

# Individual and combined toxic effects of herbicide atrazine and three insecticides on the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*

Yanhua Wang<sup>1</sup> · Xuehua An<sup>1</sup> · Weifeng Shen<sup>2</sup> · Liezhong Chen<sup>1</sup> · Jinhua Jiang<sup>1</sup> · Qiang Wang<sup>1</sup> · Leiming Cai<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 1 April 2016/Published online: 11 April 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** In the present study, we evaluated the individual and combined toxic effects of herbicide atrazine and three insecticides (chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin and imidacloprid) on the earthworm, Eisenia fetida. Results from 48-h filter paper test indicated that imidacloprid had the highest toxicity to E. fetida with an  $LC_{50}$  of 0.05 (0.041-0.058) µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>, followed by *lambda*-cyhalothrin and atrazine with  $LC_{50}$  values ranging from 4.89 (3.52-6.38) to 4.93 (3.76-6.35) µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>, while chlorpyrifos had the least toxicity to the worms with an  $LC_{50}$  of 31.18 (16.22–52.85) µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>. Results from 14-days soil toxicity test showed a different pattern of toxicity except that imidacloprid was the most toxic even under the soil toxicity bioassay system. The acute toxicity of atrazine was significantly higher than that of chlorpyrifos. In contrast, lambda-cyhalothrin was the least toxic to the animals under the soil toxicity bioassay system. The binary mixture of atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin and ternary mixture of atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin displayed a significant synergistic effect on the earthworms under the soil toxicity bioassay. Our findings would help regulatory authorities understand the complexity of effects from pesticide mixtures on non-target organisms and provide useful information of the interaction of various pesticide classes detected in natural environment.

**Keywords** Soil invertebrate · Ecotoxicology · Pesticide · Combined toxicity · Acute toxicity

# Introduction

Pesticides are widely used in agricultural applications all over the world. However, there are serious concerns about these substances introduced into the soil environment due to runoff and leaching (Dabrowski et al. 2014; Wahanthaswamy and Patil 2004). The effects of pesticides on soil fauna have become an important focus in studies of soil pollution (Reinecke and Reinecke 2007; Gupta et al. 2011). Earthworms play important roles in terrestrial food chains, energy and nutrient cycling (Ellis et al. 2010). The adverse effects on keystone species may exert a disproportionate impact on the stability of the community, leading to serious ecological damages to the entire terrestrial ecosystem (Edwards and Bohlen 1992; Landrum et al. 2006).

Many studies have evaluated the toxicity of single pesticide to soil organisms (Liang and Zhou 2003; Stepić et al. 2013). In the natural environment, pesticides often exist in mixtures of two or more compounds (Choung et al. 2011; Phyu et al. 2011; Saxena et al. 2014). However, little information about their combined effects on soil organisms is available (Daam et al. 2011). The joint toxicity of pesticide mixtures on a specific organism can be less than, equal to, or more than the summed effects of individual pesticides (Jin-Clark et al. 2008). Correspondingly, the toxicity of toxicants can be qualitatively described as antagonistic, additive, or synergistic, respectively. Moreover, ecological risk assessment and/or environment

Leiming Cai caileiming2009@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control/Key Laboratory for Pesticide Residue Detection of Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Quality and Standard for Agro-Products, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou 310021, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sericultural Research Institute, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou 310021, People's Republic of China

quality criteria based on a single pesticide exposure may not adequately protect terrestrial ecosystems (Zhou et al. 2011). Extensive ecotoxicological tests using earthworms have been conducted in recent years, but most of them have focused on effects of single pesticides (Gupta et al. 2011; Sanchez-Hernandez et al. 2014). However, the effects of pesticide mixtures on earthworms remain largely unclear (Wu et al. 2012).

Mixtures of herbicides and insecticides are very often used in agricultural applications, where herbicides are used to control weeds and insecticides are co-applied to control insect pests (Choung et al. 2011). Atrazine (a triazine herbicide), chlorpyrifos (an organophosphate insecticide), lambda-cyhalothrin (a pyrethroid insecticide) and imidacloprid (a neonicotinoid insecticide) are all commonly used agricultural chemicals on crops in China (Piner and Üner 2012; Xing et al. 2014). Although the individual and equitoxic ratio effects of these four pesticides on non-target organisms are quite well documented, the equivalent concentration combined effects of their mixtures have not been examined (Sanchez-Hernandez et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014). In the present study, we aimed to assess both individual and combined toxicity with equivalent concentrations of herbicide atrazine and three insecticides (chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin and imidacloprid) to the earthworm, Eisenia fetida. Our findings could provide a scientific basis for accurate assessment the ecological risk of pesticide mixtures on soil invertebrates.

# Materials and methods

## Earthworms

The earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) was obtained from the College of Animal Sciences, Zhejiang University, China, and selected as the test organism in our study. They were maintained at room temperature  $(20 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$  in artificial soil according to OECD guidelines (OECD 1984). Soils were mixed with ground sphagnum peat and decomposed pig manure under laboratory conditions. Adult earthworms (weighing 350–500 mg) with well-developed clitella were randomly selected for the toxicity tests. Additional control tests were carried out using chloracetamide as a toxic reference standard.

## Pesticides

Four pesticides were tested in this study, including one triazine herbicide atrazine, one organophosphate insecticide chlorpyrifos, one pyrethroid insecticide *lambda*-cyhalothrin and one neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid. The selected pesticides are widely used in agriculture

worldwide. Atrazine [95 % technical product (TC)] and imidacloprid (95.3 % TC) were provided by Nanjing Red Sun Chemical Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Chlorpyrifos (96 % TC) was purchased from Jiangsu Yangnong Agrochemical Group (Yangzhou, Jiangsu, China). *Lambda*-cyhalothrin (97 % TC) was obtained from Jiangsu Changlong Chemical Industrial Group (Changzhou, Jiangsu, China). Since our study aimed to document the toxic effects of the chemical compounds and not of the adjuvants added to the commercial products, active ingredients were used instead of commercial formulations.

## **Toxicity tests**

#### Filter paper contact test

Filter paper contact test was conducted as OECD TG 207 (OECD 1984) described with minor modifications (Wang et al. 2012a). Briefly, earthworms were held on wet filter paper at 20  $\pm$  1 °C for 24 h in the dark to purge the gut contents. They were then washed and dried before the dose-response test. A piece of Whatman filter paper (Grade 1 quatative) was placed in a 9-cm Petri dish and treated with the test substance dissolved in 2 mL of acetone. After the solvent was evaporated, the piece of filter paper was remoistened with 2 mL of distilled water. Only one earthworm was placed on the filter paper in order to avoid the adverse effect caused by the death of worm in the same dish. Acetone was used as the control. Treated earthworms were maintained at  $20 \pm 1$  °C under 80-85 % relative humidity in the dark. After exposure for 48 h, worm's mortality was recorded. An earthworm was considered dead if it failed to respond to a gentle mechanical touch on the front end.

In the contact filter paper test, the pesticides were classified as supertoxic (<1.0 µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>), extremely toxic (1–10 µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>), very toxic (10–100 µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>), moderately toxic (100–1000 µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>) or relatively nontoxic (>1000 µg a.i. cm<sup>-2</sup>) based on the resulting LC<sub>50</sub> values (Roberts and Dorough 1984).

#### Artificial soil test

Two exposure periods (7 and 14 days) were used in the artificial soil test according to OECD soil (OECD 1984). The soil consisted of (dry weight) 10 % ground sphagnum peat (<0.5 mm), 20 % kaolinite clay (>50 % kaolinite) and 70 % fine sand (OECD 1984, 2004). Deionised water is added to give an overall moisture content of about 35 % of the dry weight of artificial soil. For each tested concentration, the desired amount of pesticide was dissolved in 10 mL acetone and mixed with a small quantity of fine quartz sand. The sand was mixed for least 1 h to evaporate

the acetone and then thoroughly mixed with the premoistened artificial soil in a household mixer. The final moisture contents of the artificial soil were adjusted to the above-mentioned level with distilled water. A total of 0.65 kg soil (equivalent to 0.5 kg dry artificial soil) was placed in a 500-mL glass jar (surface area, 63.6 cm<sup>2</sup>), and 10 adult earthworms were added to each jar. Similarly, controls were prepared only with 10 mL acetone containing no insecticide. The jars were loosely covered with polypropylene lids to allow the air exchange and stored at  $20 \pm 1$  °C with 80–85 % relative humidity under 400–800 lx of constant light. Mortality was assessed after treatment for 7 and 14 days.

## Mixture toxicity test

Interaction of mixtures is classified as additive, synergistic and antagonistic as previously described (Jin-Clark et al. 2008). A fixed equivalent concentration ratio design was employed in mixture experiments of the herbicide atrazine and three insecticides to *E. fetida*. For the equivalent concentration mixture treatments, the initial concentration (1X) of each of the insecticides tested was 6.25 % LC<sub>50</sub> of higher (or the highest) toxicity insecticide when exists individually. The concentrations of each individual pesticide in the mixture components were then sequentially doubled (1X, 2X, 4X, 8X, 16X, 32X) giving the six concentrations tested. The ratio of the binary, ternary and quaternary mixture components were kept constant (1:1, 1:1:1 or 1:1:1:1), while the total concentration of the mixture systematically varied.

#### Statistical analysis

A probit analysis was conducted to assess the acute toxicity of pesticides to *E. fetida* using a program developed by Chi (Chi 1997). The significant level of mean separation (P < 0.05) detected was based on the lack of overlap between the 95 % confidence limits of 2 LC<sub>50</sub> values. The synergy of pesticide mixtures was determined on the basis of an additive index and 95 % confidence interval from LC<sub>50</sub> data according to a previously described method (Marking 1985). This method defines an additive index for the combined effects of a mixture of chemicals. The biological activity (*S*) of test compounds *A*, *B* and *C* was determined by the equation as follows:

$$S = (Am/Ai) + (Bm/Bi) + (Cm/Ci),$$

where *A*, *B* and *C* are chemicals; i is the individual  $LC_{50}$  value for *A*, *B* or *C*; m is the  $LC_{50}$  value for the mixture of *A*, *B* or *C*; *S* is the sum of the biological activity. The

calculated *S* values were then substituted into appropriate formulas to determine the additive index (AI). The AI was calculated using the following equations:

AI = 
$$(1/S) - 1$$
 for  $S < 1.0$ ; AI  
=  $-S + 1.0$  for  $S \ge 1.0$ 

The AI was used to indicate the property of observed toxicity (additive, synergistic, or antagonistic). An AI value =0 means that the toxicity of the mixture is simply additive, an AI value <0 means antagonistic or less than additive toxicity, and an AI value >0 means greater than additive toxicity or synergistic. The greater the additive index value, the greater the chemical synergy. Chemical synergy was considered as statistically significant if the 95 % confidence interval was >0.

#### Results

#### **Contact toxicity**

#### Individual pesticide toxicity

The results of filter paper contact test are presented in Table 1. The results demonstrated that the different pesticides widely varied in their contact toxicities to E. fetida. At 24-h interval, imidacloprid exhibited the highest toxicity to E. fetida, followed by atrazine and lambda-cyhalothrin, while chlorpyrifos was the least toxic to the worms. Based on the LC50 values, imidacloprid was 989.5 times more toxic than chlorpyrifos. The order of toxicity to the animals based on LC50 values was as follows: imidacloprid>atrazine, *lambda*-cyhalothrin>chlorpyrifos. At 48-h interval, imidacloprid still showed the highest intrinsic toxicity to E. fetida, lambda-cyhalothrin and atrazine exhibited relatively less toxicity, while chlorpyrifos displayed the lowest toxicity to the animals. The toxicity of imidacloprid was 640-fold higher compared with chlorpyrifos. The toxicity for the four tested pesticides could be ranked in a descending order as follows: imidacloprid>lambda-cyhalothrin, atrazine>chlorpyrifos.

According to the classification of Roberts and Dorough (1984), imidacloprid was categorized as supertoxic, atrazine and *lambda*-cyhalothrin were extremely toxic, whereas chlorpyrifos was moderately toxic to worms at 24-h interval base on the resulting  $LC_{50}$  values for *E. fetida* exposed to impregnated papers. However, imidacloprid was still classified as supertoxic, atrazine and *lambda*-cy-halothrin were classified as extremely toxic, while chlorpyrifos was classified as very toxic to organisms at 48-h interval.

Individual pesticides         Atrazine       24 $22.75 (12.74-100.11)$ 48 $4.93 (3.76-6.35)$ Chlorpyrifos       24 $178.11 (92.64-301.92)$ 48 $32.00 (23.82-42.03)$ Lambda-cyhalothrin       24 $31.18 (16.22-52.85)$ 48 $4.89 (3.52-6.38)$ Imidacloprid       24 $0.18 (0.11-0.94)$ 48 $0.05 (0.041-0.058)$ Binary mixtures       48         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24 $61.38 (42.83-137.26)$ $-2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)$ Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24 $61.38 (42.83-137.26)$ $-2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)$ Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24 $5.61 (4.14-9.53)$ $1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)$ Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin       24 $5.61 (4.14-9.53)$ $1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)$ 48 $1.75 (1.16-2.24)$ $0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)$ $48 (0.07 (0.042-0.089))$ $-0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)$ Ternary mixtures       48 $0.07 (0.042-0.089)$ $-0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)$ Ternary mixtures       48 $0.30 (0.15-0.54)$ $6.69 (2.13-9.94)^b$	Chemical	Time (h)	$LC_{50}~(95~\%~CI)^c~\mu g$ a.i. $cm^{-2}$	Additive index <sup>a</sup> (95 % CI) <sup>c</sup>
Atrazine24 $22.75 (12.74-100.11)$ 48 $4.93 (3.76-6.35)$ Chlorpyrifos24 $178.11 (92.64-301.92)$ 48 $32.00 (23.82-42.03)$ Lambda-cyhalothrin24 $31.18 (16.22-52.85)$ 48 $4.89 (3.52-6.38)$ Imidacloprid24 $0.18 (0.11-0.94)$ 48 $0.05 (0.041-0.058)$ Binary mixturesAtrazine-chlorpyrifos24 $61.38 (42.83-137.26)$ $-2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)$ $48$ $5.04 (3.85-8.09)$ $-0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)$ Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin24 $5.61 (4.14-9.53)$ $48$ $1.75 (1.16-2.24)$ $0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)$ Atrazine-imidacloprid24 $0.12 (0.089-0.15)$ $6.9 (2.13-9.74)^{b}$ $48$ $0.30 (0.15-0.54)$	Individual pesticides			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Atrazine	24	22.75 (12.74–100.11)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		48	4.93 (3.76-6.35)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Chlorpyrifos	24	178.11 (92.64–301.92)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		48	32.00 (23.82-42.03)	
48       4.89 (3.52–6.38)         Imidacloprid       24       0.18 (0.11–0.94)         48       0.05 (0.041–0.058)         Binary mixtures       24       61.38 (42.83–137.26)       -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24       61.38 (42.83–137.26)       -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)         48       5.04 (3.85–8.09)       -0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)         Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       5.61 (4.14–9.53)       1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)         48       1.75 (1.16–2.24)       0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)         Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089–0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042–0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures       48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>	Lambda-cyhalothrin	24	31.18 (16.22–52.85)	
Imidacloprid       24       0.18 (0.11–0.94)         48       0.05 (0.041–0.058)         Binary mixtures         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24       61.38 (42.83–137.26)       -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)         48       5.04 (3.85–8.09)       -0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)         Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       5.61 (4.14–9.53)       1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)         48       1.75 (1.16–2.24)       0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)         Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089–0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042–0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures       48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>		48	4.89 (3.52-6.38)	
48       0.05 (0.041–0.058)         Binary mixtures       44         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24       61.38 (42.83–137.26)       -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)         48       5.04 (3.85–8.09)       -0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)         Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       5.61 (4.14–9.53)       1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)         48       1.75 (1.16–2.24)       0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)         Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089–0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042–0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures       48       0.354 (2.61–5.75)       2.47 (0.15–10.95) <sup>b</sup> 48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>	Imidacloprid	24	0.18 (0.11-0.94)	
Binary mixtures         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos       24       61.38 (42.83–137.26)       -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)         48       5.04 (3.85–8.09)       -0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)         Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       5.61 (4.14–9.53)       1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)         48       1.75 (1.16–2.24)       0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)         Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089–0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042–0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures       48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>		48	0.05 (0.041-0.058)	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mbox{Atrazine-chlorpyrifos} & 24 & 61.38 & (42.83-137.26) & -2.04 & (-11.25 \ to \ 0.75) \\ & 48 & 5.04 & (3.85-8.09) & -0.18 & (-1.49 \ to \ -0.18) \\ \mbox{Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin} & 24 & 5.61 & (4.14-9.53) & 1.34 & (-0.34 \ to \ 7.32) \\ & 48 & 1.75 & (1.16-2.24) & 0.41 & (-0.23 \ to \ 0.57) \\ \mbox{Atrazine-imidacloprid} & 24 & 0.12 & (0.089-0.15) & 0.58 & (-0.37 \ to \ 9.73) \\ & 48 & 0.07 & (0.042-0.089) & -0.56 & (-1.62 \ to \ -0.21) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Binary mixtures			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Atrazine-chlorpyrifos	24	61.38 (42.83–137.26)	-2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75)
Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin24 $5.61 (4.14-9.53)$ $1.34 (-0.34 \text{ to } 7.32)$ 48 $1.75 (1.16-2.24)$ $0.41 (-0.23 \text{ to } 0.57)$ Atrazine-imidacloprid24 $0.12 (0.089-0.15)$ $0.58 (-0.37 \text{ to } 9.73)$ 48 $0.07 (0.042-0.089)$ $-0.56 (-1.62 \text{ to } -0.21)$ Ternary mixturesAtrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin24 $3.54 (2.61-5.75)$ $2.47 (0.15-10.95)^{\text{b}}$ 48 $0.30 (0.15-0.54)$ $6.69 (2.13-9.94)^{\text{b}}$		48	5.04 (3.85-8.09)	-0.18 (-1.49 to -0.18)
48       1.75 (1.16–2.24)       0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)         Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089–0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042–0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       3.54 (2.61–5.75)       2.47 (0.15–10.95) <sup>b</sup> 48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup> 0.01	Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin	24	5.61 (4.14–9.53)	1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32)
Atrazine-imidacloprid       24       0.12 (0.089-0.15)       0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)         48       0.07 (0.042-0.089)       -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)         Ternary mixtures       -       -         Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       3.54 (2.61-5.75)       2.47 (0.15-10.95) <sup>b</sup> 48       0.30 (0.15-0.54)       6.69 (2.13-9.94) <sup>b</sup>		48	1.75 (1.16–2.24)	0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57)
48         0.07 (0.042–0.089)         -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)           Ternary mixtures         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.07 (0.042–0.089)         -         -         0.07 (0.042–0.089)         -         0.07 (0.05         -         0.07 (0.05         -         0.07 (0.05	Atrazine-imidacloprid	24	0.12 (0.089-0.15)	0.58 (-0.37 to 9.73)
Ternary mixtures         24         3.54 (2.61–5.75)         2.47 (0.15–10.95) <sup>b</sup> Atrazine–chlorpyrifos–lambda-cyhalothrin         24         0.30 (0.15–0.54)         6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>		48	0.07 (0.042-0.089)	-0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21)
Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin       24       3.54 (2.61–5.75)       2.47 (0.15–10.95) <sup>b</sup> 48       0.30 (0.15–0.54)       6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>	Ternary mixtures			
48 $0.30 (0.15-0.54)$ $6.69 (2.13-9.94)^{b}$	Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin	24	3.54 (2.61–5.75)	2.47 (0.15–10.95) <sup>b</sup>
		48	0.30 (0.15-0.54)	6.69 (2.13–9.94) <sup>b</sup>
Atrazine–chlorpyrifos–imidacloprid 24 0.20 (0.13–0.26) –0.10 (–1.49 to 6.27)	Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-imidacloprid	24	0.20 (0.13-0.26)	-0.10 (-1.49 to 6.27)
48 0.07 (0.009–0.11) -0.43 (-2.16 to 2.71)		48	0.07 (0.009-0.11)	-0.43 (-2.16 to 2.71)
Atrazine– <i>lambda</i> -cyhalothrin–imidacloprid 24 0.18 (0.13–0.24) 0.03 (-1.25 to 7.79)	Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid	24	0.18 (0.13-0.24)	0.03 (-1.25 to 7.79)
48 0.11 (0.043–0.16) -1.32 (-3.45 to -0.12)		48	0.11 (0.043-0.16)	-1.32 (-3.45 to -0.12)
Quaternary mixture	Quaternary mixture			
Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid         24         0.16 (0.11-0.45)         0.12 (-3.24 to 7.19)	Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid	24	0.16 (0.11-0.45)	0.12 (-3.24 to 7.19)
48 0.11 (0.078–0.19) -1.33 (-4.28 to -0.25)		48	0.11 (0.078-0.19)	-1.33 (-4.28 to -0.25)

**Table 1** Individual and combined toxicities with contact filter paper test of atrazine and three insecticides to *Eisenia fetida*; additive index as an indicator of chemical synergy

<sup>a</sup> An additive index greater than zero indicates greater than additive toxicity

<sup>b</sup> Significant chemical synergy interactions between pesticides

<sup>c</sup> CI confidence index

## Binary mixture toxicity

The LC<sub>50</sub> values of different binary mixtures at 24 and 48-h intervals were determined to understand the interaction of the herbicide atrazine and each insecticide in the acute toxicity toward *E. fetida* (Table 1). For all of the tested binary mixtures (atrazine–chlorpyrifos, atrazine–*lambda*-cyhalothrin and atrazine–imidacloprid), the calculated additive indexes at 24 and 48-h intervals ranged from -2.04 (-11.25 to 0.75) to 1.34 (-0.34 to 7.32) and from -0.56 (-1.62 to -0.21) to 0.41 (-0.23 to 0.57), respectively, suggesting antagonistic response and greater than additive toxicity. However, additive indexes were increased when the exposure period was increased for the binary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos, indicating a positive correlation between the mixture toxicity and exposure time.

# Ternary and quaternary mixture toxicities

A significant synergistic effect was observed from the ternary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos–*lambda*-cy-halothrin, with additive indexes of 2.47 (0.15–10.95) and 6.69 (2.13–9.94) at 24 and 48-h intervals, respectively. In contrast, the interaction was antagonistic response for the ternary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos–imidacloprid, with additive indexes of -0.10 (-1.49 to 6.27) and -0.43 (-2.16 to 2.71) at 24 and 48-h intervals, respectively (Table 1). Interestingly, similar toxic effects were observed from the ternary mixture of atrazine–*lambda*-cyhalothrin–imidacloprid and the quaternary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos–*lambda*-cyhalothrin–imidacloprid, and both of them exhibited greater than additive toxicity and antagonistic response at 24 and 48-h intervals, respectively.

#### Artificial soil toxicity

## Individual pesticide toxicity

The acute toxicities of four tested pesticides to *E. fetida* from artificial soil test are shown in Table 2. Each pesticide exhibited significantly different levels of toxicity to the worms. At 7-day interval, imidacloprid showed the highest toxicity, followed by atrazine and chlorpyrifos. In contrast, *lambda*-cyhalothrin exhibited the lowest toxicity to the worms. The toxicity of imidacloprid was 249.0-fold higher than that of *lambda*-cyhalothrin to *E. fetida*. The average acute toxicity for the four tested pesticides could be ranked in a descending order as follows: imidacloprid>atrazine> chlorpyrifos>*lambda*-cyhalothrin. At 14-day interval, imidacloprid still showed the highest toxicity to *E. fetida*.

Compared with 7-day interval, a similar decreasing order of the average acute toxicity was detected at 14-day interval. By comparing the efficacy of imidacloprid with the other pesticides according to their toxicity values at  $LC_{50}$ , we clearly showed that the toxicity level of imidacloprid was about 64.0-, 136.5- and 198.7-fold compared with atrazine, chlorpyrifos and *lambda*-cyhalothrin, respectively.

## Binary mixture toxicity

The additive indexes of atrazine–*lambda*-cyhalothrin mixture at 7-day and 14-day intervals were 4.15 (2.66–6.49) and 4.43 (2.91–7.24), respectively, suggesting a significant synergistic effect. In contrast, the other two binary mixtures of atrazine–chlorpyrifos and atrazine–imidacloprid exhibited additive indexes of -1.53 (-5.49 to -0.53) and

Table 2 Individual and combined toxicities with artificial soil test of atrazine and three insecticides to *Eisenia fetida*; additive index as an indicator of chemical synergy

	Time (d)	$LC = (05 \% CI)^c ma a i ka^{-1}$	Additive index <sup>a</sup> (05 % CI) <sup>c</sup>
Chemical	Time (u)	LC <sub>50</sub> (95 % CI) Ilig a.i. kg	Additive index (95 % CI)
Individual pesticides			
Atrazine	7	204.8 (179.9–236.3)	
	14	180.4 (158.4–204.5)	
Chlorpyrifos	7	421.3 (380.7–501.9)	
	14	384.9 (353.5–440.3)	
Lambda-cyhalothrin	7	784.5 (619.7–1209.9)	
	14	560.3 (475.9–718.5)	
Imidacloprid	7	3.15 (2.86–3.71)	
	14	2.82 (2.61-3.17)	
Binary mixtures			
Atrazine-chlorpyrifos	7	216.1 (174.0-250.6)	-0.57 (-1.05  to  -0.084)
	14	163.6 (117.3–198.8)	-0.33 (-0.82 to -0.19)
Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin	7	31.49 (26.35–38.13)	4.15 (2.66-6.49) <sup>b</sup>
	14	25.16 (19.33-30.39)	4.43 (2.91–7.24) <sup>b</sup>
Atrazine- Imidacloprid	7	7.85 (5.57–18.27)	-1.53 (-5.49 to -0.53)
	14	4.28 (3.61-5.71)	-0.54 (-1.22 to -0.16)
Ternary mixtures			
Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin	7	18.47 (12.91–34.56)	5.35 (1.96–9.98) <sup>b</sup>
	14	10.81 (6.45–18.61)	8.32 (3.77–17.13) <sup>b</sup>
Atrazine-chlorpyrifos-imidacloprid	7	4.39 (3.69–5.89)	-0.43 (-1.11 to -0.018)
	14	2.56 (2.14-3.01)	0.077 (-0.18 to 0.45)
Atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid	7	4.71 (3.91–6.56)	-0.52 (-1.34 to -0.074)
	14	2.92 (2.49–3.47)	-0.41 (-0.36 to 0.25)
Quaternary mixture			
Atrazine–chlorpyrifos– <i>lambda</i> -cyhalothrin–imidacloprid	7	4.61 (3.83-6.32)	-0.51 (-1.27 to -0.059)
	14	2.41 (1.88-2.98)	0.14 (-0.18 to 0.64)

<sup>a</sup> An additive index greater than zero indicates greater than additive toxicity

<sup>b</sup> Significant chemical synergy interactions between pesticides

<sup>c</sup> CI confidence index

-0.33 (-0.82 to -0.19) at 7 and 14-day intervals, respectively, suggesting a significant antagonistic effect (Table 2). However, additive indexes were increased when the exposure time was increased for all of the tested binary mixtures.

## Ternary and quaternary mixture toxicities

Similar to the results of contact toxicity test (Table 1), the ternary mixture of atrazine-chlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin still exhibited a significant synergistic effect, with additive indexes of 5.35 (1.96-9.98) and 8.32 (3.77-17.13) at 7 and 14-day intervals, respectively. However, the other two ternary mixtures of atrazine-chlorpyrifos-imidacloprid atrazine-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid showed and additive indexes of -0.52 (-1.34 to -0.074) and 0.077 (-0.18 to 0.45) at 7 and 14-day intervals, suggesting significant antagonistic effect and additive toxicity, respectively. For the quaternary mixture of atrazinechlorpyrifos-lambda-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid, the calculated additive indexes were -0.51 (-1.27 to -0.059) and 0.14 (-0.18-0.64) at 7 and 14-day intervals, indicating significant antagonistic effect and additive toxicity, respectively (Table 2). Moreover, additive indexes were increased when the exposure period was increased for all of the tested ternary and quaternary mixtures.

## Discussion

Results from single toxicity tests showed that imidacloprid was the most toxic among four selected pesticides. The results of imidacloprid with soil toxicity test from the present study were consistent with Luo et al. (1999). The results from soil toxicity test showed that atrazine was a chemical of very low toxicity. Similar results have been obtained by Mosleh et al. (2003) and Pizl (1988) when they compared the toxicity of atrazine with other tested pesticides on certain species of earthworms. The estimated 14-day LC<sub>50</sub> of chlorpyrifos in the present study (384.9 mg a.i.  $kg^{-1}$ ) was within the previously reported range of 129 mg a.i.  $kg^{-1}$  and 1174 mg a.i.  $kg^{-1}$  (Ma and Bodt 1993). Results from this study showed that lambda-cyhalothrin was the least toxic to E. fetida among the selected four pesticides in soil toxicity test. It has been demonstrated that most of the pyrethroids are non-toxic to earthworms under soil toxicity test in other studies (Inglesfield 1984; Roberts and Dorough 1984).

Joint toxicity of chemicals could be evaluated by combining chemicals with either equitoxic ratio or equivalent concentrations, which are the two most commonly methods (Phyu et al. 2011; Stepić et al. 2013). Although some of selected pesticides with equitoxic ratio have been tested in our previous work (Chen et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015a, b), the joint toxicity of them was tested using the chemicals with equivalent concentrations in the present study. We aimed to clarify if the joint toxicity of combined pollutants was closely linked with the ratio of their concentrations in the mixture. Therefore, our current study is the supplementation and improvement of our previous work (Chen et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015a, b). In addition, our findings provided a solid scientific basis for accurately and comprehensively evaluating the risk of combined contaminations.

Laboratory bioassays under controlled conditions using relevant test organisms constitute a first approach to evaluate pesticide risks in soil compartment (Heimbach 1984; Wang et al. 2012b; Piola et al. 2013). However, a reasonable correlation between the results of acute toxicity tests and the effects observed in the field has been reported (Heimbach 1998). Several protocols using earthworms have been developed to test the toxic potential of chemicals and contaminated soil (Heimbach 1984; Luo et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2012b). Among these methods, most attention has been paid to the contact filter paper test and artificial soil test, and they have been adopted by OECD (1984, 2004), European Economic Community (EEC 1985), and International Standards Organization (ISO 1993). Contact filter paper test is an initial screening technique to assess the relative toxicity of chemicals to earthworms, in which the pesticides are mainly absorbed by the skin. However, it poorly reflects the situations in the soil ecosystem (Grumiaux et al. 2010; Tripathi et al. 2010). In contrast, artificial soil test mimics the natural environment of earthworms, and the pesticides are mainly absorbed by gut in this method (De Silva and van Gestel 2009; Udovic and Lestan 2010).

Pesticides are rarely found as single compounds in natural environment (Jin-Clark et al. 2008; Bjergager et al. 2012; Saxena et al. 2014). In contrast, they often exist as mixtures (Phyu et al. 2011). Atrazine, one of the widely used agricultural pesticides in China, functions as photosynthesis inhibitor through blockage of photosystem II in target plants. Kao et al. (1995) observed that atrazine induces cytochrome P450 and general esterase activities in insects. These induced enzymes break down pesticides, with the effect of either increasing or decreasing the toxicity of other pesticides depending on toxicities of their resulting metabolites (Anderson and Zhu 2004). As the most commonly and widely used organophosphate insecticide worldwide, chlorpyrifos can be activated into chlorpyrifos-oxon, leading to rapid acetylcholinesterase (AChE) phosphorylation in animals (Pérez et al. 2013). Such a process ultimately blocks the function of AChE, an important enzyme involved in neurotransmission, causing rapid muscular twitching and paralysis in the affected

animals (Yen et al. 2011). The pyrethroid insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin is extensively used worldwide for agricultural pest control due to its higher efficiency, relatively shorter half-life in soil and lower mammalian toxicity (Narahashi 2000). Pyrethroids can modify the kinetics of voltage-sensitive sodium channels, resulting in abnormal nerve function in insects (Bloomquist 1996). Previous studies have shown that most pyrethroids are not toxic to earthworms (Inglesfield 1984; Roberts and Dorough 1984; Wang et al. 2012a). Our findings revealed that lambdacyhalothrin was the least toxic to E. fetida in artificial soil test. This result also further indicated the rational use of these compounds in agriculture to avoid damage to the soil ecosystem. The neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid, functions as competitive inhibitor on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) in the central nervous system (Tomizawa and Casida 2003; Elbert et al. 2008). Our study indicated that imidacloprid was the most toxic one to E. fetida among the four tested pesticides. Considering its high efficacy against target organisms such as sucking pests, environmental managers should carefully evaluate the use of imidacloprid in integrated pest management (IPM) programs to avoid serious damage to earthworms (Jeschke and Nauen 2008). Since atrazine, chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin and imidacloprid are all widely used in China, they are likely to co-exist in soil and surface water (Choung et al. 2011). Results from this study also show that the simultaneous use of these pesticides in agriculture could cause harm to beneficial soil organisms such as earthworms because of synergistic effects.

Currently, the eco-toxicological assessment of soil quality is based on the internationally accepted test protocols with earthworms, collembolans and enchytraeids (Daam et al. 2011). The test protocols and guidelines with earthworms are designed to estimate the effects of chemicals on the survival and reproduction of adult individuals (EEC 1985; OECD 1984, 2004). However, some studies indicated that juvenile earthworms are often more sensitive to pollutants than adults (Zhou et al. 2008).

In addition to the antagonistic and greater than additive responses, we also described synergistic responses for herbicide-insecticide mixtures in the current study. Extremely synergistic responses were found from the binary mixture of atrazine–*lambda*-cyhalothrin and the ternary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos–*lambda*-cyhalothrin. Little evidence of atrazine/*lambda*-cyhalothrin interaction is available in the literature. Hayes et al. (2006) reported that pesticide mixture containing atrazine and *lambda*-cyhalothrin at low dosage exerts significant adverse effects on Leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*). Other researchers have found similar results that atrazine can potentiate the effects of organophosphate insecticides (Anderson and Lydy 2002).

Lydy and Linck (2003) found that atrazine increases the toxicity of chlorpyrifos to the earthworm *E. fetida* by 7.5-fold. These increased toxicities of pesticide mixtures can lead to adverse effects on earthworm populations, threatening the normal function of soil ecosystem. Therefore, experiments using single pesticide do not reflect field situations, where multiple pesticides or pesticide mixtures are used (Thompson 1996; Choung et al. 2011; Bjergager et al. 2012).

In the present study, our data were obtained based on the acute toxicity. However, sublethal endpoints seemed to be more sensitive indicators compared with lethality (Hackenberger et al. 2008). Moreover, various biomarkers were also used in sublethal endpoints, such as lysosomal membrane stability and genetic effects (Jensen et al. 2007; Fuchs et al. 2011). Molecular biomarkers are able to show alternations in the physiological status of organisms, and they are sensitive to serve as early warning signs of pollution or stress conditions to organisms (Sánchez-Hernández 2006; Tripathi et al. 2010). Additionally, biomarker responses may be sensitive indicators of chemical stress before sublethal effects, such as inhibition of growth or reproduction (Scott-Fordsmand and Weeks 2000). Therefore, in order to appropriately assess the ecological risk, more studies should be focused on long-term effects of pesticide mixtures at low concentrations on earthworms.

# Conclusions

Imidacloprid is the most toxic of all the tested pesticides under both bioassay systems. The binary mixture of atrazine–*lambda*-cyhalothrin exhibited a significant synergistic effect on the earthworms under the soil toxicity bioassay. The ternary mixture of atrazine–chlorpyrifos–*lambda*-cyhalothrin displayed a significant synergistic effect on the earthworms under both bioassay systems. Taken together, experiments using single pesticide do not reflect field situations, and toxicity data obtained from single pesticide may underestimate the effects of pesticide mixtures on soil invertebrate populations.

**Acknowledgments** The study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 31401767 and 31572028), the Application Research Project of the Public Interest in Zhejiang Province (Grant No. 2015C32047), and Zhejiang Province Major Bidding Project (Grant No. 2014C02002). The authors acknowledge the help provided by Huixian Rao and Biqi Xu in the laboratorial experiments.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical standards** Any studies in this paper using earthworms were conducted in accordance with national and institutional guidelines for the protection of human subjects and animal welfare.

## References

- Anderson TD, Lydy MJ (2002) Increased toxicity to invertebrates associated with a mixture of atrazine and organophosphate insecticides. Environ Toxicol Chem 21:1507–1514
- Anderson TD, Zhu KY (2004) Synergistic and antagonistic effects of atrazine on the toxicity of organophosphorodithioate and organophosphorothioate insecticides to *Chironomus tentans* (Diptera: Chironomidae). Pestic Biochem Physiol 80:54–64
- Bjergager MB, Hanson ML, Solomon KR, Cedergreen N (2012) Synergy between prochloraz and esfenvalerate in *Daphnia magna* from acute and subchronic exposures in the laboratory and microcosms. Aquat Toxicol 110–111:17–24
- Bloomquist JR (1996) Ion channels as targets for insecticides. Annu Rev Entomol 41:163–190
- Chen C, Wang YH, Qian YZ, Wang Q (2015) The synergistic toxicity of the multiple chemical mixtures: implications for risk assessment in the terrestrial environment. Environ Int 77:95–105
- Chi H (1997) Computer program for the probit analysis. National Chung Hsing University, Taichung
- Choung CB, Hyne RV, Stevens MM, Hose GC (2011) Toxicity of the insecticide terbufos its oxidation metabolites and the herbicide atrazine in binary mixtures to *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia*. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 60:417–425
- Daam MA, Leitão S, Cerejeira MJ, Paulo Sousa J (2011) Comparing the sensitivity of soil invertebrates to pesticides with that of *Eisenia fetida*. Chemosphere 85:1040–1047
- Dabrowski JM, Shadung JM, Wepener V (2014) Prioritizing agricultural pesticides used in South Africa based on their environmental mobility and potential human health effects. Environ Int 62:31–40
- De Silva PMCS, van Gestel CAM (2009) Comparative sensitivity of *Eisenia andrei* and *Perionyx excavatus* in earthworm avoidance tests using two soil types in the tropics. Chemosphere 77:1609–1613
- Edwards CA, Bohlen PJ (1992) The effects of toxic chemicals on earthworms. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 125:23–99
- EEC (1985) EEC Directive 79/831 Annex V Part C: methods for the determination of ecotoxicity Level I C (L1) 4: toxicity for earthworms
- Elbert A, Haas M, Springer B, Thielert W, Nauen R (2008) Applied aspects of neonicotinoid uses in crop protection. Pest Manag Sci 64:1099–1105
- Ellis SR, Hodson ME, Wege P (2010) The soil-dwelling earthworm *Allolobophora chlorotica* modifies its burrowing behaviour in response to carbendazim applications. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 73:1424–1428
- Fuchs J, Piola L, Prieto Gonzalez E, Oneto ML, Basack S, Kesten E, Casabe N (2011) Coelomocyte biomarkers in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* exposed to 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT). Environ Monit Assess 175:127–137
- Grumiaux F, Demuynck S, Schikorski D, Lemière S, Leprêtre A (2010) Assessing the effects of FBC ash treatments of metalcontaminated soils using life history traits and metal bioaccumulation analysis of the earthworm *Eisenia andrei*. Chemosphere 79:156–161
- Gupta RD, Chakravorty PP, Kaviraj A (2011) Susceptibility of epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida* to agricultural application of six insecticides. Chemosphere 84:724–726

- Hackenberger BK, Jarić-Perkušić D, Stepić S (2008) Effect of temephos on cholinesterase activity in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 71:583–589
- Hayes TB, Case P, Chui S, Chung D, Haeffele C, Haston K, Lee M, Mai VP, Marjuoa Y, Parker J, Tsui M (2006) Pesticide mixtures endocrine disruption and amphibian declines: are we underestimating the impact? Environ Health Perspect 114(Suppl 1):40–50
- Heimbach F (1984) Correlation between three methods for determining the toxicity of chemicals to earthworms. Pestic Sci 15:605–611
- Heimbach F (1998) Comparison of the sensitivities of an earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*) reproduction test and a standardised field test on grassland. In: Sheppard S, Bembridge J, Holmstrup M, Posthuma L (eds) Advances in earthworm ecotoxicology. SETAC Press, Pensacola, pp 235–245
- Inglesfield C (1984) Toxicity of the pyrethroid insecticides cypermethrin and WL85871 to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny). Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 33:568–570
- ISO (1993) Soil quality-effects of pollutants on earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*) Part 1: determination of acute toxicity using artificial soil substrate, Geneva, Switzerland ISO: 11268-1
- Jensen J, Diao XP, Scott-fordsmand JJ (2007) Sub-lethal toxicity of the antiparasitic abamectin on earthworms and the application of neutral red retention time as a biomarker. Chemosphere 68:744–750
- Jeschke P, Nauen R (2008) Neonicotinoids-from zero to hero in insecticide chemistry. Pest Manag Sci 64:1084–1098
- Jin-Clark Y, Anderson TD, Zhu KY (2008) Effect of alachlor and metolachlor on toxicity of chlorpyrifos and major detoxification enzymes in the aquatic midge, *Chironomus tentans* (Diptera: Chironomidae). Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 54:645–652
- Kao LM, Wilkinson CF, Brattsten LB (1995) In-vivo effects of 2,4-D and atrazine on cytochrome P-450 and insecticide toxicity in southern armyworm (*Spodoptera eridania*) larvae. Pestic Sci 45:331–334
- Landrum M, Cañas JE, Coimbatore G, Cobb GP, Jackson WA, Zhang BH, Anderson TA (2006) Effects of perchlorate on earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) survival and reproductive success. Sci Total Environ 363:237–244
- Liang JD, Zhou QX (2003) Single and binary-combined toxicity of methamidophos, acetochlor and copper acting on earthworm *Eisenia foelide*. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 71:1158–1166
- Luo Y, Zang Y, Zhong Y, Kong ZM (1999) Toxicological study of two novel pesticides on earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. Chemosphere 39:2347–2356
- Lydy MJ, Linck SL (2003) Assessing the impact of triazine herbicides on organophosphate insecticide toxicity to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 45:343–349
- Ma WC, Bodt J (1993) Differences in toxicity of the insecticide chlorpyrifos to six species of earthworms (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) in standardized soil tests. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 50:864–870
- Marking LL (1985) Toxicity of chemical mixtures. In: Rand G, Petroceli S (eds) Fundamentals of aquatic toxicology. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, Washington, pp 164–176
- Mosleh YY, Ismail SMM, Ahmed MT, Ahmed YM (2003) Comparative toxicity and biochemical responses of certain pesticides to the mature earthworm *Aporrectodea caliginosa* under laboratory conditions. Environ Toxicol 18:338–346
- Narahashi T (2000) Neuroreceptors and ion channels as the basis for drug action: past present and future. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 294:1–26
- OECD (1984) OECD guideline for testing of chemicals no 207 earthworm, acute toxicity tests. OECD, Paris

- OECD (2004) Guideline for testing of chemicals no 222 earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*). OECD, Paris
- Pérez J, Monteiro MS, Quintaneiro C, Soares AM, Loureiro S (2013) Characterization of cholinesterases in *Chironomus riparius* and the effects of three herbicides on chlorpyrifos toxicity. Aquat Toxicol 144–145:296–302
- Phyu YL, Palmer CG, Warne MS, Hose GC, Chapman JC, Lim RP (2011) A comparison of mixture toxicity assessment: examining the chronic toxicity of atrazine, permethrin and chlorothalonil in mixtures to *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia*. Chemosphere 85:1568–1573
- Piner P, Üner N (2012) Oxidative and apoptotic effects of *lambda*cyhalothrin modulated by piperonyl butoxide in the liver of *Oreochromis niloticus*. Environ Toxicol Pharm 33:414–420
- Piola L, Fuchs J, Oneto ML, Basack S, Kesten E, Casabé N (2013) Comparative toxicity of two glyphosate-based formulations to *Eisenia andrei* under laboratory conditions. Chemosphere 91:545–551
- Pizl V (1988) Internations between earthworms and toxicity of some herbicides to earthworms in laboratory tests. Pedobiologia 32:227–232
- Reinecke SA, Reinecke AJ (2007) The impact of organophosphate pesticides in orchards on earthworms in the Western Cape, South Africa. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 66:244–251
- Roberts BL, Dorough HW (1984) Relative toxicities of chemicals to the earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. Environ Toxicol Chem 3:67–78
- Sánchez-Hernández JC (2006) Earthworm biomarkers in ecological risk assessment. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 188:85–126
- Sanchez-Hernandez JC, Narvaez C, Sabat P, Mocillo SM (2014) Integrated biomarker analysis of chlorpyrifos metabolism and toxicity in the earthworm *Aporrectodea caliginosa*. Sci Total Environ 490:445–455
- Saxena PN, Gupta SK, Murthy RC (2014) Comparative toxicity of carbaryl, carbofuran, cypermethrin and fenvalerate in *Metaphire posthuma* and *Eisenia fetida*—a possible mechanism. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 100:218–225
- Scott-Fordsmand JJ, Weeks JM (2000) Biomarkers in earthworms. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 165:117–159
- Stepić S, Hackenberger BK, Velki M, Lončarić Ž, Hackenberger DK (2013) Effects of individual and binary-combined commercial insecticides endosulfan, temephos, malathion and pirimiphosmethyl on biomarker responses in earthworm *Eisenia andrei*. Environ Toxicol Pharm 36:715–723
- Thompson HM (1996) Interactions between pesticides; a review of reported effects and their implications for wildlife risk assessment. Ecotoxicology 5:59–81

- Tomizawa M, Casida JE (2003) Selective toxicity of neonicotinoids attributable to specificity of insect and mammalian nicotinic receptors. Annu Rev Entomol 48:339–364
- Tripathi G, Kachhwaha N, Dabi I (2010) Comparative studies on carbofuran-induced changes in some cytoplasmic and mitochondrial enzymes and proteins of epigeic, anecic and endogeic earthworms. Pestic Biochem Physiol 96:30–35
- Udovic M, Lestan D (2010) *Eisenia fetida* avoidance behavior as a tool for assessing the efficiency of remediation of Pb, Zn and Cd polluted soil. Environ Pollut 158:2766–2772
- Wahanthaswamy MV, Patil BV (2004) Toxicity of pesticides to earthworm, *Eudrillus eugeniae* (Kinberg). Karnataka J Agric Sci 17:112–114
- Wang Y, Cang T, Zhao X, Yu R, Chen L, Wu C, Wang Q (2012a) A Comparative acute toxicity of twenty-four insecticides to earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 79:122–128
- Wang Y, Wu S, Chen L, Wu C, Yu R, Wang Q, Zhao X (2012b) Toxicity assessment of 45 pesticides to the epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Chemosphere 88:484–491
- Wang Y, Chen C, Qian Y, Zhao X, Wang Q (2015a) Ternary toxicological interactions of insecticides, herbicides, and a heavy metal on the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*. J Hazard Mater 284:233–240
- Wang Y, Chen C, Qian Y, Zhao X, Wang Q, Kong X (2015b) Toxicity of mixtures of λ-cyhalothrin, imidacloprid and cadmium on the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* by combination index (CI)-isobologram method. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 111:242–247
- Wu B, Liu ZT, Xu Y, Li DS, Li M (2012) Combined toxicity of cadmium and lead on the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (Annelida, Oligochaeta). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 81:122–126
- Xing HJ, Zhang ZW, Yao HD, Liu T, Wang LL, Xu SW, Li S (2014) Effects of atrazine and chlorpyrifos on cytochrome P450 in common carp liver. Chemosphere 104:244–250
- Yen J, Donerly S, Levin ED, Linney EA (2011) Differential acetylcholinesterase inhibition of chlorpyrifos, diazinon and parathion in larval zebrafish. Neurotoxicol Teratol 33:735–741
- Zhang JJ, Lu YC, Zhang JJ, Tan LR, Yang H (2014) Accumulation and toxicological response of atrazine in rice crops. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 102:105–112
- Zhou SP, Duan CP, Wang XH, Michelle WHG, Yu ZF, Fu F (2008) Assessing cypermethrin-contaminated soil with three different earthworm test methods. J Environ Sci 20:1381–1385
- Zhou SP, Duan CQ, Michelle WHG, Yang FZ, Wang XH (2011) Individual and combined toxic effects of cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos on earthworm. J Environ Sci 23:676–680