

Effect of sublethal concentrations of the antiparasitic ivermectin on the polychaeta species Hediste diversicolor: biochemical and behavioral responses

B. Nune[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6743-2321) $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \cdot \mathbf{D}$. Pinheiro $^3 \cdot \mathbf{A}$. Gomes¹

Accepted: 8 June 2021 / Published online: 5 July 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Pharmaceutical drugs have emerged as major micropollutants in aquatic ecosystems. Their presence has been systematically reported in monitoring surveys, and their wide distribution and constant presence in the wild is a direct consequence of their massive use, in both human and veterinary therapeutics. Drugs used to treat parasitic infections in livestock are major contaminants, given the amounts in which they are administered, and reach the aquatic compartment in high amounts, where they may affect non target species. Some of these drugs are prone to find their final deposit in sediments of estuarine areas, exerting their toxic effects preferentially at these locations. Sediment dwelling organisms of coastal areas, such as polychaetas, are especially prone to have their major physiological functions compromised after being exposed to pharmaceutical drugs. Ivermectin is one of the most used antiparasitic drugs, and its effects are not limited to biochemical traits, but also behavioral features may be compromised considering their neurotoxic actions. Despite these putative effects, little is known about their toxicity on polychaetas. The present study aimed to characterize the toxicity of realistic levels of ivermectin on the polychaeta *Hediste diversicolor*, in biochemical and behavioral terms. The obtained results showed that low levels of ivermectin are capable of causing significant disturbances in mobility and burrowing activity of exposed worms, as well as alterations of metabolic and anti-oxidant defense efficacy of exposed animals, suggesting that its environmental presence may mean a major environmental concern.

Keywords Estuarine ecotoxicology · Antiparasitics · Sublethal responses · Avermectins · Pharmaceutical drugs · Biomarkers

Introduction

Increasing agricultural production and population growth have led to increased environmental pollution due to the generation of large volumes of agricultural and household waste, with considerable potential for exerting deleterious effects on non-target biota (Durigan et al. [2012](#page-10-0)). Among these chemicals, one may find emergent pollutants, which

 \boxtimes B. Nunes nunes.b@ua.pt are potentially toxic compounds released into natural waters due to low removal efficiency provided by conventional systems treatment sewage, with implications for the environment still poorly understood (Durigan et al. [2012](#page-10-0)). These contaminants include a broad group of compounds including personal care products, additives, nanomaterials, and particularly drugs for human and veterinary use, which reach the environment as metabolites or in their unchanged form (Horvat et al. [2011;](#page-11-0) Fatoki et al. [2018](#page-10-0)), in concentrations in the order of the ng/L to μg/L (Boonstra et al. [2011](#page-10-0); Horvat et al. [2011](#page-11-0)). Among the most abundant drugs that occur in the environment, specific classes stand out according to their use. This is the case of substances used primarily in veterinary, aquaculture, and livestock, where animals are medicated with high amounts of pharmacologically active substances, which contaminate the environment on a large scale. The importance of this issue is reinforced considering specific classes of drugs, namely antiparasitics that are regularly applied to the intensive

¹ Departamento de Biologia da Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

² Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Campus de Santiago, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

³ Departamento de Física da Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

livestock production, particularly cattle, pigs, sheep and horses, and cultured fish (Fent et al. [2006](#page-10-0); Sherer [2006](#page-12-0)).

Antiparasitics are chemicals used to control or kill endoor ectoparasites (namely in cattle), being mainly used against a large number of helminths (e.g. nematodes) (Sherer [2006](#page-12-0); Horvat et al. [2011](#page-11-0); Wolstenholme et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0) or protozoa (Chen [2016](#page-10-0)). These drugs either kill or immobilize/expel parasites from the host's body, not causing any damage to the host (Abongwa et al. [2017](#page-10-0)). However, a potential adverse effect of these pollutants is the damage they may cause to non-target organisms environmentally exposed. This happens since these drugs have the ability to act in low concentrations (μg/L levels, or less) causing toxic chronic effects (Solomon et al. [2007;](#page-12-0) Horvat et al. [2011](#page-11-0)). Although antiparasitics are widely used, there is little data available regarding their environmental presence. However, Sherer ([2006\)](#page-12-0) conducted a study in the United States of America and determined their presence in concentrations of 0.12 mg/kg of doramectin, and 1.85 mg/ kg of ivermectin in feces of medicated animals. At the same sites, low concentrations of these compounds were found in soils, circa 0.046 mg/kg (Sherer [2006](#page-12-0)). However, the information available regarding the concentration of these drugs in the environment is still limited and, since they have a wide applicability, their presence and potential impacts are expected to occur also in the aquatic environment (Horvat et al. [2011\)](#page-11-0). In the particular case of the marine environment, where aquaculture activities are undertaken, estuaries are most subjected to contamination by such pollutants; animals at these locations, namely sediment-dependent organisms, are sometimes exposed throughout their life cycle (Fent et al. [2006](#page-10-0)).

Within the pharmacotherapeutic group of the antiparasitics, one may find macrocyclic lactones, constituted by avermectins (AVMs) and milbemycins, derived from natural fermentation of soil microorganisms of the genus Streptomyces (Abongwa et al. [2017](#page-10-0)). Damage caused by parasites in animals worldwide may be something significant, which makes AVMs extremely popular and necessary (Bai and Ogbourne [2016\)](#page-10-0). As a result of this massive use, large discharges of residues of these compounds have been reported, yet poorly documented. However, these pharmaceuticals have been already classified as potentially toxic to aquatic organisms, being necessary to undertake further studies on its environmental fate and effects (Maranho et al. [2014;](#page-11-0) Bai and Ogbourne [2016](#page-10-0)), namely on aquatic organisms.

One of the most important antiparasitic drug is ivermectin (IVM), which is a legally approved drug, among those most commonly used in veterinary procedures against nematodes, namely aquaculture (e.g. sea lice, onchocerciasis; Bai and Ogbourne [2016\)](#page-10-0). The use of IVM has not always been legal, since previous evidences pointed also to massive illegal uses of IVM, prior to its approval, as described by Grant and Briggs ([1998\)](#page-11-0). IVM is a neurotoxin, and acts on glutamate gamma-aminobutyric acid mediated channels, present in invertebrates and vertebrates, thereby opening chloride channels (Bai and Ogbourne [2016](#page-10-0)). This allows IVM to act as a neuromuscular inhibitor to promote hyperpolarization of the cell by anion input, hampering the transmission of nerve impulses, leading to paralysis of the muscles (Bai and Ogbourne [2016;](#page-10-0) Chen [2016](#page-10-0); Crump [2017](#page-10-0)). The inhibition of locomotion and muscle activity of parasites, is enough to interrupt the process of secretions, which is required to prevent the host immune system response (Degani-Katzav et al. [2016\)](#page-10-0). The combination of such events leads to the death and expulsion of the parasite.

Because of its low metabolism, ivermectin is excreted in the feces almost unchanged (about 90% of the administered dose), and only about 2% of the dose is excreted in urine (Gonzáles-Canga et al. [2009](#page-11-0)). However, IVM has a high affinity for organic matter resulting in reduced bioavailability in water, with half-life DT_{50} of 39 h in water and of 45 days in sediment (Solomon et al. [2007](#page-12-0)). However, the bioavailability and half-life of IVM is seriously influenced by conditions such as low water solubility and instability when exposed to UV or visible light (Cui et al. [2018](#page-10-0)) and may be less than 2 ng/L in surface waters (Solomon et al. [2007](#page-12-0)). Nevertheless, and in field conditions, namely in marine areas, IVM tends to stay for extremely long periods in the sediments, as shown by Roth et al. [\(1993](#page-12-0)). According to the estimates by Davies et al. [\(1998](#page-10-0)), the occurrence of IVM in marine sediment may exceed 100 days. A microcosm study conducted by Boonstra et al. [\(2011](#page-10-0)), showed that the values DT_{50} of IVM may vary from 1.1 to 8.3 days; predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) were of 25 to 60 ng/L, and a worst case scenario with concentrations of 1000 ng/L could also occur (Boonstra et al. [2011\)](#page-10-0). However, the higher concentration of IVM reported in the literature was of 4.4 ng/L, and corresponded to runoff from farms (Nessel et al. [1989](#page-11-0)). Soil dependent bodies are exposed to IVM due to its persistence in soil, which can reach 7 days to a few months (Horvat et al. [2011](#page-11-0)). Despite its frequent and widespread use, ivermectin is not innocuous, being toxic to fish (Kennedy et al. [2014;](#page-11-0) Domingues et al. [2016;](#page-10-0) Massei et al. [2019](#page-11-0)), mammals (Trailovic and Nedeljkovic [2010;](#page-12-0) Moreira et al. [2017;](#page-11-0) Cordeiro et al. [2018;](#page-10-0) Parisi et al. [2019](#page-11-0)), birds (Sakin et al. [2012](#page-12-0); Li et al. [2013;](#page-11-0) Liu et al. [2016](#page-11-0)), and insects (Strong and James [1993;](#page-12-0) Solomon et al. [2007](#page-12-0); Ishikawa and Iwasa [2019\)](#page-11-0). The data obtained by Black et al. [\(1997](#page-10-0)) showed that IVM caused a significant mortality in sediment Polychaeta species, namely Capitella sp., Spionidae and Maldanidae, suggesting that these organisms are highly susceptible to this drug, even after short exposures. In some of these studies IVM has been shown to cause oxidative stress with adverse effects mainly on mammalian behavior, reproduction and fecundity, deformation of fish embryos and larval death of some insects or even adult death, raising concerns about its fate and ecological effects. In addition, IVM has also been shown to be toxic to marine invertebrates, namely to meiobenthic nematodes (Essid et al. [2020](#page-10-0)) and Polychaeta species that are responsible for bioturbation of sediments and mineralization of organic matter, as demonstrated by Black et al. [\(1997](#page-10-0)). Consequently, IVM presents a noncharacterized risk to non-target organisms (Grant and Briggs [1998;](#page-11-0) Lumaret et al. [2012\)](#page-11-0). This scenario is even favored by a general lack of toxicity data of IVM, for aquatic species; in fact, the present day knowledge is scarce, and reliable data is still limited to a few studies, some of them with species of the genus Daphnia, which are not representative of the marine or estuarine environments; even more limited is the amount of information for marine sediment dwelling organisms (Roehr [2011\)](#page-12-0), which seem to be the major targets of this drug.

One of the already documented outcomes of IVM is oxidative stress (El-Far [2013](#page-10-0)), a condition characterized by increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), against which the antioxidant defense system is not effective to prevent damage. This imbalance causes damage to cells and macromolecules (Nunes et al. [2016](#page-11-0)). To quantify the level of oxidative stress in cells, biomarker studies that measure the levels of activation of the antioxidant defense system are used to measure biochemical sublethal changes resulting from individual exposure of organisms to xenobiotics (Hyne and Maher [2003](#page-11-0)).

The organism Hediste diversicolor, described in 1776 by O. F. Muller, is a predator and filter feeder that inhabits intertidal zones in the temperate zones of the northern European and African coasts (Aberson et al. [2011;](#page-10-0) Ghribi et al. [2019](#page-10-0)), in sand or mud where it builds U-shaped or Y- channels, avoiding contact with other individuals (Patrick [2002\)](#page-12-0). Individuals of this species are key to support various predators such as crabs, prawns, fish and birds (Carvalho et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0), being important in the recycling of organic matter and nutrients, and in bioturbation (Bonnard et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0). It is through burial that individuals promote sediment bioturbation that will move and irrigate the sediment affecting chemical flows (nutrients, pollutants) and microbiological activity (Aberson et al. [2011\)](#page-10-0). Due to its high tolerance to temperature variations and hypoxia conditions (Patrick [2002\)](#page-12-0), it is considered a key species in bottom communities in almost all European estuaries (Patrick [2002;](#page-12-0) Moreira et al. [2006\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, this species has a great commercial interest since it is used as bait for anglers (Carvalho et al. [2013](#page-10-0)), and has a great potential to be produced as fish feed in aquaculture (Patrick [2002](#page-12-0); Bagarrão [2013](#page-10-0)). Due to its high abundance and ecological relevance (in trophic chains and sedimentation processes), H. diversicolor was considered the appropriate species to serve as a test organism to study ecosystems exposed to various pollutants (Ghribi et al. [2019](#page-10-0)). Due to its responsiveness to pollutants, H. diversicolor is suitable for biomonitoring environmental ecosystems and management programs (Ghribi et al. [2019](#page-10-0)). It has been successfully used in biomonitoring programs, such as the assessment of environmental quality and to measure contaminant concentrations in the field, and to quantify biomarkers after exposure to pollution caused by a contaminant discharge in the Bay of Cadiz (Maranho et al. [2014\)](#page-11-0). Given its importance in both the ecosystem, economy, and environmental sciences, H. diversicolor is an adequate species to assess the toxic effects of anthropogenic compounds, such as pharmaceutical drugs (including IVM).

Considering that after excretion, IVM has the potential to contaminate aquatic sediments, it is important to study the potential effects of realistic levels of this drug on sediment dwelling organisms, such as polychaetas. For this purpose, the present work used the quantification of biomarkers of oxidative stress and metabolism (namely, the activities of three key enzymes catalase (CAT), glutathione Stransferase (GSTs), and lactate desidrogenase, LDH) and behavioral changes as biomarkers in individuals of the polychaeta H. diversicolor. The assessment of changes in the behavior of this organism is justified since IVM operates at the neuromuscular level, compromising the mobility of affected organisms. To attain this objective, behavioral tests focusing both on locomotion and burial activity of exposed organisms were performed.

Material and methods

Chemicals

IVM [CAS number: 70288-86-7; degree of purity 98%], was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). Since the solubility of IVM is slightly above 4 mg/L (Lumaret et al. [2012](#page-11-0)), two solutions were prepared with Milli-Q ultrapure water, at a concentration of 4.17 mg/L for acute exposures, and 4.12 mg/L for chronic exposures. All exposure media were contaminated using these two solutions, by dilution. Being photodegradable, IVM solutions were all wrapped in aluminum foil, and kept in the fridge at 4 °C. All other chemicals, namely those used for the preparation of buffers, media solutions for biomarkers, standards, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Panreac, and Applichem.

Test organisms

The organisms (average weight 584.27 ± 159.29 mg) were manually captured with the help of a fork in the Douro Estuary Nature Reserve, in the Reserva Natural de São Paio,

Afurada, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal (geographic coordinates: 41 ° 8'9.01 "N - 8 ° 39'47.07" W), considered a reference site given the low levels of organic and inorganic contaminants, as demonstrated by Ghribi et al. ([2019\)](#page-10-0). Test organisms were collected during the low tide periods, in February and March 2018. This site is characterized by high hydrodynamism and is an area with environmental quality of coastal sediments, considered unpolluted (Ghribi et al. [2019\)](#page-10-0). In addition to the capture of organisms, sediment was also collected at this site, which was subsequently transported to the laboratory. The organisms were transported in a plastic box and, upon arrival at the laboratory, were inspected and selected. Those that were unharmed and apparently healthy were sorted and selected to be sent to quarantine. These worms were then placed in a 50 L box with sediment (previously and thoroughly washed with distilled water, and incinerated in the Ceramifor muffle kiln, model MEC 85, at 450 °C for 5 h to remove all organic components), in reconstituted seawater (Tropic Marin® salts, suitable for reverse osmosis water), temperature $20 \pm$ 1 °C, with salinity 20 (similar to the value found in the field, suitable for the maintenance and growth of organisms; Bagarrão [2013](#page-10-0)), continuous aeration, photoperiod 16 h D: 8 h N, and fed with commercial flake fish food (TetraMin®) every 48 h. Organisms were maintained under these conditions for 15 days for acclimatization/clearance/quarantine. Each box contained on average about 400 organisms/50 cm^2 .

Exposures

This work involved two durations of exposure: an acute exposure (with higher concentrations of IVM, and a period of exposure of 96 h), and a chronic exposure (with lower concentrations of IVM, but with a period of exposure of 28 days). The acute exposure involved a control group (animals not exposed to IVM), and five groups with ten replicates (with one individually exposed organism per replicate) exposed to five different concentrations of IVM (0.0625; 0.125; 0.250; 0.500; 1 μg/L). This set of concentrations was defined considering the levels already described by Boonstra et al. ([2011\)](#page-10-0), including the worst case scenario that may be anticipated. Each replicate consisted of 1 animal exposed individually inside a 1.5 L plastic container, previously used for drinking water. Animals were exposed in 750 ml of media (reconstituted artificial sea water), and each exposure vessel had circa 350 ml of previously treated sediment. 60 organisms previously subjected to quarantine were selected randomly, and individually placed in each bottle. The containers were subjected to continuous aeration, photoperiod of 16 h D: 8 h N, and a temperature 20 ± 1 °C. During acute exposure, the organisms were not fed; the exposure media was changed and

contaminated again at 48 h after the onset of exposure, to prevent the adsorption of IVM to the plastic of the exposure apparatuses (Andrew and Halley [1996\)](#page-10-0). Since IVM is easily photodegradable, exposure vessels were covered with opaque plastic.

In the chronic exposure, all experimental design and procedures were similar to those of the acute exposure, with the exception of the test concentrations (a control group not subjected to the test compound, and five groups exposed to different concentrations of IVM: 0.0156; 0.03120; 0.0625; 0.125; 0.250 μg/L). The selected levels for the chronic exposure aimed at increasing the ecological relevance of data, considering the already reported values of 4.4 ng/L in the literature, namely runoff water from livestock (Nessel et al. [1989\)](#page-11-0); in addition, according to Boonstra et al. ([2011\)](#page-10-0), concentrations up to 60 ng/L can be reached in surface water near farms. In addition, animals were fed every two days with the same fish food, and the medium was renewed every 48 h.

For both exposure modes, animals were removed from exposure apparatuses and were subjected to behavioral tests the day before the sacrifice, to avoid additional stress. After exposure, the organisms were sacrificed, placed in a petri dish on ice, and cut transversely into small pieces, of circa 0.5 cm, with a scalpel. After being mechanically cut, these tissue pieces were grouped in a pool of biological material, which was divided in two; each half of the biological material, previously cut, was inserted in two different Eppendorf microcubes, and kept at −80 °C until biochemical tests were performed.

Behavioral tests

Behavioral tests were performed the day before the animals were sacrificed to avoid an additional source of stress.

To check on the effects of IVM on the behavior of exposed organisms, a behavioral test was performed, to assess the distance that each animal traveled within a confined tube, for a period of one minute, to evaluate the interference of IVM on mobility. As already described, the mechanism of toxic action against parasites of IVM occurs through the interference on neuromuscular communication, reducing the mobility of exposed organisms. The exposed worms were transferred from the exposure apparatuses to 30 cm long silicone tubes and then placed individually in glass aquaria containing filtered sea water, with conditions of constant temperature (similar to the one adopted for the exposure) and light, before observations of their behavior were made. The tubes with the worms were kept in these aquaria for 2 min before experiments could be started. The total distance reached in the period of 1 minute was measured, from where the pygidium (posterior end) of the exposed animal was.

The second test aimed to determine how long took each individual to fully bury itself in sediment, according to the protocol described by Bonnard et al. ([2009](#page-10-0)). This test was adopted since burial is a complex behavior based on instinctive reflexes, and a change in the reflex response caused by exposure to a toxic agent can lead to a behavioral change (Bonnard et al. [2009](#page-10-0)).

Biochemical analysis

To quantify the activities of CAT and GST enzymes, the samples were thawed on ice, and homogenized with 50 mM phosphate buffer, $pH = 7.0$, with 0.1% Triton X-100 (homogenization buffer), in a ratio of 1 mL: 0.15 g of tissue, approximately. These samples were homogenized with an ultrasound disruptor Velp Scientifia, V5, and then samples were centrifuged in a centrifuge Thermo Scientific, at $15,000 \text{ G}$ at $4 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 min to obtain the supernatant fraction. Supernatants were then separated and stored at −80 °C until subsequent determinations.

To quantify the activity of LDH, samples were thawed on ice and homogenized with TRIS buffer, $pH = 7.2$ in a ratio of 1 mL: 0.15 g of tissue. Samples were homogenized with an ultrasound Velp Scientifia V5, and were then centrifuged in a centrifuge Thermo Scientific, at 3,300 G at 4 °C for 3 min to obtain the supernatant fraction. Supernatants were then separated and sored at −80 °C until subsequent determinations.

Every spectrophotometric reading for the determination of biochemical parameters were made on a microplate reader Thermo Scientific, model Multiskan Spectrum with the software SkanIt 2.4.4.

CAT activity determination

The activity of catalase (CAT) was determined by the method of Aebi [\(1984](#page-10-0)) that monitors the decomposition of $H₂O₂$ at 240 nm. CAT activity is expressed in terms of micromoles of hydrogen peroxide consumed per min per mg protein (mmol⁻¹ min⁻¹mg⁻¹ protein) (Aebi [1984](#page-10-0)).

Determination of GSTs Activity

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are a group of related enzymes that catalyze the conjugation of glutathione (GSH) with various compounds with electrophilic centers. They catalyze the conjugate reaction of the substrate CDNB (2,4 dinitrochlorobenzene) with GSH (glutathione) to form a thioether which can be monitored by increasing absorbance at 340 nm. The activity of GSTs is expressed in terms of total soluble protein present in the samples $(mmol·min⁻¹mg⁻¹)$ protein) (Habig et al. [1974\)](#page-11-0).

Determination of LDH Activity

LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) activity was determined following the method of Vassault [\(1983](#page-12-0)). Determination of its activity was performed by measuring the reduction of absorbance caused by the oxidation of the reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) in the presence of pyruvate, at a wavelength of 340 nm. Activity was expressed in millimoles of β-NADH oxidized per minute, per milligram of protein.

Protein Quantification

Protein quantification was done in all samples. It is based on the method of Bradford (Bradford [1976\)](#page-10-0), which quantifies the formation of a stained complex resulting from the reaction of the Bradford reagent with total soluble protein. The measurement was performed by monitoring the absorbance of the samples at 595 nm. Protein standards were prepared using γ globulin (1 mg·ml⁻¹).

Statistical analysis

After the normality test (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene test), biomarker and behavioral test data were compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Dunnett test, if any significant differences $(p < 0.05)$ were found. Statistical analysis were performed using the SPSS 25 software.

Results

Behavioral tests

In terms of mobility of acutely exposed animals, none of the exposed organisms showed significant differences in relation to the control ($F_{5,39} = 0.792$; $p = 0.562$; Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). Similarly, no differences were noticed in terms of burial activity $(F_{5,36} = 1.267; p = 0.299; Fig. 2).$ $(F_{5,36} = 1.267; p = 0.299; Fig. 2).$ $(F_{5,36} = 1.267; p = 0.299; Fig. 2).$

In chronically exposed worms, there were no significant differences among treatments in the mobility test $(F_{5,32} =$ 1.272; $p = 0.3$), despite the slight increase in the traveled distance along with the increase of IVM concentration (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)). In the burial test, the majority of exposed individuals were no longer capable of burying themselves as the concentration increased (Table [1](#page-5-0)). This event prevented the statistical analysis of this specific endpoint.

Biochemical analysis

In terms of CAT activity of acutely exposed animals, there were only significant differences ($F_{5,44} = 5.148$; $p = 0.001$;

Fig. 1 Displacement of individuals of H. diversicolor acutely exposed to IVM. Average distances traveled by different groups as a function of ivermectin concentration, expressed in centimeters. Values are the mean distance, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Fig. 2 Burrowing time of individuals of H. diversicolor acutely exposed to IVM. Mean time each group took to bury as a function of ivermectin concentration, expressed in seconds. Values are the mean distance, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Table 1 Number of organisms at the end of both exposure regimes

Treatment $(\mu g/L)$	Exposed organisms	Surviving organisms	Displaced organisms	Buried organisms
Acute exposure				
Control	10	10	10	10
0.0625	10	9	9	9
0.125	10	10	10	10
0.250	10	9	9	9
0.5	10	10	10	10
1	10	7	7	7
Chronic exposure				
Control	10	10	10	9
0.0156	10	7	7	$\overline{4}$
0.0312	10	8	8	3
0.0625	10	$\overline{4}$	3	1
0.125	10	7	7	1
0.25	10	8	7	θ

Exposed organisms number of total organisms initially exposed, Surviving organisms number of organisms that survived to the exposure periods, Displaced organisms organisms that showed the ability, at the end of the exposure period, to move in the behavioral assay, Buried organisms organisms that at the end of the exposure periods, were able to bury themselves in the sediment

Fig. 3 Displacement of individuals of H. diversicolor chronically exposed to IVM. Average distances traveled by different groups as a function of ivermectin concentration, expressed in centimeters. Values are the mean distance, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Fig. 4 Catalase activity of individuals of H. diversicolor acutely exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars. * - statistical differences in relation to the control treatment, $p < 0.05$

Fig. 4) between the control group and the animals exposed to the highest concentration of 1 µg/L. The activity of GSTs and LDH in acutely exposed worms was not significantly altered when compared to control values $(F_{5,43} = 3.814$: $p = 0.006$; $F_{5,47} = 1.219$ $F_{5,47} = 1.219$ $F_{5,47} = 1.219$; $p = 0.315$; Figs. 5 and [6,](#page-6-0) respectively).

In the chronic exposure, there were no significant differences in both activity of CAT ($F_{5,37} = 0.595$; $p = 0.704$; Fig. [7](#page-6-0)) and GSTs ($F_{5,33} = 0.908$; $p = 0.488$; Fig. [8\)](#page-6-0). In terms of LDH activity $(F_{5,36} = 2.384; p = 0.05)$ only animals exposed to the highest tested IVM concentration had their enzymatic activity significantly impaired when compared to control values (Fig. [9](#page-6-0)).

Discussion

Behavioral testing is a type of response at the individual level influenced by a large number of factors, being thus sensitive to toxic effects; this endpoint is important in

Fig. 5 GSTs activity of individuals of H. diversicolor acutely exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Fig. 6 LDH activity of individuals of H. diversicolor acutely exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Fig. 7 Catalase activity of individuals of H. diversicolor chronically exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

ecotoxicological assessment since it may indicate potential adverse effects at both the organism and the ecosystem, establishing causality effects between the alterations at the individual level that may have consequences at the population level (Bonnard et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0). IVM is a well-known neurotoxic agent, but the results of the locomotion and of the burrowing tests, after acute exposure to IVM, showed

Fig. 8 GSTs activity of individuals of H. diversicolor chronically exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars

Fig. 9 LDH activity of individuals of H. diversicolor chronically exposed to IVM. Values are the mean activity, 10 replicates, and corresponding standard error bars. * - statistical differences in relation to the control treatment, $p < 0.05$

no significant behavioral changes. Somewhat similarly, no significant effects in terms of traveled distance were reported following chronic exposure to IVM; however, in this case, the most important observation was related to the loss of burrowing capacity shown by worms exposed to the highest levels of IVM. The here reported absence of changes in locomotion behavior, is not in agreement with the described mechanism of therapeutic activity for this class of drugs. IVM acts specifically on ivermectin-sensitive channels, which are glutamate-activated chloride channels. By doing so, IVM causes an increased inflow of chloride ions, leading to the hyperpolarization of nerve and muscle cells of susceptible species (Ikeda [2003\)](#page-11-0). This effect results in paralysis of target species, namely of parasitic nematodes and insects (Turner and Schaeffer [1989;](#page-12-0) Shoop et al. [1995\)](#page-12-0). Results in line with the therapeutic activity of IVM were obtained by Ding et al. ([2001\)](#page-10-0) after exposing individuals of the terrestrial worm species Lumbriculus variegatus to 0.3 nM IVM for 3 h, which culminated in significant decreases of locomotor behavior, even after a short period of exposure. Animals exposed for longer period of 28 days showed a similar pattern, without significant changes in

locomotion activity. However, and despite this absence of effects in the distance animals were able to travel following short and long term exposures, a large number of worms lost their natural ability to bury themselves after being chronically exposed to IVM. This result is not totally surprising, since the study conducted by Thain et al. ([1997\)](#page-12-0) already evidenced the deleterious influence of IVM on behavioral traits of the marine polychaete Arenicola marina. In this study, levels of exposure of 0.010 mg/kg were able to compromise the reburying activity of this organism. The methodological difference between the methods here used and those selected by the mentioned authors, namely the differences in exposure durations (animals were exposed for 28 days in our assays; and during 10 days in the study conducted by Thain et al. ([1997\)](#page-12-0) makes toxicity comparison particularly troublesome. However, it seems clear that IVM seems to deleteriously interfere with this trait in worms, which is a particularly important alteration, with putative ecological consequences. The failure to achieve burying can constitute a dramatic challenge not only to affected individuals, but also to the population, since exposed individuals are much more vulnerable to predators (Bonnard et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0). In addition, the burrowing capacity of estuarine/ marine polychaetas is determinant for these environments, since it contributes decisively for the bioturbation of the sediment at these locations (Aberson et al. [2011](#page-10-0)). The bioturbation encompasses active movement of sediment and channel irrigation (Kristensen and Kostka [2005\)](#page-11-0) and is an essential process in estuarine ecosystems, acting in order to assure the cycling of nutrients (Fang et al. [2019](#page-10-0)). In a study conducted by Fang et al. [\(2019](#page-10-0)), individuals from H. diversicolor were shown to be essential for the movement and irrigation of estuarine sediments, demonstrating its critical role to the functioning of the ecosystem in estuaries (Fang et al. [2019\)](#page-10-0). The here reported absence of significant results concerning behavioral endpoints assessed after short term exposures to IVM suggests that the levels of contamination, or the exposure periods, were not sufficient to possibly change behavior of exposed worms. On the other hand, one must not forget the potential occurrence of interspecific differences between the organism we used and those that were described in the literature, which may justify this difference in sensitivity to IVM, and also in behavioral responses, which are extremely dependent upon the analyzed species. Behavioral traits, and most likely the way behavior is shaped by exposure to toxicants, is highly regulated by genetic and neural factors, as addressed by Yamamoto and Ishikawa ([2013\)](#page-12-0). Consequently, it is difficult to assume that distinct species may behave similarly when exposed to the same chemical.

Metabolism of xenobiotics (including pharmaceutical drugs) often results in excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, due to the activation of specific metabolic pathways involving the cytochrome p450 complex (Hrycay and Bandiera [2015](#page-11-0)). This leads to subsequent compensatory responses, that rely on the activation of antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), which are natural scavengers of ROS (Regoli and Giuliani [2014\)](#page-12-0). If this compensatory response is not sufficient to eliminate ROS, a scenario of oxidative stress may be established (Regoli and Giuliani [2014](#page-12-0); Pires et al. [2016\)](#page-12-0). Among the antioxidant enzymatic defensive system, CAT is extremely important since it converts hydrogen peroxide into water, providing effective protection against oxidative damage (Regoli and Principato [1995](#page-12-0); Nunes [2019](#page-11-0)). An increase in CAT enzymatic activity is a natural response to the establishment of oxidative conditions. However, CAT inhibition, paradoxically it may seem, may also result inhibited after exposure to IVM. The inhibition of CAT activity was observed in the freshwater fish species Danio rerio, after being chronically exposed to 25 μg/L of IVM (Domingues et al. [2016](#page-10-0)). A study with rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) exposed to 0.01 and 0.02 mg/kg of IVM also demonstrated a decrease in CAT activity (Sakin et al. [2012\)](#page-12-0). This decrease may be related to the increase in the production and release of oxidative entities, namely ROS, and this decrease of enzymatic activity corresponds to the denaturation of such enzymes (Jemec et al. [2010;](#page-11-0) Regoli and Giuliani [2014\)](#page-12-0). However, our study clearly showed that H. diversicolor was not responsive, in terms of CAT, to IVM. In fact, some annelid species can tolerate exposures to relatively high concentrations of drugs from the avermectins family (namely, abamectin and ivermectin) without being significantly affected (Bai and Ogbourne [2016\)](#page-10-0). Sun et al. [\(2005](#page-12-0)) have shown that when organisms of the species Eisenia fetida were exposed to sediments contaminated with concentrations between 0 and 5 mg/kg of avermectin B1a, a percentage of 80% to 95% of this drug was absorbed, but no significant effects were reported in the organisms. The here presented study did not demonstrate any response involving the activation of CAT as a defensive mechanisms towards the putative metabolism of IVM. In fact, both durations of exposure, acute and chronic, did not yield significant alterations in terms of catalase activity, suggesting the absence of pro-oxidative alterations. The here reported absence of effects may be also due to alternative mechanisms, that exist in polychaetas, but were not measured in this study. These mechanisms involve enzymatic or nonenzymatic systems (Sun and Zhou [2008](#page-12-0)). Several studies have shown that not only enzymatic antioxidants act against ROS species but that some polychaetas have other defense mechanisms (Abeleoeschger et al. [1994](#page-10-0); Moraes et al. [2006](#page-11-0)). Moraes et al. ([2006\)](#page-11-0) suggested that the species Laeonereis acuta (Nereididae) secretes mucus with antioxidant properties. This effect is due to the fact that it is a

favorable substrate for the growth of bacteria with the ability of degrading H_2O_2 , by expressing specific enzymes such as catalase. Polychaetas, including H. diversicolor, go through sexual maturation processes during their life cycle, which are evidenced by a change in brownish to dark green color caused by increased biliverdin pigment (Abeleoeschger et al. [1994](#page-10-0)). The pigment biliverdin is known for its already established antioxidant properties (Jansen and Daiber [2012](#page-11-0)), and its effects may have contributed for the absence of clear oxidative effects following exposure to IVM. The same physiological role of ROS scavenging can be attributed to vitamin A, the precursor of β caroten (Abeleoeschger et al. [1994](#page-10-0)). Although these non-enzymatic mechanisms were not quantified in this study, we cannot rule out the hypothesis that they may exist and play an important role in IVM-induced oxidative stress scenarios.

Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) are a set of biotransformation enzymes that perform a dual protective action because they catalyze the process of cell detoxification by conjugating toxicants with reduced glutathione (GSH) (Townsend and Tew [2003\)](#page-12-0), making them more hydrophilic, easier to excrete and therefore less toxic (Ghribi et al. [2019\)](#page-10-0). In addition, these isoenzymes are capable of inactivating lipid peroxidation products by the use of GSH as a reducing agent (Sturve et al. [2008](#page-12-0)), contributing to the antioxidant defense efficacy. GSH itself is the most abundant scavenger that participates in the antioxidant defense system, directly neutralizing ROS through its oxidation, resulting in the formation of a glutathione dimer of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) (Regoli and Giuliani [2014\)](#page-12-0). The role of GSTs mediated metabolism of IVM was already made clear; in fact, these isoenzymes seem to play a crucial part in the resistance of helminth parasites against IVM, as evidenced by Perbandt et al. ([2005\)](#page-12-0). However, and similarly to what occurred for CAT, no significant effects were reported after both acute and chronic exposures to IVM in terms of GSTs activities. Previous data from the literature evidenced that IVM exposure could result in significant metabolic alterations. According to the data published by Mounsey et al. ([2010\)](#page-11-0), GSTs have a prominent role in the detoxification of IVM in the human parasitic species Sarcoptes scabiei. IVM-resistant animals were exposed to a GSTs inhibitor, and their susceptibility to this insecticide strongly increased; in addition, IVM-exposed individuals evidenced significant increase in GSTs activity, demonstrating that this pathway is responsible for the metabolism and excretion of IVM in this insect species. Similarly, GSTs mediated conjugation was also shown to be important in the resistance of the parasitic insect Rhipicephalus microplus to IVM, as demonstrated by Le Gall et al. [\(2018](#page-11-0)). This same mechanisms was responsible for the resistance of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans to not only IVM, but also to another macrocyclic lactone with anthelmintic action, namely moxidectin (Ménez et al. [2016](#page-11-0)). On the contrary, GSTs activity of the fish D. rerio exposed to $25 \mu g/L$ and $2.5 \mu g/L$ IVM, was significantly inhibited, according to the study conducted by Domingues et al. ([2016\)](#page-10-0). The reduction of the activity of GSTs can be related to the depletion of the cofactor GSH (Domingues et al. [2016](#page-10-0)). According to the suggested mechanism underlying this inhibition of GSTs, the authors suggested that GSTs activity might decrease with the depletion of GSH, which is no longer available during the time course of the intoxication to be conjugated with IVM or its metabolites (Domingues et al. [2016](#page-10-0)). A possible interpretation for the here obtained results, in comparison with literature data, may be due to the metabolism of IVM by H. diversicolor. Despite the absence of data concerning this specific pathway of GSH conjugation, these organisms may not privilege this specific GSH conjugation pathway for detoxification of IVM. One alternative metabolic route for detoxification of IVM may involve CYP450, which was demonstrated to play a key role in the biotransformation of IVM in human body lice (Yoon et al. [2011\)](#page-12-0). In addition to GSTs and cytochrome P450, other alternative metabolic routes also seem to be of critical significance, namely esterases, and ATP Binding Cassette Transporters, as shown by Ménez et al. [\(2016](#page-11-0)), and by Le Gall et al. ([2018\)](#page-11-0).

Absence of toxicity was also reported after measuring the activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in worms, following both acute and chronic exposures. Again, any comparison of the here obtained data with patterns found in previous studies is made difficult given the absence of literature on this theme. However, previous data focused on the toxic effects of IVM on mammalian cell lines, using LDH activity as effect criterion. The study by Mattei and Rodrigues ([1994\)](#page-11-0) showed that IVM could exert a significant inhibitory effect on LDH activity of the cell line IB-RS-2. However no changes were reported in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and glucose-6-phosphatase activities, suggesting that IVM did not cause any significant impairment of intracellular respiratory activity, namely by inducing anaerobiosis. Exposure of rodents to abamectin, another antiparasitic drug of the avermectin group, yielded significant alteration of mitochondrial processes, namely by compromising the aerobic cellular respiration, with decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, impairment of ATP biosynthesis and disruption of intracellular $Ca(2+)$, which culminated in necrosis (Maioli et al. [2013\)](#page-11-0). Considering that the aerobic pathway seems to be an indirect target for avermectins, it is important to hypothesize that the activity of lactate dehydrogenase may be enhanced in exposed organisms, but this assumption was not validated by our data. Though mechanistically possible, the importance of this inhibitory effects remains to be further elucidated.

The conjugation of all these data, after acute and chronic exposures, reinforces the assumption previously made, that H. diversicolor is not particularly responsive to IVM. Thus, it is possible to suggest that the lack of sensitivity of this species to exposure to this class of compounds results precisely from not being able to metabolize and bioactivate IVM (given the short period of exposure, or the low levels of drug) in a great extent, thereby preventing the overproduction of ROS and thus avoiding the deleterious effects arising from their physiological action. However, other defensive mechanisms of unspecific nature may also have prevented the absorption of IVM in a large extent. In fact, animals from this species eat by directly ingesting food particles from the external media, or by creating a mucus film around their bodies to collect food particles (Patrick [2002\)](#page-12-0). This mucus, released by the epidermal cells, is also used to build and stabilize the canals where they live (Kristensen and Kostka [2005\)](#page-11-0), but also protects the animal against absorption of compounds (e.g. metals) and pathogens (Coutinho et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0). In addition, IVM absorption may be strongly influenced by P-glycoprotein (P-gp), present mainly in the intestinal epithelium, causing a slower absorption (Gonzáles-Canga et al. [2009](#page-11-0)) already documented in experimental animals. The P-glycoprotein is an active carrier and is implicated in the emergence of multidrug resistance phenomena in several species, acting as a physiological barrier, sending a wide range of molecules of different therapeutic drugs to the outside of cells playing a major role in absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of drugs in the body (Edwards [2003](#page-10-0)). According to Edwards [\(2003](#page-10-0)), the severe CNS side effects that have been reported in several vertebrates following IVM treatment (e.g. anorexia in dogs) are due to an absence or functional deficiency of P-gp (Edwards [2003](#page-10-0)), that favors its absorption. However, the existence of this P-gp prevents the accumulation of this drug, thereby limiting its toxic effects. Despite not being characterized in polychaetas, it is possible to suggest that a similar functional structure may also exist, and contribute for the here observed lack of adverse effects.

Conclusions

The major conclusion from this study is that ivermectin major effect was the behavioral alteration, observed in exposed individuals. In comparison with all biochemical parameters, behavior seemed always to be more impacted, and no biochemical changes were even noticeable. The importance of behavior as effect criterion is thus high, since chronically exposed animals took considerably longer periods of time to bury themselves, a finding of indisputable ecological significance. In the case of acutely exposed

animals, the absence of behavioral and biochemical changes was reported after a short period of only 4 days, which may not be sufficient to significantly alter the physiology of the animals. In fact, IVM caused only significant effects following prolonged periods of exposure, which is exactly the most likely scenario to happen in the environment. Considering the general absence of effects on the antioxidant and metabolic defensive systems in exposed worms, other antioxidant and conjugation mechanisms were most probably activated to combat the adverse effects (namely, oxidative stress) in *H. diversicolor*. Thus, it is suggested that more studies should be carried out in which non-enzymatic antioxidant mechanisms (such as the deployment of mucus, or the biosynthesis of pigments present in this species) are quantified in order to understand their effect on oxidative stress. Despite the relevance of the data obtained, the present study did not establish any causal link between behavioral and biochemical effects. Our results support the hypothesis that IVM may cause chronic toxicity, becoming an additional challenge for animals that live in the sediment. The greater propensity of IVM to act on sediment organisms is justified by the fact that this compound may remain for months at this location due to the affinity of IVM for soil/sediment particles. As a result of these changes, it is expected that changes in the ecosystem may occur, including changes in the food chain, bioturbation of estuarine waters and consequently in sediment and nutrient recirculation.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements Bruno Nunes is hired by "ECO-R-pharmplast - Ecotoxicity of realistic combinations of pharmaceutical drugs and microplastics in marine ecosystems", Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, FCT (reference POCI-01-0145-FEDER-029203). This research was also financially supported by CESAM (UIDB/50017/ 2020+UIDP/50017/2020), by FCT/MCTES through national funds (PIDDAC), and by the co-funding by the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020.

Author contributions AG was involved in formal analysis; investigation; methodology; and writing of the original draft. DP was involved in formal analysis; investigation; methodology; and writing of the original draft. BN was involved in conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; funding acquisition; project administration; resources; supervision; validation; and in writing, namely, reviewing and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This research was funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, FCT (project ECO18 R-pharmplast - Ecotoxicity of realistic combinations of pharmaceutical drugs and microplastics in marine ecosystems, reference POCI-01-0145-FEDER-029203). FCT

also funded CESAM, in which the research was conducted (UIDB/ 50017/2020+UIDP/50017/2020); CESAM was also co-funded by ERDF, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020. None of these sources of funding had any role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data 3 and in the writing of the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Abeleoeschger D, Oeschger R, Theede H (1994) Biochemical adaptations of Nereis diversicolor (Polychaeta) to temporarily increased hydrogen peroxide levels in intertidal sandflats. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 106(1–2):101–110. [https://doi.org/10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps106101) [meps106101](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps106101)
- Aberson MJR, Bolam SG, Hughes RG (2011) The dispersal and colonization behavior of the marine polychaete Nereis diversicolor (OF Müller) in south-east England. Hydrobiology 672 (1):3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0752-y>
- Abongwa M, Martin RJ, Robertson AP (2017) A brief review on the mode of action of antinematodal drugs. Acta Veterinaria-Beograd 2017 67(2):137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1515/acve-2017-0013>
- Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Methods Enzymol 105:121–126. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(84\)05016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05016-3)
- Andrew NW, Halley BA (1996) Stability of ivermectin in rumen fluids. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 19:295-299. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.1996.tb00052.x) [1111/j.1365-2885.1996.tb00052.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.1996.tb00052.x)
- Bagarrão RME (2013). Reprodução e crescimento do poliqueta Hediste diversicolor (O.F. Müller, 1776) sob diferentes condições ambientais. Master thesis. Instituto Politécnico de Leiria.
- Bai SH, Ogbourne SM (2016) Eco-toxicological effects of the avermectin family with a focus on abamectin and ivermectin. Chemosphere 154:204–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.113) [2016.03.113](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.113)
- Black KD, Fleming S, Nickell TD, Pereira PMF (1997) The effects of ivermectin, used to control sea lice on caged farmed salmonids, on infaunal polychaetes. ICES J Marine Sci 54(2):276–279. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1996.0203>
- Bonnard M, Roméo M, Amiard-Triquet C (2009) Effects of copper on the burrowing behavior of estuarine and coastal invertebrates, the polychaete Nereis diversicolor and the flat bivalve Scrobicularia. Human Ecol Risk Assess 15(1):11–26. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/10807030802614934) [10807030802614934](https://doi.org/10.1080/10807030802614934)
- Boonstra H, Reichman EP, Van Den Brink PJ (2011) Effects of the veterinary pharmaceutical ivermectin in indoor aquatic microcosms. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 60(1):77–89. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-010-9526-1) [org/10.1007/s00244-010-9526-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-010-9526-1)
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72(1-2):248–254
- Carvalho AN, Vaz ASL, Sérgio TIB, Santos PJT (2013) Sustainability of bait fishing harvesting in estuarine ecosystems - Case study in the Natural Reserve of Douro Estuary, Portugal. Integrated Coastal Manag J 13(2):157–168. <https://doi.org/10.5894/rgci393>
- Chen WJ (2016) Honoring antiparasitics: The 2015 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine. Biomed J 39(2):93–97. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2016.04.002) [10.1016/j.bj.2016.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2016.04.002)
- Cordeiro F, Gonçalves V, Moreira N, Slobodticov JI, Galvão NA, Spinosa HS, Bonamin LV, Bondan EF, Ciscato CHP, Barbos CM, Bernardi MM (2018) Ivermectin acute administration impaired the spermatogenesis and spermiogenesis of adult rats. Res Vet Sci 117:178–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2017.12.013>
- Coutinho MCL, Teixeira VL, Santos CSG (2018) The review of "polychaeta" chemicals and their possible ecological role. J Chem Ecol 44(1):72–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-017-0915-z>
- Crump A (2017) Ivermectin: Enigmatic multifaceted "wonder" drug continues to surprise and exceed expectations. J Antibiot 70 (5):495–505. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2017.11>
- Cui B, Wang C, Zhao X, Yao J, Zeng Z, Wang Y, Sun C, Liu G, Cui H (2018) Characterization and evaluation of avermectin solid nanodispersion prepared by microprecipitation and lyophilization techniques. PLoS One 13(1):e0191742. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191742) [journal.pone.0191742](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191742)
- Davies IM, Gillibrand PA, McHenery JG, Rae GH (1998) Environmental risk of ivermectin to sediment dwelling organisms. Aquaculture 163(1–2):29–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00211-7) [8486\(98\)00211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00211-7)
- Degani-Katzav N., Gortler R., Gorodetzki L., Paas Y. (2016). Subunit stoichiometry and arrangement in a heteromeric glutamate-gated chloride channel. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113(5), E644-E653. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423753113) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423753113
- Ding J, Drewes CD, Hsu WH (2001) Behavioral effects of ivermectin in a freshwater oligochaete, Lumbriculus variegatus. Environ Toxicol Chem 20(7):1584–1590. [https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620200724) [5620200724](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620200724)
- Domingues I, Oliveira R, Soares AMVM, Amorim MJB (2016) Effects of ivermectin on Danio rerio: a multiple endpoint approach: behavior, weight and subcellular markers. Ecotoxicology 25 (3):491–499. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-015-1607-5>
- Durigan MAB, Vaz SR, Peralta-Zamora P (2012) Degradation of emerging pollutants by Fenton and photo-Fenton processes. New. Chemistry 35(7):1381–1387. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422012000700018) [40422012000700018](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422012000700018)
- Edwards G (2003) Ivermectin: Does P-glycoprotein play a role in neurotoxicity? Filaria J 2(1):S8. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2883-2-S1-S8) [2883-2-S1-S8](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2883-2-S1-S8)
- El-Far A (2013) Effect of therapeutic and double therapeutic doses of ivermectin on oxidative status and reproductive hormones in male rabbits. Am J Animal Vet Sci 8(3):128–133. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3844/ajavssp.2013.128.133) [3844/ajavssp.2013.128.133](https://doi.org/10.3844/ajavssp.2013.128.133)
- Essid N, Allouche M, Lazzem M, Harrath AH, Mansour L, Alwasel S, Mahmoudi E, Beyrem H, Boufahja F (2020) Ecotoxic response of nematodes to ivermectin, a potential anti-COVID-19 drug treatment. Marine Pollut Bull 157:111375. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111375) [marpolbul.2020.111375](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111375)
- Fang X, Mestdagh S, Ysebaert T, Moens T, Soetaert K, Van-Colen C (2019) Spatio-temporal variation in sediment ecosystem processes and roles of key biota in the Scheldt estuary. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci 222:21–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.04.001) [04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.04.001)
- Fatoki OS, Opeolu BO, Genthe B, Olatunji OS (2018) Multi-residue method for the determination of selected veterinary pharmaceutical residues in surface water around Livestock Agricultural farms. Heliyon 4(12):e01066. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01066) [2018.e01066](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01066)
- Fent K, Weston AA, Caminada D (2006) Ecotoxicology of human pharmaceuticals. Aquatic Toxicol 76(2):122–159. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009) [10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009)
- Ghribi R, Correia AT, Elleuch B, Nunes B (2019) Toxicity assessment of impacted sediments from the Southeast Coast of Tunisia using a biomarker approach with the polychaete Hediste diversicolor. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 76(4):678–691. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-019-00611-2) [1007/s00244-019-00611-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-019-00611-2)
- Gonzáles-Canga A, Sahagún-Prieto AM, Diez-Liébana MJ, Martinez NF, Vega MS, Vieitez JJG (2009) The pharmacokinetics and metabolism of ivermectin in domestic animal species. Vet J 179 (1):25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.07.011>
- Grant A, Briggs AD (1998) Use of ivermectin in marine fish farms: some concerns. Marine Pollut Bull 36(8):566–568
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB (1974) Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem 249(22):7130–7139
- Horvat AJM, Babić S, Pavlović MD, Ašperger D, Pelko S, Petrović M, Mance AD (2011) Analysis, occurrence and fate of anthelmintics and their transformation products in the environment. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 31:61–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.06.023) [06.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.06.023)
- Hrycay EG, Bandiera SM (2015) Involvement of cytochrome P450 in reactive oxygen species formation and cancer. Adv Pharmacol 74:35–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apha.2015.03.003>
- Hyne RV, Maher WA (2003) Invertebrate biomarkers: Links to toxicosis that predict population decline. Ecotoxicol Environ Safety 54(3):366–374. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513\(02\)00119-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00119-7)
- Ikeda T (2003) Pharmacological effects of ivermectin, an antiparasitic agent for intestinal strongyloidiasis: its mode of action and clinical efficacy. Nihon Yakurigaku Zasshi 122(6):527–538. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1254/fpj.122.527) doi.org/10.1254/fpj.122.527
- Ishikawa I., Iwasa M. (2019). Toxicological effect of ivermectin on survival, reproduction, and feeding activity of four species of dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae and Geotrupidae) in Japan. Bull Entomol Res 1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485319000385) [S0007485319000385](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485319000385)
- Jansen T, Daiber A (2012) Direct antioxidant properties of bilirubin and biliverdin. is there a role for biliverdin reductase? Front Pharmacol 3:30. 1089/fphar.2012.00030
- Jemec A, Drobne D, Tišler T, Sepčić K (2010) Biochemical biomarkers in environmental studies-lessons learned from enzymes catalase, glutathione S-transferase and cholinesterase in two crustacean species. Environ Sci Pollut Res 17(3):571–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-009-0112-x>
- Kennedy CJ, Tierney KB, Mittelstadt M (2014) Inhibition of Pglycoprotein in the blood - brain barrier alters avermectin neurotoxicity and swimming performance in rainbow trout. Aquatic Toxicol 146:176–185. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.10.035) [035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.10.035)
- Kristensen E, Kostka, JE (2005). Macrofaunal burrows and irrigation in marine sediment: microbiological and biogeochemical interactions. In Interactions Between Macro- and Microorganisms in Marine Sediments (eds Kristensen E, Haese R R and Kostka J E). <https://doi.org/10.1029/CE060p0125>
- Le Gall VL, Klafke GM, Torres TT (2018) Detoxification mechanisms involved in ivermectin resistance in the cattle tick, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus Sci Rep 8:12401. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30907-7) [s41598-018-30907-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30907-7)
- Li M, You TZ, Zhu WJ, Qu JP, Liu C, Zhao B, Xu SW, Li S (2013) Antioxidant response and histopathological changes in brain tissue of pigeon exposed to avermectin. Ecotoxicology 22:1241–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-013-1112-7>
- Liu Y, Fang S, Sun Q, Liu B (2016) Anthelmintic drug ivermectin inhibits angiogenesis, growth and survival of glioblastoma through inducing mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 480(3):415–421. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.064) [10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.064)
- Lumaret JP, Errouissi F, Floate K, Römbke J, Wardhaugh K (2012) A review on the toxicity and non-target effects of macrocyclic lactones in terrestrial and aquatic environments. Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnol 13(6):1004–1060. [https://doi.org/10.2174/](https://doi.org/10.2174/138920112800399257) [138920112800399257](https://doi.org/10.2174/138920112800399257)
- Maioli MA, Medeiros HC, Guelfi M, Trinca V, Pereira FT, Mingatto FE (2013) The role of mitochondria and biotransformation in abamectin-induced cytotoxicity in isolated rat hepatocytes. Toxicol In Vitro 27(2):570–579. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2012.10.017.) [10.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2012.10.017.)
- Maranho LA, Baena-Nogueras RM, Lara-Martin PA, DelValls TA, Martin-Diaz ML (2014) Bioavailability, oxidative stress, neurotoxicity and genotoxicity of pharmaceuticals bound to marine sediments. The use of the polychaete Hediste diversicolor as bioindicator species. Environ Res 134:353–365. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.08.014) [10.1016/j.envres.2014.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.08.014)
- Massei R., Hollert H., Krauss M., von Tümpling W., Weidauer C., Haglund P., Küster E., Gallampois C., Tysklind M., Brack W. (2019). Toxicity and neurotoxicity profiling of contaminated sediments from Gulf of Bothnia (Sweden): a multi-endpoint assay with Zebrafish embryos. Environ Sci Europe 31(8). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-019-0188-y) [org/10.1186/s12302-019-0188-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-019-0188-y)
- Mattei R, Rodrigues MA (1994) Effects of ivermectin on the activity of enzymes in mammalian cells in vitro. Ecotoxicol Environ Safety 29(3):251–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-6513\(94\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-6513(94)90001-9) [90001-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-6513(94)90001-9)
- Ménez C, Alberich M, Kansoh D, Blanchard A, Lespine A (2016) Acquired tolerance to ivermectin and moxidectin after drug selection pressure in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 60:4809–4819. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00713-16) [10.1128/AAC.00713-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00713-16)
- Moraes TB, Ribas Ferreira JL, Da Rosa CE, Sandrini JZ, Votto AP, Trinity GS, Geracitano LA, Abreu PC, Monserrat JM (2006) Antioxidant properties of the mucus secreted by Laeonereis acuta (Polychaeta, Nereididae): a defense against environmental prooxidants? Comparative Biochem Physiol Part C Toxicol Pharmacol 142(3-4):293–300. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2005.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2005.10.017) [017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2005.10.017)
- Moreira N, Sandini TM, Reis-Silva TM, Navas-Suarez P, Auada AVV, Lebrun I, Flório JC, Bernardi MM, Spinosa HS (2017) Ivermectin decreases motor coordination, serum testosterone, and central neurotransmitter levels but does not affect sexual motivation in male rats. Reprod Toxicol 74:195–203. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.10.002) [10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.10.002)
- Moreira SM, Lima I, Ribeiro R, Guilhermino L (2006) Effects of estuarine sediment contamination on feeding and on physiological functions of polychaete Hediste diversicolor: Laboratory and in situ assays. Aquatic Toxicol 78(2):186–201. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.03.001) [1016/j.aquatox.2006.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.03.001)
- Mounsey KE, Pasay CJ, Arlian LG, Morgan MS, Holt DC, Currie BJ, Walton SF, McCarthy JS(2010) Increased transcription of Glutathione S-transferases in acaricide exposed scabies mites Parasites and Vectors 3:43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-3-43>
- Nessel RJ, Wallace DH, Wehner TA, Tait WE, Gomez L (1989) Environmental fate of invermectin in a cattle feedlot. Chemosphere 18(7–8):1531–1541. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(89)90044-1) [\(89\)90044-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(89)90044-1)
- Nunes B, Vidal D, Barbosa I, Soares AMVM, Freitas R (2016) Pollution effects on biochemical pathways determined in polychaete Hediste diversicolor collected in three Portuguese estuaries. Environ Sci Processes Impacts 18(9):1208–1219. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6em00297h) [10.1039/c6em00297h](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6em00297h)
- Nunes B (2019) Acute ecotoxicological effects of salicylic acid on the Polychaeta species Hediste diversicolor: evidence of low to moderate pro-oxidative effects. Environ Sci Poll Res 26 (8):7873–7882. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-04085-y>
- Parisi DP, Santos SAR, Cabral D, Queiroz-Hazarbassanov N, Flory JC, Bernardi MM, Kirsten TB (2019) Therapeutical doses of ivermectin and its association with stress disrupt motor and social behaviors of juvenile rats and serotonergic and dopaminergic

systems. Res Vet Sci 124:149–157. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2019.03.009) [2019.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2019.03.009). September 2018

- Patrick S (2002) A review of the biology, ecology and potential use of the common ragworm Hediste diversicolor (OF Müller) (Annelida: Polychaeta). Hydrobiology 470:203–218
- Perbandt M, Höppner J, Betzel C, Walter RD, Liebau E (2005) Structure of the major cytosolic glutathione S-transferase from the parasitic nematode Onchocerca volvulus. J Biol Chem 280 (13):12630–12636
- Pires A, Almeida Â, Calisto V, Schneider RJ, Esteves VI, Wrona FJ, Soares AMVM, Figueira E, Freitas R (2016) Long-term exposure of polychaetes to caffeine: Biochemical alterations induced in Diopatra neapolitana and Arenicola marina. Environ Pollut 214:456–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.04.031>
- Regoli F, Giuliani ME (2014) Oxidative pathways of chemical toxicity and oxidative stress biomarkers in marine organisms. Marine Environ Res 93:106–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.07.006) [2013.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.07.006)
- Regoli F, Principato G (1995) Glutathione, glutathione-dependent and antioxidant enzymes in muslin, Mytilus galloprovincialis, exposed to metals under field and laboratory conditions: implications for the use of biochemical biomarkers. Aquatic Toxicol 31(2):143–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-445X\(94\)00064-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-445X(94)00064-W)
- Roehr B. (2011). Environmental risks of breast cancer remain uncertain. Brit Med J 343. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d7929>
- Roth M, Richards RH, Sommerville C (1993) Current practices in the chemotherapeutic control of sea lice infestations in aquaculture: a review. J Fish Dis 16:1–26. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.1993.tb00844.x) [1993.tb00844.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.1993.tb00844.x)
- Sakin F, Yonar SM, Yonar ME, Saglam N (2012) Changes in selected immunological parameters and oxidative stress responses in different organs of Oncorhynchus mykiss exposed to ivermectin. Chimie Mag 63(10):989–995
- Sherer JT (2006) Pharmaceuticals in the environment. Am J Health Syst Pharm 63(2):174–178. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp050123>
- Shoop WL, Mrozik H, Fisher MH (1995) Structure and activity of avermectins and milbemycins in animal health. Vet Parasitol 59:139–156. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(94\)00743-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(94)00743-V)
- Solomon K, Johnson D, Boxall A, Sanderson H, Peregrine AS, Pope L, Bryning G (2007) Assessment of the environmental fate and effects of ivermectin in aquatic mesocosms. Aquatic Toxicol 85 (4):229–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.08.011>
- Strong L, James S (1993) Some effects of ivermectin on the yellow dung fly, Scatophaga stercoraria. Vet Parasitol 48 (1–4):181–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(93\)90154-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(93)90154-F)
- Sturve J, Almroth BC, Förlin L (2008) Oxidative stress in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) exposed to sewage treatment plant effluent. Ecotoxicol Environ Safety 70(3):446–452. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.12.004) [org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.12.004)
- Sun FH, Zhou QX (2008) Oxidative stress biomarkers of the polychaete Nereis diversicolor exposed to cadmium and petroleum hydrocarbons. Ecotoxicol Environm Safety 70(1):106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.04.014>
- Sun Y., Diao X., Zhang Q., Shen J. (2005). Bioaccumulation and elimination of avermectin B1a in the earthworms (Eisenia fetida). Chemosphere 60(5):699-704. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.01.044) [2005.01.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.01.044)
- Thain JE, Davies IM, Rae GH, Allen YT (1997) Acute toxicity of ivermectin to the lugworm Arenicola marina. Aquaculture 159 (1–2):47–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(97\)00210-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00210-X)
- Townsend DM, Tew KD (2003) The role of glutathione-S-transferase in anti-cancer drug resistance. Oncogene 22(47):7369–7375. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1206940>
- Trailovic SM, Nedeljkovic JT (2010) Central and peripheral neurotoxic effects of ivermectin in rats. J Vet Med Sci 73(5):591–599. <https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.10-0424>
- Turner MJ, Schaeffer JM (1989) Mode of action of ivermectin. In: Campbell WC ed. Ivermectin and Abamectin. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, Berlin, Heidelberg, p 73–88. 10.1007/978-1- 4612-3626-9_5
- Vassault A (1983) Lactate dehydrogenase, UV-method with pyruvate and NADH. Methods Enzymol Anal 3:118
- Wolstenholme AJ, Maclean MJ, Coates R, McCoy CJ, Reaves BJ (2016) How do the macrocyclic lactones kill filarial nematode larvae? Invertebrate Neurosci 16(3):1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10158-016-0190-7) [s10158-016-0190-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10158-016-0190-7)
- Yamamoto D, Ishikawa Y (2013) Genetic and neural bases for speciesspecific behavior in *Drosophila* species. J Neurogenet 27(3):130-42. [https://doi.org/10.3109/01677063.2013.800060.](https://doi.org/10.3109/01677063.2013.800060) Epub 2013 Jun 28
- Yoon KS, Strycharz JP, Baek JH, Sun W, Kim JH, Kang JS, Pittendrigh BR, Lee SH, Clark JM (2011) Brief exposures of human body to sublethal amounts of ivermectin over-transcribing detoxification genes involved in tolerance. Insect Mol Biol 20 (6):687–699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2011.01097.x>