mortality.

H. Boeing · M. M. Bergmann  
Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Nuthetal, Germany

A. Trichopoulou · D. Trichopoulos  
Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

A. Trichopoulou · P. Lagiou  
Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece

P. Lagiou · D. Trichopoulos  
Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

P. Lagiou · D. Trichopoulos  
Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece

D. Palli  
Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Institute – ISPO, Florence, Italy

V. Krogh  
Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

S. Panico  
Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

R. Tumino  
Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, ‘Civile - M.P. Arezzo’ Hospital, Asp Ragusa, Italy

P. Vineis  
Human Genetics Foundation (HuGeF), Turin, Italy

P. Vineis · P. H. M. Peeters · M. J. Gunter · N. Murphy · E. Riboli · H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita  
Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

P. H. M. Peeters  
Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

E. Weiderpass  
Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway

## Methods

The methods of the current study are based on a previous study within EPIC [20].

### Study population

European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition is an ongoing multicenter prospective cohort study designed to investigate the relationships between diet, nutritional status, lifestyle and environmental factors and the incidence of cancer and other chronic diseases. Its rationale, study population and data collection process have been described in detail before [21, 22]. In summary, the EPIC cohort included 521,448 participants (approximately 70 % women), mostly aged between 25 and 70 years, recruited between 1992 and 2000. Participants were recruited from 23 centers in ten European countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom). Most participants were recruited from the general population, except for the French (members of a teachers health insurance program), Italian (except Florence and Varese) and Spanish cohorts (mostly blood donors), the Florence (Italy) and Utrecht (The Netherlands) cohorts (women attending mammographic screening programs) and the Oxford (UK) cohort (vegetarian and health-conscious participants). In France, Naples (Italy), Norway and Utrecht (The Netherlands), only women were recruited. At recruitment, anthropometric measurements were conducted

and participants were asked to complete dietary and lifestyle questionnaires. All participants gave written informed consent and the study was approved by the relevant ethics committees in participating countries and the Internal Review Board of the International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Participants with missing data on diet ( $n = 6,962$ ), mortality ( $n = 2,712$ ) or all confounders ( $n = 65$ ) were excluded. To minimize misreporting, participants in the lowest or highest 1 % of the distribution of the ratio of reported energy intake to required energy [23], the lowest or highest 0.5 % of the distribution of BMI, or the highest 0.5 % of the distribution of fruit or vegetable consumption were also excluded ( $n = 19,450$ ). Participants with a history of cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke, angina, diabetes or any combination ( $n = 41,108$ ) were excluded because these are at an increased risk of death and possibly changed their diet prior to recruitment.

### Dietary assessment

At baseline, the diet of participants reflecting the past 12 months was assessed by country-specific dietary questionnaires (DQ) designed to reflect local dietary patterns [22, 24]. Most DQ were self-administered. In Greece, Spain and Ragusa (Italy), a face-to-face DQ was used. A DQ was combined with a 7-day record in the UK and Malmö (Sweden) cohorts. Information on validity of the DQ has been published previously [25, 26]. A standardized nutrient database was used to estimate energy, alcohol, and

E. Weiderpass  
Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

E. Weiderpass  
Samfundet Folkhälsan, Helsinki, Finland

E. Weiderpass · D. Engeset · T. Braaten  
Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health  
Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø,  
Norway

M. L. Redondo  
Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain

A. Agudo  
Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer. Cancer  
Epidemiology Research Program. Catalan Institute of Oncology-  
IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

M.-J. Sánchez  
Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública, Granada, Spain

M.-J. Sánchez  
Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria de Granada, Granada,  
Spain

M.-J. Sánchez · P. Amiano · J.-M. Huerta · E. Ardanaz  
CIBER Epidemiology and Public Health CIBERESP, Madrid,  
Spain

P. Amiano  
Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Research Institute of  
BioDonostia, San Sebastian, Spain

J.-M. Huerta  
Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Council,  
Murcia, Spain

E. Ardanaz  
Navarre Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain

I. Drake  
Research Group in Nutritional Epidemiology, Department of  
Clinical Sciences in Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

E. Sonestedt  
Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö,  
Sweden

I. Johansson  
Department of Odontology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

nutrient intakes [27]. Information on lifestyle was also obtained using questionnaires. This study focuses on total fruit (fresh fruits as well as dried or canned fruits, excluding olives, nuts and seeds), total vegetable, and fruit and vegetable combined. Legumes, potatoes, and other tubers were not included as vegetable. Fruit and vegetable juices were excluded. Quantification of dietary consumption was performed using pictures (showing increasing amounts of preselected portions to the participant), household measures (e.g., pictures making use of glasses, bowls etc. and a ruler, which are also shown to the participant) and/or standard units (for foods consumed in small quantities). The amount consumed per item was calculated, while taking into account the method of preparation and the edible part consumed [28].

### Outcome assessment

Information on vital status of participants was retrieved from population registries, boards of health and death indices in Denmark, Italy (except Naples), The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and United Kingdom. In France, Germany, Greece, and Naples this information was obtained by follow-up mailings and subsequent inquiries to regional registries, health departments and physicians. The end of follow-up varied between centers, ranging between 2006 and 2010. All causes of death reported in death certificates were recorded according to the tenth edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) and were classified as ‘immediate’, ‘antecedent’ or ‘underlying’. If multiple causes were recorded, the cause of death that was used in this study was the underlying (if present), antecedent (if no underlying cause was given) or immediate (if no underlying or antecedent cause were given).

The ICD includes 22 different ‘chapters’, each existing of multiple ‘blocks’. These blocks each include multiple related diseases. All causes of death, be it a separate code, block or chapter, with more than 250 cases were included in the analysis. Additionally, malignant neoplasms (ICD:

C00–C97) were classified as strongly related to smoking (oral cavity and pharynx [C00–C14, excluding C07 and C08 for parotis and other salivary glands], oesophagus [C15], stomach [C16], liver [C22], pancreas [C25], aerodigestive tract [C32–C34], kidney [C64], bladder [C67]), alcohol-related (oral cavity and pharynx [excluding C07, C08 and C11 for parotis, other salivary glands, and nasopharynx], oesophagus, colorectum [C18–C20], liver, larynx [C32], breast [C50]) [29], BMI-related (oesophagus, colorectum, gallbladder and biliary tract [C23–C24], pancreas, breast, uterus [C54], kidney) [29] and physical activity-related neoplasms (colorectum, post-menopausal breast, uterus) [29].

### Statistical analysis

Hazard ratios (HR) with 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CI) were calculated using Cox proportional hazards models, using age as underlying time variable. Gender, center, and age at recruitment were used as stratification variables to minimize departure from proportionality (examined with log–log plots).

Consumption of fruit and vegetable was modeled using EPIC-wide quartiles and continuously using increments of 100 g/day for fruit and vegetable consumption separate and 200 g/day for the combined consumption. Tests for trend were performed using quartile medians modeled continuously. Vegetable consumption was additionally stratified by mode of preparation (raw or cooked). Preventable proportions (PP) were calculated to estimate the PP of deaths if all participants consuming less than 400 g of fruits and vegetables per day would shift their intake to at least 400 g/day [30].

Analyses were adjusted for physical activity according to the Cambridge Physical Activity Index (CPAI) (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active) [31], education (no education/primary school, technical/professional school, secondary school, university), smoking status at baseline (never, former, current), red meat (g/day) and processed meat consumption (g/day). Restricted cubic splines (RCS) with four knots (at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentile) were fitted for number of cigarettes smoked per day, lifetime duration of smoking in years, years since stopped smoking, baseline alcohol consumption (g/day), and body mass index (BMI) ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) to model non-linear relations between covariates and mortality. Because of a moderate correlation ( $r = 0.28$ ), models for vegetable and fruit consumption were mutually adjusted. Missing indicator variables were used for variables of smoking (9,444 missings), education (15,586 missings) and physical activity (42,243 missings, including the entire Norway cohort) as exclusion of these participants did not materially change the results.

A. Winkvist

Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

K.-T. Khaw

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

N. J. Wareham

MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

T. J. Key · K. E. Bradbury

Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Consistency of associations within ‘blocks’ and ‘chapters’ as defined by the ICD and between lifestyle-related and non-lifestyle-related neoplasms was examined using a joint Cox model in an augmented dataset [32]. Different baseline hazard functions were calculated for each disease (or block) and associations were directly compared between diseases. Models with and without an interaction term for diseases and quartiles of fruit and vegetable consumption were compared using a likelihood ratio test.

Associations with mortality may differ between participants with a different a priori risk of death. Therefore, participants were cross-classified according to quartiles of fruit and vegetable consumption and categories of gender, smoking status (current, former, and never smokers), alcohol consumption (low, moderately low, moderately high, high, defined as  $>0$ – $<3$ ,  $3$ – $<12$ ,  $12$ – $30$ ,  $>30$  g/day for women and  $>0$ – $<6$ ,  $6$ – $<24$ ,  $24$ – $60$ ,  $>30$  g/day for men), BMI ( $<25$ ,  $25$ – $30$ ,  $>30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active). A likelihood ratio test was used to compare models with and without an interaction term. To ensure enough participants in the analyses, only causes of death with at least 1,000 cases were included. Differences in associations between cases with different follow-up periods were also examined, to examine the effect of different induction periods and preclude retrocausality. Models with and without an interaction term between quartiles of fruit and vegetable consumption and time-dependent covariates for tertiles of follow-up were compared using a likelihood ratio test.

To correct for over- and underestimation of dietary intakes from the DQ, continuous associations were calibrated using a fixed-effects linear calibration model [33]. In the calibration model, the 24-h dietary recall values, from a random 8 % sample of each center’s participants [34], were regressed on the DQ values. All variables included in the models for cause-specific mortality were included as covariates. Gender- and center-specific calibration models were used to obtain predicted values of consumption (calibrated values) for all participants. Models for cause-specific mortality were then applied using either the calibrated or the observed consumption values. A standard error of the calibrated coefficient was calculated using consecutive bootstrap sampling. Non-consumers were kept in the regression.

## Results

The median reported consumption of fruit and vegetable combined was 388 g/day. High consumption of fruit and vegetable was associated with a high proportion of women and never smokers, low consumption of processed meat,

high consumption of red meat and high energy intake (Table 1). After a follow-up of approximately 13 years, 25,682 participants (56 % women) were reported as deceased among all 451,151 participants. Out of all deaths with a reported cause ( $n = 20,737$ ), major causes were neoplasms ( $n = 10,627$ ) and diseases of the circulatory system ( $n = 5,125$ ) (Tables 2, 3). Concordant associations were observed between models with and without energy adjustment (data not shown).

### Combined consumption of fruit and vegetable

No heterogeneity in the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality was identified within chapters or blocks, as defined by the ICD. Participants consuming more than 569 g of fruits and vegetables per day had lower risks of death from diseases of the circulatory (HR 0.85, 95 % CI 0.77–0.93), respiratory (HR 0.73, 95 % CI 0.59–0.91) and digestive system (HR 0.60, 95 % CI 0.46–0.79) when compared with participants consuming less than 249 g per day (Online Resource 1). PP for these diseases were 6.5, 7.4 and 14.9 %. Other and unknown causes of death also showed inverse associations with fruit and vegetable consumption. A positive association was observed with diseases of the nervous system (HR for highest quartile 1.54, 95 % CI 1.09–2.18, PP –6.9 %).

An inverse association with mortality from diseases of the respiratory system was only seen in women (Online Resource 2) and an inverse association with mortality from neoplasms was observed for participants with high alcohol consumption (Online Resource 3). The inverse association with mortality from ischemic heart disease was only apparent in participants with low alcohol consumption and inactive participants (Online Resource 4). No clear differences in associations were observed between cases with different smoking status, BMI or length of follow-up time (data not shown).

### Fruit consumption versus vegetable consumption

An inverse association with diseases of the digestive system was seen for both fruit and vegetable consumption, but a lower risk of death from diseases of the circulatory and respiratory system was only observed for high vegetable consumption (Tables 2, 3 and Online Resources 5 & 6). In contrast, a positive association with diseases of the nervous system was only observed for fruit consumption. No clear, consistent associations were observed with lifestyle-related and non-lifestyle-related neoplasms for fruit or vegetable consumption (Tables 4, 5).

When comparing associations within the chapter of neoplasms, an inverse association with malignant neoplasms of the respiratory and intrathoracic organs, and a

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics according to quartiles of the combined consumption of fruit and vegetable

| Characteristic                          | Observed combined fruit and vegetable consumption by quartiles <sup>a</sup> |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |
|---|---|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|   | Total Cohort (n = 451,151)  |                     | Quartile 1 (n = 112,788) |                     | Quartile 2 (n = 112,788) |                     | Quartile 3 (n = 112,788) |                     | Quartile 4 (n = 112,787) |                     |
|   | %   | Median (10–90 %)    | %                        | Median (10–90 %)    | %                        | Median (10–90 %)    | %                        | Median (10–90 %)    | %                        | Median (10–90 %)    |
| Deaths                                  | 6   |                     | 7                        | 6                   | 5                        | 5                   | 5                        |                     | 5                        |                     |
| Women                                   | 71  |                     | 59                       | 71                  | 78                       | 77                  | 77                       |                     | 77                       |                     |
| Age at recruitment (years)              |   | 51.2 (38.6–63.0)    |                          | 50.4 (37.8–61.7)    |                          | 51.3 (38.8–62.9)    |                          | 51.5 (39.3–63.5)    |                          | 51.4 (38.5–64.0)    |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day)          |   | 1,993 (1,349–2,891) |                          | 1,828 (1,211–2,731) |                          | 1,938 (1,333–2,812) |                          | 2,021 (1,406–2,882) |                          | 2,171 (1,520–3,076) |
| Vegetable consumption (g/day)           |   | 172.9 (69.4–388.2)  |                          | 91.1 (37.1–151.1)   |                          | 151.6 (82.0–238.2)  |                          | 215.6 (113.0–333.1) |                          | 339.4 (170.3–548.4) |
| Fruit consumption (g/day)               |   | 193.7 (52.3–446.2)  |                          | 74.6 (19.3–136.3)   |                          | 159.9 (79.4–245.0)  |                          | 250.1 (139.0–364.1) |                          | 403.0 (237.6–646.9) |
| Red meat consumption (g/day)            |   | 34.3 (3.7–90.1)     |                          | 30.2 (7.2–88.9)     |                          | 34.3 (4.9–90.6)     |                          | 36.0 (2.9–90.0)     |                          | 37.8 (1.4–90.1)     |
| Processed meat consumption (g/day)      |   | 24.5 (2.0–67.6)     |                          | 33.1 (8.6–80.4)     |                          | 27.6 (4.5–70.4)     |                          | 22.1 (2.0–61.7)     |                          | 15.2 (0.2–54.1)     |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                |   | 24.7 (20.6–30.6)    |                          | 24.8 (20.7–30.4)    |                          | 24.5 (20.6–30.2)    |                          | 24.5 (20.5–30.4)    |                          | 25.0 (20.7–31.4)    |
| Alcohol consumption (g/day)             |   | 5.7 (0.0–32.5)      |                          | 6.1 (0.1–38.7)      |                          | 6.2 (0.1–33.1)      |                          | 5.8 (0.0–30.4)      |                          | 4.8 (0.0–29.3)      |
| Non-consumers                           | 5   |                     | 6                        | 5                   | 5                        | 5                   | 5                        |                     | 5                        |                     |
| Smoking status                          |   |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |
| Never smokers                           | 49  |                     | 39                       | 47                  | 53                       | 56                  | 56                       |                     | 56                       |                     |
| Former smokers                          | 26  |                     | 26                       | 28                  | 26                       | 25                  | 25                       |                     | 25                       |                     |
| Time since stopped smoking (years)      |   | 14 (2.5–29)         |                          | 14 (2–28.5)         |                          | 14.5 (2.5–29.5)     |                          | 14.5 (3–29.5)       |                          | 13.5 (2.5–29)       |
| Duration of smoking (years)             |   | 17 (4–33)           |                          | 17 (4–33)           |                          | 17 (4–33)           |                          | 16.5 (4–33)         |                          | 17 (5–33)           |
| Current smokers                         | 23  |                     | 33                       | 23                  | 18                       | 16                  | 16                       |                     | 16                       |                     |
| No. of cigarettes/day                   |   | 13 (3–25)           |                          | 15 (5–25)           |                          | 12 (3–21)           |                          | 11 (2.5–23)         |                          | 12 (2.5–30)         |
| Duration of smoking (years)             |   | 30 (16.5–42.5)      |                          | 31.5 (18.5–43)      |                          | 31 (17.5–42.5)      |                          | 30 (16.5–42)        |                          | 27.5 (14–41)        |
| Missing/unknown                         | 2   |                     | 2                        | 2                   | 2                        | 2                   | 2                        |                     | 3                        |                     |
| Physical activity                       |   |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |
| (Moderately) active                     | 40  |                     | 38                       | 40                  | 40                       | 40                  | 40                       |                     | 40                       |                     |
| Missing/unknown                         | 9   |                     | 16                       | 12                  | 7                        | 3                   | 3                        |                     | 3                        |                     |
| Education                               |   |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |                          |                     |
| None/primary school completed           | 29  |                     | 30                       | 26                  | 27                       | 33                  | 33                       |                     | 33                       |                     |
| Technical/professional school completed | 23  |                     | 30                       | 26                  | 20                       | 14                  | 14                       |                     | 14                       |                     |
| Secondary school completed              | 21  |                     | 17                       | 20                  | 23                       | 23                  | 23                       |                     | 23                       |                     |
| University                              | 24  |                     | 20                       | 25                  | 26                       | 25                  | 25                       |                     | 25                       |                     |
| Missing/unknown                         | 3   |                     | 2                        | 3                   | 4                        | 5                   | 5                        |                     | 5                        |                     |

<sup>a</sup> Quartile ranges 0–249.1, 249.1–387.9, 387.9–568.8 and 568.8–1,548.6 g of fruit and vegetable per day

**Table 2** Fruit: Hazard ratios for cause-specific mortality according to quartiles<sup>a</sup> and observed (as derived from the DQ) and calibrated continuous increase of fruit consumption

|   | Cases<br>(% of total) | Quartile 1 |                   | Quartile 2 |              | Quartile 3 |              | Quartile 4 |         | P for<br>trend <sup>b</sup> | Continuous (per 200 g) |              |            |         |
|---|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
|   |                       | HR         | 95 % CI           | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI |                             | Observed               |              | Calibrated |         |
|   |                       |            |                   |            |              |            |              |            |         |                             | HR                     | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI |
| Neoplasms (ICD: C00–D48)                          | 10,627 (51)           | 1.00       | 0.98 [0.93, 1.04] | 0.98       | 0.92, 1.03]  | 0.99       | 0.93, 1.05]  | 0.76       | 1.00    | [0.99, 1.02]                | 0.98                   | [0.94, 1.03] |            |         |
| Mental and behavioural disorders (ICD: F00–F99)   | 307 (1)               | 1.00       | 1.44 [1.06, 1.96] | 1.19       | [0.84, 1.70] | 1.21       | [0.81, 1.79] | 0.64       | 1.02    | [0.93, 1.11]                | 1.04                   | [0.80, 1.35] |            |         |
| Diseases of the nervous system (ICD: G00–G99)     | 566 (3)               | 1.00       | 1.18 [0.91, 1.52] | 1.09       | [0.83, 1.43] | 1.60       | [1.22, 2.11] | < 0.01     | 1.08    | [1.02, 1.14]                | 1.25                   | [1.00, 1.56] |            |         |
| Diseases of the circulatory system (ICD: I00–I99) | 5,125 (25)            | 1.00       | 0.91 [0.84, 0.98] | 0.95       | [0.88, 1.03] | 0.96       | [0.88, 1.05] | 0.73       | 0.99    | [0.98, 1.02]                | 1.00                   | [0.91, 1.11] |            |         |
| Diseases of the respiratory system (ICD: J00–J99) | 1,028 (5)             | 1.00       | 0.93 [0.78, 1.10] | 0.83       | [0.68, 1.00] | 0.92       | [0.75, 1.13] | 0.37       | 0.98    | [0.94, 1.03]                | 1.03                   | [0.89, 1.19] |            |         |
| Diseases of the digestive system (ICD: K00–K93)   | 682 (3)               | 1.00       | 0.87 [0.70, 1.07] | 0.78       | [0.62, 0.99] | 0.77       | [0.60, 1.00] | 0.05       | 0.95    | [0.89, 1.00]                | 1.03                   | [0.83, 1.28] |            |         |
| External causes of mortality (ICD: V01–Y98)       | 1,047 (5)             | 1.00       | 0.96 [0.81, 1.14] | 0.88       | [0.73, 1.06] | 0.96       | [0.79, 1.17] | 0.67       | 1.02    | [0.97, 1.06]                | 0.97                   | [0.85, 1.12] |            |         |
| Other causes of mortality                         | 1,355 (7)             | 1.00       | 0.99 [0.84, 1.15] | 0.91       | [0.77, 1.08] | 1.02       | [0.86, 1.21] | 0.84       | 0.99    | [0.95, 1.03]                | 0.97                   | [0.85, 1.11] |            |         |
| Unknown causes of mortality                       | 4,945                 | 1.00       | 0.89 [0.82, 0.97] | 0.91       | [0.84, 0.99] | 0.88       | [0.81, 0.97] | 0.03       | 0.98    | [0.96, 1.00]                | 0.97                   | [0.90, 1.04] |            |         |

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, 1992–2010

Analyses were stratified for age (in 1 year categories), study center and sex and adjusted for smoking status, smoking duration, time since stopped smoking, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, education, processed meat consumption, red meat consumption and vegetable consumption. P-values for heterogeneity in associations, based on quartiles, were as follows: neoplasms (0.03), diseases of the circulatory system (0.12), diseases of the respiratory system (0.62), diseases of the digestive system (0.64)

<sup>a</sup> Quartile ranges 0–106.8, 106.8–193.7, 193.7–312.1 and 312.1–1,014.5 g of fruits per day

<sup>b</sup> Trend was assessed using quartile medians modelled continuously in the analysis

**Table 3** Vegetable: Hazard ratios (HR) for cause-specific mortality according to quartiles<sup>a</sup> and observed (as derived from the DQ) and calibrated continuous increase of vegetable consumption

|   | Cases<br>(% of total) | Quartile 1               |              | Quartile 2 |              | Quartile 3 |              | Quartile 4 |              | P for<br>trend <sup>b</sup> | Continuous (per 200 g)<br>Observed |              | Calibrated |              |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
|   |                       | HR                       | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      |                             | HR                                 | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      |
|   |                       | Neoplasms (ICD: C00–D48) | 10,627 (51)  | 1.00       | [0.96, 1.01] | 0.96       | [0.91, 1.01] | 0.95       | [0.90, 1.01] | 0.94                        | [0.88, 1.01]                       | 0.13         | 0.98       | [0.96, 1.00] |
| Mental and behavioural disorders (ICD: F00–F99)   | 307 (1)               | 1.00                     | [0.70, 1.32] | 0.96       | [0.70, 1.43] | 1.00       | [0.70, 1.43] | 1.05       | [0.69, 1.60] | 0.76                        | 0.98                               | [0.87, 1.11] | 1.01       | [0.83, 1.23] |
| Diseases of the nervous system (ICD: G00–G99)     | 566 (3)               | 1.00                     | [0.86, 1.40] | 1.09       | [0.86, 1.40] | 0.96       | [0.73, 1.25] | 0.99       | [0.74, 1.33] | 0.75                        | 0.98                               | [0.90, 1.06] | 0.91       | [0.73, 1.15] |
| Diseases of the circulatory system (ICD: I00–I99) | 5,125 (25)            | 1.00                     | [0.83, 0.98] | 0.90       | [0.83, 0.98] | 0.82       | [0.75, 0.89] | 0.78       | [0.71, 0.87] | <0.01                       | 0.93                               | [0.91, 0.96] | 0.87       | [0.79, 0.95] |
| Diseases of the respiratory system (ICD: J00–J99) | 1,028 (5)             | 1.00                     | [0.74, 1.06] | 0.89       | [0.74, 1.06] | 0.76       | [0.62, 0.92] | 0.78       | [0.62, 0.97] | 0.04                        | 0.93                               | [0.87, 0.99] | 0.87       | [0.77, 0.98] |
| Diseases of the digestive system (ICD: K00–K93)   | 682 (3)               | 1.00                     | [0.64, 0.97] | 0.78       | [0.64, 0.97] | 0.72       | [0.57, 0.91] | 0.62       | [0.47, 0.82] | <0.01                       | 0.89                               | [0.82, 0.97] | 0.77       | [0.65, 0.92] |
| External causes of mortality (ICD: V01–Y98)       | 1,047 (5)             | 1.00                     | [0.76, 1.09] | 0.91       | [0.76, 1.09] | 0.89       | [0.74, 1.08] | 0.91       | [0.73, 1.13] | 0.50                        | 0.99                               | [0.93, 1.05] | 1.15       | [0.99, 1.34] |
| Other causes of mortality                         | 1,355 (7)             | 1.00                     | [0.68, 0.94] | 0.80       | [0.68, 0.94] | 0.78       | [0.65, 0.92] | 0.80       | [0.66, 0.97] | 0.10                        | 0.95                               | [0.91, 1.01] | 0.92       | [0.84, 1.02] |
| Unknown causes of mortality                       | 4,945                 | 1.00                     | [0.85, 1.01] | 0.93       | [0.85, 1.01] | 0.90       | [0.82, 0.98] | 0.97       | [0.88, 1.07] | 0.97                        | 1.00                               | [0.97, 1.03] | 0.98       | [0.93, 1.03] |

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, 1992–2010

Analyses were stratified for age (in 1 year categories), study center and sex and adjusted for smoking status, smoking duration, time since stopped smoking, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, education, processed meat consumption, red meat consumption and fruit consumption. P-values for heterogeneity in associations, based on quartiles, were as follows: neoplasms (0.64), diseases of the circulatory system (0.77), diseases of the respiratory system (0.45), diseases of the digestive system (0.34)

<sup>a</sup> Quartile ranges 0–108.8, 108.8–172.9, 172.9–271.1 and 271.1–820.9 g of vegetables per day

<sup>b</sup> Trend was assessed using quartile medians modelled continuously in the analysis



**Table 4** Fruit: Hazard ratios for the mortality from lifestyle and non-lifestyle related malignant neoplasms<sup>a</sup>, according to quartiles<sup>b</sup> and observed (as derived from the DQ) and calibrated continuous increase of consumption

|   | Cases      |         |            |              | P for trend <sup>c</sup> |              |            |              |          |         |              |         |              |
|---|------------|---------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
|   | Quartile 1 |         | Quartile 2 |              | Quartile 3               |              | Quartile 4 |              | Observed |         | Calibrated   |         |              |
|   | HR         | 95 % CI | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR                       | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR       | 95 % CI | HR           | 95 % CI |              |
| BMI-related malignant neoplasms                   | 3,490      | 1.00    | 0.96       | [0.87, 1.06] | 0.97                     | [0.88, 1.08] | 0.98       | [0.88, 1.10] | 0.92     | 1.00    | [0.97, 1.02] | 0.98    | [0.94, 1.02] |
| Non BMI-related malignant neoplasms               | 6,948      | 1.00    | 0.99       | [0.93, 1.06] | 0.98                     | [0.91, 1.05] | 0.98       | [0.91, 1.06] | 0.61     | 1.00    | [0.99, 1.02] | 1.00    | [0.96, 1.04] |
| Physical activity-related malignant neoplasms     | 2,067      | 1.00    | 0.92       | [0.81, 1.04] | 0.95                     | [0.83, 1.08] | 0.94       | [0.82, 1.08] | 0.59     | 0.99    | [0.96, 1.02] | 0.96    | [0.92, 1.01] |
| Non physical activity-related malignant neoplasms | 8,371      | 1.00    | 1.00       | [0.94, 1.06] | 0.98                     | [0.92, 1.05] | 0.99       | [0.92, 1.07] | 0.79     | 1.00    | [0.99, 1.02] | 0.99    | [0.95, 1.03] |
| Alcohol-related malignant neoplasms               | 2,664      | 1.00    | 0.93       | [0.84, 1.04] | 0.94                     | [0.84, 1.06] | 0.93       | [0.82, 1.06] | 0.36     | 0.99    | [0.96, 1.02] | 0.98    | [0.94, 1.02] |
| Non alcohol-related malignant neoplasms           | 7,774      | 1.00    | 1.00       | [0.94, 1.06] | 0.99                     | [0.92, 1.06] | 1.00       | [0.93, 1.08] | 1.00     | 1.01    | [0.99, 1.02] | 0.99    | [0.95, 1.03] |
| Smoking-related malignant neoplasms               | 4,195      | 1.00    | 0.99       | [0.91, 1.07] | 0.97                     | [0.88, 1.06] | 0.95       | [0.86, 1.05] | 0.32     | 0.99    | [0.97, 1.01] | 0.97    | [0.94, 1.02] |
| Non smoking-related malignant neoplasms           | 6,243      | 1.00    | 0.98       | [0.91, 1.05] | 0.98                     | [0.91, 1.06] | 1.00       | [0.92, 1.08] | 0.91     | 1.01    | [0.99, 1.03] | 1.00    | [0.96, 1.04] |

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, 1992–2010

Analyses were stratified for age (in 1 year categories), study center and sex and adjusted for smoking status, smoking duration, time since stopped smoking, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, education, processed meat consumption and red meat consumption. *P* values for heterogeneity in associations for neoplasms related and not related to BMI (0.95), physical activity (0.72), alcohol (0.72) and smoking (0.83) were not significant

<sup>a</sup> In situ neoplasms, benign neoplasms, or neoplasms of uncertain or unknown behavior were excluded

<sup>b</sup> Quartile ranges 0–106.8, 106.8–193.7, 193.7–312.1 and 312.1–1,014.5 g of fruits per day

<sup>c</sup> Trend was assessed using quartile medians modelled continuously in the analysis

positive association with malignant neoplasms of the male genital organs was observed for fruit consumption. Risks of death from all other blocks of neoplasms were not associated with fruit consumption ( $P$  for heterogeneity 0.03). Apart from an inverse association with urinary neoplasms, no associations with neoplasms were observed for vegetable consumption ( $P$  for heterogeneity 0.64).

When comparing raw and cooked vegetables, associations were more pronounced for consumption of raw vegetables (Online Resources 7 & 8). Risks of death from diseases of the circulatory and digestive system were inversely associated with consumption of both raw and cooked vegetables. Inverse associations with risks of death from diseases of the respiratory system, neoplasms and mental and behavioral disorders were seen for raw vegetable consumption, whereas a reduced risk of death from other causes was observed for cooked vegetable consumption.

## Discussion

In this prospective study, high fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with a lower risk of death from diseases of the circulatory, respiratory and digestive systems when compared to participants with a low consumption. Furthermore, high raw vegetable consumption was also associated with a lower risk of death from neoplasms and mental and behavioral disorders compared to participants with a low consumption, whereas high fruit consumption was associated with a higher risk of death from diseases of the nervous system compared to participants with a low consumption.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine associations between consumption of fruits and vegetables and a wide range of causes of death. Although associations observed in the current study agree with conclusions from previous inverse associations with CVD [6–13, 16–18] and COPD mortality [19], and a less evident association with cancer mortality [14–18], previous reported associations are slightly stronger. This may be partly due to the smaller number of participants in previous studies (most included less than 60,000), resulting in a less precise risk estimation.

The major strength of the EPIC study is the large number of participants and its long follow-up, resulting in a large number of deaths. This allowed analyses to distinguish between many different causes of death and to examine whether associations were consistent between different categories based on gender or lifestyle. However, dietary and lifestyle variables were assessed only at baseline and a longer follow-up therefore also means a higher chance of changes in diet. In addition, because induction periods vary between different diseases, a single

measurement may not always represent the most relevant exposure. Although associations may have been missed because of this, observed associations indicate that a single measurement sufficiently covered the relevant exposure for some major causes of death.

The large amount of information on dietary and lifestyle variables enabled correction for confounding, although residual confounding could still be present due to measurement or classification errors in included confounders or their possible insufficient coverage. Systematic over- and underestimation in the DQ could be partly corrected for by calibration using a 24-h dietary recall, available in a random sample of the cohort [33, 34]. Although associations were generally stronger after calibration, it should be noted that measurement error may still be present because the error structure in the dietary recall is not completely independent from that in the DQ [35]. Associations should be interpreted with caution, considering the number of tests that were performed.

The observed inverse association with diseases of the circulatory system (mainly ischemic heart diseases and stroke) agrees with current knowledge and has been described within EPIC before [13, 20]. Fruit and vegetable consumption is known to reduce blood pressure, an important risk factor for CVD [2, 3]. Inverse associations with other CVD risk factors (e.g., plasma lipid levels, diabetes and obesity) are not evident, but hypothesized due to the presence of fiber, folate, potassium and antioxidants [2, 3]. Stronger associations with mortality from ischemic heart disease were observed in low alcohol consumers and (moderately) inactive participants. This did not correspond with the hypothesis that participants with more oxidative stress benefit more from dietary antioxidants. We have no explanation for these observations, but residual confounding by an unknown factor or a chance finding cannot be ruled out.

The association with mortality from diseases from the respiratory system (mainly influenza, pneumonia and obstructive diseases) has rarely been studied. The inverse association seemed to exist in men only, although it is unclear why. Evidence exists for a lower risk of respiratory obstructive disease by fruit and vegetable consumption, possibly through anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory properties of micronutrients [36, 37]. An observed inverse association with upper respiratory tract infections for fruit and vegetable consumption [38] may also hint to anti-inflammatory properties.

The most common cause of death from diseases of the digestive system in this study was alcoholic liver disease (24 %). The inverse association with fruit and vegetable consumption might be explained by the role of oxidative stress and inflammation (generally as result of malnutrition) in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease [39].

**Table 5** Vegetable: Hazard ratios for the mortality from lifestyle and non-lifestyle related malignant neoplasms<sup>a</sup>, according to quartiles<sup>b</sup> and observed (as derived from the DQ) and calibrated continuous increase of consumption

|   | Cases | Quartile 1 |              | Quartile 2 |              | Quartile 3 |              | Quartile 4 |              | P for trend <sup>c</sup> | Continuous (per 200 g) |              |            |              |
|---|-------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
|   |       | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      |                          | Observed               |              | Calibrated |              |
|   |       |            |              |            |              |            |              |            |              |                          | HR                     | 95 % CI      | HR         | 95 % CI      |
| BMI-related malignant neoplasms                   | 3,490 | 1.00       | [0.86, 1.04] | 0.94       | [0.86, 1.04] | 0.98       | [0.89, 1.08] | 0.91       | [0.81, 1.03] | 0.20                     | 0.97                   | [0.94, 1.01] | 0.95       | [0.90, 1.00] |
| Non BMI-related malignant neoplasms               | 6,948 | 1.00       | [0.91, 1.04] | 0.97       | [0.91, 1.04] | 0.94       | [0.87, 1.01] | 0.97       | [0.89, 1.05] | 0.46                     | 0.98                   | [0.95, 1.00] | 0.98       | [0.92, 1.03] |
| Physical activity-related malignant neoplasms     | 2,067 | 1.00       | [0.84, 1.07] | 0.95       | [0.84, 1.07] | 1.00       | [0.88, 1.14] | 0.89       | [0.76, 1.04] | 0.21                     | 0.97                   | [0.92, 1.02] | 0.99       | [0.93, 1.05] |
| Non physical activity-related malignant neoplasms | 8,371 | 1.00       | [0.91, 1.02] | 0.96       | [0.91, 1.02] | 0.94       | [0.88, 1.01] | 0.96       | [0.89, 1.04] | 0.41                     | 0.98                   | [0.96, 1.00] | 0.96       | [0.90, 1.02] |
| Alcohol-related malignant neoplasms               | 2,664 | 1.00       | [0.87, 1.08] | 0.97       | [0.87, 1.08] | 1.01       | [0.90, 1.13] | 0.90       | [0.78, 1.03] | 0.17                     | 0.97                   | [0.93, 1.01] | 0.94       | [0.88, 0.99] |
| Non alcohol-related malignant neoplasms           | 7,774 | 1.00       | [0.90, 1.02] | 0.96       | [0.90, 1.02] | 0.94       | [0.87, 1.00] | 0.97       | [0.89, 1.05] | 0.46                     | 0.98                   | [0.96, 1.00] | 0.98       | [0.92, 1.03] |
| Smoking-related malignant neoplasms               | 4,195 | 1.00       | [0.89, 1.04] | 0.96       | [0.89, 1.04] | 0.93       | [0.85, 1.02] | 1.00       | [0.89, 1.12] | 0.92                     | 0.97                   | [0.94, 1.01] | 0.95       | [0.89, 1.01] |
| Non smoking-related malignant neoplasms           | 6,243 | 1.00       | [0.90, 1.04] | 0.97       | [0.90, 1.04] | 0.97       | [0.90, 1.05] | 0.92       | [0.85, 1.01] | 0.11                     | 0.98                   | [0.95, 1.00] | 0.98       | [0.92, 1.05] |

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, 1992–2010

Analyses were stratified for age (in 1 year categories), study center and sex and adjusted for smoking status, smoking duration, time since stopped smoking, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, education, processed meat consumption and red meat consumption. *P* values for heterogeneity in associations for neoplasms related and not related to: BMI (0.41), physical activity (0.32), alcohol (<0.01) and smoking (0.30)

<sup>a</sup> In situ neoplasms, benign neoplasms, or neoplasms of uncertain or unknown behavior were excluded

<sup>b</sup> Quartile ranges 0–106.8, 106.8–193.7, 193.7–312.1 and 312.1–1,014.5 g of fruits per day

<sup>c</sup> Trend was assessed using quartile medians modelled continuously in the analysis

Additionally, dietary antioxidants have been associated with overall improved liver health [40] and lower risks of intestinal disease [41].

The presence of dietary fiber may explain part of the inverse associations observed in this study, as supported by the similarities in associations with a previous study within EPIC that observed inverse associations between fiber from vegetables (and to a lesser extent from fruits) and circulatory, respiratory and digestive disease death [42].

A positive association for fruit and vegetable consumption was observed with diseases of the nervous system (mainly motor neuron, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases). When comparing fruit and vegetable consumption, the association was only seen for fruit consumption. Although chronic moderate exposure to pesticides may increase the risk of Parkinson disease (but not of other neurodegenerative diseases) [43], this observation is contradictory to belief of a beneficial effect of fruit and vegetable consumption (through anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory properties) on neurodegenerative diseases [44]. Although unlikely, participants may have changed their diets because of their disease, therefore resulting in a false-positive association. Also, a chance finding cannot be excluded.

Stronger inverse associations were observed for consumption of raw vegetables when compared with cooked vegetables, as was observed in the study on all-cause mortality [20]. Differences in availability of (anti-oxidative) micronutrients, digestive enzymes, or structure and digestibility of the vegetables may account for this observation [45]. In addition to its inverse association with raw vegetable consumption, the risk of death from neoplasms was also inversely associated with combined consumption of fruit and vegetable in participants with high alcohol consumption. This may be explained by the increase in oxidative stress that is associated with alcohol consumption [46].

Main causes of mortality from mental and behavioral disorders were dementia and disorders due to the use of alcohol. Raw vegetable consumption was also inversely associated with diseases of the digestive system (which includes alcoholic liver disease) and this association may share underlying mechanisms with the association with mortality from mental and behavioral disorders. An inverse association between fruit and vegetable consumption and dementia has also been observed previously [47], although no difference was observed between raw and cooked vegetable consumption. Inverse associations were attributed to presence of antioxidants, vitamin B, phytoestrogens and fiber.

In conclusion, this study showed that the lower risk of death associated with a higher consumption of fruits and vegetables may be derived from inverse associations with diseases of the circulatory, respiratory and digestive systems. The reduction in mortality may depend on lifestyle

factors and the mode of preparation of vegetables, as associations were most pronounced for raw vegetable consumption.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (coordination of EPIC). The national cohorts are supported by Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue Contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum and Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity, Stavros Niarchos Foundation and Hellenic Health Foundation (Greece); Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC) and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch Zorg Onderzoek Nederland (ZON), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); ERC-2009-AdG 232997 and Nordforsk, Nordic Centre of Excellence programme on Food, Nutrition and Health. (Norway); Health Research Fund (FIS), Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia (N° 6236) and Navarra, Instituto de Salud Carlos III - Redes Telemáticas de Investigación Cooperativa en Salud (RD06/0020) (Spain); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council and Regional Government of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council, Stroke Association, British Heart Foundation, Department of Health, Food Standards Agency, and Wellcome Trust (United Kingdom). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1. World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report of a Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation. Geneva, Switzerland; 2003.
2. He FJ, Nowson CA, MacGregor GA. Fruit and vegetable consumption and stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Lancet*. 2006;367:320–6.
3. Dauchet L, Amouyel P, Dallongeville J. Fruits, vegetables and coronary heart disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2009;6:599–608.
4. Key TJ. Fruit and vegetables and cancer risk. *Br J Cancer*. 2011; 104:6–11.
5. Carter P, Gray LJ, Troughton J, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Fruit and vegetable intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c4229.
6. Bazzano LA, He J, Ogden LG, Loria CM, Vupputuri S, Myers L, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of cardiovascular disease in US adults: the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002;76:93–9.
7. Rissanen TH, Voutilainen S, Virtanen JK, Venho B, Vanharanta M, Mursu J, et al. Low intake of fruits, berries and vegetables is associated with excess mortality in men: the Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor (KIHD) Study. *J Nutr*. 2003;133: 199–204.
8. Nakamura K, Nagata C, Oba S, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H. Fruit and vegetable intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease

- are inversely associated in Japanese women but not in men. *J Nutr.* 2008;138:1129–34.
9. Nagura J, Iso H, Watanabe Y, Maruyama K, Date C, Toyoshima H, et al. Fruit, vegetable and bean intake and mortality from cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women: the JACC Study. *Br J Nutr.* 2009;102:285–92.
  10. Zhang X, Shu X-O, Xiang Y-B, Yang G, Li H, Gao J, et al. Cruciferous vegetable consumption is associated with a reduced risk of total and cardiovascular disease mortality. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2011;94:240–6.
  11. Sauvaget C, Nagano J, Allen NE, Kodama K. Vegetable and fruit intake and stroke mortality in the Hiroshima/Nagasaki Life Span Study. *Stroke.* 2003;34:2355–60.
  12. Tucker KL, Hallfrisch J, Qiao N, Muller D, Andres R, Fleg JL. The combination of high fruit and vegetable and low saturated fat intakes is more protective against mortality in aging men than is either alone: the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *J Nutr.* 2005;135:556–61.
  13. Crowe FL, Roddam AW, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Overvad K, Jakobsen MU, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and mortality from ischaemic heart disease: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heart study. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32:1235–43.
  14. Hertog MG, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Fehily AM, Sweetnam PM, Elwood PC, Kromhout D. Fruit and vegetable consumption and cancer mortality in the Caerphilly Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev.* 1996;5:673–7.
  15. Sauvaget C, Nagano J, Hayashi M, Spencer E, Shimizu Y, Allen NE. Vegetables and fruit intake and cancer mortality in the Hiroshima/Nagasaki Life Span Study. *Br J Cancer.* 2003;88:689–94.
  16. Genkinger JM, Platz EA, Hoffman SC, Comstock GW, Helzlsouer KJ. Fruit, vegetable, and antioxidant intake and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality in a community-dwelling population in Washington County. *Md Am J Epidemiol.* 2004;160:1223–33.
  17. Sahyoun NR, Jacques PF, Russell RM. Carotenoids, vitamins C and E, and mortality in an elderly population. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1996;144:501–11.
  18. Nöthlings U, Schulze MB, Weikert C, Boeing H, Van Der Schouw YT, Bamia C, et al. Intake of vegetables, legumes, and fruit, and risk for all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality in a European diabetic population. *J Nutr.* 2008;138:775–81.
  19. Walda IC, Tabak C, Smit HA, Räsänen L, Fidanza F, Menotti A, et al. Diet and 20-year chronic obstructive pulmonary disease mortality in middle-aged men from three European countries. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2002;56:638–43.
  20. Leenders M, Sluijs I, Ros MM, Boshuizen HC, Siersema PD, Ferrari P, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality: European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2013;178:590–602.
  21. Riboli E, Kaaks R. The EPIC Project: rationale and study design. *European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition.* *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26(Suppl 1):S6–14.
  22. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr.* 2002;5:1113–24.
  23. Ferrari P, Slimani N, Ciampi A, Trichopoulou A, Naska A, Lauria C, et al. Evaluation of under- and overreporting of energy intake in the 24-hour diet recalls in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Public Health Nutr.* 2002;5:1329–45.
  24. Margetts BM, Pietinen P. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition: validity studies on dietary assessment methods. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26(Suppl 1):1–5.
  25. Kaaks R, Riboli E. Validation and calibration of dietary intake measurements in the EPIC project: methodological considerations. *European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition.* *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26(Suppl 1):S15–25.
  26. Kaaks R, Slimani N, Riboli E. Pilot phase studies on the accuracy of dietary intake measurements in the EPIC project: overall evaluation of results. *European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition.* *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26(Suppl 1):S26–36.
  27. Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I, Southgate DAT, Vignat J, Skeie G, et al. The EPIC nutrient database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European countries participating in the EPIC study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2007;61:1037–56.
  28. Slimani N, Deharveng G, Charrondière RU, van Kappel AL, Ocké MC, Welch A, et al. Structure of the standardized computerized 24-h diet recall interview used as reference method in the 22 centers participating in the EPIC project. *European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition.* *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 1999;58:251–66.
  29. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington, DC; 2007.
  30. Wahrendorf J. An estimate of the proportion of colo-rectal and stomach cancers which might be prevented by certain changes in dietary habits. *Int J Cancer.* 1987;40:625–8.
  31. Wareham NJ, Jakes RW, Rennie KL, Schuit J, Mitchell J, Hennings S, et al. Validity and repeatability of a simple index derived from the short physical activity questionnaire used in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2003;6:407–13.
  32. Lunn M, McNeil D. Applying Cox regression to competing risks. *Biometrics.* 1995;51:524–32.
  33. Ferrari P, Day NE, Boshuizen HC, Roddam A, Hoffmann K, Thiébaud A, et al. The evaluation of the diet/disease relation in the EPIC study: considerations for the calibration and the disease models. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2008;37:368–78.
  34. Slimani N, Kaaks R, Ferrari P, Casagrande C, Clavel-Chapelon F, Lotze G, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) calibration study: rationale, design and population characteristics. *Public Health Nutr.* 2002;5:1125–45.
  35. Kipnis V, Midthune D, Freedman LS, Bingham S, Schatzkin A, Subar A, et al. Empirical evidence of correlated biases in dietary assessment instruments and its implications. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001;153:394–403.
  36. Romieu I, Trenga C. Diet and obstructive lung diseases. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2001;23:268–87.
  37. Lampe JW. Health effects of vegetables and fruit: assessing mechanisms of action in human experimental studies. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1999;70:475S–90S.
  38. Li L, Werler MM. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of upper respiratory tract infection in pregnant women. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010;13:276–82.
  39. Gramenzi A, Caputo F, Biselli M, Kuria F, Loggi E, Andreone P, et al. Review article: alcoholic liver disease—pathophysiological aspects and risk factors. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2006;24:1151–61.
  40. Vitaglione P, Morisco F, Caporaso N, Fogliano V. Dietary antioxidant compounds and liver health. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2004;44:575–86.
  41. Thomson A, Hemphill D, Jeejeebhoy KN. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in intestinal disease. *Dig Dis Basel Switz.* 1998;16:129–35.
  42. Chuang S-C, Norat T, Murphy N, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, et al. Fiber intake and total and cause-specific mortality in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2012;96:164–74.

43. Kamel F, Hoppin JA. Association of pesticide exposure with neurologic dysfunction and disease. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2004;112:950–8.
44. Joseph JA, Shukitt-Hale B, Casadesus G. Reversing the deleterious effects of aging on neuronal communication and behavior: beneficial properties of fruit polyphenolic compounds. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;81:313S–6S.
45. Link LB, Potter JD. Raw versus cooked vegetables and cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev.* 2004;13:1422–35.
46. Albano E. Alcohol, oxidative stress and free radical damage. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2006;65:278–90.
47. Barberger-Gateau P, Raffaitin C, Letenneur L, Berr C, Tzourio C, Dartigues JF, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of dementia: the Three-City cohort study. *Neurology.* 2007;69:1921–30.