REVIEW

Non‑Mendelian segregation and transmission drive of B chromosomes

Juan Pedro M. Camach[o](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2507-9814)

Received: 11 January 2022 / Revised: 11 March 2022 / Accepted: 11 April 2022 / Published online: 3 June 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Abstract Selfsh genetic elements (SGE) get a transmission advantage (drive) thanks to their non-Mendelian inheritance. Here I identify eight steps during the reproductive cycle that can be subverted by SGEs to thrive in natural populations. Even though only three steps occur during meiosis, most cases of segregation distortion are considered "meiotic drive sensu lato." As this is a source of unnecessary contradictions, I suggest always using the term "transmission ratio distortion" (TRD). Chromosomal SGEs (e.g., B chromosomes) exhibit almost all types of TRD. In plants, the best-studied type of TRD for B chromosomes occurs post-meiotically during male gametophyte maturation. However, in animals, the two main types are pre-meiotic and meiotic TRDs, in all cases associated with gonotaxis (i.e., a preference of B chromosomes for germ cells). Frequently, TRD drivers in genic SGEs (e.g., t-alleles and segregation distorters in *Drosophila*) are paralogous copies of genes from the standard genome, whereas their targets can be other genes or satellite DNA (satDNA). As B chromosomes are often rich in satDNA and contain paralogous copies of A chromosome genes, perhaps their drive mechanisms are similar to those

Responsible Editors: Stacey Hanlon and Amanda Larracuente

J. P. M. Camacho (\boxtimes)

of genic SGEs. So far, the only association between a B chromosome gene and TRD is the gene *haplodizer* in *Nasonia vitripennis*. The discovery of B-genes controlling B-drive in other species does not appear to be far off, but experimental crosses will be needed to simultaneously test the TRD of a given B chromosome and the expression of its genes.

Keywords B chromosomes · Meiotic drive · Mendelian inheritance · Selfish genetic elements · Transmission drive

Abbreviations

Dissecting Mendelian segregation

Mendelian segregation predicts that a heterozygote yields half of its gametes carrying one or the other allele. Mendel [\(1865\)](#page-10-0) inferred his law of segregation analyzing the progeny of controlled crosses, without knowing the existence of either

Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain e-mail: jpmcamac@ugr.es

chromosomes or meiosis. He compared parents with ofspring in controlled crosses and recognized the importance of both the production of gamete classes at an equal frequency and their random fertilization, in order that his predictions could be made on the basis of probability laws (Mendel [1865](#page-10-0)).

Mendelian inheritance is achieved when all variants in every locus have the same chance to be present in the next generation. However, reproduction is a complex process with numerous putative vulnerabilities that SGEs can exploit to gain a transmission advantage (named "drive") (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Notably,

Fig. 1 Opportunities for TRD of selfsh genetic elements ◂(SGE) during reproductive cycle in plants (**a**) and animals (**b**). The numbers within circles indicate (1) diferential viability of SGEs during embryo cleavage mitoses; (2) preference of SGEs for fowers (in plants) or for germline cells during germ-soma diferentiation (in animals); this is termed gonotaxis (see Burt and Trivers [2006](#page-9-4)); (3) absence of pairing during frst meiotic prophase; (4) anomalous segregation at frst meiotic anaphase; (5) non-disjunction during the equational division at second meiotic anaphase; (6) mitotic non-disjunction and preferential destiny to the generative nucleus (i.e., gonotaxis) during pollen grain maturation (in plants) or sperm killing during spermiogenesis (in animals); (7) mitotic non-disjunction and gonotaxis towards the egg nucleus during embryo sac maturation (in plants), or meiotic preferential segregation at anaphase I or II (depending on species) once female meiosis is reactivated after fertilization; and (8) preferential fertilization, especially in plants, as pollen phenotype largely depends on gene expression (Wendel et al. [1987\)](#page-11-5). Note that only steps 3–5 occur during meiosis. In plants, meiosis is completed prior to gamete maturation and fertilization, whereas, in animals, fertilization triggers the completion of female meiosis

only three of these steps (3–5) show a relationship with the mechanics of meiosis, in spite of which it is extremely widespread the use of the term "meiotic drive" for every kind of segregation distortion, even though Sandler and Novitski ([1957\)](#page-11-0), who frst introduced the term, explicitly said that "where such a force, potentially capable of altering gene frequencies, is a consequence of the mechanics of the meiotic divisions, we suggest that the name meiotic drive be applied." Few years later, Lewontin ([1967\)](#page-10-1), Wright [\(1968](#page-11-1)) and Sandler himself (Zimmering et al. [1970\)](#page-11-2) noticed that the term meiotic drive was being used for cases in which meiotic disturbance was not apparent.

Although it appears to be only a semantic problem, using the term meiotic drive for every kind of segregation distortion, instead of only when steps 3–5 are involved, may give the impression that Mendelian segregation is equal to meiotic segregation. For instance, Cockburn [\(1991](#page-9-0), page 72) defned segregation distortion as "the non–random partitioning of the chromosomal complement at meiosis." Recent literature is not free from similar problems. For instance, it is confusing to read that "These results are consistent with the TRD mechanism being deviation from Mendelian inheritance rather than meiotic drive or segregation distortion" (Eversley et al. [2010\)](#page-9-1), as if the two latter would not be cases of non-Mendelian inheritance. Or else "such confict plays out in the arenas of meiosis and gametogenesis, and results in meiotic drive" (Lindholm et al. [2016\)](#page-10-2), as if meiosis were not

part of gametogenesis, a problem that is also apparent in "the classic meiotic drive systems in animals, including *t*-haplotype in mouse and *Segregation Distorter* (*Sd*) in *Drosophila*, are actually gamete killers that act during spermatogenesis rather than meiosis" (Fishman and Mcintosh [2019](#page-9-2)).

Even in the case that post-meiotical phenotypes (e.g., reduced sperm competitive ability or spore killing) were gestated during meiosis, e.g., through meiotic silencing of unpaired DNA (see Hammond et al. [2012;](#page-9-3) Svedberg et al. [2021\)](#page-11-3), I suggest limiting defnitions to phenotypic manifestation, as the ultimate causes still can change with research progress whereas phenotypes will remain the same. For instance, think about illnesses (e.g., dementia) manifested when we are old that were gestated during previous years and we still consider them to be elderly diseases.

I realize that using meiotic drive sensu lato (Tao et al. [2007](#page-11-4)) is not a problem for specialists but, in my opinion, it is an excessive simplifcation causing harm to teaching and science communication. Recently, Ren et al. ([2021\)](#page-10-3) defined "transmission ratio distortion" (TRD) as "the signifcant deviation from the expected ratio under Mendelian inheritance theory, which may be resulted from multiple disrupted biological processes, including germline selection, meiotic drive, gametic competition, imprint error, and embryo lethality." On this same vein, I suggest using TRD for any kind of segregation distortion, as frst done by Lyon ([1984\)](#page-10-4). TRD can manifest as "drive" or "drag," when the transmission ratio is higher or lower than 0.5, respectively, and, when the exact nature of TRD is known, we can use "genic drive," "centromeric drive," "chromosome drive," "meiotic drive," "gamete or spore killing," etc. Even though Sandler and Novitski [\(1957](#page-11-0)) illustrated their meiotic drive proposal with some examples of segregation distortions that were clearly post-meiotic, it is understandable that the unknowns from so many years ago justifed this inconsistency. However, to give them due recognition, we should use "meiotic drive" only when TRD occurs during meiosis.

Next, I will review the known cases of TRD for B chromosomes in diferent organisms, as well as the putative genetical basis for them in several species where gene and repetitive DNA content have been analyzed, and also their similarities with the main features found for TRD in genic SGEs.

Non‑Mendelian transmission of B chromosomes

Among the SGEs being able to subvert reproduction in its favor, supernumerary (B) chromosomes display examples of TRD for most of the weaknesses summarized in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The very nature of B chromosomes dictates their variation in number within populations, because they do not always go in pairs and cannot fulfll step 3 in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) If B bivalents persist until meiotic metaphase I, they segregate to opposite poles and have no chance for meiotic drive or loss; however, B univalents can divide equationally in the frst meiotic division and can sometimes lag and, perhaps, be lost (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). The presence of B chromosome univalents is promoted by B mitotic instability, which is common in many species, as this yields intra-individual variation in the number of B chromosomes. This adds sophistication to B chromosome polymorphisms, as they can be restricted to the germline thus being less harmful on carrier ftness and being better tolerated by carriers (Camacho et al. [2000](#page-9-5); Camacho [2005\)](#page-9-6).

A summary of the known cases of TRD for B chromosomes demonstrates that they entangle a variety of mechanisms for advantageous transmission illustrating most steps mentioned above. A general feature of B chromosome TRD is gonotaxis, i.e., their tendency to preferentially move to the germline during mitosis or meiosis (Burt and Trivers [2006](#page-9-4)). To remark the diferentiation between meiosis and the whole Mendelian reproductive cycle, I will review the known cases of non-Mendelian inheritance of B chromosomes grouped as pre-meiotic, meiotic, and post-meiotic TRD.

Pre-meiotic TRD (steps 1 and 2)

Prior to meiosis, germline cells can undergo processes that may bias Mendelian inheritance. Male germ cells multiply through many rounds of spermatogonial mitoses which are the basis for the extremely high numbers of spermatozoa produced in the male sex. Oogonia undergo a much more limited number of pre-meiotic mitoses, and they begin meiosis much sooner than spermatogonia, so that, at birth, have their oocytes stopped in the middle of meiosis.

The paternal sex ratio chromosome (PSR) is a B chromosome found in some populations of the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Werren [1991](#page-11-6)). This B chromosome was frst described as a sex ratio

Fig. 2 Examples of meiotic pairing between B chromosomes in the grasshoppers *Eyprepocnemis plorans* (**a**–**b**) and *Locusta migratoria* (**c**–**d**). The C-banded metaphase I cells in **a** and **b** show the heterochromatic nature of the two B chromosomes carried by an *E. plorans* male, as two univalents (B) in **a** and as a B-bivalent in **b** (BB). **c** and **d** show anaphase I and telophase I cells, respectively, which were submitted to FISH for LmiSat02-176, a satDNA which represents 55% of the B chromosome DNA in *L. migratoria* (Ruiz-Ruano et al. [2018](#page-11-9)). Both cells contain three B chromosomes, but the anaphase I (**c**) shows two Bs properly integrated with a given pole (B) whereas the other B is divided equationally into its two chromatids (b) which are in the same pole. In the telophase I cell (**d**); however, the two B chromatids (b) of the equationally divided B chromosome are lagging

factor producing all-male broods (Werren et al. [1981\)](#page-11-7) and then as a supernumerary (B) chromosome (Nur et al. [1988](#page-10-5)). The presence of this B chromosome in the sperm makes that, upon fertilization, the diploid zygotes (destined to be females) are converted into haploid ones (yielding PSR-carrying males) through the destruction of all paternal A chromosome set (Werren et al. [1987\)](#page-11-8). This operates during the first mitotic division of the zygote (step 1) by the heterochromatinization of the paternal A chromosomes, whereas the B itself is unafected. This reminds the poison-antidote mechanism of gamete killers (step 6 in Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b) but with its efect retarded after fertilization. PSR is thus an extremely parasitic B chromosome that reduces to zero the ftness of every A chromosome set that enters into contact with it (Beukeboom and Werren, [2000](#page-8-0)). In fact, as noted by Burt and Trivers [\(2006](#page-9-4)), its mode of action restricts PSR presence to males thus losing the chance to be also transmitted through females, like other B chromosomes. Therefore, although the transmission ratio through males is very high (>0.9) , the null transmission through females yields, as a whole, an average near-Mendelian ratio slightly lower than 0.5. This explains the low frequency of PSR in natural populations, e.g., 11.8% in Utah (Skinner [1983](#page-11-10)). Therefore, PSR is extremely parasitic but poorly efficient as SGE.

A special pathway for B chromosome survival is leakage during asexual reproduction of gynogenetic parthenogenesis in fatworms and fsh. *Polycelis nigra* is a simultaneously hermaphroditic fatworm that can reproduce asexually through pseudogamous parthenogenesis, so that the sperm is only used for egg activation but does not contribute genetically to the progeny (Beukeboom et al. [1996](#page-8-1)). However, these authors demonstrated that B chromosomes in this species sometimes escape from expulsion and display 6–36% of paternal inheritance. Similar leakage was observed in the Amazon molly, the fsh *Poecilia formosa*, an all-female species reproducing by gynogenesis whose unreduced diploid eggs undergo embryogenesis after activation by sperm from males of closely related species (for review, see Lamatsch et al. [2004](#page-9-7)). Supernumerary chromosomes in this species probably arose by escape from the elimination of sperm chromosomes and, at least in the Río Purifcación basin, they constituted a widespread polymorphism (Lamatsch et al., [2004](#page-9-7)).

Mitotic instability and gonotaxis can lead to B chromosome drive when Bs reach a higher frequency in the germline than in the somatic line. This premeiotic TRD has been reported in grasshopper species such as *Camnula pellucida* (Carroll [1920;](#page-9-8) Nur [1969\)](#page-10-6), *Neopodismopsis abdominalis* (Rothfels [1950](#page-11-11)), *Calliptamus palaestinensis* (Nur [1963\)](#page-10-7), and *Locusta migratoria* (Nur [1969](#page-10-6)). The demonstration of a higher number of Bs in spermatocytes than in somatic cells (gastric caeca) from the same individuals was due to Kayano ([1971\)](#page-9-9) and Viseras et al. [\(1990](#page-11-12)) in *L. migratoria*, and the non-disjunction of this B chromosome was visualized in 5-day-old embryos by Pardo et al. [\(1995](#page-10-8)). In the plant *Crepis capillaris*, the frequency of B chromosomes is higher in fowers than in roots (Rutishauser and Röthlisberger [1966](#page-11-13)), in resemblance to pre-meiotic drive in grasshoppers. Anyway, the fnal demonstration of gonotaxis during embryo mitotic divisions is still waiting in all cases.

Meiotic TRD (steps 3–5)

True meiotic drive rests on some kind of functional asymmetry of meiocytes. In female meiosis, asymmetry results from the production of only one viable gamete out of the four meiotic products. A molecular explanation has been found for this asymmetry, mediated by CDC42 signaling and microtubule tyrosination (Akera et al. [2017](#page-8-2)). Some clear-cut examples of non-Mendelian segregation during female meiosis are, for instance, the preferential segregation to the functional megaspore of the knobbed chromosome 10 in maize (Rhoades & Dempsey [1966\)](#page-10-9) or of the *B* chromosomes to the ovum in the grasshoppers *Melanoplus femur-rubrum* (Lucov & Nur [1973\)](#page-10-10), *Myrmeleotettix maculatus* (Hewitt [1973](#page-9-10), [1976\)](#page-9-11), *Heteracris littoralis* (Cano & Santos [1989](#page-9-12)), *Locusta migratoria* (Pardo et al. [1994](#page-10-11)), and *Eyprepocnemis plorans* (Zurita et al. [1998](#page-11-14)), to mention only a few cases (for additional information, see Jones and Rees [1982;](#page-9-13) Jones [1991](#page-9-14), [2018](#page-9-15); Camacho [2005;](#page-9-6) Houben [2017](#page-9-16)).

On the male side, however, meiotic drive sensu stricto is more problematic, as all four genomic sets of spermatogonia are usually present in gametes. An exception is the lecanoid system in some hemipteran insects, where the paternal set of chromosomes is heterochromatinized during the blastula stage (Schrader [1921;](#page-11-15) Brown and Nelson-Rees [1961\)](#page-9-17) and its inverted spermatogenesis consists of two highly modifed divisions, the frst being equational and the second reductional. In the second division, the heterochromatic and euchromatic sets are segregated to opposite poles, yielding two heterochromatic and two euchromatic products, with only the latter producing sperm (Hughes-Schrader [1948\)](#page-9-18). This functional asymmetry of spermatogenesis in the lecanoid system is exploited by the B chromosome of the mealybug *Pseudococcus* *afnis* (formerly *P. obscurus*), which begins meiosis being heterochromatic during prophase I (i.e., positively heteropycnotic), it is euchromatic at metaphase I (i.e., negatively heteropycnotic as the euchromatic set of A chromosomes), and it fnally segregates with the euchromatic set at anaphase II (Nur [1962\)](#page-10-12). It is unknown how B chromosomes manage to go preferentially with the euchromatic set of A chromosomes, but it is a highly interesting question to address with next-generation sequencing (NGS) tools. Anyway, this case shows a certain resemblance with PSR in *N. vitripennis*, as both imply the destruction of the paternal set of chromosomes.

Post-meiotic TRD (steps 6–8)

Maturation of male and female gametophytes in plants implies the occurrence of several mitoses, during which B chromosome non-disjunction and gonotaxis can operate to provide post-meiotic TRD by preferential migration to the generative nucleus in the pollen grain or to the egg in the embryo sac (steps 6 and 7, respectively). Examples of male postmeiotic TRD have been reported, for instance, in *Secale cereale* (Hasegawa [1934\)](#page-9-19), *Festuca pratensis* (Bösemark [1954](#page-9-20)), *Aegilops speltoides* (Mendelson and Zohari [1972](#page-10-13)), *Hypochoeris maculata* (Parker [1976\)](#page-10-14), and *Prospero autumnale* (Lanzas et al. [2018](#page-9-21)). In rye, experimental crosses by Müntzing ([1945\)](#page-10-15) demonstrated that post-meiotic TRD during gametophyte maturation takes place also through the female side (step 7), thus making rye B chromosomes one of the few examples, along with the migratory locust (Pardo et al. [1994\)](#page-10-11), where B chromosomes exhibit drive through both sexes. It is not by chance that both B chromosome polymorphisms show worldwide distribution. In maize, however, B chromosome nondisjunction takes place only in the male gametophyte and acts during the second pollen grain mitosis. This yields one sperm nucleus with 2B and the other with 0B. If they would fertilize at random, no drive would result. However, there is preferential fertilization by B-carrying sperm nuclei (Roman [1948;](#page-11-16) Carlson [1969\)](#page-9-22) resulting in the only known case of TRD during step 8.

In contrast to plants, no B chromosomes are known in animals with post-meiotic TRD in any sex (steps 6–7). In females, there is no chance for this kind of TRD as meiosis fnishes after fertilization, so that

step 8 precedes the end of steps 4 or 5. In males, however, sperm maturation (spermiogenesis) is long and complex and, although it does not require any additional mitosis, it would give a chance to sperm killing, but no case has yet been reported.

Genetic basis for B chromosome TRD

Research on genic SGEs, mainly t-alleles in mice, segregation distorters in *Drosophila*, and spore killers in fungi, has revealed interesting evolutionary pathways for TRD, by uncovering the involvement of specifc gene duplications and other genomic elements, mainly satDNA and the RNA interference pathway (Wu et al. [1988;](#page-11-17) Lyttle [1991;](#page-10-16) Herrmann et al. [1999;](#page-9-23) Merrill et al. [1999;](#page-10-17) Bauer et al. [2005](#page-8-3), [2007](#page-8-4); Muirhead and Presgraves [2021](#page-10-18); and see this special issue). As shown below, the scarce data on B chromosome TRD are remarkably similar.

NGS has brought about an exponential increase in publications on the DNA content of B chromosomes, both on protein-coding genes and repetitive DNA. This has given interesting insights for non-Mendelian inheritance only in those B chromosome systems where the possible existence of drive had already been investigated, and they are mentioned below.

In plants, three B chromosome systems fulfll the former condition, namely those in rye (*Secale cereale*), maize (*Zea mays*), and the goatgrass (*Aegilops speltoides*). Rye B chromosomes show post-meiotical TRD during the maturation of both gamethopytes (steps 6 and 7). The frst indication for a genetic control of B drive in rye was put forward by Lima-De-Faria ([1962\)](#page-10-19), who suggested the existence of a controlling element located in the heterochromatic block of the distal region of the long arm of the standard B chromosome (corroborated by Puertas et al. [1998](#page-10-20)). This control was then associated with the B-specifc E3900 and D1100 satDNA families (Langdon et al., [2000\)](#page-9-24), both of which are transcribed producing a heterogeneous collection of non-coding RNAs (Carchilan et al., [2007\)](#page-9-25). Later, Banaei-Moghaddam et al. [\(2012](#page-8-5)) found that non-disjunction of Bs is accompanied by centromere activity and is likely caused by extended cohesion of the B sister chromatids and concluded that B drive in rye results from a combination of non-disjunction and asymmetric spindle formation at frst pollen mitosis leading to the accumulation of Bs in the generative nucleus (step 6). Up to this moment, no genes have been shown to participate in the control of B drive in rye, but the fnding of many protein-coding genes by Martis et al. [\(2012](#page-10-21)) opened this possibility.

As reviewed by Jones et al. [\(2008](#page-9-26)), B chromosomes in maize show three events infuencing its overall non-Mendelian transmission: (i) non-disjunction during the second pollen grain mitosis (step 6), (ii) preferential fertilization of the sperm nuclei carrying the two B chromatids (step 8), and (iii) suppression of meiotic loss of unpaired Bs (step 3), with a complex control by the A and B chromosomes. Interestingly, recent NGS sequencing by Blavet et al. [\(2021](#page-8-6)) has found 758 genic sequences residing in the B chromosome, many of which show putative functions dealing with non-disjunction, preferential fertilization, and univalent stabilization, which might be useful to help B transmission drive. This opens new exciting avenues of research to uncover the molecular details of the complex cross-talk between A and B chromosomes at the gene expression level, triggered by the arms race between them.

Goatgrass plants may carry B chromosomes in the aerial parts but not in the roots, and these Bs show directed non-disjunction at anaphase of the frst pollen mitosis (Mendelson and Zohari [1972](#page-10-13)). Most recently, Ruban et al. ([2020\)](#page-11-18) demonstrated that the elimination of B chromosomes in the roots is a strictly controlled process where B chromosomes exhibit non-disjunction and chromatid lagging during mitotic anaphases, leading to the formation of micronuclei containing the B chromosome, whose degradation is the fnal step of B chromosome elimination from roots. In addition, NGS analysis allowed these authors to fnd 229 genes presumably residing in the B chromosome, which opens interesting questions for future research to ascertain if some of the B genes play an essential role in B drive during the frst pollen grain mitosis or B elimination in roots.

In animals, the species where drive and DNA content of B chromosomes have been analyzed are the grasshopper *Eyprepocnemis plorans*, the locust *Locusta migratoria*, the jewel wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*, and the cavefsh *Astyanax mexicanus.* In addition, B chromosomes in *Pseudococcus afnis* are highly interesting, due to their exceptional drive during male meiosis and the clear evidence for drive suppression reported by Nur and Brett ([1985,](#page-10-22) [1987](#page-10-23)). This B chromosome system is thus waiting for NGS research to identify possible genes and repetitive DNAs that may be involved in the control of B drive.

In the grasshopper *E. plorans*, Navarro-Domínguez et al. ([2017\)](#page-10-24) found ten protein-coding genes residing in the B chromosome, fve of which were transcribed from the B chromosome and coded for functions related to cell division. In the same vein, Ruiz-Ruano et al. [\(2019](#page-11-19)) found 25 protein-coding genes in *L. migratoria*, one of which (*apc1*) codes for the large subunit of the anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome (APC/C), an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in the metaphase-anaphase transition. The possibility that a putatively higher amount of APC1 protein in B-carrying cells might favor metaphase-anaphase transition in spite of the orientation of the two B chromatids towards the same pole, demands future research.

The molecular details about non-Mendelian inheritance have experienced much less progress for B chromosomes than for other types of SGEs. This may be due to the scarcity of B-carrying species with highquality genome sequencing, the exceptions being *D. melanogaster*, *N. vitripennis*, and *A. mexicanus*.

In *D. melanogaster*, Bauerly et al. [\(2014](#page-8-7)) found mitotically unstable B chromosomes in a laboratory stock and showed that they are transmitted through both sexes, with no apparent drive. The genetic analysis performed by Bauerly et al. ([2014\)](#page-8-7) suggested that B chromosomes in *D. melanogaster* most likely devoid functional or nonfunctional genic sequences, and subsequent high-throughput sequencing by Hanlon et al. (2018) (2018) revealed that this B chromosome does not contain known protein-coding genes, apart from several highly repetitive elements, one of which (the AAGAT satellite) is specifc to chromosome 4, as expected if the B chromosome would have arisen from this autosome. The possibility exists that the mitotic instability of this B chromosome might be associated with subsequent gonotaxis, as in grasshoppers (Kayano [1971;](#page-9-9) Viseras et al. [1990](#page-11-12)), and it could be investigated by comparing the number of B chromosomes in germ and somatic cells from the same individuals.

In *N. vitripennis*, Benetta et al. ([2020\)](#page-8-8) analyzed, by NGS, the fne-scale sequence composition and expression of PSR. They found 44 genes in the B chromosome and used RNA interference to demonstrate that PSR action is mediated through testisspecifc expression of a PSR-linked gene named *haploidizer*, which encodes a putative protein with a DNA binding domain. This is the best available evidence for a functional link between a B gene and a B chromosome drive.

Recently, through high-quality genome assembly, Imarazene et al. (2021) (2021) have identified 63 genes in the B chromosome of the Pachón cavefsh (*A. mexicanus*), one of which was the master sex-determining gene *growth diferentiation factor 6b* (*gdf6b*). The high sequence similarity between the A and B chromosome paralogous copies impeded ascertaining whether the B chromosome actually plays a role in sex determination, but this is an interesting prospect for future investigations.

Therefore, although the gene content of B chromosomes has grown exponentially during the last years, the research on the meaning and putative function of these genes is still in diapers, compared to the details unveiled on the genetic basis for TRD in genic SGEs (see this special issue).

TRD similarities between B chromosomes and other SGEs

The cases of TRD for B chromosomes reviewed here, and their possible genetic basis, display a high resemblance with those described for genic SGEs, which can be summarized into three main aspects:

1) TRD is manifested in inter-population or interspecifc crosses but suppressed at an intra-population level as part of the coadaptation process. For instance, the B chromosome polymorphism in the grasshopper *E. plorans* is the paradigm of the near-neutral model of B chromosome evolution, which is a variant of the parasitic model that includes drive suppression (Camacho et al. [1997\)](#page-9-29). Remarkably, drive for *E. plorans* Bs has been observed only in inter-population crosses (Herrera et al. [1996](#page-9-30)) (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)) and during an ongoing B invasion (Zurita et al. 1999). In the frst case, nine 1B females from the Salobreña population were mated by Herrera et al. [\(1996](#page-9-30)) with two diferent 0B males, one from the same population and the other from a diferent population, and it yielded 10 intra- and 12 inter-population estimates of B transmission ratio (kB). As Fig. [3](#page-7-0) shows, the comparison of these two types of

Fig. 3 TRD for B chromosomes in the grasshopper *E. plorans* is manifested in inter-population crosses. These Gardner-Altman graphs display B transmission ratios (kB) obtained by Herrera et al. [\(1996](#page-9-30)) for nine 1B females from the Salobreña population, after double mating with a male from the same population and another male from a diferent population. The comparisons were made as suggested by Ho et al. [\(2019](#page-9-31)) and the graphs were built using <https://www.estimationstats.com>. The upper graphs display the comparison of the observed kB with Mendelian expectation $(kB=0.5)$ for intra- and inter-population crosses. Note that only three intra-population crosses showed kB>0.6, whereas most inter-population crosses (except two) showed kB>0.6. The lower graph displays the distribution of kB values in the two types of crosses, revealing that the paired mean diference (i.e., the efect size) between the observed kB and the Mendelian ratio (0.5) was close to zero (on average) for intra-population crosses, and about 0.15 for inter-population ones

kB with the Mendelian ratio (0.5) revealed that inter-population kBs were higher than Mendelian expectations, with an efect size (measured by the paired mean diference) equal to 0.159 (95% CI: 0.0857, 0.217), whereas the intra-population kBs did not difer, on average, from 0.5 (efect size = 0.0224, 95% CI: − 0.0926, 0.106).

 By analogy with other cases where TRD manifests only in interspecifc crosses, such as the Winters Sex Ratio trait in *D. simulans* (Muirhead and Presgraves [2021\)](#page-10-18), diferential survival in *Mus* *musculus-spretus* crosses (Eversley et al. [2010](#page-9-1)), and centromeric drive in *Mimulus guttatus* (Finseth et al. [2021](#page-9-32)), it appears that SGE success can be paralleled by coadaptation breakdown, as also illustrated by the emergence of a new supernumerary chromosome during interspecifc crosses in *Nasonia* (Perfectti and Werren [2001\)](#page-10-25). Coadaptation thus implies drive suppression to subdue SGEs to the rules of the parliament of genes (Leigh [1971\)](#page-10-26).

- 2) TRD is mediated by genes in most genic SGEs, the exceptions probably being due to information missing. In the case of B chromosomes, the presence of active protein-coding genes has just beginning to be uncovered. It is remarkable that the only gene functionally related with B chromosome TRD is *haplodizer* in *N. vitripennis*, and it showed no homology with the known genes of the standard genome but appeared to be derived from an insect bacterial symbiont (Benetta et al. [2020\)](#page-8-8) in consistency with the interspecifc origin of this B chromosome (McAllister and Werren [1997](#page-10-27)). It is presumable that the next years are going to witness an extraordinary advance in the knowledge of how B chromosome drive depends on genes residing on B chromosomes.
- 3) The target of drivers can be other genes or satDNA, and both are abundant on B chromosomes. The raw material for TRD is actually present on B chromosomes, as they contain a multitude of paralogous copies of A chromosome genes, some of which might yield functional proteins (Ma et al. [2016](#page-10-28)) and others can be pseudogenical and source of endogenous siR-NAs that may infuence gene expression (Banaei-Moghaddam et al. [2013](#page-8-9)). Perhaps the RNAi pathway could explain the rapid suppression of drive in *E. plorans* (Perfectti et al. [2004\)](#page-10-29). In addition, B chromosomes are enriched in satDNA (Camacho et al. [2021\)](#page-9-33), which has shown to play a crucial role in rye B drive and it is the target for *Sd* in *D. melanogaster*. However, most observations on B chromosomes are still incidental and demand future research which, inspired on genic SGE's research, may uncover the transcriptomic and proteomic cross-talk between the DNA content of A and B chromosomes characterizing their coevolutionary arms race.

Acknowledgements I am highly grateful to all the researchers who have co-authored my publications on B chromosomes for more than 40 years, as well as the University of Granada, Junta de Andalucía and the Spanish Government for providing us the necessary funds. I am also grateful to Andreas Houben and an anonymous reviewer for useful comments and David Martinez for English corrections.

Declarations

Competing interests The author declares no competing interests.

References

- Akera T, Chmátal L, Trimm E, Yang K, Aonbangkhen C, Chenoweth DM et al (2017) Spindle asymmetry drives non-Mendelian chromosome segregation. Science 358:668– 672. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0092>
- Banaei-Moghaddam AM, Schubert V, Kumke K, Weiβ O, Klemme S, Nagaki K et al (2012) Nondisjunction in favor of a chromosome: the mechanism of rye B chromosome drive during pollen mitosis. Plant Cell 24:4124–4134
- Banaei-Moghaddam AM, Meier K, Karimi-Ashtiyani R, Houben A (2013) Formation and expression of pseudogenes on the B chromosome of rye. Plant Cell 25:2536–2544. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.111856>
- Bauer H, Willert J, Koschorz B, Herrmann BG (2005) The t complex-encoded GTPase-activating protein Tagap1 acts as a transmission ratio distorter in mice. Nat Genet 37:969–973. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1617>
- Bauer H, Véron N, Willert J, Herrmann BG (2007) The t-complex-encoded guanine nucleotide exchange factor Fgd2 reveals that two opposing signaling pathways promote transmission ratio distortion in the mouse. Genes Dev 21:143–147. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.414807>
- Bauerly E, Hughes SE, Vietti DR, Miller DE, McDowell W, Scott Hawley R (2014) Discovery of supernumerary B chromosomes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 196:1007–1016. [https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.160556) [160556](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.160556)
- Benetta ED, Antoshechkin I, Yang T, Nguyen HQM, Ferree PM, Akbari OS (2020) Genome elimination mediated by gene expression from a selfsh chromosome. Sci Adv 6:eaaz9808. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz9808>
- Beukeboom LW, Seif M, Mettenmeyer T, Plowman AB, Michiels NK (1996) Paternal inheritance of B chromosomes in a parthenogenetic hermaphrodite. Heredity 77:646–654. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1996.192>
- Beukeboom LW, Werren JH (2000) The paternal-sex-ratio (PSR) chromosome in natural populations of *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). J Evol Biol 13:967–975. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2000.00231.x>
- Blavet N, Yang H, Su H, Solanský P, Douglas RN, Karafátová M et al (2021) Sequence of the supernumerary B chromosome of maize provides insight into its drive mechanism and evolution. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 118:e2104254118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.2104254118>
- Bösemark NO (1954) On accessory chromosomes in *Festuca pratensis*. II. Inheritance of the standard type of accessory chromosomes. Hereditas 49:425-437. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1954.tb02981.x) [org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1954.tb02981.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1954.tb02981.x)
- Brown SW, Nelson-Rees WA (1961) Radiation analysis of a lecanoid genetic system. Genetics 46:983–1007. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/46.8.983) doi.org/10.1093/genetics/46.8.983
- Burt A, Trivers R (2006) Genes in confict: the biology of selfsh genetic elements. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Camacho JPM (2005) B chromosomes. In: Gregory TR (ed) The evolution of the genome. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, pp 223–286
- Camacho JPM, Sharbel TF, Beukeboom LW (2000) B-chromosome evolution. Phil Trans Roy Soc Lond B 355:163–178.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2000.0556>
- Camacho JPM, Shaw MW, López-León MD, Pardo MC, Cabrero J (1997) Population dynamics of a selfsh B chromosome neutralized by the standard genome in the grasshopper *Eyprepocnemis plorans*. Am Nat 149:1030–1050
- Camacho JPM, Ruiz-Ruano FJ, López-León MD, Cabrero J (2021) Satellite DNA is an inseparable fellow traveller of B chromosomes. In: Ugarković Ð. (eds) Satellite DNAs in physiology and evolution. Progress in Molecular and Subcellular Biology, vol 60. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0_4) [10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0_4)
- Cano MI, Santos JL (1989) Cytological basis of the B chromosome accumulation mechanism in the grasshopper *Heteracris litoralis* (Ramb). Heredity 62:91–95. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1989.12) [10.1038/hdy.1989.12](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1989.12)
- Carchilan M, Delgado M, Ribeiro T, Costa-Nunes P, Caperta A et al (2007) Transcriptionally active heterochromatin in rye B chromosomes. Plant Cell 19:1738–1749. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.046946) [org/10.1105/tpc.106.046946](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.046946)
- Carlson WR (1969) Factors afecting preferential fertilization in maize. Genetics 62:543–554. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/62.3.543) [genetics/62.3.543](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/62.3.543)
- Carroll M (1920) An extra dyad and an extra tetrad in the spermatogenesis of *Camnula pellucida* (Orthoptera): numerical variation in the chromosome complex within the individual. J Morph 34:375–455
- Cockburn A (1991) An introduction to evolutionary ecology. Blackwell Scientifc Publications, Oxford
- Eversley CD, Clark T, Xie Y, Steigerwalt J, Bell TA, de Villena FPM et al (2010) Genetic mapping and developmental timing of transmission ratio distortion in a mouse interspecific backcross. BMC Genet 11:98. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-98) [1186/1471-2156-11-98](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-98)
- Finseth FR, Nelson TC, Fishman L (2021) Selfsh chromosomal drive shapes recent centromeric histone evolution in monkeyflowers. PLoS Genet 17(4):e1009418. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009418) doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009418
- Fishman L, Mcintosh M (2019) Standard deviations: the biological bases of transmission ratio distortion. Ann Rev Genet 53:347–372. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annur](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043905) [ev-genet-112618-043905](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043905)
- Hammond TM, Rehard DG, Xiao H, Shiu PKT (2012) Molecular dissection of *Neurospora Spore Killer* meiotic drive elements. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 109(30):12093–12098. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203267109>
- Hanlon S, Miller DE, Eche S, Hawley RS (2018) Origin, composition and structure of the supernumerary B chromosome of *Drosophila*. Genetics 210:1197–1212. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300904) [org/10.1534/genetics.118.300904](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300904)
- Hasegawa N (1934) A cytological study on 8-chromosome rye. Cytologia 6:68–77
- Herrera J, López-León MD, Cabrero J, Shaw MW, Camacho JPM (1996) Evidence for B chromosome drive suppression in the grasshopper *Eyprepocnemis plorans*. Heredity 76(6):633–639. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1996.90>
- Herrmann BG, Koschorz B, Wertz K, McLaughlin KJ, Kispert A (1999) A protein kinase encoded by the *t* complex responder gene causes non-Mendelian inheritance. Nature 402:141–146.<https://doi.org/10.1038/45970>
- Hewitt G (1973) Variable transmission rates of a B-chromosome in *Myrmeleotettix maculatus* (Thunb) (Acrididae:Orthoptera). Chromosoma 40:83–106. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00319837) doi.org/10.1007/BF00319837
- Hewitt GM (1976) Meiotic drive for B-chromosomes in the primary oocytes of *Myrmeleotettix maculatus* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Chromosoma 56:381–391. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00292957) [org/10.1007/BF00292957](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00292957)
- Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A (2019) Moving beyond P values: data analysis with estimation graphics. Nat Methods 16(7):565–566. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3) [1038/s41592-019-0470-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3)
- Houben A (2017) B Chromosomes - a matter of chromosome drive. Front Plant Sci 8:210. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00210) [2017.00210](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00210)
- Hughes-Schrader S (1948) Cytology of coccids (Coccoidea-Homoptera). Advanc Genet 2:127–203
- Imarazene B, Du K, Beille S, Jouanno E, Feron R, Pan Q et al (2021) A supernumerary "B-sex" chromosome drives male sex determination in the Pachón cavefsh, *Astyanax mexicanus*. Curr Biol 31:4800-4809.e9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.030) [1016/j.cub.2021.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.030)
- Jones RN (1991) B-chromosome drive. Am Nat 137:430–442
- Jones RN (2018) Transmission and drive involving parasitic B chromosomes. Genes 9(8):388. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9080388) [genes9080388](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9080388)
- Jones RN, González-Sánchez M, González-García M, Vega JM, Puertas MJ (2008) Chromosomes with a life of their own. Cytogenet Genome Res 120:265–280. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1159/000121076) [org/10.1159/000121076](https://doi.org/10.1159/000121076)
- Jones RN, Rees H (1982) B Chromosomes. Academic Press, New York
- Kayano H (1971) Accumulation of B chromosomes in the germ line of *Locusta migratoria*. Heredity 27:119–123. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1971.76) doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1971.76
- Lamatsch DK, Nanda I, Schlupp I, Epplen JT, Schmid M, Schartl M (2004) Distribution and stability of supernumerary microchromosomes in natural populations of the Amazon molly, *Poecilia formosa*. Cytogenet Genome Res 106:189–194.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000079286>
- Langdon T, Seago C, Jones RN, Ougham H, Thomas H, Forster JW et al (2000) *De novo* evolution of satellite DNA on the rye B chromosome. Genetics 154:869–884. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/154.2.869) doi.org/10.1093/genetics/154.2.869
- Lanzas P, Perfectti F, Garrido-Ramos MA, Ruíz-Rejón C, González-Sánchez M, Puertas M et al (2018) Longterm monitoring of B-chromosome invasion and

neutralization in a population of *Prospero autumnale* (Asparagaceae). Evolution 72:1216–1224. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13501) [org/10.1111/evo.13501](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13501)

- Leigh EG (1971) Adaptation and Diversity. Freeman Cooper and Co, San Francisco
- Lewontin RC (1967) Population Genetics Ann Rev Genet 1:37–70
- Lima-de-Faria A (1962) Genetic interaction in rye expressed at the chromosome phenotype. Genetics 47:1455–1462
- Lindholm AK, Dyer KA, Firman RC, Fishman L, Forstmeier W, Holman L et al (2016) The ecology and evolutionary dynamics of meiotic drive. Trends Ecol Evol 31:315– 326.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.001>
- Lucov Z, Nur U (1973) Accumulation of B-chromosomes by preferential segregation in females of the grasshopper *Melanoplus femur-rubrum*. Chromosoma 42:289–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00284776>
- Lyon MF (1984) Transmission ratio distortion in mouse *t*-haplotypes is due to multiple distorter genes acting on a responder locus. Cell 37:621–628. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(84)90393-3) [1016/0092-8674\(84\)90393-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(84)90393-3)
- Lyttle TW (1991) Segregation distorters. Annu Rev Genet 25:511–557. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.25. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.25.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.25.120191.002455) [120191.002455](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.25.120191.002455)
- Ma W, Gabriel TS, Martis MM, Gursinsky T, Schubert V, Vrána J et al (2016) Rye B chromosomes encode a functional Argonaute-like protein with in vitro slicer activities similar to its A chromosome paralog. New Phytol 213:916–928.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14110>
- Martis MM, Klemme S, Banaei-Moghaddam AM, Blattner FR, Macas J, Schmutzer T et al (2012) Selfsh supernumerary chromosome reveals its origin as a mosaic of host genome and organellar sequences. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 109:13343–13346. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204237109) [pnas.1204237109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204237109)
- McAllister BF, Werren JH (1997) Hybrid origin of a B chromosome (PSR) in the parasitic wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. Chromosoma 106:243–253. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004120050245) [s004120050245](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004120050245)
- Mendel G (1865) Versuche über Pfanzen-Hybriden. Verh Des Naturf Vereines in Brünn (abhandlungen) 4:3–47
- Mendelson D, Zohari D (1972) Behaviour and transmission of supernumerary chromosomes in *Aegilops speltoides*. Heredity 29:329–339. [https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1972.](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1972.97) [97](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1972.97)
- Merrill C, Bayraktaroglu L, Kusano A, Ganetzky B (1999) Truncated RanGAP encoded by the segregation distorter locus of *Drosophila*. Science 283(5408):1742–1745. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5408.1742>
- Muirhead CA, Presgraves DC (2021) Satellite DNA-mediated diversifcation of a sex-ratio meiotic drive gene family in *Drosophila*. Nat Ecol Evol 5:1604–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01543-8>
- Müntzing A (1945) Cytological studies of extra fragment chromosomes in rye. II. Transmission and multiplication of standard fragments and iso-fragments. Hereditas 31:457–477
- Navarro-Domínguez B, Ruiz-Ruano FJ, Cabrero J, Corral JM, López-León MD, Sharbel TF et al (2017) Proteincoding genes in B chromosomes of the grasshopper

Eyprepocnemis plorans. Sci Rep 7:45200. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45200) [org/10.1038/srep45200](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45200)

- Nur U (1962) A supernumerary chromosome with an accumulation mechanism in the lecanoid genetic system.
Chromosoma $13:249-271$. https://doi.org/10.1007/ [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00577042) [BF00577042](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00577042)
- Nur U (1963) A mitotically unstable supernumerary chromosome with an accumulation mechanism in a grasshopper. Chromosoma 14:407–422. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00326786) [BF00326786](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00326786)
- Nur U (1969) Mitotic instability leading to an accumulation of B-chromosomes in grasshoppers. Chromosoma 27:1– 19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00326108>
- Nur U, Brett BLH (1985) Genotypes suppressing meiotic drive of a B chromosome in the mealybug, *Pseudococcus obscurus*. Genetics 110:73–92. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/110.1.73) [1093/genetics/110.1.73](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/110.1.73)
- Nur U, Brett BL (1987) Control of meiotic drive of B chromosomes in the mealybug, *Pseudococcus affinis* (*obscurus*). Genetics 115:499–510. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/115.3.499) [genetics/115.3.499](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/115.3.499)
- Nur U, Werren JH, Eickbush DG, Burke WD, Eickbush TH (1988) A "selfsh" B chromosome that enhances its transmission by eliminating the paternal genome. Science 240(4851):512–514. [https://doi.org/10.1126/scien](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3358129) [ce.3358129](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3358129)
- Pardo MC, López-León MD, Cabrero J, Camacho JPM (1994) Transmission analysis of mitotically unstable B chromosomes in *Locusta migratoria*. Genome 37:1027– 1034. <https://doi.org/10.1139/g94-146>
- Pardo MC, López-León MD, Viseras E, Cabrero J, Camacho JPM (1995) Mitotic instability of B chromosomes during embryo development in *Locusta migratoria*. Heredity 74:164–169. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1995.24>
- Parker JS (1976) The B-chromosome system of *Hypochoeris maculata* 1. B-distribution, meiotic behaviour and inheritance. Chromosoma 59:167–177. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00328485) [10.1007/BF00328485](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00328485)
- Perfectti F, Werren JH (2001) The interspecifc origin of B chromosomes: Experimental evidence. Evolution 55:1069–1073. https://doi.org/10.1554/0014tion 55:1069–1073. [https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-](https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[1069:tioobc]2.0.co;2) [3820\(2001\)055\[1069:tioobc\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[1069:tioobc]2.0.co;2)
- Perfectti F, Corral JM, Mesa JA, Cabrero J, Bakkali M, López-León MD et al (2004) Rapid suppression of drive for a parasitic B chromosome. Cytogenet Genome Res 106:338–343. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000079309>
- Puertas MJ, González-Sánchez M, Manzanero S, Romera F, Jiménez MM (1998) Genetic control of the rate of transmission of rye B chromosomes. IV. Localization of the genes controlling B transmission rate. Heredity 80:209– 213.<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6882930>
- Ren P, Deng F, Chen S, Ran J, Li J, Yin L et al (2021) Whole-genome resequencing reveals loci with allelic transmission ratio distortion in F1 chicken population. Mol Genet Genom 296:331–339. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-020-01744-z) [1007/s00438-020-01744-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-020-01744-z)
- Rhoades MM, Dempsey E (1966) The effect of abnormal chromosome 10 on preferential segregation and crossing over in maize. Genetics 53:989–1020. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/53.5.989) [1093/genetics/53.5.989](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/53.5.989)
- Roman H (1948) Directed fertilization in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 34:36–42. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.34.2.36) [34.2.36](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.34.2.36)
- Rothfels KH (1950) Chromosome complement, polyploidy and supernumeraries in *Neopodismopsis abdominali*s (Acrididae). J Morph 87:287–316
- Ruban A, Schmutzer T, Wu DD, Fuchs J, Boudichevskaia A, Rubtsova M et al (2020) Supernumerary B chromosomes of *Aegilops speltoides* undergo precise elimination in roots early in embryo development. Nat Comm 11:2764. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16594-x>
- Ruiz-Ruano FJ, Cabrero J, López-León MD, Sánchez A, Camacho JPM (2018) Quantitative sequence characterization for repetitive DNA content in the supernumerary chromosome of the migratory locust. Chromosoma 127:45–57.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-017-0644-7>
- Ruiz-Ruano FJ, Navarro-Domínguez B, López-León MD, Cabrero J, Camacho JPM (2019) Evolutionary success of a parasitic B chromosome rests on gene content. BioRxiv 1–25.<https://doi.org/10.1101/683417>
- Rutishauser A, Röthlisberger E (1966) Boosting mechanism of B chromosomes in *Crepis capillaris*. Chromosomes Today 1:28–30
- Sandler L, Novitski E (1957) Meiotic drive as an evolutionary force. Am Nat 91:105–110
- Schrader F (1921) The chromosomes of *Pseudococcus nipae*. Biol Bull 40:259–270
- Skinner SW (1983) Extrachromosomal sex ratio factors in the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia* (= *Mormoniella*) *vitripennis*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utah, Salt Lake City.
- Svedberg J, Vogan AA, Rhoades NA, Sarmarajeewa D, Jacobson DJ, Lascoux M et al (2021) An introgressed gene causes meiotic drive in *Neurospora sitophila*. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 118(17):e2026605118. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026605118) [1073/pnas.2026605118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026605118)
- Tao Y, Araripe L, Kingan SB, Ke Y, Xiao H, Hartl DL (2007) A sex-ratio meiotic drive system in *Drosophila simulans*. II: An X-linked distorter. PLoS Biol 5(11):2576–2588. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050293>
- Viseras E, Camacho J, Cano M, Santos J (1990) Relationship between mitotic instability and accumulation of B chromosomes in males and females of *Locusta migratoria*. Genome 33(1):23–29.<https://doi.org/10.1139/g90-005>
- Wendel JF, Edwards MD, Stuber CW (1987) Evidence for multilocus genetic control of preferential fertilisation in maize. Heredity 58:297–301. [https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1987.44) [1987.44](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1987.44)
- Werren JH (1991) The paternal-sex-ratio chromosome of *Nasonia*. Am Nat 137:392–402. [https://doi.org/10.1086/](https://doi.org/10.1086/285172) [285172](https://doi.org/10.1086/285172)
- Werren JH, Skinner SW, Charnov EL (1981) Paternal inheritance of a daughterless sex ratio factor. Nature 293(5832):467–468. <https://doi.org/10.1038/293467a0>
- Werren JH, Nur U, Eickbush D (1987) An extrachromosomal factor causing loss of paternal chromosomes. Nature 327(6117):75–76.<https://doi.org/10.1038/327075a0>
- Wright S (1968) Evolution and the genetics of populations. Vol. 1: Genetic and biometric foundations. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago
- Wu CI, Lyttle TW, Wu ML, Lin GF (1988) Association between a satellite DNA sequence and the *Responder* of *Segregation Distorte*r in *D. melanogaster*. Cell 54:179– 189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(88\)90550-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(88)90550-8)
- Zimmering S, Sandler L, Nicoletti B (1970) Mechanisms of meiotic drive. Annu Rev Genet 4:409–436. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.04.120170.002205) [10.1146/annurev.ge.04.120170.002205](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.04.120170.002205)
- Zurita S, Cabrero J, López-León M, Camacho JPM (1998) Polymorphism regeneration for a neutralized selfsh B chromosome. Evolution 52:274–277. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb05163.x) [1558-5646.1998.tb05163.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb05163.x)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.