

# A characterization of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes

**Joaquim Borges1 · Cristina Fernández-Córdoba1**

Received: 30 November 2016 / Revised: 31 March 2017 / Accepted: 28 July 2017 / Published online: 4 August 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2017

**Abstract** We prove that the class of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes is exactly the class of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes with automorphism group of even order. Using this characterization, we give examples of known codes, e.g. perfect codes, which have a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structure. Moreover, we exhibit some examples of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes which are not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear. Also, we state that the duality of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes is the same as the duality of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes. Finally, we prove that the class of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes which are also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear is strictly contained in the class of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes.

**Keywords**  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes  $\cdot \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes  $\cdot \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes

#### **Mathematics Subject Classification** 94B60 · 94B25

## **1 Introduction**

A  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code *C* is a binary image of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code *C* that is an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ . We say that *C* (and also *C*) has parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes were first introduced in [\[15](#page-12-0)] as abelian translation-invariant propelinear codes. Later, in [\[5\]](#page-11-0), a comprehensive description of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes appeared. In [\[5](#page-11-0)], the duality of such codes

 $\boxtimes$  Joaquim Borges jborges@deic.uab.cat

> Cristina Fernández-Córdoba cfernandez@deic.uab.cat

Communicated by J. Bierbrauer.

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish MINECO Grants TIN2016-77918-P (AEI/FEDER, UE) and MTM2015-69138-REDT, and by the Catalan AGAUR Grant 2014SGR-691.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Information and Communications Engineering, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain

was studied, an appropriate inner product was defined and it was stated that the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -dual code is not the same as the standard orthogonal code, that is, using the standard inner product of binary vectors.

Recently,  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes with parameters ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) have been introduced in [\[3](#page-11-1)]. They are binary images of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes, which are submodules of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ , where  $u^2 = 0$ . These codes have some similarities with  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. However, there is a key difference: every  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, which is not true, in general, for  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. In this paper, when we refer to the parameters of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear or  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code, we mean the values of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

The aim of this paper is to clarify the relation among all these classes. Specifically, we prove that a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code is  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear if and only if its automorphism group has even order. We also show that for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code, its  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -dual code is exactly its  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -dual code, that is, its standard binary dual code. This, in turn, implies directly that the dual weight distributions are related by the MacWilliams identity. This fact was proved in [\[3](#page-11-1)]. By using these properties, we find  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structures for all binary linear perfect codes. In particular, for any binary linear perfect code *C*, we compute the possible values of  $\alpha$  and β such that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . We also show that in the case of a binary Hamming code, its extended code, its dual code (that is a simplex code) and the dual of its extended code (that is a Hadamard code) are also  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes. All binary perfect codes are optimal codes since they have the maximum possible number of codewords for their length and minimum distance. Therefore, we have a family of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes that are optimal. Computationally, and considering the characterization of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes given in this paper, a large number of the best known linear codes of length *n* and dimension *k* in the database of Magma [\[7\]](#page-11-2),  $C_B(n, k)$ , are also  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes. We also give some examples of codes  $C_B(n, k)$  that are not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes.

If *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  which is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, then we prove that *C* has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structure with the same parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . In addition, we give an example showing that there are  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes which are not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give basic definitions and concepts. In Sect. [3,](#page-3-0) we prove that for a given  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code *C*, its  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -dual code is exactly  $C^{\perp}$ , i.e. the standard binary orthogonal code. In Sect. [4,](#page-5-0) we study the conditions for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code to be  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear. Moreover, we characterize  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes with automorphism group of even order. In Sect. [5,](#page-6-0) we prove that all  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ linear perfect codes are  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\beta > 0$ . In addition, we compute the possible values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In Sect. [6,](#page-9-0) we analyze the relation to  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear codes. In particular, we prove that if *C* is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear and  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then *C* is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with the same parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . We note that the converse statement is not true. Finally, in Sect. [7,](#page-11-3) we give some conclusions about the meaningfulness of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes and we point out some possible further research on the topic.

## **2 Preliminaries**

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  the rings of integers modulo 2 and modulo 4, respectively. A binary code of length *n* is any non-empty subset *C* of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . If that subset is a vector space then we say that it is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code (or binary linear code). Any non-empty subset *C* of  $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$  is a quaternary code of length *n*, and an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$  is called a quaternary linear code. The elements of a code are called codewords.

For any binary code *C*, an automorphism of *C* is a coordinate permutation that leaves *C* invariant. The automorphism group of *C*, denoted *Aut*(*C*), is the group of all automorphisms of *C*.

The classical Gray map  $\phi$  :  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  is defined by

$$
\phi(0) = (0, 0), \quad \phi(1) = (0, 1), \quad \phi(2) = (1, 1), \quad \phi(3) = (1, 0).
$$

If  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^m$ , then the Gray map of *a* is the coordinate-wise extended map  $\phi(a) = (\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_m))$ . We naturally extend the Gray map for vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x \mid x') \in$  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$  so that  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (x \mid \phi(x')).$ 

Denote by  $wt_H(x)$  the Hamming weight of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha}$  and by  $wt_L(x')$  the Lee weight of  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x \mid x') \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ , define the weight of **x**, denoted by  $wt(\mathbf{x})$ , as  $wt_H(x) + wt_L(x')$ . Clearly,  $wt(\mathbf{x}) = wt_H(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$ .

**Definition 1** A  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code *C* with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}.$ 

Such codes are extensively studied in [\[5](#page-11-0)]. Alternatively, we can define a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code as a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ , where the scalar product  $\lambda \mathbf{x}$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ . is defined as  $\mathbf{x} + \cdots + \mathbf{x}$ ,  $\lambda$  times (of course, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\lambda \mathbf{x} = 0$ ).

If *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then the binary image  $C = \Phi(C)$ is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Note that *C* is a binary code of length  $n = \alpha + 2\beta$  but *C* is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, in general [\[5\]](#page-11-0). If  $\alpha = 0$ , then *C* is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code. If  $\beta = 0$ , then *C* is simply a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code.

The standard inner product in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ , defined in [\[5\]](#page-11-0), can be written as

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} u_i v_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} u'_j v'_j \in \mathbb{Z}_4,
$$

where the computations are made taking the zeros and ones in the  $\alpha$  binary coordinates as quaternary zeros and ones, respectively. The dual code of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code C is defined in the standard way by

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta} \mid \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} \}.
$$

The  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -dual of  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is the code  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$ .

Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u] = \mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1, u, 1 + u\}$ , where  $u^2 = 0$ . Note that  $(\mathbb{Z}_2[u], +)$  is group-isomorphic to the Klein group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2^2, +)$ . With the product operation,  $(\mathbb{Z}_2[u], \cdot)$  is monoid-isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_4, \cdot)$ . Define the map  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_2[u] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , such that  $\pi(0) = \pi(u) = 0$  and  $\pi(1) = \pi(1 + u) = 1$ . Then, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$  $x'_1, \ldots, x'_\beta$ )  $\in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ , we can consider the scalar product

$$
\lambda \mathbf{x} = (\pi(\lambda)x_1, \ldots, \pi(\lambda)x_\alpha \mid \lambda x'_1, \ldots, \lambda x'_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta.
$$

With this operation,  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -module. Note that, a  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times$  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$  is not the same as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ .

**Definition 2** ([\[3](#page-11-1)]) A  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code *C* with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The following straightforward equivalence can be used as an alternative definition.

**Lemma 1** *A code*  $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$  *is*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive if and only if

$$
u\mathbf{z} \in C \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in C, \text{ and}
$$
  
 $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in C \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C.$ 

As for  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ , we can also define a Gray-like map. Let  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_2[u] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  be defined as

$$
\psi(0) = (0, 0), \quad \psi(1) = (0, 1), \quad \psi(u) = (1, 1), \quad \psi(1 + u) = (1, 0).
$$

If  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^m$ , then the coordinate-wise extension of  $\psi$  is  $\psi(a) =$  $(\psi(a_1), \ldots, \psi(a_m))$ . Now, we define the Gray-like map for elements  $\mathbf{x} = (x \mid x') \in \mathbb{R}$  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$  so that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = (x \mid \psi(x')).$ 

The Lee weight of the elements 0, 1,  $u$ ,  $1 + u \in Z_2[u]$  are 0, 1, 2, 1, respectively. Denote by  $wt_L(x')$  the Lee weight of  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ , which is the rational sum of the Lee weights of the coordinates of *x'*. For a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x \mid x') \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ , define the weight of **x** as  $wt(\mathbf{x}) = wt_H(\mathbf{x}) + wt_L(\mathbf{x}').$  Clearly,  $wt(\mathbf{x}) = wt_H(\Psi(\mathbf{x})).$ 

If *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then the binary image  $C = \Psi(C)$ is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Note that, unlike for  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes, *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code of length  $n = \alpha + 2\beta$ . This fact is clear since for any pair of elements **x**,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ , we have that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$ .

The inner product in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ , defined in [\[3\]](#page-11-1), can be written as

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} u_i v_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} u'_j v'_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u],
$$

where the computations are made taking the zeros and ones in the  $\alpha$  binary coordinates as zeros and ones in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ , respectively. The dual code of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code C is defined in the standard way by

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta \mid \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} \}.
$$

The  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -dual code of  $C = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$  is the code  $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$ .

The weight distributions of a binary linear code  $C$  and its dual  $C^{\perp}$  are related to each other by the MacWilliams identity [\[14](#page-12-1)]. If *C* and *D* are two binary codes, not necessarily linear, such that their weight enumerators are related by the MacWilliams identity, then we say that *C* and *D* are formally dual.

If *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code, then the codes  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$  are not necessarily linear, so they are not dual in the binary linear sense, in general. However, the weight enumerator polynomial of  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$  is the MacWilliams transform of the weight enumerator polynomial of  $\Phi(C)$  [\[9\]](#page-11-4) and therefore they are formally dual. We will see in the following section that a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code  $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$  and its  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -dual code  $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$  are not only formally dual, as it was proved in [\[3\]](#page-11-1), but also dual in the binary usual sense, i.e.  $\Psi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp} = \Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp})$ .

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**3 Duality of**  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes

<span id="page-3-1"></span>It is readily verified that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ , then  $\psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) = 1$  if and only if  $ab \in \{1, u\}$ . This property can be easily generalized for elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ <sup>β</sup>.

**Lemma 2** *If*  $x'$ ,  $y' \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ , then  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 1$  *if and only if*  $x' \cdot y' \in \{1, u\}$ *.* 

*Proof* We have that  $x' \cdot y' = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} x_i' y_i'$ . Therefore, in order to calculate  $x' \cdot y'$  we can omit all reduced to the state of  $y'$  . On the state  $y' \cdot y' = 0$  of  $y' \cdot y' = 0$ . addends such that  $x'_i y'_i = 0$  that also implies  $\psi(x'_i) \cdot \psi(y'_i) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $x'_i y'_i = x'_j y'_j$ , for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $x_i' y_i' + x_j' y_j' = 0$  and it is easy to check that  $\psi(x_i') \cdot \psi(y_i') + \psi(x_j') \cdot \psi(y_j') = 0$ . Hence, we can cancel pairs of addends that are equal in  $x \cdot y$ . With these reductions, we have that the set *S* of the remaining addends in  $x' \cdot y'$  is

- (i)  $S = \{1, u, 1 + u\}$  or  $S = \emptyset$ , if  $x' \cdot y' = 0$ .
- (ii)  $S = \{1\}$  or  $S = \{u, 1 + u\}$ , if  $x' \cdot y' = 1$ .
- (iii)  $S = \{u\}$  or  $S = \{1, 1 + u\}$ , if  $x' \cdot y' = u$ .
- (iv)  $S = \{1 + u\}$  or  $S = \{1, u\}$ , if  $x' \cdot y' = 1 + u$ .

Since  $\psi(x_i') \cdot \psi(y_i') = 1$  if and only if  $x_i' y_i' \in \{1, u\}$ , we only have to consider the elements 1 and *u* in each possible set *S*. Clearly, cases (i) and (iv) give  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 0$ , whereas cases (ii) and (iii) give  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y)$  $) = 1.$  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Proposition 1** *Let* **x**,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ *.* 

- (i)  $If \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ , then  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ .
- (ii) *If*  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \neq 0$  *and*  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ , then  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi((1 + u)\mathbf{y}) = 1$ .

*Proof* Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x \mid x')$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y \mid y')$  be elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ . We can write the inner product of **x** and **y** as  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = u(x \cdot y) + (x' \cdot y')$ .

- (i) If  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$ , then either (a)  $x \cdot y = x' \cdot y' = 0$ , or (b)  $x \cdot y = 1$  and  $x' \cdot y' = u$ .
	- (a) By Lemma [2,](#page-3-1) we have that  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 0$  and hence  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ .
	- (b) Again, by Lemma [2,](#page-3-1) we obtain  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 1$  and then  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ .

(ii) If  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \neq 0$ , then either (a)  $x \cdot y = 0$  and  $x' \cdot y' \neq 0$ , or (b)  $x \cdot y = 1$  and  $x' \cdot y' \neq u$ .

- (a) In this case  $x' \cdot y' \in \{1, u, 1 + u\}$ . Since  $x \cdot y = 0$  and  $\Psi(x) \cdot \Psi(y) = 0$ , we have that  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 0$  and hence, by Lemma [2,](#page-3-1) the only possible case is that  $x' \cdot y' = 1 + u$ . Therefore,  $x' \cdot ((1 + u)y') = 1$  and  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi((1 + u)y') = 1$ , again by Lemma [2.](#page-3-1) Thus,  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi((1+u)\mathbf{y}) = 1$ .
- (b) We have  $x' \cdot y' \in \{0, 1, 1 + u\}$ . Since  $x \cdot y = 1$  and  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ , we obtain  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi(y') = 1$ . By Lemma [2,](#page-3-1) the only possibility is  $x' \cdot y' = 1$ . Hence,  $x' \cdot ((1 +$  $(u) y' = 1 + u$  and  $\psi(x') \cdot \psi((1 + u)y') = 0$ . We conclude  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi((1 + u)\mathbf{y}) = 1$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem 1** Let C be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code and let  $C = \Psi(C)$  be the corresponding *binary*  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear code. Then,*  $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) = C^{\perp}$ *.* 

*Proof* If  $\mathbf{x} \in C^{\perp}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . Hence, by Proposition [1\(](#page-4-0)i), we have that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ , implying that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \in C^{\perp}$ . We have proved  $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) \subseteq C^{\perp}$ .

If  $\mathbf{x} \notin C^{\perp}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} \neq 0$ , for some  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . Now, by Proposition [1\(](#page-4-0)ii), we have that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$  or  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Psi((1+u)\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \notin C^{\perp}$  and therefore  $C^{\perp} \subset \Psi(C^{\perp})$ .  $C^{\perp} \subseteq \Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}).$  $\Box$ 

Let  $C$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code. Then, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nC & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
C^{\perp} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C^{\perp}\n\end{array}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  Springer

$$
\Box
$$

Obviously, this immediately implies that the weight distributions of  $C$  and  $C^{\perp}$  are related by the MacWilliams relations, as it was proved in [\[3\]](#page-11-1).

<span id="page-5-3"></span>To finish this section, we prove that the dual of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ linear with the same parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Proposition 2** *A binary code C is*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear with parameters*  $(\alpha, \beta)$  *if and only if*  $C^{\perp}$ *is*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear with the same parameters*  $(\alpha, \beta)$ *.* 

*Proof* Assume that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $C^{\perp} = \Psi^{-1}(C^{\perp})$ . By linearity of  $C^{\perp}$  and Lemma [1,](#page-2-0) we only need to proof that  $u\Psi^{-1}(c) \in C^{\perp}$ , for all  $c \in C^{\perp}$ . For any codeword  $\mathbf{x} \in C$ , we have  $(u\Psi^{-1}(c)) \cdot \mathbf{x} = u(\Psi^{-1}(c) \cdot \mathbf{x}) = u0 = 0$ , which implies  $u\Psi^{-1}(c) \in C^{\perp}$ . The converse follows from the fact that  $(C^{\perp})^{\perp} = C$ .  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**4 Characterization of**  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes

Given a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code *C* of length *n*, a natural question is if we can choose a set of  $\beta$  pairs of coordinates such that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(n - 2\beta, \beta)$ . The next lemma and corollary show us that it is enough to answer the question for a generator matrix of *C*.

**Lemma 3** *Let*  $S = {\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_r} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$  *and let* C *be the*  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *-linear code generated by the binary image vectors of S,*  $C = \langle \Psi(S) \rangle$ *. Then, C is a*  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *<i>-linear code with parameters*  $(\alpha, \beta)$  *if and only if*  $\Psi(u\mathbf{x}_i) \in C$ *, for all i*  $\in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $C = \Psi^{-1}(C) \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ . Then, *C* is  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear if and only if *C* is  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive. Clearly, for all **x**,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\Psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}$  and hence  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, applying Lemma [1,](#page-2-0) we have that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code if and only  $u\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \Psi(\mathbf{x}_i) = \Psi(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i)$ , for some  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$  and  $u\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i u\mathbf{x}_i$ . Hence,  $u\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , if and only if  $u\mathbf{x_i} \in \mathcal{C}$ , for all  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Ч

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Corollary 1** *Let C be a*  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *-linear code of length n* =  $\alpha + 2\beta$ *, for some*  $\alpha \ge 0$  *and*  $\beta > 0$ *. Let*

$$
G = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_r \end{pmatrix}
$$

*be a generator matrix of C. Then, C is a*  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear code with parameters*  $(\alpha, \beta)$  *if and*  $only if \Psi(u\Psi^{-1}(v_i)) \in C \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, r.$ 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Now, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code to be  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ linear.

**Proposition 3** Let C be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code. Then, C is permutation-equivalent to a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *linear code with parameters*  $(\alpha, \beta)$ *, where*  $\beta > 0$ *, if and only if there exists an involution*  $\sigma \in Aut(C)$  *fixing*  $\alpha$  *coordinates.* 

*Proof* Assume that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with  $\beta > 0$  and let  $C = \Psi^{-1}(C)$ . For any codeword  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha \mid x'_1, \ldots, x'_\beta) \in C$ , we write its binary image as  $x =$ 

 $(x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha \mid y_1, \ldots, y_{2\beta})$ , where  $\psi(x'_i) = (y_{2i-1}, y_{2i})$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, \beta$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the involution that transposes  $y_{2i-1}$  and  $y_{2i}$ , for all  $i = 1, \ldots, \beta$ . Clearly,  $\Psi((1 + u)\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(x)$ . Since  $(1 + u)\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\sigma \in Aut(C)$ .

Conversely, if  $\sigma \in Aut(C)$  has order 2, then  $\sigma$  is a product of disjoint transpositions. We can assume that the  $\alpha$  coordinates fixed by  $\sigma$  are the first ones, and the pairs of coordinates that σ transposes are consecutive. Then, considering the pairs of coordinates that σ transposes as the images of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  coordinates, we obtain that  $\sigma(x) = \Psi((1+u)\Psi^{-1}(x))$ , for any codeword  $x \in C$ . Since  $\sigma(x) \in C$ , we have that  $(1 + u)\mathbf{x} \in C = \Psi^{-1}(C)$ , for any  $\mathbf{x} \in C$ . But this condition implies that *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code since  $(1 + u)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + u\mathbf{x}$  and thus  $u\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ . For all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\Psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}$  and hence  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then, the result follows applying Lemma [1.](#page-2-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Theorem 2** *A*  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code C is  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\beta > 0$ , if *and only if Aut*(*C*) *has even order.*

*Proof* From Sylow theorems, the group *Aut*(*C*) has even order if and only if *Aut*(*C*) contains an involution. The statement then follows by Proposition [3.](#page-5-1)  $\Box$ 

*Remark 1* Note that for different involutions in  $Aut(C)$ , we have different  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structures and, possibly, with different parameters. Moreover, according to Proposition [3,](#page-5-1) for each  $\sigma_i \in Aut(C)$  fixing  $\alpha_i$  coordinates, we have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structure of *C* with parameters  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ , where  $\alpha_i + 2\beta_i$  is the length of *C*.

*Example 1* Consider the code *C* with generator matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

As it is pointed out in [\[14](#page-12-1), Problem (32), p. 230], *Aut*(*C*) is trivial, i.e. it only contains the identity permutation. Therefore, *C* is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear for  $\beta > 0$ .

It is natural to ask if there are interesting linear codes which are not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes and whether the automorphism group is always trivial or not in these cases. Denote by  $C_B(n, k)$  the best known linear code of length *n* and dimension *k* in the database of Magma [\[7](#page-11-2)]. The automorphism group of these codes can be obtained using Magma software and a large number of linear codes have automorphism group of even order and, therefore, they are  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear. However, several best known linear codes have been found with automorphism group of odd order. We have obtained some linear codes with trivial automorphism group, for example,  $C_B(20, 10)$ ,  $C_B(32, 12)$ ,  $C_B(135, 38)$ , etc., and also other codes with nontrivial and odd order automorphism group, for example,  $C_B(78, 8)$ ,  $C_B(81, 20)$ ,  $C_B(128, 14)$  or  $C_B(89, 11)$  with automorphism groups of order 3, 27, 889 and 979, respectively.

In the next section we see several examples of well-known codes with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structure.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span> $5 \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2 [u]$ -linear perfect codes

A binary repetition code  $C = \{(0, \ldots, 0), (1, \ldots, 1)\}\$  of odd length *n* is a trivial perfect code. Its dual code is the *even* code which contains all vectors of length *n* and even weight (i.e. with an even number of nonzero coordinates). Clearly, these codes can be considered as  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes with parameters  $(n-2\beta, \beta)$ , for all  $\beta \in \{0, ..., (n-1)/2\}$ .

It is well known that the binary linear perfect codes with more than two codewords are:

- (1) The binary *Hamming* 1-perfect codes of length  $n = 2^t 1$  ( $t \ge 3$ ), dimension  $k =$  $2^t - t - 1$  and minimum distance  $d = 3$ .
- (2) The binary *Golay* 3-perfect code of length  $n = 23$ , dimension  $k = 12$  and minimum distance  $d = 7$ .

In this section we prove that all binary linear perfect codes are  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes.

Let *H<sub>t</sub>* be a Hamming code of length  $n = 2^t - 1$ , where  $t \ge 3$ . The dual code  $H_t^{\perp}$  is known as the *simplex* code. It is a constant-weight code with all nonzero codewords of weight 2*t*−1. A parity-check matrix  $M_t$  for  $H_t$  (which is a generator matrix for  $H_t^{\perp}$ ) contains all nonzero column vectors of length *t*.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Theorem 3** *Let H<sub>t</sub> be a Hamming code of length n* =  $2^t$  − 1*. Then, H<sub>t</sub> is a*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear code with parameters*  $(2^{r} - 1, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ *, for all r such that t* $/2 \le r \le t$ *.* 

*Proof* The case  $r = t$  corresponds to the trivial case  $(\alpha, \beta)=(n, 0)$ . In [\[10](#page-12-2)], it is shown that *Aut*( $H_t$ ) contains involutions fixing  $2^r - 1$  points for  $t/2 \le r \le t$ . Thus, the statement follows by Proposition [3.](#page-5-1)  $\Box$ 

*Example 2* A parity-check matrix for  $H_3$  is

$$
M_3 = \left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).
$$

We can take the pairs of coordinates  $(4, 5)$  and  $(6, 7)$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  coordinates and consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code C generated by

$$
G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 | u & u \\ 0 & 1 & 1 | 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 & 1 | 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Note that multiplying any row in  $G_3$  by *u* we obtain a vector in  $\Psi^{-1}(H_3^{\perp})$  $\Psi^{-1}(H_3^{\perp})$  $\Psi^{-1}(H_3^{\perp})$ . By Corollary 1 and Proposition [2,](#page-5-3)  $H_3$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters (3, 2). We remark that  $H_3$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code with the same parameters but, according to [\[6\]](#page-11-5),  $H_t$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear for  $\beta > 0$  and  $t > 3$ .

*Example 3* Consider the parity-check matrix for *H*<sup>4</sup>

$$
M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Again, we can take the pairs of coordinates  $(8, 9)$ ,  $(10, 11)$ ,  $(12, 13)$  and  $(14, 15)$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ coordinates. Let C be the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code generated by

$$
G_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & u & 0 & u \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & u & u \end{pmatrix}.
$$

 $\circledcirc$  Springer

Multiplying any row in  $G_4$  by *u* we obtain a vector in  $\Psi^{-1}(H_4^{\perp})$  $\Psi^{-1}(H_4^{\perp})$  $\Psi^{-1}(H_4^{\perp})$ . By Corollary 1 and Proposition [2,](#page-5-3)  $H_4$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters (7, 4). Note that, taking the same pairs of coordinates as quaternary coordinates, it is also true that  $H_4^{\perp}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear code, but the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -dual code is not a Hamming code. For example, the vector  $v =$ (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1) is not orthogonal to the third row of *M*4. However, v is in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -dual of  $H_4^{\perp}$ .

After a permutation of columns, the matrix  $M_4$  can be written as



Now, taking the pairs of coordinates  $(i, i + 1)$  for  $i = 4, \ldots, 14$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  coordinates, we also have that  $H_4^{\perp}$  is the binary image of the code generated by



Therefore,  $H_4$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters (3, 6).

**Corollary 2** Let  $t \geq 3$ . The extended Hamming code  $H'_t$ , the dual of a Hamming code  $H_t^{\perp}$  $(\text{simplex code})$ *, and the dual of an extended Hamming code*  $(H_t')^{\perp}$  *(linear Hadamard code) are*  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2 [u]$ *-linear codes with parameters*  $(2^r, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ *,*  $(2^r - 1, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ *, and*  $(2^r, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ *, respectively, for all r such that t* $/2 \le r \le t$ *.* 

*Proof* On the one hand, extending a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  trivially results in a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha + 1, \beta)$ . Thus, by Theorem [3,](#page-7-0)  $H'_t$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(2^r, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ .

On the other hand, by Proposition [2,](#page-5-3) the dual code has the same parameters. Therefore,  $H_t^{\perp}$ is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(2^r - 1, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$  and  $(H'_t)^\perp$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear code with parameters  $(2^r, 2^{t-1} - 2^{r-1})$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4** *The binary Golay code G*<sup>23</sup> *and the extended binary Golay code G*<sup>24</sup> *are*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-linear codes with parameters* ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ )*. For*  $\beta > 0$ *, the parameters are:* 

(i) (0, 12) *or* (8, 8)*, for G*24*.* (ii) (7, 8)*, for G*23*.*

*Proof* It is well known that the automorphism groups of *G*<sup>23</sup> and *G*<sup>24</sup> are the Mathieu groups *M*<sup>23</sup> and *M*24, respectively [\[14](#page-12-1)]. According to [\[8](#page-11-6)], the number of fixed points by the involutions of *M*<sup>24</sup> is 0 or 8. For *M*<sup>23</sup> we have that all involutions fix 7 points. Therefore, the result holds by Proposition [3.](#page-5-1)  $\Box$ 

*Remark 2* In [\[12\]](#page-12-3), it is stated that *M*<sup>24</sup> has 43470 fixed-point-free involutions. The remaining involutions of  $M_{24}$  are 11,385 involutions fixing 8 points. Therefore, by Proposition [3,](#page-5-1)  $G_{24}$ has  $43,470 \mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  different structures with parameters  $(0, 12)$  and  $11,385$  with parameters  $(8, 8)$ . For the case of  $M_{23}$ , it has 3795 involutions, all of them fixing 7 points. Therefore,  $G_{23}$  has 3795  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structures with parameters (7, 8).

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span> $6 \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2$  [*u*]-linear and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes

In this section, we prove that any  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code with parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  which is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  structure with the same parameters. It is not difficult to see this property using Theorem [2.](#page-6-1) However, we give here an independent proof in order to better clarify the relation between both classes of codes.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>The following property was stated in [\[13](#page-12-4)] for vectors over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Its generalization for vectors over  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  is easy and it was established in [\[11](#page-12-5)].

**Lemma 4** *Let* **x**,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$ *. The following identity holds:* 

 $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) + \Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \Phi(2(\mathbf{x} \star \mathbf{y})),$ 

*where stands for the coordinate-wise product.*

<span id="page-9-4"></span>The next lemma [\[11\]](#page-12-5) is a direct consequence.

**Lemma 5** If C is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code, then its binary image  $C = \Phi(C)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear if and *only if*  $2(x \star y) \in C$ *, for all*  $x, y \in C$ *.* 

Define the map  $\theta$  :  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$  such that, for every element  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{\alpha})$  $y_1, \ldots, y_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta,$ 

$$
\theta(x_1,\ldots,x_\alpha\mid y_1,\ldots,y_\beta)=(x_1,\ldots,x_\alpha\mid \vartheta(y_1),\ldots,\vartheta(y_\beta)),
$$

where  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ ;  $\vartheta(1) = 1$ ;  $\vartheta(2) = u$ ;  $\vartheta(3) = 1 + u$ . Note that  $\theta = \Psi^{-1}\Phi$ .

**Theorem 5** If  $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\beta}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code such that  $\Phi(C)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, then  $\mathcal{C}' = \theta(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_2[\bar{u}]^{\beta}$  *is a*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-additive code.* 

*Proof* We use the characterization of Lemma [1](#page-2-0) to prove the statement.

First, given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we need to prove that  $u\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $u\mathbf{x} = \theta(2\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))$  which is in *C* .

Next, we want to prove that  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}'$ , for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}'$ . Clearly,

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \Psi^{-1} \left( \Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \right). \tag{1}
$$

By Lemma [4,](#page-9-1) we have

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = \Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\Psi(\mathbf{x})\right) + \Phi^{-1}\left(\Psi(\mathbf{y})\right) + 2\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\Psi(\mathbf{x})\right) \star \Phi^{-1}\left(\Psi(\mathbf{y})\right)\right)\right). \tag{2}
$$

Combining Eqs.  $(1)$  and  $(2)$ , we obtain

$$
\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \theta \left( \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + 2(\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \star \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{y})) \right).
$$

Since  $\Phi$  (*C*) is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, we have that  $2(\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \star \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{y})) \in C$ , by Lemma [5.](#page-9-4) It follows that  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}'$ . . In the contract of the contra<br>In the contract of the contrac  $\Box$ 

The following corollary gives the minimum  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code containing the image under the map  $\theta$  of a fixed  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code.

**Corollary 3** Let C be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code and let G be a generator matrix of C. Let  ${u_i}_{i=1}^{\gamma}$  *be the rows of order two and*  ${v_j}_{j=1}^{\delta}$  *the rows of order four in G. Then, the code*  $C'$  *generated by*  $\{\theta(u_i)\}_{i=1}^{\gamma}$ ,  $\{\theta(v_j), \theta(2v_j)\}_{j=1}^{\delta}$  *and*  $\{\theta(2v_j * v_k)\}_{1 \leq j < k \leq \delta}$  *is the minimum*  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ *-additive code containing*  $\theta(\mathcal{C})$ *.* 

*Proof* By [\[11](#page-12-5)], we have that the minimum  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear code containing  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$  that is generated by  ${\{\phi(u_i)\}}_{i=1}^{\gamma}, {\{\phi(v_j), \phi(2v_j)\}}_{j=1}^{\delta}$  and  ${\{\phi(2v_j * v_k)\}}_{1 \leq j < k \leq \delta}$ . Since  $\theta = \Psi^{-1}\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{C}' = \left\{\left\{\theta(u_i)\right\}_{i=1}^{\gamma}, \left\{\theta(v_j), \theta(2v_j)\right\}_{j=1}^{\delta}, \left\{\theta(2v_j * v_k)\right\}_{1 \leq j < k \leq \delta} \right\}$  is the minimum  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ additive code containing  $\theta(C)$ . Ч

Let *C* be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code and let  $C' = \theta(C)$ . We have that for all  $\mathbf{x} \in C'$ ,  $u\mathbf{x} =$  $\theta(\theta^{-1}(u\mathbf{x})) = \theta(2\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathcal{C}$  because  $2\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, for any Z<sub>2</sub>Z<sub>4</sub>-additive code *C* and  $C' = \theta(C)$  the first condition of Lemma [1](#page-2-0) is always satisfied. From the last theorem, if  $\Phi(C)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, then  $\theta(C)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive code. However, it is not true when  $\Phi(C)$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear. Hence, the second condition of Lemma [1](#page-2-0) is satisfied for  $C' = \theta(C)$ if and only if  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear. The next example will show that the second condition is not satisfied in  $C' = \theta(C)$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code C whose image is not linear under the Gray map.

*Example 4* Let C be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code generated by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Note that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear by Lemma [5](#page-9-4) due to the fact that  $2(0, 0, 0 \mid 1, 0, 1, 0) \star (0, 0, 1 \mid$  $1, 0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0 \mid 2, 0, 0, 0)$  which is not in *C*.

Now,  $\theta(0, 0, 0 \mid 1, 0, 1, 0) + \theta(0, 0, 1 \mid 1, 0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) \notin \mathcal{C}'$  because  $\theta^{-1}(0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) \notin \mathcal{C}$  $\theta^{-1}(0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) \notin \mathcal{C}$  $\theta^{-1}(0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 1 \mid 0, 0, 1, 1) \notin \mathcal{C}$ . Hence the second condition of Lemma 1 is not satisfied.

There are  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes which are not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear, as we can see in the following example.

*Example 5* Let  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^4$  be the code generated by  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 1, u)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (1, u, 1, 1)$ . We can see that

$$
\theta\left(\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{y})\right) = \theta(2, 3, 2, 3) = (u, 1 + u, u, 1 + u).
$$

It is easy to check that the equation  $\lambda x + \mu y = (u, 1 + u, u, 1 + u)$  has no solution for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{C} = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code.

*Remark [3](#page-5-1)* Note that Proposition 3 and Theorem [2](#page-6-1) apply also to  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes but only in one direction. I.e., if *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code, then  $Aut(C)$  has even order. But the converse is not true, in general. In the previous example, the code  $D = \Psi(\mathcal{D})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear and hence  $Aut(D)$  is of even order, but *D* is not  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear.

It is worth noting that if *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, it is not yet true that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) = \Phi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$  as we can see in the next example.

*Example 6* Let  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_4^3$  be the code generated by  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (0, 2, 3)$ . It can be easily verified that  $\Phi(C)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear. However, we have that  $(1, 1, 2) \in C^{\perp}$ , but  $\Phi(1, 1, 2) =$  $(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1) \notin \Phi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}.$ 

Therefore, we have that if *C* is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive code, whose binary image is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, and  $C' = \theta(C)$ , then  $\Phi(C)$  and  $\Phi(C^{\perp})$  are formally dual whereas  $\Psi(C)$  and  $\Psi(C^{\perp})$  are dual (Theorem [1\)](#page-4-1). The relations among these codes are illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-11-7)



<span id="page-11-7"></span>**Fig. 1** Relations among  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes (whose binary images are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear),  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes, their binary images and their duals

## <span id="page-11-3"></span>**7 Conclusions**

From Theorem [2,](#page-6-1)  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes form a wide class of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes. Moreover, the equivalence between  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -duality and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -duality (Theorem [1\)](#page-4-1), suggests that  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ linear codes have no meaningful additional properties to those of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes. However, the partition of the coordinate set into two subsets (the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$  coordinates) like in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes, open some possible lines of research. In particular, cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes are studied in [\[2,](#page-11-8)[16](#page-12-6)] as well as cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes were studied in [\[1,](#page-11-9)[4\]](#page-11-10).

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank Prof. Josep Rifà for valuable comments on automorphism groups of linear codes.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-11-9"></span>1. Abualrub T., Siap I., Aydin H.: Z2Z4-additive cyclic codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **60**, 1508–1514 (2014).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>2. Abualrub T., Siap I., Aydogdu I.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2)$ -linear cycliccodes. In: Proceedings of the International MultiConference ofEngineers and Computing Scientists. Vol. II (2014)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>3. Aydogdu I., Abualrub T., Siap I.: On <sup>Z</sup>2Z2[*u*]-additive codes. Int. J. Comput. Math. **<sup>92</sup>**, 1806–1814 (2015).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>4. Borges J., Fernández-Córdoba C., Ten-Valls R.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes, generator polynomials and dual codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **62**, 6348–6354 (2016).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>5. Borges J., Fernández-Córdoba C., Pujol J., Rifà J., Villanueva M.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes: generator matrices and duality. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **54**, 167–179 (2010).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>6. Borges J., Rifà J.: A characterization of 1-perfect additive codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **45**, 1688–1697 (1999).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>7. Bosma W., Cannon J., Playoust C.: The MAGMA algebra system. I: the user language. J. Symb. Comput. **24**(3–4), 235265 (1997).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>8. Conway J., Curtis R., Norton S., Parker R., Wilson R.: ATLAS of Finite Groups. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1985).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>9. Delsarte P., Levenshtein V.: Asociation schemes and coding theory. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **44**, 2477– 2504 (1998).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>10. Fernández-Córdoba C., Phelps K., Villanueva M.: Involutions in binary perfect codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **57**, 5926–5932 (2011).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>11. Fernández-Córdoba C., Pujol J., Villanueva M.: Z<sub>2</sub>Z<sub>4</sub>-linear codes: rank and kernel. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **56**, 43–59 (2010).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>12. Fraser R.E.J.: The involutions of the Mathieu groups. MA Thesis, University of British Columbia (1966).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>13. Hammons A.R., Kumar P.V., Calderbank A.R., Sloane N.J.A., Solé P.: The *Z*4-linearity of kerdock, preparata, goethals and related codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **40**, 301–319 (1994).
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>14. MacWilliams F.J., Sloane N.J.A.: The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977).
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>15. Pujol J., Rifà J.: Translation-invariant propelinear codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **43**, 590–598 (1997).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>16. Srinivasulu B., Bhaintwal M.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2+u\mathbb{Z}_2)$ -additivecyclic codes and their duals. Discr. Math. Algorithms Appl. **8**, 19 (2016).