

Sublethal effects of insecticide seed treatments on two nearctic lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)

Valéria Fonseca Moscardini^{1,2} · Pablo Costa Gontijo^{1,2} · J. P. Michaud² · Geraldo Andrade Carvalho¹

Accepted: 17 April 2015/Published online: 23 April 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract Predatory insects often feed on plants or use plant products to supplement their diet, creating a potential route of exposure to systemic insecticides used as seed treatments. This study examined whether chlorantraniliprole or thiamethoxam might negatively impact Coleomegilla maculata and Hippodamia convergens when the beetles consumed the extrafloral nectar of sunflowers grown from treated seed. We reared both species on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella and then switched adult H. convergens to a diet of greenbugs, Schizaphis graminum, in order to induce oviposition in this species. Excised sunflower stems, either treated or control and refreshed every 48 h, were provided throughout larval development, or for the first week of adult life. Exposure of C. maculata larvae to chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam applied as seed treatments delayed adult emergence by prolonging the pupal period. When adults were exposed, thiamethoxam reduced the preoviposition period compared to chlorantraniliprole, whereas the latter treatment cause females to produce fewer clutches during the observation period. Larvae of C. maculata did not appear to obtain sufficient hydration from the sunflower stems and their subsequent fecundity and fertility were compromised in comparison to the adult exposure experiment where larvae received supplemental water during development. Exposure of H. convergens larvae to thiamethoxam skewed the sex ratio in favor of females; both materials reduced the egg viability of resulting adults and increased the period required for eclosion. Exposure of *H. convergens* adults to chlorantraniliprole reduced egg eclosion times compared to thiamethoxam and exposure to both insecticides reduced pupation times in progeny. The results indicate that both insecticides have negative, sublethal impacts on the biology of these predators when they feed on extrafloral nectar of sunflower plants grown from treated seed.

Keywords Biological control · Chlorantraniliprole · *Coleomegilla maculata* · Extrafloral nectar · *Hippodamia convergens* · Risk assessment · Systemic insecticides · Thiamethoxam

Introduction

Many beneficial arthropods are omnivorous, consuming both prey and plant material (Coll and Guershon 2002). Natural enemies of insect pests may utilize various plant resources, nibbling tender shoots, sucking sap, or consuming pollen and nectar, both floral and extrafloral (Wackers et al. 2007; Lundgren 2009a; Choate and Lundgren 2013). Although floral and extrafloral nectar (EFN) are both rich in sugar, the latter contains sucrose as the dominant sugar, rather than glucose or fructose (Baker and Baker 1979; Rogers 1985). Sugars can be an essential dietary component for coccinellids, improving their survival and reproductive capabilities, and providing metabolic fuel for flight and other behaviors (Lundgren 2009b; Hodek and Evans 2012). Unlike floral nectar which is available only during flowering, EFN can be available to natural enemies for a much longer period (Pacini et al. 2003; Rose et al. 2006).

J. P. Michaud jpmi@ksu.edu

¹ Department of Entomology, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil

² Department of Entomology, Agricultural Research Center-Hays, Kansas State University, 1232 240th Ave., Kansas, KS 67601, USA

On the High Plains of the USA, the EFN secreted by annual sunflowers, Helianthus annuus L., (Asteraceae) is an important source of both sugar and hydration for beneficial insects during the hot, dry summers. Although extremely small, the nectaries are highly abundant along petioles and leaf veins and secrete nectar continuously from the time the first true leaves expand until the plants senesce. The production of EFN by plants is associated with attraction of herbivore natural enemies and thus fosters mutualistic protection for the plant (Marazzi et al. 2013). More than 40 species of Coccinellidae are known to utilize EFN in 15 plant families (Pemberton and Vandenberg 1993). A wide variety of insects, beneficial and otherwise, can be directly observed utilizing sunflower EFN as a source of hydration during summer months (Charlet and Gavloski 2011) and sunflower EFN likely accounts for the great diversity of insects associated with this plant (Royer and Walgenbach 1991).

Coleomegilla maculata DeGeer and Hippodamia convergens Guérin-Méneville (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) are two of the most abundant lady beetles in the central USA, the latter species being of particular importance for the biological control of cereal aphids in wheat, sorghum and other grains (Rice and Wilde 1988; Nechols and Harvey 1998; Michaud 2013). Both species consume pollen and nectar, both floral and EFN (Pemberton and Vandenberg 1993; Smith and Krischik 1999). These species breed only during periods of high aphid populations, which are usually limited to several weeks in both spring and fall. Aphids and alternative insect prey are rare during summer months, forcing most species into a reproductive diapause (Michaud and Qureshi 2005). Hydration is critical to survival during this period when the beetles must survive on alternative food sources such as pollen and non-aphid prey that have lower water content than aphids, and sunflower EFN can be a key moisture source (Michaud and Qureshi 2006). Although C. maculata can complete development on an exclusive diet of pollen, it has a relatively high water demand when feeding on non-aphid food sources and is sensitive to desiccation stress during development (Michaud and Grant 2005). In contrast, laboratory observations (JPM unpublished) indicate that the daily water consumption of diapausing adult H. convergens is only 20-25 % that of diapausing C. maculata, and the drought tolerance of the former species is likely key to its success in this arid environment.

Recently, both floral nectar and EFN have been recognized as potential routes of exposure to systemic insecticides applied to soil or seeds. Both lethal and sublethal effects have been observed in honey bees (van der Sluijs et al. 2013), predators such as *C. maculata* (Smith and Krischik 1999), *Orius insidiosus* (Say) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) (Seagraves and Lundgren 2012; Gontijo et al. 2014a) and Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) (Rogers et al. 2007; Gontijo et al. 2014b), and parasitoids such as Anagyrus pseudococci (Girault) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) (Krischik et al. 2007) and Lysiphlebus testaceipes (Cresson) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) (Moscardini et al. 2014). Seed treatment with systemic insecticides has been widely adopted as a means of early-season pest control in row crops (Hodgson et al. 2012; Nuyttens et al. 2013). However, some studies in soybean, corn and canola have questioned the economic benefit of prophylactic seed treatments, aside from the potential non-target hazards they present (Rover et al. 2005; Wilde et al. 2007; Seagraves and Lundgren 2012). Like most other row crops, the majority of commercial sunflowers are now planted with a systemic insecticide seed treatment, usually thiamethoxam.

The focal insecticides in the present study were chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam, examples of two very different insecticide groups, diamides and neonicotinoids, respectively. Both insecticides exhibit systemic activity within plant vascular tissues which facilitates their use as seed treatments, and their potential to contaminate plant products, including floral and extrafloral nectar (Maienfisch et al. 2001; Lahm et al. 2009; Li et al. 2012). Chlorantraniliprole acts as a ryanodine receptor modulator to block insect muscle contraction; once ingested by an insect, Ca⁺⁺ depletion in muscle cells leads to feeding cessation, lethargy, muscle paralysis and death (Lahm et al. 2007). In contrast, thiamethoxam targets nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the central nervous system of insects, producing both lethal and sublethal neurological effects (Tomizawa and Casida 2005). The objective of the present study was to assess the sensitivity of C. maculata and H. convergens to traces of these materials in sunflower EFN and test whether development or reproduction would be impacted when larvae or adults were exposed to sunflower seedlings grown from treated seed.

Materials and methods

Insect colonies

Adults of *C. maculata* and *H. convergens* were collected from fields of sorghum and corn at the Agricultural Research Center in Hays, Kansas, USA (38°51'31.14"N 99°20'10.86"W). Adults of each species were placed in 1-L glass mason jars (ca. 150 per jar) covered with an organdy mesh screen and filled with shredded wax paper as harborage. Water was provided on a cotton wick and approximately 50 mg of frozen *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) eggs, obtained from a commercial supplier (Beneficial Insectary, Oak Run, CA, USA), were provided daily to each jar. Both species were held in a growth chamber at 24 ± 1 °C, 42 ± 5 % RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D). Under these crowded conditions with limited food, the beetles remain in reproductive diapause for many months.

For each experiment, a series of female beetles (n = 30)of each species were removed from the jar and isolated, C. maculata in plastic Petri dishes (5.5 cm diam) and H. convergens in ventilated plexiglass cylinders (5.0 cm di $am \times 10.0$ cm ht) under the same physical conditions as the colony. Females of C. maculata were fed with frozen E. kueniella eggs daily with water provided on a small sponge, whereas females of H. convergens were fed with an ad libitum diet of greenbugs, Schizaphis graminum (Rondani) (Hemiptera: Aphididae), because aphids are required to induce oviposition in this species once it has entered diapause (Michaud and Qureshi 2006). The plexiglass cylinders facilitated the provisioning of aphids on excised sorghum seedlings and provided more secure containment of aphids than did the petri dishes. The aphids were obtained from colonies reared on sorghum seedlings in a growth chamber under the same physical conditions as the beetles. Food and water were refreshed daily and eggs, mostly laid on the inner surfaces of the containers, were collected by transferring the beetles to new containers. Upon eclosion, larvae of both species were reared on frozen eggs of E. kuehniella in Petri dishes (5.5 cm diam), five per dish, with water provided on a sponge cube, refreshed every 48 h, until they emerged as adults. The first laboratory generation was used for larval exposure experiments and the second generation for adult exposure experiments for each species.

Sunflower plants

Triumph Nusun cv. 810CL sunflower seeds were obtained from Triumph Seed Corp. (Dow Agrosciences, Indianapolis, ID) both with and without treatment with Cruiser 5FS[®] (thiamethoxam, 50 mg a.i. 100 kg⁻¹, Syngenta Crop Protection, Greensboro, NC); untreated Triumph seed served as the experimental control. Sunflower seeds cv. Pioneer 63N82 were obtained from DuPont Crop Protection (E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Wilmington, DE) treated with chlorantraniliprole (1800 mg a.i. 100 kg^{-1}). All seeds were planted 2.0 cm deep in metal trays (8.0 cm \times 51.0 cm \times 36.0 cm) filled with a mixture of soil, peat moss and perlite (1:1:1) and germinated in a greenhouse at 25 ± 2 °C under natural light supplemented during daylight hours with metal halide lamps (L:D = 12:12). Plants were watered daily, but sparingly, to avoid excessive leaching of insecticide. Sunflower stalks were harvested beginning at the V2 stage (14-15 day-old plants with two true leaves expanded) and every 2 days thereafter throughout each period of insect exposure, so that insects were exposed to progressively older plant tissues as material was replaced in the experiments. All stem segments were harvested before 9:00 a.m. and constituted the bulk of the upper portion of the main stem. For provisioning to insects, stem segments (ca. 4.0–5.0 cm in length) were excised from seedlings and the cut ends dipped in liquid paraffin to seal vascular tissues and maintain turgor, while at the same time preventing the exudation of any resinous materials that might pose a hazard to the insects.

Exposure of larvae

Experiments with both species were conducted under the same environmental conditions used for rearing the beetle colonies. Each replicate (n = 8 per treatment) consisted of five first instar larvae held in a Petri dish (5.5 cm diam), their parentage recorded to prevent any subsequent pairing of related beetles. Larvae were fed frozen eggs of *E. kuehniella* ad libitum, refreshed every 48 h. Each Petri dish was supplied with a sunflower stem segment as the only source of hydration, either grown from untreated seed (controls) or from seed treated with one of the two insecticides. The stem segments were replaced every 48 h until larvae pupated.

Data were recorded daily for all insects throughout the experiment. Larval developmental time was tallied as the number of days from the beginning of the experiment until the formation of pupae and pupation time as the number of days from pupal formation to adult emergence. Immature survival was calculated as the percentage of neonate larvae placed in the treatment that successfully emerged as adults. Emergent adults were sexed and, when insects were 7-8 days old, the maximum possible number of pairs were established by confining each female with a male from the same treatment group, checking parentage to prevent the pairing of siblings. After 48 h, males were removed and females were isolated, C. maculata in Petri dishes (as above) provisioned with ad libitum frozen eggs of E. kuehniella and water on a cube of sponge, H. convergens in plexiglass vials (as above) with ad libitum S. graminum provided on excised sorghum seedlings. Food and water was refreshed every 48 h.

Eggs were harvested daily by transferring females to new containers and the preoviposition period of each female was calculated as the number of days from adult emergence until first oviposition. A series of ten clutches were collected from each *H. convergens* female and the number of days required to produce them was recorded. Because oviposition by *C. maculata* was much slower, female fecundity was assessed for a 21 day period post-copula, during which period not all females produced ten clutches.

Exposure of adults

Pairs of adult beetles (ca. 24 h old) of each species (*C. maculata*, n = 18 per treatment, *H. convergens*, n = 14 per treatment) were established in their respective containers (as above), with parentage checked to prevent the pairing of siblings. Each container contained a sunflower stem segment corresponding to one of the three treatments and was provisioned with ad libitum frozen eggs of *E. kuehniella*. The sunflower stems were refreshed every 48 h for a total exposure period of 10 days, whereupon males were removed and females isolated for oviposition, those of *C. maculata* receiving eggs of *E. kuehniella* and those of *H. convergens* receiving *S. graminum*. Procedures and data collection were thereafter the same as described above for insects exposed as larvae.

A series of ten neonate larvae hatching from the first clutch of each female were isolated in Petri dishes (5.5 cm diam), one per dish and fed ad libitum frozen eggs of *E. kuehniella* with water provided on a sponge cube, both refreshed every 48 h. All insects were observed daily and all developmental data collected until they emerged as adults.

Data analysis

Data were subjected to Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levine tests ($\alpha = 0.05$) for verification of normality and homoscedasticity, respectively (PROC UNIVARIATE, SAS Institute 2008). For each species and life stage (larvae or adults), data that passed these tests were subjected to oneway ANOVA. When means were significant, these were separated by a Bonferroni test ($\alpha = 0.05$) (PROC GLM; SAS Institute 2008). The preoviposition periods of C. maculata in the adult exposure test were transformed to log (x + 1) before being subjected to one-way ANOVA. Untransformed means are presented in all tables. Data that were not normally distributed or failed a Levine test for equality of variances were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test ($\alpha = 0.05$) (PROC NPAR1WAY, SAS Institute 2008). Sex ratio $(\Sigma \oplus \Sigma / \Sigma (\oplus + \Im))$ was analyzed using the Chi square Goodness of Fit test ($\alpha = 0.05$) (PROC FREQ; SAS Institute 2008).

Results

Larvae of *C. maculata* exposed to sunflower stems grown from treated seeds spent about half a day longer in the pupal stage than did controls, but no other treatment effects were significant (Table 1). Survival of pupae was 100 % in all three treatments, and the reproductive performance of the resulting females did not differ among treatments,

although egg fertility was below normal values in all three. When *C. maculata* were exposed to sunflower stems as adults, there was no mortality in the three week observation period, but preoviposition periods were reduced by the thiamethoxam treatment relative to chlorantraniliprole, although neither was different from controls. However, females in the chlorantraniliprole treatment laid fewer clutches, although overall fecundity (total number of eggs laid) and egg viability (percentage of eggs hatching) did not vary significantly among treatments (Table 2). There were no significant treatment effects on any parameter of progeny development.

A higher proportion of emergent adults were female when larvae of H. convergens were exposed to stems in the thiamethoxam treatment, but no other developmental parameters differed among treatments (Table 3). Pupal survival was 100 % in all three treatments. However, the viability of eggs was significantly reduced for female adults in both the chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam treatments and the time required for eclosion of their eggs was slightly increased. Exposure of adults revealed no significant treatment effects on reproductive parameters, but some transgenerational effects were evident in the progeny. Chlorantraniliprole reduced egg eclosion time compared to thiamethoxam, although controls were not different from either, and both treatments reduced pupation time (Table 4). There was no mortality of adults during the period of reproductive observations.

Discussion

Subtle, but significant, negative effects on development and reproductive biology were observed when *C. maculata* and *H. convergens* fed on EFN presumably contaminated with residues of chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam. Systemic insecticides become distributed throughout the plant and may contaminate the pollen, floral and extrafloral nectar (Cloyd and Bethke 2011). EFN can be an important food source for many beneficial organisms, especially coccinellids, because it is rich in sugars that are easily digested (Lundgren 2009b). Consumption of EFN may provide energy and increase fitness, especially when prey is scarce. Lundgren and Seagraves (2011) observed that *C. maculata* adult consuming EFN of *Vicia faba* (Fabaceae) in the absence of prey improved their survival, nutrient reserves and reproductive capacity.

Negative effects were observed on beetle development. Both seed treatments prolonged *C. maculata* pupation time following larval exposure, possibly because intoxicated larvae had lower mobility and feeding rates, which could have resulted in nutrient limitation and consequent prolongation of the pupal stage or because the materials

| Parameter | Seed treatment | | | $F, H \text{ or } \chi^2$ | df | Р |
|--|----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|
| | Untreated | Chlorantraniliprole | Thiamethoxam | | | |
| Larval survival (%) | 72.5 ± 8.4 | 70.0 ± 7.6 | 87.5 ± 6.5 | 3.06* | 2 | 0.216 |
| Larval development time (days) | 11.7 ± 0.2 | 11.7 ± 0.3 | 11.9 ± 0.2 | 0.13 | 2.21 | 0.881 |
| Pupation time (days) | $3.5\pm0.1b$ | $3.9\pm0.1a$ | $3.9 \pm 0.1a$ | 8.89 | 2.21 | 0.002 |
| No. adults emerged | 29 | 28 | 35 | | | |
| Sex ratio ¹ | 0.55 ± 0.09 | 0.50 ± 0.09 | 0.40 ± 0.08 | 1.54** | 2 | 0.463 |
| No. pairs mated | 9 | 10 | 12 | | | |
| Preoviposition period (d) | 14.8 ± 2.1 | 18.1 ± 2.1 | 16.0 ± 1.6 | 0.72 | 2.28 | 0.494 |
| Fecundity (eggs female ⁻¹) | 102.0 ± 29.1 | 94.6 ± 31.6 | 122.1 ± 24.9 | 0.78* | 2 | 0.677 |
| No. clutches laid in 21 days | 8.3 ± 2.1 | 7.3 ± 1.9 | 9.5 ± 1.1 | 0.44 | 2.28 | 0.650 |
| Egg viability (% hatching) | 50.7 ± 7.1 | 36.9 ± 6.3 | 37.5 ± 6.7 | 1.23 | 2.28 | 0.307 |
| Eclosion time (days) | 3.0 ± 0.0 | 3.0 ± 0.0 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 2.55 | 2.25 | 0.098 |

Table 1 Mean (\pm SE) developmental and reproductive parameters of *Coleomegilla maculata* exposed as larvae to sunflower stems grown fromtreated seeds

Analysis by one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis (*) or Chi square (**)

Means followed by different letters were significantly different within rows (Bonferroni test, $\alpha = 0.05$)

¹ Proportion female

Table 2 Mean (\pm SE) reproductive parameters of *Coleomegilla maculata* adults exposed to sunflower stems grown from treated seed and thedevelopmental parameters of their offspring

| Parameter | Seed treatment | | | $F, H \text{ or } \chi^2$ | df | Р |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|
| | Untreated | Chlorantraniliprole | Thiamethoxam | | | |
| No. pairs established | 18 | 18 | 18 | | | |
| Preoviposition period (days) | 14.3 ± 1.1 ab | $16.9 \pm 1.6a$ | $12.4\pm0.6\mathrm{b}$ | 3.62 | 2.51 | 0.034 |
| Fecundity (eggs female ⁻¹) | 188.1 ± 21.8 | 152.8 ± 29.6 | 210.8 ± 26.4 | 1.25 | 2.51 | 0.294 |
| No. clutches laid in 21 days | $14.1 \pm 1.1a$ | $10.4 \pm 1.6b$ | $15.1 \pm 1.0a$ | 3.79 | 2.51 | 0.029 |
| Egg viability (% hatching) | 92.1 ± 2.3 | 88.9 ± 1.8 | 83.9 ± 5.5 | 1.30 | 2.51 | 0.283 |
| Eclosion time (days) | 3.0 ± 0.0 | 3.1 ± 0.0 | 3.0 ± 0.1 | 0.79* | 2 | 0.673 |
| Larval development time (days) | 11.7 ± 0.1 | 12.0 ± 0.1 | 11.9 ± 0.1 | 2.28 | 2.51 | 0.113 |
| Pupation time (days) | 3.1 ± 0.0 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 0.19 | 2.51 | 0.828 |
| Immature survival (%) | 96.1 ± 1.2 | 96.7 ± 1.9 | 94.4 ± 1.8 | 1.97* | 2 | 0.373 |
| No. adults emerged | 173 | 171 | 170 | | | |
| Sex ratio ¹ | 0.57 ± 0.04 | 0.53 ± 0.04 | 0.55 ± 0.04 | 0.74** | 2 | 0.692 |

Analysis by one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis (*) or Chi square (**)

Means followed by different letters were significantly different within rows (Bonferroni test, $\alpha = 0.05$)

¹ Proportion female

impaired neural processes that control pupation. For example, Vargas et al. (2013) showed that *C. maculata* larvae permitted to feed on *E. kuehniella* eggs for only 30 min daily had their total developmental time extended by 10–12 days compared to those permitted ad libitum access to food. Sublethal effects of neonicotinoid insecticides on the foraging behavior and predation rate of beneficial organisms have been previously reported (e.g., Desneux et al. 2007). Smith and Krischik (1999) confined *C. maculata*

adults with inflorescences of sunflower plants treated with imidacloprid via soil and observed significantly reduced motor activity. Imidacloprid reduced the functional response *Serangium japonicum* Chapin (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) eggs, when applied at a sublethal rate (5 ppm) via egg immersion (He et al. 2012). Thiacloprid applied to tomato at the rate recommended for control of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick)

| Parameter | Seed treatment | | | F or χ^2 | df | Р |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|------|-------|
| | Untreated | Chlorantraniliprole | Thiamethoxam | | | |
| Larval survival (%) | 67.5 ± 6.5 | 67.5 ± 6.4 | 57.5 ± 10.9 | 0.49 | 2.21 | 0.620 |
| Larval development time (days) | 11.7 ± 0.2 | 12.0 ± 0.2 | 11.9 ± 0.3 | 0.55 | 2.20 | 0.587 |
| Pupation time (days) | 4.8 ± 0.1 | 4.6 ± 0.1 | 4.8 ± 0.1 | 0.86 | 2.20 | 0.448 |
| No. adults emerged | 27 | 26 | 23 | | | |
| Sex ratio ¹ | $0.33\pm0.09\mathrm{b}$ | $0.31\pm0.09\mathrm{b}$ | $0.61 \pm 0.10a$ | 6.54* | 2 | 0.043 |
| No. pairs mated | 9 | 7 | 8 | | | |
| Preoviposition period (days) | 9.6 ± 1.1 | 10.3 ± 1.3 | 8.9 ± 1.5 | 0.27 | 2.21 | 0.767 |
| Fecundity (eggs female ⁻¹) | 251.3 ± 19.4 | 262.1 ± 23.0 | 254.0 ± 27.7 | 0.05 | 2.21 | 0.947 |
| No. days for 10 clutches | 10.6 ± 0.2 | 11.3 ± 0.5 | 11.5 ± 0.9 | 0.76 | 2.21 | 0.479 |
| Egg viability (% hatching) | $93.2 \pm 1.9a$ | $79.9 \pm 4.1b$ | $84.1\pm3.4b$ | 4.87 | 2.21 | 0.018 |
| Eclosion time (days) | $3.1\pm0.0b$ | $3.3 \pm 0.0a$ | $3.2\pm0.0a$ | 4.56 | 2.21 | 0.023 |

Table 3 Mean (\pm SE) developmental and reproductive parameters of *Hippodamia convergens* exposed as larvae to sunflower stems grown fromtreated seeds

Analysis by one-way ANOVA or Chi square (*)

Means followed by different letters were significantly different within rows (Bonferroni test or Chi square, $\alpha = 0.05$)

¹ Proportion female

Table 4 Mean (\pm SE) reproductive parameters of *Hippodamia convergens* adults exposed to sunflower stems grown from treated seed and thedevelopmental parameters of their offspring

| Parameter | Seed treatment | | | $F, H \text{ or } \chi^2$ | df | Р |
|---|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|
| | Untreated | Chlorantraniliprole | Thiamethoxam | | | |
| No. pairs mated | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| Preoviposition period (days) | 12.1 ± 0.7 | 12.4 ± 0.6 | 13.2 ± 0.3 | 1.17 | 2.39 | 0.332 |
| Fecundity (eggs female ^{-1}) | 247.6 ± 16.7 | 287.7 ± 18.8 | 264.1 ± 18.4 | 1.27 | 2.39 | 0.294 |
| No. days for 10 clutches | 13.6 ± 0.6 | 12.1 ± 0.6 | 12.5 ± 0.4 | 2.38 | 2.39 | 0.106 |
| Egg viability (% hatching) | 86.7 ± 2.8 | 82.8 ± 2.8 | 79.3 ± 5.7 | 1.02* | 2 | 0.602 |
| Eclosion time (days) | 3.2 ± 0.0 ab | $3.1 \pm 0.0b$ | $3.3\pm0.0a$ | 3.27 | 2.39 | 0.049 |
| Larval development time (days) | 11.5 ± 0.1 | 11.7 ± 0.1 | 11.7 ± 0.1 | 1.22 | 2.38 | 0.308 |
| Pupation time (days) | $4.9 \pm 0.1a$ | $4.7 \pm 0.1b$ | $4.7\pm0.1b$ | 4.55 | 2.38 | 0.017 |
| Immature survival (%) | 86.4 ± 2.9 | 85.0 ± 4.5 | 83.8 ± 2.9 | 0.77* | 2 | 0.682 |
| No. adults emerged | 121 | 119 | 109 | | | |
| Sex ratio ¹ | 0.53 ± 0.05 | 0.45 ± 0.05 | 0.46 ± 0.05 | 2.09** | 2 | 0.353 |

Analysis by one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis (*) or Chi square (**)

Means followed by different letters were significantly different within rows (Bonferroni test, $\alpha = 0.05$)

¹ Proportion female

(Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) was shown to cause reductions in the foraging behavior and predation rate of fifth instar nymphs of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae) (Martinou et al. 2014). Thiamethoxam and clothianidin both caused neurotoxic symptoms (e.g., trembling, paralysis, and loss of coordination) in larvae of *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) exposed for six hours to corn plants grown from treated seeds (Moser and Obrycki 2009). Neonicotinoids also cause negative effects on the motor functions of adult worker honeybees, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) (Williamson et al. 2014). Lethargic behavior and feeding inhibition are thus sublethal effects often associated with chlorantraniliprole intoxication. Smagghe et al. (2013) found that workers of *Bombus terrestris* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Apidae) showed lethargic behavior and reduced food consumption following chronic oral exposure to chlorantraniliprole via contaminated pollen.

Chlorantraniliprole has been reported to reduce larval feeding in herbivores such as Plutella xylostella L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), Trichoplusia ni (Hubner), Spodoptera exigua (Hubner) and Helicoverpa zea (Boddie) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Hannig et al. 2009). Oral exposure of neonate S. exigua larvae to a sublethal concentration (LC₃₀) of chlorantraniliprole prolonged larval development and increased the appearance of supernumerary instars (Lai and Su 2011). Notwithstanding, exposure to surface residues of chlorantraniliprole does not seem to affect the foraging behavior of predatory bugs, including Amphiareus constrictus (Stal), Blaptostethus pallescens Poppius, Orius tristicolor (White) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) (Pereira et al. 2014), Podisus nigrispinus (Dallas) and Supputius cincticeps (Stal) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) (Castro et al. 2013). Sunflower EFN contaminated with chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam caused no lethal effects when consumed by L. testaceipes adults, but female foraging behavior was impaired and fewer greenbug nymphs were attacked and parasitized in each bout of foraging (Moscardini et al. 2014). Imidacloprid and chlorantraniliprole also impeded the parasitism of Nilaparvata lugens (Stal) (Heteroptera: Delphacidae) by Anagrus nilaparvatae (Pang and Wang) (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) when the wasps consumed honey contaminated with these insecticides (Liu et al. 2010, 2012). Parasitism of aphids by Aphelinus certus Yasnosh (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) was reduced when the wasps host-fed on aphids that, in turn, fed on imidacloprid- and thiamethoxam-treated soybean plants (Frewin et al. 2014).

Negative impacts were also observed on the reproductive biology of both beetle species. Effects of chlorantraniliprole on reproduction, similar to those on C. maculata in this study, have been reported in other insect groups. For example, Gontijo et al. (2014a) found that female O. insidiosus exposed as nymphs to chlorantraniliprole via sunflower EFN suffered extended preoviposition periods. When newly enclosed workers of B. terrestris consumed sugar water supplemented with chlorantraniliprole at 40 mg L^{-1} , or pollen sprayed with the insecticide, fewer drones were produced per nest (Smagghe et al. 2013). Negative effects of both insecticides on H. convergens biology were delayed and only observed later in life history (reduced egg viability and longer embryonic development when larvae were exposed) or in the next generation (faster pupation time in progeny of exposed adults). In the case of thiamethoxam, increased toxicity is associated with its metabolism into clothianidin, a process which can occur within both plant (Cloyd and Bethke 2011) and insect (Nauen et al. 2003) tissues, and might account for some delayed impact. Benzidane et al. (2010) reported low toxicity of thiamethoxam to Periplaneta americana (L.) (Blattodea: Blattidae) adults was associated with a lack of breakdown into clothianidin within 24 h after its ingestion. In contrast, the primary metabolites of chlorantraniliprole are thought to have low toxicity (FAO 2008).

Sublethal concentrations of insecticides have the potential to distort sex ratios in the progeny of exposed insects. Exposure of *H. convergens* larvae to thiamethoxam resulted in a sex ratio skewed toward females when compared to the chlorantraniliprole and control treatments. In contrast, Gontijo et al. (2014b) observed a reduced sex ratio in *C. carnea* when larvae were exposed to sunflower stems grown from seed treated with thiamethoxam as compared to chlorantraniliprole. Thus, patterns of genderspecific larval susceptibility to this material appear to vary among insect groups.

Sunflower stalks alone did not appear to supply provide sufficient hydration for larvae of C. maculata. Both larvae and adults of C. maculata have a high water demand and require supplementary water when feeding on non-aphid foods (Michaud and Grant 2005). The viability of C. maculata eggs was abnormally low in the larval exposure experiment, regardless of treatment, where the only moisture available was EFN from the exised stalks, but normal in the adult exposure experiment where larvae were reared with access to water. Thus, we infer that reproduction by C. maculata was compromised in this particular trial (reduced fecundity and egg viability), even though larval survival was relatively good. It is also possible that treatment effects on egg viability, such as those evident in the analogous H. convergens experiment, were obscured in these drought-stressed larvae. In H. convergens, preoviposition periods averaged two days longer for beetles exposed as adults compared to those exposed as larvae, likely due to the fact the latter received aphid prey two to three days earlier in adult life. Interestingly, both insecticides altered egg eclosion times in H. convergens whether the beetles were exposed as larvae or adults, but only the progeny of H. convergens exposed as adults to either insecticide had faster pupation times, with no such effect evident in larvae exposed directly. Thus, the transgenerational effects of these materials do not necessarily mimic the effects of direct exposure.

Transgenerational effects of neonicotinoids have been reported in some coccinellid species. Yu et al. (2014) observed that exposure of *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) larvae to imidacloprid in laboratory microcosms reduced fecundity and egg viability in the next generation. Similar results were reported for topical exposure of *Eriopis connexa* (Gemar) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) larvae to acetamiprid that reduced subsequent egg viability (Fogel et al. 2013). Transgenerational effects of systemic insecticides have also been reported for other insect groups, for example thiamethoxam in *Bemisia* *tabaci* Gennadius (biotype B) and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westwood (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) (Liang et al. 2012) and chlorantraniliprole in *P. xylostella* (Guo et al. 2013).

Overall, treatment of sunflower seeds with chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam caused few negative effects in C. maculata and H. convergens compared to other beneficial species that have been similarly examined (Gontijo et al. 2014a, b; Moscardini et al. 2014). Because most commercial crops on the High Plains (e.g., sunflower, sorghum, soybeans and corn) are all planted with seed treatments, the beetles colonizing these crops may be regularly exposed to sublethal doses of these insecticides, especially thiamethoxam which has been widely used for more than 10 years. Increasing insecticide tolerance in natural enemies, including lady beetles, has been reported as a function of chronic insecticide exposure (Head et al. 1977; Ruberson et al. 2007; Rodrigues et al. 2013a). Rodrigues et al. (2013b) investigated lambda-cyhalothrin susceptibility in 31 populations of lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), focusing on seven species common in cotton fields, and found significant variation between species and among populations of a given species which they inferred to reflect historical field exposure of the beetles to this insecticide. Thus, the relatively robust responses of both coccinellid species in these experiments may not be representative of other geographic populations that may have different insecticide exposure histories.

In summary, our results suggest that both chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam have subtle negative effects on the developmental and reproductive biology of these important predators with the potential for cumulative impacts on population dynamics. Other authors have suggested that the widespread use of systemic insecticides poses a risk to biodiversity and ecosystem services (Biondi et al. 2012; Chagnon et al. 2014; van der Sluijs et al. 2015). The integrated approach to pest management with insecticides is predicated on economic justification prior to application, rather than prophylactic use. Although these seed treatments have been shown effective in controlling particular pests, for example rice water weevil on rice, Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus Kuschel (Hummel et al. 2014), and various thrips species on soybean seedlings (Reisig et al. 2012), many studies have now failed to identify economic benefits of prophylactic seed treatments in wheat (Royer et al. 2005) corn (Wilde et al. 2007) or soybeans (Seagraves and Lundgren 2012) and others have found them to be ineffective in reducing pests populations (Vernon et al. 2011). Field studies examining a range of nontarget arthropods, conducted over longer time frames, are therefore warranted to determine whether or not these materials are truly compatible with IPM in field crops.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to the CAPES Foundation (Brazilian Ministry of Education), the National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), and the Minas Gerais State Foundation for Research Aid (FAPEMIG) for scholarship support from CAPES—No. 3362-13-2 (VFM) and CAPES—No. 3363-13-9 (PCG). Voucher specimens are deposited under voucher number 230 in the KSU Museum of Entomological and Prairie Arthropod Research. This is Contribution No. 15-260-J of the Kansas State Experiment Station.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Baker I, Baker HG (1979) Chemical constituents of the nectars of two *Erythrina* species and their hybrid. Ann Missouri Bot Gard 66:446–450
- Benzidane Y, Touinsi S, Motte E, Jadas-Hecart A, Communal PY, Leduc L, Thany SH (2010) Effect of thiamethoxam on cockroach locomotor activity is associated with its metabolite clothianidin. Pest Manag Sci 66:1351–1359
- Biondi A, Desneux N, Siscaro G, Zappala L (2012) Using organiccertified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator *Orius laevigatus*. Chemosphere 87: 803–812
- Castro AA, Correa AS, Legaspi JC, Guedes RN, Serrao JE, Zanuncio JC (2013) Survival and behavior of the insecticide-exposed predators *Podisus nigrispinus* and *Supputius cincticeps* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). Chemosphere 93:1043–1050
- Chagnon M, Kreutzweiser D, Mitchell EA, Morrissey CA, Noome DA, Van der Sluijs JP (2014) Risks of large-scale use of systemic insecticides to ecosystem functioning and services. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3277-x
- Charlet LD, Gavloski J (2011) Insects of sunflower in the Northern Great Plains of North America. In: Floate KD (ed.) Arthropods of Canadian grasslands: inhabitants of a changing landscape. Biological Survey of Canada, pp 159–178
- Choate BA, Lundgren JG (2013) Why eat extrafloral nectar? Understanding food selection by *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Biocontrol 58:359–367
- Cloyd RA, Bethke JA (2011) Impact of neonicotinoid insecticides on natural enemies in greenhouse and interiorscape environments. Pest Manag Sci 67:3–9
- Coll M, Guershon M (2002) Omnivory in terrestrial arthropods: mixing plant and prey diets. Annu Rev Entomol 47:267–297
- Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech JM (2007) The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 52:81–106
- FAO (2008) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agphome/docu ments/Pests_Pesticides/JMPR/Report08/Chlorantraniliprole.pdf
- Fogel MN, Schneider MI, Desneux N, Gonzalez B, Ronco AE (2013) Impact of the neonicotinoid acetamiprid on immature stages of the predator *Eriopis connexa* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Ecotoxicology 22:1063–1071
- Frewin AJ, Schaafsma AW, Hallett RH (2014) Susceptibility of Aphelinus certus (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) to neonicotinoid seed treatments used for soybean pest management. J Econ Entomol 107:1450–1457
- Gontijo PC, Moscardini VF, Michaud JP, Carvalho GA (2014a) Nontarget effects of two sunflower seed treatments on *Orius*

insidiosus (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). Pest Manag Sci. doi:10. 1002/ps.3798

- Gontijo PC, Moscardini VF, Michaud JP, Carvalho GA (2014b) Nontarget effects of chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam on *Chrysoperla carnea* when employed as sunflower seed treatments. J Pest Sci 87:711–719
- Guo L, Desneux N, Sonoda S, Liang P, Han P, Gao X-W (2013) Sublethal and transgenerational effects of chlorantraniliprole on biological traits of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. Crop Prot 48:29–34
- Hannig GT, Ziegler M, Marcon PG (2009) Feeding cessation effects of chlorantraniliprole, a new anthranilic diamide insecticide, in comparison with several insecticides in distinct chemical classes and mode-of-action groups. Pest Manag Sci 65:969–974
- He Y, Zhao J, Zheng Y, Desneux N, Wu K (2012) Lethal effect of imidacloprid on the coccinellid predator *Serangium japonicum* and sublethal effects on predator voracity and on functional response to the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. Ecotoxicology 21: 1291–1300
- Head R, Neel WW, Sartor CR, Chambers H (1977) Methyl parathion and carbaryl resistance in *Chrysomela scripta* and *Coleomegilla maculate*. Bull Environ ContamToxicol 17:163–164
- Hodek I, Evans EW (2012) Food relationships. In: Hodek I, van Emden HF, Honek A (eds) Ecology and Behavior of the Ladybird Beetles. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 141–274
- Hodgson EW, Kemis M, Geisinger B (2012) Assessment of Iowa growers for insect pest management practices. J Ext 50:RIB6
- Hummel NA, Meszaros A, Ring DR, Beuzelin JM, Stout MJ (2014) Evaluation of seed treatment insecticides for management of the rice water weevil, *Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus* Kuschel (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), in commercial rice fields in Louisiana. Crop Prot 65:37–42
- Institute SAS (2008) SAS for Windows Version 90 SAS Institute. SAS Institute, Cary
- Krischik VA, Landmark AL, Heimpel GE (2007) Soil-applied imidacloprid is translocated to nectar and kills nectar-feeding *Anagyrus pseudococci* (Girault) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). Environ Entomol 36:1238–1245
- Lahm GP, Stevenson TM, Selby TP, Freudenberger JH, Cordova D, Flexner L, Bellin CA, Dubas CM, Smith BK, Hughes KA, Hollingshaus JG, Clark CE, Benner EA (2007) Rynaxypyr: a new insecticidal anthranilic diamide that acts as a potent and selective ryanodine receptor activator. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 17:6274–6279
- Lahm GP, Cordova D, Barry JD (2009) New and selective ryanodine receptor activators for insect control. Bioorgan Med Chem 17:4127–4133
- Lai T, Su J (2011) Effects of chlorantraniliprole on development and reproduction of beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner). J Pest Sci 84:381–386
- Li X, Degain BA, Harpold VS, Marcon PG, Nichols RL, Fournier AJ, Naranjo SE, Palumbo JC, Ellsworth PC (2012) Baseline susceptibilities of B- and Q-biotype *Bemisia tabaci* to anthranilic diamides in Arizona. Pest Manag Sci 68:83–91
- Liang P, Tian Y-A, Biondi A, Desneux N, Gao X-W (2012) Shortterm and transgenerational effects of the neonicotinoid nitenpyram on susceptibility to insecticides in two whitefly species. Ecotoxicology 21:1889–1898
- Liu F, Bao SW, Song Y, Lu HY, Xu JX (2010) Effects of imidacloprid on the orientation behavior and parasitizing capacity of *Anagrus nilaparvatae*, an egg parasitoid of *Nilaparvata lugens*. Biocontrol 55:473–483
- Liu F, Zhang X, Gui Q-Q, Xu Q-J (2012) Sublethal effects of four insecticides on *Anagrus nilaparvatae* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an important egg parasitoid of the rice planthopper

Nilaparvata lugens (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Crop Prot 37: 13-19

- Lundgren JG (2009a) Relationships of natural enemies and non-prey foods. Springer International, Dordrecht
- Lundgren JG (2009b) Nutritional aspects of non-prey foods in the life histories of predaceous Coccinellidae. Biol Control 5:294–305
- Lundgren JG, Seagraves MP (2011) Physiological benefits of nectar feeding by a predatory beetle. Biol J Linn Soc 104:661–669
- Maienfisch P, Angst M, Brandl F, Fischer W, Hofer D, Kayser H, Kobel W, Rindlisbacher A, Senn R, Steinemann A, Hr Widmer (2001) Chemistry and biology of thiamethoxam: a second generation neonicotinoid. Pest Manag Sci 57:901–913
- Marazzi B, Bronstein JL, Koptur S (2013) The diversity, ecology and evolution of extrafloral nectaries: current perspectives and future challenges. Ann Bot 111:1243–1250
- Martinou AF, Seraphides N, Stavrinides MC (2014) Lethal and behavioral effects of pesticides on the insect predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus*. Chemosphere 96:167–173
- Michaud JP (2013) Coccinellids in biological control. In: Hodek I, van Emden HF, Honek A (eds) Ecology and behaviour of the ladybird beetles. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 488–519
- Michaud JP, Grant AK (2005) Suitability of pollen sources for the development and reproduction of *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) under simulated drought conditions. Biol Control 32:363–370
- Michaud JP, Qureshi JA (2005) Induction of reproductive diapause in *Hippodamia convergens* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) hinges on prey quality and availability. Eur J Entomol 102:483–487
- Michaud JP, Qureshi JA (2006) Reproductive diapause in *Hippodamia convergens* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and its life history consequences. Biol Control 39:193–200
- Moscardini VF, Gontijo PC, Michaud JP, Carvalho GA (2014) Sublethal effects of chlorantraniliprole and thiamethoxam seed treatments when *Lysiphlebus testaceipes* feed on sunflower extrafloral nectar. Biocontrol 59:503–511
- Moser SE, Obrycki JJ (2009) Non-target effects of neonicotinoid seed treatments; mortality of coccinellid larvae related to zoophy-tophagy. Biol Control 51:487–492
- Nauen R, Ebbinghaus-Kintscher U, Salgado VL, Kaussmann M (2003) Thiamethoxam is a neonicotinoid precursor converted to clothianidin in insects and plants. Pestic Biochem Phys 76:55–69
- Nechols JR, Harvey TL (1998) Evaluation of a mechanical exclusion method to assess the impact of Russian wheat aphid natural enemies. In: Quisenberry SS, Peairs FP (eds) Response model for an introduced pest—the Russian wheat aphid. Thomas Say Publications, Lanham, pp 270–279
- Nuyttens D, Devarrewaere W, Verboven P, Foque D (2013) Pesticideladen dust emission and drift from treated seeds during seed drilling: a review. Pest Manag Sci 69:564–575
- Pacini E, Nepi M, Vesprini JL (2003) Nectar biodiversity: a short review. Plant Syst Evol 238:7–21
- Pemberton RW, Vandenberg NJ (1993) Extrafloral nectar feeding by ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). P Entomol Soc Wash 95:139–151
- Pereira RR, Picanço MC, Santana PA Jr, Moreira SS, Guedes RNC, Corrêa AS (2014) Insecticide toxicity and walking response of three pirate bug predators of the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta*. Agric For Entomol 16:293–301. doi:10.1111/afe.12059
- Reisig DD, Herbert DA, Malone S (2012) Impact of neonicotinoid seed treatments on thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) and soybean yield in Virginia and North Carolina. J Econ Entomol 105:884–889
- Rice ME, Wilde GE (1988) Experimental evaluation of predators and parasitoids in suppressing greenbugs (Homoptera: Aphididae) in sorghum and wheat. Environ Entomol 17:836–841

- Rodrigues ARS, Ruberson JR, Torres JB, Siqueira HÁA, Scott JG (2013a) Pyrethroid resistance and its inheritance in a field population of *Hippodamia convergens* (Guérin-Méneville) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Pestic Biochem Phys 105:135–143
- Rodrigues ARS, Spindola AF, Torres JB, Siqueira HA, Colares F (2013b) Response of different populations of seven lady beetle species to lambda-cyhalothrin with record of resistance. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 96:53–60
- Rogers CE (1985) Extrafloral nectar: entomological implications. Bull Entomol Soc Am 31:15–20
- Rogers MA, Krischik VA, Martin LA (2007) Effect of soil application of imidacloprid on survival of adult green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), used for biological control in greenhouse. Biol Control 42:172–177
- Rose USR, Lewis J, Tumlinson JH (2006) Extrafloral nectar from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) as a food source for parasitic wasps. Funct Ecol 20:67–74
- Royer TA, Walgenbach DD (1991) Predacious arthropods of cultivated sunflower in eastern South Dakota. J Kansas Entomol Soc 64:112–116
- Royer TA, Giles KL, Nyamanzi T, Hunger RM, Krenzer EG, Elliott NC, Kindler SD, Payton M (2005) Economic evaluation of the effects of planting date and application rate of imidacloprid for management of cereal aphids and barley yellow dwarf in winter wheat. J Econ Entomol 98:95–102
- Ruberson JR, Roberts P, Michaud JP (2007) Pyrethroid resistance in Georgia populations of the predator *Hippodamia convergens* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Proc Beltwide Cotton Conf 1: 361–365
- Seagraves MP, Lundgren JG (2012) Effects of neonicitinoid seed treatments on soybean aphid and its natural enemies. J Pest Sci 85:125–132
- Smagghe G, Deknopper J, Meeus I, Mommaerts V (2013) Dietary chlorantraniliprole suppresses reproduction in worker bumblebees. Pest Manag Sci 69:787–791
- Smith SF, Krischik VA (1999) Effects of systemic imidacloprid on Coleomegilla maculata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Environ Entomol 28:1189–1195

- Tomizawa M, Casida JE (2005) Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology: mechanisms of selective action. Annu Rev Pharmacol 45:247–268
- van der Sluijs JP, Simon-Delso N, Goulson D, Maxim L, Bonmatin J-M, Belzunces LP (2013) Neonicotinoids, bee disorders and the sustainability of pollinator services. Curr Opin Environ Sustain 5:293–305
- van der Sluijs JP, Amaral-Rogers V, Belzunces LP, Lexmond MFIJBv, Bonmatin J-M, Chagnon M, Downs CA, Furlan L, Gibbons DW, Giorio C, Girolami V, Goulson D, Kreutzweiser DP, Krupke C, Liess M, Long E, McField M, Mineau P, Mitchell EAD, Morrissey CA, Noome DA, Pisa L, Settele J, Simon-Delso N, Stark JD, Tapparo A, Dyck HV, Praagh Jv, Whitehorn PR, Wiemers M (2015) Conclusions of the worldwide integrated assessment on the risks of neonicotinoids and fipronil to biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22(1):148–154. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3229-5
- Vargas G, Michaud JP, Nechols JR (2013) Trajectories of reproductive effort in *Coleomegilla maculata* and *Hippodamia convergens* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) respond to variation in both income and capital. Environ Entomol 42:341–353
- Vernon RS, Herk WG, Clodius M, Harding C (2011) Crop protection and mortality of Agriotes obscurus wireworms with blended insecticidal wheat seed treatments. J Pest Sci 86:137–150
- Wackers FL, Romeis J, van Rijn P (2007) Nectar and pollen feeding by insect herbivores and implications for multitrophic interactions. Annu Rev Entomol 52:301–323
- Wilde G, Roozeboom K, Ahmad A, Claassen M, Gordon B, Heer W, Maddux L, Martin V, Evans P, Kofoid K, Long J, Schlegel A, Witt M (2007) Seed treatment effects on early season pests of corn and corn growth and yield in the absence of agricultural pests. J Agric Urban Entomol 24:177–193
- Williamson SM, Willis SJ, Wright GA (2014) Exposure to neonicotinoids influences the motor function of adult worker honeybees. Ecotoxicology 23:1409–1418
- Yu C, Lin R, Fu M, Zhou Y, Zong F, Jiang H, Lv N, Piao X, Zhang J, Liu Y, Brock TCM (2014) Impact of imidacloprid on life-cycle development of *Coccinella septempunctata* in laboratory microcosms. Ecotox Environ Saf 110:168–173