Hydrogen Production by Catalytic Reforming of Gaseous Hydrocarbons (Methane & LPG)

Dong Ju Moon

Published online: 23 September 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

Abstract Hydrogen has been attracting great interest as a major energy source in near future. The lack of an infrastructure has led to a research effort to develop fuel processing technology for production of hydrogen. In this review, we are reporting the catalytic reforming of gaseous hydrocarbons carried out in our research group, covering dry-reforming of CH₄, tri-reforming of CH₄, the electrocatalytic reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in the SOFC (solid oxide fuel cell) system and steam reforming of LPG. Especially, we have focused on our work, though the related work from other researchers is also discussed wherever necessary. It was found that tri-reforming of CH₄ over NiO-YSZ-CeO2 catalyst was more desirable than dry-reforming of CH₄ due to higher reforming activity and less carbon formation. The synthesis gas produced by trireforming of CH₄ can be used for the production of dimethyl ether, Fischer-Tropsch synthesis fuels and high valued chemicals. To improve the problem of deactivation of catalyst due to carbon formation in the dry reforming of CH₄ the internal reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in SOFC system with NiO-YSZ-CeO₂ anode catalyst was suggested for cogeneration of a syngas and electricity. It was found that Rh-spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst showed long term stability for 1,100 h in the steam reforming of LPG under the tested conditions. The addition of Rh to spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst restricted the deactivation of catalyst due to carbon formation in the steam reforming of LPG and diesel under the tested conditions. The result suggested that the developed reforming catalysts can be used in the reforming process of CH₄, LNG and LPG for application to hydrogen station and fuel processor system.

KeywordsCatalytic reforming \cdot Hydrogen production \cdot Fuel-cell powered vehicles \cdot Fuel processor system \cdot Hydrogen station \cdot SOFC \cdot Internal reforming \cdot PEM fuel cell \cdot Dry-reforming \cdot Tri-reforming \cdot Steam reforming \cdot CH₄ \cdot LPG

1 Introduction

Hydrogen is forecast to become a major source of energy in near future. Molecular hydrogen is an ecofriendly fuel which can be converted to electricity efficiently via fuel cells with zero emissions of greenhouse gases or hazardous species such as volatile organic compounds [1-5].

Hydrogen has been used in a number of industrial applications, like ammonia production, oil refineries and methanol production plants. Most of the hydrogen has been produced as an industrial scale by the process of steam reforming of natural gas or as a byproduct of petroleum refining and chemicals production. Generally, hydrogen can be produced by the following processes such as steam reforming (SR), partial oxidation (POX) and autothermal reforming (ATR) of hydrocarbons.

Steam reforming uses thermal energy to separate hydrogen from the carbon components of hydrocarbons, and involves the reaction of these fuels with steam on catalytic surfaces. The first step of the reaction is the decomposition [6] of the fuel into hydrogen and carbon monoxide, followed by water gas shift reaction (WGS) of the carbon monoxide and water to carbon dioxide and hydrogen. Steam reforming is an endothermic process,

D. J. Moon (🖂)

Clean Energy Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), 39-1, Hawolgok-dong Sungbuk-ku, Seoul, Republic of Korea e-mail: djmoon@kist.re.kr

hence some of the fuel must be burned and the heat transferred to the reformer via heat exchangers.

Partial oxidation involves [7] the reaction of oxygen with fuel to produce H_2 and CO when the oxygen-to-fuel ratio is less than that required for total combustion. Partial oxidation can be conducted with a catalyst (catalytic partial oxidation) or without a catalyst (non-catalytic partial oxidation). The reaction rates are much higher for partial oxidation than for steam reforming, but the H_2 yield per carbon in the fuel is lower.

Autothermal reforming involves the reaction of oxygen, steam and fuel to produce H_2 and CO, and can be viewed as a combination of partial oxidation and steam reforming. This reforming step generates gases which contain 8–12% CO besides H_2 , CO₂, CH₄ and air. This CO must be converted with the help of steam to CO₂ and hydrogen via WGS reaction to enrich the hydrogen [1, 2, 8].

The lack of an infrastructure for the production and distribution of H_2 has stimulated research in fuel processing, in particular, the development of fuel processors for reforming infrastructure fuels such as natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), gasoline and diesel at the point of application.

Recently, proton exchanged membrane (PEM) fuel cells operating with hydrogen from hydrocarbon reforming technologies are being increasingly accepted as the most appropriate power source for future generation vehicles. It has polymer electrolyte and operates at 80 °C with hydrogen as reformate, prepared externally. For PEM fuel cells, the concentration of CO should be reduced as it poisons an anode catalyst. Solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) is also gaining interest, though the operating temperature is high (700–900 °C). It has ceramic electrolyte and can use $H_2/CO_2/CH_4$ reformate as fuel which can be reformed internally or externally. It is tolerant to sulfur and there is no CO poison effect as observed in PEM fuel cells.

Steam reforming of hydrocarbons, especially of methane, is the largest and generally the most economical way to make hydrogen and has been reported by many researchers [6, 9–11]. Steam reforming gives the highest quantity of hydrogen in the product stream, but the requirements of considerable heat input, high catalyst loadings and large reactors are necessary. However, coupling this process with total oxidation by combusting part of the fuel can minimize the external energy demand to produce hydrogen efficiently in more compact reactors [12, 13]. Steam reforming of *n*-butane over supported Ni and Pt–Ni catalysts is reported [10] under conditions similar to fuel processing via indirect partial oxidation [13], i.e., the combination of total oxidation, steam reforming and watergas shift reaction. Though supported nickel catalysts are used in industrially important reactions for producing hydrogen and synthesis gas from hydrocarbon feedstock [14–16], the problem of coke formation leading to the deactivation of nickel catalysts is often pointed out [17, 18].

In the last decade, attention has been paid on the production of synthesis gas by dry reforming of hydrocarbons like methane and propane [15, 19–22]. But CH₄ reforming by CO₂ is highly energy consuming and coke forming process [23, 24]. To solve this problem, an electrocatalytic internal reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ is reported [3–5, 20, 21] to coproduce a syngas and electricity in an SOFC system. This process is attractive from environmental and economical points of view because of the potential utilization of greenhouse gases as resources for the production of energy and high-value chemicals [1–5, 13].

Heterogeneous catalysts with Mg-Al hydrotalcite-like compounds as precursors and their utilization for CH₄ reforming were reported by Basini et al. [25, 26]. They focused the study on the Ni-based catalyst application in CH₄ partial oxidation and the effects of the residence time and the thermal profile were monitored to elucidate the catalytic reaction mechanism. Also, heterogeneous stoichiometric oxidation and catalytic partial oxidation of methane were studied [26] at the surfaces of MgO, γ -Al₂O₃, and CeO₂ containing small Rh clusters. Nonequilibrium oxidative conversion of methane to CO and H₂ with high selectivity and productivity over Ni/Al₂O₃ was reported at low temperatures [7]. Schmidt et al. reviewed [27] the issue about their work, investigating the catalytic partial oxidation of various hydrocarbons [28], the roles of the active metal species and the roughness of the surfaces [29], the effects of total pressure [30], and the effects of total flow rate [31]. Catalytic partial oxidation of hydrocarbons for generating hydrogen has gained much attraction due to its direct application in PEM fuel cells for generating clean electrical energy. The spc-Ni/MgAl catalysts were prepared starting from Mg-Al hydrotalcite-like compounds containing Ni at the Mg sites as precursors and successfully applied for the partial oxidation [32], steam reforming [11], and dry reforming [14, 19, 33–35]. Partial oxidation is an exothermic process which gives off heat. It is typically, a much faster process than steam reforming and requires a smaller reactor vessel. Partial oxidation process initially produces less hydrogen per unit of the input fuel than is obtained by steam reforming of the same fuel.

Partial oxidation reforming of fuels for vehicles was first proposed by Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) several years ago. For vehicle applications, POX reforming over SR is a low energy requirement and sulfur tolerance of catalyst. For hydrocarbon fuel reforming, a catalyst support must have a high surface area, must be stable at high temperatures, and possess a low pressure drop.

2 Features of Catalysts

2.1 Anode Supported Single Cell

Nickel is the most commonly used metal for SOFC anodes [36]. Since Ni catalyzes the formation of carbon filaments when exposed to hydrocarbons at SOFC operating temperatures, it is replaced with a different electronic conductor [37, 38]. Ni was replaced with Cu, since Cu is a poor catalyst for carbon formation [39, 40]. Because of the catalytic activity of ceria for the oxidation of hydrocarbon fuels, ceria is included in the anode to enhance anode performance [41, 42]. Cu-ceria-yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) anodes are capable of direct, electrochemical oxidation of various fuels, including hydrocarbons that are liquids at room temperature [43, 44]. It is reported [39] that the anodes made from composites of Cu and yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) perform very poorly unless ceria is added. More recently, direct oxidation of hydrocarbons at intermediate temperatures has been demonstrated with SOFC based on samaria doped ceria (SDC) electrolytes [45].

Lu et al. [46] replaced Cu with Au and observed similar electrochemical performance in direct oxidation SOFC system and demonstrated that ceria plays a crucial role in maintaining high performance in these direct-oxidation anodes.

We have studied [20] the performance of Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ and Ni–YSZ–MgO as anode catalysts (Table 1) for dry reforming of CH₄. Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst displayed higher reaction rate for the conversion of CO₂ and CH₄, 9.1 and 8.2 mmol/cm², respectively, than Ni–YSZ–MgO catalyst. It attained the maximum activity at above 800 °C. The BET surface area of both the catalysts after CH₄ reforming by CO₂ was found to increase by the deposition of carbon on the surface of the catalyst at high temperature with a decrease in the active metal surface area of catalysts due to the sintering of Ni. These catalysts along with NiO and YSZ were tested [4, 47, 48] for cogeneration of synthesis

Table 1 Structural properties of CH_4 reforming catalysts prepared for internal reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 in SOFC system

	BET surface area (m ² /g)		Total pore volume	Active metal surface area	
	Before	After ^a	(cm^3/g)	(m^{2}/g)	
NiO	1.34	0.32	0.006	0.246	
YSZ	12.0	-	-	_	
NiO–MgO	4.08	-	0.007	0.117	
NiO–YSZ–MgO	8.9	13.4	0.003	0.081	
MgO-YSZ-CeO ₂	10.2	15.7	0.004	0.098	

 $^{\rm a}\,$ BET surface area of the catalysts after the dry reforming of CH_4 by $\rm CO_2$

gas and electricity through internal reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in SOFC system. Here, Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst too showed higher reaction rates than NiO–YSZ–MgO catalyst under the test conditions: temperature range = 650–850 °C; space velocity = 17,000 h⁻¹; and feed molar ratio of CO₂/CH₄ = 1. The power density in SOFC system increased almost threefold compared to other systems when the reactor design was modified to improve the contact between the cell and the current collector. This study showed that the performance of single cell in SOFC is significantly increased by the use of a suitable material and a proper design of the system. It was identified that Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst was deactivated by the formation of carbon on the surface of the catalyst within a few days.

The TPR profiles of the Ni based catalysts used in the cogeneration of synthesis gas and electricity through internal reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 in SOFC system as listed in Table 1 is shown [2] in Fig. 1. NiO showed reduction peaks at about 380 and 580 °C. A weak reduction peak of CeO₂ was exhibited at about 800 °C, but YSZ did not show any reduction peak below 1,100 °C. A reduction peak at 380 °C for the NiO–YSZ based catalysts usgests that the NiO–YSZ based catalysts are active for reforming of CH₄ by CO₂. However, the active metal surface area over the NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst was higher than that of NiO–YSZ–MgO catalyst reflecting higher reforming activity.

2.2 Hydrotalcites

Hydrotalcites are based on the brucite structure, in which some magnesium is replaced by a trivalent cation such as aluminum (AI^{3+}), iron (Fe^{3+}) or chromium (Cr^{3+}). This replacement introduces a positive charge on the layered



Fig. 1 TPR profiles of Ni based catalysts. (a) YSZ, (b) CeO₂, (c) NiO, (d) NiO-YSZ-CeO₂, (e) NiO-YSZ-MgO

double hydroxides (LDH), and this charge is counterbalanced by an anion that may be carbonate or sulphate. The interlayer anions can be incorporated to the structure during the synthesis process as well as in a later ionic exchange step. Hydrotalcites-like materials have been extensively studied [49-53] in last few decades for various catalytic applications such as steam reforming, base-catalyzed organic transformations, alcohol synthesis, alkylation of phenol with alcohols, selective oxidation, isomerization of allylic compounds and decomposition of nitrogen and sulfur oxides. Hydrotalcite-derived mixed oxides are used as ion exchangers, absorbers, catalysts and catalyst supports. They show interesting properties such as high surface area, "memory effect," phase purity, basic surface properties, and structural stability [54]. Upon calcinations, hydrotalcite-like compounds form a homogeneous mixture of oxides with a very small crystal size, stable to thermal treatment and upon reduction form small and thermally stable metal crystallites [6].

Usually, supported metal catalysts used in the reforming reactions of hydrocarbons are prepared by wet impregnation of different supports. But this method has drawbacks that it is not reproducible, gives inhomogeneity in metal distribution on surface and also fine metal particles tend to sinter at high temperature resulting in the catalyst deactivation [6]. So "solid phase crystallization" (spc) method giving stable and highly dispersed metal-supported catalyst using pervoskite [55–57] and hydrotalcite [19, 32] as precursor have been reported. Takehira et al. reported [6] spc-Ni/MgAl catalysts of various Mg/Al ratios, prepared from Mg-Al hydrotalcite-like compounds as the precursors and tested for steam reforming of CH₄ into synthesis gas, and compared with supported Ni catalysts prepared by impregnation. They concluded that spc-Ni_{0.5}/Mg_{2.5}Al catalyst can be considered for the production of H₂ for polymer electrolyte fuel cells. spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst was also reported [19, 33, 34] to be successfully applied for partial oxidation [32], steam reforming [11] and dry reforming of CH_4 [33, 34].

Recently, we have reported our work [9, 58, 59] on steam reforming of *n*-hexadecane, a main constituent of diesel, over noble metal (Rh) modified Ni-based hydrotalcite catalyst. The catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation and dipping methods. The noble metal modified Ni-based hydrotalcite catalyst displayed higher resistance to the sintering of active metal than Ni-based hydrotalcite catalysts prepared by a conventional method. Rh modified Ni-based catalysts showed high resistance to the formation of carbon compared to the Ni-based catalysts. Hence, Rh modified Ni-based catalyst can be applied for the SR reaction of diesel.

The TPR profiles of catalysts prepared for SR of LPG are shown [9] in Fig. 2. All catalysts showed a reduction peak at about 800 °C, which may be due to the formation



Fig. 2 TPR profiles of (a) *spc*-Ni/MgAl after dry at 60 °C for 12 h, (b) *spc*-Ni/MgAl after calcination at 850 °C, (c) 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl after calcinations, (d) 0.5 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl after calcination, (e) 1.0 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl after calcination

of Mg–Ni–O species. The NiO peak in hydrotalcite structure formed after dipping appeared at around 450 °C (Fig. 2a), which disappeared due to the formation of solid Mg–Ni–O solution upon calcination (Fig. 2b). Rh modified *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts displayed two peaks due to the reduction of Rh metal at 230 and 425 °C (Fig. 2c–e). These results reveal that the reduction and oxidation of Rh metal are easier than those of Ni metal, which agrees with the CO chemisorption and XRD data. This infers that Rh metal reacts with the oxygen source easier than Ni metal due to low reduction temperature and finally suppresses the sintering of Ni metal during the SR of *n*-hexadecane.

2.3 Mixed Oxides

Velu et al. investigated [60] the oxidative steam reforming of methanol over a series of multi-component (Cu,Co,Zn,Al) mixed oxide catalysts. It was revealed that the Co free catalyst offered high H_2 production rates because of the presence of Co favors the CO hydrogenation reaction.

Newson et al. used [61] 1 wt%Rh on commercial mixed oxide for low-temperature POX reaction of low sulphur liquid hydrocarbons including refinery streams (C_4 – C_{10}), at 500–600 °C instead of 900 °C [14, 62]. Since the concentration of CO in product gases was substantially lower, they eliminated the use of high- and low-temperature shift reactors (HTS, LTS) before the preferential oxidation (PROX) reactor.

We impregnated Ni using nickel nitrate solution on YSZ–CeO₂ and YSZ–MgO mixed oxides prepared by ballmill technique to make our dry reforming catalysts such as NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ and NiO–YSZ–MgO [20, 21]. NiO– YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst showed higher catalytic activity than NiO–YSZ–MgO catalyst, however, it was deactivated by the formation of carbon on the surface of the catalyst within a few days. The same NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst showed [63] a higher activity for tri-reforming of CH₄ without the formation of NiC phase than two commercial (ICI, HT) catalysts. It was reported that the sintering of nickel can be controlled by YSZ particles.

3 Catalytic Reforming of CH₄

3.1 Steam Reforming of CH₄

Today, most of hydrogen is produced by the steam reforming of methane source such as natural gas and byproduct of petroleum refining. In the steam reforming of methane, methane reacts with steam under 3–25 atm pressure in the presence of a catalyst to produce hydrogen, carbon monoxide and a relatively small amount of carbon dioxide. Steam reforming (Eq. 1) is an endothermic process, hence heat must be supplied to the process for the reaction to proceed.

Subsequently, water-gas shift reaction (Eq. 2) by which carbon monoxide and steam are reacted using a catalyst to produce carbon dioxide and more hydrogen. In a final process carbon dioxide and other impurities are removed from the gas stream, leaving essentially pure hydrogen. Steam reforming can also be used to produce hydrogen from other fuels, such as ethanol, propane, or even gasoline.

 $CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2$ $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 206.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (1)

 $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2$ $\Delta \text{H}_{298}^{\circ} = -41.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (2)

Natural gas reforming technology is advancing rapidly, and a natural gas pipeline delivery infrastructure already exists. The hydrogen produced from natural gas is used predominantly for petroleum refining and ammonia production for fertilizer.

Researchers still have targeted their research [6, 11] at developing a superior steam reforming catalyst to have application in fuel cell technology. Takehira et al. [6] reported that *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts prepared by co-precipitation method had high metal dispersion and hence higher activity for steam reforming of CH₄ into synthesis gas, compared with supported Ni catalysts prepared by impregnation. The *spc*-Ni_{0.5}/Mg_{2.5}Al catalyst showed no deterioration in catalytic activity for 600 h even under a low steam to carbon ratio of 1.6, while a commercial Ni/ α -Al₂O₃ catalyst showed a clear decline in the activity. Metal supported catalysts prepared by a conventional wet impregnation method are not fully reproducible and may give rise to some inhomogeneity in the distribution of the metal on the surface of the support.

Recently, Takehira et al. reported [64], self-regenerative activity, by both trace Ru and Mg(Ni,Al)O periclase structure during daily start up and shut down (DSS) operation in steam reforming of CH₄. Trace Ru or RuNi alloy regenerates the Ni metal from Ni²⁺ in the periclase by hydrogen spillover and suppresses the deactivation of Ni/ Mg(Al)O catalyst in the DSS SMR. Later on, they used [65] Rh-doped on Ni/Mg(Al)O catalyst and compared with that of Ru-doped catalyst for self activation and self regeneration of active metallic Ni particles. They observed better performance of Rh-Ni/Mg(Al)O catalyst over Ru-Ni/Mg(Al)O catalyst for self activation in stationary SMR as well as regenerative in the DSS SMR. Nagaoka et al. reported [66] CH₄ autothermal reforming with or without C₂H₆ over mono-(Ni/MgAl and Rh/MgAl) and bimetallic (Ni-Rh/MgAl) catalysts, having strong resistance of coke deposition. Higher activity for Ni-Rh/MgAl catalyst was observed under all tested condition than Rh/MgAl catalyst. Tomishige et al. modified [67] the surface of Ni catalyst with trace of Pt for oxidative steam reforming of CH₄. They found that Pt-Ni species maintain in the metallic state near the bed inlet. However, Ni species if used alone gets oxidized near the bed inlet in the presence of oxygen, causing decrease in the performance.

Recently, we reported [58, 68] *spc*-Ni/MgAl and 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts that were used in the steam reforming of CH₄ for 53 h with H₂O/C ratio of 1/1. 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst showed a higher CH₄ conversion (90%) than *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst (80%). The conversion decreased gradually over *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst whereas, for 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst, the activity was found to be stable with time on stream up to 53 h.

3.2 Dry Reforming of CH₄

Since last decade, more attention has been paid to the production of synthesis gas using green house gases. The dry reforming of methane by carbon dioxide yielding a synthesis gas (Eq. 3) has received much interest because of the enhanced utilization of natural gas and greenhouse gases (namely CO_2 and CH_4) into highly valuable chemicals [35, 69, 70].

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 247.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$
(3)

However, two serious problems are associated with dry reforming of CH_4 : deactivation of the catalyst by carbon formation and consumption of high energy due to endothermic process. For these reasons, various catalysts

have been investigated for dry reforming of CH₄ by CO₂. Because of high cost and limited availability of noble metal catalysts such as Pt, Rh, and Ru [71-73], the commercialization of this process has been limited. Therefore, more work has been investigated over transition metal catalysts having reduced tendency of coke formation. For many years nickel-based catalysts have been proved to be the most suitable catalyst for hydrocarbon reforming. So nickel supported on oxides, such as Al₂O₃, MgO, TiO₂, ZrO₂, SiO₂, CeO₂ and La₂O₃ have been investigated [74-77] extensively as catalyst for the reforming of CH₄ by CO₂. The effects of the metalsupported interactions and acid-base properties of the supports on the catalytic activity and stability have been identified [78, 79]. However, research on nickel catalyst is still required in order to improve its coke resistance in the reforming of available hydrocarbons.

Catalysts prepared by conventional co-precipitation technique are used for partial oxidation of methane [25, 32] and propane [80] and dry reforming of methane [33]. Tsyganok et al. have reported [81] the introduction of nickel into Mg-Al LDH (layered double hydroxide) by a coprecipitation of Mg²⁺ and Al³⁺ with the pre-synthesized complex of Ni (II) with ethylenediaminetetraacetate, i.e., $[Ni (EDTA)]^{2-}$, where the chelated nickel species reside within interlayer space. Calcination of nickel introduced LDH in air yielded Ni-Mg-Al mixed oxide which upon reduction with hydrogen formed high, durable and reusable catalytic function toward dry reforming of methane [34]. Tsyganok et al. [81] observed high and sustainable catalytic activity and selectivity in the dry reforming of methane at 800 °C and also observed that LDH derived catalysts offered remarkably higher CH₄ and CO₂ conversions than those attained with catalysts prepared by sol-gel and cellulose template methods.

Direct dry reforming in the SOFC system is considered as an advanced process. Studies on the direct electrocatalytic oxidation of CH_4 were reported in a solid oxide fuel cell system with reasonable performance by Park et al. [82]. Ishihara et al. [83] reported their studies on the partial oxidation of methane over a fuel cell reactor for the simultaneous generation of synthesis gas and electric power.

To check the possibility of the development of a high performance electrode for application in an electrocatalytic internal reforming in the SOFC system, the catalytic reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ over Ni–YSZ modified catalysts, namely Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ and Ni–YSZ–MgO (Table 1) was investigated [20, 21]. Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst displayed higher reaction rate (9.1 mmol/cm²) than Ni–YSZ–MgO catalyst (8.2 mmol/cm²). Ni–YSZ–CeO₂ proved to be a promising catalyst to be used further in the internal and trireforming of CH₄ [5, 20, 21]. We also reported [3, 35–38] the electrocatalytic reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 in an SOFC system, co-producing a synthesis gas and electricity.

3.3 Tri-Reforming of CH₄

Comparatively, the tri-reforming is much easier process for protecting carbon formation than dry reforming process. It is a combination of endothermic CH_4 reforming (Eq. 4), steam reforming (Eq. 1) and exothermic oxidation of CH_4 (Eqs. 5 and 6), which has more importance in regard to both industrial and environmental aspect [84–86].

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 247.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (4)

$$CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = -35.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$
(5)

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = -880 \text{ kJ/mol}$
(6)

During tri-reforming reaction, many kinds of side reactions for the formation (Eqs. 7 and 8) and destruction (Eqs. 9-11) of coke occurred simultaneously.

$$CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2 \qquad \Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 74.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(7)

$$2CO \rightarrow C + CO_2 \qquad \Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = -172.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(8)

$$C_{(ads)} + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 172.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (9)

$$C_{(ads)} + H_2O \rightarrow CO + H_2$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 131.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$
(10)

$$C_{(ads)} + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 \qquad \Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = -393.7 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(11)

Previously, we reported [3, 4, 20, 87] that NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst showed better catalytic performance than NiO–YSZ–MgO in dry reforming of CH₄ by CO₂. But it was deactivated by the formation of carbon on the surface of catalyst within few days. Based on the previous results, we have reported [69] the production of synthesis gas by tri-reforming of CH₄ over NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst and compared the catalyst with two commercial steam reforming catalysts procured from Imperial Chemical Industry (ICI) Co. (ICI 57-7) and Holder Topsoe Co. (HT R67).

Figure 3 represents the comparison of the out-gas distribution for tri-reforming reaction over the NiO–YSZ– CeO₂ and commercial steam reforming catalysts and dry reforming reaction over NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst. The conversions of CO₂ and CH₄ in the tri-reforming of CH₄ were 100% with the production of quantitative amounts of H₂ and CO. However, the conversions of CO₂ and CH₄ in the dry reforming were 92% and 100%, respectively. The catalytic activity for the tri-reforming reaction over NiO– YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst was higher than for dry reforming.



Fig. 3 The comparison of the out-gas distribution for the trireforming of CH₄ over various catalysts. The tri-reforming reaction was carried out at the temperature of 800 °C, feed molar ratio of CH₄:CO₂:O₂:H₂O = 1:1:0.1:1 and GHSV of 10,000 h⁻¹. The dry reforming was performed at a feed molar ratio of CH₄/CO₂ = 1 and GHSV of 17,000 h⁻¹

Also, NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst showed a higher trireforming activity than the commercial catalysts under the same reaction conditions.

As seen in Fig. 4, no NiC phase was detected on the surface of NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst after tri-reforming reaction, like after dry reforming reaction. Tri-reforming of CH_4 was found to be more desirable than dry-reforming



Fig. 4 The XRD patterns of NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalysts (**a**) before reduction, (**b**) after reduction, (**c**) after the tri-reforming reaction for 120 h and (**d**) after dry reforming reaction. The reaction was carried out at the reaction temperature of 800 °C, feed molar ratio of CH₄:CO₂:O₂:H₂O = 1:1:0.1:1 and GHSV of 10,000 h⁻¹

reaction over NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst. It was also reported that the product ratio of H_2/CO can be controlled by the tri-reforming of CH₄. The results suggest that the synthesis gas produced by the tri-reforming of CH₄ can be used for the production of DME, Fischer–Tropsch synthesis fuels and high-valued chemicals [88–90] and applied to the fuel processor of SOFC and MCFC systems.

3.4 Internal Reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in SOFC System

To solve the problem of the deactivation of the catalyst in dry reforming of CH_4 , the internal reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 was carried out in a SOFC system using Ni-based anode catalysts, which showed a higher catalytic activity in the dry reforming of CH_4 .

We reported [3, 91, 92] the cogeneration of a syngas and electricity by the electrocatalytic reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 in a SOFC system using NiO and NiO–MgO catalysts. These catalysts were prepared by a precipitation method using nitrates and ammonium hydroxide at pH 9, followed by aging the precipitates at room temperature for 24 h, filtration, dried at 100 °C and calcined at 900 °C for 6 h. The single cell was prepared by a tape casting method. NiO–MgO catalyst exhibited higher reaction rates than NiO catalyst under the experimental conditions. However, the reaction rates of CO_2 and CH_4 decreased more drastically over NiO catalyst than on NiO–MgO catalyst. The BET surface area and the total pore volume of NiO catalyst were found to be decreased by 76% and 80%, respectively, after the reaction due to the sintering of Ni.

Figure 5 shows the reaction rates of CH_4 and CO_2 and the current density over the electrocatalytic cell (NiO–



Fig. 5 The reaction rates of CH_4 and CO_2 and the current density over the electrocatalytic cell (NiO–MgOlYSZl(La,Sr)MnO₃) with a time on stream

MgO|YSZ|(La,Sr)MnO₃) with time on stream under the open and closed circuit conditions. The eletrocatalytic reforming reaction in SOFC system was carried out at atmospheric pressure and a temperature of 800 °C. The reaction rates of CH₄ and CO₂ under the open-circuit condition were found to be lower than under the closedcircuit condition. The rates of CH₄ and CO₂ and the current density under the closed-circuit condition were stable after the electrocatalytic reaction for 3 h whereas those of CH₄ and CO₂ under the open-circuit condition slowly decreased due to the deposition of coke. The catalyst electrode under the closed circuit was stable during the electrocatalytic reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ because of the fast removal of coke deposition due to oxygen ion flux under the closedcircuit condition. The selectivities of CO₂ and CO under the closed circuit condition were 28.9 and 13.1%, respectively, whereas those of CO₂ and CO under open circuit were 14.8 and 18.59%. The amount of coke under the open-circuit condition was 120 mg C/g_{catalyst} after 5 h, but the amount of coke under the closed-circuit condition drastically decreased compared to that under the opencircuit condition.

The mechanism of CH₄ reforming by CO₂ over noble based catalysts was proposed to consist of several steps [60-62]. It can be produced by CH₄ decompositions (Eqs. 12-16), CO₂ disproportionation (Eq. 17) and partial oxidation of surface carbon (Eq. 18). Also, surface carbon can be produced by methane decomposition (Eq. 19) and CO disproportionation (Eq. 20).

 $CH_4 \rightarrow CH_3(ads) + H(ads)$ (12)

 $CH_3(ads) \rightarrow CH_2(ads) + H(ads)$ (13)

$$CH_2(ads) \rightarrow CH(ads) + H(ads)$$
 (14)

$$CH(ads) \rightarrow C(ads) + H(ads)$$
 (15)

$$CH_4 \rightarrow C(ads) + 2H_2 \tag{16}$$

$$CO_2 \rightarrow CO(ads) + O(ads)$$
 (17)

$$C(ads) + O(ads) \rightarrow CO$$
 (18)

$$CH_4 \rightarrow C(ads) + 2H_2$$
 ($\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = 74.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$) (19)

$$2CO \rightarrow C(ads) + CO_2$$
 ($\Delta H_{298}^{\circ} = -172.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$) (20)

In the electrochemical cell, oxygen ions (O^{2-}) are formed on the counter electrode according to the following reaction (Eq. 21):

$$0.5O_2 + 2e^- \to O^{2-} \tag{21}$$

These oxygen ions are transferred to the catalyst electrode through the YSZ electrolyte. The possible electrolytic reactions with the oxygen ions transferred to the catalyst electrode occur by the following reactions (Eqs. 22–25):

$$\mathrm{CO} + \mathrm{O}^{2-} \to \mathrm{CO}_2 + 2\mathrm{e}^- \tag{22}$$

$$H_2 + O^{2-} \to H_2O + 2e^-$$
 (23)

$$Cs + O^{2-} \rightarrow CO + 2e^{-} \tag{24}$$

$$Cs + 2O^{2-} \rightarrow CO_2 + 4e^- \tag{25}$$

Carbon deposition was prevented by the reaction (Eqs. 24 and 25) of the surface carbon with the oxygen ion transferred from cathode electrode in SOFC system.

Figure 6 shows [91, 92] the performance of current voltage and power density with current density in the electrocatalytic cell (NiO-MgO|YSZ|(La,Sr)MnO₃) when CH₄ and CO₂ were used as reactants. The open-circuit voltage (OCV) obtained for the single cell at 800 °C and atmosphere was 0.96 V, which was lower than the theoretical (V = 1.24) OCV. The maximum power density was at 30 mA. The electricity can be produced by the electrochemical reactions such as the oxidation of CO and H₂ (Eqs. 22 and 23), surface carbon (Eqs. 24 and 25), and CH_4 (Eqs. 26 and 27).

$$CH_4 + O^{2-} \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 + 2e^-$$
 (26)

$$CH_4 + 4O^{2-} \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O + 8e^-$$
 (27)

For H₂ as reactant, the electricity was produced by the electrochemical reaction (Eq. 13). In our study the OCV obtained for the single cell tested at 800 °C and atmosphere was 1.04 V. Ni-based catalysts were found to be suitable as the electrode of SOFC in terms of the voltage-power performance.

In our later work [4], we have investigated CH_4 reforming by CO₂ over NiO-YSZ based anode catalysts for application to a single cell in SOFC. Anode catalyst

0.8 Voltage (V) 12 0.6 8 0.4 4 0.2 0.0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 0 Current Denity (mA/cm²)

Fig. 6 The performance of current voltage and power density with current density in the electrochemical cell (NiO-MgOlYS-Zl(La,Sr)MnO₃) at 800 °C



materials were prepared through a physical mixing method using NiO (Aldrich), YSZ (Tosoh Co.), MgO (Nakarai Chemicals) and CeO₂ (Aldrich). Initially, powders of NiO, YSZ, MgO or CeO₂ were mixed by dry ball milling using a zirconia ball for 24 h, and then the powder mixture was ground in a mortar until fine powders were obtained. Cathode materials were prepared through the maleic acid method using nitrates of La, Sr and Mn. These chemicals were dissolved in distilled water with maleic acid and pH was adjusted with NH₄OH. The solution was heated at 100 °C for 6 h, followed by drying in an oven at 210 °C and calcining at 800 °C for 2 h in air. The single cell was prepared by using a tape casting method. We carried out catalytic reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in a fixed bed reactor in a temperature range of 650-850 °C. The internal reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ was performed using an electrochemical

cell in the SOFC system at 800 °C and in atmospheric air under open and closed circuit conditions.

The conversions of CO₂ and CH₄ over NiO–YSZ–MgO and NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalysts with time on stream are illustrated in Fig. 7. The catalytic activity and selectivity over both catalysts were also very stable during the entire reaction. The conversions of CO₂ and CH₄ over the NiO– YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst were 100% and 80%, respectively, which were slightly higher than those over NiO–YSZ– MgO. The result mainly attributes to the better metal dispersion on NiO–YSZ–CeO₂, which is supported by chemisorption and SEM data. XRD of these catalysts before and after the reaction (Fig. 8) shows the formation of NiC from NiO after the reaction for 5 h.





Fig. 7 The effect of time on stream on the conversions of CO_2 and CH_4 for catalytic CH_4 reforming by CO_2

Fig. 8 XRD patterns of NiO-YSZ-MgO and NiO-YSZ-CeO₂ catalysts before (a) and after (b) the catalytic reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 in fixed bed reactor



Fig. 9 The performance of current voltage and power density with current density in the electrochemical cells (different anodelYS-Zl(La,Sr)MnO₃) at 800 °C when CH₄ (50 vol%) and CO₂ (50 vol%) were used as reactants. Flow rates of reactants and air are 20 mL/min, respectively

The reaction rates of CH₄ and CO₂ and current density under closed circuit conditions were stable whereas those of CH₄ and CO₂ under open circuits decreased slowly. Changes in the voltage and power density with the current density of the electrocatalytic cells (different anodelYS-Zl(La,Sr)MnO₃) at 800 °C are illustrated in Fig. 9. In one of three methods employed, for case C, the reactor design was modified to improve the contact between the cell and the current collector. An optimized load by metal weight (0.16 N cm^{-2}) was placed on the reactor. This modified SOFC systems designed in case C, showed significantly improved electrical performance better than in the other systems. The open-circuit voltage over the single cell (NiO-YSZ-CeO₂|YSZ|(La,Sr) MnO₃) was 1.1 V; a power density of 54 mW/cm² was obtained at a current density 100 mA/cm². As shown in Fig. 9, the power density of the modified SOFC system (case C) was increased almost threefold relative to that of the initial system (case A).

The results suggest that the internal reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in SOFC system can be applied for the production of a syngas and electricity as a sequestration technology of greenhouse gases.

4 Catalytic Reforming of LPG

Steam reforming of LPG is not much studied in open literature. However, coke formation over Ni and Ni-Mo catalysts during *n*-butane steam reforming [93, 94], activity/selectivity features of Ni, Pd and Pt catalysts and steam reforming kinetics of various hydrocarbons over Ni catalysts are reported [95, 96]. Avci et al. studied [97] the ignition characteristics of n-butane over monometallic Ni/ δ -Al₂O₃ and bimetallic Pt-Ni/ δ -Al₂O₃ catalysts under the conditions pertinent to fuel processor operation in the fuelrich regime. They obtained lower reaction temperatures by the addition of a small amount of Pt to Ni, indicating the possibility of initiating the hydrogen-generating indirect partial oxidation route with lower energy requirement. Although monometallic Ni catalysts are best steam reforming catalysts, they are not superior in indirect partial oxidation due to their limited total oxidation activity and their deactivation tendency via oxidation. So later on Avci et al. studied [10] the steam reforming of *n*-butane over Ni/ δ -Al₂O₃ and Pt-Ni/ δ -Al₂O₃ catalysts at temperatures between 305 and 405 °C. Though both catalysts demonstrate similar trends in activities and in product formation rates without the formation of coke and carbon monoxide at a ratio of $H_2O/C = 3$, carbon monoxide was found to be produced only around 405 °C with low rates. The Pt-Ni catalyst exhibited superior performance at 405 °C where nbutane is completely converted and at 355 °C where hydrogen to methane point selectivity is maximized indicating the presence of a synergistic interaction between Pt and Ni sites.

Steam reforming of LPG (Eqs. 28-30) is mainly investigated [59, 97–102] over noble metal catalysts (e.g. Rh, Ru and Pt) on oxide supports.

Table 2 Characteristic of catalysts prepared for steam reforming of LPG	Catalyst	Molar ratio (Ni + Mg)/Al	BET surface area ^a (m ² /g)	Total pore volume ^a (cm ³ /g)	Active metal surface area ^b (m ² /g)
	spc-Ni/MgAl-A	1	171.1	0.245	11.54
	spc-Ni/MgAl-B	2	184.2	0.258	12.07
	spc-Ni/MgAl-C	3	197.7	0.285	13.02
	spc-Ni/MgAl-D	4	190.3	0.273	13.02
	spc-Ni/MgAl-E	5	188.5	0.269	12.31
 ^a Derived from N₂ physisorption ^b Derived from CO chemisorption 	spc-Ni/MgAl-F	6	186.4	0.264	12.15
	0.3 wt%Ru spc-Ni/MgAl	3	107.7	0.373	10.41
	0.3 wt%Rh spc-Ni/MgAl	3	105.4	0.375	10.35

$C_3H_8+3H_2O\rightarrow 7H_2+3CO$	497.7 kJ/mol	(28)
$C_4H_{10}+4H_2O\rightarrow 9H_2+4CO$	649.9 kJ/mol	(29)
$(3C_3H_8+7C_4H_{10})+37H_2O\rightarrow$	84 $H_2 + 37CO$	(30)

The major difficulty in the reforming of LPG is the degradation of the reforming catalyst due to the possible carbon deposition from the decomposition of hydrocarbons, particularly at high temperatures. Reforming of LPG over Ru/CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst with a low inlet steam requirement at 450 °C is reported by Suzuki et al. [99]. The main products formed from the steam reforming of LPG are hydrogen, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide, however the formation of ethane, ethylene and methane are usually observed due to the decomposition of LPG and methanation reactions. It is reported [97, 100] that an autothermal reforming process using added oxygen together with LPG and steam provides great benefits in terms of catalyst stability, low coke formation, and elimination of the formation of higher hydrocarbons (i.e. C₂H₆ and C₂H₄). High reforming reactivity with low carbon formation over Pt/CeO_2 is reported by Recupero et al. [59]. Recently, several researchers reported [103, 104] high activity for the reforming reactions with excellent resistance to carbon formation over precious metals (Pt, Rh and Ru) based catalysts. However, taking into account of the high prices of these metals for commercial usage, an alternative ceria based catalyst is developed by many researchers [105, 106], based on the ideas of high oxygen mobility (redox property) [107], high oxygen storage capacity [108–110], strong metal to support interaction [111] and modifiable capability [110].

Laosiripojana et al. reported [105] steam and autothermal reforming reactions of LPG over high surface area CeO_2 synthesized by surfactant-assisted approach, which



Fig. 10 XRD patterns of hydrotalcite (Mg–Al) and 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts prepared by dipping method

were studied under SOFC operating conditions. This catalyst due to its redox property provides significantly higher reforming reactivity and excellent resistance toward carbon deposition compared to the conventional Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The gas–solid reaction between the hydrocarbons present in the system and lattice oxygen takes place at ceria surface during reforming process. Oxygen contained in the autothermal reforming reactant stream reduced the degree of carbon deposition by eliminating the formation of higher hydrocarbons like ethane, ethylene.

We reported [68] the high and stable activity of Ni-loaded catalysts prepared from Mg–Al hydrotalcite–like anionic clay for steam reforming of LPG. The concentration of H_2 after 5 h was nearly the same over *spc*-Ni/MgAl and ICI



Fig. 11 Product distributions of (**a**) 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl and (**b**) 0.3 wt%Rh-*spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts for steam reforming of LPG on time on stream up to 53 h. Reaction conditions: temperature = 700 °C, GHSV = 20,000 h⁻¹ and H₂O/C = 1.0

catalysts for SR of LPG. The concentration of H₂ was maintained during reaction time (186 h) at 800 °C, GHSV = 20,000 h⁻¹ with H₂O/C = 2. However, it decreased over commercial ICI catalyst due to the formation of carbon. The 'memory effect' property of hydrotalcite-like support is believed to allow the reconstruction of the original hydrotalcite structure under mild conditions when contacting the product of the thermal treatment contacts with water which minimizes the degradation of the catalyst during steam reforming reaction.

Steam reforming of LPG over nickel-based and noblemetal-modified nickel-based catalysts (Table 2) using (different Ni to Mg ratio) hydrotalcite-like support is reported [58, 68, 112, 113] recently. *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst was prepared by a co-precipitation method and later modified with noble metals (Ru,Rh) by a dipping method. Among the non-impregnated Ni-based catalysts, *spc*-Ni/ MgAl–C catalyst shows good performance up to 186 h for steam reforming of LPG, though later on the activity was found to be declined at 800 °C, $H_2O/C = 2$ and $GHSV = 20,000 h^{-1}$. Figure 10 shows the XRD patterns of hydrotalcite (Mg-Al) and 0.3 wt%Rh spc-Ni/MgAl catalysts prepared by a dipping method. XRD pattern (a) is typical hydrotalcite peak pattern taken after drying at 60 °C for 12 h in air. Then after calcining at 850 °C for 5 h, hydrotalcite structure changed to Mg-Ni-O crystalline form (b). When Rh modified spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst was prepared using spc-Ni/MgAl by a dipping method followed by drying at 80 °C for 6 h, Mg-Ni-O structure was found to reconstitute to hydrotalcite by memory effect (c). XRD pattern (d) shows that loaded Rh forms Rh_xO_x on the surface of Rh-modified spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst prepared by a dipping method. Figure 11 shows the product distributions of noble-metal-modified Ni-based catalysts for steam reforming reaction with time on stream up to 53 h at reaction conditions: temperature = 700 °C, GHSV = 20,000 h^{-1} and H₂O/C = 1.0. Ru modified *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst showed H₂: 64-66%, CH₄: 5-7%, CO: 18-21%, CO₂: 6–10% product distribution by vol(%) whereas Rh modified spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst formed H₂: 67%, CH₄:

Fig. 12 TEM images of 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl and 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts before and after the steam reforming of LPG



(a) 0.3wt% Rh spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst





(b) 0.3wt% Ru spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst



4.9%, CO: 19%, CO₂: 8% by vol(%). It was found that Rh loaded catalyst showed stable performance for the SR of LPG without fluctuation in product distribution under severe reaction conditions: temperature = 700 °C, GHSV = 20,000 h⁻¹, H₂O/C = 1.0.

Figures 12 and 13 shows the TEM images and TGA profiles of 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl and 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts, respectively, before and after the reaction. As seen in TEM micrographs, plenty of carbon was formed on Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst, during the reaction, compared to Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst. The TGA profiles also show more weight loss for Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst, which agrees well with the TEM data. This infers that Ru metal is not effective for the inhibition of carbon formation. It was



Fig. 13 TGA profiles of 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl and 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalysts before and after the steam reforming of LPG



Fig. 14 Long term stability of 0.3% Rh spc-Ni/MgAl catalyst in the steam reforming of LPG

concluded that the addition of Rh metal to *spc*-Ni/MgAl enhanced the activity for LPG reforming and constrained the carbon deposition. Also 0.3% Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst was found to have prolonged stability up to 1,100 h in the steam reforming of LPG as seen in Fig. 14. These results draw a conclusion that 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst is a desirable LPG SR catalyst for application in hydrogen station and fuel processor systems. *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst displayed a better activity than ICI commercial CH₄ SR catalyst, but had a tendency to coke formation even though carbon formed did not affect adversely in this reaction. It was found that 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst showed the strong restraint of carbon formation compared to 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst.

5 Conclusions and Future Directions

This review compiled the work carried out in this laboratory for the production of hydrogen by catalytic reforming of gaseous hydrocarbons fuels such as methane, propane, butane and LPG.

We have investigated CH_4 reforming by CO_2 over NiO– YSZ based catalyst which can be a suitable anode catalyst for application to a single cell in SOFC. For the dry reforming of CH_4 by CO_2 , NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst was found to be active, but was deactivated due to carbon deposition after long run [3, 20, 87]. The same catalyst has shown higher activity and resistance to carbon deposition in the tri-reforming of CH_4 , unlike in the dry reforming reaction [5]. Tri-reforming of CH_4 is more desirable than dry-reforming reaction over NiO–YSZ–CeO₂ catalyst for application to SOFC and MCFC fuel processor systems and for the production of high-valued chemicals such as methanol, hydrocarbons, dimethyl ether and the syngas production by GTL process [3–5, 88, 92]. But more studies on long term stability test, scale-up of the catalysts, and carbon dioxide sequestration are needed. We also reported the cogeneration of a syngas and electricity by the electrocatalytic reforming of CH₄ by CO₂ in SOFC system using Ni-based anode catalyst such as NiO–MgO, NiO– MgO–CeO₂ and NiO–YSZ–CeO₂. We overcome the shortcomings associated with the catalytic deactivation due to carbon deposition and the high-energy consumption.

We developed 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst for steam reforming of LPG to be applied in hydrogen station and fuel processor systems. The addition of Rh to *spc*-Ni/ MgAl catalyst restricted the deactivation of the catalyst due to the carbon formation in steam reforming of LPG and also renders long-term stability to the catalyst under tested conditions. It was found [9] that addition of Rh metal to the *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst had a promoting effect by inhibiting the sintering of Ni metal particles under tested conditions. 0.3 wt%Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst showed the strong restraint of carbon formation compared to 0.3 wt%Ru *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst. 0.3% Rh *spc*-Ni/MgAl catalyst had a prolonged stability for more than 1,100 h in the steam reforming of LPG.

Acknowledgements Author would like to thank our coworkers for their valuable research contributions in reforming of gases hydrocarbons summarized in this review. The author would also like to acknowledge financial support from KIST, SK Energy and H_2FC to carry out this research in Clean Energy Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST).

References

- 1. Moon DJ, Sreekumar K, Lee SD, Lee BG, Kim HS (2001) Appl Catal A: Gen 215(1–2):1
- 2. Moon DJ, Ryu JW (2003) Catal Today 87:255
- Moon DJ, Park JM, Kang JS, Yoo KS, Hong SI (2006) J Ind Eng Chem 12(1):149
- 4. Moon DJ, Ryu JW (2003) Catal Lett 89(3-4):207
- 5. Kang JS, Kim DH, Lee SD, Hong SI, Moon DJ (2007) Appl Catal A: Gen 332:153
- Takehira K, Shishido T, Wang P, Kosaka T, Takaki K (2003) Phys Chem Chem Phys 5:3801
- 7. Choudary VR, Rajput AM, Prabhakar B (1993) J Catal 139:326
- 8. Moon DJ, Ryu JW, Lee SD, Lee BG, Ahn BS (2004) Appl Catal A: Gen 272:53
- 9. Kim DH, Kang JS, Lee YJ, Park NK, Kim YC, Hong SI, Moon DJ (2007) Catal Today 136(3–4):228
- Acvi AK, Trimm DL, Aksoylu AE, Önsan Zİ (2004) Appl Catal A: Gen 258:235
- 11. Shishido T, Wang P, Kosaka T, Takehira K (2002) Chem Lett 31:752
- 12. Golunski S (1998) Platinum Met Rev 42:2
- 13. Trimm DL, Önsan Zİ (2001) Catal Rev-Sci Eng 43:31
- 14. Armor JN (1999) Appl Catal A: Gen 176:159
- 15. Bradford MCJ, Vannice MA (1991) Catal Rev Sci Eng 41:1

- Dissanayake D, Rosynek MP, Kharas KCC, Lunsford JH (1991) J Catal 132:117
- 17. Trimm DL (1977) Catal Rev-Sci Eng 16:155
- Kim JH, Suh DJ, Park TJ, Kim KL (2000) Appl Catal A: Gen 197:191
- Tsyganok AI, Tsunoda T, Suzuki K, Hamakawa S, Takehira K, Hayakawa T (2003) J Catal 213:191
- Moon DJ, Ryu JW, Kang DM, Lee BK, Ahn BS (2004) Stud Surf Sci Catal 153:193
- 21. Moon DJ, Ryu JW, Lee SD (2004) Stud Surf Sci Catal 153:149
- 22. Solymosi F, Tolmacsov P, Zakar TS (2005) J Catal 233:51
- 23. Takeguchi T, Kani Y, Ehuchi K, Aizwa M (2002) J Power Sources 112:588
- 24. Michael CJ, Vannice MA (1999) J Catal 183:69
- Basile F, Basini L, D'Amore M, Fornasari G, Guarinoni A, Matteuzzi D, Del Piero G, Trifiro F, Vaccari A (1998) J Catal 173:247
- 26. Basini L, Guarinoni A, Aragano A (2000) J Catal 190:284
- 27. Bharadwaj SS, Schmidt LD (1995) Fuel Process Technol 42:109
- 28. Veser G, Schmidt LD (1996) AIChE J 42:177
- 29. Bharadwaj SS, Schmidt LD (1995) J Catal 155:403
- 30. Dietz AG, Schmidt LD (1995) Catal Lett 33:15
- 31. Witt PM, Schmidt LD (1996) J Catal 163:465
- Shishido T, Sukenobu M, Morioka H, Kondo M, Wang Y, Takaki K, Takehira K (2002) Appl Catal A: Gen 223:35
- Shishido T, Sukenobu M, Morioka H, Furukawa R, Shirahase H, Takehira K (2001) Catal Lett 73:21
- Tsyganok AI, Suzuki K, Hamakawa S, Takehira K, Hayakawa T (2001) Catal Lett 77:75
- Claridge JB, York APE, Brungs AJ, Marquez-Avarez C, Sloan J, Tsang SC, Green MLH (1998) J Catal 180:85
- 36. Minh NQ (1993) J Am Ceram Soc 76:563
- Toebes ML, Bitter JH, van Dillen AJ, de Jong KP (2002) Catal Today 76:33
- 38. Baker RTK, Gadsby GR, Terry S (1975) Carbon 13:245
- Park S, Craciun R, Vohs JM, Gorte RJ (1991) J Electrochem Soc 146:3603
- 40. Park S, Vohs J, Gorte R (2001) Nature (London) 404:265
- 41. McIntosh S, Vohs JM, Gorte RJ (2002) Electrochim Acta 47:3815
- 42. Zhao S, Gorte RJ (2003) Appl Catal A: Gen 248:9
- 43. Gorte RJ, Park S, Vohs JM, Wang C (2000) Adv Mater 12:1465
- 44. Kim H, Park S, Vohs J, Gorte R (2001) J Electrochem Soc 148:A693
- 45. Lu C, Worrell WL, Gorte RJ, Vohs JM (2003) J Electrochem Soc 150:A354
- 46. Lu C, Worrell WL, Vohs JM, Gorte RJ (2003) J Electrochem Soc 150(10):A1357
- Lee BG, Kang JS, Kim DH, Lee SD, Moon DJ (2006) Stud Surf Sci Catal 159:617
- Ryu JW, Park JM, Choi EH, Yoo KS, Nam SW, Hong SI, Moon DJ (2006) Stud Surf Sci Catal 159:613
- 49. Velu S, Swamy CS (1994) Appl Catal A: Gen 119:241
- 50. Corma A, Iborra S, Primo J, Rey F (1994) Appl Catal A: Gen 114:215
- 51. Katsuomi T, Tetsuya S, Peng W, Tokuhisa K, Ken T (2004) J Catal 221:43
- Choudary BM, Madhi S, Chowdari N, Kantam ML, Sreedhar B (2002) J Am Chem Soc 124:14127
- 53. Tichit D, Coq B (2003) CAT TECH 7:206
- 54. Cavani F, Trifiro F, Vaccari A (1991) Catal Today 11:173
- 55. Hayakawa T, Suzuki S, Hamakawa S, Suzuki K, Shishido T, Takehira K (1999) Appl Catal A: Gen 183:273
- 56. Takehira K, Shishido T, Kondo M (2002) J Catal 207:307

- 57. Shiozaki R, Andersen AG, Hayakawa T, Hamakawa S, Suzuki K, Shimizu M, Takehira K (1997) J Chem Soc, Faraday Trans 93:3225
- Moon DJ, Kim DH, Kang JS, Lee JS, Lee SD, Choi JS, Kim MJ (2008) In application to Korea Patent; (2008) PCT Patent
- Recupero V, Pino L, Vita A, Cipiti F, Cordaro M, Laganà M (2005) Int J Hydrogen Energy 30(9):963
- 60. Velu S, Suzuki K, Gopinath CS (2002) J Phys Chem B 106:12746
- 61. Newson E, Truong TB (2003) Int J Hydrogen Energy 28:1379
- 62. Docter A, Lamm A (1999) J Power Sources 84:194
- 63. Bradford MCJ, Vannice MA (1999) Catal Rev 41:1
- Li D, Atake I, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, Takehira K (2007) J Catal 250:299
- 65. Li D, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, Takehira K (2007) Appl Catal A: Gen 332:98
- 66. Nagaoka K, Jentys A, Lercher JA (2005) J Catal 229:185
- Li B, Kado S, Mukainakano Y, Miyazawa T, Miyao T, Naito S, Okumura K, Kunimori K, Tomishige K (2007) J Catal 245:144
- Moon DJ, Kim DH, Kang JS, Ryu JW, Lee BG, Yoon YS, Kwak BS (2005) Korea Patent 10-2005-00992; (2006) PCT Patent 2006-004047
- 69. Rostrup-Neilsen JR (2002) Catal Today 71:243
- 70. Douvartzides SL, Coutelieris FA (2003) J Power Sources 114:203
- 71. Erdohelyi A, Cserenyi J, Solymosi F (1993) J Catal 141:287
- 72. Qin D, Lapszewicz J (1994) Catal Today 21:551
- 73. Mark MF, Maier MF (1996) J Catal 164:122
- 74. Wang S, Lu GQ (1998) Energy Fuels 12:248
- 75. Xu Z, Li Y, Zhang J, Chang L, Zhou R, Duan Z (2001) Appl Catal A: Gen 210:45
- 76. Seo HJ, Yu EY (1997) J Ind Eng Chem 3:85
- 77. Roh HS, Jun KW, Park SE (2003) J Ind Eng Chem 9:261
- 78. Bradford MCJ, Vannice MA (1990) Catal Today 50:87
- 79. Tang SB, Qui FL (1995) Catal Today 24:253
- Schulze K, Makowski W, Chyzy R, Dziembaj R, Geismar G (2001) Appl Clay Sci 18:59
- Tsyganok AI, Suzuki K, Hamakawa S, Takehira K, Hayakawa T (2001) Chem Lett 30:24
- 82. Park SD, Vohs JM, Gorte RJ (2002) Nature 404:265
- Ishihara T, Yamada T, Akbay T, Takita Y (1999) Chem Eng Sci 54:1535
- 84. Song C (2001) Chem Innov 31(1):21
- 85. Cho W, Baek Y, Moon SK, Kim YC (2002) Catal Today 74:207
- 86. Song C, Pan W (2004) Catal Today 98:463
- Moon DJ, Kang DM, Ryu JW, Kim DH, Lee BK, Lee SD (2004) Fuel Cell Semin, San Antonio, TX

- Moon DJ, Kang JS, Noh WS, Kim DH, Lee BG, Lee SD (2005) Korea Patent 10–0732729: (2007) US Patent 11-787555
- Moon DJ, Kang JS, Shim JG, Noh WS, Gong KT, Lee HJ, Kim CS, Lee SD (2006) Fuel Cell Semin, Honolulu, Hawaii
- 90. Lee SH, Cho WC, Ju WS, Cho BH, Lee YC, Baek YS (2003) Catal Today 87:133
- 91. Moon DJ, Ryu JW, Kim TY, Lee SD, Lee BG (2003) Korea Patent 10-0569239
- Moon DJ, Ryu JW, Park JM, Lee BG, Lee SD (2005) US Patent 2005-0089740 A1
- Lim SS, Moon DJ, Kim JH, Kim YC, Park NK, Shin JS (2007) J Nanosci Nanotechnol 7(11):4013
- Lee HR, Lee KY, Park NK, Shin JS, Moon DJ, Lee BG, Kim YC (2007) J Nanosci Nanotechnol 6(11):3396
- Borowiecki T, Grzegorczyk W, Denis A, Golebiowski A (2002) Catal Lett 79:119
- 96. Kepinski L, Stasinska B, Borowiecki T (2000) Carbon 38:1845
- 97. Wang X, Gorte RJ (2002) Appl Catal A: Gen 224:209
- Acvi AK, Trimm DL, Aksoylu AE, Önsan ZI (2003) Catal Lett 88:17
- Ahmed K, Gamman J, Föger K (2002) Solid State Ionics 152– 153 (2002) 485
- 100. Suzuki T, Iwanami H-i, Iwamoto O, Kitahara T (2001) Int J Hydrogen Energy 26(9):935
- 101. Ghenciu AF (2002) Curr Opin Solid State Mater Sci 6:389
- 102. Joensen F, Rostrup-Nielsen JR (2002) J Power Sources 105:195
- Mattos LV, Rodino E, Resasco DE, Possos FB, Noronha FB (2003) Fuel Process Technol 83:147
- 104. Roh HS, Jun KW, Park SE (2003) Appl Catal A: Gen 251:275
- 105. Laosiripojana N, Assabumrungrat S (2006) J Power Sources 158:1348
- 106. Ramirez-Cabrera E, Atkinson A, Chadwick D (2004) Appl Catal B 47:127
- 107. Fornasiero P, Balducci G, Monte RD, Kaspar J, Sergo V, Gubitosa G, Ferrero A, Graziani M (1996) J Catal 164:173
- 108. Miki T, Ogawa T, Haneda M, Kakuta N, Ueno A, Tateishi S, Matsuura S, Sato M (1990) J Phys Chem 94:6464
- 109. Imamura S, Shono M, Okamoto N, Hamada R, Ishida S (1996) Appl Catal A: Gen 142:279
- 110. Kacimi S, Barbier J Jr, Taha R, Duperz D (1993) Catal Lett 22:343
- 111. Pijolat M, Prin M, Soustelle M (1995) J Chem Soc, Faraday Trans 91:3941
- 112. Lim YS, Moon DJ, Park NK, Shin JS, Kim JH, Kim YC (2007) J Nanosci Nanotechnol 7(11):4009
- 113. Kim DH, Kang JS, Lee YJ, Hong SI, Moon DJ (2008) In: Communicated to 14th ICC Symposium, Seoul, Korea