ORIGINAL PAPER

Recent Development in Studies of Tetrahydroprotoberberines: Mechanism in Antinociception and Drug Addiction

Hongyuan Chu · Guozhang Jin · Eitan Friedman · Xuechu Zhen

Received: 8 April 2007 / Accepted: 28 July 2007 / Published online: 21 August 2007 Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract The tetrahydroprotoberberines (THPBs) are compounds isolated from Chinese herbs that possess a unique pharmacological profile as D2 dopamine receptor antagonists and D1 receptor agonists. l-Tetrahydropalmatine (l-THP) and l-stepholidine (SPD), members of the THPB family, were shown to have potential clinical use in the treatment of pain. However, their mechanism of action is not clear. In the past decades, Chinese scientists have made a great deal of effort to explore the mechanisms by which the THPBs and its analogues elicit antinociception and their potential utility in treating drug abuse. It is now clear that the antinociception produced by *is related to* inhibition of D_2 dopamine receptors. The present review focuses on the recent progress made in understanding the mechanisms of l-THP- and l-SPD-mediated antinociception and the sequel of drug addiction.

Keywords Tetrahydroprotoberberines l -Tetrahydropalmatine l -Stepholidine \cdot Dopamine receptor \cdot Antinociception \cdot Drug addiction

Abbreviations

- str Striatum
- ac Nucleus accumbens
- sc Somatosensory cortex
- th Thalamus
- PAG Periaqueductal gray
- dh Dorsal horn

H. Chu \cdot G. Jin \cdot X. Zhen (\boxtimes)

State Key laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Rm# 2-315, Shanghai 201203, China e-mail: xczhen@mail.shcnc.ac.cn

Introduction

Tetrahydroprotoberberines(THPBs), a series of alkaloids isolated from the famous Chinese analgesic medicine Corydalis yanhusuo W T Wang, was recently found to elicit profound effects on the dopaminergic system in the central nervous system (CNS) (Jin 1987 , 2001 ; Xu et al. 1989). *l*-Tetrahydropalmatine (*l*-THP, Fig. 1), one of the main active ingredients of Corydalis (Jin 2001; Kin et al. 1964), was demonstrated to have excell[ent a](#page-7-0)n[alges](#page-7-0)ic effects [and](#page-8-0) has been in use in clinical practice for years in China. In the passed decades, many Chinese [scient](#page-7-0)ists have [made](#page-7-0) a great deal of effort to explore the antinociceptive mechanism of Corydalis (Hu and Jin 1999a, b, 2000; Xu et al. 1982 ; Zhang et al. 1986). In addition to *l*-THP, *l*-stepholidine (*l*-SPD, Fig. 1), which is a THPB extra[cted](#page-7-0) from *Steph[a](#page-7-0)nie intermedi*, has attracted a [great](#page-7-0) deal of attention [since](#page-8-0) it displays [a](#page-8-0) [un](#page-8-0)ique pharmacological profile toward dopamine (DA) receptors. l -SPD acts as a D_1 DA receptor agonist while it elicits antagonistic activity at the D_2 DA receptor (Guo et al. 1997). This compound was described to possess the highest affinity for D_1 -and D_2 -like [DA](#page-7-0) receptors among all known THPBs. Up to date, the THPBs are the only compounds extracted from herbs with dual actions on D_1 and D_2 DA receptors. This unique pharmacological profile makes them not only useful tools in studies of DA receptors and dopaminergic functions, but also as potential candidates for drug discovery targeted at neuropsychiatric disorders (Huang et al. 1992; Jin et al. 1992; Jin and Sun 1995; Jin et al. 2002). In the present review, we will focu[s](#page-7-0) [on](#page-7-0) [r](#page-7-0)ecent pr[ogres](#page-7-0)s made wit[h](#page-7-0) [reg](#page-7-0)ard to th[e](#page-7-0) [me](#page-7-0)chanism of action of THPBs (l-THP and l-SPD) induced analgesia and their potential role in treating drug addiction.

The Antinociceptive Action of l-THP and its Analogues

Dopaminergic Systems in Regulating Nociception

The importance of the central dopaminergic systems in regulating nociception has been well documented. For instance, administration of the dopamine precursor, L-3,4 dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA), or DA reuptake blockers were shown to elicit antinociceptio[n. I](#page-6-0)n addition, some [DA](#page-7-0) receptor ant[agon](#page-7-0)ists (Bittenco[urt](#page-8-0) and Takahashi 1997; Gil[bert](#page-8-0) and Franklin 2001; Pelissier et al. 2006; Shimizu et al. 2004; Zarrindast et al. 1999) have also been described to produce nociception; although other studies have reported either no effect or hyperalgesia with DA receptor agents

Fig. 1 The chemical structures of l-THP and l-SPD. l-THP and l-SPD belong to tetrahydroprotoberberines (THPBs), sharing the common structure of isoquinoline ring

(Malhotra et al. 2000; Michael et al. 1998). Distinct DA receptors appear to differentially modulate nociception (Frussa-Filho et al. 1996; Magnusson and Fisher 2000; Roane et al. 1[998;](#page-7-0) Taylor et al. 200[3\).](#page-7-0)

Ant[inoci](#page-7-0)ceptive Effe[ct of](#page-8-0) l -THP is Me[diate](#page-8-0)d via Antag[onism](#page-7-0) of D_2 DA Receptors

Early efforts aimed at exploring the antinociceptive mechanism of action of l-THP indicated that the drug is unlikely to have either antipyretic or narcotic effects since l-THP did not induce a significant change in the level of prostaglandins (PGs) (Xu et al. 1982). Furthermore, pharmacological experiments demonstrated that l-THP exhibited no affinity for the opiate receptors (Zhang et al. 1986). However, the finding of the du[al](#page-8-0) [pro](#page-8-0)perties of l-THP and its analogs at DA re[cepto](#page-8-0)rs has shed light on our understanding of the mechanism of their antinociceptive actions (Huang and Jin 1992; Xu et al. 1989).

Recent st[udies](#page-8-0) have described that intraperitoneal injections of the D_2 d[opam](#page-7-0)ine receptor antagonist, spiperone produced a dose-dependent antinociceptive effect in the tail-flick test; and l-THP mimicked the effect of spiperone. However, neither SKF38393, SCH23390 nor a D_2 receptor agonist produced antinociceptive effects (Table 1), suggesting that the antagonistic activity of l -THP at the D_2 DA receptor is likely cont[ri](#page-3-0)buting to its antinociceptive action. This is supported by the fact that the D_2 dopamine receptor agonist, quinpirole, but not a D_1 dopamine receptor agonist, dose-dependently antagonized l-THP-induced antinociception (Tab[le](#page-3-0) 1) (Hu and Jin 199[9b\). It](#page-7-0) is thus clear that the antinociceptive action of l-THP is dependent on its antagonistic effect at the D_2 dopamine receptor without directly interacting with the opioid receptors. Furthermore, intrathecal injections of the D_2 agonist, quinpirole, produced a dose-dependent antinociceptive effect. However, l-THP, spiperone, SKF38393 or SCH23390 produced no effect on nociception when they were administered intrathecally (Table [1\)](#page-3-0) (Hu and Jin [1999b](#page-7-0)). Thus, it appears that the analgesic action of l -THP is mediated by blocking supraspinal D_2 receptors and not at the spinal level.

In addition, *l*-SPD, an analogue of *l*-THP, also produced a similar analgesic action in the hot-plate test (Chen et al. 1[986;](#page-6-0) Zhang et al. [1986](#page-8-0)), indicating that THPBs share a common analgesic property.

Potential Molecular Mechanism

In order to understand the molecular mechanism for the analgesic action of l-THP, Hu et al. carried out a set of experiments to address the role of c-fos protein expression in the analgesic action of l-THP in an animal model of formalin-induced pain. The results indicated that l-THP-induced c-fos immunoreactive protein mainly in striatal and nucleus accumbens (NAc), and to a lesser extent in sensorimotor cortical neurons. This expression pattern is similar to that obtained in $D₂$ receptor antagonist-treated animals in the formalin- pain test. Moreover, in the formal[in](#page-4-0)-pain tested animals, c-fos-positive neurons were mainly located in ascending pain afferent systems (APAS) and in the descending pain modulation system (DPMS) (Fig. 2). Following l-THP treatment, the number of c-fo[s-](#page-4-0)positive neurons in the APAS (such as dorsal horn) was increased, while a decrease in expression was noted in the DPMS (such as periaqueductal gray (PAG) (Fig. 2). This pattern suggests that an altered c-fos expression may be involved in the drug's analgesic action. It appears that *l*-THP and its analogs enhance activity in

Drugs	Dose	%change of TFL
i.p.		
D1 agonist SKF38393	$2,3$ mg/kg	
D1 antagonist SCH23390	$2,3$ mg/kg	
D2 agonist quinpirole	$2,3$ mg/kg	
D2 antagonist spiperone	$1,2,3$ mg/kg	\uparrow
NS	0.4 ml	
l -THP	10,20,40 mg/kg	\uparrow
Vehicle	0.4 ml	
<i>i.p.</i>		
l -THP 40 mg/kg		
+quinpirole	$2,3$ mg/kg	⊙
$+$ NS	0.4 ml	$^{+}$
$+$ SKF38393	$2,3$ mg/kg	$^{+}$
+Naloxone	$2,4$ mg/kg	$+$
i.th.		
D1 agonist SKF38393	20,40 μg/kg	
D1 antagonist SCH23390	20,40 μg/kg	
D2 agonist quinpirole	20,30,40 µg/kg	\uparrow
D2 antagonist spiperone	$20,40 \mu$ g/kg	
NS	10μ	
l -THP	100,200,300 µg/kg	
Vehicle	$10 \mu l$	
i.th.		
Quinpirole 40µg/kg		
+spiperone	$20,30,40 \mu g/kg$	\odot
$+$ NS	$10 \mu l$	$^{+}$
$+l$ -THP	200,300 µg/kg	⊙
$+$ Vehicle	$10 \mu l$	$^{+}$
+SCH23390	20,40 μg/kg	$^{+}$

Table 1 Effect of *l*-THP on tail-flick latency (TFL) in rats

Injection of D2 receptor antagonist spiperone (i.p.) dose-dependently increased TFL of rats in tail flick test. l-THP mimicked the effect of spiperone; Implicating that antagonistic activity to D2 DA receptor is likely contributed to antinociceptive effectiveness of l-THP. This was supported by the fact that only D2 agonist quinpirole dose-dependently antagonized the l-THP-induced antinociception. Furthermore, i.th. administration of D2 agonist quinpirole produced a significant and dose-dependent antinociception. Thus, it appears that the analgesic action of l-THP is mediated by blocking the supraspinal D2 receptors and not at spinal level

 $\hat{\tau}$: significant increase of TFL; -: no change of TFL; \odot : significant antagnistic effect; +: no antagonistic effect

brainstem DPMS neurons by blocking D_2 dopamine receptors in striatum and NAc, and sub[sequen](#page-7-0)tly inhibit inputs from peripheral pain afferent in the spinal cord (Hu and Jin 1999a).

It was found that arcuate nucleus (Ar) and habenula are the relay nuclei between striatum/NAc and DPMS, and that the β -endorphin(β -END) neurons in the Ar send a major projection to PAG as demonstrated by hors[erad](#page-7-0)ish peroxidase (HRP) retrograde tracing and immuno-histochemistry (Hu and Jin 2000). However, only the striatum/ NAc- Ar -PAG pathway is involved in the analgesic action of l-THP, since the analgesic effect of l-THP disappeared after an Ar lesion.

While *l*-THP does not exhibit any binding affinit[y fo](#page-7-0)r opioid receptors, it is interesting to note that l-THP releases endogenous opioid peptides such as END, enkephalin (ENK) and dynorphin (DYN) in brain (Jin 2001) and END or ENK in spinal or supraspinal levels. Moreover, DYN at the level of the spinal cord is known to

Fig. 2 Effect of *l*-THP on brain c-fos expression induced by formalin. Rats with formalin-induced pain (10 min of formalin stimuli) were administrated *i.p.* 60 mg/kg of dl -THP or *l*-THP for 2 h. After perfusion, immunohistochemistry was then performed in spinal cord or brain sections with anti-c-fos antibody. A–C: dorsal horn; D–F: PAG. left): formalin-pain; middle): formalin-pain+dl-THP; right): formalin-pain +l-THP

be associated with the antinociceptive effects of analgesic drugs (Herz and Mil[lan](#page-7-0) 1990; Yoshimura and North 1[983\).](#page-8-0) Thus, it appears that endogenous opioid peptides, may at least in part, contribute to the antinociceptive action of l-THP. Yet, l-THP elicits neither physical nor psychological dependence (Jin [2001](#page-7-0); Zhang et al. [198](#page-8-0)6).

In summary, l-THP and its analogues are potent antinociceptive agents and this action is mediated through blockade of D_2 dopamine receptors. Furthermore, the striatum/NAc- Ar- PA[G](#page-5-0) pathway appears to be the main pathway that mediates this action of l -THP (Fig. 3).

l-SPD and Drug Addiction

Addiction is a chronic, relapsing brain disease characterized by persis[tent](#page-6-0) and uncontrolle[d](#page-7-0) [dru](#page-7-0)g seeking behavior [desp](#page-7-0)ite negative consequence (Adinoff 2004; Leshner 1997; Pierce and Kumaresan 2006). It [is be](#page-6-0)lieved that me[solim](#page-7-0)bic DA system may be the p[rincip](#page-7-0)al an[atomical](#page-8-0) circuit that is responsible for the reward effects produced by drugs such as cocaine (Adinoff 2004; McBride et al. 1999; Pierce and Kumaresan 2006; Wise 1998, 2002). Although it has been demonstrated that [both](#page-6-0) D1 and D2 DA r[ecept](#page-7-0)ors play a[n ess](#page-8-0)ential role in [dru](#page-8-0)g addiction, agents targeting dopamine receptors have rarely been found to be clinically useful (Berger et al. 1996; Haney et al. 1999; Platt et al. 2000; Warner et al. 1997). The main disadvantage of

Fig. 3 Putative mechanism of analgesic effect of *l*-THP. In formalin-pain models, the c-fos-positive neurons were mainly located at ascending pain afferent system (APAS, double line) and descending pain modulation system (DPMS, double dashed line). Following the l-THP treatment, the number of c-fospositive neurons in APAS (such as dorsal horn) was increased (+), but was decreased (–) in DPMS (such as PAG and Rpgl). Therefore, it appears that l-THP and its analogs enhanced the activity of brainstem DPMS by the blockade of D_2 receptors in the striatum and NAc, and sequentially inhibited the inputs of peripheral pain afferent information at spinal level through PAG-Rpgl-spinal cord dorsal horn pathway

specific D_1 receptor agonists as po[tentia](#page-8-0)l therapeutic agents is their potential reinforcing and abuse potential (Weed et al. 1997), whereas D_2 receptor antagonists are f[reque](#page-6-0)ntly accompanied [with](#page-7-0) severe adverse extrapyramidal motor effects (Coffin et al. 1989; Grech et al. 1996). It should be noted that some partial agonists of the DA receptors were fou[nd to](#page-8-0) be of potenti[al use](#page-8-0) in treating psychostimulants abuse (Pulvirenti and Koob 1994; Spealman et al. 1997).

l-SPD possesses dual actions on brain DA receptors eliciting p[artia](#page-7-0)l D_1 receptor ago[nistic](#page-8-0) activity while antagonising D_2 dopamine receptors (Jin et al. 2002; Zou et al. 1997). The potential role of l-SPD in the treatment of drug abuse has recently received some attention, since theoretically, an agent with [parti](#page-7-0)al D_1 receptor agonistic and D_2 antagonistic properties may reduce drug abuse liability with diminished potential to induce extrapyramidal motor deficits (Jin et al. 2002). Interestingly, a preliminary clinical trial conducted in China has shown that l-SPD alleviates the protracted withdrawal syndrome that follows opioid abuse, and attenuates craving for addictive drugs, thus, implicating l-SPD as a potential candidate for the treatment of drug abuse.

In support of this possibility, a recent study in animals showed that *l*-SPD inhibits acquisition, maintenance, and re-acquisition of morphine conditioned place preference (CPP) (Wang et al. 2007). This is also in agreement with an earlier study, which showed that l-12-chloroscoulerine, a modified l-SPD compound that exhibits the dual properties of D_1 dopamine rece[ptor a](#page-8-0)gonist and D_2 receptor antagonist suppressed acquisition of morphine-induced CPP, an action that appears to be mediated via blockade of D_2 dopamine receptors (Liu et al. 2003). We have recently found that *l*-SPD inhibits amphetamine-induced DA neuron firing in the VTA (unpublished observation).

As mentioned above, l-THP w[as als](#page-7-0)o found to increase the synthesis and release of endogenous opioid peptides (END, ENK and DYN) in CNS (Jin 2001)- an action which may contribute to the anti-dependence potential of drugs of abuse. Supporting this possibility, a recent clinical trial conducted in heroin addicts in [Chin](#page-7-0)a found that, l-THP significantly reduced drug craving and withdrawal syndromes during treatment and resulting in a three-fold higher abstinent rate compared to placebo controls, when assessed 3 months after subjects were discharged (Yang et al. 2006). In addition, a recent report indicated that *l*-THP attenuated cocaine self-adm[inistr](#page-8-0)ation and cocaineinduced reinstatement in rats, suggesting that l-THP has potential role in the treatment of cocaine addiction (Mantsch et al. 2007).

In summary, clinical trial and ani[mal st](#page-7-0)udies demonstrated that THPBs including l-SPD and l-THP are potential candidates for the treatment of drug abuse. The underlying mechanism may involve THPBs-stimulated the synthesis and release of endogenous opioid peptides.

Conclusion Remark

Considering the existing evidence, *l*-SPD, with its dual properties toward the D_1 and D_2 DA receptors, appears to lower liability for dependence to psychostimulants, while at the same time also reducing the likelihood of producing extrapyramidal motor deficits that exists with other D_2 dopamine receptor antagonists. Although many questions regarding the mechanism of l-SPD, l-THP and its analogues remain to be addressed, it appears that agents with dual actions toward DA receptors may represent a new and potent drug class for the treatment of pain and for use in reducing the addictive potential of drugs of abuse.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the 973-plan of Ministry of science and Technology (973-2003CB5154000).

References

- Adinoff B (2004) Neurobiologic processes in drug reward and addiction. Harv Rev Psychiatry 12:305– 320
- Berger SP, Hall S, Mickalian JD, Reid MS, Crawford CA, Delucchi K, Carr K, Hall S (1996) Haloperidol antagonism of cue-elicited cocaine craving. Lancet 347:504–508
- Bittencourt AL, Takahashi RN (1997) Mazindol and lidocaine are antinociceptives in the mouse formalin model: involvement of dopamine receptor. Eur J Pharmacol 330:109–113
- Chen LF, Gao JZ, Wang FC (1986) Analgesic and antipyretic effects of l-stepholidine without addiction. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 7:311–314
- Coffin VL, Latranyi MB, Chipkin RE (1989) Acute extrapyramidal syndrome in Cebus monkeys: development mediated by dopamine D2 but not D1 receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 249:769–774
- Frussa-Filho R, Rocha JB, Conceicao IM, Mello CF, Pereira ME (1996) Effects of dopaminergic agents on visceral pain measured by the mouse writhing test. Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther 331:74–93
- Gilbert A-K, Franklin KBJ (2001) Characterization of the analgesic properties of nomifensine in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 68:783–787
- Grech DM, Spealman RD, Bergman J (1996) Self-administration of D1 receptor agonists by squirrel monkeys. Psychopharmacology 125:97–104
- Guo X, Wang LM, Liu J, Jin GZ (1997) Characteristics of tetrahydroprotoberberines on dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in calf striatum. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 18:225–230
- Haney M, Collins ED, Ward AS, Foltin RW, Fischman MW (1999) Effect of a selective dopamine D1 agonist (ABT-431) on smoked cocaine self-administration in humans. Psychopharmacology 143:102– 110
- Herz A, Millan MJ (1990) Opioids and opioid receptors mediating antinociception at various levels of the neuraxis. Physiol Bohemoslov 39:395–401
- Hu JY, Jin GZ (1999a) Effect of tetrahydropalmatine analogs on Fos expression induced by formalinpain. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 20:193–200
- Hu JY, Jin GZ (1999b) Supraspinal D2 receptor involved in antinociception induced by l-tetrahydropalmatine. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 20:715–719
- Hu JY, Jin GZ (2000) Arcuate nucleus of hypothalamus involved in analgesic action of l-THP. Acta Pharmacol Sin 21:439–444
- Huang KX, Jin GZ (1992) The antagonistic effects of tetrahydroprotoberberines on dopamine receptors: electrophysiological studies. Sci China B 35:688–696
- Huang KX, Sun BC, Jin GZ (1992) (-)-Stepholidine: a dopamine receptor antagonist shows agonistic effect on rotational behavior in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 13:17– 22
- Jin GZ (1987) (–)-Tetrahydropalmatine and its analogues as new dopamine receptor antagonists. Trends Pharmacol Sci 8:81–82
- Jin GZ (2001) Discoveries in the voyage of Corydalis research. Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, Shanghai
- Jin GZ, Huang KX, Sun BC (1992) Dual actions of (–)-stepholidine on dopamine receptor subtypes after substantia nigra lesion. Neurochem Int 20(Suppl):175S–178S
- Jin GZ, Sun BC (1995) Neuropharmacological effects of (–)-stepholidine and its analogues on brain dopaminergic system. Adv Exp Med Biol 363:27–28
- Jin GZ, Zhu ZT, Fu Y (2002) (–)-Stepholidine: a potential novel antipsychotic drug with dual D1 receptor agonist and D2 receptor antagonist actions. Trends Pharmacol Sci 23:4–7
- Kin KC, Zhen XF, Hsu B (1964) Studies on the pharmacological action of Corydalis XII: the effects of isomers of tetrahydropalmatine(THP) on central nervous system. Acta Physiol Sin 27:47–57
- Leshner AI (1997) Addiction is a brain disease, and it matters. Science 278:45–47
- Liu ZH, Jin WQ, Zhang HP, Chen XJ, Jin GZ (2003) Suppression of morphine-induced conditioned place preference by l-12-chloroscoulerine, a novel dopamine receptor ligand. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 75:289–294
- Magnusson JE, Fisher K (2000) The involvement of dopamine in nociception: the role of D1 and D2 receptors in the dorsolateral striatum. Brain Res 855:260–266
- Malhotra J, Chaudhary G, Gupta YK (2000) Dopaminergic involvement in adenosine A1 receptormediated antinociception in the tail flick latency model in mice. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol 22:37–41
- Mantsch JR, Li SJ, Risinger R, Awad S, Katz E, Baker DA, Yang Z (2007) Levo-tetrahydropalmatine attenuates cocaine self-administration and cocaine-induced reinstatement in rats. Psychopharmacol (Berl) 192:581–591
- McBride WJ, Murphy JM, Ikemoto S (1999) Localization of brain reinforcement mechanisms: intracranial self-administration and intracranial place-conditioning studies. Behav Brain Res 101:129–152
- Michael BG, Negus SS, Nancy KM (1998) Antinociceptive effects of monoamine reuptake inhibitors administered alone or in combination with mu opioid agonists in rhesus monkeys. Psychopharmacology 135:99–106
- Pelissier T, Laurido C, Hernandez A, Constandil L, Eschalier A (2006) Biphasic effect of apomorphine on rat nociception and effect of dopamine D2 receptor antagonists. Eur J Pharmacol 546:40–47
- Pierce RC, Kumaresan V (2006) The mesolimbic dopamine system: The final common pathway for the reinforcing effect of drugs of abuse? Neurosci Biobehav Rev 30:215–238
- Platt DM, Rowlett JK, Spealman RD (2000) Dissociation of cocaine-antagonist properties and motoric effects of the D1 receptor partial agonists SKF 83959 and SKF 77434. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 293:1017–1026
- Pulvirenti L, Koob GF (1994) Dopamine receptor agonists, partial agonists and psychostimulant addiction. Trends Pharmacol Sci 15:374–379
- Roane DS, Bounds JK, Ang C-Y, Adloo AA (1998) Quinpirole-induced alterations of tail temperature appear as hyperalgesia in the radiant heat tail-flick test. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 59:77–82
- Shimizu T, Iwata S-i, Morioka H, Masuyama T, Fukuda T, Nomoto M (2004) Antinociceptive mechanism of -DOPA. Pain 110:246–249
- Spealman RD, Jack B, Rosenzweig-Lipson S (1997) Differential modulation of behavioral effects of cocaine by low- and high-efficacy D1 agonists. Psychopharmacology 133:283–292
- Taylor BK, Joshi C, Uppal H (2003) Stimulation of dopamine D2 receptors in the nucleus accumbens inhibits inflammatory pain. Brain Res 987:135–143
- Wang W, Zhou Y, Sun J, Pan L, Kang L, Dai Z, Yu R, Jin G, Ma L (2007) The effect of l-stepholidine, a novel extract of Chinese herb, on the acquisition, expression, maintenance, and re-acquisition of morphine conditioned place preference in rats. Neuropharmacology 52:355–361
- Warner EA, Kosten TR, O'Connor PG (1997) Pharmacotherapy for opioid and cocaine abuse. Medical Clin North Am 81:909–925
- Weed MR, Paul IA, Dwoskin LP, Moore SE, Woolverton WL (1997) The relationship between reinforcing effects and in vitro effects of D1 agonists in monkeys. J Pharmacol Exper Ther 283:29–38 Wise RA (1998) Drug-activation of brain reward pathways. Drug Alcohol Depend 51:13–22
- Wise RA (2002) Brain reward circuitry: insights from unsensed incentives. Neuron 36:229–240
- Xu J, Zheng LZ, Jin GZ (1982) Relevance of brain prostaglandins to central effects of ltetrahydropalmatine. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 3:217–220
- Xu SX, Yu LP, Han YR, Chen Y, Jin GZ (1989) Effects of tetrahydroprotoberberines on dopamine receptor subtypes in brain. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 10:104–110
- Yang Z, Chen H, Hao W, Jin G, Li S (2006) Medication of L-tetrahydropalmatine increased the abstinence rate in heroin addicts. College on Problems of Drug Dependence 2006 Meeting Abstracts, Scottsdale, AZ, Available at [http://biopsych.com:81/CPDD06_Web/MeetPro](http://biopsych.com:81/CPDD06_Web/MeetProgAbSearch_06.html)gAb Sear[ch_06.html](http://biopsych.com:81/CPDD06_Web/MeetProgAbSearch_06.html)
- Yoshimura M, North RA (1983) Substantia gelatinosa neurones hyperpolarized in vitro by enkephalin. Nature 305:529–530
- Zarrindast M-R, Nassiri-Rad S, Pazouki M (1999) Effects of dopaminergic agents on antinociception in formalin test. Gen Pharmacol 32:517–522
- Zhang ZD, Jin GZ, Xu SX, Yu LP, Chen Y, Jiang FY, Zhang YR, Sun Z, Ding YL, Bian CF et al (1986) Effects of l-stepholidine on the central nervous and cardiovascular systems. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 7:522–526
- Zou LL, Liu J, Jin GZ (1997) Involvement of receptor reserve in D1 agonistic action of (-)-stepholidine in lesioned rats. Biochem Pharmacol 54:233–240