Copper chromite nanoparticles as an efficient and recyclable catalyst for facile synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives

Javad Safaei-Ghomi¹*, Bahareh Khojastehbakht-Koopaei¹, Safura Zahedi¹

¹ Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Kashan, P.O. Box 87317-51167, Kashan, I. R. Iran; e-mail: safaei@kashanu.ac.ir

Published in Khimiya Geterotsiklicheskikh Soedinenii, 2015, *51*(1), 34–38





In this study, pure spinel nanoparticles of copper chromite ($CuCr_2O_4$) were synthesized by coprecipitation method. These nanoparticles were characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, and FTIR. $CuCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles were found to be an efficient catalyst for the synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ols) *via* pseudo-five-component reaction of aldehydes, hydrazine hydrate, and ethyl acetoacetate. The present methodology offers several advantages such as excellent yields, simple procedure, and mild conditions. The catalyst can be reused at least five times without any obvious change in its catalytic activity.

Keywords: 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ols), copper chromite, ethyl acetoacetate, nanoparticles, aqueous media.

Pyrazoles are an important class of heterocyclic compounds with prominent properties. Among this class of compounds, pyrazolone derivatives have a broad spectrum of approved biological activity such as anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, antibacterial, gastric secretion stimulatory, antidepressant, and antifilarial activities.¹⁻³ Additionally, they are also important intermediates in organic synthesis.⁴ The conventional chemical approach to 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis-(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ols) involves the successive Knoevenagel synthesis of the corresponding arylidenepyrazolones and its base-promoted Michael reaction, and also one-pot tandem Knoevenagel-Michael reaction of arylaldehydes with 2 equiv of 3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol carried out under a variety of reaction conditions.⁵⁻⁷ Recently, synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives have been reported using pyridine trifluoroacetate or acetic acid,⁸ ceric ammonium nitrate,⁹ phosphomolybdic acid,¹⁰ sulfuric acid [3-(3-silicapropyl)sulfanyl]propyl ester,¹¹ silica-bonded *N*-propyltriethylenetetramine,¹² sodium dodecyl sulfate,¹³ 1,3-disulfo-1*H*-imidazolium tetrachloro-aluminate,¹⁴ and 1,3,5-tris(hydrogensulfato)benzene.¹⁵

Heterogeneous catalytic materials play a very important role in organic synthesis in ways that are economically advantageous and environmentally friendly.^{16,17} They can be recovered easily from the reaction mixture by simple filtration and reused several times, making the process more economically and environmentally viable. From a practical viewpoint, the development of simple, inexpensive, widely applicable, and environmentally benign catalysts and procedures is still an active area of research.

Among various inorganic solids, spinel type mixed oxides (AB₂O₄) are well known for their rich catalytic action. These oxides are non-toxic, inexpensive, very stable materials with strong resistance to acids and alkalis, they have high melting points, and their nanoparticles possess relatively high surface area. These properties make them suitable for the use as solid heterogeneous catalysts for organic transformations.^{18–20} One of them, copper chromite (CuCr₂O₄) has been used extensively as a heterogeneous catalyst in many reactions. Among the applications of CuCr₂O₄ as a catalyst of chemical reactions are oxidation of aniline to azoxybenzene,²¹ hydrogenation of 2-furfuraldehyde,²² synthesis of pyrazine,²³ and the thermal decomposition of ammonium perchlorate.²⁴ These catalytic applications prompted us to test this spinel type mixed oxide, and especially its nanoparticles, as a heterogeneous catalyst.

As a part of our continuing efforts on the development of nanoparticles of various inorganic compounds as catalysts for the synthesis of biologically active heterocyclic compounds, $^{25-28}$ we describe in the present study CuCr₂O₄

Scheme 1



a Ar = Ph, b Ar = 4-ClC₆H₄, c Ar = 4-O₂NC₆H₄, d Ar = 3-O₂NC₆H₄, e Ar = 3-HOC₆H₄, f Ar = 2,4-Cl₂C₆H₃, g Ar = 2-ClC₆H₄, h Ar = 3-MeC₆H₄, i Ar = 2-MeC₆H₄

nanoparticles (NP) as a highly efficient catalyst for a facile synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives **1a**–i starting directly from aldehydes, hydrazine hydrate, and ethyl acetoacetate in aqueous medium (Scheme 1). Our method showed good to high overall yields, short overall reaction times, and convenient procedure as described below.

In the preliminary experiments nanocrystalline $CuCr_2O_4^{29}$ was characterized by IR, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. Phase investigation of the crystallized product was performed by XRD, and the powder diffraction pattern of $CuCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles is presented in Figure 1. All of the observed diffraction peaks are indexed by the spinel phase structure of $CuCr_2O_4$ (JCPDS No. 88-0110) revealing a high phase purity of the product. The average crystallite size was estimated by applying



Figure 1. The XRD pattern of CuCr₂O₄ nanoparticles.



Figure 2. SEM image of CuCr₂O₄ nanoparticles.

Debye–Scherrer equation (D = $K\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$), where β , the full-width at half-maximum or half-width, is in radians and θ is the position of the maximum of the diffraction peak, *K* is the so-called shape factor, which usually takes a value of about 0.9, and λ is the X-ray wavelength (1.5406 Å for Cu $K\alpha$). An average size of around 20–30 nm was obtained for these nanoparticles.

The SEM micrograph provides more accurate information on the particle size and morphology of the CuCr₂O₄ nanoparticles (Fig. 2). The SEM image shows that the nanoparticles have a uniform size and spherical shape. In the FTIR spectra (Fig. 3), the absorption bands at 615, 514 cm⁻¹ were assigned to Cr₂O₄^{2–} group. In turn, the bands at 911 and 999 cm⁻¹ refer to the Cr–O bond of the chromate group.³⁰

In the following, we investigated the activity of CuCr₂O₄ NP as a heterogeneous catalyst in one-pot synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ols) 1a-i in a pseudo-five-component reaction of arylaldehydes, 2 equiv of hydrazine hydrate, and 2 equiv of ethyl acetoacetate. The results are summarized in Table 1 (entries 8-11), together with literature data added for comparison (entries 1 and 3). For this purpose, a simple model reaction using benzaldehyde was carried out in order to establish the feasibility of the synthetic strategy and optimize the reaction conditions. The best product yield with respect to catalyst load was obtained using 6 mol % of CuCr₂O₄ NP in water (Table 1, entry 10). When the reaction was carried out in the absence of the catalyst, the product yields were good, however a longer reaction time prompted to use a catalyst in this reaction.

Also, it is worth noting that good results were obtained in EtOH (Table 1, entry 2), but to comply with the principles of green chemistry water was chosen as the optimal solvent. Notably, conventional and commercial catalysts (Table 1, entries 4–6) also showed poor activity in this reaction thus demonstrating the advantages of the spinel



Figure 3. FT-IR spectrum of CuCr₂O₄ nanoparticles.

Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds 2015, 51(1), 34-38

Entry	Catalyst (amount, mol %)	Solvent	Time, min	Yield, %
1	No catalyst, reflux ²⁹	H_2O	480	83
2	No catalyst	EtOH	600	77
3	Pyridine trifluoroacetate (20) ⁸ *	H_2O	300	95
4	ZnO (6)	H ₂ O	180	65
5	CuI (5)	H_2O	180	59
6	MgO (4)	H_2O	180	61
7	$CuCr_2O_4(6)$	H ₂ O	100	61
8	$CuCr_2O_4 NP(2)$	H_2O	45	85
9	$CuCr_2O_4 NP (4)$	H ₂ O	30	88
10	CuCr ₂ O ₄ NP (6)	H ₂ O	10	95
11	$CuCr_2O_4$ NP (8)	H_2O	10	95

Table 1. Optimization of the model reaction for synthesis of 4,4'-(phenylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (1a)

* Reaction of hydrazine hydrate, ethyl acetoacetate, and 3-nitrobenzaldehyde at 70°C.

nanoparticle catalyst. The higher activity of the spinel NP can be attributed to its better accessibility for the substrate molecules owing to their greater surface/volume ratio compared to that of the commercial catalyst which consists of particles with irregular shapes and larger sizes. Furthermore, to elucidate the role of the NP size effect, the CuCr₂O₄ spinel nanoparticle catalyst was replaced by a CuCr₂O₄ catalyst with larger particle size at the optimum reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 7). In this experiment, the yield of the product was very modest even with a prolonged reaction time, indicating that the particle size has a considerable influence on the catalyst reactivity.

Having established optimal reaction conditions for the catalytic synthesis on the example of compound 1a, the

generality and scope of this protocol was further investigated with a variety of arylaldehydes under the same conditions, and the results are displayed in Table 2. The results obtained using variously substituted aldehydes indicated that a variety of aromatic aldehydes both with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents reacted efficiently to afford the products **1a–i** in good to excellent yields. Moreover, a pseudo-nine-component condensation of terephthalaldehyde, hydrazine hydrate, and ethyl acetoacetate in the presence of CuCr₂O₄ NP under the same conditions afforded 4,4',4'',4'''-[benzene-1,4-diylbis(methanetriyl)]tetrakis-(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ol) (**2**) (Scheme 2).

The structures of the products 1a-i, 2 were fully established on the basis of their ¹H, ¹³C NMR, and FTIR

Scheme 2



Table 2. Synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives 1a-i, 2*

Entry	Aldehyde	Product	Mp, °C	Yield, %
1	Benzaldehyde	1a	205–206 (206–207 ²⁹)	95
2	4-Chlorobenzaldehyde	1b	212–213 (214–216 ²⁹)	90
3	4-Nitrobenzaldehyde	1c	272–274 (275–278 ⁸)	91
4	3-Nitrobenzaldehyde	1d	289–292 (288–291 ⁸)	90
5	3-Hydroxybenzaldehyde	1e	208–210 (209–211 ⁸)	90
6	2,4-Dichlorobenzaldehyde	1f	267–270 (267–270 ⁸)	93
7	2-Chlorobenzaldehyde	1g	263–265	90
8	3-Methylbenzaldehyde	1h	243–245	86
9	2-Methylbenzaldehyde	1i	278–279	86
10	Terephthalaldehyde	2	286–288	84

* Catalyst 6 mol % CuCr₂O₄ NP in water at 50°C.

Scheme 3



spectra. It is worth mentioning that the ¹H NMR spectra showed a signal at 11.20-11.58 ppm assigned to NH group and a signal at 3.40-3.70 ppm assigned to OH group. The latter showed exchange with the signal of water contained in DMSO-d₆. This observation differs from that by Soleimani and co-workers [8] where a signal at 3.50-5.50 ppm, assigned to OH and NH groups, was reported.

Based on the above results, a plausible mechanism for the formation of products 1 is proposed in Scheme 3. In the first step, condensation of hydrazine with ethyl acetoacetate gives pyrazolone **A** in the presence of a catalytic amount of $CuCr_2O_4$ NP. In the second step, aldehyde is condensed with pyrazolone **A** to give arylidene intermediate **B**. Under the described reaction conditions, intermediate **B** reacts with another molecule of pyrazolone **A** to afford the final product **1**.

The recyclability of $CuCr_2O_4$ NP was further investigated because the possibility of a repeated use of a heterogeneous catalyst is one of the most important issues for practical applications. After the separation from reaction mixture by filtration, the catalyst had been reused in model reaction for five reaction cycles. It was found that the product yields decreased to a small extent on each reuse (89, 89, 88, 87, and 87% for runs 1–5, respectively).

In summary, we have proposed a facile approach to the synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives in the presence of $CuCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles which exhibited excellent catalytic efficiency in aqueous medium. The catalytic activity of the catalyst remains unaltered after five consecutive cycles. Advantages of the presented methodology are efficiency, broad scope, operational simplicity, high yields, and mild reaction conditions.

Experimental

IR spectra were recorded on a FT-IR Magna 550 apparatus in KBr pellets. ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance spectrometers (400 and 100 MHz, respectively) in DMSO-d₆ with TMS as internal standard. Electronionization mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL D-30 instrument at an ionization potential of 70 eV. Elemental analyses were performed on a Carlo ERBA Model EA1108 analyzer. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal IA9200 apparatus and were not corrected. All the chemicals reagents used in our experiments were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction experiment was carried out on a Philips X'pert diffractometer with monochromatized CuK α radiation (λ 1.5406 Å). Microscopic morphology of products was visualized by MIRA 3 TESCAN scanning electron microscope.

CuCr₂O₄ nanoparticles were prepared according to the procedure reported by Edrissi et al.²⁹ CuCr₂O₄ has been produced by coprecipitation method. Cu(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (1.4 g, 0.005 mol) and $Cr(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ (4.0 g 0.010 mol) were dissolved in distilled water (50 ml). The mixed solution was subsequently added to 100 ml of distilled water, containing 5% glycerol as capping agent, under stirring. 1.5 M aqueous solution of precipitating agent (NaOAc) was added dropwise until the pH value of solution was adjusted to 10. During the mixing procedure, the temperature of solution was maintained about 60°C. Then the temperature was further increased to 80°C at which the precipitation occurred. The fine precipitates were centrifuged and subsequently washed with distilled water and ethanol several times and then dried in an oven at 60°C for 2 h. Finally, after calcination at 600°C for 5 h CuCr₂O₄, nanoparticles were obtained. Yield 1.0 g (86%).

Synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethanediyl)bis(3-methyl-1*H*pyrazol-5-ol) derivatives 1a–i (General Method). A solution of hydrazine hydrate (100%, 0.10 ml, 2 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (0.26 ml, 2 mmol), and CuCr₂O₄ (0.01 g, 6 mol %) in water (10 ml) was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. Then the appropriate aldehyde (1 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 50°C for the time indicated in Table 1. After completion of the reaction, as indicated by TLC on silica gel, eluent hexane–AcOEt, 7:3, the reaction mixture was dissolved to cool to room temperature. The precipitated solid was isolated by filtration. The product was dissolved in hot MeOH, and the catalyst was filtered off. After cooling of the filtrate, the product precipitated. The precipitate was washed with H₂O–EtOH, 1:1, to give the product with sufficient purity.

4,4'-[(2-Chlorophenyl)methanediyl]bis(3-methyl-1*H***-pyrazol-5-ol) (1g).** Pale-orange powder. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3409, 2926, 1605, 1534, 1466, 753. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.92 (6H, s, 2CH₃); 3.34 (2H, br. s, 2OH); 5.06 (1H, s, CH); 7.19–7.52 (4H, m, H Ar); 11.23 (2H, br. s, 2NH). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 161.1; 141.1; 139.3; 132.7; 131.0; 129.5; 127.9; 126.8; 102.8; 31.7 (CH); 10.9 (2CH₃). Mass spectrum, *m/z* (*I*_{rel}, %): 320 [M(³⁷Cl)]⁺ (32), 318 [M(³⁵Cl)]⁺ (100), 224 (15), 196 (40), 180 (18), 126 (12). Found, %: C 56.59; H 4.70; N 17.62. C₁₅H₁₅ClN₄O₂. Calculated, %: C 56.52; H 4.74; N 17.58.

4,4'-[(3-Methylphenyl)methanediyl]bis(3-methyl-1*H***-pyrazol-5-ol) (1h)**. Pale-orange powder. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3350, 2922, 1602, 1455. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 2.05 (6H, s, 2CH₃); 2.18 (3H, s, CH₃); 2.75 (2H, br. s, 2OH); 4.75 (1H, s, CH); 6.71–7.06 (4H, m, H Ar); 11.58 (2H, br. s, 2NH). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 161.5; 143.6; 140.4; 137.0; 128.4; 128.1; 126.6; 125.0; 104.8; 33.0 (CH); 21.6 (CH₃); 10.8 (2CH₃). Mass spectrum, m/z (I_{rel} , %): 299 [M+1]⁺(10), 241 (7), 203 (10), 150 (100), 115 (20). Found: C 64.52; H 6.10; N 18.73. C₁₆H₁₈N₄O₂ Calculated, %: C 64.41; H 6.08; N 18.78.

4,4'-[(2-Methylphenyl)methanediyl]bis(3-methyl-1*H***-pyrazol-5-ol) (1i)**. Pale-orange powder. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 2923, 1602, 1529, 1484, 749. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.79 (6H, s, 2CH₃); 2.11 (3H, s, CH₃); 3.35 (2H, br. s, 2OH); 4.93 (1H, s, CH); 7.04–7.21 (4H, m, H Ar); 10.73 (2H, br. s, 2NH). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 160.8; 141.8; 138.3; 135.8; 130.3; 128.7; 126.0; 125.5; 103.1; 31.4 (CH); 19.6 (CH₃), 10.8 (2CH₃). Mass spectrum, *m/z* (*I*_{rel}, %): 299 [M+1]⁺ (6), 241 (3), 205 (9), 150 (100), 115 (25). Found: C 64.50; H 6.11; N 18.75. C₁₆H₁₈N₄O₂ Calculated, %: C 64.41; H 6.08; N 18.78.

4,4',4'',4'''-[Benzene-1,4-diylbis(methanetriyl)]tetrakis-(**3-methyl-1***H***-pyrazol-5-ol) (2)** was synthesized as described above, except that the molar ratio of hydrazine hydrate, ethyl acetoacetate, and terephthalaldehyde was 4:4:1, respectively. Orange powder. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3351, 3112, 1600, 1471, 833. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 2.04 (12H, s, 4CH₃); 3.94 (4H, br. s, 4OH); 4.70 (2H, s, 2CH); 6.93 (4H, s, H Ar); 11.61 (4H, br. s, 4NH). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 161.5; 140.9; 140.4; 127.3; 104.8; 32.8 (2CH); 10.8 (4CH₃). Mass spectrum, *m/z* (*I*_{rel}, %): 490 [M]⁺ (2), 481 (2), 467 (6), 455 (58), 438 (26), 425 (26), 411 (11), 395 (27), 382 (100), 356 (82), 340 (96). Found: C 58.72; H 5.36; N 22.80. C₂₄H₂₆N₈O₄ Calculated, %: C 58.77; H 5.34; N 22.84.

The authors are grateful to University of Kashan for supporting this work by Grant No. 159196/XXI.

References

- 1. Brogden, R. N. Drugs 1986, 32, 60.
- Sugiura, S.; Ohno, S.; Ohtani, O.; Izumi, K.; Kitamikado, T.; Asai, H.; Kato, K.; Hori, M.; Fujimura, H. J. Med. Chem. 1977, 20, 80.
- Bailey, D. M.; Hansen, P. E.; Hlavac, A. G.; Baizman, E. R.; Pearl, J.; Defelicem, A. F.; Feigenson, M. E. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 256.
- 4. Hamama, W. S. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 1335.

- Sivaprasad, G.; Perumal, P. T.; Prabavathy, V. R.; Mathivanan, N. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006, 16, 6302.
- Elinson, M. N.; Dorofeev, A. S.; Nasybullin, R. F.; Nikishin, G. I. Synthesis 2008, 1933.
- 7. Niknam, K.; Saberi, D.; Sadegheyan, M.; Deris, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 692.
- 8. Soleimani, E.; Ghorbani, S.; Taran, M.; Sarvary, A. C. R. Chim. 2012, 15, 955.
- Sujatha, K.; Shanthi, G.; Selvam, N. P.; Manoharan, S.; Perumal, P. T.; Rajendran, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2009, 19, 4501.
- Phatangare, K. R.; Padalkar, V. S.; Gupta, V. D.; Patil, V. S.; Umape, P. G.; Sekar, N. Synth. Commun. 2012, 42, 1349.
- 11. Tayebi, S.; Baghernejad, M.; Saberi, D.; Niknam, K. Chin. J. Catal. 2011, 32, 1477.
- 12. Niknam, K.; Sadeghi Habibabad, M.; Deris, A.; Aeinjamshid, N. Monatsh. Chem. 2013, 144, 987.
- 13. Wang, W.; Wang, S. X.; Qin, X. Y.; Li, J. T. Synth. Commun. 2005, 35, 1263.
- Khazaei, A.; Zolfigol, M. A.; Moosavi-Zare, A. R.; Asgari, Z.; Shekouhy, M.; Zare, A.; Hasaninejad, A. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 8010.
- Karimi-Jaberi, Z.; Pooladian, B.; Moradi, M.; Ghasemi, E. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2012**, *33*, 1945.
- Sartori, G.; Ballini, R.; Bigi, F.; Bosica, G.; Maggi, R.; Righi, P. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 199.
- Sakakura, A.; Kawajiri, K.; Ohkubo, T.; Kosugi, Y.; Ishihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14775.
- 18. Adkins, H.; Connor, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1931, 53, 1091.
- Kawamoto, A. M.; Pardini, L. C.; Rezende, L. C. Aerosp. Sci. Technol. 2004, 8, 591.
- Sathiskumar, P. S.; Thomas, C. R.; Madras, G. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2012, 51, 10108.
- 21. Acharyya, S. S.; Ghosh, S.; Bal, R. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2014**, *2*, 584.
- Liu, D.; Zemlyanov, D.; Wu, T.; Lobo-Lapidus, R. J.; Dumesic, J. A.; Miller, J. T.; Marshall, C. L. J. Catal. 2013, 299, 336.
- Madhavi Latha, B.; Sadasivam, V.; Sivasankar, B. Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 1070.
- 24. Ping, L.; Zhen, Z.; Hongbin, X.; Zhang, Y. Thermochim. Acta 2012, 544, 71.
- 25. Safaei-Ghomi, J.; Zahedi, S. Monatsh. Chem. 2013, 144, 687.
- Safaei-Ghomi, J.; Ghasemzadeh, M. A.; Zahedi, S. J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 2013, 78, 769.
- 27. Safaei-Ghomi, J.; Ghasemzadeh, M. A.; Zahedi, S. J. Mex. Chem. Soc. 2013, 57, 1.
- Safaei-Ghomi, J.; Zahedi, S.; Ghasemzadeh, M. A. Monatsh. Chem. 2014, 145, 1191.
- Jia, X.-D.; Duan, H.-F.; Lin, Y.-J.; Cao, J.-G.; Liang, D.-P.; Wu, M.-C. Chem. Res. Chin. Univ. 2012, 28, 999.
- Edrissi, M.; Hosseini, S. A.; Soleymani, M. *Micro Nano Lett.* 2011, *6*, 836.