ORIGINAL PAPER

Environmental risk assessment of radioactivity and heavy metals in soil of Toplica region, South Serbia

Vladica Stevanović · Ljiljana Gulan · Biljana Milenković · Aleksandar Valjarević · Tijana Zeremski · Ivana Penjišević

Received: 7 August 2017 / Accepted: 5 March 2018 / Published online: 13 March 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V., part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Activity levels of natural and artificial radionuclides and content of ten heavy metals (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn and Hg) were investigated in 41 soil samples collected from Toplica region located in the south part of Serbia. Radioactivity was determined by gamma spectrometry using HPGe detector. The obtained mean activity concentrations \pm standard deviations of radionuclides ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs were 29.9 \pm 9.4, 36.6 \pm 11.5, 492 ± 181 and 13.4 ± 18.7 Bq kg⁻¹, respectively. According to Shapiro–Wilk normality test, activity concentrations of 226 Ra and 232 Th were consistent with normal distribution. External exposure from radioactivity was estimated through dose and radiation risk assessments. Concentrations of heavy metals were

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0085-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0085-0)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

V. Stevanović · L. Gulan · A. Valjarević · I. Penjišević Faculty of Natural Science and Mathematics, University of Priština, Lole Ribara 29, Kosovska Mitrovica 38220, Serbia

B. Milenković (\boxtimes)

Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovic´a 12, Kragujevac 34000, Serbia e-mail: bmilenkovic@kg.ac.rs

T. Zeremski

Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maksima Gorkog 30, Novi Sad 21000, Serbia

measured by using ICP-OES, and their health risks were then determined. Enrichment by heavy metals and pollution level in soils were evaluated using the enrichment factor, the geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) , pollution index and pollution load index. Based on GIS approach, the spatial distribution maps of radionuclides and heavy metal contents were made. Spearman correlation coefficient was used for correlation analysis between radionuclide activity concentrations and heavy metal contents.

Keywords Radionuclides · Heavy metals · Spatial distribution - Environmental risk - GIS

Introduction

Permanent background radiation arises from natural (terrestrial and cosmic) and anthropogenic sources (UNSCEAR [2008\)](#page-17-0). Main contributors to natural radiation are terrestrial radionuclide 40 K and radionuclides of the 238 U and 232 Th series. The external exposure of population due to background radiation is mainly coming up from soil. Since they originated from various geological formations, knowledge about levels and spatial distribution of these radionuclides is substantially for possible risk assessment to gammaray exposure. Fission product $137Cs$ is very important anthropogenic radionuclide, which allows inclusion in geobiochemical environmental cycles, since its half life is relatively long (30.2 years) as well. Therefore, for useful information on the background radiation in an area, it is necessary to investigate and follow up environmental radioactivity.

The term heavy metal often refers to the group of elements that have been associated with contamination, toxicity and pollution. Heavy metals also come from natural or anthropogenic sources. The main natural sources are geological degradation i.e. rock weathering and thermal springs. Recent surveys confirmed that the anthropogenic sources cause pollution effects through the various inputs: mining, metallurgical, chemical and heavy industries (including their waste discharges) (Alijagić and Šajn 2011 ; Liang et al. [2017](#page-15-0); Ogundele et al. [2017;](#page-16-0) Pandey et al. [2014;](#page-16-0) Serbula et al. [2017](#page-16-0); Stafilov et al. [2010;](#page-16-0) Yaylalı-Abanuz [2011](#page-17-0)), vehicle emissions (Hu et al. [2013](#page-15-0); Li et al. [2001;](#page-15-0) Ordóñez et al. [2015](#page-16-0); Shi et al. [2008;](#page-16-0) Wei et al. [2015\)](#page-17-0) and agronomic practices, such as organic and mineral fertilization, application of pesticides. (Barac´ et al. [2016a;](#page-14-0) Esmaeili et al. [2014](#page-14-0); Montagne et al. [2007](#page-16-0); Nziguheba and Smolders 2007; Rodríguez et al. [2008](#page-16-0)).

Environmental pollution by persistent heavy metals can induce harmful effects on ground waters, agricultural production, food safety and consequently human health, because soil is most important ecosystem for human survival and development. Therefore, determination of heavy metals content and its spatial distributions in soils could partially help in identifying, monitoring and assessing the potential source of pollution in an area.

Recent studies have reported some data on environmental status of Serbia, but in various fields of interest pointing out various sources of contamination and pollution of certain areas (Borgna et al. [2009](#page-14-0); Barac´ et al. [2016b;](#page-14-0) Cujic´ et al. [2017;](#page-14-0) Dragovic´ et al. [2014;](#page-14-0) Gulan et al. [2013](#page-15-0); Milenković et al. [2015](#page-15-0); Momčilović et al. [2010](#page-15-0); Serbula et al. [2014](#page-16-0); Tanić et al. [2014,](#page-16-0) [2016\)](#page-16-0). The earlier two studies conducted in Southern Serbia were related to some other contaminated areas, and they investigated specific problems such as evaluation of the radioactivity and heavy metals in mining sites (Todorovic et al. [2012](#page-16-0); Popovic et al. [2008\)](#page-16-0).

Natural background radiation and radioactive emissions from nuclear facilities (Chernobyl, Fukushima) are the matter of public concern. Cancer risk from low doses of ionizing radiation is still the focus of a long-standing controversy in radiation protection (Körblein and Hoffmann 2006). The interest of the population about background radiation levels and the potential implications on health started with the increasing risk of cancer incidence and mortality in Serbia over the past years (Dimitrova et al. [2017](#page-14-0); Durakovic [2001;](#page-14-0) Jia et al. [2005](#page-15-0); Mihajlović et al. [2013;](#page-15-0) Slijepcevic et al. [2016](#page-16-0)).

Materials and methods

Study area

A study area covers Toplica region, situated between $42^{\circ}52' - 43^{\circ}24'N$ and $20^{\circ}56' - 21^{\circ}50'E$ in the South Serbia (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). According to the administrative regionalization, Toplica region comprises four municipalities: Prokuplje, Kuršumlija, Blace and Žitoradja, where live 90.600 inhabitants, according to data from the 2011 census. Toplica region occupies an area of 3055 $km²$ (Macejka et al. [1999\)](#page-15-0); it is limited by the river South Morava on the east and by Kopaonik mountain on the west. Northern border of region formed mountains Veliki and Mali Jastrebac, while the southern boundary follows the highest parts of the mountains: Radan, Vidojevica and Pasjača. Average altitude of Toplica is 482 m, and percent of agricultural land is 10.9% according to data from 2012 (Valjarević et al. [2014](#page-17-0)).

Toplica region is very attractive in order to develop tourism, since three famous spas (Lukovska banja, Kuršumlijska banja and Prolom banja) belong to this region. In particular, affirmation of tourism is Devil's Town, unique tall stones formation, which was nominated for ''New seven wonders of nature'' (Valjarević et al. 2015). Secondly, it is necessary to mention that growing interest of scientists, inhabitants and visitors attracts archaeological excavations from the Neolithic period which are still ongoing. The first archaeological investigation in this area started in 1927 (Kuzmanović-Cvetković [1998](#page-15-0)). Toplica region is also important fruit-growing and agricultural area in Serbia.

All above-mentioned studies conducted in Serbia consider the environmental levels of radionuclides and various heavy metals in order to outline areas of potential toxicity. Nevertheless, such studies have not been conducted so far in Toplica region, and therefore,

Fig. 1 Map of study area with sampling locations

the distributions of these elements in the natural and polluted soils of this territory are unknown. For this reason, this study was aimed to provide a contribution to a database on the radioactivity and heavy metal status, i.e., natural background of soil as basis for a wide variety of environmental applications as well as an approach to assess the relationship between geochemistry and the health of ecosystems. Also, the information of trace elements in the soil could be of great interest for agriculture (Wilcke et al. [1998\)](#page-17-0) as well as for management and land use planning.

Therefore, this is the first environmental assessment study for Toplica region carried out to find out environmental level of radioactivity and heavy metals in soil and the potential risks to population health for both residents and visitors. External exposure to radiation was evaluated through dose and risk assessments. To assess the potential contamination by heavy metals in the soils enrichment factor (EF), the geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) , pollution index (PI) and pollution load index (PLI) were determined.

Geology

The geological structure of the region consists of magmatic, sedimentary rocks and metamorphic rocks of a different age (from Precambrian to Quaternary). The Rhodopes are considered as the oldest mountains of the Balkan Peninsula and Serbia; they are built of the archaic and Paleozoic crystalline schist, but also

from the metamorphic rocks. Since Rhodopes consist of old solid rocks, they have been only marginally affected by tangential tectonic movements; opposite to them, newly mountain range of Dinaridi (Kopaonik) formed on the west from Rhodopes (Dimitrijevic and Karamata [1966\)](#page-14-0).

The main types of crystalline schists are andesite, fine-grained gneisses, amphibolites, magmatites, leptinoliths, mica schists, quartzite, marble, amphibole schist, pegmatite and mica rocks. Andesite and fine-grained gneisses underlie all other rocks. Green shale and metamorphosed gabbro found on the mountain Jastrebac belong to the Cambrian rocks, while low metamorphosed rocks from Devon period have been discovered in tectonic contacts of crystalline shale, serpentinised peridotite and Senonian sediments (Geological Atlas of Serbia [2002\)](#page-15-0). From the Mesozoic era, the oldest rocks are related to Middle Triassic and widespread northwest of Kursumlija. The rocks formed during the Late Jurassic are positioned in the west of the region in the form of mass or elongated, but discontinuous zones having the direction of the NNW–SSE are presented by basic and ultra basic metamorphites and diabase-chert formation (Dimitrijevic and Karamata [1966](#page-14-0)). During the Tertiary, today's territory of Toplica region has been affected by intense volcanic activity. The beginning of volcanic activity is related to the upper Oligocene. There are some volcanic rocks on the west on the slopes of Kopaonik mountain. Also, there are three old volcanic calderas: caldera Devil's Town, the Gaitan and Tulare caldera. The largest of them, Devil's Town caldera with a diameter of 25 km belongs to the Toplica region (Jovanović [1972](#page-15-0)).

Climatic

Atlantic Ocean has a great influence on the climate of the region, western parts of the Toplica region receiving a significantly greater amount of rainfall (649.6 mm) in comparison with eastern parts (571.6 mm). The climate of the region is also affected by the continental air mass and the air mass coming from North Africa, that brings warm and dry weather during the summer. The mean annual air temperature is 11 \degree C, with a relative humidity of 75%.

Soil sampling and preparation

Systematic random sampling of undisturbed soil in Toplica region was carried out in April 2016. Fortyone samples were taken from municipalities as follows: eight from Prokuplje, nineteen from Kuršumlija, eight from Blace and six from Žitorađa. Global Positioning System (GPS, GARMIN eTrex 30x) was used for determining geographical coordinates; sampling elevations ranged from 222 to 962 m. Soil samples were collected simultaneously for radioactivity and heavy metal analysis, because both are hazardous and toxic elements; most of them are classified as either ''known'' or ''probable'' human carcinogens according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). The samples were taken up to 15 cm soil depth applying the template method where each sample was composed from sub-samples taken from 1 $m²$ square area with a stainless steel spade according to IAEA recommendations (IAEA [2004\)](#page-15-0). When the stones and rest of vegetation were removed, samples were packed to polyethylene bags and transported to laboratory; all samples were prepared for analysis by air-drying to constant weight, and by homogenizing up to granulation less than 2 mm.

Methods of determining radioactivity and heavy metal in soil

Gamma spectrometry analysis

Gamma spectrometry measurements of samples were taken 40 days after hermetically sealing in Marinelli beakers. Each of prepared soil samples was measured on HPGe detector (GEM30-70, ORTEC) in duration of 6 h. Detector has relative efficiency of 30% and energy resolution of 1.85 keV FWHM for ${}^{60}Co$ at 1.33 MeV. Detector calibration was done using a calibration source of a Marinelli mixture by Chech Metrological Institute (type MBSS 2 containing eleven radionuclides: 241 Am, 109 Cd, 139 Ce, 57 Co, ${}^{60}Co$, ${}^{137}Cs$, ${}^{113}Sn$, ${}^{85}Sr$, ${}^{88}Y$, ${}^{203}Hg$ and ${}^{152}Eu$). In order to reduce the background, detector was protected by 10 cm lead. After background subtraction, activity concentration of radionuclides was determined considering the area of total absorption line, time of measurement, mass of sample, full energy peak efficiency and absolute intensity of transition (Gulan et al. [2017\)](#page-15-0). Gamma energy and intensity (yield) values for radionuclides or their progenies used in gamma spectrometry analysis are presented in Table S1. The activities of 226 Ra and 232 Th were determined as a weighted average activity obtained from gamma-ray lines of their decay products.

Determination of heavy metals content

Total heavy metal concentrations in soil samples were determined by microwave-assisted digestion in accordance with the USEPA Method 3051A using Milestone Ethos 1 microwave sample preparation system. Briefly, 0.5 g of dried and ground soil samples was measured into vessels equipped with controlled pressure relief mechanism. Nine milliliters of concentrated nitric acid and 1 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added subsequently into the vessels. Vessels were sealed and placed in the microwave system. The temperature of the samples was risen to 180° C in 10 min and remained at 180 \degree C for 15 min. At the end of the microwave program, the vessels were allowed to cool before being uncapped. After uncapping, samples were filtered and quantitatively transferred in 50-ml flasks and diluted with deionized water.

Analysis was subsequently performed using ICP-OES (Varian Vista Pro-axial). Quality control was periodically carried out with IRMM BCR reference materials CRM-141R and CRM-142R. Recoveries were within $\pm 10\%$ of the certified values. Wavelengths used for analysis, method detection limits as well as certificated reference materials recoveries are given in Table S2.

All reagents were analytical grade or better, and blank samples were included in each extraction procedure. All calibration standards were prepared in the same acid matrix used for soil samples.

The samples were analyzed for total mercury content using Direct Mercury Analyzer DMA 80 Milestone, which combines techniques of thermal decomposition, catalytic conversion, amalgamation and atomic absorption spectrophotometry $(\lambda = 253.65 \text{ nm})$ in solid soil samples in accordance with US EPA Method 7473. The limit of detection for total mercury content was 0.0033 mg kg^{-1} . Quality control was periodically carried out with IRMM BCR reference materials 143R, and deviations were within \pm 5% of the certified values.

GIS analysis

Geographical Information System (GIS) and data modeling in combination with environmental analysis are very powerful tools for calculating and describing some properties of environmental data in an area of interest. GIS software Quantum Geographical Information System (QGIS) and System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA), with tools for geospatial calculations (Bíl et al. [2012](#page-14-0); Frechtling [1999](#page-14-0); Wu and Chen [2016](#page-17-0)), were used for representing activity concentration of radionuclides and heavy metal contents in analyzed soils. Territory of Toplica region with borders of four municipalities was cropped for future manipulating of vectorized data in GIS. Raster data for heavy metals and radionuclides were georeferenced, and all positions (sampling locations) were digitalized in QGIS. Ordinary kriging method was employed through QGIS and SAGA (GIS) of Spatial Analyst. The priority is given to ordinary kriging and semi-ordinary kriging, since it includes autocorrelation (statistical relationship) between the measured points, although there are a few other methods. Accordingly, the weights are based not only on the distance between the measured points and the prediction of location, but also on their overall spatial arrangement. It also minimizes the variance of the error of estimation.

Radiation dose and risk assessment

Radiation dose assessment

By using A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_{K} , as the activity concentrations of 226 Ra, 232 Th and 40 K in soil, respectively (hereinafter), and dose coefficients recommended by UNSCEAR (UNSCEAR [2008](#page-17-0)), the absorbed dose rates \dot{D} (nGy h⁻¹) in the air due to natural radionuclides were computed according to the following formula:

$$
\dot{D} = 0.462 \times A_{\text{Ra}} + 0.604 \times A_{\text{Th}} + 0.0417 \times A_{\text{K}}
$$
\n(1)

The calculated values of \dot{D} (nGy h⁻¹) were converted to effective doses D_E (μ Sv y⁻¹) by multiplying with 0.7 Sv Gy^{-1} (conversion coefficient) and 1750 h (annual time for exposure outdoors) as follows:

$$
D_E = 1226 \times \dot{D} \tag{2}
$$

The absorbed dose rate due to the presence of artificial radionuclide 137Cs in soil was computed using dose rate per unit of $137Cs$ activity concentration of 0.03 nGy $h^{-1}(Bq kg^{-1})^{-1}$ (Nenadović et al. [2011](#page-15-0)).

Since gonads are reproductive organs sensitive to radiation, the calculation of annual gonadal dose equivalent G (μ Sv y⁻¹) was done using the abovementioned activity concentrations of radionuclides A_{Ra} , A_{Th} , A_{K} , according to formula:

$$
G = 3.09 \times A_{\text{Ra}} + 4.18 \times A_{\text{Th}} + 0.314 \times A_{\text{K}} \tag{3}
$$

Radiation risk assessment

Using the $D_E(\mu Sv)$ and life expectancy LE (estimated to 70 years), excess lifetime cancer risk ELCR was calculated according to following formula (Taskin et al. [2009](#page-16-0)):

$$
ELCR = D_E \times LE \times RF
$$
 (4)

where RF is fatal cancer risk per Sievert $(5.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Sv}^{-1})$ for stochastic effects of radiation (ICRP [2007](#page-15-0)).

Since natural radionuclides are not uniformly distributed in soil environment, radium equivalent activity Ra_{eq} was introduced to represent a weighted sum of A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_{K} . Presuming that activity concentrations of these radionuclides produce the same dose rates, Ra_{eq} was calculated according to the formula (Huy and Luyen [2006](#page-15-0)) as follows:

$$
Ra_{eq} = A_{Ra} + 1.43 \times A_{Th} + 0.077 \times A_{K}
$$
 (5)

For estimation gamma radiation hazard associated with the natural radionuclide, representative gamma index $I_{\gamma r}$ was used according to the equation:

$$
I_{\gamma r} = \frac{A_{\text{Ra}}}{150 \frac{\text{Bq}}{\text{kg}}} + \frac{A_{\text{Th}}}{100 \frac{\text{Rq}}{\text{kg}}} + \frac{A_{\text{K}}}{1500 \frac{\text{Bq}}{\text{kg}}} \le 1
$$
 (6)

A widely used external hazard index H_{ex} is a modified quantity of Ra_{eq} (H_{ex} equal to unity corresponds to Ra_{eq} of 370 $Bq \text{ kg}^{-1}$). It is a useful norm for safety standard regulation in radiation protection, and it is calculated by the following equation (Bertka and Mathew [1985](#page-14-0); Papastefanou et al. [2005\)](#page-16-0):

$$
H_{\rm ex} = \frac{A_{\rm Ra}}{370} + \frac{A_{\rm Th}}{259} + \frac{A_{\rm K}}{4810}
$$
 (7)

Enrichment and pollution assessment

Enrichment factors (EFs) were estimated to rate the possible anthropogenic contamination caused by heavy metals in soils. EF was determined as the concentration ratio of an examined metal to a reference metal in each sample, divided by the concentration ratio of their background values based on the following equation:

$$
EF = \frac{(C_i/C_{\text{ref}})_{\text{sample}}}{(B_i/B_{\text{ref}})_{\text{background}}}
$$
\n(8)

where C_i is the measured concentration of the *i*th heavy metal (mg kg^{-1}), C_{ref} is the measured concentration of reference metal for normalization $(mg kg^{-1})$, B_i is the background value of European concentrations (mg kg^{-1}), and B_{ref} is background concentration of the reference metal of the soil in the same region (Salminen et al. [2005\)](#page-16-0). Metals such as Al, Fe, Sc, Mn and Ti were commonly used as reference metals (Szolnoki et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). In this study, Mn was tested as geochemical normalizer, because of its relatively high concentration and stability in the crust (Tasdemir and Kural [2005\)](#page-16-0). To assess the degree of metal pollution, the EF of each element was calculated and classified into 5 contamination categories: $EF <$ 2, minimal enrichment; $2 \le EF < 5$, moderate enrichment; $5 \le EF < 20$, significant enrichment, $20 \le EF < 40$, very high enrichment and $EF \ge 40$, extremely high enrichment (Sutherland [2000\)](#page-16-0).

The geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) , pollution index (PI) and pollution load index (PLI) were determined to estimate the pollution level of heavy metal. The geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) was proposed by Muller [\(1969](#page-15-0)) to assess the degree of heavy metal contamination in the soils. It was calculated according to the following equation:

$$
I_{\rm geo} = \log_2 \left[\frac{C_i}{1.5B_i} \right] \tag{9}
$$

According to contamination degree, I_{geo} is classified into six classes as follows: $I_{\text{geo}} < 0$, practically uncontaminated (Class 0); $0 < I_{\text{geo}} < 1$, uncontaminated to moderately contaminated (Class 1); $1 < I_{\text{geo}}$ $\langle 2, \text{moderately} \text{contaminated} \quad \text{(Class 2)};$ $2 < I_{\text{geo}} < 3$, moderately to heavily contaminated (Class 3); $3 < I_{\text{geo}} < 4$, heavily contaminated (Class 4); $4 < I_{\text{geo}} < 5$, heavily to extremely contaminated (Class 5); $I_{\text{geo}} > 5$, extremely contaminated (Class 6) (Wei and Yang [2010](#page-17-0)).

The pollution index (PI) was calculated as the ratio of concentration of each metal in the soil sample to the background value. The obtained PI was classified as low (PI \le 1), middle (1 < PI \le 3) and high (PI $>$ 3) (Chen et al. [2005\)](#page-14-0).

The pollution load index (PLI) was determined to give an estimation of the pollution level for the entire sampling location.

$$
PLI = (PI_1 \times PI_2 \times PI_3 \times \cdots \times PI_n)^{1/n}
$$
 (10)

According to value of the PLI, soils can be classified as unpolluted (< 1) , unpolluted to moderately polluted $(1-2)$, moderately polluted $(2-3)$, moderately to highly polluted (3–4), highly polluted (4–5) and very highly polluted (> 5) (Chen et al. [2015\)](#page-14-0).

Health risk assessment of heavy metals

Health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil was used to quantify non-carcinogenic risk to population using the hazard quotient (HQ) and the hazard index (HI). US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA [2001\)](#page-17-0) developed health risk assessment model used in this study. Human beings are exposed to soil heavy metals through three pathways: ingestion, air inhalation and dermal contact. The average daily doses (ADDs) from these tree main paths are obtained using the following equations:

$$
ADD_{\text{ing}} = C \times \frac{\text{IngR} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT}} \times 10^{-6}
$$
 (11)

$$
ADD_{inh} = C \times \frac{InhR \times EF \times ED}{PEF \times BW \times AT}
$$
 (12)

$$
ADDdermal = C \times \frac{SA \times AF \times ABS \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}
$$

$$
\times 10^{-6}
$$
 (13)

where ADD_{ing} , ADD_{inh} and ADD_{dermal} are the average daily intake from soil ingestion, inhalation and dermal absorption in mg kg^{-1} day⁻¹; C is the concentration of metal in soil (mg kg^{-1}); IngR and InhR are the ingestion and inhalation rate of soil, respectively $(mg day⁻¹, m³ day⁻¹)$; EF is the exposure frequency (day year⁻¹); ED is exposure duration (year); BW is

the body weight of exposed individual (kg); AT is the averaging time (day); PEF is the emission factor $(m³ kg⁻¹)$; SA is the surface area of the exposed skin (cm²); AF is the adherence factor (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹); ABS is the dermal absorption factor (unitless). Data for all these parameters for children (aged 1–17) and adults (aged 18–) are presented in Table S3 (Qing et al. [2015;](#page-16-0) Haribala et al. [2016](#page-15-0)).

The calculated average daily doses for each metal and exposure pathway are divided by the reference dose (RfD) to give a non-cancer risk or hazard quotient (HQ). Assessment of the health risk of various exposure pathways was done using the sum of HQs, well known as the hazard index (HI). The HI is calculated as follows:

$$
HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{ADD}{RfD_i}
$$
 (14)

where *i* corresponds to the *i*th element. The value of $HI < 1$ suggests that harmful health effects are uncertain and the risk increases as HI increases. If $HI > 1$, there is concern for chronic effects.

Results and discussion

Environmental risk assessment of radioactivity

Spatial distributions of radionuclides 226 Ra, 232 Th, 40 K and 137Cs based on GIS approach are presented in Fig. [2a](#page-7-0)–d, respectively. According to data in Table S4 and Fig. [2a](#page-7-0)–b, the uneven, but quite similar spatial distributions of radionuclides 226 Ra and 232 Th are evident; it confirms their common origin and occurrence in nature. High values of these radionuclides noticed in the north–west parts of Toplica region correspond to the above-mentioned intrusion of volcanic rocks on the slopes of Kopaonik mountain. For this reason, considering the same origin, the spatial distribution of radionuclide 40 K is similar to the other natural radionuclides 226 Ra and 232 Th. The distribution of $137Cs$ is skewed which is typical for anthropogenic contamination. An explanation of inhomogeneous radiocesium distribution could be different dispersion pattern of $137Cs$ released after Chernobyl accident. However, according to Fig. [2](#page-7-0)c–d, the spatial distributions of radionuclides 40 K and 137 Cs seem to be the opposite, which can be explained by the

Fig. 2 Spatial distribution of radionuclides ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs

slow migration of cesium in potassium-rich soils (Van der Stricht and Kirchmann [2001](#page-17-0)) and through different soil types (Sohlenius et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). Besides, obtained low values of $137Cs$ at higher altitudes could be influenced by enhanced soil erosion (Mitrović et al. [2016\)](#page-15-0); they can be related to the fact that surface soils are subjected to the ''wash of'' effect (Gulan et al. [2013\)](#page-15-0) and the type of vegetation (Zhiyanski et al. [2008\)](#page-17-0). It could be concluded that the highest values of 137_{Cs} activity concentrations correspond to locations with decomposed plant materials.

Shapiro–Wilk normality test performed using SPSS 20.0 software was found that activity concentrations of 226 Ra and 232 Th were normally distributed. Descriptive statistics of determined activity concentrations of radionuclides are presented in Table [1.](#page-8-0) The worldwide average concentrations of radionuclides in soil (UNSCEAR [2008](#page-17-0)) are given as follows: 32, 45 and 412 Bq kg⁻¹ for ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K, respectively. The mean values of measured specific activity for ²²⁶Ra (29.9 Bq kg⁻¹) and ²³²Th (36.6 Bq kg⁻¹) are

lower than the worldwide average values. There is a great variation $(7-1053 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1})$ in the values of specific activities of $40K$, and the mean value (492 Bq kg^{-1}) is higher than the worldwide average. The lowest values of radionuclide's activities were measured in the location of Devil's Town; this sample is very interesting, since it was sampled near spring of acidic water ($pH = 3.5$) (Stevanović [2005\)](#page-16-0). Mean relative ratio $^{226}Ra^{232}Th$, $^{226}Ra^{40}K$ and $^{232}Th^{40}K$ was 0.82, 0.06 and 0.07, respectively.

It is notable from Table [2](#page-9-0) that levels of natural radionuclides are similar to data obtained from studies previously conducted in Serbia, with exception to the higher values of a mountain area, Kopaonik (granodiorite massif). Radionuclide $137Cs$ is very inhomogeneously distributed all over Serbia due to its anthropogenic origin. Results are comparable with more recent studies conducted in Belgrade (Janković-Mandić et al. [2014\)](#page-15-0) and Stara Planina (Vranješ et al. 2016) confirming the presence of $137Cs$ in the environment but with a decreasing tendency.

	Radioactivity				Dose estimation				Radiation risk assessment			
	226 Ra $(Bq kg^{-1})$	232 Th	$^{40}\mathrm{K}$	137Cs	Ď $(nGy h^{-1})$	D_F $(\mu Sv \, v^{-1})$	D_{ECs} G		ELCR 10^{-4}	Ra_{eq} $(Bq kg^{-1})$	I_{vr}	$H_{\rm ex}$
Min	3.3	0.9	7.2	0.01	2.4	2.9	0.0	16.2	0.1	5.1	0.04	0.01
Max	48.2	58.9	1053	83.3	99.8	122.4	3.1	712.3	4.7	209.4	1.6	0.6
Median	30.7	38.7	481	6.6	58.5	71.8	0.2	417.4	2.8	124.7	0.9	0.3
Mean	29.9	36.6	492	13.4	56.4	69.2	0.5	399.8	2.7	120.1	0.9	0.3
SD.	9.4	11.5	181	18.7	17.1	21.0	0.7	121.9	0.8	36.3	0.3	0.1
Skewness	-0.44	-0.69	0.89	2.38	-0.25	-0.25 2.38		-0.21	-0.25	-0.34	-0.24	-0.34

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of radionuclides, doses and radiation risk assessment

Descriptive statistics of results are shown in Table 1; the values of \ddot{D} varied from 2.4 to 99.8 nGy h^{-1} with a mean value of 56.4 nGy h^{-1} . An average contribution of particular radionuclide to total dose rate amounted to 25.5% (range 17–64%) for 226 Ra, 38.7% (range 23–44%) for 232 Th and 35.8% (range 13–47%) for 40 K.

The mean value of 69.2 μ Sv y⁻¹for D_E is very close to worldwide average (66 μ Sv y $^{-1}$) (UNSCEAR [2008\)](#page-17-0) for external exposure to natural terrestrial radiation.

It was calculated that annual effective dose from ¹³⁷Cs, D_{ECs} (μ Sv y⁻¹) varied from 0 to 3.1 μ Sv y⁻¹ (mean $0.5 \mu Sv$ y⁻¹). Therefore, a contribution to effective dose from $137Cs$ in soil is negligible in comparison to the same one from natural radionuclides, since it amounted in average 0.8% (a maximum value was 5%).

The values of annual gonadal dose equivalent varied from 16.2 to 712.3 μSv y⁻¹; a mean value was calculated to be 400 μ Sv y⁻¹. As the organs of interest, UNSCEAR considers the activity of bone marrow and bone surface cells when estimating dose equivalent (UNSCEAR [1988\)](#page-16-0).

The values of ELCR ranged from 0.11×10^{-4} to 4.71×10^{-4} (Table 1); a mean value of 2.66 $\times 10^{-4}$ is slightly higher than the worldwide mean of 2.54×10^{-4} .

The maximum calculated value of 209.4 Bq kg^{-1} is lower than the recommended value of 370 Bq kg^{-1} (ICRP [1990](#page-15-0)).

The calculated mean value of I_{vr} was 0.89, but 13 locations have value over 1.

The radiation hazard is insignificant if H_{ex} is less than one. Since the maximum calculated value was 0.56, the criterion was satisfied.

Environmental risk assessment of heavy metals

As natural constituents of soils, heavy metals concentration varies depending on parental materials. Also, as a consequence of human activities such as distribution of fertilizers, pesticides, industries, waste disposal and air pollution, concentration of heavy metal in soils was increased. Spatial distributions of measured heavy metal concentrations are presented in Fig. $3a-i$ $3a-i$.

The average value of Cr in Toplica region is comparable to previously measured values in urban areas of Serbia (Gulan et al. [2017](#page-15-0); Milenković et al. [2015;](#page-15-0) Dugalic et al. [2010\)](#page-14-0), and it is higher than that in the industrial area (Table [2](#page-9-0)). The average values of heavy metals: Cr, Cu, Mn and Zn obtained in this study are in the range of results reported for urban parks in Belgrade (Kuzmanoski et al. [2014\)](#page-15-0) and are comparable with values from other areas in Serbia. Average Mn content in Serbia is higher than in European countries, while elevated Ni occurs in soils formed of ultrabasic or basic rocks (Pavlović et al. [2017\)](#page-16-0) which are mainly located in areas of Western Serbia (Dragović et al. [2008;](#page-14-0) Dugalic et al. [2010\)](#page-14-0). It can be seen from Table S4 that more than half of sampling locations have Ni concentrations above the maximum allowable concentration (50 mg kg^{-1}) . Concentrations of Cu are significantly higher only in the vicinity of cooper smelting plant (Nikolić et al. [2011\)](#page-15-0), and concentrations of Pb and Zn are higher nearby Pb–Zn mine (Gulan et al. [2013\)](#page-15-0). The concentrations of dangerous and harmful elements As, Cd and Hg in Toplica region are below the maximum allowable concentrations (25, 3 and 2 mg kg^{-1} , respectively), but As is slightly higher than those measured in urban area (Crnkovic´ et al. [2006](#page-14-0); Milenkovic´ et al. [2015](#page-15-0);

Table 2 Mean values of radioactivity and heavy metals—comparison with other studies in Serbia Table 2 Mean values of radioactivity and heavy metals—comparison with other studies in Serbia

 $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$ Springer

Fig. 3 Spatial distribution of heavy metal concentrations

Papić and Vuković [2015\)](#page-16-0). Three locations on the eastern slopes of the mountain Kopaonik (NW Toplica region, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) have elevated values of As which correspond to naturally acidic forest soils (Pavlovic´ et al. [2017\)](#page-16-0). According to the Water Management Plan of the Republic of Serbia, this position is marked for the construction of the storage reservoir ''Selova'' on the river Toplica (Kostadinov et al. [2008\)](#page-15-0).

Heavy metals enrichment factors calculated relative to background value (Mn was taken as the reference element) are presented in Table [3.](#page-12-0) The EFs of As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Hg were in the range of 0.16–244.5; 0.04–44.11; 0.19–4.83; 0.42–12.02; 0.71–87.8; 0.35–35.05; 0.50–51.31, 0.43–70.03 and 0.34–5.54, respectively. The mean EF values of Cd, Co and Hg less than 2 indicate that the metal derived completely from natural processes. Metal enrichments were found in the next order: $As > Cu > Ni > Pb > Zn > Cr.$ The values for Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn showed a moderate enrichment suggesting anthropogenic impact on environment (Zhang and Liu [2002](#page-17-0)). With the highest mean EF value of 7.3, As showed significant enrichment. According to mean values of EFs, the soils in this study were moderately affected by human activities. The EFs alone cannot precisely identify sources of analyzed heavy metals in soils, but they are useful to speculate on their anthropogenic or lithogenic origin. Reimann and de Caritat [\(2005](#page-16-0)) reported that EFs are influenced by a number of factors and contamination is just one of them. On the contrary, I_{geo} and PI were calculated to assess the level of heavy metal pollution.

The calculated values of I_{geo} are shown in Table [3.](#page-12-0) The range values of I_{geo} for heavy metals were: -3.33 to 3.68 for As, -4.2 to 1.11 for Cd, -3.72 to 3.28 for $Co. - 2.62$ to 4.72 for $Cr. - 0.1$ to 2.35 for $Cu. - 4.99$ to 1.13 for Mn, -6.48 to 6.27 for Ni, -1.3 to 3.3 for Pb, -0.43 to 2.64 for Zn and -4.35 to 3.12 for Hg. The mean I_{geo} of Cd showed that study soils were practically uncontaminated. Calculated I_{geo} values for As, Co, Mn, Pb, Zn and Hg indicate uncontaminated to moderately contaminated soils, while I_{geo} values for Cd, Cr and Ni indicate moderately contaminated soils.

The mean values of PI are given in Table [3](#page-12-0). The ranges of PI values were as follows: As (0.15–19.27), Cd (0.08–3.24), Co (0.11–14.54), Cr (0.24–39.58), Cu (1.40–7.67), Mn (0.05–3.29), Ni (0.02–115.43), Pb $(0.61-14.77)$, Zn $(1.11-9.32)$ and Hg $(0.60-6.46)$. The mean PI value for all investigated elements (except for Cd) was higher than 1 which indicates that the investigated soils are contaminated by heavy metals.

The PLI in all soil samples varied from 0.60 to 6.46 with the average of 2.37. This result indicates that the investigated area was moderately polluted by the heavy metals.

Health risk assessment of heavy metals

The results of the average daily doses via different pathways are listed in Table [3.](#page-12-0) RfD (mg $\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) is the maximum daily dose of a metal from a particular exposure pathway for human population during a lifetime, as shown in Table [4](#page-13-0).

The results of HQs and HI by the above-mentioned metals in soils for adults and children via different pathways are shown in Table [4](#page-13-0). The various exposure pathways of metals for adults and children increased in the order: inhalation \langle dermal contact \langle ingestion. The contributions of HQ_{ing} to HI were 97.1 and 84.2% for children and adults proposing that ingestion was main exposure pathway. This result was comparable with other authors (Chabukdhara and Nema [2013;](#page-14-0) Wei et al. [2015](#page-17-0))

The calculated HI values for children and adults decreased in the following order: $As > Cr > Pb$ $Ni > Cu > Hg > Zn > Cd$, as shown in Table [4.](#page-13-0) The total HI values were 0.73 and 0.18 for children and adults, respectively. According to USEPA guidelines, only values greater than 1 indicate that population may experience non-carcinogenic effects (USEPA [2001](#page-17-0)). Children have a greater tendency than adults because of intense body growth and their behavior.

In the case of non-cancerogenic risk, HI values of As are higher than others but still below 1, and there is no possibility of adverse health effect. Arsenic cancerogenic risk was calculated in the study (Tepanosyan et al. [2017](#page-16-0)), where it has a defined cancer slope factor.

Correlation analysis

Spearman correlation coefficients between heavy metals and radionuclides are presented in Table S5. The obtained coefficients were performed using SPSS 20.0 software. The presented Spearman matrix has shown various levels of correlation. The coefficient of 0.804 between activity concentrations of 226 Ra and ²³²Th implies strong positive correlation ($p \le 0.01$).

Table 3 Concentrations, pollution indices and the average daily doses (ADDs) of soil metals for children and adults

Elements	C $(mg^{-1} kg^{-1})$	Pollution indices			ADD_{ing}		$\mbox{\rm ADD}_{\rm inh}$		ADD _{der}	
		EF	$I_{\rm geo}$	PI	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult
As										
Mean	17	7.30	0.33	2.83	$1.08E - 04$	$2.32E - 05$	$6.06E - 09$	$3.42E - 09$	$1.86E - 07$	$2.49E - 07$
Min	0.89	0.16	-3.33	0.15	5.71E-06	$1.22E - 06$	$3.19E - 10$	$1.80E - 10$	$9.82E - 09$	$1.31E - 08$
Max	115.6	244.48	3.68	19.27	$7.39E - 04$	$1.58E - 04$	$4.13E - 08$	$2.33E - 08$	$1.27E - 06$	$1.70E - 06$
Cd										
Mean	0.12	1.49	-1.33	0.83	$7.66E - 07$	$1.64E - 07$	$4.28E - 11$	$2.41E - 11$	$1.32E - 09$	$1.76E - 09$
Min	0.01	0.04	-4.20	0.08	$7.54E - 08$	$1.62E - 08$	$4.22E - 12$	$2.38E - 12$	$1.30E - 10$	$1.73E - 10$
Max	0.47	44.11	1.11	3.24	$3.01E - 06$	$6.45E - 07$	$1.68E - 10$	$9.48E - 11$	$5.17E - 09$	$6.90E - 09$
Co										
Mean	19.4	1.52	0.60	2.77	$1.24E - 04$	$2.66E - 05$	$6.93E - 09$	$3.91E - 09$	$2.13E - 07$	$2.85E - 07$
Min	0.8	0.19	-3.72	0.11	$5.09E - 06$	$1.09E - 06$	$2.84E - 10$	$1.60E - 10$	8.75E-09	$1.17E - 08$
Max	101.8	4.83	3.28	14.54	$6.51E - 04$	$1.39E - 04$	$3.64E - 08$	$2.05E - 08$	$1.12E - 06$	$1.49E - 06$
Cr										
Mean	100.3	2.19	1.10	4.56	$6.41E - 04$	$1.37E - 04$	$3.58E - 08$	$2.02E - 08$	$1.10E - 06$	$1.47E - 06$
Min	5.4	0.42	-2.62	0.24	$3.44E - 05$	$7.37E - 06$	$1.92E - 09$	$1.08E - 09$	$5.92E - 08$	$7.89E - 08$
Max	870.7	12.02	4.72	39.58	$5.57E - 03$	$1.19E - 03$	$3.11E - 07$	$1.75E - 07$	$9.57E - 06$	$1.28E - 05$
Cu										
Mean	39.9	3.94	1.05	3.33	$2.55E - 04$	$5.47E - 05$	$1.43E - 08$	$8.04E - 09$	$4.39E - 07$	$5.86E - 07$
Min	16.8	0.71	-0.10	1.40	$1.08E - 04$	$2.31E - 05$	$6.02E - 09$	$3.39E - 09$	$1.85E - 07$	$2.47E - 07$
Max	92	87.80	2.35	7.67	$5.88E - 04$	$1.26E - 04$	$3.29E - 08$	$1.85E - 08$	$1.01E - 06$	$1.35E - 06$
Mn										
Mean	735		0.17	1.92	$4.70E - 03$	$1.01E - 03$	$2.63E - 07$	$1.48E - 07$	$8.08E - 06$	$1.08E - 05$
Min	$18\,$		-4.99	0.05	$1.16E - 04$	$2.48E - 05$	$6.46E - 09$	$3.64E - 09$	$1.99E - 07$	$2.65E - 07$
Max	1258		1.13	3.29	$8.04E - 03$	$1.72E - 03$	$4.49E - 07$	$2.53E - 07$	$1.38E - 05$	$1.85E - 05$
Ni										
Mean	117.8	3.70	1.47	8.42	$7.53E - 04$	$1.61E - 04$	$4.21E - 08$	$2.37E - 08$	$1.30E - 06$	$1.73E - 06$
Min	0.23	0.35	-6.48	$0.02\,$	$1.50E - 06$	$3.22E - 07$	$8.38E - 11$	$4.73E - 11$	$2.58E - 09$	$3.44E - 09$
Max	1616	35.05	6.27	115.43	$1.03E - 02$	$2.21E - 03$	$5.77E - 07$	$3.26E - 07$	$1.78E - 05$	$2.37E - 05$
Pb										
Mean	47.3	3.07	0.73	3.15	$3.02E - 04$	$6.48E - 05$	$1.69E - 08$	$9.52E - 09$	$5.20E - 07$	$6.93E - 07$
Min	9.2	0.50	-1.30	0.61	$5.86E - 05$	$1.26E - 05$	$3.27E - 09$	$1.85E - 09$	$1.01E - 07$	$1.34E - 07$
Max	221.5	51.31	3.30	14.77	$1.42E - 03$	$3.03E - 04$	$7.91E - 08$	$4.46E - 08$	$2.44E - 06$	$3.25E - 06$
Zn										
Mean	110.7	2.96	0.44	2.31	$7.08E - 04$	$1.52E - 04$	$3.96E - 08$	$2.23E - 08$	$1.22E - 06$	$1.62E - 06$
Min	53.5	0.43	-0.43	1.11	$3.42E - 04$	$7.33E - 05$	$1.91E - 08$	$1.08E - 08$	$5.88E - 07$	$7.85E - 07$
Max	447.3	70.03	2.64	9.32	$2.86E - 03$	$6.13E - 04$	$1.60E - 07$	$9.01E - 08$	$4.92E - 06$	$6.56E - 06$
Hg										
Mean	0.11	1.47	0.27	2.91	$6.88E - 07$	$1.48E - 07$	$3.85E - 11$	$2.17E - 11$	$1.18E - 09$	$1.58E - 09$
Min	0.003	0.34	-4.35	0.07	$1.74E - 08$	$3.73E - 09$	$9.72E - 13$	$5.48E - 13$	$2.99E - 11$	$3.99E - 11$
Max	0.48	5.54	3.12	13.04	$3.08E - 06$	$6.61E - 07$	$1.72E - 10$	$9.72E - 11$	5.30E-09	$7.08E - 09$

This result is in agreement with our previously published results (Gulan et al. [2017](#page-15-0); Milenkovic et al. 2015). Due to the fact that ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th have similar behavior throughout their transport, this was expected (Chandrasekaran et al. [2015\)](#page-14-0).

There are strong positive correlations at the 0.01 significance level among pairs of As–Cd, As–Pb, Cd– Pb, Cd–Zn, Cu–Zn, Cr–Ni; Co is also strong positive correlated with Cr, Mn and Ni; as well as Pb with Zn and Hg (Table S5). This indicates their geogenic association and geochemical affinities in soils.

Conclusion

This study was performed to assess environmental risk of radioactivity and heavy metals. The activity concentrations of 226 Ra, 232 Th, 40 K, 137 Cs and the concentrations of metals (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn and Hg) in soil samples from Toplica region were obtained using HPGe gamma-ray spectrometry and ICP-OES analysis technique, respectively.

The mean values of measured specific activity for ²²⁶Ra (29.9 Bq kg⁻¹) and ²³²Th (36.6 Bq kg⁻¹) are lower than the worldwide average values. There is a great variation (7-1053 Bq kg^{-1}) in the values of specific activities of 40 K, and the mean value of 492 Bq kg^{-1} is higher than the worldwide average. Dose assessment and radiological risk assessment indicate that there is no significant risk for population of Toplica region.

The calculated enrichment factors (EFs) showed moderate metal enrichment in the following order: $As > Cu > Ni > Pb > Zn > Cr$. With the highest EF value of 7.3, As showed a significant enrichment. According to mean values of EFs, the soils in this study were moderately affected by human activities.

The pollution load index (PLI) was determined to give an estimation of the pollution level for the entire sampling location. The PLI in all soil samples varied from 0.60 to 6.46 with the average of 2.37. This result indicates that the investigated area was moderately polluted by the heavy metals.

The health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil was used to quantify non-carcinogenic risk to population using the hazard quotient (HQ) and the hazard index (HI). The total HI values were 0.73 and 0.18 for children and adults, respectively. According to USEPA guidelines, only values greater than 1 indicate that population may experience non-carcinogenic effects. The various exposure pathways of metals for adults and children increased in the order: inhala t tion \lt dermal contact \lt ingestion.

Correlations between heavy metals and radionuclides were calculated by Spearman correlation coefficient. Strong positive correlation between radionuclides 226 Ra and 232 Th was observed.

This study presents the baseline information on the natural and artificial radioactivity and heavy metal contents in the investigated area. Toplica region is well known for its thermal spas. The obtained data not only can be used as a reference data for pollution monitoring but also can serve as a reference for further investigations of radon in spas and estimation of dose from inhalation. The study also provides a base for the local authority for further long-term monitoring of any anthropogenic contamination of either radioactivity or heavy metals.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology Development of the Republic of Serbia under the Projects III41028, 171021 and III40008.

References

- Alijagić, J., & Šajn, R. (2011). Distribution of chemical elements in an old metallurgical area, Zenica (Bosnia and Herzegovina). Geoderma, 162, 71–85.
- Barać, N., Škrivanj, S., Bukumirić, Z., Živojinović, D., Manojlović, D., Barać, M., et al. (2016a). Distribution and mobility of heavy elements in floodplain agricultural soils along the Ibar River (Southern Serbia and Northern Kosovo). Chemometric investigation of pollutant sources and ecological risk assessment. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23, 9000–9011.
- Barać, N., Škrivanj, S., Mutić, J., Manojlović, D., Bukumirić, Z., Zivojinović, D., et al. (2016b). Heavy metals fractionation in agricultural soils of Pb/Zn mining region and their transfer to selected vegetables. Water, Air, and Soil pollution, 227, 481–494.
- Bertka, J., & Mathew, P. J. (1985). Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and byproducts. Health Physics, 48, 87–95.
- Bikit, I., Slivka, J., L, Conkic, Krmar, M., Veskovic, M., Zikic-Todorovic, N., et al. (2005). Radioactivity of the soil in Vojvodina (Northern province of Serbia and Montenegro). Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 78, 11–19.
- Bíl, M., Bílová, M., & Kubeček, J. (2012). Unified GIS database on cycle tourism infrastructure. Tourism Management, 33, 1554–1561.
- Borgna, L., Di Lella, L. A., Nannoni, F., Pisani, A., Pizzetti, E., Protano, G., et al. (2009). The high contents of lead in soils

of northern Kosovo. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 101, 137–146.

- Chabukdhara, M., & Nema, A. (2013). Heavy metals assessment in urban soil around industrial clusters in Ghaziabad, India: Probabilistic health risk approach. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 87, 57–64.
- Chandrasekaran, A., Ravisankar, R., Rajalakshmi, A., Eswaran, P., Vijayagopal, P., & Venkatraman, B. (2015). Assessment of natural radioactivity and function of minerals in soils of Yelagiri hills, Tamilnadu, India by Gamma Ray spectroscopic and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) techniques with statistical approach. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 136, 1734–1744.
- Chen, H., Teng, Y., Lu, S., Wang, Y., & Wang, J. (2015). Contamination features and health risk of soil heavy metals in China. Science of the Total Environment, 512–513, 143–153.
- Chen, T. B., Zheng, Y. M., Lei, M., Huang, Z. C., Wu, H. T., Chen, H., et al. (2005). Assessment of heavy metal pollution in surface soils of urban parks in Beijing, China. Chemosphere, 60, 542–551.
- Crnkovic´, D., Ristic´, M., & Antonovic´, D. (2006). Distribution of heavy metals and arsenic in soils of Belgrade (Serbia and Montenegro). Soil & Sediment Contamination, 15, 581–589.
- Ćujić, M., Dragović, S., Đorđević, M., Dragović, R., & Gajić, B. (2017). Reprint of ''Environmental assessment of heavy metals around the largest coal fired power plant in Serbia''. CATENA, 148, 26–34.
- Dimitrijevic, M., & Karamata, S. (1966). An overview of Kopaonik granodiorite massif. Records of Serbian Geological Society from 1964–1967. Belgrade (in Serbian).
- Dimitrova, N., Znaor, A., Agius, D., Eser, S., Sekerija, M., Ryzhov, A., et al. (2017). Breast cancer in South-Eastern European countries since 2000: Rising incidence and decreasing mortality at young and middle ages. European Journal of Cancer, 83, 43–55.
- Dragović, R., Gajić, B., Dragović, S., Đorđević, M., Đorđević, M., Mihailović, N., et al. (2014). Assessment of the impact of geographical factors on the spatial distribution of heavy metals in soils around the steel production facility in Smederevo (Serbia). Journal of Cleaner Production, 84, 550–562.
- Dragović, S., Mihailović, N., & Gajić, B. (2008). Heavy metals in soils: Distribution, relationship with soil characteristics and radionuclides and multivariate assessment of contamination sources. Chemosphere, 72, 491–495.
- Dugalic, G., Krstic, D., Jelic, M., Nikezic, D., Milenkovic, B., Pucarevic, M., et al. (2010). Heavy metals, organics and radioactivity in soil of western Serbia. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 177, 697–702.
- Duraković, A. (2001). On depleted uranium: Gulf war and Balkan syndrome. Croatian Medical Journal, 42, 130–134.
- Esmaeili, A., Moore, F., Keshavarzi, B., Jaafarzadeh, N., & Kermani, M. (2014). A geochemical survey of heavy metals in agricultural and background soils of the Isfahan industrial zone, Iran. Catena, 121, 88–98.
- Frechtling, D. C. (1999). The tourism satellite account: foundations, progress and issues. Tourism Management, 20(1), 163–170.
- Geological Atlas of Serbia. (2002). Ministry of Environment and Spatial Planning Republic of Serbia.
- Gulan, L., Milenkovic, B., Stajic, J. M., Vuckovic, B., Krstic, D., Zeremski, T., et al. (2013). Correlation between radioactivity levels and heavy metal content in the soils of the North Kosovska Mitrovica environment. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 15, 1735–1742.
- Gulan, L., Milenkovic, B., Zeremski, T., Milic, G., & Vuckovic, B. (2017). Persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals and radioactivity in the urban soil of Pristina City, Kosovo and Metohija. Chemosphere, 171, 415–426.
- Haribala, Hu, B., Wang, C., Gerilemandahu, Xu, X., Zhang, S., Bao, S., & Li,Y. (2016). Assessment of radioactive materials and heavy metals in the surface soil around uranium mining area of Tongliao, China. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 130, 185–192.
- Hu, Y., Liu, X., Bai, J., Shih, C., Zeng, E. Y., & Cheng, H. (2013). Assessing heavy metal pollution and soils the surface of a region that had undergone three decades of intense industrialization and urbanization. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 20, 6150–6159.
- Huy, N. Q., & Luyen, T. V. (2006). Study on external doses from terrestrial radioactivity in southern Vietnam. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 118, 331–336.
- IAEA. (2004). Soil sampling for environmental contaminants. Vienna, Austria: IAEA. IAEA-TECDOC-1415.
- ICRP. (1990). Recommendation of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. Pergamon: ICRP Publication 60.
- ICRP. (2007). The recommendations of the international commission on radiological protection. Pergamon: ICRP Publication.
- Janković-Mandić, L., & Dragović, S. (2010). Assessment of terrestrial gamma exposure to the population of Belgrade (Serbia). Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 140(4), 369–377.
- Janković-Mandić, L. J., Dragović, R. M., Đorđević, M. M., Đolić, M. B., Onjia, A. E., Dragović, S. D., et al. (2014). Spatial variability of 137 Cs in the soil of Belgrade region (Serbia). Hemijska Industrija, 68(4), 449–455 (in Serbian).
- Jia, G., Belli, M., Sansone, U., Rosamilia, S., & Gaudino, S. (2005). Concentration and characteristics of depleted uranium in water, air and biological samples collected in Serbia and Montenegro. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 63, 381–399.
- Jovanović, M. (1972). New perspectives on the development of volcanism in the area of Lece and site complexes. Geological records of the Balkan Peninsula, 37-2, Belgrade (in Serbian).
- Körblein, A., & Hoffmann, W. (2006). Background radiation and cancer mortality in Bavaria: An ecological analysis. Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health, 61, 109–114.
- Kostadinov, S., Dragović, N., Zlatić, M., & Todosijević, M. (2008). Impact of erosion control works on soil erosion intensity in the upper part of the river Toplica drainage basin. Vodoprivreda, 40, 115-126 (in Serbian).
- Kuzmanoski, M. M., Todorović, M. N., Aničić Urošević, M. P., & Rajšić, S. F. (2014). Heavy metal content of soil in urban

parks of Belgrade. Hemijska Industrija, 68, 643–651 (in Serbian).

- Kuzmanović-Cvetković, J. (1998). Prokuplje, the town of St. Procopius. Prokuplje: Regional Museum of Toplica (in Serbian).
- Li, X. D., Poon, C. S., & Liu, P. S. (2001). Heavy metal contamination of urban soils and street dusts inHong Kong. Applied Geochemistry, 16, 1361–1368.
- Liang, J., Feng, C., Zeng, G., Gao, X., Zhong, M., Li, X., et al. (2017). Spatial distribution and source identification of heavy metals in surface soils in a typical coal mine city, Lianyuan, China. Environmental Pollution, 225, 681–690.
- Maćejka, M., Rudić, V., Kuzmanović-Cvetković, J., Mladenović, B., Bjelica, P., Jevtić, M., et al. (1999). Toplica landscape: A small encyclopedia of Toplica. Belgrade: Altera (in Serbian).
- Mihailovic´, A., L, Budinski-Petkovic´, Popov, S., Ninkov, J., Vasin, J., Ralević, N. M., et al. (2015). Spatial distribution of metals in urban soil of Novi Sad, Serbia: GIS based approach. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 150, 104–114.
- Mihajlović, J., Pechlivanoglou, P., Miladinov-Mikov, M., Živković, S., & Postma, M. J. (2013). Cancer incidence and mortality in Serbia 1999–2009. BMC Cancer, 13, 18.
- Milenković, B., Stajić, J. M., Gulan, L., Zeremski, T., & Nikezić, D. (2015). Radioactivity levels and heavy metals in urban land in central Serbia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 22, 16732–16741.
- Mitrović, B., Ajtić, J., Lazić, M., Andrić, V., Krstić, N., Vranješ, B., et al. (2016). Natural and anthropogenic radioactivity in the environment of Kopaonik mountain, Serbia. Environmental Pollution, 215, 273–279.
- Mitrović, B., Vitorović, G., Vitorović, D., Pantelić, G., & Adamović, I. (2009). Natural and anthropogenic radioactivity in the environment of mountain region of Serbia. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 11, 383–388.
- Momčilović, M., Kovačević, J., & Dragović, S. (2010). Population doses from terrestrial exposure in the vicinity of abandoned uranium mines in Serbia. Radiation Measurements, 45, 225–230.
- Montagne, D., Cornu, S., Bourennane, H., Baize, D., Ratié, C., & King, D. (2007). Effect of agricultural practices on traceelement distribution in soil. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 38, 473–491.
- Muller, G. (1969). Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geological Journal, 2, 108–118.
- Nenadović, S. S., Nenadović, M. T., Vukanac, I. S., Omerašević, M. O., & Kljajević, L. M. (2011). Radiological hazards of $137Cs$ in cultivated and undisturbed areas. Nuclear Technology and Radiation Protection, 26, 115–118.
- Nikolić, D., Milošević, N., Živković, Ž., Mihajlović, I., Kovačević, R., & Petrović, N. (2011). Multi-criteria analysis of soil pollution by heavy metals in the vicinity of the copper smelting plant in Bor (Serbia). Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society, 76, 625–641.
- Ninkov, J., Milić, S., Vasin, J., Kicošev, V., Sekulić, P., Zeremski, T., et al. (2012). Heavy metals in soil and sediments of the planned ecological network of central Banat, Serbia. Ratarstvo i Povrtarstvo, 49, 17–23 (in Serbian).
- Nziguheba, G., & Smolders, E. (2007). Inputs of trace elements in agricultural soils via phosphate fertilizers in European countries. Science of the Total Environment, 390, 53–57.
- Ogundele, L. T., Owoade, O. K., Hopke, P. K., & Olise, F. S. (2017). Heavy metals in industrially emitted particulate matter in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Environmental Research, 156, 320–325.
- Ordóñez, A., Álvarez, R., DeMiguel, E., & Charlesworth, S. (2015). Spatial and temporal variations of trace element distribution in soils and street dust of an industrial town in NW Spain: 15 years of study. Science of the Total Environment, 524–525, 93–103.
- Pandey, B., Agrawal, M., & Singh, S. (2014). Assessment of air pollution around coal mining area: Emphasizing on spatial distributions, seasonal variations and heavy metals, using cluster and principal component analysis. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 5, 79–86.
- Papastefanou, C., Stoulos, S., & Manolopculou, M. (2005). The radioactivity of building materials. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 266, 367–372.
- Papić, M., & Vuković, M. (2015). Multivariate analysis of contamination of alluvial soils with heavy metals in Cačak, Serbia. Romanian Journal of Physics, 60, 1151–1162.
- Papić, M., Vuković, M., Bikit, I., Mrđa, D., Forkapić, S., Bikit, K., et al. (2014). Multi-criteria analysis of soil radioactivity in Cačak basin, Serbia. Romanian Journal of Physics, 59, 846–861.
- Pavlović, P., Kostić, N., Karadžić, B., & Mitrović, M. (2017). Soil classification. In The soils of Serbia. World soils book series. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Popovic, D., Todorovic, D., Frontasyeva, M., Ajtic, J., Tasic, M., & Rajsic, S. (2008). Radionuclides and heavy metals in Borovac, Southern Serbia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 15, 509–520.
- Qing, X., Yutong, Z., & Shenggao, L. (2015). Assessment of heavy metal pollution and human health risk in urban soils of steel industrial city (Anshan), Liaoning, Northeast China. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 120, 377–385.
- Reimann, C., & de Caritat, P. (2005). Distinguishing between natural and anthropogenic sources for elements in the environment: Regional geochemical surveys versus enrichment factors. Science of the Total Environment, 337, 91–107.
- Rodríguez, J. A., Nanos, N., Grau, J. M., Gil, L., & López-Arias, M. (2008). Multiscale analysis of heavy metal contents in Spanish agricultural topsoils. Chemosphere, 70, 1085–1096.
- Salminen, R., Batista, M. J., Bidovec, M., Demetriades, A., De Vivo, B., De Vos, W., et al. (2005). Geochemical atlas of Europe. Part 1, Background information, methodology and maps.
- Serbula, S. M., Ilic, A. A., Kalinovic, J. V., Kalinovic, T. S., & Petrovic, N. B. (2014). Assessment of air pollution originating from copper smelter in Bor (Serbia). Environmental Earth Sciences, 71, 1651–1661.
- Serbula, S. M., Milosavljevic´, J. S., Radojevic, A. A., Kalinovic, J. V., & Kalinovic, T. S. (2017). Extreme air pollution with contaminants originating from the mining-metallurgical processes. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 1066–1075.
- Shi, G. T., Chen, Z., Xu, S., Zhang, J., Wang, L., Bi, C., et al. (2008). Potentially toxic metal contamination of urban soils and road side dust in Shanghai, China. Environmental Pollution, 156, 251–260.
- Skrbić, B., & Đurišić-Mladenović, N. (2013). Distribution of heavy elements in urban and rural surface soils: The Novi Sad city and the surrounding settlements, Serbia. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 185, 457–471.
- Slijepcevic, N., Zivaljevic, V., Paunovic, I., Diklic, A., Zivkovic Perisic, S., Miljus, D., et al. (2016). Rising incidence of thyroid cancer in Serbia. Hippokratia, 20, 9–13.
- Sohlenius, G., Saetre, P., Nordén, S., Grolander, S., & Sheppard, S. (2013). Inferences about radionuclide mobility in soils based on the solid/liquid partition coefficients and soil properties. Ambio, 42, 414–421.
- Stafilov, T., Šajn, R., Pančevski, Z., Boev, B., Frontasyeva, M. V., & Strelkova, L. P. (2010). Heavy metal contamination of topsoils around a lead and zinc smelter in the Republic of Macedonia. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 175, 896–914.
- Stevanović, V. (2005). Thermo-mineral springs of Toplica region - present status and possibility of use, Master thesis, University of Belgrade, Serbia (In Serbian).
- Sutherland, R. A. (2000). Bed sediment-associated trace metals in an urban stream,Oahu, Hawaii. Environmental Geology, 39, 611–627.
- Szolnoki, Z., Farsang, A., & Puskas, I. (2013). Cumulative impact of human activities on urban garden soils: Origin and accumulation of metals. Environmental Pollution, 177, 106–115.
- Tanić, M. N., Janković-Mandić, L. J., Gajić, B. A., Daković, M. Z., Dragović, S. D., & Bačić, G. G. (2016). Natural radionuclides in soil profiles surrounding the largest coalfired power plant in Serbia. Nuclear Technology and Radiation Protection, 31, 247–259.
- Tanić, M. N., Momčilović, M. Z., Kovačević, J. R., Dragović, S. D., & Bačić, G. G. (2014). Assessment of radiation exposure around abandoned uranium mining area of Stara planina Mt., Serbia. Nuclear Technology and Radiation Protection, 29, 58–66.
- Tasdemir, Y., & Kural, C. (2005). Atmospheric dry deposition fluxes of trace elements measured in Bursa, Turkey. Environmental Pollution, 138, 462–472.
- Taskin, H., Karavus, M., Ay, P., Topuzoglu, A., Hidiroglu, S., & Karahan, G. (2009). Radionuclide concentrations in soil and lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity in Kirklareli, Turkey. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 100, 49–53.
- Tepanosyan, G., Sahakyan, L., Belyaeva, O., Maghakyan, N., & Saghatelyan, A. (2017). Human health risk assessment and riskiest heavy metal origin identification in urban soils of Yerevan, Armenia. Chemosphere, 184, 1230–1240.
- Todorovic, D. J., Jankovic, M. M., Nikolic, J. D., & Kosutic, D. D. (2012). Radioactivity of mining sites of lead, zinc and phosphate ores in Serbia. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering, 47, 812–817.
- UNSCEAR. (1988). Report to general assembly, with scientific annexes. Sources, effects and risks of ionizing radiation. United Nations, New York.
- UNSCEAR. (2008). Report to general assembly, with scientific annexes. Exposure of the public and workers from various sources of radiation. United Nations, New York.
- USEPA. (2001). Supplemental guidance for developing soil screening levels for superfund sites. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Valjarević, A., Srećković, D. B., Živković, D., & Perić, D. (2015). GIS analysis of dissipation time of landscape in the Devil's city (Serbia). Acta Montanistica Slovaca, 20, 148–155.
- Valjarević, A., Živković, D., Valjarević, D., Stevanović, V., & Golijanin, J. (2014). GIS analysis of land cover changes on the territory of the Prokuplje municipality. The Scientific World Journal, 12, 1–8.
- Van der Stricht, E., & Kirchmann, R. (2001). Radioecology, radioactivity and ecosystems. Oupeye.
- Vranješ, B., Mitrović, B., Andrić, V., & Grdović, S. (2016). Radioactivity in environment on Stara Planina Mountain, in area of summer school for mount in animal breeading. In RAD4 conference proceedings (pp. 75–78).
- Wei, X., Gao, B., Wang, P., Zhou, H. D., & Lu, J. (2015). Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of heavy metals in street dusts from different functional areas in Beijing, China. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 112, 186–192.
- Wei, B. G., & Yang, L. S. (2010). A review of heavy metal contaminations in urban soils, urban road dusts and agricultural soils from China. Microchemical Journal, 94, 99–107.
- Wilcke, W., Kretzschmar, S., Bundt, M., Saborío, G., & Zech, W. (1998). Aluminum and heavy metal partitioning in A horizons of soils in Costa Rican coffee plantations. Soil Science, 163, 463–471.
- Wu, S. T., & Chen, Y. S. (2016). Examining eco-environmental changes at major recreational sites in Kenting National Park in Taiwan by integrating SPOT satellite images and NDVI. Tourism Management, 57, 23–36.
- Yaylalı-Abanuz, G. (2011). Heavy metal contamination of surface soil around Gebze industrial area, Turkey. Microchemical Journal, 99, 82–92.
- Zhang, J., & Liu, C. L. (2002). Riverine composition and estuarine geochemistry of particulate metals in China— Weathering features, anthropogenic impact and chemical fluxes. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 54, 1051–1070.
- Zhiyanski, M., Bech, J., Sokolovska, M., Lucot, E., Bech, J., & Badot, P. M. (2008). Cs-137 distribution in forest floor and surface soil layers from two mountainous regions in Bulgaria. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 96, 256–266.