

# **Absence of parasites in non‑native fsh from a Neotropical foodplain: evidence for the enemy release hypothesis**

**Gabriela Michelan · [Atsle](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4915-0742)r Luana Lehu[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3372-2272) · Carolina Mendes Muniz · Ricardo Massato Takemot[o](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7592-2083)**

Received: 24 April 2023 / Accepted: 10 August 2023 / Published online: 18 August 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

**Abstract** The cichlid *Geophagus sveni*, native to the Tocantins-Araguaia basin, was introduced into the foodplain of the upper Paraná River and has achieved great adaptive success, with high abundances there. In order to test whether the enemy release hypothesis is happening in the species invasion process, we collected 29 individuals in the Tocantins River (native range) and 29 in the Paraná River (non-native range) to compare their parasite fauna. In the Tocantins River, 17 fish were parasitized by at least one parasitic species, totaling eight species, comprising 54 individuals in total, while in the non-native fsh from the Paraná River, we found only one representative of a parasitic species. We found diferences in the weight-length relationship, where individuals from the Paraná River showed a greater investment in

G. Michelan ( $\boxtimes$ ) · R. M. Takemoto Programa de Pós-graduação em Biologia Comparada – PGB, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, Maringá, PR C.P 87020-900, Brazil e-mail: gabimichelan@hotmail.com

A. L. Lehun · R. M. Takemoto Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais – PEA, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, Maringá, PR C.P 87020-900, Brazil

C. M. Muniz · R. M. Takemoto Núcleo de Pesquisa em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura – NUPELIA, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, Maringá, PR C.P 87020-900, Brazil

weight compared to individuals from the Tocantins River. Furthermore, we observed a signifcant positive relationship between weight and abundance of parasites in native fsh. Our results indicate that the hypothesis of enemy release may be occurring in *G. sveni,* as the quantitative diference in endoparasites between sites shows that hosts from the Paraná River obtained a competitive advantage when arriving at the site.

**Keywords** *Geophagus sveni* · Paraná River · Tocantins River · Biological invasions · Endoparasites

## **Introduction**

When fish species are introduced to a new environment, there is a possibility that their parasites will follow (see Taraschewski [2006\)](#page-8-0). If the parasites accompany their host, there are four possibilities of parasite-host association in cases of species introduction, and they will not always be able to adapt successfully to their new environment (Salgado-Maldonado and Pineda-López [2003;](#page-8-1) Rahel and Olden [2008\)](#page-8-2). The success or failure of parasites in a new environment is determined by various factors such as the parasite's biological traits (specifcity, life cycle, and transmission) and the ecological traits of the host (Font [2003](#page-7-0)). Furthermore, the success rates of parasites are infuenced by various biotic and abiotic factors such as the presence or absence of natural enemies, competition with local species for resources, and the climatic conditions of the region and habitat (Vignon and Sasal [2010;](#page-8-3) Blakeslee et al. [2013](#page-6-0)).

The enemy release hypothesis explains why nonnative species typically exhibit lower parasite intensity and prevalence compared to native species that share the same habitat, as they tend to lose their para-sites during the invasion process (Torchin et al. [2003](#page-8-4); Goedknegt et al. [2015;](#page-7-1) Sarabeev et al. [2017](#page-8-5); Tierney et al. [2020\)](#page-8-6). The hypothesis is based on the idea that natural enemies, such as parasites, play a critical role in controlling the populations of their host species. These enemies are often specialized to a few host species in their local environment. As a result, when species are introduced to a new area, they typically do not bring their natural enemies with them, leading to a situation where the pressure from natural enemies on the invasive species may be signifcantly lower than that experienced by native species in the area. This is because the natural enemies in the new area are not adapted to infect the invasive species and are instead specialized to local species (Keane and Crawley [2002](#page-7-2); Richardson and Pyšek [2007\)](#page-8-7).

Parasites can pose a threat to their hosts, considering that they can interfere in various ways, directly or indirectly, with the life of the fsh they parasitize. It is known that, naturally, parasites require a very high energy demand from their hosts (Sures [2008\)](#page-8-8), which results in a lower energy rate to escape predators and, consequently, survive (Timi and Poulin [2020](#page-8-9)). In addition, many parasites have the ability to cause behavioral changes and afect growth and nutritional status, and can intervene in the success of intra and interspecifc competitions (Minchella and Scott [1991](#page-7-3); Iyaji and Eyo [2008;](#page-7-4) Overstreet [2021\)](#page-8-10). These and other factors, resulting from the action of parasites, directly alter the abundance and diversity of organisms in the environment (Lacerda et al. [2012](#page-7-5)).

The upper Paraná River foodplain is a diverse but highly invaded aquatic ecosystem in Brazil (Smith et al. [2005;](#page-8-11) Ota et al. [2018](#page-8-12); Bueno et al. [2021](#page-7-6)), where several non-native species coexist with phylogenetically related native species. The species *Geophagus sveni* Lucinda, Lucena & Assis, 2010, (Cichlidae), native to the Tocantins-Araguaia sub-basin, is also considered non-native in this environment (Moretto et al. [2008](#page-8-13); Lucinda et al. [2010;](#page-7-7) Gois et al. [2015](#page-7-8); Ota et al. [2018;](#page-8-12) Oliveira and Graça [2020](#page-8-14)), having its frst record in the foodplain in the early 2000s, when it was still erroneously identifed as *G. proximus* (Vidotto and Carvalho [2007;](#page-8-15) Moretto et al. [2008;](#page-8-13) Ximenes et al. [2021](#page-9-0)). Its arrival was through fshkeeping and was facilitated by the reservoirs located upstream of the foodplain, which served as a source of propagules (i.e., stepping stones to invasion). In addition, the high-water transparency, the main variable that explains the abundance of *G. sveni*, facilitated its dissemination (Graça and Pavanelli [2007](#page-7-9); Moretto et al. [2008;](#page-8-13) Gois et al. [2015](#page-7-8); Thomaz et al. [2015\)](#page-8-16).

Despite being a well-established species in the foodplain, the parasitic fauna of *G. sveni* has not been given due consideration when examining the mechanisms and impacts of its invasion. It is noteworthy that parasites are regarded as a critical response variable for assessing ecosystem health, and disregarding them can lead to the loss of over 70% of biological information (Galli et al. [2005;](#page-7-10) Lymbery et al. [2014;](#page-7-11) Ortega et al. [2015a](#page-8-17), [b](#page-8-18)). In line with the enemy release hypothesis, the absence of parasites and other natural enemies may give certain non-native species a competitive advantage, aiding in their demographic expansion and boosting their likelihood of successful invasion (Torchin et al. [2003;](#page-8-4) Torchin and Mitchell [2004;](#page-8-19) Torchin and Laferty [2009\)](#page-8-20).

Thus, we evaluated the endoparasite fauna of *G. sveni* populations from the Tocantins River sub-basin and the upper Paraná River foodplain in order to compare them. Considering that *G. sveni* is a wellestablished species in the invaded environment, we hypothesize that this success is associated with the process of enemy release, which leads to improved condition of individuals in these locations. Thus, we expect to fnd diferences in endoparasite composition between populations collected in the Tocantins and Paraná rivers, with a higher prevalence of these endoparasites in individuals found in the basin of origin. Furthermore, it is expected that body condition of individuals found in the Paraná River will be greater than that of individuals found in the Tocantins River.

### **Material and methods**

#### Study area

The Tocantins River originates in the state of Goiás, in the central region of Brazil, and flows northward through diferent sedimentary basins for 2,500 km, passing through the states of Tocantins, Maranhão and Pará (Santos et al. [2004](#page-8-21)) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). The ichthyofauna is closely related to the Amazon basin, especially in the lower course (Goulding et al. [2003](#page-7-12)), but the Tocantins River basin is notable for containing a high degree of endemism and high diversity (Santos et al. [2004;](#page-8-21) Lucinda et al. [2007](#page-7-13); Abell et al. [2008](#page-6-1); Bertaco and Carvalho [2010;](#page-6-2) Carvalho et al. [2010\)](#page-7-14) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)).

The Paraná River is the main river forming the La Plata Basin and the second largest in length in South America, with approximately 3,089 km (Agostinho et al. [1999](#page-6-3)). In the upper part, above the Itaipu Hydroelectric Plant, lies the foodplain of the upper Paraná River, and is considered the last remaining stretch of this river (230 km in length) within Brazil (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). This floodplain is located on the west bank of the river, composed of diferent biotopes (microhabitats) such as foodplain lakes, channels, and rivers with distinct degrees of connectivity. In addition, the ichthyofauna of the region presents high diversity, being composed of more than 211 recorded species (Gubiani et al. [2007;](#page-7-15) Ota et al. [2018](#page-8-12)).



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1 a** The upper Paraná River foodplain and (**b**) Tocantins-Araguaia Hydrographic Basin; (**\***) collection points. From Jaime Luiz Lopes Pereira

Collection, processing and identifcation of fsh and parasites

Fish were captured with 2.4; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 10; 12; 14 and 16 cm nets between non-adjacent nodes, 10-m trawl nets with 2 mm mesh thickness and rods with 4/0 and 7/0 cm hooks. The nets and hooks remained at each sampling point for a period of 24 h, being searched at dawn (08:00) at dusk (16:00) and at night (22:00). The collected hosts were anesthetized, euthanized, and taxonomically identifed. Then, standard length (cm) and total weight (g) were measured. The hosts were sacrifced by medullary sectioning, in accordance with the Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA No. 1420221018) of the State University of Maringá. A total of 29 specimens of *G. sveni* were collected in the Tocantins River in the city of Porto Nacional (10°42′25.9" S 48°25′14.0" W), where it is native, in 2018, and 29 specimens in the Paraná River in the city of Porto Rico (22°45'S and 53°16'W) in 2018 and 2019.

The fish were gutted and the gastrointestinal tract was analyzed with the aid of the optical stereomicroscope to search for the parasites. Parasite collection, preservation and preparation procedures were carried out according to Eiras et al. [\(2000](#page-7-16)) and parasite identifcation was based on the works of Yamaguti [\(1961](#page-9-1)), Travassos et al. [\(1969](#page-8-22)), Moravec ([1998\)](#page-7-17) and Vicente and Pinto [\(1999](#page-8-23)), as well as the use of identifcation keys, reference guides and updated articles in the area.

#### Data analysis

To compare the parasite fauna of *G. sveni* individuals from the Tocantins River and the Paraná River we used the metrics of prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundance of parasite infection according to Bush et al. ([1997\)](#page-7-18). Prevalence is the number of hosts infected by a given parasite species, divided by the number of hosts analyzed and multiplied by 100 (result expressed as a percentage %). The mean abundance represents the total number of parasites of a given species divided by the total number of fsh examined. Finally, the average intensity of infestation is the total number of parasites observed of a given species, divided by the number of hosts infected with this same parasite species.

Since the presence of parasites in the fsh can afect the investment of individuals in size, we evaluated the length–weight relationship between the sampled sites using an ANCOVA. For this, we used weight as a response variable, length as a predictor variable and sampled sites (*i.e.*, Rio Tocantins and Rio Paraná) as a covariate. Before performing the analyses, we tested the assumption of parallelism (homogeneous slope between sites) by evaluating the interaction between locations and length (García-Berthou and Moreno-Amich [1993\)](#page-7-19). The absence of interaction between the variables allows the comparison of average weights in a given size between sampled sites.

To assess whether the abundance of parasites afects the weight of *G. sveni* individuals and whether there is a diference in the weight of individuals between the sampled sites, we used a generalized linear model (GLM) with Gaussian distribution. For this purpose, weight was used as a response variable, and parasite abundance and sampled sites as predictor variables. Statistical procedures were performed in R software version 4.0.4 (R Core Team [2021](#page-8-24)) with the packages vegan (Oksanen et al. [2019](#page-8-25)), ggpubr (Kas-sambara [2020\)](#page-7-20) and ggplot2 (Wickham [2016\)](#page-9-2).

## **Results**

Twenty-nine fsh were collected at each site, totaling 58 individuals of *Geophagus sveni* analyzed. Of these, 17 were parasitized in the Tocantins River and only one in the Paraná River (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). In total, 54 endoparasite specimens were collected from the Tocantins River and one specimen from the Paraná River. Most parasites were represented by adult stages and only one genus in larval stage (*Raphidascaris*) was found in both collection sites. Consequently, the parasitological indices of prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundance were much higher for the hosts collected in the Tocantins River (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The parasites of the phyla Nematoda and Acanthocephala stand out because 51.7% and 17.22% of the fish were parasitized by these groups, respectively.

Individuals of *Geophagus sveni* collected in the Tocantins River had higher mean weight and length  $(92.07 \pm 42.86 \text{ g}$  and  $14.38 \pm 2.37 \text{ cm})$  than individuals collected in the Paraná River  $(85.7 \pm 45.42 \text{ g and})$  $13.2 \pm 2.67$  cm). The assumption of parallelism was met for the ANCOVA analysis, where the interaction

Parasites	Prevalence $(\%)$		Mean intensity $(\pm SD)$		Mean abundance $(\pm SD)$	
	<b>Tocantins River</b>	Paraná River	<b>Tocantins River</b>	Paraná River	<b>Tocantins River</b>	Paraná River
Acanthocephala						
Neoechinorhynchus sp.	10.34	$\Omega$	$2.33 \pm 0.54$	$\overline{0}$	$0.24 \pm 0.73$	$\overline{0}$
Acanthocephala sp.1	3.44	$\theta$		$\Omega$	$0.03 \pm 0.18$	0
Acanthocephala sp.2	3.44	$\theta$		$\theta$	$0.03 \pm 0.18$	0
Cestoda						
Proteocephalidae sp. (cyst)	6.89	$\Omega$		$\Omega$	$0.06 + 0.25$	$\mathbf{0}$
Nematoda						
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp.1 (larvae)	3.44	$\Omega$		$\theta$	$0.03 \pm 0.18$	
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp.2 (larvae)	$\theta$	3.44	$\Omega$		$\Omega$	$0.03 \pm 0.18$
Nematoda sp.1	17.24	$\Omega$	$3.6 \pm 1.34$	$\theta$	$0.62 \pm 1.47$	$\mathbf{0}$
Nematoda sp.2	27.58	$\Omega$	$2.62 \pm 1.8$	$\Omega$	$0.72 \pm 1.46$	$\Omega$
Nematoda sp.3	3.44	$\theta$		$\theta$	$0.03 \pm 0.18$	$\mathbf{0}$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** Species of parasites and their parasitological indices found in the host *Geophagus sveni* in the Tocantins (native range) and Paraná (non-native range) rivers

between location and standard length did not show a signifcant efect (*i.e.*, both locations show the same variation in the proportion between weight and length). On the other hand, the single effect of standard length and location showed signifcant efects. The significant positive effect of standard length on weight is already an expected result, since in the weight-length relationship, larger individuals have greater weight. The signifcant efect of the sampling site shows that, for a given size, individuals of *G. sveni* from Paraná have greater weight, compared to individuals from the Tocantins River (*i.e.*, they have a greater investment in growth) (Table [2](#page-4-1), Fig. [2\)](#page-4-2).

The results of the GLM showed that the abundance of parasites has a signifcant negative efect on the weight of individuals of *G. sveni*, that is, the greater the abundance of parasites, the lower the weight of

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2** Summary of the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) of the weight-length relationship of *Geophagus sveni* between individuals collected in Tocantins (native range) and Paraná (non-native range) rivers

	Df	н	$P$ -value
Standard length		291.381	$0.000*$
Site sampling		5.185	$0.027*$
Standard length x Site sampling		0.955	0.333

\* Statistically signifcant correlations (*P*≤*0.05*) are indicate in boldface type

the individual (Table [3](#page-5-0)). On the other hand, the location did not show a signifcant efect, which can be explained by the presence of individuals of greater standard length in the Tocantins River, as mentioned above.

#### **Discussion**

The results presented suggest that the enemy release hypothesis is occurring in *Geophagus sveni.* The diference in parasitological indices, especially the prevalence of endoparasites, recorded between sites,



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig. 2** Weight-length relationship of *Geophagus sveni* between individuals collected in Tocantins (i.e., native range) and Paraná (non-native range) rivers. The gray areas represent the standard error

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3** Result of the generalized linear model (GLM) with Gaussian distribution to explain whether the abundance of parasites afected the weight of *Geophagus sveni* individuals and whether there is a diference in the weight of individuals between the sampled locations

8.098	$0.000*$
2.978	$0.003*$
12.272	0.067
	10.522 $-3.059$ 1.865

R-squared: 0.158, D.f.: 52; F-statistic: 4.887; *p-value*: 0.011

\* Statistically signifcant correlations (*P*≤*0.05*) are indicate in boldface type

demonstrates that the hosts from the Paraná River may have obtained a competitive advantage upon arrival at the site due to the absence of endoparasites. The low species richness in *G. sveni* at the invaded site was already recorded by Lehun et al. [\(2020](#page-7-21)), since only *Ascocotyle* sp. and *Raphidascaris* (*Sprentascaris*) sp. were found in this host, suggesting that endoparasite species may have been lost in the invasion process. It is important to consider that *G. sveni* was introduced by the aquarium trade, likely the introduction propagule were treated against parasites (Harms [1996](#page-7-22)). Another possibility is that the dispersal jump that *G. sveni* perceived, by chance, only included a small group of individuals that did not have parasites or were less infected.

According to Gendron et al. ([2012\)](#page-7-23), studies on the enemy release hypothesis have typically quantifed the phenomenon by comparing levels of species parasitism between native and introduced areas (such as in studies by Torchin et al. [2001;](#page-8-26) Kvach and Stepien [2008;](#page-7-24) Blakeslee et al. [2009](#page-6-4)). These studies suggest that diferences in parasitism may be infuenced by a range of factors, including local habitat characteristics, community structure, and other environmental variables (Colautti et al. [2004](#page-7-25)). The duration of the enemy release phenomenon is another factor that may impact its level of impact. As time passes, new associations may form between parasites and hosts in the invaded range, resulting in a gradual recruitment of parasites by introduced hosts (Cornell and Hawkins [1993;](#page-7-26) Krakau et al. [2006](#page-7-27)). Therefore, the release of parasites in this study may be a transient and temporary situation. Additionally, Roche et al. ([2010\)](#page-8-27) note that a decrease in the number of parasite species could lead to reduced competition among diferent

parasite species within individual hosts, resulting in higher abundances of the remaining parasite species.

Introduced species may indeed accumulate parasites, but the number of taxa recruited within the period that is assessed may be less than half the number found in their native range (Torchin and Mitchell [2004](#page-8-19); Kvach and Skóra [2007\)](#page-7-28). Following this hypothesis, introduced species are expected to accumulate native parasites over time: the longer the invader is established, the more native parasites it should acquire (Blaustein et al. [1983](#page-7-29); Torchin and Lafferty  $2009$ ). Torchin et al.  $(2001)$  $(2001)$  report that the rate of accumulation of a parasite species can occur every hundred years in introduced populations of *Carcinus maenas*. However, Gendron et al. ([2012\)](#page-7-23) provides additional empirical evidence in support of the release release hypothesis by demonstrating that *Neogobius melanostomus*, while experiencing a loss of its parasites, this reduction in parasitism rate in a non-native species may be short-lived.

The parasites found in this study are intestinal and the decrease in the richness of endoparasites of *G. sveni* in the Paraná River can be explained by the fact that they have complex life cycles, making them dependent on more than one host to fnish it and, consequently, reproduce. It may be that, intermediate and defnitive hosts do not occur in the new environment (Kvach and Stepien [2008\)](#page-7-24), moreover, native parasites do not share a coevolutive history with the introduced host, being considered unsuitable to complete their cycle. We assume that helminth species are less aggregated in communities in an invasive population because the host individual has an approximately equal negative efect on any parasite species, due to the fact that native parasites do not have specifc adaptations to the new host.

The results indicated that the weight-length relationship of fsh from the Tocantins River was signifcantly lower than that from the Paraná River. The abundance of parasitic species found in native fsh reinforces that the absence of parasites in the introduced location benefted non-native fsh and that they managed to overcome one of the biotic barriers in the invasion. High water transparency (as is the case in the Paraná River) may also have played an important role in *G. sveni* physiology and/or ftness in its introduced range, as this cichlid tends to be visually oriented during food acquisition and in the processes surrounding its reproductive cycle (Moretto et al. [2008;](#page-8-13) Gois et al. [2015\)](#page-7-8). This abiotic characteristic of the place also favored the colonization of other invasive fsh, such as the *Cichla kelberi*, which obtained advantages in the search for food and reproductive partners (Espínola et al. [2010](#page-7-30)).

The absence or drastic decrease of parasites, causes the hosts to be able to direct energy to another factor that favors them (Robar et al. [2011](#page-8-28)), especially during the process of sojourn and establishment to the new environment. We found that the individuals collected in the Paraná River showed higher investment in weight, compared to individuals from the Tocantins River, possibly, this may be a result of the adaptive success of the species. Gois et al.  $(2015)$  $(2015)$  in a study conducted in the Paraná River, suggest that there may be a niche overlap between *G. sveni* and *Satanoperca pappaterra* (native cichlid of the region), given the phylogenetic and morphological proximity of the species, in addition to the similarity in the diet, therefore, it is likely that the two species show similar behaviors in resource acquisition, causing strong competitive interactions to develop under such a scenario. Furthermore, it was observed that the strong competitive interactions between *G. sveni* and *S. pappaterra*, indicate that the invasive species may exhibit a more effective foraging strategy relative to the native, which resulted in a lack of niche packing (change in resource use to avoid competition) by *S. pappaterra*. One of the assumptions of the enemy release hypothesis holds that, if proven, the host may beneft from the situation by reaching larger sizes, both in length and population density, when compared to individuals present in the native area (Ondračková et al. [2010](#page-8-29); Torchin et al. [2001](#page-8-26)).

The data presented in this paper correspond to the frst study to test an ecological theory of invasion (sensu Keane and Crawley [2002\)](#page-7-2) for *G. sveni*, comparing its endoparasitic fauna in two locations, native and introduced, in Brazil. The results obtained from the study provide potential explanation for the success and abundance of species founded by the Paraná River, due to the low prevalence of parasites, considered natural enemies.

**Acknowledgements** We are grateful to everyone who assisted us in the feld and laboratory during the collection of fsh, especially Dr. Eliane da Silva Fernandes and the entire team at the Federal University of Tocantins: Dr. Elineide Eugênio Marques, Dr. Thiago Nilton Alves Pereira and MsC. Alice Ferreira Araujo.

**Author contribution** Gabriela Michelan: Host collection and screening, analysis of parasite, general structure of the manuscript and discussion of results. Atsler Luana Lehun: General structure of the manuscript, statistical analysis and discussion of the results. Carolina Mendes Muniz: statistical analysis. Ricardo Massato Takemoto: supervised the research and contributed to the discussion and text review. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científco e Tecnológico – CNPq with a Scientifc Initiation scholarship and by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – CAPES with two scholarships (one for a master's degree and one for a doctorate). Author GM received research support from CNPq (process: 118649/2017–5) and authors ALL and CMM received research support from CAPES (processes: 88882.344478/2019–01 and 88881.361907/2019–01, respectively).

**Data availability** The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** All procedures followed the guidelines for capture, handling, and care of animals of the Ethics Committee on Animal/ Universidade Estadual de Maringá (CEUA Nº 5073090620).

**Financial interests** There is no financial interest.

**Competing Interests** The authors have no fnancial interests.

**Confict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Abell R, Thieme ML, Revenga C et al (2008) Freshwater ecoregions of the world: a new map of biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. Bioscience 58:403–414. <https://doi.org/10.1641/B580507>
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Agostinho AA, Júlio-Jr HF, Lowe-McConnell RH (1999) Estudos ecológicos de comunidades de peixes tropicais. Edusp, Sao Paulo, pp 374–400
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Bertaco VA, Carvalho FR (2010) New species of *Hasemania*  (Characiformes: Characidae) from central Brazil, with comments on the endemism of upper rio Tocantins basin, Goiás State. Neotrop Ichthyol 8:27–32
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Blakeslee AMH, Keogh CL, Byers JE et al (2009) Diferential escape from parasites by two competing introduced crabs. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 393:83–96. [https://doi.org/10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08225) [meps08225](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08225)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Blakeslee AMH, Fowler AE, Keogh CL (2013) Marine invasions and parasite escape: updates and new perspectives.

Adv Mar Biol 66:87–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-408096-6.00002-X) [0-12-408096-6.00002-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-408096-6.00002-X)

- <span id="page-7-29"></span>Blaustein AR, Kuris AM, Alio JJ (1983) Pest and parasite species richness problems. Am Nat 122:556–566
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Bueno ML, Magalhães ALB, Andrade Neto FR et al (2021) Alien fsh fauna of southeastern Brazil: species status, introduction pathways, distribution and impacts. Biol Invasions 23:3021–3034. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-021-02564-x) [s10530-021-02564-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-021-02564-x)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Bush AO, Laferty KD, Lotz JM et al (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. J Parasitol 83:575–583
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Carvalho FR, Bertaco VA, Jerep FC (2010) *Hemigrammus tocantinsi*: a new species from the upper rio Tocantins basin, Central Brazil (Characiformes: Characidae). Neotrop Ichthyol 8:247–254
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Colautti R, Ricciardi A, Grigorovitch IA (2004) Is invasion success explained by the enemy release hypothesis? Ecol Lett 7:721–733. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00616.x) [0248.2004.00616.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00616.x)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Cornell HV, Hawkins BA (1993) Accumulation of native parasitoid species on introduced herbivores: a comparison of "hosts-as-natives" and "host-as-invaders." Am Nat 141:847–865
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Eiras JDC, Takemoto RM, Pavanelli GC (2000) Métodos de estudo e técnicas laboratoriais em parasitologia de peixes, pp 171–171
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>Espínola LA, Minte-Vera CV, Júlio HF (2010) Invasibility of reservoirs in the Paraná Basin, Brazil, to *Cichla kelberi* Kullander and Ferreira, 2006. Biol Invasions 12:1889. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9657-3>
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Font WF (2003) The global spread of parasites: what do Hawaiian streams tell us? Bioscience 53:1061–1067. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2003\)053\[1061:](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[1061:TGSOPW]2.0.CO;2) [TGSOPW\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[1061:TGSOPW]2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Galli P, Stefani F, Benzoni F et al (2005) Introduction of alien host–parasite complexes in a natural environment and the symbiota concept. Hydrobiologia 548:293–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-3645-0>
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>García-Berthou E, Moreno-Amich R (1993) Multivariate analysis of covariance in morphometric studies of the reproductive cycle. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 50:1394–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f93-159>
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Gendron AD, Marcogliese DJ, Thomas M (2012) Invasive species are less parasitized than native competitors, but for how long? The case of the round goby in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Basin. Biol Invasions 14:367–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0083-y>
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Goedknegt MA, Feis ME, Wegner KM et al (2015) Parasites and marine invasions: ecological and evolutionary perspectives. J Sea Res 113:11–27. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2015.12.003) [1016/j.seares.2015.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2015.12.003)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Gois KS, Pelicice FM, Gomes LC et al (2015) Invasion of an Amazonian cichlid in the upper Paraná River: facilitation by dams and decline of a phylogenetically related species. Hydrobiologia 746:401–413. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2061-8) [1007/s10750-014-2061-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2061-8)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Goulding M, Barthem FEJG, Ferreira EJG (2003) The Smithsonian atlas of the Amazon. Smithsonian books, Washington DC
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Graça JW, Pavanelli SC (2007) Peixes da planície de inundação do alto Rio Paraná e áreas adjacentes. Maringá, Eduem, pp 210–212
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Gubiani EA, Gomes LC, Agostinho AA et al (2007) Persistence of fsh populations in the upper Paraná River: efects of water regulation by dams. Ecol Freshw Fish 16:191– 197. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2006.00211.x>
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Harms CA (1996) Treatments for parasitic diseases of aquarium and ornamental fsh. Semin Avian Exot Pet Med 5:54–63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1055-937X\(96\)80018-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1055-937X(96)80018-1)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Iyaji FO, Eyo JE (2008) Parasites and their freshwater fsh host. Biol Res 6:328–338
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Kassambara A (2020) Ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based publication ready plots. R package version 0.4.0. [https://CRAN.R](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr)[project.org/package=ggpubr](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Keane RM, Crawley MJ (2002) Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. Trends Ecol Evol 17:164–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(02\)02499-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02499-0)
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Krakau M, Thieltges DW, Reise K (2006) Native parasites adopt introduced bivalves of the North Sea. Biol Invasions 8:919–925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-4734-8>
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>Kvach Y, Skóra KE (2007) Metazoa parasites of the invasive round goby *Apollonia melanostoma* (*Neogobius melanostomus*) (Pallas) (Gobiidae: Osteichthyes) in the Gulf of Gdańsk, Baltic Sea, Poland: a Comparison with the Black Sea. Parasitol Res 100:767–774. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0311-z) [s00436-006-0311-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0311-z)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Kvach Y, Stepien CA (2008) Metazoan parasites of introduced round and tubenose gobies in the Great Lakes: support for the "enemy release hypothesis." J Great Lakes Res 34:23–35. [https://doi.org/10.3394/0380-1330\(2008\)34\[23:](https://doi.org/10.3394/0380-1330(2008)34[23:MPOIRA]2.0.CO;2) [MPOIRA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3394/0380-1330(2008)34[23:MPOIRA]2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Lacerda ACF, Takemoto RM, Poulin R et al (2012) Parasites of the fsh *Cichla piquiti* (Cichlidae) in native and invaded brazilian basins: release not from the enemy, but from its efects. Parasitol Res 112:279–288. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-012-3135-z) [1007/s00436-012-3135-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-012-3135-z)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Lehun AL, Hasuike WT, Silva JOS et al (2020) Checklist of parasites in fsh from the upper Paraná River foodplain: an update. Rev Bras Parasitol Vet 29:e008720. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-29612020066) [org/10.1590/S1984-29612020066](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-29612020066)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Lucinda PHF, Freitas IS, Soares AB et al (2007) Fish, Lajeado reservoir, rio Tocantins drainage, state of Tocantins, Brazil. Check List 3:70–83. <https://doi.org/10.15560/3.2.70>
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Lucinda PHF, Lucena CAS, Assis NC (2010) Two new species of cichlid fsh genus *Geophagus* Heckel from the Rio Tocantins drainage (Perciformes: Cichlidae). Zootaxa 2429:29–42.<https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2429.1.2>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Lymbery AJ, Morine M, Kanani HG et al (2014) Co-invaders: the efects of alien parasites on native hosts. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 3:171–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.04.002)[paw.2014.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.04.002)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Minchella DJ, Scott ME (1991) Parasitism: a cryptic determinant of animal community structure. Trends Ecol Evol 6:250– 254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(91\)90071-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(91)90071-5)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Moravec F (1998) Nematodes of freshwater fshes of the Neotropical region. In: (ed) List of nematodes of freshwater fshes in the Neotropical Region. Academia, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, pp 49
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Moretto EM, Marciano FT, Velludo MR et al (2008) The recent occurrence, establishment and potential impact of *Geophagus proximus* (Cichlidae: Perciformes) in the Tietê River reservoirs: an Amazonian fsh species introduced in the Paraná Basin (Brazil). Biodivers Conserv 17:3013–3025. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9413-5>
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M et al (2019) Vegan: Community ecology package. Retrieved from [https://CRAN.R](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan)[project.org/package=vegan](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Oliveira RC, da Graça WJ (2020) Encephalon gross morphology of the cichlid *Geophagus sveni* (Cichlidae: Geophagini): comparative description and ecological perspectives. J Fish Biol 97:1363–1374. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14495) [jfb.14495](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14495)
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>Ondračková M, Francová K, Dávidová M et al (2010) Condition status and parasite infection of *Neogobius kessleri* and *N. melanostomus* (Gobiidae) in their native and nonnative area of distribution of the Danube River. Ecol Res 25:857–866. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-010-0716-0>
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Ortega JCG, Júlio HF Jr, Gomes LC et al (2015a) Fish farming as the main driver of fsh introductions in Neotropical reservoirs. Hydrobiologia 746:147–158. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2025-z) [1007/s10750-014-2025-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2025-z)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>Ortega N, Price W, Campbell T et al (2015b) Acquired and introduced macroparasites of the invasive Cuban treefrog, *Osteopilus septentrionalis*. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 4:379–384.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2015.10.002>
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Ota RR, Deprá GC, Graça WJ et al (2018) Peixes da planície de inundação do alto rio Paraná e áreas adjacentes: revised, annotated and updated. Neotrop Ichthyol 16. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20170094) [org/10.1590/1982-0224-20170094](https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20170094)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Overstreet RM (2021) Parasitic diseases of fshes and their relationship with toxicants and other environmental factors. In: (ed) Pathobiology of marine and estuarine organisms, CRC press, pp 111–156
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Rahel FJ, Olden JD (2008) Assessing the efects of climate change on aquatic invasive species. Conserv Biol 22:521– 533. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00950.x>
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Richardson DM, Pyšek P (2007) Elton, CS 1958: The ecology of invasions by animals and plants. London: Methuen. Prog Phys Geogr 31:659-666. [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133307087089) [0309133307087089](https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133307087089)
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>Robar N, Murray DL, Burness G (2011) Effects of parasites on host energy expenditure: the resting metabolic rate stalemate. Can J Zool 89:1146–1155.<https://doi.org/10.1139/z11-084>
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>Roche DG, Leung B, Franco EFM et al (2010) Higher parasite richness, abundance and impact in native versus introduced cichlid fishes. Int J Parasitol 40:1525-1530. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.05.007) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.05.007)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Salgado-Maldonado G, Pineda-López RF (2003) The Asian fsh tapeworm *Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*: a potential threat to native freshwater fsh species in Mexico. Biol Invasions 5:261–268. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10261](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026189331093) [89331093](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026189331093)
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>Santos GMD, Juras AA, Mérona BD et al (2004) Peixes do baixo rio Tocantins. 20 anos depois da Usina Hidrelétrica Tucuruí.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Sarabeev V, Balbuena JÁ, Morand S (2017) Testing the enemy release hypothesis: abundance and distribution patterns of helminth communities in grey mullets (Teleostei: Mugilidae) reveal the success of invasive species. Int J Parasitol 47:687–696. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.05.006) [ijpara.2017.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.05.006)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Smith WS, Espíndola ELG, Rocha O (2005) As introduções de espécies de peixes exóticos e alóctones em bacias hidrográfcas brasileiras. In: Rocha O, Espíndola ELG, Fenerich-Verani N et al (eds) Espécies Invasoras de Águas Doces: estudo de caso e propostas de manejo. Editora da Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, pp 25–44
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Sures B (2008) Host-parasite interactions in polluted environments. J Fish Biol 73:2133–2142. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02057.x) [1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02057.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02057.x)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Taraschewski H (2006) Hosts and parasites as aliens. J Helminthol 80:99–128.<https://doi.org/10.1079/JOH2006364>
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Thomaz SM, Kovalenko KE, Havel JE et al (2015) Aquatic invasive species: general trends in the literature and introduction to the special issue. Hydrobiologia 746:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2150-8>
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Tierney PA, Cafrey JM, Matthews SM, Costantini E, Holland CV (2020) Evidence for enemy release in invasive common dace *Leuciscus leuciscus* in Ireland: a helminth community survey and systematic review. J Helminthol 94:1– 10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X20000759>
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Timi JT, Poulin R (2020) Why ignoring parasites in fsh ecology is a mistake. Int J Parasitol 50:755–761. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.04.007) [org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.04.007)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Torchin ME, Laferty KD (2009) Escape from parasites. In: Rilov G, Crooks JA (eds) Biological invasions in marine ecosystems. Springer, Berlin, pp 203–214
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>Torchin ME, Mitchell CE (2004) Parasites, pathogens, and invasions by plants and animals. Front Ecol Environ 2:183–190. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2004\)002\[0183:PPAIBP\]](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2004)002[0183:PPAIBP]2.0.CO;2) [2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2004)002[0183:PPAIBP]2.0.CO;2)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>Torchin ME, Laferty KD, Kuris AM (2001) Release from parasites as natural enemies: increased performance of a globally introduced marine crab. Biol Invasions 3:333– 345. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015855019360>
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Torchin ME, Laferty KD, Dobson AP et al (2003) Introduced species and their missing parasites. Nature 421:628–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01346>
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>Travassos L, Freitas JF, Kohn A (1969) Trematódeos do Brasil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 67:1–886
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>Vicente JJ, Pinto RM (1999) Nematóides do Brasil: nematóides de peixes: atualização: 1985–1998. Rev Bras De Zool 16:561–610. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-8175199900](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81751999000300001) [0300001](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81751999000300001)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Vidotto AP, Carvalho ED (2007) Composition and structure of fsh community in a stretch of the Santa Bárbara River infuenced by Nova Avanhandava Reservoir (low Tietê River, Sao Paulo State, Brazil). Acta Limnol Bras Zool 19:233–245
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Vignon M, Sasal P (2010) Multiscale determinants of parasite abundance: A quantitative hierarchical approach for coral reef fshes. Int J Parasitol 40:443–451. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.010) [1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.010)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Wickham H (2016) Programming with ggplot2. In: ggplot2. Springer, Cham 241–253. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4_12) [319-24277-4\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4_12)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Ximenes AM, Bittencourt PS, Machado VN et al (2021) Mapping the hidden diversity of the *Geophagus sensu stricto* species group (Cichlidae: Geophagini) from the Amazon basin. PeerJ 9:e12443.<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12443>
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Ximenes AM, Bittencourt PS, Machado VN et al (2021) Mapping the hidden diversity of the *Geophagus sensu stricto* species group (Cichlidae: Geophagini) from the Amazon basin. PeerJ 9:e12443

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.