Cytogenetics and genome analysis in Brassica crops

Rod J. Snowdon

Department of Plant Breeding, Research Centre for Biosystems, Land Use and Nutrition, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35392, Giessen, Germany; Tel: +49-641-9937423; Fax: +49-641-9937429; E-mail: Rod.Snowdon@agrar.uni-giessen.de

Key words: Brassica, comparative genomics, cytogenetics, genome analysis

Abstract

The genus Brassica contains a wide range of diploid and amphipolyploid species including some of the most important vegetable, condiment and oilseed crops worldwide. As members of the Brassicaceae family the brassicas are the closest crop relatives to the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, and hence are major beneficiaries from the vast array of Arabidopsis molecular genetic and genomic tools and the increasingly good annotation to major Brassica crop genomes. In this review examples are shown from recent studies that demonstrate the potential for intergenome navigation from model to crop plant and for comparisons among genetic and cytogenetic maps between the model and crop species and among different crop brassicas. The use of interspecific and intergeneric hybridization for introgression of novel traits into *Brassica* genomes from the secondary and tertiary crucifer genepools is described. In this context the use of the *Brassica* triangle of three diploid species and their corresponding amphiploids as an excellent model system for studying the mechanisms and control of homeologous recombination and polyploidization is discussed from a crop breeding perspective.

Introduction

The chromosomal relationships among the A, B and C genomes of the diploid species Brassica rapa (genome AA, $2n = 20$; turnip rape, turnip, Chinese cabbage), B. nigra (genome BB, $2n = 16$; black mustard) and B. oleracea (genome CC, $2n = 18$; cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, kale, kohlrabi, brussel sprouts) and their natural spontaneous amphidiploids B. carinata (genome AABB, $2n = 34$; Abyssinian or Ethiopian mustard), B. napus (genome AACC, $2n = 38$; oilseed rape, swede) and *B. juncea* (genome BBCC, $2n = 36$; Indian or brown mustard) were elucidated through interspecific crosses and meiotic analyses by the Asian cytogeneticists Morinaga and U in the early 20th century (Morinaga 1933, 1934, U N 1935). Because the Brassica amphidiploid species can be generated synthetically with the help of embryo rescue techniques, this complex of three diploid species and their corresponding polyploids (Figure 1) is today one of the most useful model systems for investigations of polyploidy in crop plants (e.g. Song et al. 1995, Lukens et al. 2006). Colchicine treatment can also be used to artificially synthesize autotetraploid brassicas, which can potentially be used to compare the corresponding effects of gene dosage, autoploidy, alloploidy and amphiploidy on gene regulation and expression.

Brassica–Arabidopsis comparative genomics: model-based breeding tools

Comparative genome analyses between Brassica and the model crucifer Arabidopsis thaliana have

Figure 1. The Brassica triangle of species, as described by U N (1935), representing the A, B and C genomes and their respective amphidiploids that arose from spontaneous chromosome doubling via meiotic nondisjunction after interspecific hybridizations in regions of overlapping geographical distribution of the respective diploid progenitors.

revealed that Brassica chromosomes show a complex rearrangement in comparison to the A. thaliana genome (Lagercrantz & Lydiate 1996), presumably the result of numerous rounds of polyploidization. As a consequence the synteny and microsynteny relationships between the more or less triplicated diploid Brassica genomes (Schmidt et al. 2001, Lan et al. 2000, Lysak et al. 2005, Parkin et al. 2005, Ziolkowski et al. 2006) and the paleopolyploid genome of Arabidopsis are extremely complicated. Detailed comparative genomic information is therefore needed for directed utilization of genome data from Arabidopsis in Brassica molecular breeding.

The most intensively studied *Brassica* amphidiploid is the most economically important crop, oilseed rape $(B.$ napus ssp. napus). The A and C genome chromosomes in B. napus have remained essentially unaltered after their amphipolyploidization (Olsson & Hagberg 1955, Attia et al. 1987, Sharpe et al. 1995, Snowdon et al. 2002). Hence it is possible to compare genetic maps, chromosomes and gene positions among the three species and to use the smaller diploid genomes to obtain genomic data that can be extrapolated to the amphidiploid. A large number of independent genetic maps among different B. napus crosses have been generated (reviewed by Snowdon et al. 2006) with a particular emphasis on investigation of quantitative traits, development of markers for marker-assisted breeding, and potential map-based cloning of genes involved in agronomically important traits. The ability to navigate between the Arabidopsis sequence and the major *Brassica* genomes is improving constantly as the genomic sequencing of B. rapa (Bancroft 2006, Yang et al. 2006, see also http://www. brassica.info) progresses and the annotation of the new sequence data to the Arabidopsis genome is updated. On the other hand, comparative genomics from Arabidopsis sequence information is a valuable asset for in-silico chromosome walking within the B. rapa sequencing project (Bancroft 2006, Lim et al. 2006). For example, evenly spaced seed BAC (bacterial artificial chromosomes) for the sequencing of B. rapa chromosome 1 were selected using mapped expressed sequence tags (EST). The physical positions and ordering of these BAC on chromosome 1 were confirmed by fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) to mitotic and meiotic chromosomes. Because the majority of the BAC show collinearity to the corresponding homoeologous chromosome region in Arabidopsis it is possible to validate the positions of the B. rapa sequencing clones by *in-silico* comparative physical mapping (Yang et al. 2006).

A detailed comparative genetic map between the chromosomes of A. thaliana and B. napus was generated by Parkin *et al.* (2005) by localization of orthologous sequences from mapped B. napus RFLP markers to the corresponding chromosome positions in A. thaliana. A total of 21 chromosomal blocks were identified in the genome of A. thaliana that could be duplicated and rearranged to reconstruct the basic chromosome structure of B. napus. Each block contained several closely linked homologous loci with more or less conserved order in both the A. thaliana and B. napus genomes. For each B. napus chromosome numerous blocks of conserved synteny were found between B. napus and A. thaliana, each presumably representing chromosomal segments that have been maintained since the divergence of Arabidopsis and Brassica from a common ancestor. According to Parkin *et al.* (2005) a minimum of 74 gross rearrangements (38 in the A genome and 36 in the C genome) appear to have occurred since the divergence of the brassicas from the Arabidopsis lineage some 14-24 million years ago (Koch et al. 2000). In similar studies Lukens et al. (2003) and Lan et al. (2000) compared the positions of sequenced loci with a known position on a B. oleracea genetic map to the physical positions of their putative orthologues in A. thaliana. By distinguishing orthologous from paralogous loci and establishing criteria to identify significant regions of collinearity between the genomes a total of 34 significant A. thaliana regions were found by Lukens et al. (2003) to be collinear with 28% of the B. oleracea genetic map. The large number of macrosynteny breakpoints coupled with the identification of extensive duplications in the B. oleracea genome also confirmed the extremely high degree of chromosomal rearrangement since divergence of the Brassica diploids from A. thaliana. Nevertheless it is potentially possible to utilize rough macrosyntenic data to navigate between particular regions of interest in the Arabidopsis genome and Brassica genetic maps. On the other hand evidence from microsyntenic studies of gene order in duplicated chromosome regions suggests that interspersed gene

loss is prevalent in homoeologous *Brassica* chromosome regions (O'Neill & Bancroft 2000, Rana et al. 2004, Town et al. 2006).

The conservation of gross macrosynteny can prove extremely useful in the identification and characterization of *Brassica* genes involved in important quantitative traits based on comparative analysis with chromosome regions in Arabidopsis corresponding to QTL in the crop species. For example, considerable knowledge has been gained on the genetic control of vernalization-responsive flowering time in B. rapa and B. napus through QTL analysis and comparative mapping of genes associated with this trait in homoeologous Brassica and Arabidopsis genome regions. Osborn et al. (1997) found that a chromosome region containing a homoeologous QTL for flowering time in B. rapa and B. napus, respectively, was collinear with a region of A. *thaliana* chromosome 5 containing the flowering time genes FLC, FY and CO. A second QTL region showed fractured collinearity with several regions of the Arabidopsis genome, including the top of chromosome 4 where another floweringtime gene, FRI, is located. Detailed analysis enabled identification of FLC and FRI as the major candidate genes for regulation of flowering time in the Brassica species.

On a sequence level high similarity is found between the exons of putative orthologous genes in Arabidopsis and Brassica (Schmidt 2002), meaning that knowledge from Arabidopsis is highly relevant for gene isolation and characterization in Brassica crops. With an ever-growing resource of Brassica sequence data it is today becoming increasingly pos $sible$ – despite the complex rearrangements among *Brassica* genomes $-$ to annotate, align and compare chromosomal and genomic data between the crop brassicas and the model species and to use this new information for genomic studies in the comparatively large crop genomes. Navigation between Brassica and Arabidopsis physical maps using published genome annotation and synteny data uncovers an enormous wealth of tools for fine-mapping, syntenybased gene cloning and marker development for marker-assisted selection. For example, we have successfully used online SSR search engines to scan Arabidopsis chromosome regions flanking candidate genes of interest or major QTL positions. Many of the Brassica SSR primers we identified in this manner were found to amplify polymorphic markers at one or more homologous loci in oilseed rape. The

linkage of these markers to the trait of interest could often be confirmed by re-mapping to QTL regions or by allele-trait association analysis in genetically diverse material (unpublished results). If such markers are in linkage disequilibrium with the gene of interest, this strategy can be extremely useful for indirect mapping of candidate genes on Brassica chromosomes. Because SSR markers are codominant, this approach also has the potential to enable map localization of duplicated copies of a given candidate gene, for example to allow comparisons with major QTL positions.

Integration of karyotypes with genetic and physical maps

Development of classical cytogenetic resources for Brassica crop species is difficult due to the small size of the chromosomes and the lack of distinct karyological features that can be readily identified in metaphase preparations. This restricts the exact cytological characterization of Brassica addition, substitution and particularly introgression lines. However, Brassica interspecific addition and introgression lines can be generated with relative ease via interspecific hybridization and recurrent backcrossing using embryo rescue techniques in early generations. Characterization of addition lines with molecular markers has to a certain extent enabled the successful characterization of specific additions or introgressions for genome analysis or associations with particular traits of interest from the donor genome. Quiros et al. (1987) generated a set of monosomic addition plants and disomic addition lines by crossing and backcrossing B. napus to B. rapa (syn. campestris) and confirmed the genome-specificity of the additions using genomespecific molecular markers. The marker analyses revealed extensive gene duplications on individual addition chromosomes (McGrath et al. 1990) and the presence of deletions in some chromosomes (Hu & Quiros 1991), a phenomenon that may be a contributing factor to the extensive chromosomal rearrangement that has occurred during the evolution of the Brassicaceae. In a similar manner Chen et al. (1992, 1997a) generated addition lines containing monosomic addition chromosomes from the C-genome donor Brassica alboglabra in a B. rapa background by backcrossing a resynthesized B . napus containing the donor genome to its parental B. rapa line. One addition line was found to contain, on the same addition chromosome, independent genes for erucic acid biosynthesis, white flower colour and an isoenzyme of leucine aminopeptidase. Again, intergenomic recombination was frequently observed in the monosomic addition line and resulted in the introgression of one or two loci from the alien chromosome into the B. rapa genome. Another addition line was found to contain a gene controlling seed colour in a region where a homoeologous recombination or chromosomal deletion was detectable (Chen et al. 1997b). Disomic B. napus $+ B$. nigra addition lines were described by Chèvre et al. (1991) and Struss et al. (1991), respectively. A number of other studies have reported individual addition or substitution lines between *B. napus* and related genera that have been generated in an effort to introgress specific traits into oilseed rape (e.g. Sjödin $&$ Glimelius 1989, Skarzhinskaya et al. 1998, Snowdon et al. 2000, Voss et al. 2000, Peterka et al. 2004). In comparison to cereals, however, where chromosome additions, translocations and introgressions are well characterized and can be closely integrated with genetic maps, such detailed cytogenetic information is not yet available for Brassica materials and broad, well-defined cytological stocks are not available.

The use of FISH techniques offers new potential not only for more reliable chromosome identification in Brassica, but also in terms of potential information regarding the integration of genetic and physical maps, for ordering molecular markers and measuring physical genome distances, and for structural and functional chromosome analyses. Methods for the accurate in-situ localization of repetitive DNA sequences at chromosomal sub-arm level, particularly repetitive DNA sequences, have enabled a considerably more accurate identification of chromosomes and the elucidation of karyotypes for diploid and amphidiploid Brassica species (e.g. Figure 2, see also Armstrong et al. 1998, Fukui et al. 1998, Snowdon et al. 2002, Maluszynska & Hasterok 2005). FISH hybridization of BAC clones to B. oleracea (Howell et al. 2002) and B. rapa chromosomes (Jackson et al. 2000) represents a first step towards integration of physical and genetic maps with the karyograms of the diploid species and their amphidiploid hybrid B. napus. The first integration of a complete Brassica genetic map with the corresponding mitotic chromosome karyotype was achieved by Howell et al. (2002), who assigned all nine linkage groups of a B. oleracea genetic map to the nine mitotic metaphase chromosomes using FISH. The probes were

Figure 2. Karyotypes based on fluorescence in-situ hybridization patterns with 5S (green) and 25S (red) rDNA probes and DAPI staining (blue), for Brassica rapa, B. oleracea and their amphidiploid B. napus. Closed arrowheads indicate co-localization of 5S and 25S loci, whereas open arrowheads show a small 5S locus and a small 25S locus on B. napus chromosomes C5 and C7, respectively. The red asterisks represent the position of a large 25S rDNA locus located on the satellite of B, napus chromosome $A2$, which in this spread was lost during chromosome preparation. The B. napus karyotype is divided into two sets of chromosomes with differing chromatin condensation patterns resembling, respectively, those of B. rapa (A) and B. oleracea (C). Each B. napus chromosome is aligned and numbered in accordance with its putative homologue in the B. rapa or B. oleracea genome. Image from Snowdon et al. (2002).

mainly B. oleracea BAC clones that could be assigned to linkage map positions through development of locusspecific PCR assays. A total of 22 probes representing 19 loci were used to integrate the cytogenetic and genetic linkage maps and compare the orientation of the chromosomes with their respective linkage groups. Such cytogenetic maps represent an important new resource for locating genomic sequences with unknown genetic map positions and to analyse the relationships between genetic and cytogenetic maps. A similar strategy based on FISH localization of genetically and physically mapped BAC clones is currently being followed for integration of the genetic, physical and cytological maps of *B. rapa* within the *B. rapa* whole-genome sequencing project (Yang *et al.* 2006). Hybridization of locus-specific probes to meiotic pachytene chromosomes can considerably increase the resolution of the cytological mapping. Ziolkowski & Sadowski (2002) demonstrated the application of this technique in B. oleracea using ribosomal DNA probes and BAC clones from A. thaliana. Because of the considerably higher resolution than is possible in *Brassica* mitotic chromosomes, cytological mapping to pachytene bivalents offers the opportunity to potentially compare physical and genetic distances among selected markers. Together with synteny data and comparative genome annotations this technique should play a major role in increasing the feasibility and success of map-based gene cloning in Brassica crops.

Repetitive sequences and genome evolution

Centromeric regions of B. rapa and B. oleracea chromosomes contain two divergent 176 bp centromeric repeat sequences (Hallden et al. 1987, Iwabuchi et al. 1991, Sibson et al. 1991, Xia et al. 1993) with a degree of specificity for different chromosomes within the diploid genomes (Harrison & Heslop-Harrison 1995). Lim et al. (2005) identified two classes of these 176 bp repeat sequences in B. rapa. Similar 176 bp repeats with more than 90% sequence similarity are also predominant in distant crucifer relatives including Diplotaxis (Harbinder & Lakshmikumaran 1990), although less than 80% sequence identity is found in the corresponding repeats in Sinapis and Raphanus (Capesius 1983, Grellet et al. 1986, Sibson et al. 1991, Xia et al. 1993). In B. nigra two different tandem repeat sequences specific to the B genome were identified by Gupta et al. (1992), one of which is unrelated to either A or C genome sequences. In-situ hybridization to B. juncea metaphase chromosomes showed that this sequence is located at all B genome centromeres and enables B genome chromosomes to be distinguished from A and C genome chromosomes by GISH (Schelfhout et al. 2004).

Detailed analysis of sequence diversity in repetitive sequences can offer interesting insight into the evolutionary relationships among and between Brassica species and their crucifer relatives, and may give useful information regarding the potential for intergeneric gene transfer to brassicas from more distant relatives via homoeologous recombination (see below). For example, Lenoir et al. (1997) and Tatout et al. (1999) studied the molecular phylogeny of short interspersed element (SINE) Sl retrotransposons in numerous different Brassica diploids and related crucifer species. Even among closely related species significant sequence divergence was found that appears to have arisen from sequence-specific surges in S1 amplification (Lenoir et al. 1997). SINE amplification near or in genes can cause post-transcriptional regulation or even give rise to novel gene domains (Bennetzen 2000). Furthermore, the amplification of SINES can result in unequal homologous recombination between cis-Sl elements (Lenoir et al. 1997), potentially leading to chromosomal rearangements that can be a driving factor in speciation. The genomic organization and diversity of retrotransposons in Brassica diploids and allotetraploids was investigated in detail by Alix et al. (2004, 2005). Sequence analysis revealed distinct Ty1/copia and LINE-like elements, whereby the latter were present at only very low copy numbers in the genomes investigated. A third clade could be subdivided into Ty3/gypsy, Athila and virus-like branches. Phylogenies based on the sequence comparisons showed no correlation with the known genome relationships among the species of the Brassica triangle, indicating that members of the element families were present in a common ancestor (Alix et al. 2004). On the other hand some sub-families appeared to be

amplified in individual species. Fluorescent in-situ hybridization of representative reverse transcriptase domains from the different retroelements to B. oleracea (Alix et al. 2005) showed characteristic chromosomal distributions for each group, suggesting that the different retrotransposons have preferential amplification sites and possibly different insertion/excision control mechanisms.

In order to study evolutionary relationships among different members of the Brassicaceae, Lysak et al. (2005) performed chromosome painting with an almost 9 Mbp long BAC contig from A. thaliana chromosome 4 to trace homoeologous chromosome regions in 21 different species of the family Brassicaceae, including species representing Brassica crops. Homoeologues were identified in all three Brassica amphidiploids in six copies corresponding to the Arabidopsis segment, whereby rearrangements caused by inversions or translocations could be observed in the homoeologous copies within the Brassica genomes. Phylogenetic studies based on comparative sequencing of conserved genes indicated that species containing three or six copy pairs descended from a common hexaploid ancestor with basic genomes similar to that of Arabidopsis. The presumed hexaploidization event was shown to have occurred after the Arabidopsis-Brassiceae split, between 7.9 and 14.6 Mya.

Interspecific hybridization and homoeologous chromosome pairing

One strategy to broaden the genetic basis of oilseed rape breeding material is the production of resynthesized rapeseed by crossing the original ancestors, B. oleracea and B. rapa. This has the potential not only to increase genetic variability with a view to hybrid breeding, but also to broaden the genetic base with respect to pest and disease resistances in the narrow gene pool of modern oilseed rape. Interspecific and intergeneric incompatibility barriers can be successfully overcome in crosses between *Brassica* crop species and their relatives by embryo rescue techniques or protoplast fusion. In some cases resynthesized rape forms subjected to backcrossing with elite breeding material have resulted in successful release of cultivars carrying novel resistance genes from the diploid donor species. Synthetic Brassica polyploids can also offer important insights into the genetic and epigenetic changes that occur during polyploidization. For example, Song *et al.* (1995) reported extensive and rapid genome change in the form of loss or gain of restriction fragments in early generations of polyploids generated from interspecific crosses among the A, B and C genome diploid species. The alterations also revealed divergence among different genotypes with the same parental origin, demonstrating the ability of Brassica polyploids to generate novel genetic diversity in only a few generations. By analysing the methylation status of a large number of isogenic resynthesized rapeseed lines and their two common parental genotypes, Lukens et al. (2006) demonstrated that this high degree of divergence is caused by extensive alteration in DNA methylation patterns after polyploidization, whereas few deletions or insertions could be detected. In other words, polyploidy in B. napus is accompanied by considerable regulation of epigenetic changes rather than by major genetic changes.

The observed variability in the degree of homoeologous pairing in different B. napus haploids demonstrates the potential for extensive homoeologous recombination after amphipolyploidization among Brassica diploids. By analysing different B. napus doubled-haploid (DH) mapping populations with co-dominant RFLP markers, Udall et al. (2005) identified chromosomal rearrangements that could be classified into de-novo homoeologous non-reciprocal translocations (HNRT), pre-existing HNRT and homoeologous reciprocal translocations (HRT). A

total of 99 *de-novo* HNRT were identified as duplications of particular chromosomal regions in a small number of lines accompanied by a loss of the corresponding homoeologous region. These de-novo HNRT were more prevalent in a population that had a resynthesized B. napus as a parent, indicating a higher rate of homoeologous recombination in early generations of new polyploids. Nine pre-existing HNRT were identified by fragment duplication or fragment loss in DH lines from three populations involving natural B. napus parents, indicating a segregation of HNRT that already existed in one of the parents. This study suggests that chromosomal rearrangements caused by homoeologous recombination are apparently widespread in B . *napus*, and this phenomenon can be speculated to be also prevalent in the other Brassica amphidiploids B. juncea and B. carinata. One of the most interesting aspects of this from a crop-breeding perspective is that such nonreciprocal translocations can cause changes in allele dosage, which in some cases have been shown to have a demonstrable effect on the additive expression of important agronomic traits such as pathogen resistance (Zhao et al. 2005).

Other Brassica species and even less closely related genera are also important as potential sources of disease resistance for oilseed rape breeding. A prime example for this is the use of interspecific and intergeneric hybrids as a source for new resistance against blackleg (Leptosphaeria maculans), the most serious disease of oilseed rape worldwide. The B-genome

Figure 3. Detection of interspecific homoeologous recombination events (arrows) in sexual progeny of asymmetric Brassica napus \times C. abyssinica hybrids (from Wang et al. 2005, used with permission from NRC Research Press, Canada) by GISH analysis in meiotic metaphase I. Crambe chromosomes are labelled red with Cy3, whereas non-labelled B. napus chromosomes are stained blue with DAPI. (a, b) In metaphase I Cy3-labelled chromatin strands (arrows) can be observed between C. abyssinica univalents and B. napus bivalents. (c) At early anaphase I a late disjunction of C. abyssinica chromosomes is observed; however, introgressions on B. napus chromosomes can still potentially segregate into the daughter cells. Scale bars = $10 \mu m$.

species B. nigra and B. juncea exhibit strong resistance against blackleg and have been extensively used in an attempt to introgress resistance genes into oilseed rape (Roy 1978, Sacristán & Gerdemann 1986, Sjödin & Glimelius 1989, Chèvre et al. 1996, Struss et al. 1996). Similarly, related genera including Sinapis are also potential donors for transfer of blackleg resistance into B. napus via homoeologous recombination (Snowdon et al. 2000). Even distantly related crucifer genera can potentially be used for introgression of genes of interest into Brassica crop species via sexual or somatic hybridization, for example Raphanus (Voss et al. 2000, Benabdelmouna et al. 2003, Peterka et al. 2004), Lesquerella (Skarzhinskaya et al. 1998) or Eruca (Fahleson et al. 1997). The primary (intraspecific), secondary (intrageneric) and tertiary (intergeneric) gene pools for Brassica vegetable and oilseed crops contain an enormous diversity of species, and hundreds of examples have shown the potential for interspecific or intergeneric hybridizations with Brassica crop plants (see Warwick et al. 2000 for detailed information). As an example, Wang et al. (2005) demonstrated the successful transfer of new allelic variants of the FAE1 gene controlling erucic acid biosynthesis from the distantly related, triploid oilseed plant *Crambe abyssinica* $(n = 3x = 45)$ into B. napus by somatic hybridization. Via genomic in-situ hybridization (GISH) to meiotic chromosomes it was possible to detect the occurrence of homoeologous pairing between C. abyssinica and B. napus chromosomes (Figure 3), demonstrating that homoeologous recombination can potentially occur even between chromosomes from distantly related genera. On the other hand, homoeologous introgression of genes of interest even from closely related species of the same genera is not always successful, underlining the fact that pairing does not depend simply on genome homoeology.

The prerequisite for interspecific or intergeneric gene transfer is a mechanism for control of homoeologous chromosome pairing. In wheat the pairing regulation locus Ph1 (Riley & Chapman 1958) suppresses homoeologous pairing. The successful utilization of alien introgressions in wheat breeding is particularly due to suppression of the effect of this locus. In B. napus the chromosomes of the A and C genomes each pair in a bivalent, disomic manner, suggesting a similar suppression of homoeologous pairing in favour of homologous pairing. On the other hand, haploid B. napus plants are known to

show considerable genetic variation in the degree of chromosome pairing, with common formation of chiasmata among rod-shaped and ring-shaped bivalents along with multivalents (Attia & Röbbelen 1986). By investigating segregation for pairing behaviour at meiotic metaphase I in haploids produced from F1 hybrids between high-pairing and low-pairing lines, Jenczewski et al. (2003) found that suppression of homoeologous chromosome pairing in B. napus is, as in wheat, largely controlled by a single major gene, coined PrBn (Pairing regulator in Brassica napus). According to Jenczewski et al. (2003), however, the mode of action of PrBn appears to be quite different from that of the wheat Ph1 gene. In particular, Ph1 suppresses homoeologous pairing at both the haploid and diploid stage, but even B . *napus* haploids with a high level of homoeologous pairing show regular disomic inheritance and normal bivalent chro-

Figure 4. Cytological evidence for a reciprocal translocation between Brassica napus linkage groups N7 and N16 (from Fig. 3 in Osborn et al. 2003 , kindly provided by John Parker and used with permission from the Genetics Society of America). The image shows meiotic chromosome behaviour in part of a synaptonemal complex spread from an F1 hybrid showing quadrivalent formation between two chromosome pairs. Two synapsis exchange points are indicated by arrows. Comparative genetic mapping of N16 and analysis of marker segregation in two different crosses revealed a homoeologous reciprocal translocation with N7. Scale bar = 2μ m.

mosomes in their diploid form. Furthermore, almost no natural polymorphism has been discovered for Ph1 in wheat, whereas allelic variability in PrBn is clearly detected among high-pairing and low-pairing B. napus phenotypes. In other words although Ph1 is vital for chromosome fertility and stability in wheat (Sánchez-Morán et al. 2001), in B . *napus* the suppression of homoeologous pairing by PrBn is not essential. Mapbased cloning on PrBn has the potential to offer considerable new insight into the genetic mechanisms involved in homoeologous pairing in polyploid plant species.

Homoeologous chromosomal translocations can be readily detected in B. napus using co-dominant marker systems for which homoeologous loci are assigned to different linkage groups in the genetic map. In this manner Osborn et al. (2003) detected a homoeologous reciprocal translocation between B. napus linkage groups N7 and N16 using segregating populations of doubled haploid lines. Pairs of homoeologous RFLP loci from the two chromosomes had identical alleles in the parental lines in regions expected to be homoeologous, and an interstitial reciprocal translocation was confirmed by cytological analysis of synaptomenal complexes in F1 hybrids (Figure 4). Although this translocation included approximately one-third of the physical length of the N7 and N16 chromosomes, few recombination events within the region were recovered in the progenies of the hybrids. Higher seed yields in offspring exhibiting the parental configurations of the rearrangement in segregating progenies point to a possible selective advantage of allopolyploidy through the fixation of intergenomic heterozygosity.

Outlook

The growing collection of physical genome resources and genomic sequence data for Brassica crop species, combined with the expansive genomic and transcriptomic data from the model crucifer Arabidopsis, today enable increasingly detailed annotation and navigation between the Arabidopsis and Brassica genomes. With the expected completion of the first complete genome sequence of a Brassica crop plant in the coming years, the opportunity will be greater than ever to utilize the close relationship between the model plant and its nearest crop relatives, the brassicas, for applied genomics and breeding biotechnology. Of particular interest will be the possibility this allows us to gain considerably more insight into the genetic functionality underlying intricate biochemical pathways and metabolic expression patterns involved in important Brassica vegetable and seed compounds-based on primary knowledge from Arabidopsis – and perhaps to dissect extremely complex traits such as yield or heterosis into genetic components that are manageable and usable from a practical breeding perspective. Finally, identification of the genetic factors controlling homoeologous recombination in Brassica chromosomes may in future enable more flexibility in the targeted introgression of novel germplasm into the gene pools of the crop brassicas, opening the way for a broadening in the genetic diversity that is available for breeding towards a sustainable production in future.

References

- Alix K, Heslop-Harrison JS (2004) The diversity of retroelements in diploid and allotetraploid Brassica species. Plant Mol Biol 54: 895-909.
- Alix K, Ryder C, Moore J, King GJ, Heslop-Harrison JS (2005) The genomic organization of retrotransposons in Brassica oleracea. Plant Mol Biol 59: 839-851.
- Armstrong SJ, Fransz P, Marshall DF, Jones GH (1998) Physical mapping of DNA repetitive sequences to mitotic and meiotic chromosomes of Brassica oleracea var. alboglabra by fluorescence in situ hybridisation. Heredity 81: 666-673.
- Attia T, Röbbelen G (1986) Cytogenetic relationship within cultivated Brassica analyzed in amphihaploids from the three diploid ancestors. Can J Genet Cytol 28: 323-329.
- Attia T, Busso C, Röbbelen G (1987) Digenomic triploids for an assessment of chromosome relationships in the cultivated diploid Brassica species. Genome 29: 326-330.
- Bancroft I (2006) The multinational *Brassica* genome project. Acta Hort 706: 65-67.
- Benabdelmouna A, Guéritaine G, Abirached-Darmency M, Darmency H (2003) Genome discrimination in progeny of interspecific hybrids between Brassica napus and Raphanus raphanistrum. Genome 46: 469-472.
- Bennetzen JL (2000) Transposable element contributions to plant gene and genome evolution. Plant Mol Biol 42: 251-269.
- Capesius I (1983) Sequence of the cryptic satellite DNA from the plant Sinapis alba. Biochim Biophys Acta 739: 276-280.
- Chen BY, Simonsen V, Lannér-Herrera C, Heneen WK (1992) A Brassica campestris-alboglabra addition line and its use for gene mapping, intergenomic gene transfer and generation of trisomics. Theor Appl Genet 84: 592-599.
- Chen BY, Cheng BF, Jörgensen RB, Heneen WK (1997a) Production and cytogenetics of Brassica campestris-alboglabra chromosome addition lines. Theor Appl Genet 94: 633-640.
- Chen BY, Jørgensen RB, Cheng BF, Heneen WK (1997b) Identification and chromosomal assignment of RAPD markers

linked with a gene for seed colour in a Brassica campestrisalboglabra addition line. Hereditas 126 : 133-138.

- Chèvre AM, This P, Eber F et al. (1991) Characterization of disomic addition lines *Brassica napus-Brassica nigra* by isozyme, fatty acid, and RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 81: $43 - 49$.
- Chèvre AM, Eber F, This P et al. (1996) Characterization of Brassica nigra chromosomes and of blackleg resistance in B. $napus - B$. nigra addition lines. Plant Breed 115: 113-118.
- Fahleson J, Lagercrantz U, Mouras A, Glimelius K (1997) Characterization of somatic hybrids between Brassica napus and Eruca sativa using species-specific repetitive sequences and genomic in situ hybridization. Plant Sci 123: 133-142.
- Fukui K, Nakayama S, Ohmido N, Yoshiaki H, Yambe M (1998) Quantitative karyotyping of three diploid Brassica species by imaging methods and localization of 45SrDNA loci on the identified chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 96: 325-330.
- Grellet F, Delcasso D, Panabires F, Delseny M (1986) Organization and evolution of a higher plant alphoid-like satellite DNA sequence. J Mol Biol $187: 495-507$.
- Gupta V, Lakshmisita G, Shaila MS, Jagannathan V, Lakshmikumaran MS (1992) Characterization of speciesspecific repeated DNA sequences from *B. nigra. Theor Appl* Genet 84: 397-402.
- Hallden C, Bryngelsson T, Sail T, Gustafsson M (1987) Distribution and evolution of a tandemly repeated DNA sequence in the family Brassicaceae. J Mol Evol 25: 318-323.
- Harbinder S, Lakshmikumaran M (1990) A repetitive sequence from Diplotaxis erucoides is highly homologous to that of Brassica campestris and B. oleracea. Plant Mol Biol 15: $155 - 156$.
- Harrison GE, Heslop-Harrison JS (1995) Centromeric repetitive DNA sequences in the genus Brassica. Theor Appl Genet 90: $157 - 165.$
- Howell EC, Barker GC, Jones GH et al. (2002) Integration of the cytogenetic and genetic linkage maps of Brassica oleracea. Genetics 161: 1225-1234.
- Hu J, Quiros CF (1991) Molecular and cytological evidence of deletions in alien chromosomes for two monosomic addition lines of Brassica campestris-oleracea. Theor Appl Genet 81: $221 - 226$.
- Iwabuchi M, Itoh K, Shimamoto K (1991) Molecular and cytological characterization of repetitive DNA sequences in Brassica. Theor Appl Genet 81: 349-355.
- Jackson SA, Cheng ZK, Wang ML, Goodman HM, Jiang JM (2000) Comparative fluorescence in situ hybridization mapping of a 431-kb Arabidopsis thaliana bacterial artificial chromosome contig reveals the role of chromosomal duplications in the expansion of the Brassica rapa genome. Genetics 156: 833-838.
- Jenczewski E, Eber F, Grimaud A et al. (2003) PrBn, a major gene controlling homeologous pairing in oilseed rape (Brassica napus) haploids. Genetics 164: 645-653.
- Koch MA, B. Haubold B, Mitchell-Olds T (2000) Comparative evolutionary analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in Arabidopsis, Arabis, and related genera (Brassicaceae). Mol Biol Evol 17: 1483-1498.
- Lagercrantz U, Lydiate DJ (1996) Comparative genome mapping in Brassica. Genetics 144: 1903-1910.
- Lan TH, Del Monte TA, Reischmann KP et al. (2000) An ESTenriched comparative map of Brassica oleracea and Arabidopsis thaliana. Genome Res 10: 776-788.
- Lenoir A, Cournoyer B, Warwick S, Picard G, Deragon J-M (1997) Evolution of SINE Sl retroposons in Crucifeme plant species. Mol Biol Evol 14: 934-941.
- Lim KB, De Jong H, Yang TJ, Park JY, Jin YM, Park BS (2005) Characterisation of rDNAs and tandem repeats in heterochromatin of Brassica rapa. Mol Cells 19: 436-444.
- Lim YP, Plaha P, Choi SR et al. (2006) Toward unraveling the structure of Brassica rapa genome. Physiol Plant 126: 585-591.
- Lukens L, Zou F, Lydiate D, Parkin I, Osborn T (2003) Comparison of a Brassica oleracea genetic map with the genome of Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics 164: 359-372.
- Lukens LN, Pires JC, Leon E, Vogelzang R, Oslach L, Osborn T (2006) Patterns of sequence loss and cytosine methylation within a population of newly resynthesized Brassica napus allopolyploids. Plant Physiol 140: 336-348.
- Lysak MA, Koch MA, Pecinka A, Schubert I (2005) Chromosome triplication found across the tribe Brassiceae. Genome Res 15: 516-525.
- Maluszynska J, Hasterok R (2005) Identification of individual chromosomes and parental genomes in Brassica juncea using GISH and FISH. Cytogenet Genome Res 109: 310-314.
- McGrath JM, Quiros CF, Harada JJ, Landry BS (1990) Identification of Brassica oleracea monosomic alien chromosome addition lines with molecular markers reveals extensive gene duplication. Mol Gen Genom 223: 198-204.
- Morinaga T (1933) Interspecific hybridisation in Brassica: 5. The cytology of F1 hybrid of B. carinata and B. alboglabra. Jpn J Bot $6:467-475$.
- Morinaga T (1934) Interspecific hybridisation in Brassica: 6. The cytology of B. juncea and B. nigra. Cytologia 6: 62-67.
- Olsson G, Hagberg A (1955) Investigations on haploid rape. Hereditas 41: 227-237.
- O'Neill C, Bancroft I (2000) Comparative physical mapping of segments of the genome of Brassica oleracea var. alboglabra that are homeologous to sequenced regions of chromosomes 4 and 5 of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 23: 233-243.
- Osborn TC, Kole C, Parkin IA et al. (1997) Comparison of flowering time genes in Brassica rapa, B. napus and Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics 146: 1123-1129.
- Osborn TC, Pires JC, Birchler JA et al. (2003) Understanding mechanisms of novel gene expression in polyploids. Trends Genet 19: 141-147.
- Parkin IA, Gulden SM, Sharpe AG et al. (2005) Segmental structure of the Brassica napus genome based on comparative analysis with Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics 171: 765-781.
- Peterka H, Budahn H, Schrader O, Ahne R, Schütze W (2004) Transfer of resistance against the beet cyst nematode from radish (Raphanus sativus) to rape (Brassica napus) by monosomic chromosome addition. Theor Appl Genet 109: 30-41.
- Quiros CF, Ochoa O, Kianian SF, Douches D (1987) Analysis of the Brassica oleracea genome by the generation of B. campestris-oleracea chromosome addition lines: characterization by isozymes and rDNA genes. Theor Appl Genet 74: 758-766.
- Rana D, Van Den Boogaart T, O'Neill CM et al. (2004)

Conservation of the microstructure of genome segments in *Brassica napus* and its diploid relatives. *Plant J* 40: $725-733$.

- Riley R, Chapman V (1958) Genetic control of the diploid behavior of hexaploid wheat. Nature 182: 713-715.
- Roy NN (1978) A study on disease variation in the populations of an interspecific cross of *Brassica juncea* L. \times *B. napus* L. Euphytica 27: 145-149.
- Sacristán MD, Gerdemann M (1986) Different behavior of Brassica juncea and B. carinata as sources of Phoma lingam resistance in experiments of interspecific transfer to B. napus. Z Pflanzenzuchtg 97: 304-314.
- Sánchez-Morán E, Benavente E, Orellana J (2001) Analysis of homoeologous-pairing (ph) mutants in allopolyploid wheat. Chromosoma 110: 371-377.
- Schelfhout CJ, Snowdon RJ, Cowling WA, Wroth JM (2004) A PCR based B-genome specific marker in Brassica species. Theor Appl Genet 109: 917-921.
- Schmidt R (2002) Plant genome evolution: lessons from comparative genomics at the DNA level. Plant Mol Biol 48: 21-37.
- Schmidt R, Acarkan A, Boivin K (2001) Comparative structural genomics in the Brassicaceae family. Plant Physiol Biochem 39: $253 - 262$.
- Sharpe AG, Parkin IAP, Keith DJ, Lydiate DJ (1995) Frequent nonreciprocal translocations in the amphidiploid genome of oilseed rape (Brassica napus). Genome $38:1112-1121$.
- Sibson DR, Hughes SG, Bryant JA, Fitchett PN (1991) Sequence organization of simple, highly repetitive DNA elements in Brassica species. J Exp Biol 42: 243-249.
- Sjödin C, Glimelius K (1989) Transfer of resistance against Phoma lingam to Brassica napus by asymmetric somatic hybridization combined with toxin selection. Theor Appl Genet 78: 513-520.
- Skarzhinskaya M, Landgren M, Glimelius K (1998) Production of intertribal somatic hybrids between Brassica napus L. and Lesquerella fendleri (Gray) Wats. Theor Appl Genet 93: 1242-1250.
- Snowdon RJ, Winter H, Diestel A, Sacristan MD (2000) Development and characterisation of Brassica napus-Sinapis arvensis addition lines exhibiting resistance to Leptosphaeria maculans. Theor Appl Genet 101: 1008-1014.
- Snowdon RJ, Friedrich T, Friedt W, Köhler W (2002) Identifying the chromosomes of the A and C genome diploid Brassica species B. rapa and B. oleracea in their amphidiploid B. napus. Theor Appl Genet 104: 533-538.
- Snowdon RJ, Lühs W, Friedt W (2006) Oilseed rape. In Kole C, ed., Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding, Vol. 2: Oilseeds. Berlin: Springer Verlag, pp. 55-114.
- Song K, Lu P, Tang K, Osborn TC (1995) Rapid genome change in synthetic polyploids of Brassica and its implications for polyploid evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 7719-7723.
- Struss D, Bellin U, Röbbelen G (1991) Development of B-genome chromosome addition lines of B . *napus* using different interspecific Brassica hybrids. Plant Breed 106: 209-214.
- Struss D, Quiros CF, Plieske J, Röbbelen G (1996) Construction of Basilica B genome synteny groups based on chromosomes extracted from three different sources by phenotypic, isozyme and molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 93: 1026-1032.
- Tatout C, Warwick S, Lenoir A, Deragon J-M (1999) SINE insertions as clade markers for wild crucifer species. Mol Biol Evol 16: 1614-1621.
- Town CD, Cheung F, Maiti R et al. (2006) Comparative genomics of Brassica oleracea and Arabidopsis thaliana reveal gene loss, fragmentation, and dispersal after polyploidy. Plant Cell 18: 1348-1359.
- U N (1935) Genomic analysis of Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of B . napus and peculiar mode of fertilization. $Jpn J Bot 7: 389-452.$
- Udall JA, Quijada PA, Osborn TC (2005) Detection of chromosomal rearrangements derived from homoeologous recombination in four mapping populations of Brassica napus L. Genetics 169: 967-979.
- Voss A, Snowdon RJ, Lühs W, Friedt W (2000) Intergeneric transfer of nematode resistance from Raphanus sativus into the Brassica napus genome. Acta Hort 539: 129-134.
- Wang YP, Zhao XX, Sonntag K, Wehling P, Snowdon RJ (2005) GISH analysis of BC1 and BC2 progenies derived from somatic hybrids between Brassica napus and Sinapis alba. Chromosome Res 13: 819-826.
- Warwick SI, Francis A, La Fleche J (2000) Guide to wild germplasm of Brassica and allied crops (tribe Brassiceae, Brassicaceae), 2nd edn. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. http://www.brassica.info/ resources/crucifer_genetics/guidewild.htm
- Xia X, Selvaraj G, Bertrand H (1993) Structure and evolution of a highly repetitive DNA sequence from Brassica napus. Plant Mol Biol 21: 213-224.
- Yang TJ, Kim JS, Lim KB et al. (2006) An advanced strategy for Brassica genome sequencing using comparative genomics with Arabidopsis. Acta Hort 706: 73-76.
- Zhao J, Udall J, Quijada P, Grau C, Meng J, Osborn T (2005) Quantitative trait loci for resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum and its association with a homeologous non-reciprocal transposition in Brassica napus L. Theor Appl Genet $7: 1-8$.
- Ziolkowski PA, Sadowski J (2002) FISH-mapping of rDNAs and Arabidopsis BACs on pachytene complements of selected Brassicas. Genome 45: 189-197.
- Ziolkowski PA, Kaczmarek M, Babula D, Sadowski J (2006) Genome evolution in Arabidopsis/Brassica: conservation and divergence of ancient rearranged segments and their breakpoints. Plant J 47: 63-74.